#### 1 Introducing ExHiBITT – Exploring Host microbiome inTeractions in Twins-, a colon

## 2 multiomic cohort study

- 3 Marina Mora-Ortiz<sup>1#</sup>, Hajir Ibraheim<sup>2#</sup>, Sherine Hermangild Kottoor<sup>2</sup>, Ruth C. E. Bowyer<sup>1</sup>, Sarah
- 4 Metrustry<sup>1</sup>, Jeremy Sanderson<sup>3</sup>, Nicholas Powell<sup>2</sup>, Tim D. Spector<sup>1</sup>, Kerrin S. Small<sup>1</sup>, Claire J.
- 5 Steves<sup>\*1</sup>
- 6 <sup>#</sup>Joint first authors
- 7 <sup>1</sup> The Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, St
- 8 Thomas' Hospital, Lambeth Palace Road, London, SE1 7EH, UK;
- 9 <sup>2</sup> Experimental Immunobiology, Division of Transplant Immunology and Mucosal Biology,
- 10 Great Maze Pond, King's College London, SE1 9RT, UK;
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Guy's & St. Thomas' Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
- 12 London, United Kingdom<sup>\*</sup>
- 13 Corresponding authors\*: Claire Steves <u>Claire.steves@kcl.ac.uk</u> and Kerrin Small
  14 <u>Kerrin.small@kcl.ac.uk</u>
- 15 Abstract:

16 The colon is populated by approximately 10<sup>12</sup> microorganisms, but the relationships between this 17 microbiome and the host health status are still not completely understood. Participants from the 18 TwinsUK cohort were recruited to study the interactions between the microbiome and host 19 adaptive immunity. In total, 205 monozygotic twins were recruited from the wider TwinsUK 20 cohort. They completed health questionnaires, and provided saliva, blood, colon biopsies from 21 three different locations, caecal fluid, and two faecal- samples.

Here, our objective is to present the cohort characteristics of **ExHiBITT** including i) biomedical phenotypes, ii) environmental factors and ii) colonoscopic findings. A significant proportion of this apparently normal cohort had colonic polyps (28%), which are of interest as potential precursors of colorectal cancer, and as expected, the number of polyps found was significantly correlated with BMI and age. Hitherto undiagnosed diverticulosis was also not 27 infrequently found during colonoscopy (26%) and was associated in changes in Hybrid Th1-17 28 cells in the colon. Twin proband cooccurrence rate for diverticulosis (82%), was much higher 29 than for polyps (42%). Familial factors affecting morphology or tolerance may contribute to the 30 ease of endoscopy, as both the time to reach the caecum, and pain perceived were highly 31 concordant (proband concordance: 85% and 56% respectively). We found the expected positive 32 relationship between BMI and colonoscopic anomalies such as diverticular disease and polyps in 33 the whole population, but within twin pairs this association was reversed. This suggests that 34 familial factors confound these associations. Host and microbial Next Generation Sequencing 35 and metabolomics of the samples collected are planned in this cohort.

36 Key words: cohort profile, twins, colon, microbiome, host genetics, polyps, diverticulosis

#### 37 Introduction

38 The colon, is the last part of the digestive system where water, salt and some vitamins, such as 39 vitamin K or thiamine, are absorbed prior to defaecation. It is also a key location where 40 microbial fermentation of remaining solid waste material takes place (1-3). The large intestine is 41 populated by approximately 10<sup>12</sup> microorganisms, out of the circa 10<sup>14</sup> microorganisms hosted in 42 different niches of the human body including skin, genitourinary and respiratory tracts, and small 43 and large intestine (4-7). Over 700 different species live in the colon, prevalently dominated by 44 Firmicutes and Bacteroides, with a varying ratio depending on different factors including health 45 status (8-11). Interactions between the microbiota and the colon can be classified as mutualistic, 46 symbiotic or pathobiontic (12, 13) and evidence is mounting for a role in host health and disease. 47 Most human studies to date investigate the relationship between faecal samples and host 48 physiology. However, animal studies have indicated tighter relationships between colonic 49 microbiota and host physiology than with the stool, and highlighted the influence of microbiota 50 on colonic gene expression (14).

51 ExHiBITT – Exploring Host microBIome inTeraction in Twins- is a sub-study within TwinsUK
52 cohort (15, 16), which will enable scientist access to a large number of OMICS' data related to

53 the colon. Twin studies may particularly useful to study deep-tissue microbiota-host interactions, 54 in part because of the strong influence of host genetics on gene expression and immune function 55 disease associations, and to a lesser extent on microbiome itself (17-20). By analysing changes in 56 monozygotic twins, with the same host genetics, effects of different microbiota can be examined 57 without the variance attributable to host genetics. Thus, twins' studies are recognised for their 58 potential to investigate different phenotypes separating genetic from environmental effects (21). 59 Monozygotic (MZ) twin pairs, where genetic variation is rare or null, provide the ideal scenario 60 to investigate the effect of environmental factors such as gut microbiota, diet, smoking status or 61 living habitat (22, 23). Analysis of samples, using high-throughput techniques, including Next 62 Generation Sequencing (NGS), metabolomics and immune profiling of peripheral blood and 63 caecum of twin pairs, is underway to investigate the host and microbiome genetics, metabolome 64 and associated modulations of the immune system.

65 The objective of the present study was firstly to describe the distribution of this newly 66 established cohort according to three different types of phenotypes: i) colonoscopy findings, ii) 67 biomedical phenotypes and iii) environmental factors, and to assess the twin concordance for 68 endoscopic variables. We then interrogated the relationships between BMI and colonoscopic 69 findings using standard and within-pair regression modelling. Secondly, although routine 70 endoscopic biopsies are considered safe, there is limited outcome data in patients that have large 71 numbers of research biopsies taken, and where available is retrospective in nature (24). In this 72 study we report on the safety and tolerability of taking more than 20 research biopsies within an 73 older adult population.

