1	Fatty acid oxidation impairs macrophage effector functions that control Mycobacterium
2	tuberculosis
3	
4	Pallavi Chandra ^{1,2} , Li He ^{3, 4} , Matthew Zimmerman ⁵ , Guozhe Yang ^{1,2} , Stefan Köster ^{6,#} , Mireille
5	Ouimet ^{7,†} , Han Wang ⁵ , Kathyrn J. Moore ⁷ , Véronique Dartois ⁵ , Joel D. Schilling ^{3, 4} , and Jennifer
6	A. Philips ^{1,2*}
7	
8	
9	¹ Division of Infectious Diseases, Dept. of Medicine; ² Dept. of Molecular Microbiology; ³ Dept.
10	of Pathology and Immunology; ⁴ Cardiovascular Division, Dept. of Medicine; Washington
11	University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110; ⁵ Center for Discovery and Innovation,
12	Hackensack Meridian Health, Nutley, NJ 07003; ⁶ Division of Infectious Diseases and
13	Immunology, Department of Medicine, New York University Medical Center, New York, NY,
14	10016, USA. ⁷ Marc and Ruti Bell Vascular Biology and Disease Program, Leon H. Charney
15	Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, New York University Medical Center, New
16	York, NY, 10016, USA.
17	
18	[#] Current affiliation: Sanofi NA BT Lab, 270 Albany St, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02139
19	[†] Current affiliation: Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology, and Immunology, University of
20	Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
21	
22	*Correspondence: philips.j.a@wustl.edu
23	

24 SUMMARY

25 Macrophage activation involves metabolic reprogramming to support antimicrobial cellular 26 functions. How these metabolic shifts influence the outcome of infection by intracellular pathogens 27 remains incompletely understood. M. tuberculosis (Mtb) modulates host metabolic pathways and 28 utilizes host nutrients, including cholesterol and fatty acids, to survive within macrophages. We 29 found that intracellular growth of Mtb depends on host fatty acid catabolism: when host fatty acid 30 β -oxidation (FAO) was blocked chemically with trimetazidine, a compound in clinical use, or 31 genetically by deletion of the mitochondrial fatty acid transporter carnitine palmitoyltransferase 2 32 (CPT2), Mtb failed to grow in macrophages and its growth was attenuated in mice. Global 33 metabolic profiling and mechanistic studies support a model in which inhibition of FAO generates 34 mitochondrial reactive oxygen species, which enhance macrophage NADPH oxidase and 35 xenophagy activity to better control Mtb infection. Thus, FAO inhibition promotes key 36 antimicrobial functions of macrophages and overcomes immune evasion mechanisms of Mtb.

37

38 INTRODUCTION

39 Macrophages are at the forefront of innate immune defense and are required for microbial killing, 40 tissue homeostasis and repair, inflammation, and development. A wealth of scientific studies 41 describes how these cells recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), which 42 activate downstream signaling cascades to drive pro-inflammatory responses and resolve 43 infection. Macrophage activation involves profound metabolic reprogramming to support specific 44 phenotypic functions. Exposure of macrophages to stimuli such as IFN-y and LPS induces an 45 inflammatory phenotype characterized by enhanced glycolytic metabolism and impaired oxidative 46 phosphorylation (OXPHOS), similar to the Warburg effect described for cancer cells. Glucose 47 catabolism provides a rapid means to generate ATP, and boosts the pentose phosphate pathway 48 (PPP) and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle for the generation of important immuno-metabolites 49 such as NADPH, itaconate, and prostaglandins (Galván-Peña and O'Neill, 2014; Kelly and O'Neill, 50 2015; Van den Bossche et al., 2017). On the other hand, cytokines such as IL-4 induce an anti-51 inflammatory phenotype in macrophages important for tissue homeostasis and anti-parasitic 52 responses. These alternatively activated macrophages have increased FAO and OXPHOS (Galván-53 Peña and O'Neill, 2014). The majority of studies on macrophage metabolism focus on IFNy/LPS-54 and IL-4-induced states, although accumulating evidence suggests that macrophage polarization 55 states are multi-dimensional (Xue et al., 2014). The metabolic characterization of more diverse 56 macrophage phenotypes, and their impact on antimicrobial capacity in the context of specific 57 infections is largely unexplored.

58

59 Recent studies also highlight a link between Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) pathogenesis and 60 host metabolism. Mtb is the causative agent of tuberculosis (TB), which kills more people yearly 61 than any other infection. Macrophages are a main cellular niche of Mtb (Cohen et al., 2018; Wolf 62 et al., 2007). Within the lung, alveolar (AM) and interstitial (IM) macrophages are the major 63 populations of infected macrophages, and they have distinct metabolic profiles. AMs, which 64 preferentially utilize FAO, represent a permissive niche for Mtb replication, whereas glycolytically 65 active IMs restrict infection (Huang et al., 2018). Mtb alters macrophage metabolism along with 66 shifting macrophage phenotype to a more pro-inflammatory state (Arts et al., 2016; Cumming et 67 al., 2018; Gleeson et al., 2016; Ouimet et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2015). Mtb enhances the dependency 68 of mitochondrial oxidative metabolism on fatty acids, in particular exogenous fatty acids, and 69 induces the formation of lipid-droplet-filled or "foamy" macrophages (Cumming et al., 2018;

70 Peyron et al., 2008a; Russell et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2012). Foamy macrophages are found within 71 the inner layers of granulomas, a common histopathologic feature of TB, and the bacilli themselves 72 can be found in close approximation to intracellular lipid droplets. It is thought that lipid bodies 73 serve as a source of nutrients in the form of cholesterol esters and fatty acids for the bacilli, thus 74 providing a hospitable niche for the bacterium (Brzostek et al., 2009; Daniel et al., 2011; Marrero 75 et al., 2010; Munoz-Elias and McKinney, 2005; Pandey and Sassetti, 2008; Peyron et al., 2008b; 76 Singh et al., 2012). Moreover, a growing body of literature suggests a link between lipid 77 metabolism and cellular control of Mtb. A number of host-directed therapies (HDTs) under 78 investigation for TB, such as statins and metformin, modulate host lipid metabolism (Parihar et 79 al., 2014; Singhal et al., 2014). However, how these metabolic shifts influence the outcome of Mtb 80 infection remains incompletely understood.

81

82 Cellular regulators of lipid metabolism such as microRNA-33 (miR-33) and the transcription 83 factors PPAR-α and PPAR-γ play a role in the formation of Mtb-induced lipid droplets. Studies in 84 which miR-33, PPAR- α , and PPAR- γ were modulated revealed a correlation between cellular 85 lipids and intracellular survival of mycobacteria, such that increased intracellular lipids were 86 associated with enhanced bacterial replication (Almeida et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2017; Ouimet et 87 al., 2016). These studies led to the idea that impaired host fatty acid catabolism and the formation 88 of foamy macrophages serves to enhance intracellular survival of Mtb, but direct evidence is 89 lacking. Indeed, miR-33, PPAR-a, and PPAR-y impact diverse aspects of host biology that 90 influence the antimicrobial capacity of macrophages, including mitochondrial function and 91 autophagy, and a causal link between the lipid changes they induce and the survival benefit to the 92 bacilli has not been clearly established. Previously, we showed that induction of miR-33/33* in

93 response to Mtb enhances intracellular survival of Mtb (Ouimet et al., 2016). We showed that this pro-bacterial function of miR-33/33* was related in part to its ability to block autophagy, and we 94 95 speculated that its ability to block fatty acid catabolism and promote the formation of lipid droplets 96 also enhanced bacterial replication. Here, we tested whether blocking fatty acid catabolism indeed 97 promotes Mtb replication, as prevailing models predict. Instead, we found that inhibiting 98 macrophage FAO chemically or genetically restricted intracellular growth of Mtb. FAO inhibition 99 promoted a pathway of bacterial killing in which induction of mitochondrial ROS (mitoROS) lead 100 to NADPH oxidase and autophagy-dependent control of Mtb.

- 101
- 102 **RESULTS**

103 Inhibiting FAO restricts growth of intracellular Mtb

104 miR-33 inhibits FAO by targeting genes such as carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT-1) and the 105 hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase trifunctional multienzyme complex subunit beta (HADHB). 106 CPT-1 is required for the entry of long chain fatty acids into the mitochondrial matrix, while 107 HADHB catalyzes the final step of β -oxidation. Small molecule inhibitors, etomoxir (ETM), 108 oxfenicine (OXF), and trimetazidine (TMZ) also target these steps in FAO. ETM and OXF inhibit 109 CPT-1, whereas TMZ blocks the 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase activity of HADHB (Figure 1A). Thus, 110 to determine whether the inhibition of FAO conferred by miR-33 contributed to its ability to 111 enhance the intracellular survival of Mtb, we tested the effect of chemical inhibition of FAO on 112 intracellular bacterial replication. We infected murine bone marrow-derived macrophages 113 (BMDMs) with the H37Rv strain of Mtb, and four hours later, we supplemented the media with ETM, OXF, or TMZ. After treatment, we estimated intracellular Mtb growth by plating for colony 114 115 forming units (CFU) at 72 hours post infection (hpi). Unexpectedly, treatment with all three FAO

116 inhibitors restricted the intracellular growth of Mtb compared to untreated controls. We observed 117 a dose-dependent reduction in Mtb CFUs in macrophages treated with micromolar concentrations 118 of ETM (Figure 1B), while TMZ and OXF were effective at nanomolar concentrations (Figures 119 1C and D). The antitubercular activity of TMZ was corroborated using a live-dead reporter strain 120 of Mtb (Figure S1A). By comparison, metformin (MET), which has previously been shown to 121 restrict intracellular Mtb, worked at millimolar concentrations (Singhal et al., 2014) (Figures 1B-122 **D**). Using calcein fluorescent dye, we found that macrophage viability was unaffected by FAO 123 inhibitors ETM and TMZ (Figure S1B). In addition, the inhibitors did not have direct toxicity on 124 Mtb in broth culture (Figure S1C and S1D), and they enhanced macrophage control against a 125 distantly related mycobacterium, Mycobacterium abscessus (Figure S1E). Consistent with the 126 ability to impair FAO, TMZ enhanced cellular lipid accumulation based upon BODIPY staining 127 (Figure S1F). In addition, in keeping with impaired fatty acid oxidation, TMZ treated 128 macrophages had reduced oxygen consumption (Figure S1G), similar to macrophages from mice 129 that were genetically deficient in FAO due to deletion of carnitine palmitoytransferase 2 ($Cpt2^{fl/fl}$ 130 LysM-Cre⁺; *Cpt2* cKO) (Gonzalez-Hurtado et al., 2017).

131

To confirm that the anti-mycobacterial activity was indeed a result of FAO inhibition, we compared the intracellular growth of Mtb in *Cpt2* cKO macrophages to littermate controls. As shown in **Figure 1E**, Mtb was significantly impaired in *Cpt2* cKO macrophages as compared to control. Moreover, FAO inhibitors lacked activity in *Cpt2* cKO macrophages, confirming their target specificity (**Figure 1F**). To determine whether FAO inhibitors were also active against Mtb in human macrophages, we treated PMA-differentiated THP-1 cells. As we found in murine macrophages, FAO inhibitors impaired Mtb growth in THP-1 cells (**Figure 1G**). Taken together, our findings suggest that FAO inhibition enhances the ability of macrophages to control Mtb
infection. Since ETM is documented to have off-target effects (Divakaruni et al., 2018) and TMZ
was effective at nanomolar concentrations, we elected it for further study.

142

143 Inhibiting FAO restricts growth of Mtb in vivo

144 Given our *in vitro* findings, we investigated whether inhibition of FAO impacts mycobacterial 145 control in mice. We compared Mtb infection in mice with myeloid-specific knockout of Cpt2 146 (*Cpt2^{fl/fl}*LysM-Cre⁺; *Cpt2* cKO) and littermate controls. We exposed mice to Mtb by aerosol and 147 estimated lung bacterial burden after 7 days. We observed that growth of Mtb was significantly 148 reduced in Cpt2 cKO mice compared to controls (Figure 2A). To determine whether chemical 149 inhibition of FAO had antimicrobial activity in mice, we first performed pharmacokinetic (PK) 150 studies to establish a TMZ dosing strategy (Figure S2A and S2B). The half-life of TMZ in people 151 is approximately 6 hours, but in mice the half-life by oral or IV route was less than 1 hour, so we 152 used Alzet osmotic pumps to deliver a continuous dose over 2 weeks (Figure S2C). First, we 153 examined the ability of TMZ to reduce bacterial burden during acute infection. In the first study 154 we infected mice with 1000-2000 Mtb CFUs and treated them with saline or TMZ for 2 weeks. 155 We found that mice treated with 16.8 mg/kg/day TMZ experienced a 67% reduction in lung 156 bacterial burden compared to animals in the control group (Figure 2B). A significant decrease in 157 spleen CFU was also observed with 16.8 mg/kg/day TMZ treatment (Figure 2C). In a second 158 study, we infected mice with 3000 CFUs, tested a higher TMZ dose range, and included PK 159 analysis of infected animals. In this study, 16.8 mg/kg/day showed a trend towards reduced 160 bacterial growth, which was not statistically significant, while the animals receiving 50 mg/kg/day 161 experienced a 29% reduction in bacterial burden compared to saline controls (Figure 2D and 2E).

162 In this group, TMZ treatment did not reduce Mtb CFU in spleen. During acute infection, the 163 majority of Mtb bacilli are found within alveolar macrophages, suggesting that TMZ was active in 164 this cell population. As infection progresses, additional phagocytic cells, including recruited 165 monocytes, neutrophils, and dendritic cells become increasingly infected (Cohen et al., 2018; Wolf 166 et al., 2007). To test whether TMZ was active in the setting of chronic infection, we began TMZ 167 treatment 5 weeks post-infection and analyzed bacterial burden after 2 weeks of drug treatment. 168 PK analysis was performed on infected mice 5 days after start of therapy and at end of treatment. 169 In the setting of chronic infection, we found that TMZ treatment reduced bacterial burdens in the 170 lungs by 35%, and in the spleen by 23% and 43%, in the 16.8 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg groups (Figure 171 2F and 2G). TMZ serum concentrations of 130 ng/ml and 370 ng/ml were achieved at the end of 172 therapy for the 16.8 and 50 mg/kg/day groups, respectively (Figure 2H). TMZ is approved by the 173 European Medicines Agency to treat angina, and the C_{max} obtained after a single 40 mg oral dose 174 in humans is 127 ng/ml. Because there is higher serum protein binding in mice than humans 175 (Figure S2D), the level achieving a similar free drug concentration in mice would be 176 approximately 164 ng/ml. Thus, TMZ showed antimycobacterial activity at drug concentrations 177 that are used clinically. In addition, consistent with the extremely wide therapeutic index of TMZ 178 in preclinical toxicology studies (Harpey et al., 1988), no treatment related morbidity or mortality 179 was observed in any of the studies. Overall, we conclude that inhibiting host fatty acid metabolism 180 restricts mycobacterial growth in vivo.

