1

1	Gene expression is associated with virulence in murine macrophages
2	infected with Leptospira spp
3	
4	Erivelto Corrêa de Araújo Junior ¹ , Leandro Encarnação Garcia ¹ , Matheus Janeck Araújo ¹ ,
5	Itamar Souza Oliveira-Junior ² , Daniel Robert Arnold ¹ , Flavia Lombardi Lopes ¹ , Márcia
6	Marinho ¹ *
7	
8	¹ Department of Production and Animal Health, São Paulo State University (Unesp),
9	School of Veterinary Medicine, Araçatuba, SP, Brazil.
10	² Department of Surgery, Discipline of Anesthesia, Pain and Intensive Medicine, Federal
11	University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
12	
13	*Corresponding author
14	Email: marcia.marinho@unesp.br (MM)

2

15

16 Abstract

17

18 Leptospira genus contains species that affect human health with varying degrees of pathogenicity. In this context, we aimed to evaluate the differences in modulation of host 19 gene expression by strains of Leptospira with varied virulence degrees. Our data showed 20 21 a high number of differentilly expressed transcripts in murine macrophages following 6h of infection with both virulent and culture-attenuated L. interrogans and to a lesser 22 degree, with the saprophyte strain L. biflexa. That suggests that certain genes are 23 modulated by Leptospira infection independent of their degree of virulence, whether 24 others are virulence and species associated. Pathway analysis indicated that Apoptosis, 25 ATM Signaling and Cell Cycle: G2/M DNA Damage Checkpoint Regulation were 26 exclusively regulated following infection with the virulent strain. Results demonstrated 27 that species and virulence play a role during host response to *Leptosppira* spp in murine 28 macrophages. 29

30 Keywords: Leptospirosis; macrophages; immune response; microarray

31 Author summary

Leptospirosis is an infectious disease that is transmitted from animals to humans. It is a 32 33 re-emerging neglected zoonosis that is found in a range of environments worldwide, most notably tropical regions prone to flooding. This bacteria is found in soil and water and are 34 eliminated in the urine by rats, their natural host reservoir. Through skin contacts with the 35 bacteria people or animals can get infected however the infection process is still poorly 36 37 understood, such as the fact that different strains can cause different severity of illness. In 38 this study, we aimed to evaluate the differences in modulation of host gene expression by strains of Leptospira varying in virulence. After transcriptomic analysis, the results 39

40	showed a high number of differentially expressed genes after 6h of infection by virulent
41	and attenuated L. interrogans, and to a lesser extent with L. biflexa saprophytic lineage.
42	This suggests that RNAs are modulated after infection by Leptospira in macrophages, in
43	a species and virulence related manner. It is hoped that the data produced will contribute
44	to further our understanding on the pathogenesis of leptospirosis.
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	

4

54 Introduction

Leptospirosis is a zoonotic bacterial disease that occurs in different 55 epidemiological conditions [1]. The genus Leptospira encompasses pathogenic and 56 saprophytic species that differ in their ability to survive and colonize different 57 environments and hosts [2]. Leptospira species are classified into three groups according 58 to their pathogenic potential: virulent pathogenic, intermediate, and saprophytes [3]. 59 Leptospirosis occurs mainly in vulnerable populations, including urban and rural dwellers 60 [4] of tropical and subtropical developing countries [5-7]. It is a major public health 61 problem, with a recent estimate of 1 million cases per year, and a mortality rate of 5 to 62 10% [4,8-9]. 63

Leptospires are capable of infecting humans and many domestic and wild animals, survive and thrive in host tissues, escaping from the host's natural defense mechanisms. Transmission is based on direct or indirect contact with the urine of carriers (mainly rodents); the disease varied from sub-clinical to most serious cases, progressing to renal failure and pulmonary hemorrhage [10-1].

Host-specific immune response against pathogenic leptospires are poorly understood, particularly regarding susceptibility resistance to infection. For decades, adaptive humoral immunity was considered as the sole player in leptospirosis, but in recent years some progress has been achieved in the fields of innate and adaptive immunity [11-14].

Murray and coworkers [15] identified a number of virulence factors, including the presence of lipopolysaccharides (LPS), heme oxygenase [16], Loa22 lipoprotein [17] and other proteins related to macrophage interaction with *Leptospira*.