Analysis of the colonoscopic findings found within this non-clinical population are not trivial. Polyps are tumours affecting approximately half of the western population at some point in life and detected in up to a third of all colonoscopies (25). The majority of polyps are adenomatous and, by definition, dysplastic with malignant potential. Adenomatous polyps increase with age, occurring in 21-28% 50-59 year olds, 41-45% in 60-69 year olds, and 53-58% in patients over 70 79 (26). Dysplastic polyps which are left undetected can develop into colorectal cancer (CRC), the 80 third most prevalent cancer worldwide (27-30). There is interest, therefore, in understanding the 81 development of polyps as a precursor of cancer. Diverticular disease is the symptomatic 82 manifestation (normally abdominal pain) of people who have develop diverticula, which are 83 small bulges in the large intestine (31). Approximately 1 every 4 people with will develop 84 diverticulitis, which is the inflammation lead by bacteria and is associated with increased risk of 85 intestinal perforation (32).

### 86 Material and Methods:

# 87 Study ethical approval and participants consent

The ethics of this study were approved by the English National Health Service (NHS) Research
Ethics Committee in June 2015. Participants provided informed written consent after
registration and hold the right to drop out at any point of the study.

## 91 Recruitment

92 The TwinsUK ExHiBITT – Exploring Host microBlome inTeraction in Twins- cohort was 93 established between 2015 and 2018 to study interactions between colon microbiota and host 94 genomics. Twins were recruited from the TwinsUK cohort with the eligibility criteria outlined in 95 Supplementary\_material\_1.

96 Individuals who fell under this criterion were contacted by email. As the focus of our study was97 healthy ageing, individuals were recruited from older age bands preferentially.

## 98 Data and sample collection

99 This cohort was annotated for three different types of phenotypes described in100 Supplementary\_material\_2.

101 Living area was assigned by extracting Land Cover Map (LCM) 2015 1 km target class for each 102 of the participant's postcode using R package 'raster' and 'rgdal'. LCM classes were then 103 reassigned as urban, suburban or rural. Phenotypes were assessed thought self-reported 104 questionnaires in all cases except for weight and height in BMI, which were measured the day of

105 the visit. SocioEconomic Status (SES) was based on postcode location and assigned using 106 published deciles of the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) for Scotland, Wales, England and 107 northern Ireland, where 1 is the most deprived and 10 is the least deprived (33). Frailty index was 108 annotated as described in Searle *et al.* (2008) (34).

Every patient underwent a colonoscopy, using the same bowel preparation (sennakot and sodium picosulphate). Colon biopsies were taken at colonoscopies from up to four locations (right colon, left colon, terminal ileum and cecum), caecal fluid, saliva and blood samples were collected at time of visit (Supplementary\_material\_3). Stool samples were taken 24 hours prior, before bowel preparation, and also at more than one week after the visit.

114 Data recorded just before commencing colonoscopy included presence/absence of irritable 115 bowel syndrome (IBS), and presence/absence of a history of abdominal pain, loose stool or 116 constipation. Phenotypic information collated during colonoscopy included endoscopic findings 117 (i.e. polyps and location and number of areas containing diverticulae), pain scores as assessed by 118 the endoscopist using the modified Gloucester scale (35) (1= comfortable, 5= frequent 119 discomfort with significant distress), quality of bowel preparation and time to caecum. 120 Histological outcomes from clinical biopsies of lesions were collated after the procedure.

# 121 Immune profiling from peripheral blood and biopsies

122 Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated using ficoll-paque density gradient 123 centrifugation method. Multi-parametric flow cytometry was performed after staining with 124 relevant fluorescent monoclonal antibodies to quantify T cell. Effector memory T-cells were 125 identified as CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25 CD45RO<sup>+</sup>CD45RA CCR7, which then subsequently defined Th1 126 (CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CCR6<sup>+</sup>), Th17 (CXCR3<sup>-</sup>CCR6<sup>+</sup>), Th1-17 hybrid (CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CCR6<sup>+</sup>) and Th2 (CXCR3<sup>+</sup> 127 CCR6 CCR4<sup>+</sup>) cells. Antigen experienced regulatory T cells (Ag Exp Treg) were defined as 128 CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>CD45RA<sup>-</sup>CCR4<sup>+</sup> which were then subdivided into T helper like subsets based 129 on CCR6 and CXCR3 expression (Figure 1, panel a): 1A).

130 Endoscopically acquired colonic biopsies were sampled and partially disrupted by gently 131 compressing the epithelial/luminal aspect of the biopsy into the foam matrix. Complete culture 132 medium (supplemented with rhIL2, broad spectrum antibiotics and anti-fungal reagents) was 133 added and immune cells progressively migrated out of tissue into the culture medium. Cells were 134 harvested after 48 hours for downstream analysis. Leukocyte yield using this system was typically 135 in the region of  $2x10^5$  cells per biopsy. The cells were then stimulated with PMA and ionomycin 136 for 3 hours and analysed by intracellular cytokine staining and flow cytometry. T helper cell 137 subsets were defined as Th1 (IFN- $\gamma^{+}$ IL-17), Th17 (IFN- $\gamma$ IL-17<sup>+</sup>), and Th1-17 (IFN- $\gamma^{+}$ IL-17<sup>+</sup>) 138 cells. (Figure 1, panel b).: 1B)

139 The data for each type of cell was calculated as a percentage of parent cell population and140 analysed using graphpad prism software.

141 Statistical analysis

142 Descriptive statistics for sex, rearing, ethnicity, smoking status, living area and
143 socioeconomical status as well as polyp presence) and measured variables (BMI, age and frailty)
144 were calculated using RStudio (version 0.99.489 – © 2009-2015 RStudio, Inc).