181

182 FAO inhibition enhances metabolic changes in macrophages in response to Mtb infection

183 We turned to *in vitro* studies to assess how host fatty acid metabolism was influencing control of 184 Mtb. Given the increasing appreciation of a link between metabolism and immunity, we globally 185 profiled metabolites to assess the effect of Mtb infection alone or when macrophage FAO was 186 inhibited. Uninfected macrophages or those that had been infected for 4h were treated for 3h or 187 24h with TMZ or solvent control before profiling (Figure 3A). More than 500 metabolites were 188 detected, and in agreement with previous reports, Mtb infection caused dramatic changes in 189 macrophage metabolites (Figure 3: Figure S3; Table S1); we observed evidence of increased 190 glycolytic and pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) flux (Figure 3B), itaconate production (Figure 191 3C), ROS and reactive nitrogen intermediates (RNI) production (Figure 3DE, 3E and Figure 192 S3A), phospholipid and sphingomyelin changes (Figure S3B), and tryptophan metabolism 193 (Figure S3C). As discussed below, on its own TMZ did not significantly alter metabolic profiles 194 in uninfected macrophages, but in some cases it enhanced infection-induced changes.

195

196 We observed increases in several glycolytic intermediates (Figure 3B), which suggest augmented 197 glucose catabolism in Mtb-infected macrophages. Early after infection, glucose levels declined in 198 Mtb versus control groups, while glucose-6-phosphate and hexose diphosphates (detected as 199 isobar) accumulated. After 24h of Mtb infection, the glucose levels were comparable to uninfected 200 controls, but we observed accumulation in glycolytic intermediates such as phosphoenolpyruvate. 201 The magnitude of responses induced by infection appeared to be greater in TMZ-treated groups. 202 For instance, 24 hpi glucose-6-phosphate was increased 1.52-fold increase by Mtb in drug-exposed 203 cells and 1.12-fold in the absence of TMZ. Glucose can be shunted to the pentose phosphate 204 pathway (PPP) resulting in formation of NADPH reducing power and pentose intermediates for 205 nucleotide biosynthesis. We found that metabolites specific to the PPP, 5-phosphoribosyl 206 diphosphate (PRPP) and sedoheptulose-7-phosphate, increased over time in Mtb-infected

207 macrophages, and again, the level of these metabolites was slightly greater upon TMZ treatment
208 (Figure 3B, Table S1).

209

210 Classically activated macrophages utilize TCA cycle intermediates for anabolic process and 211 immune pathway signaling. They have a characteristic TCA cycle breakpoint in the conversion of 212 isocitrate to α -ketoglutarate. This allows production of itaconate, an antimicrobial metabolite, from 213 cis-aconitate (Figure 3C) (Lampropoulou et al., 2016; Michelucci et al., 2013; Nair et al., 2018). 214 A second TCA cycle breakpoint occurs after succinate. As expected, we observed markedly 215 increased itaconate in infected macrophages, as well as elevated succinate (Figure 3C). There was 216 no substantial difference in the infection-induced elevation in itaconate or succinate in response to 217 TMZ treatment, suggesting these metabolites did not account for the enhanced antimicrobial effect 218 of TMZ.

219

220 The global metabolomics data also showed evidence of increased oxidative stress in Mtb infected 221 in macrophages relative to uninfected controls, which appeared more pronounced in TMZ-treated 222 samples compared to untreated controls. We observed elevations in citrulline levels early after 223 infection, reflecting the activity of iNOS, which converts arginine to citrulline and NO (Figure 224 **3D**). This was accompanied by significant increases in dihydrobiopterin and biopterin (Figure 225 S3A). These compounds represent oxidized forms of tetrahydrobiopterin, a cofactor in NO 226 synthesis. Early after infection, the antioxidants glutathione and opthalmate, a compositional 227 derivative of glutathione, were increased by infection, suggesting enhanced glutathione synthetase 228 activity (Figure S3A). This was accompanied by increases in gamma-glutamyl amino acids, which 229 reflect the transfer of gamma-glutamyl moiety of glutathione to acceptor amino acids. (e.g.

230 gamma-glutamylglutamine) (Figure S3A). After 24 hpi, we observed increases in betaine, 231 dimethylglycine, S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH) and cystathione 232 (Figure S3A). These shifts could indicate increased methionine to cysteine conversion to support 233 glutathione synthesis. Infected cells appeared to have a more oxidized intracellular milieu after 234 TMZ treatment, as we observed more oxidized glutathione (Figure 3E). Additionally, at the later 235 time point, there were greater infection-induced shifts in SAM, SAH and cystathione levels in 236 infected TMZ-treated cells compared to untreated controls. Combined, the alterations in the 237 glycolytic pathway, itaconate production, and redox homeostasis suggest that macrophages adopt 238 a more M1-like metabolic phenotype in response to Mtb infection, and TMZ-induced perturbations 239 may enhance or prolong these changes, but do not dramatically alter the response.

240

241 FAO inhibition triggers mitochondrial ROS to promote mycobacterial control

242 The metabolomics data did not point to a particular metabolite or metabolic pathway to explain 243 the antimicrobial activity of TMZ. Additionally, we measured the production of TNF- α , IL-6, 244 CXCL2, IFN-β, CCL2, and CXCL10 in response to infection and TMZ treatment, and we did not 245 observe a cytokine-driven antimicrobial response upon TMZ treatment (Figure S4). Since TMZ 246 acts in the mitochondria, a major site of ROS production, and there was evidence of an increase in 247 oxidative stress in TMZ-treated macrophages, we examined whether FAO inhibition promotes 248 ROS production. We treated uninfected immortalized BMDMs (iBMDMs) with TMZ and 249 observed an increase in ROS as early as 3 hours after treatment (Figure 4A). Addition of 250 mitoTEMPO, a mitochondrial ROS (mitoROS) scavenger, abolished this ROS burst, whereas DPI, 251 which inhibits NADPH oxidase had no effect, suggesting that the ROS was induced in the 252 mitochondria (Figure 4A). Toll-like receptor activation and infection with Gram negative bacteria 253 have previously been shown to result in mitoROS production (Mills et al., 2016; West et al., 2011), 254 and Mtb infection induced a small amount of mitoROS (Figure 4B). However, significantly more 255 ROS was generated in Mtb-infected BMDMs after TMZ treatment (Figure 4C). ROS levels in 256 Mtb-infected Cpt2 cKO BMDMs were also significantly higher than in control macrophages 257 (Figure 4D). The TMZ-induced ROS still occurred in macrophages that lacked the NADPH 258 oxidase (Nox2 KO), consistent with a mitochondrial source (Figure 4C). We confirmed that TMZ 259 induced mitoROS by using MitoSox fluorescent dye (Figure 4E). Combined these results 260 demonstrate that TMZ induces ROS from a mitochondrial source after 3 hours of treatment in both 261 infected and uninfected macrophages.

262

263 We hypothesized that FAO inhibition resulted in mitoROS generation because of perturbed 264 electron flow within the electron transport chain (ETC). ROS can be generated in multiple sites 265 along the ETC during forward electron transport, as well as when electrons flow in reverse through 266 complex I (NADH:coenzymeQ reductase) (Scialò et al., 2017). To determine the site within the 267 ETC where TMZ induced ROS, we measured mitoROS production in macrophages treated with 268 TMZ in combination with rotenone, an inhibitor of complex I. When electrons are flowing in the 269 forward direction, rotenone prevents electron transport to CoQ, resulting in ROS generation. In 270 contrast during reverse electron transport (RET), rotenone reduces ROS by preventing CoQ from 271 transferring electrons back to complex I, where the RET-ROS is generated. We treated uninfected 272 BMDMs with TMZ for 3h, and thirty minutes prior to measuring mitoROS we added rotenone. As 273 expected, rotenone and TMZ on their own increased mitoROS production. In contrast, rotenone 274 decreased the amount of ROS generated in response to TMZ treatment (Figure 4E) These findings

are consistent with the idea that under conditions of TMZ treatment there is enhanced ROSgenerated due to RET at complex I.

277

278 Since recent studies have shown that mitoROS contributes to microbial control in macrophages 279 (Abuaita et al., 2018; West et al., 2011), we asked whether TMZ-induced mitoROS was important 280 for infection control or simply a by-product of metabolic perturbations. To address this, we 281 estimated Mtb burden in macrophages treated with TMZ alone or in combination with 282 mitoTEMPO. As shown in Figure 4F, mitoTEMPO on its own had little effect on the infection, 283 but it partially reversed the antimicrobial effect of TMZ treatment. Overall, we conclude that FAO 284 inhibition promotes mitoROS production from the ETC, which enhances macrophage control of 285 Mtb infection.

286

FAO inhibition-induced mitochondrial ROS drives NADPH oxidase recruitment to phagosomes

289 Previous studies suggest a link between mitoROS and NADPH oxidase that contributes to 290 macrophage defense (Garaude et al., 2016). Indeed, while 3 h of TMZ treatment significantly 291 increased mitoROS in both wt and Nox2 KO BMDMs (Figure 4C), we observed that the anti-Mtb 292 activity of FAO inhibitors required NADPH oxidase (Figure 5A). This suggested that the 293 antimycobacterial activity in FAO-inhibited macrophages actually depended upon both a 294 mitochondrial source and NADPH oxidase. Normally, pathogen associated molecular patterns 295 (PAMPs) promote the recruitment of the NADPH oxidase to microbial phagosomes immediately 296 after phagocytosis (Nunes et al., 2013). However, Mtb impairs the recruitment of the NADPH 297 oxidase to the mycobacterial phagosome (Köster et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2013). Remarkably, at 24 298 hpi we observed that TMZ increased the recruitment of NADPH oxidase subunits gp91^{phox}/NOX2 299 and p40^{phox} to the mycobacterial phagosomes (Figure 5B, C and D). Moreover, we found that 300 NADPH oxidase recruitment was dependent on TMZ-induced mitoROS, as it was reversed with 301 the addition of mitoTEMPO (Figure 5E). Thus, FAO inhibition appears to enhance two 302 antimicrobial responses that are suboptimal during Mtb infection, mitoROS production and 303 phagosomal recruitment of the NADPH oxidase. Mitochondria alone contributed to ROS within 304 3h of FAO inhibition, while the role of NADPH oxidase was appreciable at later time points, and 305 macrophage control of Mtb depended upon both mitoROS and the NADPH oxidase.

306

FAO inhibition promotes xenophagy to restrict intracellular Mtb growth

308 TMZ treatment in Mtb-infected macrophages resulted in increases in phospholipids and 309 sphingomyelin, which might alter phagosomal trafficking (Figure S3B). In addition, ROS 310 generated by the NADPH oxidase promote a trafficking pathway called LC3-associated 311 phagocytosis (LAP). Both LAP and autophagy of microbes (xenophagy) are characterized by the 312 association of lipidated LC3 (LC3-II) with microbe-containing vacuoles (Martinez et al., 2015). 313 LAP and xenophagy depend upon certain common ATG (autophagy related) proteins, and they 314 also have unique requirements. To determine whether either LC3-trafficking pathway was 315 involved in the antimicrobial activity of TMZ, we compared the efficacy of TMZ between control 316 and Atg5 cKO macrophages, which are deficient in both LAP and xenophagy. Indeed, the 317 antimycobacterial control established by FAO inhibition was reversed in Atg5-deficient 318 macrophages (Figure 6A). Although the baseline ROS staining was lower in Atg5 cKO 319 macrophages compared to control, ROS was still induced by TMZ in Atg5 cKOs, suggesting that

320 ATG5 acts downstream of mitoROS production (Figure 6B). To distinguish xenophagy from 321 LAP, we examined Atg14l cKO and Parkin2 KO macrophages, which are specifically involved in 322 xenophagy. As we had seen in Atg5 cKO macrophages, the antimycobacterial control established 323 by FAO inhibition was reversed in *Atg14l* cKO and *Parkin2* KO as well (Figure 6C and 6D). In 324 addition, in wt macrophages, TMZ treatment resulted in enhanced co-localization between Mtb 325 and the autophagy adaptor, p62 (Figure 6E). The enhanced colocalization between Mtb and p62 326 occurred after 24 hours treatment and was dependent on mitoROS (Figure 6F and 6G). 327 Combined, these data demonstrate that inhibition of FAO promotes xenophagy to control 328 intracellular Mtb growth. Indeed, autophagosomal targeting of Mtb was also inherently higher in 329 Cpt2 cKO macrophages as compared to wt controls (Figure 6H and 6I). Moreover, TMZ 330 treatment facilitated xenophagy of a mutant Mtb strain lacking esxA ($\Delta esxA$), which is unable to 331 perforate phagosomes and usually does not activate xenophagy (Figure 6J).

332

333 These studies established that FAO inhibition induced mitoROS, which promoted NADPH 334 oxidase recruitment to the Mtb phagosome and xenophagy. Next we examined the relationship 335 between the NADPH oxidase and xenophagy. We observed that the enhanced NADPH oxidase 336 recruitment in response to TMZ occurred independently of autophagy, as it was also seen in Atg5 337 cKO macrophages (Figure 6K). On the other hand, TMZ failed to promote xenophagy in NADPH 338 oxidase-deficient macrophages (Figure 6L). Taken together, our data shows that mitoROS was 339 the primary signal induced by FAO inhibition that resulted in enhanced NADPH oxidase and 340 xenophagy, which promoted bacterial control.

341

342 **DISCUSSION**

343 Recent work has highlighted the relationship between macrophage metabolism and inflammatory 344 phenotype (Escoll and Buchrieser, 2019). Within the context of Mtb infection, macrophages undergo a Warburg-like shift with enhanced aerobic glycolysis (Gleeson et al., 2016; Lachmandas 345 346 et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2015) and usage of TCA cycle intermediates for the generation of immuno-347 metabolites (Nair et al., 2018). Mtb-induced glycolysis reduces intracellular bacterial survival 348 through enhanced IL-1 β production, but the impact of fatty acid metabolism is not well established. 349 Mtb also dramatically alter host lipid metabolism, promoting the formation of lipid-droplets 350 (Cumming et al., 2018; Peyron et al., 2008a; Russell et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2012) and increasing 351 mitochondrial dependency on exogenous fatty acids (Cumming et al., 2018). Because Mtb can 352 utilize host fatty acids as a carbon source during infection, a prevailing idea is that host and 353 pathogen are in competition for resources, so that reduced host consumption or enhanced 354 production of cellular lipids would provide a nutrient source for bacterial replication (Almeida et 355 al., 2009; Kim et al., 2017; Ouimet et al., 2016). Instead, we found that inhibition of host FAO 356 impaired mycobacterial replication. Our initial in vitro results are in concordance with a recent 357 report that showed the efficacy of FAO inhibitor ETM in Mtb-infected BMDMs (Huang et al., 358 2018). In that study, the antimicrobial effect was attributed to reduced IFN- β , but ETM was used 359 at 200 µM, which has well-documented off-target effects (Divakaruni et al., 2018). We did not see 360 effects on Type I IFN (Figure S4), and we establish the antimicrobial mechanism of FAO inhibition 361 using specific inhibitors and genetically FAO-deficient Cpt2 knockout macrophages. 362 Unexpectedly, we found that blocking FAO enhanced macrophage effector functions by eliciting 363 mitoROS, which promoted NADPH oxidase and xenophagy to restrict Mtb infection. Our results 364 suggest mitoROS as a connecting link between macrophage fatty acid metabolism and macrophage 365 effector functions to control Mtb infection.