Phagocytosis is one of the main mechanisms to eliminate invading microbial
pathogens at the early stages of infection in individuals without acquired immunity

5

against the infecting agent, but pathogenic Leptospira can escape from complement 79 80 attack and phagocytosis after infection [18-20]. Pathogenic Leptospira is also able to survive and replicate in human macrophages, but it is killed in murine macrophages [21]. 81 LPSs of pathogenic Leptospira activate human macrophages only through the Toll-like 82 83 receptor 2 (TLR2) while murine macrophages are activated through TLR2 and TLR4 [13-22]. Vernon Pauillac and Merian [23] have shown that mononuclear macrophages of 84 85 peripheral blood of hamster infected with a virulent variant of Leptospira interrogans secrete proinflammatory cytokines (TNF- α) with a Th1 (IL-12) profile in the first hour, 86 predominating until the fourth day after infection, whereas a Th2 profile appears after 24 87 88 hours of infection. In the early course of infection, leptospires have to survive and spread in the bloodstream before causing damage to target organs [25]. 89 In this study, we applied microarray technology to comparatively analyze early 90 91 change in murine macrophages genes expression in response to Leptospira spp. with varied virulence, and to identify signaling pathways that play a role in an *in vitro* model 92 93 of macrophageal infection. 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101

102

6

103 **Results**

104 **Data deposition**

105 Microarray raw data files are available in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and 106 are accessible through GEO series number GSE105141 [26].

107 Gene expression profile via microarray analysis

Our data analysis found 892 genes in cells infected with saprophyte, attenuated 108 and virulent leptospirosis compared to control. According to Fig 1, pathogenic leptospires 109 110 modulates 892 genes (422 up and 470 down-regulated), attenuated leptospires modulates 848 genes (400 upregulated and 448 downregulated) and saprophyte 299 genes (128 111 upregulated and 171 downregulated) in a filter criterion of fold change ± 2 and false 112 discovery rate (FDR)<0.05 (Fig. 1). Through treatment comparison by Venn diagram, we 113 identify common and specific genes (Fig. 2). A total of 274 genes were common to all 114 115 infected cells, despite of strains, when compared to control. Virulent and cultureattenuated infected groups groups shared 512 genes in common, while eight genes were 116 shared between virulent and saprophyte groups and only one gene between attenuated 117 and saprophyte infected cells (S1. Table). Average singals (log2) of samples were 118 hierarchically clustered using Pearson correlation and complete-linkage and it was 119 observed again a clustering of samples based on species and virulence, with the virulent 120 121 and culture-attenuated strains clustering closer together, followed bt the saprophyte strain 122 (Fig. 3).

123

Figure 1. Differentially expressed genes after 6 hours of infection in murine macrophages J774A.1 with saprophytic, culture-attenuated and virulent strains of

126	<i>Leptospira</i> spp. The colored bars show the up-regulated (red) or down-regulated (green)
127	genes and grey a total of genes. (n = 3 / assay, FDR-adjusted p<0.05, fold change \pm 2).
128	
129	Figure 2. Venn diagram for differentially expressed genes of modulated
130	macrophages at 6 hours of infection with different strains of Leptospira spp. Total
131	number of canonical pathways (n=3/treatment; FDR<0.05, fold change \pm 2) in the
132	contrasts Infected (Saprophyte; Attenuated and Virulent) vs. Non-infected Control.
133	
134	Figure 3. Heatmap of differentially expressed genes shows the average signal by
135	macrophages at 6 hours of infection in different strains of Leptospira spp. The red
136	color indicate increased expression, green color indicates the decreased expression as
137	compared to control ($n = 3$ /treatment; p -value < 0.01; FDR < 0.05; linear fold change ±
138	2).
139	In Table 1 we depict the top 9 DEGs in response to infection. These genes are
140	present in several pathways and biological processes involved in acute inflammatory

141 response.

