Histologic safety of transcranial focused ultrasound neuromodulation and magnetic resonance acoustic radiation force imaging in rhesus macaques and sheep

Pooja Gaur^{a,*}, Kerriann M. Casey^b, Jan Kubanek^c, Ningrui Li^d, Morteza Mohammadjavadi^a, Yamil Saenz^a, Gary H. Glover^a, Donna M. Bouley^b, Kim Butts Pauly^a

^aDepartment of Radiology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA ^bDepartment of Comparative Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA ^cDepartment of Biomedical Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT ^dDepartment of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

Abstract

Background: Neuromodulation by transcranial focused ultrasound (FUS) offers the potential to non-invasively treat specific brain regions, with treatment location verified by magnetic resonance acoustic radiation force imaging (MR-ARFI).

Objective: To investigate the safety of these methods prior to widespread clinical use, we report histologic findings in two large animal models following FUS neuromodulation and MR-ARFI.

Methods: Two rhesus macaques and thirteen Dorset sheep were studied. FUS neuromodulation was targeted to the primary visual cortex in rhesus macaques and to subcortical locations, verified by MR-ARFI, in eleven sheep. Both rhesus macaques and five sheep received a single FUS session, whereas six sheep received repeated sessions three to six days apart. The remaining two control sheep did not receive ultrasound but otherwise underwent the same anesthetic and MRI procedures as the eleven experimental sheep. Hematoxylin and eosin-stained sections of brain tissue (harvested zero to eleven days fol-

Preprint submitted to bioRxiv

^{*}Corresponding Author: Pooja Gaur, 1201 Welch Rd, Stanford, CA 94305; Email, pgaur@stanford.edu

lowing FUS) were evaluated for tissue damage at FUS and control locations as well as tissue within the path of the FUS beam. TUNEL staining was used to evaluate for the presence of apoptosis in sheep receiving high dose FUS.

Results: No FUS-related pre-mortem histologic findings were observed in the rhesus macaques or in any of the examined sheep. Extravascular red blood cells (RBCs) were present within the meninges of all sheep, regardless of treatment group. Similarly, small aggregates of perivascular RBCs were rarely noted in non-target regions of neural parenchyma of FUS-treated (8/11) and untreated (2/2) sheep. However, no concurrent histologic abnormalities were observed, consistent with RBC extravasation occurring as post-mortem artifact following brain extraction. Sheep within the high dose FUS group were TUNEL-negative at the targeted site of FUS.

Conclusions: The absence of FUS-related histologic findings suggests that the neuromodulation and MR-ARFI protocols evaluated do not cause tissue damage.

Keywords: focused ultrasound, neuromodulation, magnetic resonance acoustic radiation force imaging, safety

1 Introduction

Transcranial focused ultrasound (FUS) delivers targeted ultrasound energy to specific brain regions without damaging intervening tissue or requiring skull removal (Martin and Werner, 2013; Lipsman et al., 2014). Importantly, transcranial FUS avoids the risks associated with invasive procedures (*e.g.*, bleeding, infection) while maintaining high spatial resolution and the ability to reach subcortical targets, which limit other neurosurgical and neurostimulatory methods.

A potentially transformative application of transcranial FUS is neuromodulation, which 8 is thought to be a noninvasive method to explore brain function and circuitry (Tyler et al., 9 2018). Neuromodulation uses short bursts of low intensity ultrasound to excite or inhibit 10 neural activity and can be targeted to subcortical structures at the scale of a few millime-11 ters, which cannot be achieved by other noninvasive neuromodulation modalities such 12 as transcranial magnetic or electrical stimulation (Monti et al., 2016; Naor et al., 2016; 13 Fomenko et al., 2018). This could enable functional mapping of small nuclei for treatment 14 targeting and for advancing neuroscience, and offer a possible treatment for neurological 15 conditions (Kubanek, 2018). 16

Human studies of FUS neuromodulation of cortical and subcortical regions have not 17 led to detectable tissue changes on post-session MRI or behavioral deficits (Hameroff 18 et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015, 2016b; Legon et al., 2014, 2018a,b). As summarized in a 19 recent review of FUS neuromodulation, fourteen out of fifteen animal publications showed 20 no abnormal histologic findings (Blackmore et al., 2019). Included in the fourteen studies 21 were two large animal studies, one in pigs (Dallapiazza et al., 2017) and one in macaques (Ver-22 hagen et al., 2019), which found no tissue damage resulting from FUS neuromodulation. 23 However, one study in sheep raised concerns of microhemorrhage after exposure to pro-24 longed, repetitive FUS neuromodulation (Lee et al., 2016c). Thus, the first purpose of this 25

work was to ascertain whether neuromodulation poses a risk of tissue microhemorrhage in
sheep as suggested by Lee *et al.*, with the addition of controls not treated with FUS, and
in rhesus macaques.

In addition, FUS neuromodulation is aided by confirmation of FUS targeting in the 29 brain. MR acoustic radiation force imaging (MR-ARFI) uses a series of very short FUS 30 bursts at higher intensity to visualize the ultrasound focal spot in situ. The ultrasound 31 pulses slightly displace tissue which, in synchrony with MRI, can be detected as a shift in 32 image phase (McDannold and Maier, 2008). This phase shift is proportional to the ultra-33 sound intensity applied, and therefore can provide a non-invasive metric of the intensity 34 delivered at the focal spot. MR-ARFI can also be used to assess and compensate for dis-35 tortion of the ultrasound through the skull. Proposed clinical applications of MR-ARFI 36 include validation of treatment targeting (Holbrook et al., 2011; Auboiroux et al., 2012), 37 optimization of transducer focusing through the skull (Larrat et al., 2009; Marsac et al., 38 2012; Vyas et al., 2014), and assessment of tissue changes during treatment (McDannold 39 and Maier, 2008; Holbrook et al., 2010; Bitton et al., 2012). 40

Almost no assessments of MR-ARFI safety have been reported. Two reports of in vivo 41 MR-ARFI in the body, one in rabbits (Huang et al., 2009) and one in pigs (Holbrook et al., 42 2011), have been published but did not discuss safety. One study involving transcranial 43 MR-ARFI in two macaques has been published, but did not include histology (Chaplin 44 et al., 2019). To our knowledge, the only report of MR-ARFI safety is from a study 45 that investigated histology after transcranial MR-ARFI in one rodent, in which no tissue 46 damage was observed (Larrat et al., 2009). The second purpose of this work was to assess 47 tissue safety in a controlled study of transcranial MR-ARFI in sheep. 48

We evaluate histology in brain tissue following FUS neuromodulation in the visual cortex of rhesus macaques, and following neuromodulation and MR-ARFI in subcortical brain regions in sheep. The sheep histology includes a treatment control group in which ⁵² no FUS was applied, and internal controls from hemispheres not treated with FUS. Our ⁵³ neuromodulation protocols included a component similar to those used in human stud-⁵⁴ ies, and to those evaluated by Lee and colleagues. We also investigated a broader range ⁵⁵ of intensity values and repeated number of FUS bursts, exceeding those values typically ⁵⁶ used in human protocols as well as those used in the study by Lee *et al*. Our findings ⁵⁷ provide important information for subsequent studies involving FUS neuromodulation or ⁵⁸ MR-ARFI.