366

367 The earliest cellular response that we could detect after FAO inhibition was induction of mitoROS, 368 which occurred within three hours of treatment in both infected and uninfected macrophages. 369 Typically, FAO products, such as NADH and FADH₂, are oxidized by respiratory chain 370 supercomplexes to generate the forward electron flow (FET) required for ATP production. The 371 respiratory chain is organized into interacting supercomplexes to minimize leakage of electrons 372 and ROS formation. Recent studies report that enzymes of FAO also physically interact with ETC 373 components, forming an integrated, multifunctional complex (Wang et al., 2019). The FAO 374 trifunctional protein (TFP), a tetramer that includes the target of TMZ (3-ketoacyl-CoA 375 thiolase/HADHB), interacts with the NADH-binding domain of complex I. The presence of ETC 376 supercomplexes dedicated to FAO may explain why FAO inhibition leads to mitoROS. In the 377 absence of NADH shuttling from FAO to these dedicated supercomplexes, RET might occur. This 378 fits with our finding that combined treatment with TMZ and rotenone, a complex I inhibitor, 379 decreased mitoROS levels, consistent with RET generating the ROS at complex I. mitoROS is 380 also generated in response to microbial products; toll-like receptor signaling alters supercomplex 381 assembly and generates RET-ROS at complex I, both of which impact immunological signaling 382 (Garaude et al., 2016; Langston et al., 2019; Mills et al., 2016; West et al., 2011). Thus, the 383 mitoROS induced by TMZ may activate an antimicrobial pathway that is normally induced and 384 protective in the context of other infection, but suboptimal in during Mtb infection. Interestingly, 385 in distinction to our finding that FAO inhibition generated mROS, the reverse relationship was 386 seen in Salmonella infected zebrafish larvae and J774.2 murine macrophage cells, where FAO 387 enhanced Salmonella-induced mROS (Hall et al., 2013). The difference between this previous

study and ours could be due to the experimental systems, and it may reflect a different metabolic
response of macrophages to mycobacteria as compared to *Salmonella*.

390

391 The idea that FAO inhibition enhances macrophage control of Mtb by generating mitoROS is 392 consistent with recent studies showing that mitoROS contributes to macrophage control of 393 Streptococcus pneumonia, Staphylococcus aureus, and Salmonella typhimurium (Abuaita et al., 394 2018; Bewley et al., 2017; Hall et al., 2013; West et al., 2011). Our results suggest that mitoROS 395 does not exert its antimicrobial function by directly killing Mtb, since mitoROS was generated in 396 macrophages lacking Nox2 and Atg5, but the antimycobacterial activity was lost. Rather, mitoROS 397 appears to serve as a signal that enhances NADPH oxidase activity and xenophagy. A stimulatory 398 effect of mitoROS on the NADPH oxidase has been documented most extensively in non-399 lymphoid cells, and recent work shows that in neutrophils mitoROS activates the NADPH oxidase 400 and other effector functions (Nazarewicz et al., 2013; Pinegin et al., 2018; Vorobjeva et al., 2017). 401 In addition to promoting the phagosomal recruitment of NADPH oxidase to mycobacterial 402 phagosomes, our metabolomics data indicate that FAO inhibition may augment the PPP, which 403 would enhance NADPH production to power the NADPH oxidase.

404

It will be important to understand how mitoROS promotes phagosomal assembly of the NADPH oxidase. NADPH oxidase assembly requires membrane trafficking of two integral membrane subunits ($p22^{phox}$ and $gp91^{phox}$) followed by recruitment of the cytosolic subunits ($p40^{phox}$, $p47^{phox}$, $p67^{phox}$). Normally this occurs shortly after uptake of phagocytic cargo that activate pathogen recognition receptors. In our experimental system, the kinetics are very different than what is typically described. We added TMZ 4h after Mtb had been added to macrophages and when 411 extracellular bacilli had been washed away. We found that TMZ enhanced localization of both the gp91^{phox} and p40^{phox} subunits with mycobacterial phagosomes 24 hours later. This suggests that 412 413 signals generated by mitoROS either overcome a Mtb-induced blockade to NADPH oxidase 414 recruitment or promote recruitment through a previously unappreciated pathway. How mitoROS 415 regulates phagosomal NADPH oxidase recruitment and assembly will be important to establish in 416 future studies, as our work indicates that it can be augmented, even after bacterial uptake, to 417 promote microbial clearance of intracellular bacilli. This might be a way to clear foci of persistent 418 bacilli.

419

In addition to NADPH oxidase recruitment, mitoROS also promoted xenophagy. Xenophagy and 420 421 LAP are related LC3-trafficking pathways that can promote microbial clearance (Upadhyay and 422 Philips, 2019). They also differ, as LAP occurs immediately after phagocytosis and involves LC3 423 recruitment to a single phagosomal membrane, whereas xenophagy depends upon formation of a 424 double membrane compartment. We found that the antimicrobial activity of TMZ depended on 425 ATG14L and PARKIN, which are required for canonical autophagy and Mtb xenophagy 426 (Manzanillo et al., 2013). In addition, TMZ enhanced the association of phagosomes with p62, a 427 selective autophagy adaptor, and the response occurred well after bacterial uptake, all of which 428 suggest that the mechanism of clearance is xenophagy and not LAP, despite the involvement of 429 NADPH oxidase which has been linked to LAP. We also cannot rule out that both LAP and 430 xenophagy are enhanced by FAO inhibition. In terms of how the NADPH oxidase might activate 431 xenophagy, one possibility is that the NADPH oxidase generates phagosomal membrane damage, 432 which is known to promote pathogen ubiquitination, adaptor recruitment, and xenophagy 433 (Manzanillo et al., 2012; Wong and Jacobs, 2011). We observed NADPH oxidase recruitment in TMZ-treated *Atg5* cKO macrophages, yet, TMZ failed to kill Mtb in the absence of xenophagy, arguing against direct antimycobacterial activity of the NADPH oxidase. The lack of direct activity is consistent with other recent studies (Köster et al., 2017; Olive et al., 2018), and likely reflects that Mtb has ROS-detoxifying activities such as KatG, a catalase/peroxidase. We conclude that the antimycobacterial activity of ROS is primarily based upon its ability to activate lysosomal trafficking pathways, perhaps due to damage to the phagosomal membrane resulting in xenophagy activation.

441

442 While, the current view is that ROS generation contributes to inflammation and cellular damage, 443 a beneficial role of RET-ROS was appreciated recently for hypoxia sensing in the carotid body 444 (Fernández-Agüera et al., 2015), ischemia-reperfusion injury (Chouchani et al., 2014), and 445 extending fly lifespan (Scialò et al., 2016). A number of studies propose the use of FAO inhibitors 446 as metabolic therapy in cancer (Duman et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2018) and heart failure (Lionetti 447 et al., 2011). Given the link that we have shown between FAO inhibition and mitoROS, it will be 448 important to establish whether that contributes to their therapeutic effects. TMZ, which has been 449 used to treat angina for 35 years, is orally bioavailable, lacks substantial drug-drug interactions, 450 and has a favorable safety profile (Dézsi, 2016). Importantly, TMZ showed antimycobacterial 451 activity in mice at drug concentrations that are used clinically. Therefore, TMZ could be rapidly 452 translated into an HDT to be used as an adjunct to conventional antibiotics. The magnitude of Mtb 453 control was modest, but similar to other HDTs that have been tested in mouse models of Mtb 454 (Napier et al., 2011; Parihar et al., 2014; Singhal et al., 2014). In the case of TMZ, the limited 455 activity is probably not due to inadequate drug exposure, but may reflect that TMZ works in only 456 certain myeloid cells *in vivo*, perhaps based upon their metabolic phenotype, or that there is limited 457 capacity of mitoROS or autophagy to activate robust clearance. Distinguishing these possibilities 458 will be important in terms of designing effective HDTs for clinical use. Evaluating the effect of 459 TMZ on other cell types critical for Mtb infection, including neutrophils, dendritic cells, and T 460 cells will be important. Many of the leading candidates for HDT, such as metformin and sirtuin-1, 461 also promote autophagy (Cheng et al., 2017; Singhal et al., 2014), and whether enhanced 462 antimicrobial control could be established by a combination of HDTs that target distinct cellular 463 reservoirs or work synergistically to activate antimicrobial pathways will be critical to investigate.

464

465 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

466 We thank members of the Philips laboratory and Robert Mahon (NIH/NIAID) for critical reading 467 of the manuscript. We thank Ronald E. Dolle (Washington University in St. Louis (WUSTL)) for 468 help designing PK studies, Michael J. Wolfgang (Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine) 469 for providing Cpt2^{*fl/fl*} mice, and Jeff Cox (University of California, Berkeley) for providing Rv-lux 470 strain. We thank the Alvin J. Siteman Cancer Center (WUSTL), Barnes-Jewish Hospital in St 471 Louis, MO, the Bursky Center for Human Immunology and Immunotherapy Programs 472 Immunomonitoring Laboratory, and Diane Bender for the multiplexing immunoassay service. The 473 Siteman Cancer Center is supported in part by an NCI Cancer Center Support Grant #P30 474 CA091842. This work was supported by grants from the NIH (R21 AI128427), the Center for 475 Drug Discovery (WUSTL), and LEAP Inventor Challenge Award (WUSTL) to J.A.P, NIH (R35 476 HL135799) to K.J.M., NIH (R01 DK11003404) to J.D.S., and the Pott's Memorial Foundation to 477 P.C.

478

21

479 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

480	M.O. and S.K. did experiments demonstrating activity of etomoxir against intracellular Mtb and
481	P.C. performed all subsequent experiments. She had help with mice and MIC measurements from
482	G. Y. and SeaHorse experiments by L.H. M.Z., H.W., and V.D. measured TMZ concentrations
483	from serum of infected mice. J.A.P. conceived the project and supervised the experiments with
484	input from J.D.S. P.C. and J.A.P. wrote the manuscript; P.C., S.K., M.O., K.J.M., V.D., J.D.S,
485	and J.A.P. edited the manuscript.
486	
487	DECLARATION OF INTERESTS
488	The authors declare no competing interests.
489	
490	
491	
492	
493	
494	
495	
496	
497	
498	

499 STAR Methods

500 KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		-
gp91 phox antibody	Abcam	Cat# ab80508
p40-phox (N-20-R) antibody	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-18252-R
Human/Mouse/Rat p62/SQSTM1	R&D systems	Cat# IC8028G
Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated		
antibody		
Alexa Fluor 488 goat and rabbit IgG(H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A11034
Chemicals and Inhibitors		
Etomoxir	Tocris Bioscience	Cat# 4539
Trimetazidine	Cayman Chemical Company	Cat# 18165
Oxfenicine	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# 56160-10G
Metformin	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# D150959-5G
mitoTEMPO	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# SML0737-5MG
Amikacin	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# A1774
BODIPY 493/503	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# D3922
Calcein AM cell permeant dye	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# C1430
Diphenyleneiodonium chloride	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# D2926-10MG
Tert-Butyl hydroperoxide (tBHP)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# 416665-100ML
N-acetyl L-cysteine (NAC)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# A7250-5G
Rotenone	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#R8875
Antimycin	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#A8674
Menadione	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# M5625
Oligomycin	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#C2920
FCCP	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# P8139
Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# P8139
CellRox Green Reagent	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# C10444
MitoSox Red mitochondrial	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# M36008
superoxide indicator		
Deposited Data		•
N/A		
Commercial Assays		•
Milliplex MAP Mouse	EMD-Millipore	Cat# MCYTOMAG-70K
Cytokine/Chemokine Magnetic	*	
Bead Panel		
IFN-alpha/IFN-beta 2-plex Mouse	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# EPX02A-22187-901
ProcartaPlex Panel		
Software and Algorithms		1
GraphPad Prism		www.graphpad.com

NIS Elements version 4.40	Nikon, Inc					
Culture supplies and supplements						
Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 11995065				
(DMEM)						
RPMI 1640 Medium	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 11875093				
Fetal bovine serum (FBS)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 26140079				
BD Difco Middlebrook 7H9 Broth	BD Biosciences	Cat# 271310				
BD BBL Middlebrook ADC	BD Biosciences	Cat# 212352				
Enrichment						
BD Difco Middlebrook 7H11 Agar	BD Biosciences	Cat# 283810				
BD BBL Middlebrook OADC	BD Biosciences	Cat# 212351				
Enrichment						

501

502 LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

503 Further information and requests for reagents may be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead

504 Contact, J. A. Philips (philips.j.a@wustl.edu)

505

506 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL DETAILS

507 Bacterial Strains

508 *M. tuberculosis* and *M. abscessus* were grown at 37°C to log phase in Middlebrook 7H9 broth (BD

509 Biosciences) supplemented with 0.05% Tween 80, BD BBL Middlebrook ADC Enrichment (BD

510 Biosciences), and 0.2% (vol/vol) glycerol. Plasmids were selected with 25 µg/ml kanamycin or 50

511 µg/ml hygromycin depending on the resistance marker. H37Rv, the wild type Mtb strain, and

512 $\Delta esxA$ were provided by William Jacobs Jr. (Albert Einstein College of Medicine)(Wong and

513 Jacobs, 2011). DsRed expressing H37Rv was a gift from J. Ernst (New York University). H37Rv

514 expressing the Vibrio harvei luciferase (Rv-lux) was gift from Jeff Cox (University of California,

515 Berkeley). The "live-dead" reporter strain constitutively expresses mCherry and inducibly express

516 GFP under control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter (Martin et al., 2012).

517

518 Mice

519	We used 8-12 week old C57BL/6 mice. Cpt2 ^{fl/fl} LysM-Cre ⁺ mice were generated by crossing
520	$Cpt2^{fl/fl}$ and LysM-Cre ⁺ mice. The generation of $Cpt2^{fl/fl}$ and LysM-Cre ⁺ mice have been described
521	previously (Clausen et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2015). The Washington University School of Medicine
522	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all the work with mice. Euthanasia was
523	performed prior to bone marrow harvest in accordance with the 2013 AVMA Guidelines for the
524	Euthansia of Animals (https://www.avma.org/KB/Policies/Documents/euthanasia.pdf).