8

Table 1. Top modulated transcripts in murine macrophages following 6h of 142

Regulation	Gene Symbol	FDR-adjusted	FC (Sap vs.	FC (Att vs.	FC (Vir vs.
		p-value	CT)	CT)	CT)
Up	Il1b	0,000037	202,85	253,12	259,03
	Illa	0,000004	42,58	120	127,28
	Saa3	0,000003	58,32	113,49	95,44
	Il6	0,000005	17,92	90,05	93,09
	Ccl5	0,000008	15,72	56,83	56,56
	Ptgs2	0,000011	38,21	52,72	56,33
	Nos2	0,000008	6,83	48,29	51,82
	Cxcl10	0,000035	8	45,54	51,25
	Ifit1	0,000217	6,16	33,6	37,61
Down	Rasgrp3	0,000059	-6,97	-9,16	-7,8
	Ighm	0,000045	-4,88	-8,22	-7,91
	Hal	0,000022	-4,52	-6,78	-7,01
	Cxcr4	0,000133	-5,65	-5,93	-6,11
	Klhl24	0,000053	-4,81	-5,77	-5,76
	Il18rap	0,000074	-3,7	-5,55	-5,31
	Nrcam	0,000032	-3,37	-5,43	-5,05
	Il1rl1	0,000028	-3,24	-5,35	-4,53
	Ankrd44	0,000476	-2,68	-5,3	-4,67

143 infection with saprophyte, culture-attenuated and virulent strains of *Leptospira* spp.

144

(FC=fold change; SAP= saprophyte; Att=attenuated; Vir=virulent)

145

Analysis of signaling pathways 146

For functional enrichment of the differentially expressed genes obtained for each 147 treatment, the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software was employed, Core Analysis 148 was performed to identify relevant biological pathways to all 3 strains using the -log BH 149 p-value > 1.3 (equivalent to a p-value < 0.01). 150

151

9

152 Specific pathways modulated by the virulent strain

Several pathways were identified as regulated by the virulent strain, however the 153 154 Apoptosis pathway, ATM signaling and Cell Cycle: G2 / M DNA Damage Checkpoint Regulation, were exclusively expressed and affected by treatment with the virulent strain 155 (Fig. 4). In the apoptosis pathway, the major up-regulated genes were FAS, IKBKE, 156 NFKB1, NFKBIA, NFKBIB, NFKNID, NFKBIE, TNF, TNFRSF1B; downregulated 157 158 transcripts were BCL2, CAPN2 and PARP1 (Fig. 5A). In the ATM signaling pathway, the upregulated transcript genes were CDKN1A, GADD45G, MDM2, NFKBIA and 159 160 TLK2; downregulated transcripts were BRCA1, CBX5, CDK2, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCD2, MDC1 and TOPBP1 (Fig. 5B). The upregulated genes of the Cell Cycle: G2 / 161 M DNA Damage Checkpoint Regulation pathway were CDKN1A and MDM2; 162 downregulated transcripts were BRCA1, CHEK1, CHEK2, PKMYT1 and WEE1 (Fig. 163 5C). 164

Figure 4. Venn diagram for pathways of modulated macrophages at 6 hours of infection with different strains of *Leptospira* spp. Total number of canonical pathways $(n=3/treatment; FDR<0.05, fold change \pm 2)$ in the contrasts Infected (Saprophyte; Attenuated and Virulent) vs. Non-infected Control.

169

Figure 5. Canonical signaling pathway obtained by the IPA (Ingenuity Pathway Analysis program. Red and green indicate upregulated and down-regulated genes, respectively, compared to control group, and belongs to datasets of DEGs virulent vs. control assays. Color intensity corresponds to the degree of up or downregulation (foldchange). White represents the known genes of the pathway without identification in the transcriptomic analysis. **Panel A)** Canonical signaling pathway of Apoptosis of *in vitro* macrophages. **Panel B)** Canonical signaling of ATM of *in vitro* macrophages. **Panel C)**

10

177 Canonical signaling pathway of Cell Cycle: G2 / M DNA Damage Checkpoint
178 Regulation of *in vitro* macrophages.

179 Validation of microarray data by qRT-PCR

Infection with 10⁷ of virulent and attenuated (*L. interrogans* serovar Copenhageni) 180 and saprophytic (L. biflexa serovar Patoc), induced significant increase of TNF- α 181 expression in murine macrophages (p <0.0001) compared to control. Regarding 182 183 expression of IL-1 β and NOS2, a similar expression profile was observed between Control and Saprophy, which differed from the profile found in the Attenuated and 184 185 Virulent samples. The comparative analysis of the expressed values for IL-1β and NOS2 were statistically different between the assays, compared to the attenuated and virulent 186 strains, differing when compared to the control groups and infection with the saprophytic 187 strain. Differently from the observed TNF expression results, there was significant 188 difference across all assays (Fig. 6A-C). 189