For the concordance analysis of colonic traits, twin pairs where one of the individuals had missing information were removed. The formula employed was: CR pairwise= (Number of concordant pairs / (Number of concordant pairs + number of discordant pairs)) \* 100 and CR proband= (2\*Number of concordant pairs / ((2\*Number of concordant pairs) + number of discordant pairs)) \* 100.

149 Inferential statistics were employed to interrogate the cohort through Linear Mixed Effect 150 Models (LMEM) using the algorithm provided in the R package lme4 (36). The model employed 151 was: *lmer(Trait ~ Frailty + Age + BMI + Quality\_of\_bowel\_prep + (1 | Family\_No))*, where the 152 random effects were the biological variates (frailty, age and BMI) and a technical covariate 153 (quality of bowel preparation). The fixed effect was family relatedness. The traits studied were 154 the four colonoscopy-derived phenotypes previously described. Moreover, a second model: 155 *lmer(Time\_to\_caecum ~ Pain\_score +* Endoscopist + AbdSym\_including\_IBS + Quality\_of\_bowel\_prep 156 + Age + Frailty + BMI + (1 | Family\_No) was employed to identify any connexion between time

- 157 to caecum and the phenotypes measured. Bonferroni correction was applied to all the results
- 158 obtained from the statistical analysis.
- 159 Differences in between and within variation in twin pairs were studied using a linear model. The
- 160 model used was:  $lmer(Trait \sim BMI^b + BMI^w + Frailty^b + Frailty^w + (1 | Family_No))$ , where <sup>b</sup>
- 161 (between) denotes the mean for the trait in each family group, and " (within) the difference
- 162 between individuals and the family mean for each pair. Statistical difference in the between and
- 163 within coefficients for each trait was calculated using LINear COMbination of estimators
- 164 (LINCOM), implemented in STATA, where the model was reiterated.
- 165 Data availability
- 166 Data produced during the colonoscopy study will be publicly available through managed access.
- 167 Researchers interested can request access following TwinsUK procedure available at TwinsUK
- 168 Data Access Policy (<u>http://twinsuk.ac.uk</u>).
- 169 **<u>Results and discussion:</u>**

## 170 **1. Recruitment**

171 Two hundred and five twins volunteered for the study; out of those, two hundred successfully
172 completed the colonoscopy. Withdrawals were linked to the discovery of a suspected cancer
173 (n=3) or voluntary discontinuation during the intervention due to discomfort (n=2).

174 2. Samples collection

Colon biopsies were collected for interrogation of host genomics and microbiome analysis (data not reported here). Samples were conserved in liquid nitrogen and included biopsies from i) left colon (n=196), ii) terminal ileum (n=151), iii) caecum (n=73), and right colon (n=24) when one of the other locations was difficult to sample. Mucosal biopsies to be used for microbial analysis were conserved at -80°C. This included i) left colon (n=200), ii) right colon (n=179) and iii) caecum (n=79). Colon biopsies were taken in triplicates. In total, 5 replicates of caecal fluid were collected during the colonoscopy (n=197). Faecal samples were collected immediately prior to 182 bowel preparation (n=169), and one week after (n=188). Other samples included saliva (n=180)

183 and blood (n=204), which was stored as serum and plasma.

184 **3.** Cohort descriptive statistical analysis

The average age of the cohort was  $58.70 \pm 9.55$  (F= $58.60 \pm 9.52$ , M= $59.04 \pm 9.38$ ), BMI was 26.37  $\pm$  5.22 (F= $26.01 \pm 5.18$ , M= $27.66 \pm 5.21$ ), and frailty index  $0.18 \pm 0.10$  (F= $0.19 \pm 0.10$ , M= $0.17 \pm 0.09$ ) (Supplementary\_material\_4, panel a). Twin pairs where differences between continuous traits (i.e. BMI, frailty index and EIMD deciles was bigger than 1 standard deviation were considered discordant (Supplementary\_material\_4, panel b). One twin pair was found discordant for BMI, and 2 for frailty. In total, 39 twin pairs were found discordant for EIMD decile. The twin pair with discordant BMI and frailty was also discordant for EIMD deciles.

192 In total, there were one hundred and sixty-one women and forty-four men in the cohort. Only 193 four individuals (2%) were reared apart. Ninety-five percent of the individuals identified 194 themselves as white, 2% as mixed, 2% as black and 1% as Asian. Five percent of twins could not 195 attend the colonoscopy visit with their co-twins, and one individual's twin dropped out from the 196 study just before the visit. Smokers represented 26% of the cohort, 66% of individuals never 197 smoked and 3% considered themselves as ex-smokers. Currently, 55% of the cohort live in the 198 same county as their co-twin. Fifty-nine percent of the cohort live in sub-urban areas, 28% in 199 rural areas and 11% in urban areas. Regarding socioeconomic status, 8% of the cohort were 200 classified as belonging to IMD decile 1-2, 15% to SES 3-5, 42% to SES 6-8 and 35% to SES 9-201 10 where 10 is the least deprived (Supplementary\_material\_4, panel c).

In total, colonoscopy information from 196 individuals was collected. This information is next
 described following the time sequence of the data collection and from specific to accumulative
 phenotypic traits.

205 Pre-procedure outcomes

Twenty individuals (13%) reported irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), with a concordance rate of
 50% (proband) respectively. Everybody with IBS reported one type or another of abdominal

208 symptom. The different types of symptoms recorded were: i) pain/cramps (n=26), ii) 209 constipation (n=22), iii) rectal bleeding (n=2), diarrhoea (n=7) and alternative 210 diarrhoea/constipation (n=6). The accumulative trait 'presence of abdominal symptoms or IBS' 211 counted for 40 individuals (28%) affected by at least one symptom. The pairwise concordance 212 rate was 48%, and the proband was 65% (Table 1). The influence of genetic and environmental 213 factors on the emergence of IBS has been the subject of considerable debate, with increasing 214 evidence that supports a role for genetic susceptibility. Our findings on concordance rates, is 215 slightly higher than other MZ twin studies which have showed concordance rates between 17% 216 and 33%(37-39).