525

526 METHOD DETAILS

527 Cell culture

528 To obtain murine bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs), marrow was flushed from the 529 femurs and tibia of mice, and the hematopoetic stem cells were allowed to differentiate for 7 days 530 in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine 531 serum (FBS, Gibco), 1% Pen-Strep solution (Gibco), and 20% L929-conditioned medium. After 532 7 days, the BMDMs were harvested using Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free PBS (Gibco) containing 5mM EDTA 533 (Invitrogen, Life Technologies), and maintained in DMEM containing 10% FBS and 10% L929-534 conditioned medium after infection. Immortalized BMDMs (iBMDMs) were immortalized by 535 infection with the J2 retrovirus (BEI Resources). RAW 264.7 and THP-1 cells were obtained from 536 American Type Tissue Collection (ATCC) and were maintained in DMEM and RPMI-1640, 537 respectively, with 10%FBS. THP-1 differentiation was induced using 20 ng/ml phorbol-12-538 myristate acetate (PMA, Sigma) for 18-20 hours.

539

540 **Bacterial infections**

541 For *in vitro* macrophage assays, a log phase culture of Mtb H37Rv was pelleted and resuspended 542 in macrophage culture medium. Bacterial single-cell suspensions were prepared by filtering 543 through 5-micron filters (PALL Life Sciences, catalog no. 4650). The number of Mtb in the 544 resultant filtrate was estimated by measuring absorbance at 600 nm, followed by infection of 545 macrophages at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5. After 4 hours, macrophages were washed 546 three times with warm DMEM to remove extracellular bacteria, and then resuspended in medium 547 containing FAO inhibitors or solvent control. To estimate intracellular Mtb growth, infected 548 macrophages were lysed in 0.06% SDS solution at the indicated time points, and serial dilutions 549 of the lysates were plated on 7H11 agar plates (BD Biosciences, catalog no. 283810) containing 550 BD BBL Middlebrook OADC Enrichment (BD Biosciences, catalog no. 212351) and glycerol. 551 Colony forming units (CFU) were calculated 14-21 days later. For *in vivo* infections, log phase 552 H37Rv culture was pelleted and resuspended in sterile 0.5% Tween 80 solution. After a 553 centrifugation step, the supernatant was used for aerosol infection using a Glas-Col inhalation 554 exposure system. The infectious dose administered was calculated by plating CFU from an aliquot 555 of the bacterial suspension.

556

557 Mice surgeries and aerosol infections

Alzet mini-osmotic pumps (Model 2002, Durect Corporation, CA) were loaded with saline or TMZ solution as per the manufacturer's protocol. The osmotic pumps were surgically implanted in anesthetized mice under aseptic conditions. The mice were administered analgesic to minimize pain and were monitored regularly for signs of pain and other postoperative complications. Two days later, the mice were infected with H37Rv via aerosol route using an inhalation exposure

563	system from Glas-Col. The dose of infection was confirmed one day post-infection by plating
564	whole lung homogenates from 2 mice on Middlebrook 7H11 agar. 2 weeks post infection, the mice
565	were euthanized and the lungs were harvested, homogenized, and plated for CFUs.
566	
567	Serum microsampling of TMZ
568	Serum concentrations of TMZ in mice were determined at 5 and 14 days after initiating treatment.
569	Lidocaine was applied to mice tails to minimize pain, and the end of the tail was wiped with alcohol
570	and a small incision was made. 100 μ l blood was collected in K ₂ -EDTA microvette tubes
571	(Braintree Scientific, Inc) and was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 5 minutes to recover plasma.
572	Samples were stored at – 80°C until analyzed for TMZ content.

573

574 High pressure liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS-MS) 575 analytical method

576 1 mg/mL DMSO stocks of Trimetazidine (Sigma-Aldrich) were serial diluted in 50/50 Acetonitrile 577 water and subsequently serial diluted in drug free CD1 mouse plasma (K₂EDTA, Bioreclamation 578 IVT, NY) to create standard curves and quality control (OC) spiking solutions. Standards, OC, 579 controls, and study samples were extracted by combining 10 µL plasma with 100 µL 580 acetonitrile/methanol 50/50 containing the internal standard verapamil. Extracts were vortexed 581 and centrifuged, and supernatant was transferred for LC-MS/MS analysis. LC/MS-MS was 582 performed on a Sciex Applied Biosystems Qtrap 6500+ triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer 583 coupled to a Shimadzu 30ACMP HPLC system, and chromatography was performed on an Agilent 584 Zorbax XDB-C₁₈ column (3x75 mm; particle size, 3.5μ m). Milli-Q deionized water with 0.1%

585	formic acid was used for the aqueous mobile phase and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile for the
586	organic mobile phase. Multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) of parent/daughter transitions in
587	electrospray positive-ionization mode was used to quantify all molecules. MRM transitions of
588	267.08/181.10 and 455.40/165.20 were used for TMZ and Verapamil respectively.

589

590 Fluorescence microscopy and image analyses

591 BMDMs were seeded in 8-well chamber slides (Falcon culture slide 8-well, catalog no. 08-774-592 26), and infected with DsRed-expressing H37Rv at MOI 5. At the indicated time points, samples 593 were fixed overnight with 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA). For immunofluorescence (IF), samples 594 were permeabilized and blocked in PBS with 0.05% saponin and 3% BSA, and stained with the indicated primary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies 595 used were p40^{phox} (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies), gp91^{phox}/NOX2 (abcam), and p62 (R&D 596 597 systems). Staining with alexa fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody (Molecular Probes) was 598 done for 2 h at room temperature. Following this, the samples were washed with 0.1% Tween 599 20/PBS and mounted using Prolong Gold antifade (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog no. P36930). 600 Images were captured using a Nikon Eclipse Ti confocal microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc.) 601 equipped with a 60X apochromat oil-objective lens, and analyzed using NIS-Elements version 602 4.40 (Nikon). Briefly, a region of interest (ROI) was drawn around each bacterium and the mean 603 fluorescence intensity (MFI) was measured using the ROI statistics tool.

604

605 **ROS measurement assays**

606 For ROS measurement assays, the macrophages were seeded in 96 well plates (µ-Plate 96 well, 607 IBIDI catalog no. 89626). To estimate total cell ROS, the samples were treated with Cell Rox 608 green fluorescent dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 5 µM for 30 minutes. The samples were 609 washed three times with PBS, and fixed overnight with 1% PFA. Mitochondrial ROS was 610 measured in live, uninfected macrophages using MitoSox fluorescent dye (Thermo Fisher 611 Scientific), at 5µM for 30 minutes. The samples were imaged using confocal microscope, and the 612 ROS signal of each cell was quantified using NIS-Elements software. Briefly, each cell was 613 converted to a ROI and the MFI was measured using the ROI statistics tool.

614

615 Oxygen consumption rate and extracellular acidification rate

616 BMDMs from Cpt2 cKO mice and control littermates were plated in 96 well Seahorse plates at a 617 density of 75,000 cells per well. The cells were treated with 5nM TMZ or solvent control for 3 h. 618 After treatment, the cells were washed and placed in XF media (non-buffered RPMI 1640 619 containing 25mM glucose, 2mM L-glutamine and 1mM sodium pyruvate) with 10 % FCS. Oxygen 620 consumption rate (OCR) and extracellular acidification rates (ECAR) were measured under basal 621 conditions and following inhibitors were added: 1µM oligomycin (Sigma), 1.5µM fluorocarbonyl 622 cyanide phenylhydrazone (FCCP, Sigma), and 100 nM rotenone (Sigma) + 1µM antimycin A 623 (Sigma). Measurements were taken using a 96 well Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Seahorse 624 Bioscience, North Bellerica, MA, USA).

625

626 Metabolomics

627 For metabolic profiling of murine BMDMs, 15 million macrophages were seeded in 15 cm petri 628 dishes (Genesee Scientific) with 5 replicates for each condition. The next day, macrophages were 629 infected with H37Rv at an MOI 5 for 4 h as described above. The samples were washed and 630 maintained in culture medium with or without with 50 nM TMZ for 3 or 24 h. At the respective 631 time points, the samples were washed twice with sterile Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, 632 Gibco), and the metabolites were extracted in 80% methanol (Sigma) in water (Corning). The 633 samples were stored at -80° C and shipped to Metabolon Inc., NC (www.metabolon.com) for 634 further processing and analyses.

At Metabolon, the samples were prepared using the automated MicroLab STAR[®] system from 635 636 Hamilton Company. After addition of recovery standards and protein removal, the extracts were 637 divided into fractions for analysis by: two separate reverse phase (RP)/ UPLC-MS/MS with 638 positive ion mode electrospray ionization (ESI), RP/UPLC-MS/MS with negative ion mode (ESI), 639 and HILIC/UPLC-MS/MS with negative ion mode ESI. All methods utilized a Waters ACQUITY 640 ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) and a Thermo Scientific Q-Exactive high 641 resolution/accurate mass spectrometer interfaced with a heated electrospray ionization (HESI-II) source and Orbitrap mass analyzer operated at 35,000 mass resolution. 642

643

644 Cytokine measurements

Culture supernatants were harvested from uninfected or Mtb-infected BMDMs that were untreated
or treated with 5 or 50 nM TMZ for 24 and 72 h. The conditioned media was filter-sterilized and
cytokines and chemokines were measured using Milliplex MAP Mouse Cytokine/Chemokine
Magnetic Bead Panel (MCYTOMAG-70K, Millipore Sigma) and Procartaplex Mouse IFNα/IFNβ
Panel (2plex) (ThermoFisher Scientific).

30

650

651 Liquid MIC determinations

- In 96 well plates, Mtb cultures with starting OD₆₀₀ of 0.01, 0.05, and 0.10 were incubated with
- 653 increasing concentrations of drugs in triplicate. The growth of Mtb was measured at day 0, 1, 2, 3
- and 4. The MIC was considered the minimal concentration tested that inhibited Mtb growth at 4
- days. To assess the direct toxicity of TMZ on Mtb, H37Rv expressing the Vibrio harvei luciferase
- 656 (Rv-lux) was cultured in 7H9 broth with or without 1 mM TMZ for 7 days. Relative luminescence
- units (RLU) were measured every 2 days at 490 nm.

658

659 **PK studies**

660 PK and plasma binding studies were performed by Alliance Pharma (PA, USA) following IV and

oral administration of 3 and 15 mg/kg TMZ, respectively. PK study of TMZ using Alzet osmotic

- 662 pumps was conducted by Paraza Pharma Inc, Canada. Briefly, Alzet osmotic pumps (model 2002,
- 663 Durect Corporation) were surgically implanted in C57BL/6 mice (n=5) for a subcutaneous infusion

at 10.66 m/k/day. Plasma TMZ concentrations were determined over the course of 48h.

665

666 Statistics

GraphPad Prism software was used to prepare plots and assess statistical significance of results
using unpaired Student's t-test with Welch's correction, Ordinary one-way ANOVA and MannWhitney test.

670

671

672 FIGURE LEGENDS

673 Figure 1. Inhibition of macrophage FAO restricts intracellular Mtb growth.

674 (A) In the carnitine shuttle, long chain fatty acids that have been activated to acyl-CoA derivatives 675 are converted to acylcarnitines by CPT1 at the outer mitochondrial membrane. Etomoxir and 676 oxfenicine inhibit CPT1. Acylcarnitines are transported across the mitochondrial membrane by a 677 dedicated translocase. In the mitochondria, CPT2 converts acylcarnitines back to acyl-CoA and 678 carnitine. Acyl-CoA chains then undergo β -oxidation, successively generating acetyl-CoA that 679 enters the TCA cycle. Trimetazidine inhibits 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase, which catalyzes the release 680 of acetyl-CoA from the acyl-CoA chain. (B-D) Survival of Mtb (H37Rv) in BMDMs that were 681 untreated or treated for 72 h with 2 mM metformin (MET) or indicated concentrations of ETM 682 (B), TMZ (C), or OXF (D). (E) Survival of Mtb in BMDMs from Cpt2 KO (Cpt2^{fl/fl}LysM-Cre⁺) 683 and littermate controls (*Cpt2^{fl/f}* Cre⁻, WT) 4, 72 and 120 hpi. (F) Survival of Mtb in BMDMs from 684 Cpt2 cKO and WT controls treated with ETM, TMZ, or vehicle control for 72h. CFU are expressed 685 as % of WT untreated control. (G) PMA-differentiated THP-1 cells were infected with Mtb and 686 treated with ETM or TMZ for 72 hpi prior to enumerating CFU. (B-G) Data shows mean +/- s.e.m. from one representative experiment from at least 2 independent experiments.**P \leq 0.009, 687 688 ***P=0.0003, ****P<0.0001, NS- not significant, one-way ANOVA (B-D, F-G) or unpaired 689 Students t-test with Welch's correction (E). See also Figure S1.

690

691 Figure 2. FAO inhibition restricts growth of Mtb in vivo

692 (A) *Cpt2* cKO mice and littermate controls were infected by aerosol with 100-200 Mtb CFU per 693 animal, and CFU were enumerated from lungs 7 days post-infection. *P=0.04, (**B-D**) Efficacy of

- 694 TMZ treatment was tested in mice that were acutely infected with Mtb. Plots show total lung and

695 spleen CFU for the first study (B, C) and total lung CFU for the second study (D) after 2 weeks of 696 TMZ treatment. *P=0.03, **P=0.02, ***P=0.0002. (E) Serum levels of TMZ were measured at 697 the end of therapy in mice shown in (D). (F,G) In the chronic study, mice that were infected by 698 aerosol with Mtb for five weeks were treated with TMZ or saline control for 2 weeks (shown in 699 gray region) and total lung and spleen CFU were enumerated. *P=0.01, **P<0.005, ***P=0.0002. 700 (H) Serum levels of TMZ were measured in mice shown in (F) after 5 days of starting treatment 701 (\blacktriangle) and at the end of therapy (\circ). Data shows mean +/- s.e.m., P-values calculated using Mann-702 Whitney rank sum test. See also Figure S2.

703

Figure 3. FAO inhibition enhances metabolic changes in response to Mtb infection.