59 Materials and Methods

All animal experiments were performed with institutional approval from the Stanford
 ⁶¹ University Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care.

62 Rhesus macaque study

Two 4-year-old adult male rhesus macaques (4.6 kg and 4.8 kg) were acquired from 63 the Wisconsin National Primate Research Center in November 2016. Both non-human 64 primates (NHP-1 and NHP-2) were clinically healthy on physical examination and were 65 seronegative for the following pathogens: Mycobacterium tuberculosis, simian immunod-66 eficiency virus, and simian T-lymphotrophic virus type 1 and 2. One animal was seropos-67 itive for simian retrovirus. Animals were housed in indoor caging and maintained on a 68 12:12 hr light:dark cycle in an AAALAC-accredited facility. Animals were fed a com-69 mercial primate diet (Teklad Global 20% Protein Primate Diet 2050, Envigo, Madison, 70 WI) supplemented with fresh produce, and had unrestricted access to water. Figure 1(a) 71 summarizes study characteristics. 72

73 Anesthesia and preparation

⁷⁴ Both animals were sedated with ketamine (4 mg/kg, intramuscularly) and dexmedeto-⁷⁵ midine (0.02 mg/kg, intramuscularly) and anesthetized with 2-3% isoflurane throughout the FUS procedure. The hair was shaved from the back of the head prior to transducerplacement.

78 Focused ultrasound

A single-element, 270 kHz focused ultrasound transducer fitted with an agar-filled
cone was positioned at the back of the head and coupled with degassed ultrasound gel as
illustrated in Fig. 1(b) (H-115, Sonic Concepts, Bothell, WA).

FUS was targeted to four regions in the visual cortex as shown in Fig. 1(c). A coupling cone was used such that the ultrasound focus was positioned at the surface of the brain (5 m length from transducer). The focal pressure half-width was approximately 17 mm in the axial direction and 6 mm in the lateral direction. The lower two focal spot locations were placed 2 mm above the center of the inion and spaced bilaterally by 15 mm (NHP-1) and 20 mm (NHP-2). The upper two focal spot locations were located directly above at 10 mm (NHP-1) or 15 mm (NHP-2).

FUS was applied in 300 ms pulsed (50% duty cycle) bursts occurring every 1 s for 89 a total of 500 stimuli, as illustrated in Fig. 1(d). One 8.3 min FUS trial (comprising 90 500 FUS bursts) was applied to each of the four neuromodulation locations. Free-field 91 stimulus pressure levels corresponded to 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 MPa as measured in a water tank 92 by fiberoptic hydrophone (Precision Acoustics, Dorset, UK), in order to sample a range 93 of values. In situ intensity was estimated after assuming approximately 40% pressure loss 94 through the macaque skull, based on reports from a previous study (Deffieux et al., 2013). 95 One spatial peak-temporal average intensity (I_{SPTA}) level was applied per location, with 96 estimated in situ values of 0.4 (top) and 1.6 (bottom) W/cm² on the right hemisphere and 97 6.4 (top) and 25.8 (bottom) W/cm² on the left hemisphere, as illustrated in Fig. 1(c). 98

99 Fixation and histopathology

Thirty minutes following FUS, the animals were anesthetized to a surgical plane with 100 5% isoflurane and initially perfused with 0.25-0.5 liters of saline. Next, the macaques 101 were perfused with 4 liters of 3.5% to 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at 102 high pressure for 2-3 minutes (2 liters) and at low pressure (2 liters) for one hour. Lastly, 103 they were perfused with 1-1.25 liters each of 10%, 20%, and 30% sucrose solutions at 104 high pressure for cryoprotection. The skull was removed using an autopsy saw (Shandon, 105 ThermoFisher Scientific, No. 10000) and the brain was extracted. The primary visual 106 cortex was segmented from the remaining cortex by making a coronal cut 2 mm posterior 107 to the lunate sulcus. Brains were then immersion-fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin 108 for 7-10 days. Formalin-fixed tissues were then processed routinely, embedded in paraffin, 109 sectioned at 7 μ m, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Three coronal tissue 110 sections were obtained from each hemisphere of the visual cortex, resulting in six total 111 sections per macaque (Fig. 1(c)). Each pair of left and right sections captured a cross-112 section of all four focal spot beams and covered the full extent of each hemisphere. The 113 first two section pairs were obtained near the surface of the brain, in the region of the focal 114 peak, spaced about 4 mm apart. The third section pair was located about 3 mm beyond 115 the half-max intensity of the focus, at an approximate depth of 2 cm from the cortical 116 surface. Slides were blindly reviewed by a board-certified veterinary pathologist (DB) 117 for the presence of necrosis, apoptosis, edema, hemorrhage, inflammation, and neuropil 118 rarefaction. 119

120 Sheep study

Thirteen male Dorset sheep weighing 22 to 36 kg were included in the study. Eleven underwent transcranial FUS. Two animals did not receive ultrasound but otherwise underwent the same experimental procedures.