190

Figure 6. qRT-PCR of mRNA expression levels in infected macrophages with different strains of *Leptospira* compared to non-infected controls. Panel A) Relative expression of TNF- α in saprophyte, culture-attenuated and virulent compared to control. Panel B) Relative expression of IL-1 β in saprophyte, culture-attenuated and virulent compared to control. Panel C) Relative expression of NOS2 in saprophyte, cultureattenuated and virulent compared to control (p <0.05). Different superscript letters differs significantly (p <0.05).

11

198 **Discussion**

In this study, we took an *in vitro* approach to analyze the trancriptomic profiles of macrophages in response to saprophytic, culture-attenuated and virulent samples of *Leptospira* spp, to gain a better understanding of the disease's molecular mechanisms and pathways.

TREM-1 signaling was the most significant pathway modulated by all threes strains. TREMs are a family of recently discovered receptors of the immunoglobulin superfamily, expressed on various cells of the myeloid lineage, which play important roles in innate immune responses, such as activating inflammatory responses and contributing to septic shock response in microbial-mediated infections [30-31]. Targeted activation of TREM-1 in our study appears to be a first line inflammatory response to the genus, regardless of virulence.

210 Other canonical pathways related to the innate immune system were a common response to all strains, the acute phase response signaling pathway, iNOS, IL-6, IL-1, 211 212 TNFR1/TNFR2, MIF-regulation of innate immunity and HMGB-1 signaling. Further, all 213 three strains are proposed to negatively regulate the antioxidant action of vitamin C 214 pathway, suggesting that Leptospira spp. infection could contribute to oxidative stress 215 associated production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS-mediated intracellular 216 oxidation is prevented by an antioxidant system, which includes low molecular weight antioxidants, such as vitamin C. This pathway is involved in cell process of survival, 217 218 growth, proliferation and death [32].

In the culture-attenuated and virulent samples, Toll-like receptors, Interferon and inflammasome signaling pathways were significantly represented. Innate immune response is initiated by recognizing pathogens through pattern receptors as TLRs. Activation of these receptors is characterized by the massive production release of

12

proinflammatory mediators, such as cytokines, chemokines and interferons [33]. Our microarray results to virulent strain identified high gene expression for TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6 and iNOS. Similarly of Iskandar et al [34], verified that the presence of IL-6, IL-8 and IL-1 β in serum from human patients with leptospirosis associated with severity of disease. In fact, Schulte et al. [35] concluded that increased TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 can activate the coagulation system in endotoxemic models. The high concentration of IL-6 is an indicator of septic shock and correlates with the severity of leptospirosis [36].

In regards to signaling pathways regulated by mRNAs modulated specifically 230 231 following infection with virulent L. interrogans, Apoptosis signaling was positively 232 regulated by infection whereas ATM signaling and Cell Cycle: G2/M DNA Damage Checkpoint Regulation, responsible for cell cycle, DNA repair and apoptosis, were 233 negatively modulated. Following DNA damage, cells must detect breaks and transiently 234 235 block the cell cycle progression allowing time for repair [37]. Jin et al 35 concluded that the pathogenic Leptospira caused apoptosis between 3-6 hours after infection. 236 Our data corrobarates their finding that virulent Leptospira could modulated apoptosis, 237 with just 6 hours of infection, through inhibition of pathways responsible for DNA repair 238 239 and cell cycle control, as well as by inhibition the BCL-2 (anti-apoptotic gene) in turn 240 leading to DNA damage and degradation. In fact, a previous study from our group has shown that BCL2 is a potentially down-regulated by mmu-mir-7667-3p following 241 242 infection with L. interrogans, suggesting that cell survival could be compromissed after 243 macrophages infection by the spirochete [38].