705 (A) Global metabolite profiling was performed on uninfected or Mtb infected BMDMs to assess 706 the effect of infection alone or after treatment with 50nM TMZ for 3 or 24 h. (B) Macrophage 707 glycolysis was augmented in response to Mtb infection, and a sharp accumulation in glycolytic 708 intermediates was observed. Glucose-6-phosphate was shunted to the pentose phosphate pathway 709 for the production of PRPP and sedoheptulose-6-phosphate. The pathway heat map shows 710 metabolite ratios in Mtb infected and uninfected (TB/Ctrl) macrophages, that were untreated 711 (None) or TMZ treated (Drug) for 3 or 24 h. Here, significant difference (p≤0.05, three-way 712 ANOVA) between groups are colored in green for metabolite ratio of <1 and red for ratio of >1. 713 Light green and red colors show groups that narrowly missed statistical cutoff for significance 714 0.05<p<0.10. (C) Mtb infection induced levels of intermediates itaconate and succinate. This 715 reflected breakpoints in the TCA cycle that are characteristic of inflammatory macrophage 716 phenotype. Innate immune response of macrophage against the pathogen manifested as elevations 717 in (D) citrulline, an iNOS by product, and (E) oxidized glutathione, a host redox metabolite. (C-

E) Graphs show ScaledImpData of different biochemicals in uninfected (UI) and infected (TB)

samples at the indicated time points. The line and dot show data median and mean, respectively.

720 See also Figure S3.

721

722 Figure 4. FAO inhibition induces mitochondrial ROS burst required for Mtb killing.

723 CellRox mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of (A) uninfected iBMDMs that were untreated or 724 treated with 500nM TMZ for 3h, alone or in presence of mitoTEMPO (10µM) or DPI (10mM), 725 (B) uninfected and infected BMDMs with or without mitoTEMPO (10µM) 4hpi, (C) Mtb-infected 726 Wt and Nox2 KO BMDMs that were untreated or treated with 5nM TMZ for 3h, and (D) Mtb-727 infected Cpt2 cKO and control BMDMs 24 hpi that were untreated or treated with N-acetyl 728 cysteine (NAC, 10mM). tert-Butyl hydroperoxide (tBHP, 0.25mM, 30 mins) was used as a positive 729 control. *P=0.02, **P=0.001, ****P<0.0001, NS- not significant, calculated using unpaired 730 Student's t-test with Welch's correction (A) and ordinary one-way ANOVA (B-D). (E) MitoSox 731 MFI of uninfected BMDMs that were untreated or treated with 500nM TMZ for 3h, alone or in 732 presence of rotenone (10 μ M, 30mins). *P=0.02, ****P \leq 0.0001, ordinary one-way ANOVA. (F) 733 Mtb CFU in BMDMs that were untreated or treated with 50nM TMZ alone or in combination with 734 mitoTEMPO for 120 hr. Data from one of 2 independent experiments shows mean +/-735 s.e.m.**P=0.005 calculated using unpaired Student's t-test with Welch's correction. (A-E) Data 736 shown is mean +/- s.e.m. of MFI derived from individual cells in the sample. At least 100 cells 737 were analyzed from duplicate samples. For (B, E), values are expressed as %uninfected.

738 See also Figure S4.

739

740 Figure 5. FAO inhibition promotes NADPH oxidase recruitment on phagosomes.

741 (A) Survival of Mtb in BMDMs from Nox2 KO and control mice that were untreated or treated 742 with indicated concentrations of ETM and TMZ, 120 hpi.*P=0.04, ****P=0.0001, ordinary one-743 way ANOVA. (B) Immunofluorescence (IF) microscopy of gp91^{phox}/NOX2 (green) and dsRed-744 expressing H37Rv (red) in BMDMs that were infected and treated with 5nM TMZ or untreated 745 for 24h. The co-localized region is shown in yellow, scale bar = $10\mu m$. MFI of the NADPH 746 oxidase subunits (C) gp91^{phox}/NOX2 and (D) p40^{phox} co-localized with H37Rv in BMDMs that 747 were untreated or treated with 5nM TMZ (24hpi). (E) MFI of p40^{phox} co-localized with Mtb in BMDMs treated with 5nM TMZ alone or in presence of mitoTEMPO (48 hpi). (C-E) Automated 748 749 image analysis was used to quantify gp91^{phox}/NOX2 or p40^{phox} MFI co-localized with over 100 750 bacilli in at least 2 independent experiments. Data shows mean +/- s.e.m. of MFI from around 751 individual bacilli in the sample. *P=0.02, ****P≤0.0001 calculated using unpaired Student's t-test 752 with Welch's correction (C-D) or ordinary one-way ANOVA (E).

753

754 Figure 6. Antimicrobial activity of FAO inhibitors depends on autophagy.

755 (A) Survival of Mtb was compared in FAO inhibitor treated control and *Atg5* cKO macrophages, 756 72hpi. (B) CellRox MFI in Mtb infected control and Atg5 cKO BMDMs after TMZ treatment (5 757 nM, 3hr), with or without mitoTEMPO. ****P<0.0001, unpaired Student's t-test with Welch's 758 correction. Survival of Mtb in BMDMs from control and (C) Atg14l cKO cells 72 hpi, and (D) 759 Parkin2 KO cells 120 hpi. Data shows mean +/- s.e.m. *P=0.04, using unpaired t-test with Welch's 760 correction in (A) or ***P=0.0002, ****P≤0.0001 using ordinary one-way ANOVA in (C, D). (E) 761 IF microscopy of p62 (green) and dsRed-expressing H37Rv (red) in BMDMs treated with 5nM 762 TMZ 24hpi. The co-localized region is shown in yellow, scale bar = $10\mu m$. MFI of p62 co-

763	localized with Mtb was measured in BMDMs treated with 5nM TMZ alone or with mitoTEMPO
764	for (F) 3 or (G) 24 hours. **** P≤0.0001, ordinary one-way ANOVA. (H) IF images and (I) MFI
765	of p62 co-localized with Mtb in Cpt2 KO and control BMDMs 24hpi. (J) MFI of p62 co-localized
766	with $\Delta esxA$ in BMDMs treated with TMZ for 24h. (K) MFI of gp91 ^{phox} /NOX2 co-localized with
767	Mtb in control versus Atg5 cKO BMDMs after TMZ treatment (5nM, 24hpi). (L) MFI of p62 co-
768	localized with Mtb in control and Nox2KO macrophages treated with 5nM TMZ with or without
769	mitoTEMPO, 24 hpi. Data shows mean +/- s.e.m.**P=0.001, ****P≤0.0001 calculated using
770	unpaired Student's t-test with Welch's correction in (K) and ordinary one-way ANOVA for (J,L).
771	All panels show data from one representative experiment from at least 2 independent replicates.
772	
773	
774	
775	
113	
776	
777	
778	
779	
780	
781	
/01	
782	
783	

Figure 1







Figure 4



Figure 5





784 SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

785 Figure S1. Inhibition of macrophage FAO restricts intracellular *M. tuberculosis* growth.

786 (A) Murine BMDMs were infected with live-dead reporter expressing H37Rv and were either 787 untreated or treated with 50 nM TMZ for 6 days. The reporter Mtb strain constitutively expresses 788 mCherry and has GFP controlled by a tetracycline-inducible promoter. To induce GFP expression, 789 200 nM anhydrotetracycline was added 2 days after infection. Metabolically active bacteria 790 express both GFP and mCherry, whereas dead bacteria are only mCherry-positive. Representative 791 images show more dead Mtb upon TMZ treatment as compared to control. Scale bar= $10 \ \mu m$. (B) 792 Macrophage were uninfected or infected with Mtb for 72h, and cell viability was assessed using 793 calcein dye mean fluorescence intensity (MFI). Plot shows cell viability expressed as % of 794 uninfected. Data shows mean +/- s.e.m., *P=0.03, **P≤0.0005 ordinary one-way ANOVA. (C) 795 H37Rv expressing the Vibrio harvei luciferase (Rv-lux) was cultured in 7H9 broth with or without 796 1 mM TMZ for 7 days. Plot shows bacterial growth as assessed by relative luminescence units 797 (RLU). (D) Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of etomoxir, oxfenicine, metformin, and 798 isoniazid (INH, positive control) was determined by culturing H37Rv for 4 days in 7H9 broth 799 supplemented with various doses of inhibitors and measuring absorbance at 600 nm. (E) 800 Intracellular growth of M. abscessus in BMDMs that were untreated or treated with indicated 801 concentrations of amikacin or TMZ for 48 hours. Data shows mean +/- s.e.m., ****P<0.0001 802 ordinary one-way ANOVA. (F) BODIPY fluorescent dye was used to stain lipid bodies in 803 BMDMs that were infected for 24 hours with Mtb and either untreated or treated with TMZ. Shown 804 here is mean +/- s.e.m of the % area in a cell occupied by BODIPY stain. ****P≤0.0001, unpaired 805 Student's t-test with Welch's correction. Using extracellular flux analysis, we quantified the (G) 806 oxygen consumption rate (OCR) in uninfected control and Cpt2 cKO BMDMs treated with 5nM

TMZ or solvent control for 3h, followed by sequential addition of oligomycin (A), FCCP (B), and rotenone plus antimycin (C). Data shows average +/- s.d. of 16 replicates.

809

810 Figure S2. Pharmacokinetics study of TMZ in mice.

The half-life of TMZ was determined in C57BL/6 mice that were administered the inhibitor (A) orally at 15 mg/kg or (B) intravenously at 3 mg/kg. (C) TMZ (10.66 mg/kg/day) was administered to female C57Bl/6 mice (n=5) for 48 hours using Alzet osmotic pumps and achieved C_{ave} - 34.5 ng/ml. (D) Protein binding of TMZ in mouse plasma (C57BL/6) was measured using rapid equilibrium dialysis (n=3). Warfarin was used as a control. In humans TMZ is reportedly weakly protein bound (~16%)(Harpey et al., 1988).

817

818 Figure S3. FAO inhibition enhances metabolic changes in response to Mtb infection.

819 Unbiased metabolite profiling was performed in BMDMs to characterize the effect of Mtb 820 infection and TMZ treatment. (A) Macrophage response to infection involved perturbations in 821 redox homeostasis. Increased glutathione synthesis was suggested by increased methionine to 822 cysteine conversion pathway metabolites SAM, SAH and cystathione. Ophthalmate, a 823 compositional derivative of glutathione, can reflect enhanced activity of glutathione synthetase. 824 Marked elevations were observed in gamma-glutamyl amino acids, which are formed when 825 gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase transfers the gamma-glutamyl moiety of glutathione to acceptor 826 amino acids. Infection significantly increased dihydrobiopterin and biopterin. These metabolites 827 are oxidized forms of tetrahydrobiopterin, which is a cofactor for all NOS isoforms and its 828 depletion is associated with enzyme uncoupling and ROS generation. (B) Increases in membrane

829 phospholipids and sphingomyelin were detected 24 hpi, which were enhanced in presence of TMZ. 830 (C) Tryptophan metabolism was increased with infection 24 hpi. Elevated levels of serotonin were 831 observed, with more pronounced accumulation upon TMZ treatment. Graph shows ScaledImpData 832 of serotonin in uninfected (UI) and infected (TB) samples at the indicated time points. The line 833 and dot show median and mean, respectively. Tryptophan can be converted by indoleamine 2,3-834 dioxygenase (IDO) to kynurenine, which is further utilized for nicotinamide metabolism. TMZ 835 treatment in infected cells increased levels of metabolites in the nicotinamide pathway, suggesting 836 it might improve infection control in combination with SIRT 1 activators, as sirtuins are NAD+-837 dependent class III histone deacetylases and activating sirtuin 1 (SIRT 1) is protective in Mtb 838 infection (Cheng et al., 2017). Other metabolic changes during infection included (D) increase in 839 nucleotide sugars, with a marked increase in UDP-N-acetylglucosamine/galactosamine (UDP-840 GlcNAc) when FAO was inhibited. These metabolites are utilized in protein and lipid 841 glycosylation reactions, and UDP-GlcNAc biosynthesis was shown to be important for M2 842 macrophage polarization (Jha et al., 2015). (E) TMZ-induced accumulation in uracil-containing 843 pyrimidines in Mtb infected macrophages. This may indicate an increase in dihydroorotate 844 dehydrogenase (DHODH) activity, which catalyzes a rate-limiting step in the pyrimidine pathway. 845 Since DHODH can supply electrons downstream of complex I of the mitochondrial ETC, it can 846 potentially contribute to RET-ROS production. (A-F) Heatmaps show metabolite ratios in Mtb 847 infected and uninfected (TB/Ctrl) macrophages, that were untreated (None) or TMZ treated (Drug) 848 for 3 or 24 h. Here, significant difference (p≤0.05, three-way ANOVA) between groups are colored 849 in green for metabolite ratio of <1 and red for ratio of >1. Light green and red colors show groups 850 that missed the statistical cutoff for significance $0.05 \le p \le 0.10$.)

851

852	Figure S4. Pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion in macrophages treated with TMZ.
853	Supernatants from uninfected or Mtb-infected BMDMs that were untreated or treated with
854	indicated concentrations of TMZ were harvested 24 and 72 hpi. The levels of pro-inflammatory
855	cytokines and chemokines (A) TNF- α (B) IL-6, (C) CXCL2, (D) IFN- β , (E) CCL2, and (F)
856	CXCL10 were measured using a Milliplex MAP Mouse Cytokine/Chemokine Magnetic Bead
857	Panel. *P≤0.02, **P=0.004 calculated using ordinary one-way ANOVA.
858	
859	Table S1. Metabolite Differences Associated with Mtb infection and TMZ treatment
860	
861	
862	
863	
864	
865	
866	
867	
868	
869	
870	
871	

Figure S1 certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure S2



certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure S3					ТВ				
ligare ee	Sub Dethurov	Dischemiss! Nome	Ctrl						
	Sub Paulway	Biochemical Name	None D		Dr	ug			
			3H	24H	3H	24H			
•		S-adenosylmethionine (SAM)	1.01	1.11	1.07	1.41			
A <u>Redox homeostasis</u>	Methionine and cysteine metabolism	S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH)	0.90	1.30	1.02	1.48			
		cystathionine	0.98	1.34	1.30	1.75			
N N dimethod	Glutathione metabolism	ophthalmate	1.68	0.98	1.49	1.23			
		gamma-glutamylcysteine	1.41	0.98	1.39	0.97			
		gamma-glutamylglutamate	1.46	1.47	1.50	1.46			
S-adenosylmethionine		gamma-glutamylglutamine	2.19	1.93	2.14	2.16			
	Gamma-glutamyl amino acid	gamma-glutamylglycine	1.63	0.80	1.46	1.07			
		gamma-glutamylisoleucine*	1.53	1.00	1.42	1.15			
betaine / homocysteine		gamma-glutamylleucine	1.36	0.82	1.29	1.04			
Ltranssulfuration		gamma-glutamyl-epsilon-lysine	1.25	0.72	1.35	0.64			
cystathionine		gamma-glutamylmethionine	1.71	2.28	1.45	2.40			
		gamma-glutamylphenylalanine	2.73	1.84	2.36	2.34			
cysteine α-ketobutyrate		gamma-glutamylthreonine	1.55	0.86	1.35	0.90			
V-GlutamyLaminoacid		gamma-glutamylvaline	1.41	0.83	1.29	0.99			
	Tetrahydrobiopterin Metabolism	dihydrobiopterin	1.46	3.44	1.24	3.92			
Amino acid glutathione ophthalmate	Pterin Metabolism	pterin	1.42	3.16	1.69	3.38			