Sheep were divided into FUS (n=11) and control (n=2) study groups. Animals that 124 received FUS were subdivided into four groups as follows: acute (n=2; euthanized zero 125 days after FUS study), delayed (n=3; euthanized four to seven days after FUS study), 126 repeated (n=3; underwent FUS again three to six days after the first FUS session, and 127 euthanized four days after the last FUS study), and high dose (n=3; received multiple 128 FUS sessions with prolonged application of FUS on the last day of study, and euthanized 129 four days later). Both sheep in the control group underwent multiple days of MRI study. 130 The two sheep in the acute FUS group and one sheep in the delayed FUS group also 131 underwent MRI study on one or more days prior to the FUS session. Study characteristics 132 are summarized in Fig. 2(a). 133

134 Anesthesia and preparation

Sheep were fasted for 24 hours prior to the study and then sedated with tiletamine and 135 zolazepam (Telazol, Lederele Parenterals, Carolina, Puerto Rico) at 4 mg/kg, intramuscu-136 larly. Anesthesia was induced with a combination of 3% isoflurane in oxygen delivered 137 by facemask and telazol in a continuous rate of infusion. All animals were orotracheally 138 intubated and anesthesia was maintained with 1% to 3% isoflurane in oxygen with MRI 139 conditional mechanical ventilation (Omni-Vent Series D, Allied Healthcare Products, St. 140 Louis, MO) to maintain end-tidal carbon dioxide between 35 mm Hg and 45 mm Hg. 141 Stomach tubes were placed after intubation to resolve gaseous distension and prevent re-142 gurgitation. Venous and arterial catheters were placed percutaneously for drug and fluid 143 administration and blood pressure monitoring. Lactated Ringer's solution (Abbott Labo-144 ratories, Abbott Park, IL) was administered intravenously at approximately 10 mL/kg/hr 145 throughout anesthesia. The top of the head was shaved and treated with a depilatory cream 146 for hair removal. 147

148 Physiological monitoring

Serial samples of hematocrit and arterial blood gases were taken from the auricular arterial catheter. Blood gas samples were analyzed immediately on a calibrated blood gas analyzer (i-STAT, Abbott Point of Care, East Windsor, NJ). Pulse oximetry measurements and capnography were performed continuously during anesthesia (Expression MR400, Philips Healthcare, Vantaa, Finland).

154 MR-guided focused ultrasound

¹⁵⁵ MR-guided focused ultrasound studies were conducted using a 1024 element, 550 kHz ¹⁵⁶ focused ultrasound transducer fitted with a membrane containing chilled, degassed water ¹⁵⁷ (ExAblate 2100, Insightec Ltd., Haifa, Israel). The transducer was positioned above the ¹⁵⁸ head with degassed ultrasound gel for acoustic coupling (Fig. 2(b)).

Acoustic coupling and focal spot location were verified by MR-ARFI in the eleven 159 sheep that underwent transcranial FUS. Figure 2(d) illustrates the MR-ARFI protocol in 160 which FUS was on for 16 ms bursts within a 500 ms window (corresponding to the MR 161 repetition time) over a period of 1.2 min. Each application of MR-ARFI comprised 128 162 FUS bursts. Figure 2(e-g) illustrates neuromodulation protocols, in which FUS was on for 163 200-300 ms bursts every 1 s with continuous wave (Fig. 2(f)) or pulsed (50% duty cycle) 164 ultrasound (Fig. 2(e,g)). Each neuromodulation application comprised 120 (Fig. 2(e)) or 165 600 FUS bursts (Fig. 2(f,g)) over a period of 6 (Fig. 2(e)) or 20 minutes (Fig. 2(f,g)). 166 The protocols applied for each sheep are reported in Fig. 2(a). FUS pulse timing was 167 controlled by Eprime scripts (Psychology Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA). 168

Multiple MR-ARFI and neuromodulation trials were administered consecutively to investigate the safety of repeated FUS sonications. The within-session timing of FUS application is illustrated in Fig. 3 for each sheep. Applied acoustic powers ranged from 127.5-195.5 W for MR-ARFI and 2-34 W for neuromodulation, and are summarized in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(d), respectively, for each sheep. Neuromodulation acoustic powers were selected to result in at least 5.7 W/cm² I_{SPTA} in situ, to replicate acoustic intensities applied in a study which reported tissue damage in sheep (Lee et al., 2016c), but to also include a broader intensity range to evaluate potential effects at higher levels.

MR-ARFI and neuromodulation were targeted to 1-6 and 1-4 subcortical locations, 177 respectively. The neuromodulation study measured visual evoked potentials using scalp 178 electrodes in response to external stimulation (flashing lights) as well as during focused 179 ultrasound sonication targeted to the visual pathway (lateral geniculate nucleus), the results 180 of which are presented elsewhere (Mohammadjavadi et al., 2019). The lateral geniculate 181 nucleus was a common neuromodulation location for all sheep, with additional focal spots 182 typically located in planes approximately 10, 15, and 20 mm rostral and 10 mm caudal to 183 the lateral geniculate nucleus. The focal pressure half-width was approximately 20 mm in 184 the axial direction and 3.5 mm in the lateral direction. Figure 2(c) shows an example of 185 targeted focal spot locations (sheep 9). The total number of FUS bursts applied to each 186 targeted location are illustrated for MR-ARFI in Fig. 4(g) and for neuromodulation in 187 Fig. 4(h), for each sheep. For the sheep in the repeated and high dose FUS groups, FUS 188 locations were revisited for MR-ARFI and neuromodulation on multiple days. Two sheep 189 had locations that were targeted both for MR-ARFI and neuromodulation on alternate days 190 (two locations for sheep 8 and one location for sheep 9). Additionally, the three sheep in 191 the high dose group each had one location that received MR-ARFI and neuromodulation 192 during the same session. At the conclusion of the study, a high number of consecutive 193 MR-ARFI repetitions were targeted to a single location in the high dose group, bringing 194 the total number of MR-ARFI repetitions to 25, 44, and 70 at a single location (sheep 195 11, 12, and 13, respectively). Target locations were in the left hemisphere for acute and 196 delayed groups, and bilateral for the repeated and high dose FUS groups. 197

198 MR imaging

MR-guided focused ultrasound studies were performed at 3T (Signa Excite, GE Health-199 care, Milwaukee, WI) using a quadrature head coil. A high resolution T2-weighted se-200 quence was acquired for treatment planning with 2.5 s repetition time, 72 ms echo time, 201 22 cm isotropic field of view, and 256×192 acquisition matrix. MR-ARFI was performed 202 using a spin echo sequence with repeated bipolar motion encoding gradients, 2DFT read-203 out, 500 ms repetition time, 39 ms echo time, $20 \times 20 \times 0.7$ cm³ field of view, and 256×128 204 acquisition matrix (Bitton et al., 2012). Focused ultrasound application spanned from the 205 second lobe of the first bipolar through the first lobe of the second bipolar motion encod-206 ing gradient. Images of the focal spot encoded by MR-ARFI were calculated by complex 207 phase difference of two acquisitions with alternating motion encoding gradient polarities. 208