## 217 Procedure related outcomes

218 Out of the 196 individuals with colonoscopy information, 4 of them had poor bowel
219 preparation, 25 adequate and the rest had good bowel preparation. Quality of bowel
220 preparation was used in the LMEM as a potential confounder.

221 Sedation provided included midazolam, fentanyl, endotox or nothing. The medication index 222 was created considering the following factors: i) 1 mg of Midazolam= 1 index unit, ii) 25  $\mu$ cg of 223 Fentanyl = 1 unit, and iii) Endotox use = 1 index unit. Sedation scores ranged from 1-6, average 224 3.8 ± 2.1. 15 twin pairs were discordant by more than one SD, giving a proband concordance 225 rate equal to 91% (Table 1). These concordances should be taken with caution, as the 226 endoscopist was not blinded to twin pairing. Concordant twin pairs for sedation were selected to 227 study **pain scores** associations with time to caecum.

Two different types of pain traits were used, the original pain score taken during the colonoscopy and the predicted pain score adjusted taking into account the sedation. For that purpose, a Linear Mixed Effect Model (*Pain\_score* ~ *Sedation\_score* + (1 | *Family*)) was built in R to calculate the residuals from pain score taking into account family and sedation. Concordance rates were calculated in both traits, but only *Pain\_score* of concordant twins for *Sedation\_score* was used in the model to calculate associations with time to caecum. The minimum pain score was 0, and -1.19 in the predicted pain score. The maximum were 5 and 2.45 units respectively. The average pain score was  $1.58 \pm 0.79$ , and  $0.003 \pm 0.60$  for the predicted pain score. The proband concordant rate for pain score was 56% and 59% for the predicted pain score (Table 1).

237 Time to caecum was in average  $12.97 \pm 7.12$  min, maximum time to caecum was 52 minutes and 238 minimum 1.33. There were 64 concordant pairs and 21 discordant by more than one standard 239 deviation. The concordance rate was 86% (proband)(Table 1). This minimal variation between 240 twins in caecal intubation time, suggests that technical difficulty and by inference colonic 241 morphology, was similar. Although this is not an entirely unexpected finding, it has not been 242 previously described in MZ twin colonoscopies. Focussing only on those individuals with 243 polyps and/or diverticulosis, in total 93 of them had one condition or both. Individuals had 244 between 0 to 4 total polyps and/or diverticulosis in total (Supplementary\_material\_5, panels b, f 245 and j). The proband concordance rate for polyps and/or diverticulosis was 74% (Table 1). This 246 concordance is illustrated in Supplementary\_material\_6.

Fifty-seven people had colonic **polyps** (28%), one of them had a potential cancer and appropriate actions were taken. The number of polyps ranged from multiple (>7) to 1 (average where present 1.5), (Supplementary\_material\_5, panels c, g and k). The concordance rate for polyps was 42% (proband). Only 4 pairs were concordant for tubular adenomas, the rest of the pairs discordant (n=29), giving a proband concordance rate of 22%.

Despite the fact that known **diverticular disease** was an exclusion for the study (due to the increased risk of bowel perforation), 51 people were found to have diverticulosis on endoscopy (26%), of which the majority (29) where located in the left colon. The number of locations for diverticulae within an individual ranged from 0 to 2. No individuals had evidence of inflamed diverticulae (diverticulitis). Twenty-one twin pairs (n=42) were concordant for diverticulosis and nine cases (n=9) were discordant (Fig 3, panels: I, j, k, and i). Thus, *diverticulosis* had the highest concordance between twins at 82% (proband) (Table 1, Supplementary\_material\_6). 259 In a previous twin cohort study from the Swedish Twin Registry, the MZ concordant rate for 260 diverticulosis was 6% only, due to the fact there were over fourteen fold times more discordant 261 twins for diverticulosis than concordant ones (40). Similarly, the diverticulosis study from the 262 Danish Twin Registry found that the diverticulosis twin concordance rate was 8% (40, 41). 263 Differences between these studies and the results from the colonoscopy TwinsUK is most likely 264 to be a function of ascertainment. Our participants were selected not to have a known diagnosis 265 of diverticulosis, and presence was ascertained endoscopically. Whereas these other studies relied 266 on health record data from physician diagnosis and asymptomatic co-twins may not have 267 undergone a colonoscopy. Alternatively there could come from environmental and genetic 268 variation between Scandinavian and British populations, or differences in advances in the 269 colonoscopy techniques (where employed), cohort size and recruitment criteria and timing of the 270 study (the Swedish Twin Registry took data from 1886 to 1980, and the Danish went from 1977 271 to 2011, while the TwinsUK colonoscopy study examined volunteers between 2015 and 2018). 272 Heritability of diverticular disease has been estimated by Strate and colleagues (2013) (42) as 273 53%, which could be an underestimate due to asymptomatic disease. To the best of our 274 knowledge, the high endoscopic concordance rate for diverticulosis in identical twins identified 275 in this cohort was never reported before. This indicates that genetic variants could contribute to 276 the development of diverticulosis, as previously indicated.

#### 277 Complications

278 Despite the large number of samples collected, there were no major complications, including 279 perforation or bleeding. Minor complications included incomplete procedures secondary to 280 patient discomfort (n=2) or presence of a fixed sigmoid that limited endoscopic progression. 281 One 61year-old patient who received sedation experienced a transient vasovagal episode during 282 the procedure.