B <u>Changes in Phospholipids and</u> <u>sphingolipids</u>

Biochemical Name UI None Display Investigation Investigation 1-myristoyi-2-palmitoyi-GPC (14:0/16:0) 1.04 1.27 0.99 1.41 1-myristoyi-2-palmitogyi-GPC (14:0/16:1) 1.05 1.25 1.12 1.48 1-palmitoyi-2-palmitologyi-GPC (16:0/16:1) 1.05 1.25 1.21 1.48 1-palmitoyi-2-palmitologyi-GPC (16:0/16:1) 1.00 1.16 1.13 1.36 (16:0/12:3n 6)* 1.93 1.04 1.10 1.22 1.05 1-palmitoyi-2-diccosahexaenoyi-GPC 0.93 1.04 1.06 1.36 1-palmitoyi-2-diccosahexaenoyi-GPC 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-oleoyi-2-inoleoyi-GPE (16:0/16:0)* 1.35 1.25 1.27 1.39 1-oleoyi-2-inoleoyi-GPE (16:0/16:1)* 1.05 1.14 1.42 1.44 1.79 1-oleoyi-2-inoleoyi-GPE (16:0/16:1)* 1.05 1.14 1.42 1.44 1.74 1-palmitoyi-2-oleoyi-GPE (16:0/16:1)* 1.08 1.25 <th></th> <th colspan="2" rowspan="3">Biochemical Name</th> <th colspan="5"><u>18</u></th>		Biochemical Name		<u>18</u>				
Nome Drug 3H 24H 3H 24H 1-myristoyi-2-palmitoyi-GPC (14:0/16:0) 1.04 1.27 0.99 1.41 1-myristoyi-2-palmitoleoyi-GPC (16:0/16:1) 1.05 1.25 1.12 1.48 1-palmitoyi-2-palmitoleoyi-GPC (16:0/16:2) 0.94 1.18 1.06 1.28 1-palmitoyi-2-gamma-linolenoyi-GPC 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 1-palmitoyi-2-gamma-linolenoyi-GPC 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 1-palmitoyi-2-dinomo-linolenoyi-GPC 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 16:0/02:3n3 or 6)* 1.03 1.19 1.06 1.38 1-oleoyi-2-diocosahexaenoyi-GPC 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.38 1-oleoyi-2-diocosahexaenoyi-GPC 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-palmitoyi-2-diocosahexaenoyi-GPC 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-palmitoyi-2-diocosahexaenoyi-GPC 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.32 1-palmitoyi-2-arachidonoyi-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 </td <td>Sub Bathway</td> <td colspan="2">Cti</td> <td colspan="2">1</td>	Sub Bathway			Cti		1		
Image: matrix and set in the set	SubFallway			None		ug		
Phosphatidylcholine (PC) 1-myristoyl-2-palmitoyl-GPC (14:0/16:0) 1.04 1.27 0.99 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-palmitoloeQLGPC (16:0/16:1)* 1.05 1.25 1.12 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-palmitoleQLGPC (16:0/16:1)* 1.00 1.16 1.12 1.28 1-palmitoyl-2-gammalinolenoyl-GPC 0.94 1.08 1.06 1.28 1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.36 1-palmitoyl-2-diocosahexaenoyl-GPC 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.36 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 1.09 1.04 1.34 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 1.04 1.34 1.34 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPE (16:0/16:0* 1.35 1.25 1.27 1.39 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.14 1.14 1.39 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:1/			3H	24H	3H	24H		
Phosphatidylcholine (PC) 1-myristoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPC (14:0/20:4)* 1.15 1.11 1.12 1.32 Phosphatidylcholine (PC) 1-palmitoyl-2-palmitoleoyl-GPC (16:0/18:1) 0.094 1.18 1.06 1.28 1-palmitoyl-2-gamma-linoleonyl-GPC (16:0/18:1) 0.094 1.18 1.06 1.28 1-palmitoyl-2-gamma-linoleonyl-GPC (16:0/18:1) 0.094 1.16 1.13 1.36 1-palmitoyl-2-dinoleoyl-GPC (16:0/18:1) 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 (16:0/23:6) 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 119 1.06 1.49 1-oleoyl-2-dinoleoyl-GPE (16:0/16:1)* 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1.49 1-oleoyl-2-dinoleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1.49 1-palmitoyl-2-aenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1.49 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:10/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:10/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.40 1.38 Phosphat		1-myristoyl-2-palmitoyl-GPC (14:0/16:0)	1.04	1.27	0.99	1.41		
Phosphatidylcholine (PC) 1-palmitoyl-2-palmitoleoyl-GPC (16:0/16:1)* 1.05 1.25 1.12 1.48 1-palmitoyl-2-palmitoyl-GPC (16:0/18:2) 0.94 1.18 1.06 1.28 1-palmitoyl-2-gamma-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/18:2) 0.94 1.18 1.06 1.28 1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/18:2) 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/18:2) 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 1-palmitoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPC (16:0/18:2) 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.36 1-oleoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 1.19 1.06 1.19 1-oleoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPC (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.14 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:2) 1.14 1.42 1.44 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:2) 1.14 1.42 1.44 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:2) 1.14 1.42 1.42 1.44 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.14		1-myristoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPC (14:0/20:4)*	1.15	1.11	1.12	1.32		
Phosphatidylcholine (PC) 1-palmitoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (16:0/18:2) 0.94 1.18 1.06 1.28 Phosphatidylcholine (PC) 1-palmitoyl-2-gamma-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/18:3n6)* 1.00 1.16 1.13 1.36 1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/20:3n3 or 6)* 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (16:0/22:6) 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.36 1-oleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-oleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-2edipalmitoyl-2-loeoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.29 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.14 1.23 1-apalmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylgigreerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.41 <td></td> <td>1-palmitoyl-2-palmitoleoyl-GPC (16:0/16:1)*</td> <td>1.05</td> <td>1.25</td> <td>1.12</td> <td>1.48</td>		1-palmitoyl-2-palmitoleoyl-GPC (16:0/16:1)*	1.05	1.25	1.12	1.48		
Phosphatidylcholine (PC) 1-palmitoyl-2-gamma-linolenoyl-GPC 1-palmitoyl-2-dinomo-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/22:03) or 6)* 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 1-palmitoyl-2-dinomo-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/22:0) 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.36 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (16:0/22:0) 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.39 1-oleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-aleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:0/22:6)* 1.18 1.11 1.11 1.14 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:0)* 1.14 1.22 1.24 1.34 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.14 1.14 1.39 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.22 1.07 1.14 1.23 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylgloycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 P		1-palmitoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (16:0/18:2)	0.94	1.18	1.06	1.28		
Phosphatidylcholine (PC) (16:0/18:3n6)* 1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/20:3n3 or 6)* 1.00 1.15 1.13 1.35 1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/20:3n3 or 6)* 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 1-palmitoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPC (16:0/22:6) 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.36 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 1.19 1.06 1.19 1-oleoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPC (16:0/16:0)* 1.35 1.25 1.27 1.39 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPC (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.44 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.04 1.35 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.04 1.42 1.44 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.14 1.23 1-boleoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.24 1.40 1.38 Phosphatidylgloycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 </td <td></td> <td>1-palmitoyl-2-gamma-linolenoyl-GPC</td> <td>1 00</td> <td>4.40</td> <td>4 4 2</td> <td>4.20</td>		1-palmitoyl-2-gamma-linolenoyl-GPC	1 00	4.40	4 4 2	4.20		
Phosphatulylcholine (PC) 1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC (16:0/20:3n3 or 6)* 0.93 1.04 1.10 1.27 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (16:0/22:6) 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.36 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 1.19 1.06 1.19 1-oleoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-almitoyl-2-dicosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-2-dipalmitoyl-GPE (16:0/16:0)* 1.35 1.25 1.27 1.39 1-palmitoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.22 1.07 1.4 1.29 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:1/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.04 1.36 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* <t< td=""><td>Bhoonbatidylabalina</td><td>(16:0/18:3n6)*</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.10</td><td>1.13</td><td>1.30</td></t<>	Bhoonbatidylabalina	(16:0/18:3n6)*	1.00	1.10	1.13	1.30		
(HC) (16:0/20:3n3 or 6)* 0.53 1.04 1.10 121 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (16:0/22:6) 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.36 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 1.19 1.06 1.19 1-oleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.39 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-diocosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-oleoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:1/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.20 1.04 1.38 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 <td>(PC)</td> <td>1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>1.04</td> <td>1 10</td> <td>4.97</td>	(PC)	1-palmitoyl-2-dihomo-linolenoyl-GPC	0.02	1.04	1 10	4.97		
1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (16:0/22:6) 0.95 1.21 1.05 1.36 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 1.19 1.06 1.19 1-oleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-yalmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/18:2) 1.14 1.42 1.44 1.79 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-staaroyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (18:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.14 1.38 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98	(FC)	(16:0/20:3n3 or 6)*	0.93	1.04	1.10	1.27		
(16:0/22:6) 0.93 1.21 1.03 1.33 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 1.19 1.06 1.19 1-oleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1.22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1.22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1.21 1.22:6)* 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-leoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-leoxloosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.26 1.04 1.53 1-staroyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.14 1.20 1-staroyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl		1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC	0.05	4.04	4.05	4.90		
1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)* 1.03 1.19 1.06 1.19 1-oleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 1.2-dipalmitoyl-GPE (16:0/16:0)* 1.35 1.25 1.27 1.39 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.09 1.42 1-almitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:1/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.09 1.42 1-aleoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:1/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.06 1.38 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 <td< td=""><td></td><td>(16:0/22:6)</td><td>0.95</td><td>1.21</td><td>1.05</td><td>1.30</td></td<>		(16:0/22:6)	0.95	1.21	1.05	1.30		
1-oleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC (18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 Phosphatidylethanolam ne (PE) 1,2-dipalmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-elooyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.09 1.42 1.44 1.79 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.14 1.06 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 <td></td> <td>1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)*</td> <td>1.03</td> <td>1.19</td> <td>1.06</td> <td>1.19</td>		1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)*	1.03	1.19	1.06	1.19		
(18:1/22:6)* 0.91 1.13 1.04 1.34 Phosphatidylethanolam ne (PE) 1.2-dipalmitoyl-GPE (16:0/16:0)* 1.35 1.25 1.27 1.39 Phosphatidylethanolam ne (PE) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.44 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/08:2) 1.14 1.42 1.44 1.44 1.49 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.14 1.42 1.44 1.44 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.04 1.38 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylinositol (P) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17		1-oleoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPC	0.04	4.40	4.04	4.24		
1,2-dipalmitoyl-GPE (16:0/16:0)* 1.35 1.25 1.27 1.39 Phosphatidylethanolami ne (PE) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.06 1.42 1.14 1.79 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.26 1.04 1.55 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.26 1.04 1.55 1.41 1.42 1.44 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (18:1/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.10 1.38 Phosphatidylgorerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90		(18:1/22:6)*	0.91	1.13	1.04	1.54		
I-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 1.05 1.18 1.11 1.41 I-palmitoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:2) 1.14 1.42 1.14 1.79 Phosphatidylethanolami ne (PE) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1/s0/22:6)* 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE 1.05 1.14 1.00 1.38 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.02 1.80 S		1,2-dipalmitoyl-GPE (16:0/16:0)*	1.35	1.25	1.27	1.39		
1-palmitoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:2) 1.14 1.42 1.14 1.79 Phosphatidylethanolam ne (PE) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (18:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:1/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.06 Phosphatidylgycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.23 1.63 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.20 1.63 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.23 1.63		1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1)	1.05	1.18	1.11	1.41		
Phosphatidylethanolami ne (PE) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)* 1.12 1.26 1.04 1.55 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (18:0/22:6)* 1.22 1.07 1.14 1.23 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.09 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.20 1.83 sphingomyel		1-palmitoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPE (16:0/18:2)	1.14	1.42	1.14	1.79		
Phosphatulyiednaholalin ne (PE) 1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE (18:0/22:6)* 1.22 1.07 1.14 1.23 Phosphatidylgerine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylgivcerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (18:1/18:2)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.09 1.80 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.01 1.22 1.65 <	Phosphatidylethanolam	1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/20:4)*	1.12	1.26	1.04	1.55		
Ine (PE) (16:0/22:6)* 1.08 1.27 1.09 1.42 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE 1.22 1.07 1.14 1.23 1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE 1.22 1.07 1.14 1.23 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPG (18:1/18:2)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.31 1.22 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)*		1-palmitoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE	4.00	4.07	4 00	4.40		
1-stearoyi-2-docosahexaenoyi-GPE (18:0/22:6)* 1.22 1.07 1.14 1.23 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyi-2-arachidonoyi-GPE (18:1/20:4)* 1.05 1.14 1.10 1.38 Phosphatidylgerend (PG) 1-palmitoyi-2-oleoyi-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylgivcerol (PG) 1-palmitoyi-2-oleoyi-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyi-2-arachidonoyi-GPG (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.89 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.09 1.83 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.63 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.09 1.83 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.63 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13	ne (PE)	(16:0/22:6)*	1.08	1.27	1.09	1.42		
(18:0/22:6)* 1.22 1.07 1.14 1.23 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (P) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.09 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.09 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.20 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.02 1.24 1.20 1.58		1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-GPE	4.33	1.07	1 1 4	4.92		
I-oleoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:1/20:4)* I.05 I.14 I.10 I.38 Phosphatidylserine (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 I.15 I.14 I.36 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 I.29 I.08 I.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 I.29 I.08 I.33 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 I.11 0.98 I.21 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 I.22 0.90 I.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 I.22 0.90 I.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 I.22 1.08 I.12 I.23 Sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 I.23 I.13 I.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d18:1/14:0, d18:1/14:0) I.03 I.23 I.13 I.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* I.03 I.23 I.13 I.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/15:0)* <td< td=""><td></td><td>(18:0/22:6)*</td><td>1.22</td><td>1.07</td><td>1.14</td><td>1.23</td></td<>		(18:0/22:6)*	1.22	1.07	1.14	1.23		
Phosphatidylgerene (PS) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPS (16:0/18:1) 0.97 1.15 1.14 1.36 Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPG (18:1/18:2)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.22 1.22 1.83 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.31 1.22 1.22 1.53 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/1/6:0, d18:1/14:1)* 1.02 1.22 1.22 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/16:0)* 1.04 1.28 1.16 1.28 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* </td <td></td> <td>1-oleoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:1/20:4)*</td> <td>1.05</td> <td>1.14</td> <td>1.10</td> <td>1.38</td>		1-oleoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPE (18:1/20:4)*	1.05	1.14	1.10	1.38		
Phosphatulylsenine (r3) Papanintyl2-biolyr60 3 (10.010.1) 0.37 1.13 1.14 1.00 Phosphatulylsenine (r3) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylgivcerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.03 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d18:1/14:1)* 1.02 1.24 1.20 1.58 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.28 1.55 1.55 Ceramide PEs palm	Phoenbatidy/soring (PS)	1-nalmitov/-2-oloov/LGPS (16:0/18:1)	0.07	1 1 5	1 1 4	1 36		
Phosphatidylglycerol (PG) 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-GPG (16:0/18:1) 0.90 1.29 1.08 1.53 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPG (18:1/18:2)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.09 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.09 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.09 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.02 1.24 1.20 1.58 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.55 sphingomyelin (d17	Filospilatidyiserine (F3)	1-painitoy1-2-0le0y1-8F3 (10.0/18.1)	0.97	1.15	1.14	1.50		
(PG) Indextr (Interpret Ore Cyrclor O (10.011.1)) 0.30 1.33 1.00 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPG (18:1/18:2)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.13 1.22 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/14:0) 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/15:0)* 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.28 1.15 1.35 ceramide PEs pa	Phosphatidylglycerol	1-nalmitov/-2-oloov/I-GPG (16:0/18:1)	0 00	1 20	1.09	1.52		
1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPG (18:1/18:2)* 0.88 1.33 1.10 1.84 Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.22 1.63 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.31 1.22 1.22 1.63 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/14:1)* 1.02 1.24 1.20 1.58 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:1/15:0)* 0.97 1.07 1.28 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.28 1.15 1.35 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:0)* 1.02 1.16 1.06 1.28	(PG)	1-painitoy1-2-0le0y1-8F 8 (10.0/18.1)	0.90	1.25	1.00	1.55		
Phosphatidylinositol (Pl) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.36 1.33 1.10 134 Phosphatidylinositol (Pl) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.31 1.22 1.53 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/14:0) 1.02 1.24 1.20 1.58 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:1/15:0), 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 d16:1/17:0)* sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.28 1.15 1.35 Ceramide PEs palmitoyl-sphingosine- expension explores/instructures/in		1 alagyil 2 linglagyil CPC (18:1/18:2)*	0.00	1 22	1 10	1 0 4		
Phosphatidylinositol (P) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 1.63 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/15:0)* 1.02 1.24 1.20 1.58 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.63 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.28 1.15 1.35 phingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.28 1.16 1.06 1.28		1-0le0y1-2-1110le0y1-0F0 (18.1/18.2)	0.00	1.55	1.10	1.04		
Phosphatidylinositol (PI) 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)* 0.96 1.11 0.98 1.21 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.31 1.22 1.23 1.33 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d18:1/14:1)* 1.02 1.24 1.20 1.58 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/15:0, d16:1/17:0)* 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.22 1.15 1.35 palmitoyl-sphingosine- palmitoyl-sphingosine(d10:0) 1.02 1.16 1.06 1.28								
Sphingomyelins sphingomyelin (d18:2/24:2)* 1.17 1.22 0.90 1.80 Sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.31 1.22 1.22 1.63 Sphingomyelin (d18:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d18:1/14:1)* 1.02 1.24 1.00 1.58 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/15:0, d16:1/17:0)* 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:1/15:0, d16:1/17:0)* 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.22 1.15 1.35 palmitoyl-sphingosine- expensive explores regime - expensive explores regime - expensive explores regime - explores regime 2.102 1.02 1.16 1.06 1.28	Phosphatidylinositol (PI)	1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonoyl-GPI (16:0/20:4)*	0.96	1.11	0.98	1.21		
Sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.31 1.22 1.22 1.33 Sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/15:0)* 1.33 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.23 1.13 1.40 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/14:1)* 1.02 1.24 1.20 1.58 sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/15:0, d16:1/17:0)* 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.22 1.15 1.35 Ceramide PEs palmitoyl-sphingosine- exposer is explore regime (d10.0 (d10:0) 1.02 1.16 1.06 1.28		sphingomyelin (d18·2/24·2)*	1 17	1 2 2	0.90	1.80		
Sphingomyelin (d18:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)* 1.03 1.12 1.13 1.14 1.10 1.12 1.12 1.15 1.15 1.16 1.16 1.17 1.17 1.17 1.17 1.16 1.14 1.16 1.14 1.16 1.14 1.16 1.14 1.16 1.17 1.16 1.16		sphingomyelin (d17:1/14:0_d16:1/15:0)*	1 31	1.22	1 22	1.63		
Sphingomyelins sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d18:1/14:1)* 1.02 1.24 1.20 1.58 Sphingomyelins sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/15:0, d16:1/17:0)* 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 Sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0) 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 Sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 Sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.22 1.15 1.35 Ceramide PEs palmitoyl-sphingosine- chostoc		sphingomyelin (d18:1/14:0, d16:1/16:0)*	1.01	123	1 1 3	1.00		
Sphingomyelins optingomyelin (d10:2/140; d10:1/140; d10:1/1		sphingomyelin (d18:2/14:0, d18:1/14:1)*	1.00	1.20	1 20	1.58		
Alts: 1/17:0)* 1.01 1.17 1.07 1.28 sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.22 1.15 1.35 Ceramide PEs palmitoyl-sphingosine- reservice (d10:4/16:0) 1.02 1.16 1.06 1.28	Sphingomyelins	sphingomyelin (d17:1/16:0, d18:1/15:0	1.02		1.20	1.00		
Sphingomyelin (d17:2/16:0, d18:2/15:0)* 0.97 1.02 1.42 1.64 sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)* 1.06 1.22 1.15 1.35 Ceramide PEs palmitoyl-sphingosine- to soft both conclusion 1.02 1.16 1.06 1.28		d16·1/17·0)*	1.01	1.17	1.07	1.28		
Opiningeringeningeringeningeringeningening		sphingomyelin (d17·2/16·0_d18·2/15·0)*	0.97	1.02	1 4 2	1.64		
Ceramide PEs palmitoyl-sphingosine (149.4/40:0) 1.02 1.16 1.06 1.28		sphingomyelin (d18:2/16:0, d18:1/16:1)*	1.06	1.02	1 1 5	1 35		
Ceramide PEs parameter (d10.1(40.0) 1.02 1.16 1.06 1.28		nalmitovi-enhingosine-	1.00	1.22	1.13	1.00		
nnosnnoemanojamine (d 18-1/16-0)	Ceramide PEs	phosphoethanolamine (d18:1/16:0)	1.02	1.16	1.06	1.28		