209 Histopathology and TUNEL

Animals were euthanized with a barbiturate overdose of 1 ml per 10 pounds of body 210 weight of euthanasia solution (390 mg/mL pentobarbital and 50 mg/kg phenytoin, Virbac, 211 St Louis, MO). Cardiac arrest was confirmed by auscultation. Skulls were removed via 212 an autopsy saw (Shandon, ThermoFisher Scientific, No. 10000) and brains were extracted 213 and immersion-fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for at least 10 days. Following fixa-214 tion, the entirety of the brain was sectioned at approximately 3 mm intervals in the coronal 215 plane. Brain regions were selected for histologic evaluation based on gross tissue compar-216 ison to MRI locations of FUS targets. Coronal tissue sections included the FUS target and 217 all tissue dorsal to this region (to evaluate for potential cortical effects from skull heating 218 and any effects within the FUS beam path). Additional tissue sections at distances of +/-219 3 mm from FUS targets were evaluated histologically (Fig. 2(c)). Tissue sections were 220 also evaluated from contralateral, untreated hemispheres of acute and delayed FUS groups 221 (internal controls). In control sheep, tissue sections were taken from the left and right 222

hemispheres in locations anatomically similar to the FUS group. Formalin-fixed tissues 223 were processed routinely, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 μ m, and stained with H&E. 224 Slides were blindly reviewed by a board-certified veterinary pathologist (KMC). Particular 225 attention was paid to the presence or absence of hemorrhage, as well as pre-mortem tissue 226 responses to damage (*i.e.*, necrosis, red blood cell engulfment (erythrophagocytosis), and 227 intracellular red blood cell breakdown (hemosiderin-laden macrophages)). Additionally, 228 terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP-biotin nick end labeling (TUNEL) 229 staining (ApopTag kit; Millipore, Temecula, CA) was performed according to manufac-230 turer's instruction on tissue sections corresponding to locations receiving the highest num-231 ber of MR-ARFI repetitions from sheep in the high dose group. 232

233 Hydrophone measurements

Ex vivo skull caps from each sheep were degassed and placed in front of the focused ultrasound transducer array in a tank with degassed water. A fiberoptic hydrophone was positioned at the ultrasound focus to measure peak negative pressure transmitted through each skull cap to obtain an *in situ* intensity estimate for each acoustic power level applied *in vivo* (Precision Acoustics, Dorset, UK).

239 **Results**

240 Rhesus macaque study

241 Histopathology

Post-mortem examination of the extracted brain tissue did not reveal any macroscopic damage. A total of 12 H&E slides of brain tissue were evaluated: six slides, sampling left and right hemispheres, from two macaques. Histologic evaluation of tissue containing the focused ultrasound beam path from the four targeted locations did not show any evidence of damage in either macaque (Fig. S1). Specifically evaluated parameters included necrosis, apoptosis, edema, hemorrhage, inflammation, and neuropil rarefaction. Red blood cell
extravasation could not be evaluated as these animals were perfused (*i.e.*, exsanguinated)
prior to histologic examination.

250 Sheep study

Estimates of *in situ* ultrasound intensity were obtained based on hydrophone measurements of pressure transmitted through each *ex vivo* skull cap. The acoustic power levels applied during the study corresponded to *in situ* peak pressure estimates of 1.7-3.6 MPa for MR-ARFI (Fig. 4(b)) and 0.25-0.9 MPa for neuromodulation (Fig. 4(e)), and *in situ* I_{SPTA} estimates ranging from 5.6-26.5 W/cm² for MR-ARFI (Fig. 4(c)) and 0.6-13.8 W/cm² for neuromodulation (Fig. 4(f)).

The number of FUS bursts applied to each location are stratified by the estimated 257 in situ peak pressure and intensity of the sonication as shown in Fig. 5 for MR-ARFI 258 and neuromodulation. Observations at multiple locations of the same number of bursts 259 and estimated pressure or intensity are indicated by the color scale. High peak pressure 260 values for MR-ARFI sonications were applied for short durations of 16 ms within the pulse 261 repetition period, resulting in temporal average intensities that were similar to or slightly 262 higher than the neuromodulation I_{SPTA} estimates, despite much lower neuromodulation 263 peak pressures. In all sheep, transcranial FUS was confirmed by visualization of the focal 264 spot by MR-ARFI with targeting to at least one subcortical location (Fig 6). 265

266 Histopathology

Overall, a total of 183 H&E slides of brain tissue from 13 sheep were evaluated for histologic damage. Of these, 128/183 received direct FUS exposure (sampled at the focal spot location and/or 3 mm rostral/caudal), 19/183 were internal controls (*i.e.*, contralateral hemisphere to that which received FUS), and 36/183 were experimental controls (*i.e.*, no FUS to either hemisphere). Overall, no FUS-related pre-mortem histologic findings were noted in any of the examined slides. Figure 7 summarizes the frequency of post-mortem histologic findings across study groups. The presence of each finding is reported for each hemisphere, where green boxes outline hemispheres that received FUS. The color scale represents the percentage of H&E slides that were positive for each histologic feature.

Histologic findings were limited to post-mortem red blood cell extravasation (meningeal 276 or parenchymal) following brain extraction. Red blood cell extravasation was never ob-277 served at the precise sites of FUS targets. When present, parenchymal post-mortem red 278 blood cell extravasations were randomly distributed within tissues distant to the FUS 279 target. The number of incidences (foci) of scattered red blood cell extravasation in the 280 parenchyma was quantified for each tissue section (Fig. 8). Our results suggest the rate 281 of parenchymal red blood cell extravasation did not increase with FUS, but equivalence 282 tests between FUS and control sections were not statistically significant. We performed a 283 cluster-adjusted logistic regression and found the risk of red blood cell extravasation in the 284 meninges is equivalent within +/- 10% with p<0.05 between FUS treated and untreated 285 tissue sections. 286

287 Acute FUS group

Histologically, sheep euthanized less than 24 hours (n=2) following MRI and FUS ex-288 hibited red blood cell extravasation within the meninges (2/2) as well as rare perivascular 289 red blood cells within neural parenchyma (2/2), regardless of hemispheric location (left vs 290 right) and FUS application (Fig. 9(a,b,h,i)). No concurrent pre-mortem histologic find-291 ings (i.e., necrosis, red blood cell engulfment (erythrophagocytosis), and intracellular red 292 blood cell breakdown (hemosiderin-laden macrophages)) were noted in areas of red blood 293 cell extravasation. However, acute hemorrhage can be histologically indistinguishable 294 from post-mortem red blood cell extravasation (Finnie, 2016). Thus, a delayed euthana-295

sia timepoint was established to confirm that red blood cell extravasation was indeed a
 post-mortem tissue extraction artifact rather than true pre-mortem hemorrhage.