## 283 Post-procedure related outcomes

One hundred and four individuals had some sort of **abnormality** in the mucosa observed either during the colonoscopy or at histology. Individuals with presence of any abnormality represented 51% of the cohort, 45% of the cohort was absent of any sort of abnormality and the remaining are those individuals with no colonoscopy information available (N/A). Abnormalities ranged from 0 to 4 (Supplementary\_material\_5, panels a, e and i). Twenty-one twin pairs (n=42) were discordant for any abnormality, and 41 twin pairs were concordant. This gave a concordant rate of 80% (proband).

291

#### 4. Cohort inferential statistical analysis

A LMEM was used to interrogate if our colonoscopy traits were associated with biological covariates (i.e. BMI, age, frailty). Results from the LMEM showed that age and BMI were statistically significant according to i) *total number of abnormalities*, ii) *total number of polyps and diverticulosis*, and iii) *total number of polyps*. Total number of diverticulosis was only relatively closed to be significant in the case of BMI (Supplementary\_material\_7, Table 2). There was no detectable association between time to caecum and biological covariates.

298 Furthermore, between family (b) and within pair (w) twin differences for BMI and frailty index 299 were studied using linear models. No significance was found for frailty. Reflecting the results 300 above, and consistent with previous published studies (43-46), BMIb was statistically significant 301 in all the traits studied such that higher BMI led to greater risk of anomalies. BMI difference 302 within pairs was significantly different from the between family difference in all four tests and 303 showed significant opposite relationship in the traits i) total number of polyps, and ii) total number of 304 polys and/or diverticulosis, i.e. higher BMI within pairs led to reduced risk of anomalies. This could 305 indicate common factors to both twins, such as genetics and early life environment, could be the 306 link between with BMI and the colonoscopy traits studied such as polyps (Table 3), rather than 307 BMI being directly causal. This is intriguing given the evidence of host genetic factors impacting 308 the gut microbiome (47), and obesity (48). Only a minority of studies have looked at microbiome 309 as a potential biomarker associated with the development of polyps in healthy individuals (30, 46,

310 49). Further work with ExHiBITT will consider microbiome composition in relationship to

311 polyps and diverticular disease.

# 312 Immune profiling outcomes

313 The twin pairs were highly concordant for different T cell subsets in both blood (Figure 2, panel 314 a) and gut (Figure 3, panel a). Preliminary analysis showed differences in the immune response 315 between males and females such as increased CD4 proportion and reduced antigen experienced 316 Treg in females (not shown). Interestingly we found increased proportion of Th17 and Th2 cells 317 in the peripheral blood in autumn-winter seasons compared to spring- summer seasons (Figure 318 2, panel b). No marked differences were seen in the peripheral blood immune profile in traits 319 such as polyp or diverticulosis. However, increased proportion of hybrid Th1-17 cells producing 320 both IFN gamma and IL-17 were found in colonic biopsies from patients with diverticulosis 321 (Figure 3, panel b). No differences were found in the gut immune profile of individuals 322 with/without polyps. Since generation of effector T-cell responses has been shown to be 323 dependent on the composition of the intestinal microbiota, it will be interesting to look at the 324 microbiome driving these differences in our cohort.

#### 325 Conclusions:

326 This cohort represents a great potential to study microbiome-host interactions in the colon, and 327 their implications for the host immune system. The cohort is annotated for a large number of 328 phenotypes representative of UK society. Preliminary findings showed that polyps are strongly 329 correlated with BMI and age, but that the relationship with BMI may be confounded by factors 330 genetics and other factors shared by twins. There is a high rate of concordance between twin 331 pairs for diverticulosis, less so for polyps. Interestingly, similar intubation times and pain scores 332 were found for twin pairs, which could indicate that familial factors determine the ease of 333 colonoscopy for both the endoscopist and patient. Further studies will include the high

throughput analysis of the samples. We have also successfully phenotyped immune profile from the blood and gut of healthy twin pairs. High rate of concordance was found among twin pairs for effector and regulatory T cell subsets highlighting genetic control of immune response in monozygotic twins whereas seasonal variations found in the proportion of effector cell subsets ascertains the environmental programming of immune responses. Hybrid Th1-17 cells in the gut were shown to be associated with diverticulosis. Further analysis of this cohort will reveal the ileal microbiota responsible for driving systemic and mucosal immune response.

- 341 Abbreviations
- 342 BMI, Body Mass Index
- 343 MZ, Monozygotic
- 344 NGS, Next Generation Techniques
- 345 NHS, National Health Service
- 346 SES socioeconomic status
- 347 Declarations
- 348 The authors declare they do not have conflict of interest.

## 349 Acknowledgments

The authors thank the twins for their participation in the study, and the Medical Research Council (MRC) for funding this research (RE10740). We also wish to thank Clare Stockwell, Rachel Horsfall and Isabelle Granville Smith from the Microbiome Project, and Genevieve Lachance, Darioush Yarand and Merve Demirol from IT/Data & Administration resources, King's College, University of London, for their technical assistance. Finally, we would also like to thank to Dr Julia El-Sayed Moustafa for the advice provided with the statistical models.

# 356 Funding

- 357 This work was supported by a Medical Research Council (MRC) grant [grant number RE10740].
- 358 The TwinsUK study was funded by the Wellcome Trust and European Community's Seventh
- 359 Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013). The TwinsUK study also receives support from the

- 360 National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)- funded BioResource, Clinical Research Facility
- 361 and Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in
- 362 partnership with King's College London.

#### 363 Authors' contributions

- 364 MMO wrote the first draft of the manuscript, compiled the metadata and created the figures. HI
- 365 contributed to collect colonoscopy data and contribute to the gastroenterological aspects of the
- 366 manuscript. SHK carried out all the immunological analysis and contribute to write the
- 367 manuscript. RB compiled the metadata related to socioeconomic status, frailty and geographical
- 368 location. NP conducted the colonoscopies. TS, KS and CS conceived the idea and supervised
- 369 the work. All authors contributed to the experimental plan, supervised the work and contributed
- to write the manuscript. All authors have approved the final manuscript.