Leptospiral infection in macrophages induces a dependent p53/p21 cell cycle arrest [39]. We verified that the p53 target pathway signaling is regulated after virulent infection by modulation of mRNAs in murine monocyte-machrophages. Homotetrameric transcription factor p53 is reported to directly regulate 500 target genes, thereby

13

controlling a broad range of cellular processes including cell cycle arrest, cell senescence,

249 DNA repair, metabolic adaptation and cell death [40].

Further results from our study support the idea of cellular apoptosis Caspase-3 and 8 were elevated in all three infected macrophages, regardless of the pathogenicity notwithstanding, a more pronounced upregulation was induced by the with virulent and attenuated inoculum of *Leptospira*. Whether macrophage apoptosis induction by *Leptospira* is form of evasion mechanism or a host defense response to infection, preventing the spread of infection [jin 35] is still up for debate.

Cytokines represent a group of proteins that promote communication between 256 257 cells, and their activation is through differentiation, receptor expression and cell-mediated 258 immunity [41]. This suggests that the virulence factors, expressed or not during the process of infection of *in vitro* macrophages can guide cell response. In other words, 259 260 virulent, culture-attenuated and non-pathogenic samples of Leptospira should be able to activate the murine macrophages, and the gene expression elicited as a result of infection, 261 is dependent on strain virulence samples. Our results revealed a quantitative and 262 qualitative association of gene expression with the virulence strains, with the virulent L. 263 264 interrogans upregulating genes related to acute infection and cellular autophagy, unlike 265 the culture-attenuated and saprophytic strains.

A comprehensive overview of gene expression patterns after infection by virulent, culture-attenuated and saprophytic *Leptospira* spp. strains revealed that inflammation and immune response, cytokine signaling, DNA repair, cell movement, death and cell survival were significantly activated following 6 hours of infection. Results demonstrated a group of genes is responsive to antigens present in the genus *Leptospira*, regardless of virulence, whereas species and virulence-specific gene expression was also elicited in the infected macrophages.

14

273 Methods

274 Leptospiral Strains

Samples of virulent strain *L. interrogans* sorovar Copenhageni (FIOCRUZ L1-130), attenuated strain *L. interrogans* sorovar Copenhageni M20 and saprophyte strain *L.biflexa* sorovar Patoc (FIOCRUZ - Patoc I) that we used in this study were donated by the Laboratory of Bacterial Zoonosis, Department of Preventive Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health of School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, University of São Paulo (FMVZ/USP). All strains were incubated at 30°C in Fletcher semi-solid culture medium.

282 Macrophage Culture

Murine monocyte-macrophage cells (*Mus musculus* monocyte-macrophage cell line J774A.1), provided by the Paul Ehrlich cell bank (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), was used as described [26]. Cells were maintained at 37° C, 5% CO2 in RPMI-1640 media (Sigma, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco, USA), 100 ug/mL streptomycin (Sigma Chemical Co St.Louis, MO), 0.03% L-glutamine solution (Sigma) and 100 UI/mL of penicillin, in 6-well cell culture plates (3cm/well) until confluency [35].

290 Infection of Macrophages

After the formation of confluent monolayer cells, they were washed three times in sterile phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.2) for removal of antibiotics and non-adherent cells. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in RPMI-1640 media (Sigma). Cells were then infected (100:1 bacteria:cell) with *L. interrogans* L1-130 (virulent strain), *L. interrogans* M20 (culture-attenuated strain), *L. biflexa* Patoc I (saprophyte strain), as previously described [40]. Non-infected groups and non-infected macrophages were used as controls. All infected cells, in biological triplicates, were

15

carried in fresh RPMI-1640, devoid of antibiotics, for 6h at 37° C, 5% CO₂. Rate of
infection did not differ between strains. At the end of the 6-hour period of infection, RNA
extraction was immediately performed.

301 **RNA extraction and Quantification**

Total RNA (n=3/experimental group) was extracted from macrophages with RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. RNA samples were immediately stored at -80°C. The quantification was performed using a NanoDrop (ND-2000 spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and the samples quality was assessed using capillary electrophoresis (Bioanalyzer 2100 Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). All samples used for microarray analysis had a RIN of 10.