298 Delayed FUS group

In order to confirm that extravascular red blood cells seen in the acute FUS group re-299 flected artifact following post-mortem tissue extraction, a delayed euthanasia timepoint 300 was established (4- to 7-days post-FUS). In general, approximately 2- to 4-days follow-301 ing meningeal (or subarachnoid) hemorrhage, a normal response to hemorrhage should 302 include erythrophagocytosis, while hemosiderin-laden macrophages are typically seen 303 around 6- to 7-days post-hemorrhage (Finnie, 2016; Rao et al., 2016). In our study, sheep 304 euthanized 96-168 following MRI and FUS exhibited extravascular red blood cells within 305 the meninges (3/3) and rare extravascular red blood cells within neural parenchyma (2/3), 306 regardless of hemispheric location (left vs right) and FUS application (Fig. 9(c,d,j,k)). 307 Furthermore, at 96-168 hours following FUS, there was still no evidence of concurrent 308 histologic abnormalities (such as those listed above) in regions of red blood cell extrava-309 sation. 310

311 Repeated FUS group

Tissue from sheep treated with FUS over multiple days exhibited extravascular red blood cells within the meninges (3/3) similar to the other groups. Occasional perivascular red blood cells were observed bilaterally within the neural parenchyma for one sheep (sheep 10; Fig. 9(e,l)). No other concurrent pre-mortem histologic findings (*i.e.*, necrosis, macrophage infiltration, red blood cell engulfment (erythrophagocytosis), and intracellular red blood cell breakdown (hemosiderin-laden macrophages)) were observed.

318 High dose FUS group

Sheep in the high dose group received prolonged consecutive MR-ARFI sonication to 319 a single location on the last day of study, with the total number of MR-ARFI applications 320 at the high dose location (25, 44, and 70 repetitions for sheep 11, 12, and 13, respectively) 321 greatly exceeding the highest number of repetitions applied within the other FUS groups 322 (8 repetitions for sheep 10). Neuromodulation sonications were similar to those applied 323 in the other FUS groups. As with sheep in other groups, extravascular red blood cells 324 were noted in the meninges (3/3) and rarely in parenchyma (3/3) (Fig. 9(f,m)). No other 325 histologic findings accompanied extravascular red blood cells. Additionally, no histologic 326 findings were observed at the high dose location or other locations targeted with FUS in 327 any sheep. TUNEL results confirm no evidence of apoptosis at the high dose location for 328 all three sheep (Fig. S2). 329

330 Control group

Control animals that only underwent the MRI procedure (*i.e.*, no FUS) also exhibited red blood cell extravasation within the meninges (2/2) and rarely within neural parenchyma (2/2) (Fig. 9(g,n)). As with sheep that underwent FUS, no evidence of concurrent premortem histologic findings (*i.e.*, necrosis, macrophage infiltration, red blood cell engulfment (erythrophagocytosis), and intracellular red blood cell breakdown (hemosiderinladen macrophages)) was observed in areas of red blood cell extravasation.

337 Discussion

The results of this study suggest that the transcranial MR-ARFI and neuromodulation FUS protocols evaluated did not result in histologic tissue damage. No histologic abnormalities were observed at the site of FUS targets in either rhesus macaques or sheep, although post-mortem parenchymal red blood cell extravasation was observed in other
brain regions of sheep tissue sections (*i.e.*, away from the focal spot).

Histologic findings were similar in both FUS treated and untreated hemispheres, as 343 well as in control groups. Tissue sections from all sheep exhibited red blood cell ex-344 travasation in the meninges regardless of FUS application, treated hemisphere, or survival 345 time (Fig 7). Through the process of post-mortem skull removal, meningeal blood ves-346 sels (e.g., dural) are frequently ruptured resulting in the observed meningeal red blood 347 cell extravasation. Furthermore, vibrations during extraction are strong enough to result 348 in rare extravasations of red blood cells from parenchymal vessels. Multiple sections from 349 both FUS (treated and untreated hemispheres) and control groups exhibited perivascular 350 red blood cell extravasation in cortical tissue regions separate from those identified as 351 FUS targets (Fig 8). No macrophage infiltration, erythrophagocytosis, hemosiderin-laden 352 macrophages, tissue necrosis, or other indicators of tissue reactivity to damage were ob-353 served (Fig. 7), confirming post-mortem artifact. 354

Selecting appropriate euthanasia time points is crucial to interpreting histologic find-355 ings. At time points less than 24 hours, true small volume hemorrhage can be indis-356 tinguishable from tissue damage incurred during post-mortem brain extraction (Maxie, 357 2007). Following 72 hours, true pre-mortem hemorrhage should exhibit concurrent macrophage 358 infiltration, erythrophagocytosis, and/or hemosiderin-laden macrophages (Rao et al., 2016). 359 The absence of this expected tissue reactivity within our sheep cohort confirm that meningeal 360 and extravascular red blood cells seen across both hemispheres and experimental groups 361 were artifact due to post-mortem tissue extraction. 362

We evaluated *in situ* intensities similar to and slightly higher than previously reported I_{SPTA} values of up to 4.4 W/cm² in humans, 9.5 W/cm² in macaques, and 6.7 W/cm² in sheep (Lee et al., 2016a; Verhagen et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2016c). The study in sheep reported microhemorrhage on H&E-stained tissue following 500 or more bursts of neu³⁶⁷ romodulation (300 ms long burst duration repeated in 1 second intervals at 50% duty ³⁶⁸ cycle) at 3.3-5.7 W/cm², but not at 6.7 W/cm² I_{SPTA}. Of fifteen publications assessing ³⁶⁹ histology after neuromodulation, this was the only one to report abnormal findings, as ³⁷⁰ summarized in a recent review of the ultrasound neuromodulation literature (Blackmore ³⁷¹ et al., 2019). However, because these foci of microhemorrhage were identified 4-64 days ³⁷² following treatment, with an absence of concurrent parenchymal reaction, we speculate ³⁷³ that this finding may in fact be a post-mortem artifact.