#### 371 List of tables:

Trait	С	D	Т	Concordant rate % (pairwise) (C/(C+D))*100	Concordant (proband) (2C/(2C+D))*100	rate
Any abnormality in mucosa	41	21	62	66%	80%	
P and/or Di	34	24	58	59%	74%	
Polyps	12	33	45	27%	42%	
Tubular adenoma	4	29	33	12%	22%	
Diverticulosis	21	9	30	70%	82%	
Abdominal symp	13	14	27	48%	65%	
IBS	5	10	15	33%	50%	
Time to caecum	64	21	85	65%	86%	
Pain score	16	25	41	39%	56%	
Predicted pain	17	24	41	41%	59%	
Medication	76	15	91	84%	91%	

**Table 1.** Concordance rate expressed in percentage.

373 C= Number of concordant pairs, D=Number of Discordant pairs, T= Total number of pairs,

- 374 P=polyps, Di=diverticulosis.
- 375

376 Table 2: Results from the LMEM used to interrogate the phenotypes from the colonoscopy

- analysis according to the biological covariates: BMI, age and frailty:
- 378

		Error		
Frailty Index	-1.09	0.68	-1.61	0.10
Age	0.03	0.01	3.60	***
BMI	0.04	0.01	3.15	**
Number of Polyps and Diverticulosis (n=1	.90)			
Frailty Index	-0.97	0.61	-1.60	0.11
Age	0.03	0.01	4.04	***
BMI	0.05	0.01	4.04	***
Number of Polyps (n=188)				
Frailty I	-0.81	0.52	-1.56	1
Age	0.02	0.01	3.30	**
BMI	0.03	0.01	3.16	**
Number of Diverticulosis locations (n=183	5)			
Frailty I	-0.37	0.28	-1.33	0.19
Age	0.01	0.01	2.02	*
BMI	0.01	0.01	1.52	0.13
Signif. codes: <0.001 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.	05 '.' 0.1 ' '	1; Bonferro	ni correcti	on: 0.0125

379 380

381 Table 3: Results from the LMEM and LINCOM test used to interrogate the between and within

382 variation in BMI and frailty:

383

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(>Chisq)	LINCOM
Number of Abnormali	ties (n=184)			· <u>-</u> ·	
BMI <sup>b</sup>	0.03	0.01	3.50	0.001 **	0.005 *
$BMI^w$	-0.00	0.01	-0.16	0.876	0.005
Frailty <sup>b</sup>	-0.23	0.52	-0.43	0.668	,
Frailty <sup>w</sup>	0.16	0.50	0.32	0.750	n/a
Number of Polyps and	Diverticulosis (	(n=184)			ļ.
BMI <sup>b</sup>	0.05	0.01	3.42	0.001 **	0.001 ***
$BMI^w$	-0.06	0.03	-2.37	0.020 .	0.001
Frailty <sup>b</sup>	-0.86	0.73	-1.18	0.242	
Frailty <sup>w</sup>	0.88	0.83	1.07	0.288	n/a
Number of Polyps (n=	182)				
BMI <sup>b</sup>	0.03	0.01	2.23	0.028 .	0.002 *
$BMI^w$	-0.06	0.02	-2.38	0.019 .	0.005
Frailty <sup>b</sup>	-0.82	0.65	-1.26	0.211	
Frailty <sup>w</sup>	0.11	0.88	0.12	0.904	n/a
Number of Diverticulo	sis (n=178)				
BMI <sup>b</sup>	0.02	0.01	2.25	0.027 .	0.05
$\mathrm{BMI}^{\mathrm{w}}$	-0.01	0.01	-0.79	0.430	0.05 .
Frailty <sup>b</sup>	0.28	0.67	0.42	0.678	
Frailty <sup>w</sup>	0.71	0.38	1.88	0.063	11/a

- 384 Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1; Bonferroni correction: 0.0125,
- 385 LINCOM: LINear COMbinations of estimators

## 386 List of figures:



388 Figure 1. Flow cytometric gating strategy. Panel a) Flowcytometric analysis of peripheral 389 blood CD4 T cells (gated on CD3+ live lymphocytes) which were then divided into 390 CD127<sup>high</sup>CD251<sup>ow</sup> conventional T cells (Tconv) and CD127<sup>low</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup> regulatory T cells (Treg). 391 Tconv cells were then divided into naive and memory T cells. CD45RO<sup>+</sup>CD45RA<sup>-</sup> memory T 392 cells were subdivided into CCR7<sup>-</sup> effector memory (TEM) and CCR7<sup>+</sup> central memory (TCM) T 393 cells. TEM defined Th17 (CCR6<sup>+</sup>CXCR3<sup>-</sup>), Th1 (CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CCR6<sup>-</sup>), Th1-17 (CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CCR6<sup>+</sup>) 394 and Th2 (CXCR3 CCR6 CCR4<sup>+</sup>) cells. Antigen experienced Treg (Ag exp Treg) were defined as 395 CD45RA CCR4<sup>+</sup> Treg which were then subdivided into T helper like subsets based on CCR6 396 and CXCR3 expression. Panel b) Flow cytometric analysis of lamina propria mononuclear cells-397 CD4 T cells (gated on CD45<sup>+</sup>CD3<sup>+</sup> live lymphocytes) were divided into Th1, Th1-17 and Th17 398 cells based on IFN gamma and Il-17 expression.

387

399

400



401 Figure 2. Peripheral blood immunophenotyping. Panel a) Proportion of different T helper
402 cell subsets correlate between individual Twin pairs. Panel b) Frequency of CD4 T cells, Th1,
403 Th1-17, Th17, Th2 and Ag exp Tregs between samples collected at different seasons.