308 Transcriptome Array and Quality control

A WT PLUS Reagent Kit was used to prepare the RNA samples for whole 309 310 transcriptome expression analysis with Mouse Genome 2.1 ST Arrays Strip Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's protocols. Briefly, 100 ng of 311 control RNA sample (Hela cells) was prepared to contain spiked in Poly-A RNA controls 312 (lys, phe, thr and dap) absent in eukaryotic cells and mixed together with RNA samples to 313 generate cDNA. After the amplification process, final cDNA was purified, quantified, 314 315 fragmented and then labeled for hybridization to the strips, for 20h at 48°C in the hybridization oven. Finally, strips were processed using the GeneAtlas Hybridization, 316 Wash, Stain Kit for WT Array Strips (Affymetrix) and scanned using the GeneAtlas® 317 318 System (Affymetrix) generating the raw cell files. Raw intensity values in the cell files were background corrected, log2 transformed and then quantile normalized by the 319 software Expression Console (Affymetrix) using the Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) 320 algorithm. 321

322

16

323 Identification of differentially expressed genes and functional enrichment

In order to identify differentially expressed genes, we utilized the software Transcriptome Analysis Console (Affymetrix), where statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA (fold change ± 2 , FDR corrected p<0.05). For the purpose of functional enrichment of the expression profiles obtained for each treatment, we used the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software (Qiagen).

329 Validation of transcriptome results by qRT-PCR

For the validation of gene expression of selected genes in infected macrophages 330 (saprophyte, culture-attenuated and virulent strains) and non-infected control 331 332 macrophages, RNA samples were reverse transcribed (1µg of total RNA/sample) using the Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus (MML-V) enzyme (Life Technologies) and Oligo-333 dT Primers. All primers were designed to span at least one intron, to avoid repeat regions 334 and similarities to other non-specific genomic regions. Mouse genome sequence, 335 available on the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser, was 336 employed for primer design, using the Primer3 program [41]. PCR was performed using a 337 Stratagene QPCR Systems Mx3005P (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) 338 339 using the QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen). Expression levels were determined 340 using standard curves for all genes at each individual run, and the expression of the candidate gene is presented as a ratio to an unregulated endogenous control (β -actin). 341 The primers used for qPCR validation are listed in Table 2. 342

343

 Table 2. The primers used for qPCR validation.

GENE	Forward	Reverse
ΙL-1β	TCATTGTGGCTGTGGAGAAG	AGCTCATATGGGTCCGACAG
NOS2	GACGAGACGGATAGGCAGAG	CACATGCAAGGAAGGGAACT
TNF-α	CGAGTGACAAGCCTGTAGCC	CGAGTGACAAGCCTGTAGCC

344

17

345 Statistical analysis

346	Differential expression of each gene was determined by one-way ANOVA with					
347	two criteria, a fold change of ± 2 comparing all infected groups to the non-infected control					
348	and a Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) corrected p-value (FDR)<0.05. For pathways					
349	enrichment analysis on the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software (Qiagen),					
350	multiple testing was also (BH corrected (p<0.05). Real-time PCR data were analyzed					
351	using least-squares analysis of variance and the general linear model procedures of SAS					
352	(SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA; p <0.01). Comparison of means was done using					
353	Duncan's multiple range test.					
354	Ethics Statement					
355	The present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of São Paulo					
356	State University (FMVA- UNESP), under the protocol number 2015-00895. No animal					
357	experimentation was performed in the experiments described herein.					
358						
359	Acknowledgments					
360	The authors would like to thanks Dr. Marcos Bryan Heinemmann by graciously					
361	providing the Leptospira spp., and to Cilene Vudovix Táparo for her valuable assistance					
362	during the experiments.					
363						
364	References					
365	1. Levett PN. Leptospirosis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2001; 14: 296-326.					
366	2. Picardeau M, Bulach DM, Bouchier C, Zuerner RL, Zidane N, et al. Genome					
367	sequence of the saprophyte Leptospira biflexa provides insights into the evolution of					
368	Leptospira and the pathogenesis of leptospirosis. PLoS One. 2008; 3:e1607.					