In our study, repeated FUS neuromodulation and MR-ARFI sonications to the same fo-374 cal spot location, either within one session or on multiple days, at various intensity levels, 375 were not accompanied by histologic damage. We evaluated histology following a similar 376 neuromodulation FUS protocol as Lee et al. In macaques, there was no tissue damage fol-377 lowing 500 bursts at tissue locations receiving intensities of 0.4, 1.6, 6.4, and 25.8 W/cm² 378 I_{SPTA}. Sonications of between 240 and 4800 bursts per location at intensity levels ranging 379 from 0.6 and 13.8 W/cm² I_{SPTA} did not result in pre-mortem damage in sheep. Further-380 more, we evaluated histology from locations receiving between 128 and 8192 MR-ARFI 381 bursts at a given intensity level, ranging from 5.6 and 26.5 W/cm² I_{SPTA}, and found no 382 pre-mortem damage from either H&E- or TUNEL-stained tissue. One limitation of this 383 study is that we did not detect tissue damage with either MR-ARFI or neuromodulation 384 FUS. 385

Skull bone absorbs and dephases ultrasound which introduces a risk of cortical heating, and has been demonstrated to contribute to variations in FUS treatment across patients (Vyas et al., 2016). In our study, hydrophone measurements through *ex vivo* sheep skull caps resulted in a range of estimated *in situ* intensities, even when similar acoustic power levels were applied (Fig 4). Particular attention has been paid to thermal rise during neuromodulation, and a recent retrospective study has reported a simulated cortical temperature rise of 7°C caused by skull heating during preclinical neuromodulation (Constans

et al., 2018). Several contemporary neuromodulation studies in humans have included 393 assessments that no significant temperature rise in the brain is expected from skull heat-394 ing with their protocols (Legon et al., 2014; Mueller et al., 2016; Ai et al., 2018; Legon 395 et al., 2018a; Verhagen et al., 2019; Attali et al., 2019). We did not observe signs of cor-396 tical tissue damage due to skull heating in the rhesus macaque or sheep studies. Prior to 397 treatment, simulations could be used to optimize FUS parameters to achieve a desired in 398 situ intensity, and reduce the risk of tissue heating near bone (Mueller et al., 2016, 2017; 399 Constans et al., 2018). 400

401 Conclusions

The transcranial focused ultrasound protocols and equipment tested here did not result 402 in pre-mortem tissue damage in rhesus macaques or sheep. Our study examined a range of 403 experimental parameters including number of focal spot locations, number of FUS bursts 404 applied to each spot, timing between FUS sessions, and applied acoustic intensity, ex-405 ceeding the levels previously evaluated in other studies. Furthermore, we demonstrate that 406 extravascular red blood cells may occur in extracted tissue whether or not focused ultra-407 sound is applied. Results underscore the importance of selecting appropriate euthanasia 408 timepoints and including experimental controls when interpreting histologic findings. 409

410 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Karla and Kevin Epperson for help with MRI, Benjamin Franco for help with sheep, Rachelle Bitton for help with MR-ARFI, Patrick Ye for help with hydrophone measurements, Jarrett Rosenberg for help with analyses, Megan Albertelli for help with rhesus macaques, Adrienne Mueller for rhesus macaque perfusions and tissue harvesting, Elias Godoy for sheep tissue harvesting, the Stanford Comparative

- ⁴¹⁶ Medicine Animal Histology Service Center for slide processing, and Insightec Ltd. for
- research support. This work was supported by NIH T32 EB009653, T32 CA009695, R01
- ⁴¹⁸ MH111825, R01 EB019005, K99 NS100986.

419 **References**

420	Ai L, Bansal P, Mueller JK, Legon W. Effects of transcranial focused ultrasound on human
421	primary motor cortex using 7T fMRI: a pilot study. BMC neuroscience, 2018;19:56.
422	Attali D, Houdouin A, Tanter M, Aubry JF. Thermal safety of transcranial focused simula-
423	tion in human: retrospective numerical estimation of thermal rise in cortical and subcor-
424	tical simulation setups. In: 19th International Symposium on Therapeutic Ultrasound,
425	Barcelona, 2019.
426	Auboiroux V, Viallon M, Roland J, Hyacinthe JN, Petrusca L, Morel DR, Goget T, Terraz
427	S, Gross P, Becker CD, et al. ARFI-prepared MRgHIFU in liver: Simultaneous map-
428	ping of ARFI-displacement and temperature elevation, using a fast GRE-EPI sequence.
429	Magnetic resonance in medicine, 2012;68:932–946.
430	Bitton RR, Kaye E, Dirbas FM, Daniel BL, Pauly KB. Toward MR-guided high intensity
431	focused ultrasound for presurgical localization: Focused ultrasound lesions in cadaveric
432	breast tissue. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging, 2012;35:1089-1097.
433	Blackmore J, Shrivastava S, Sallet J, Butler CR, Cleveland RO. Ultrasound neuromodu-
434	lation: A review of results, mechanisms and safety. Ultrasound in medicine & biology,
435	2019.
436	Chaplin V, Phipps M, Jonathan S, Grissom W, Yang P, Chen L, Caskey C. On the accuracy
437	of optically tracked transducers for image-guided transcranial ultrasound. International
438	journal of computer assisted radiology and surgery, 2019:1-11.
439	Constans C, Mateo P, Tanter M, Aubry JF. Potential impact of thermal effects during ul-

trasonic neurostimulation: retrospective numerical estimation of temperature elevation

in seven rodent setups. Physics in Medicine & Biology, 2018;63:025003.

⁴⁴² Dallapiazza RF, Timbie KF, Holmberg S, Gatesman J, Lopes MB, Price RJ, Miller GW,

- ⁴⁴⁵ Deffieux T, Younan Y, Wattiez N, Tanter M, Pouget P, Aubry JF. Low-intensity focused
 ⁴⁴⁶ ultrasound modulates monkey visuomotor behavior. Current Biology, 2013;23:2430–
 ⁴⁴⁷ 2433.
- ⁴⁴⁸ Finnie JW. Forensic pathology of traumatic brain injury. Veterinary pathology, ⁴⁴⁹ 2016;53:962–978.

Fomenko A, Neudorfer C, Dallapiazza RF, Kalia SK, Lozano AM. Low-intensity ultra sound neuromodulation: an overview of mechanisms and emerging human applications.
 Brain stimulation, 2018.

Hameroff S, Trakas M, Duffield C, Annabi E, Gerace MB, Boyle P, Lucas A, Amos Q,
Buadu A, Badal JJ. Transcranial ultrasound (tus) effects on mental states: a pilot study.
Brain stimulation, 2013;6:409–415.