404

405 Figure 3. Gut immunophenotyping. Panel a) Proportion of different T helper cell subsets in

406 the gut correlate between Twin pairs. Panel b) Proportion of CD4 T cells, Th1, Th1-17 and

407 Th17between individuals with or without diverticulosis.

- 408 Bibliography
- 409 1. Agur A, Lee M, Grant J. Grant's Atlas of Anatomy. 10th Ed. . London, UK:410 Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 1999.
- 411 2. McGregor A, Decker G. Lee McGregor's synopsis of surgical anatomy. 12th ed.
  412 Bristol: John Wright; 1986.
- 413 3. Krogh D. Biology: A Guide to the Natural World: Benjamin-Cummings Publishing 414 Company; 2010.
- 4. Burrows MP, Volchkov P, Kobayashi KS, Chervonsky AV. Microbiota regulates type
  1 diabetes through Toll-like receptors. 2015;112(32):9973-7.
- 5. Suau A, Bonnet R, Sutren M, Godon J-J, Gibson GR, Collins MD, et al. Direct
  Analysis of Genes Encoding 16S rRNA from Complex Communities Reveals Many Novel
  Molecular Species within the Human Gut. 1999;65(11):4799-807.
- 420 6. Savage DC. Microbial Ecology of the Gastrointestinal Tract. 1977;31(1):107-33.
- 421 7. Andersson AF, Lindberg M, Jakobsson H, Bäckhed F, Nyrén P, Engstrand L.
  422 Comparative Analysis of Human Gut Microbiota by Barcoded Pyrosequencing. PLOS ONE.
  423 2008;3(7):e2836.
- 424 8. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, et
  425 al. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature. 2012;486:222.
- 426 9. The Human Microbiome Project C, Huttenhower C, Gevers D, Knight R, Abubucker
  427 S, Badger JH, et al. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome.
  428 Nature. 2012;486:207.
- Bloom SM, Bijanki VN, Nava GM, Sun L, Malvin NP, Donermeyer DL, et al.
  Commensal Bacteroides species induce colitis in host-genotype-specific fashion in a mouse
  model of inflammatory bowel disease. Cell host & microbe. 2011;9(5):390-403.
- 432 11. Bottacini F, Ventura M, van Sinderen D, O'Connell Motherway M. Diversity, ecology
  433 and intestinal function of bifidobacteria. Microbial cell factories. 2014;13 Suppl 1(Suppl
  434 1):S4-S.
- 435 12. Ruff WE, Kriegel MA. Autoimmune host–microbiota interactions at barrier
  436 sites and beyond. Trends in Molecular Medicine. 2015;21(4):233-44.
- 437 13. Belkaid Y, Naik S. Compartmentalized and systemic control of tissue immunity by
  438 commensals. Nature Immunology. 2013;14:646.
- 439 14. Steegenga WT, de Wit NJ, Boekschoten MV, Ijssennagger N, Lute C, Keshtkar S, et
  440 al. Structural, functional and molecular analysis of the effects of aging in the small intestine
  441 and colon of C57BL/6J mice. BMC Med Genomics. 2012;5:38-.
- 442 15. Moayyeri A, Hammond CJ, Hart DJ, Spector TD. The UK Adult Twin Registry
  443 (TwinsUK Resource). Twin research and human genetics : the official journal of the
  444 International Society for Twin Studies. 2013;16(1):144-9.
- Verdi S, Abbasian G, Bowyer RCE, Lachance G, Yarand D, Christofidou P, et al.
  TwinsUK: The UK Adult Twin Registry. Twin research and human genetics : the official
  journal of the International Society for Twin Studies. 219.
- 448 17. Goodrich Julia K, Waters Jillian L, Poole Angela C, Sutter Jessica L, Koren O,
  449 Blekhman R, et al. Human Genetics Shape the Gut Microbiome. Cell. 2014;159(4):789-99.

450 18. Grundberg E, Small KS, Hedman ÅK, Nica AC, Buil A, Keildson S, et al. Mapping
451 cis- and trans-regulatory effects across multiple tissues in twins. Nature genetics.
452 2012;44(10):1084-9.

453 19. Jackson MA, Jeffery IB, Beaumont M, Bell JT, Clark AG, Ley RE, et al. Signatures
454 of early frailty in the gut microbiota. Genome Medicine. 2016;8(1):8.

455 20. Jackson MA, Verdi S, Maxan M-E, Shin CM, Zierer J, Bowyer RCE, et al. Gut 456 microbiota associations with common diseases and prescription medications in a population-457 based cohort. Nature Communications. 2018;9(1):2655.