Changes in nucleotide sugars

D

		TB				
Sub Pathway	Biochemical Name	Ctrl				
		No	ne	Dr	ug	
		3H	24H	3H	24H	
Nucleotide Sugar	UDP-glucose	1.33	1.57	1.44	1.75	
	UDP-galactose	1.22	1.23	1.25	1.48	
	UDP-glucuronate	1.34	1.47	1.38	1.66	
	guanosine 5'-diphospho-fucose	1.01	1.01	1.05	1.26	
	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine/galactos	1.06	0.90	1.06	1.83	
	cytidine 5'-monophospho-N- acetylneuraminic acid	1.14	0.96	1.06	1.39	
Mannose metabolism	mannose-6-phosphate	1.50	1.20	1.45	2.02	

C Tryptophan metabolism



Ε

Sub Pathway	Biochemical Name	TB			
		Ctrl			
		None		Drug	
		3H	24H	3H	24H
Pyrimidine Metabolism, Orotate containing	dihydroorotate	1.43	1.82	1.44	1.66
	orotate	1.47	2.26	1.67	1.43
	orotidine	1.00	1.10	1.07	1.55

Figure S4

OUninfected ●H37Rv ○H37Rv+TMZ 5nM ⊗H37Rv+TMZ 50nM



872 **REFERENCES**

- 873 Abuaita, B.H., Schultz, T.L., and O'Riordan, M.X. (2018). Mitochondria-Derived Vesicles
- 874 Deliver Antimicrobial Reactive Oxygen Species to Control Phagosome-Localized
- 875 Staphylococcus aureus. Cell Host Microbe 24, 625-636.e625.
- 876 Almeida, P.E., Silva, A.R., Maya-Monteiro, C.M., Töröcsik, D., D'Avila, H., Dezsö, B.,
- 877 Magalhães, K.G., Castro-Faria-Neto, H.C., Nagy, L., and Bozza, P.T. (2009). Mycobacterium
- 878 bovis bacillus Calmette-Guérin infection induces TLR2-dependent peroxisome proliferator-
- 879 activated receptor gamma expression and activation: functions in inflammation, lipid
- metabolism, and pathogenesis. J Immunol 183, 1337-1345.
- Arts, R.J.W., Carvalho, A., La Rocca, C., Palma, C., Rodrigues, F., Silvestre, R., Kleinnijenhuis,
- J., Lachmandas, E., Gonçalves, L.G., Belinha, A., et al. (2016). Immunometabolic Pathways in
- BCG-Induced Trained Immunity. Cell Rep 17, 2562-2571.
- Bewley, M.A., Preston, J.A., Mohasin, M., Marriott, H.M., Budd, R.C., Swales, J., Collini, P.,
- Greaves, D.R., Craig, R.W., Brightling, C.E., et al. (2017). Impaired Mitochondrial Microbicidal
- 886 Responses in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Macrophages. Am J Respir Crit Care Med
- 887 *196*, 845-855.
- 888 Brzostek, A., Pawelczyk, J., Rumijowska-Galewicz, A., Dziadek, B., and Dziadek, J. (2009).
- Mycobacterium tuberculosis is able to accumulate and utilize cholesterol. Journal of bacteriology
 191, 6584-6591.
- 891 Cheng, C.Y., Gutierrez, N.M., Marzuki, M.B., Lu, X., Foreman, T.W., Paleja, B., Lee, B.,
- 892 Balachander, A., Chen, J., Tsenova, L., *et al.* (2017). Host sirtuin 1 regulates mycobacterial
- 893 immunopathogenesis and represents a therapeutic target against tuberculosis. Sci Immunol 2.
- 894 Chouchani, E.T., Pell, V.R., Gaude, E., Aksentijević, D., Sundier, S.Y., Robb, E.L., Logan, A.,
- 895 Nadtochiy, S.M., Ord, E.N.J., Smith, A.C., et al. (2014). Ischaemic accumulation of succinate
- 896 controls reperfusion injury through mitochondrial ROS. Nature 515, 431-435.
- Clausen, B.E., Burkhardt, C., Reith, W., Renkawitz, R., and Förster, I. (1999). Conditional gene
 targeting in macrophages and granulocytes using LysMcre mice. Transgenic Res *8*, 265-277.
- 899 Cohen, S.B., Gern, B.H., Delahaye, J.L., Adams, K.N., Plumlee, C.R., Winkler, J.K., Sherman,
- D.R., Gerner, M.Y., and Urdahl, K.B. (2018). Alveolar Macrophages Provide an Early
- 901 Mycobacterium tuberculosis Niche and Initiate Dissemination. Cell Host Microbe 24, 439-
- 902 446.e434.
- Cumming, B.M., Addicott, K.W., Adamson, J.H., and Steyn, A.J. (2018). *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* induces decelerated bioenergetic metabolism in human macrophages. Elife 7.
- 905 Daniel, J., Maamar, H., Deb, C., Sirakova, T.D., and Kolattukudy, P.E. (2011). Mycobacterium
- 906 tuberculosis uses host triacylglycerol to accumulate lipid droplets and acquires a dormancy-like 907 phenotype in lipid-loaded macrophages. PLoS Pathog 7, e1002093.

- 908 Dézsi, C.A. (2016). Trimetazidine in Practice: Review of the Clinical and Experimental
 909 Evidence. Am J Ther 23, e871-879.
- 910 Divakaruni, A.S., Hsieh, W.Y., Minarrieta, L., Duong, T.N., Kim, K.K.O., Desousa, B.R.,
- 911 Andreyev, A.Y., Bowman, C.E., Caradonna, K., Dranka, B.P., et al. (2018). Etomoxir Inhibits
- 912 Macrophage Polarization by Disrupting CoA Homeostasis. Cell Metab 28, 490-503.e497.
- 913 Duman, C., Yaqubi, K., Hoffmann, A., Acikgöz, A.A., Korshunov, A., Bendszus, M., Herold-
- 914 Mende, C., Liu, H.K., and Alfonso, J. (2019). Acyl-CoA-Binding Protein Drives Glioblastoma
- 915 Tumorigenesis by Sustaining Fatty Acid Oxidation. Cell Metab *30*, 274-289.e275.
- 916 Escoll, P., and Buchrieser, C. (2019). Metabolic reprogramming: an innate cellular defence
- 917 mechanism against intracellular bacteria? Curr Opin Immunol 60, 117-123.
- 918 Fernández-Agüera, M.C., Gao, L., González-Rodríguez, P., Pintado, C.O., Arias-Mayenco, I.,
- 919 García-Flores, P., García-Pergañeda, A., Pascual, A., Ortega-Sáenz, P., and López-Barneo, J.
- 920 (2015). Oxygen Sensing by Arterial Chemoreceptors Depends on Mitochondrial Complex I
- 921 Signaling. Cell Metab 22, 825-837.
- Galván-Peña, S., and O'Neill, L.A. (2014). Metabolic reprograming in macrophage polarization.
 Front Immunol 5, 420.
- 924 Garaude, J., Acín-Pérez, R., Martínez-Cano, S., Enamorado, M., Ugolini, M., Nistal-Villán, E.,
- Hervás-Stubbs, S., Pelegrín, P., Sander, L.E., Enríquez, J.A., et al. (2016). Mitochondrial
- 926 respiratory-chain adaptations in macrophages contribute to antibacterial host defense. Nat
- 927 Immunol 17, 1037-1045.
- 928 Gleeson, L.E., Sheedy, F.J., Palsson-McDermott, E.M., Triglia, D., O'Leary, S.M., O'Sullivan,
- 929 M.P., O'Neill, L.A., and Keane, J. (2016). Cutting Edge: Mycobacterium tuberculosis Induces
- 930 Aerobic Glycolysis in Human Alveolar Macrophages That Is Required for Control of
- 931 Intracellular Bacillary Replication. J Immunol *196*, 2444-2449.
- 932 Gonzalez-Hurtado, E., Lee, J., Choi, J., Selen Alpergin, E.S., Collins, S.L., Horton, M.R., and
- 933 Wolfgang, M.J. (2017). Loss of macrophage fatty acid oxidation does not potentiate systemic
- 934 metabolic dysfunction. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab *312*, E381-E393.
- 935 Hall, C.J., Boyle, R.H., Astin, J.W., Flores, M.V., Oehlers, S.H., Sanderson, L.E., Ellett, F.,
- 236 Lieschke, G.J., Crosier, K.E., and Crosier, P.S. (2013). Immunoresponsive gene 1 augments
- 937 bactericidal activity of macrophage-lineage cells by regulating β -oxidation-dependent
- 938 mitochondrial ROS production. Cell Metab 18, 265-278.
- Harpey, C., Clauser, P., Labrid, C., Freyria, J.-L., and Poirier, J.-P. (1988). Trimetazidine, A
- 940 Cellular Anti-ischemic Agent. Cardiovascular Drug Reviews 6, 292-312.
- Huang, L., Nazarova, E.V., Tan, S., Liu, Y., and Russell, D.G. (2018). Growth of
- 942 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in vivo segregates with host macrophage metabolism and ontogeny.
- 943 J Exp Med 215, 1135-1152.