- Holbrook AB, Ghanouni P, Santos JM, Medan Y, Butts Pauly K. In vivo mr acoustic
- radiation force imaging in the porcine liver. Medical physics, 2011;38:5081–5089.

Holbrook AB, Santos JM, Kaye E, Rieke V, Pauly KB. Real-time MR thermometry for
monitoring HIFU ablations of the liver. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2010;63:365–
373.

⁴⁶¹ Huang Y, Curiel L, Kukic A, Plewes DB, Chopra R, Hynynen K. Mr acoustic radiation
⁴⁶² force imaging: in vivo comparison to ultrasound motion tracking. Medical physics,
⁴⁶³ 2009;36:2016–2020.

Elias WJ. Noninvasive neuromodulation and thalamic mapping with low-intensity focused ultrasound. Journal of neurosurgery, 2017:1–10.

Kubanek J. Neuromodulation with transcranial focused ultrasound. Neurosurgical focus,
2018;44:E14.

Larrat B, Pernot M, Aubry JF, Dervishi E, Sinkus R, Seilhean D, Marie Y, Boch AL, Fink

M, Tanter M. MR-guided transcranial brain HIFU in small animal models. Physics in
 medicine & biology, 2009;55:365.

- Lee W, Chung YA, Jung Y, Song IU, Yoo SS. Simultaneous acoustic stimulation of human
- primary and secondary somatosensory cortices using transcranial focused ultrasound.
 BMC neuroscience, 2016a;17:68.

Lee W, Kim H, Jung Y, Song IU, Chung YA, Yoo SS. Image-guided transcranial focused ultrasound stimulates human primary somatosensory cortex. Scientific reports,
2015;5:8743.

Lee W, Kim HC, Jung Y, Chung YA, Song IU, Lee JH, Yoo SS. Transcranial focused ul trasound stimulation of human primary visual cortex. Scientific reports, 2016b;6:34026.

⁴⁷⁷ Lee W, Lee SD, Park MY, Foley L, Purcell-Estabrook E, Kim H, Fischer K, Maeng LS,

478 Yoo SS. Image-guided focused ultrasound-mediated regional brain stimulation in sheep.

⁴⁷⁹ Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology, 2016c;42:459–470.

Legon W, Ai L, Bansal P, Mueller JK. Neuromodulation with single-element transcranial
focused ultrasound in human thalamus. Human brain mapping, 2018a;39:1995–2006.

Legon W, Bansal P, Tyshynsky R, Ai L, Mueller JK. Transcranial focused ultrasound neuromodulation of the human primary motor cortex. Scientific Reports, 2018b;8:10007.

Legon W, Sato TF, Opitz A, Mueller J, Barbour A, Williams A, Tyler WJ. Transcranial

focused ultrasound modulates the activity of primary somatosensory cortex in humans.

⁴⁸⁶ Nature neuroscience, 2014;17:322.

⁴⁸⁷ Lipsman N, Mainprize TG, Schwartz ML, Hynynen K, Lozano AM. Intracranial
 ⁴⁸⁸ applications of magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound. Neurotherapeutics,
 ⁴⁸⁹ 2014;11:593–605.

- ⁴⁹⁰ Marsac L, Chauvet D, Larrat B, Pernot M, Robert B, Fink M, Boch AL, Aubry JF, Tanter
- ⁴⁹¹ M. Mr-guided adaptive focusing of therapeutic ultrasound beams in the human head.
- ⁴⁹² Medical physics, 2012;39:1141–1149.
- Martin E, Werner B. Focused ultrasound surgery of the brain. Current Radiology Reports,
 2013;1:126–135.
- Maxie MG. Jubb, Kennedy & Palmer's pathology of domestic animals. 5th Edition. Else vier, Edinburgh, 2007. p. 300.
- ⁴⁹⁷ McDannold N, Maier SE. Magnetic resonance acoustic radiation force imaging. Medical
 ⁴⁹⁸ physics, 2008;35:3748–3758.
- Mohammadjavadi M, Gaur P, Kubanek J, Popelka G, Pauly KB. Transcranial focused
 ultrasound neuromodulation of the visual system in a large animal (sheep). In: 19th
 International Symposium on Therapeutic Ultrasound, Barcelona, 2019.
- Monti MM, Schnakers C, Korb AS, Bystritsky A, Vespa PM. Non-invasive ultrasonic tha lamic stimulation in disorders of consciousness after severe brain injury: a first-in-man
 report. Brain Stimul, 2016;9:940–941.
- ⁵⁰⁵ Mueller JK, Ai L, Bansal P, Legon W. Computational exploration of wave propagation ⁵⁰⁶ and heating from transcranial focused ultrasound for neuromodulation. Journal of neural ⁵⁰⁷ engineering, 2016;13:056002.

- Mueller JK, Ai L, Bansal P, Legon W. Numerical evaluation of the skull for human
 neuromodulation with transcranial focused ultrasound. Journal of neural engineering,
 2017;14:066012.
- Naor O, Krupa S, Shoham S. Ultrasonic neuromodulation. Journal of neural engineering,
 2016;13:031003.
- ⁵¹³ Rao MG, Singh D, Vashista RK, Sharma SK. Dating of acute and subacute subdural haem-
- orrhage: a histo-pathological study. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR,
 2016;10:HC01.
- Tyler WJ, Lani SW, Hwang GM. Ultrasonic modulation of neural circuit activity. Current
 opinion in neurobiology, 2018;50:222–231.
- Verhagen L, Gallea C, Folloni D, Constans C, Jensen DE, Ahnine H, Roumazeilles L,
 Santin M, Ahmed B, Lehericy S, et al. Offline impact of transcranial focused ultrasound
- on cortical activation in primates. Elife, 2019;8:e40541.
- ⁵²¹ Vyas U, Ghanouni P, Halpern CH, Elias J, Pauly KB. Predicting variation in subject
 thermal response during transcranial magnetic resonance guided focused ultrasound
 ⁵²³ surgery: Comparison in seventeen subject datasets. Medical physics, 2016;43:5170–
 ⁵²⁴ 5180.
- ⁵²⁵ Vyas U, Kaye E, Pauly KB. Transcranial phase aberration correction using beam simula ⁵²⁶ tions and mr-arfi. Medical physics, 2014;41.