- 458 21. Carlin JB, Sterne JAC, Gurrin LC, Morley R, Dwyer T. Regression models for twin
  459 studies: a critical review. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2005;34(5):1089-99.
- 22. Ding X, Hu Y, Guo X, Guo X, Morgan I, He M. Possible Causes of Discordance in
  Refraction in Monozygotic Twins: Nearwork, Time Outdoors and Stochastic Variation.
  Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2018;59(13):5.
- 463 23. Boomsma D, Busjahn A, Peltonen L. Classical twin studies and beyond. Nature
  464 Reviews Genetics. 2002;3:10.
- 465 24. Yao MD, von Rosenvinge EC, Groden C, Mannon PJ. Multiple endoscopic biopsies
  466 in research subjects: safety results from a National Institutes of Health series. Gastrointestinal
  467 endoscopy. 2009;69(4):906-10.
- Lieberman DA, Faigel DO, Logan JR, Mattek N, Holub J, Eisen G, et al. Assessment
  of the quality of colonoscopy reports: results from a multicenter consortium. Gastrointestinal
  endoscopy. 2009;69(3):645-53.
- 471 26. Pezzoli A, Matarese V, Rubini M, Simoni M, Caravelli G, Stockbrugger R, et al.
  472 Colorectal cancer screening: results of a 5-year program in asymptomatic subjects at
  473 increased risk. Digestive and liver disease. 2007;39(1):33-9.
- 474 27. Sinicrope PS, Goode EL, Limburg PJ, Vernon SW, Wick JB, Patten CA, et al. A
  475 Population-Based Study of Prevalence and Adherence Trends in Average Risk Colorectal
  476 Cancer Screening, 1997 to 2008. 2012;21(2):347-50.
- 477 28. Friedenberg FK, Singh M, George NS, Sankineni A, Shah S. Prevalence and
  478 distribution of adenomas in black Americans undergoing colorectal cancer screening.
  479 Digestive diseases and sciences. 2012;57(2):489-95.
- Blumenstein I, Tacke W, Bock H, Filmann N, Lieber E, Zeuzem S, et al. Prevalence
  of colorectal cancer and its precursor lesions in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients
  undergoing total colonoscopy: results of a large prospective, multicenter, controlled
  endoscopy study. 2013;25(5):556-61.
- 484 30. Brim H, Yooseph S, Zoetendal EG, Lee E, Torralbo M, Laiyemo AO, et al.
  485 Microbiome analysis of stool samples from African Americans with colon polyps. PloS one.
  486 2013;8(12):e81352-e.
- 487 31. Pemberton JH. Clinical manifestations and diagnosis of acute diverticulitis in adults.
  488 In: Lamont JT, Grover S, editors. uptodate2019. p. <u>https://www.uptodate.com</u>.
- 489 32. Böhm SK. Risk Factors for Diverticulosis, Diverticulitis, Diverticular Perforation, and
  490 Bleeding: A Plea for More Subtle History Taking. Viszeralmedizin. 2015;31(2):84-94.
- 33. Bowyer RCE, Jackson MA, Le Roy CI, Ni Lochlainn M, Spector TD, Dowd JB, et al.
  Socioeconomic Status and the Gut Microbiome: A TwinsUK Cohort Study. Microorganisms.
  2019;7:17.
- 494 34. Searle SD, Mitnitski A, Gahbauer EA, Gill TM, Rockwood KJBG. A standard 495 procedure for creating a frailty index. 2008;8(1):24.
- 496 35. Chilton A, Rutter M. Quality assurance guidelines for colonoscopy. NHS Cancer497 Screening Programmes. 2011.
- 498 36. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using
  499 lme4. 2015. 2015;67(1):48 %J Journal of Statistical Software.

500 37. Morris-Yates A, Talley NJ, Boyce PM, Nandurkar S, Andrews G. Evidence of a 501 genetic contribution to functional bowel disorder. The American journal of gastroenterology. 502 1998;93(8):1311-7.

503 38. Levy RL, Jones KR, Whitehead WE, Feld SI, Talley NJ, Corey LA. Irritable bowel 504 syndrome in twins: heredity and social learning both contribute to etiology. Gastroenterology.

505 2001;121(4):799-804.

- 506 39. Mohammed I, Cherkas LF, Riley SA, Spector TD, Trudgill NJ. Genetic influences in 507 irritable bowel syndrome: a twin study. The American journal of gastroenterology. 508 2005;100(6):1340.
- 509 40. Granlund J, Svensson T, Olén O, Hjern F, Pedersen NL, Magnusson PKE, et al. The 510 genetic influence on diverticular disease – a twin study. Alimentary Pharmacology & 511 Therapeutics. 2012;35(9):1103-7.
- 512 41. Strate LL, Erichsen R, Baron JA, Mortensen J, Pedersen JK, Riis AH, et al. 513 Heritability and Familial Aggregation of Diverticular Disease: A Population-Based Study of 514 Twins and Siblings. Gastroenterology. 2013;144(4):736-42.e1.
- 515 42. Reichert MC, Lammert F. The genetic epidemiology of diverticulosis and diverticular 516 disease: Emerging evidence. United European gastroenterology journal. 2015;3(5):409-18.
- 517 43. Comstock SS, Hortos K, Kovan B, McCaskey S, Pathak DR, Fenton JI. Adipokines 518 and obesity are associated with colorectal polyps in adult males: a cross-sectional study. PloS 519 one. 2014;9(1):e85939-e.
- 520 44. Ben Q, An W, Jiang Y, Zhan X, Du Y, Cai QC, et al. Body Mass Index Increases 521 Risk for Colorectal Adenomas Based on Meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2012;142(4):762-522 72.
- 523 45. Omata F, Deshpande GA, Ohde S, Mine T, Fukui T. The association between obesity 524 and colorectal adenoma: systematic review and meta-analysis. Scandinavian Journal of 525 Gastroenterology. 2013;48(2):136-46.
- 526 46. Rex DK, Lehman GA, Ulbright TM, Smith JJ, Pound DC, Hawes RH, et al. Colonic 527 neoplasia in asymptomatic persons with negative fecal occult blood tests: influence of age, 528 gender, and family history. The American Journal of Gastroenterology. 1993;88(6):6.
- 529 Goodrich JK, Davenport ER, Beaumont M, Jackson MA, Knight R, Ober C, et al. 47. 530 Genetic Determinants of the Gut Microbiome in UK Twins. Cell host & microbe. 531 2016;19(5):731-43.
- 532 48. Bäckhed F, Manchester JK, Semenkovich CF, Gordon JI. Mechanisms underlying the 533 resistance to diet-induced obesity in germ-free mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of 534 Sciences of the United States of America. 2007;104(3):979-84.
- 535 49. Hale VL, Chen J, Johnson S, Harrington SC, Yab TC, Smyrk TC, et al. Shifts in the 536 Fecal Microbiota Associated with Adenomatous Polyps. Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & 537 prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by 538
- the American Society of Preventive Oncology. 2017;26(1):85-94.
- 539