- Jha, A.K., Huang, S.C., Sergushichev, A., Lampropoulou, V., Ivanova, Y., Loginicheva, E.,
- Chmielewski, K., Stewart, K.M., Ashall, J., Everts, B., et al. (2015). Network integration of
- 946 parallel metabolic and transcriptional data reveals metabolic modules that regulate macrophage
- 947 polarization. Immunity 42, 419-430.
- Kelly, B., and O'Neill, L.A. (2015). Metabolic reprogramming in macrophages and dendritic cells in innate immunity. Cell Res *25*, 771-784.
- 950 Kim, Y.S., Lee, H.M., Kim, J.K., Yang, C.S., Kim, T.S., Jung, M., Jin, H.S., Kim, S., Jang, J.,
- 951 Oh, G.T., et al. (2017). PPAR-α Activation Mediates Innate Host Defense through Induction of
- 952 TFEB and Lipid Catabolism. J Immunol *198*, 3283-3295.
- 953 Köster, S., Upadhyay, S., Chandra, P., Papavinasasundaram, K., Yang, G., Hassan, A., Grigsby,
- S.J., Mittal, E., Park, H.S., Jones, V., *et al.* (2017). Mycobacterium tuberculosis is protected from
- 955 NADPH oxidase and LC3-associated phagocytosis by the LCP protein CpsA. Proc Natl Acad Sci
- 956 U S A *114*, E8711-E8720.
- 957 Lachmandas, E., Beigier-Bompadre, M., Cheng, S.C., Kumar, V., van Laarhoven, A., Wang, X.,
- Ammerdorffer, A., Boutens, L., de Jong, D., Kanneganti, T.D., *et al.* (2016). Rewiring cellular
- 959 metabolism via the AKT/mTOR pathway contributes to host defence against Mycobacterium
- tuberculosis in human and murine cells. Eur J Immunol *46*, 2574-2586.
- 261 Lampropoulou, V., Sergushichev, A., Bambouskova, M., Nair, S., Vincent, E.E., Loginicheva,
- E., Cervantes-Barragan, L., Ma, X., Huang, S.C., Griss, T., et al. (2016). Itaconate Links
- 963 Inhibition of Succinate Dehydrogenase with Macrophage Metabolic Remodeling and Regulation
- 964 of Inflammation. Cell Metab 24, 158-166.
- 265 Langston, P.K., Nambu, A., Jung, J., Shibata, M., Aksoylar, H.I., Lei, J., Xu, P., Doan, M.T.,
- Jiang, H., MacArthur, M.R., *et al.* (2019). Glycerol phosphate shuttle enzyme GPD2 regulates
- 967 macrophage inflammatory responses. Nat Immunol 20, 1186-1195.
- Lee, J., Ellis, J.M., and Wolfgang, M.J. (2015). Adipose fatty acid oxidation is required for thermogenesis and potentiates oxidative stress-induced inflammation. Cell Rep *10*, 266-279.
- Lionetti, V., Stanley, W.C., and Recchia, F.A. (2011). Modulating fatty acid oxidation in heart
 failure. Cardiovasc Res *90*, 202-209.
- 972 Manzanillo, P.S., Ayres, J.S., Watson, R.O., Collins, A.C., Souza, G., Rae, C.S., Schneider, D.S.,
- 973 Nakamura, K., Shiloh, M.U., and Cox, J.S. (2013). The ubiquitin ligase parkin mediates
- 974 resistance to intracellular pathogens. Nature *501*, 512-516.
- 975 Manzanillo, P.S., Shiloh, M.U., Portnoy, D.A., and Cox, J.S. (2012). Mycobacterium
- tuberculosis activates the DNA-dependent cytosolic surveillance pathway within macrophages.Cell Host Microbe *11*, 469-480.
- 978 Marrero, J., Rhee, K.Y., Schnappinger, D., Pethe, K., and Ehrt, S. (2010). Gluconeogenic carbon
- 979 flow of tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates is critical for Mycobacterium tuberculosis to
- 980 establish and maintain infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107, 9819-9824.

- 981 Martin, C.J., Booty, M.G., Rosebrock, T.R., Nunes-Alves, C., Desjardins, D.M., Keren, I.,
- Fortune, S.M., Remold, H.G., and Behar, S.M. (2012). Efferocytosis is an innate antibacterial
 mechanism. Cell Host Microbe *12*, 289-300.

Martinez, J., Malireddi, R.K., Lu, Q., Cunha, L.D., Pelletier, S., Gingras, S., Orchard, R., Guan,
J.L., Tan, H., Peng, J., *et al.* (2015). Molecular characterization of LC3-associated phagocytosis

- reveals distinct roles for Rubicon, NOX2 and autophagy proteins. Nat Cell Biol 17, 893-906.
- 987 Michelucci, A., Cordes, T., Ghelfi, J., Pailot, A., Reiling, N., Goldmann, O., Binz, T., Wegner,
- A., Tallam, A., Rausell, A., et al. (2013). Immune-responsive gene 1 protein links metabolism to
- 989 immunity by catalyzing itaconic acid production. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*, 7820-7825.
- 990 Mills, E.L., Kelly, B., Logan, A., Costa, A.S.H., Varma, M., Bryant, C.E., Tourlomousis, P.,
- Däbritz, J.H.M., Gottlieb, E., Latorre, I., *et al.* (2016). Succinate Dehydrogenase Supports
- 992 Metabolic Repurposing of Mitochondria to Drive Inflammatory Macrophages. Cell 167, 457-
- 993 470.e413.
- Munoz-Elias, E.J., and McKinney, J.D. (2005). Mycobacterium tuberculosis isocitrate lyases 1
 and 2 are jointly required for in vivo growth and virulence. Nat Med *11*, 638-644.
- 996 Nair, S., Huynh, J.P., Lampropoulou, V., Loginicheva, E., Esaulova, E., Gounder, A.P., Boon,
- A.C.M., Schwarzkopf, E.A., Bradstreet, T.R., Edelson, B.T., et al. (2018). Irg1 expression in
- myeloid cells prevents immunopathology during *M. tuberculosis* infection. J Exp Med 215,
 1035-1045.
- 1000 Napier, R.J., Rafi, W., Cheruvu, M., Powell, K.R., Zaunbrecher, M.A., Bornmann, W., Salgame,
- 1001 P., Shinnick, T.M., and Kalman, D. (2011). Imatinib-sensitive tyrosine kinases regulate
- 1002 mycobacterial pathogenesis and represent therapeutic targets against tuberculosis. Cell Host
- 1003 Microbe 10, 475-485.
- 1004 Nazarewicz, R.R., Dikalova, A.E., Bikineyeva, A., and Dikalov, S.I. (2013). Nox2 as a potential 1005 target of mitochondrial superoxide and its role in endothelial oxidative stress. Am J Physiol
- 1006 Heart Circ Physiol *305*, H1131-1140.
- Nunes, P., Demaurex, N., and Dinauer, M.C. (2013). Regulation of the NADPH oxidase andassociated ion fluxes during phagocytosis. Traffic *14*, 1118-1131.
- 1009 Olive, A.J., Smith, C.M., Kiritsy, M.C., and Sassetti, C.M. (2018). The Phagocyte Oxidase 1010 Controls Tolerance to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Immunol *201*, 1705-1716.
- 1011 Ouimet, M., Koster, S., Sakowski, E., Ramkhelawon, B., van Solingen, C., Oldebeken, S.,
- 1012 Karunakaran, D., Portal-Celhay, C., Sheedy, F.J., Ray, T.D., et al. (2016). Mycobacterium
- tuberculosis induces the miR-33 locus to reprogram autophagy and host lipid metabolism. NatImmunol 17, 677-686.
- 1015 Pandey, A.K., and Sassetti, C.M. (2008). Mycobacterial persistence requires the utilization of
- 1016 host cholesterol. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105, 4376-4380.

1017 Parihar, S.P., Guler, R., Khutlang, R., Lang, D.M., Hurdayal, R., Mhlanga, M.M., Suzuki, H.,

1018 Marais, A.D., and Brombacher, F. (2014). Statin therapy reduces the mycobacterium tuberculosis

1019 burden in human macrophages and in mice by enhancing autophagy and phagosome maturation.

1020 J Infect Dis 209, 754-763.

- 1021 Peyron, P., Vaubourgeix, J., Poquet, Y., Levillain, F., Botanch, C., Bardou, F., Daffe, M., Emile,
- 1022 J.F., Marchou, B., Cardona, P.J., et al. (2008a). Foamy macrophages from tuberculous patients'
- 1023 granulomas constitute a nutrient-rich reservoir for M. tuberculosis persistence. PLoS Pathog 4,
- 1024 e1000204.

1025 Peyron, P., Vaubourgeix, J., Poquet, Y., Levillain, F., Botanch, C., Bardou, F., Daffé, M., Emile,

- 1026 J.F., Marchou, B., Cardona, P.J., *et al.* (2008b). Foamy macrophages from tuberculous patients'
- 1027 granulomas constitute a nutrient-rich reservoir for M. tuberculosis persistence. PLoS Pathog 4, 1028 e1000204.
 - Pinegin, B., Vorobjeva, N., Pashenkov, M., and Chernyak, B. (2018). The role of mitochondrial
 ROS in antibacterial immunity. J Cell Physiol 233, 3745-3754.
 - 1031 Russell, D.G., Cardona, P.J., Kim, M.J., Allain, S., and Altare, F. (2009). Foamy macrophages 1032 and the progression of the human tuberculosis granuloma. Nat Immunol *10*, 943-948.
 - Scialò, F., Fernández-Ayala, D.J., and Sanz, A. (2017). Role of Mitochondrial Reverse Electron
 Transport in ROS Signaling: Potential Roles in Health and Disease. Front Physiol *8*, 428.
 - 1035 Scialò, F., Sriram, A., Fernández-Ayala, D., Gubina, N., Lõhmus, M., Nelson, G., Logan, A.,
 - 1036 Cooper, H.M., Navas, P., Enríquez, J.A., et al. (2016). Mitochondrial ROS Produced via Reverse
- 1037 Electron Transport Extend Animal Lifespan. Cell Metab 23, 725-734.
- 1038 Shi, L., Salamon, H., Eugenin, E.A., Pine, R., Cooper, A., and Gennaro, M.L. (2015). Infection 1039 with Mycobacterium tuberculosis induces the Warburg effect in mouse lungs. Sci Rep 5, 18176.
- 1040 Singh, V., Jamwal, S., Jain, R., Verma, P., Gokhale, R., and Rao, K.V. (2012). Mycobacterium
- 1040 singh, V., Jahlwal, S., Jahl, K., Verha, F., Ookhale, K., and Kao, K.V. (2012). Wycobacterium 1041 tuberculosis-driven targeted recalibration of macrophage lipid homeostasis promotes the foamy 1042 shareting. Call Heat Microba 12, 660, 681
- 1042 phenotype. Cell Host Microbe 12, 669-681.
- 1043 Singhal, A., Jie, L., Kumar, P., Hong, G.S., Leow, M.K., Paleja, B., Tsenova, L., Kurepina, N.,
- 1044 Chen, J., Zolezzi, F., *et al.* (2014). Metformin as adjunct antituberculosis therapy. Sci Transl 1045 Med 6, 263ra159.
- 1046 Sun, J., Singh, V., Lau, A., Stokes, R.W., Obregón-Henao, A., Orme, I.M., Wong, D., Av-Gay,
- 1047 Y., and Hmama, Z. (2013). Mycobacterium tuberculosis nucleoside diphosphate kinase
- 1048 inactivates small GTPases leading to evasion of innate immunity. PLoS Pathog 9, e1003499.
- 1049 Upadhyay, S., and Philips, J.A. (2019). LC3-associated phagocytosis: host defense and microbial1050 response. Curr Opin Immunol *60*, 81-90.
- 1051 Van den Bossche, J., O'Neill, L.A., and Menon, D. (2017). Macrophage Immunometabolism:
- 1052 Where Are We (Going)? Trends Immunol 38, 395-406.

- 1053 Vorobjeva, N., Prikhodko, A., Galkin, I., Pletjushkina, O., Zinovkin, R., Sud'ina, G., Chernyak,
- 1054 B., and Pinegin, B. (2017). Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species are involved in
- 1055 chemoattractant-induced oxidative burst and degranulation of human neutrophils in vitro. Eur J
- 1056 Cell Biol *96*, 254-265.
- 1057 Wang, T., Fahrmann, J.F., Lee, H., Li, Y.J., Tripathi, S.C., Yue, C., Zhang, C., Lifshitz, V.,
- 1058 Song, J., Yuan, Y., *et al.* (2018). JAK/STAT3-Regulated Fatty Acid β-Oxidation Is Critical for
- 1059 Breast Cancer Stem Cell Self-Renewal and Chemoresistance. Cell Metab 27, 1357.
- 1060 Wang, Y., Palmfeldt, J., Gregersen, N., Makhov, A.M., Conway, J.F., Wang, M., McCalley,
- 1061 S.P., Basu, S., Alharbi, H., St Croix, C., et al. (2019). Mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation and the
- 1062 electron transport chain comprise a multifunctional mitochondrial protein complex. J Biol Chem
- 1063 *294*, 12380-12391.
- 1064 West, A.P., Brodsky, I.E., Rahner, C., Woo, D.K., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., Walsh,
- 1065 M.C., Choi, Y., Shadel, G.S., and Ghosh, S. (2011). TLR signalling augments macrophage
- 1066 bactericidal activity through mitochondrial ROS. Nature 472, 476-480.
- 1067 Wolf, A.J., Linas, B., Trevejo-Nuñez, G.J., Kincaid, E., Tamura, T., Takatsu, K., and Ernst, J.D.
- 1068 (2007). Mycobacterium tuberculosis infects dendritic cells with high frequency and impairs their
- 1069 function in vivo. J Immunol 179, 2509-2519.
- Wong, K.W., and Jacobs, W.R. (2011). Critical role for NLRP3 in necrotic death triggered by
 Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Cell Microbiol *13*, 1371-1384.
- 1072 Xue, J., Schmidt, S.V., Sander, J., Draffehn, A., Krebs, W., Quester, I., De Nardo, D., Gohel,
- 1073 T.D., Emde, M., Schmidleithner, L., *et al.* (2014). Transcriptome-based network analysis reveals 1074 a spectrum model of human macrophage activation. Immunity *40*, 274-288.
- 1075