Figure 1: Summary of rhesus macaque study parameters. (a) Inclusion characteristics, survival time, and number of histologic samples evaluated for left (L) and right (R) hemispheres. (b) Illustration of rhesus macaque transducer positioning and (c) grid of focused ultrasound sonication in the visual cortex, where each location corresponds to estimated *in situ* spatial peak-temporal average intensity (I_{SPTA}) values of 0.4, 1.6, 6.4, and 25.8 W/cm², applied in short bursts. Vertical spacing between FUS targets was 10 mm (NHP-1) and 15 mm (NHP-2), and horizontal spacing was 15 mm (NHP-1) and 20 mm (NHP-2). The lower two target locations (1.6 and 25.8 W/cm² I_{SPTA}) were placed 2 mm above the inion. Three coronal histologic sections were obtained from each hemisphere of the visual cortex (approximate locations shown by red planes). The first histology plane was located near the cortical surface, the second at a depth of approximately 4 mm, and the third at a depth of approximately 20 mm. (d) Illustration of neuromodulation protocol comprising 500 FUS bursts.



Figure 2: Summary of sheep study parameters. (a) Sheep inclusion characteristics. The two sheep in the control group underwent MRI and anesthesia but no FUS. The eleven sheep that underwent FUS were subdivided into acute (euthanized zero days after FUS study), delayed (euthanized four to seven days after FUS study), repeated (underwent multiple FUS sessions, and euthanized four days after the last FUS study), and high dose groups (underwent prolonged MR-ARFI applications at one location on the last day of study). Days of survival following the first (left-most) and subsequent days of study are reported in split columns where applicable, for MRI without FUS (unshaded cells) and MRI with FUS sessions (shaded cells). The number of evaluated histologic sections is directly related to the number of FUS targets per sheep. (b) Sheep transducer positioning and (c) exemplary focused ultrasound sonication locations (6 locations shown; red circles) shown on axial T2-weighted MRI (cropped to show detail). Histologic sections were obtained from each location targeted with focused ultrasound and additionally from planes approximately 3 mm rostral and caudal to targeted locations (18 sections shown; dashed lines). Illustration of (d) MR-ARFI focal spot localization and (e-g) neuromodulation FUS protocols. Protocols comprised (d) 128, (e) 120 and (f-g) 600 FUS bursts.



Figure 3: *In vivo* sheep study parameters. FUS applied acoustic power over time for each animal. Timing spans the total MRI and FUS session. Each cell represents a one minute interval, with color coding to indicate non-zero FUS acoustic powers. Empty cells indicate no FUS.



Figure 4: *In vivo* sheep study parameters. (a,d) Range of applied acoustic powers and estimated *in situ* (b,e) peak pressure and (c,f) spatial peak temporal average intensity for MR-ARFI and neuromodulation, respectively. Total number of FUS bursts applied to each (g) MR-ARFI and (h) neuromodulation location, where animal number is reported below each bar cluster. Individual bars represent unique sonication locations, and bar height indicates number of FUS bursts delivered to that location.



Figure 5: Distribution of the number of FUS bursts applied to each location with respect to the estimated *in situ* (a) peak pressure and (b) intensity of each sonication. MR-ARFI sonications (circles) were estimated to have *in situ* peak pressure between 1.7 and 3.6 MPa, which, due to the short 16 ms sonication times, corresponded to between 5.6 and 26.5 W/cm² I_{SPTA} . Neuromodulation sonications (triangles) were estimated to have peak *in situ* pressure between 0.25 and 0.9 MPa, corresponding to 0.6 and 13.8 W/cm² I_{SPTA} . The color scale indicates the number of locations at which each combination of *in situ* pressure or intensity and number of FUS bursts was observed. Blue rectangles indicate the range of parameters reported in human neuromodulation studies.



Figure 6: Focal spot targeting and visualization. (a) Prescribed focal spot is indicated by red cross hairs drawn on T2-weighted MRI. (b) Tissue displacement at the focal spot is shown as an overlay on the MR-ARFI magnitude image. Stray pixels in the displacement map outside the brain are artifact due to slight changes between two MR-ARFI acquisitions.



Figure 7: Prevalence of histologic findings within *in vivo* sheep study. The percentage of sections in which histologic findings were observed are reported for each animal by hemisphere (L and R; animal number listed at the top of each column). The number of histologic sections evaluated are reproduced from Fig. 2(a) for convenience. Green boxes indicate hemispheres where focused ultrasound was applied (all other boxes are internal controls or experimental controls). Meningeal and rare perivascular red blood cell extravasation were common histologic findings across all study groups, independent of whether any FUS was applied or which hemisphere was sonicated (in the case of FUS application). Necrosis, macrophage infiltration, red blood cell engulfment (erythrophagocytosis), and intracellular red blood cell breakdown (hemosiderin-laden macrophages), which would be expected to accompany true pre-mortem tissue damage, were not observed.



Figure 8: Summary of parenchymal red blood cell extravasation foci in H&E-stained sheep brain tissue slides. The number of foci per slide are shown for tissue taken from hemispheres without FUS (blue dots) and hemispheres with FUS (yellow dots) for each study group where applicable. Bars indicate mean and standard error.



Figure 9: Post-mortem perivascular and meningeal red blood cell extravasation does not differ across sheep treatment groups. Randomly scattered small volumes of extravasated red blood cells (black arrows) were identified adjacent to blood vessels within the neural parenchyma (a-g) and throughout the meninges (h-n) regardless of ultrasound exposure. Black outlines indicate blood vessel walls and delineate intravascular from extravascular red blood cells. No red blood cell extravasation was observed at parenchymal locations targeted with FUS. No associated pre-mortem tissue reactions (*i.e.*, red blood cell engulfment (ery-throphagocytosis), red blood cell breakdown (hemosiderosis), necrosis, or edema) were identified in any of the examined sections. Hematoxylin and eosin, scale bar = 50 μ m.



Figure S1: Histologic findings within *in vivo* NHP study. No histologic lesions were identified in NHP-1 (ad) or NHP-2 (e-h). Representative normal cortical tissue is shown from FUS targeted regions corresponding to those shown in Figure 1. Hematoxylin and eosin, scale bar = 50 μ m.



Figure S2: TUNEL staining in sheep in the high dose FUS group. Sheep 11 (a), 12 (b), and 13 (c) were TUNEL-negative at the targeted site of prolonged MR-ARFI repetitions. Figure d demonstrates apoptotic dark-brown, nuclear TUNEL-positivity (arrows) within the small intestinal epithelium of mice having undergone irradiation. TUNEL, scale bar = 50 μ m.