18

369	3.	Adler B. History of leptospirosis and Leptospira. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.
370	2015; 3	87:1–9.
371	4.	Costa F, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, Kane M, Torgerson P, Martinez-Silveira MS, et
372	al. Glo	bal Morbidity and Mortality of Leptospirosis: A Systematic Review. PLoS Negl
373	Trop D	is. 2015;9(9):e0003898
374	5.	Bhart AR, Nally JE, Ricaldi JN, Matthias MA, Diaz MM, Lovett MA, et al.
375	Leptos	pirosis: a zoonotic disease of global importance. Lancet Infect Dis. 2003; 3:757-
376	71.	
377	6.	Ko A, Goarant C, Picardeau M. Leptospira: the dawn of the molecular genetics
378	for an e	emerging zoonotic pathogen. Nature. 2009; 7:736-47.
379	7.	Lau CL, Smythe LD, Craig SB, Weinstein P. Climate Change, Flooding,
380	Urbani	sation and Leptospirosis: Fuelling the fire? Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2010;104:

381 631–638.

Torgenson PR, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, Kane M, Martinez-Silveira MS, Goris
 MGA, et al. Global burden of Leptospirosis: estimated in terms of disability adjusted life
 years. PLoS Neglec Trop Dis. 2015; 9:10e0004122

9. Lourdault K, Matsunaga J, Haake DA. High-throughput parallel sequencing to
measure fitness of Leptospira interrogans transposon insertion mutants during acute
infection. PLoS neglec trop dis. 2016;10(11):e0005117.

10. Coker R, Rushton J, Maurier-Jack S, Karimuribo E, Lutumba P, Kambarage D, et
al. Towards a conceptual framework to support one health research for policy on
emerging zoonoses. Lancet Infect Dis. 2011; 11(4): 326-31.

391 11. Adler B, de la Peña Moctezuma A. Leptospira and leptospirosis. Vet Microbiol.
392 2009; 140: 287-96.

19

Hall-Stoodley L, Stoodley P. Biofilme formation and dispersal and the
transmission of human pathogens. Trends Microbiol. 2005; 13:7-10.

Iraola G, Spangenberg L, Lopes Bastos B, et al. Transcriptome Sequencing
Reveals Wide Expression Reprogramming of Basal and Unknown Genes in Leptospira
biflexa Biofilms. McMahon K, ed. mSphere. 2016;1(2):e00042-16.

Adler B, Faine S. Susceptibility of mice treated with cyclophosphamide to lethal
infection with Leptospira interrogans serovar pomona. Infect Immun. 1976;14:703–708.

Murray GL, Srikram A, Henry R, Hartskeerl RA, Sermswan RW, Adler B.
Mutations affecting Leptospira interrogans lipopolysaccharide attenuate virulence. Mol
Microbiol. 2010;78(3):701–9.

403 16. Murray GL, Ellis KM, Lo M, Adler B. Leptospira interrogans requires a
404 functional heme oxigenase to scavenge iron from hemoglobin. Microbes Infect.
405 2008;10:791-797.

17. Ristow P, Bourhy P, da Cruz McBride FW, Figueira CP, Huerre M, Ave P, et al.
The OmpA-like protein Loa22 is essential for leptospiral virulence. PLoS Pathog. 2007;
3(7):e97.

409 18. Davis JM, Haake DA, Ramakrishnan L. Leptospira interrogans stably infects
410 zebrafish embryos, altering phagocyte behavior and homing to specific tissues. PLoS
411 Negl Trop Dis. 2009; 3: e463.

412 19. Gordon S. Phagocytosis: an immunobiologic process. Immunity. 2016;
413 44(3):463–75.

414 20. Kaufmann SH, Dorhoi A. Molecular determinants in phagocyte-bacteria
415 interactions. Immunity. 2016; 44(3):476–91.

20

Li S, Ojcius DM, Liao S, Li L, Xue F, et al. Replication or death: distinct fates of
pathogenic Leptospira strain Lai whitin macrophages of human or mouse origin. Innate
Immun. 2010;16:80-92.

419 22. Nahori MA, Fournie-Amazouz E, Que-Gewirth NS, Balloy V, Chignard M, et al.

420 Differential TLR recognition of leptospiral lipid A and lipopolysaccharide in murine and

421 human cells. J Immunol. 2005;175: 6022–6031.

422 23. Vernel-Pauillac F, Merien F. Proinflammatory and immunomodulatory cytokine
423 mRNA time course profiles in hamsters infectes with a virulent variant of Leptospira
424 interrogans. Infect Immun. 2006; 74:4172-4179.

425 24. Marinho M, Oliveira-Junior IS, Monteiro CMR, Perri SH, Salomão R. Pulmonary
426 disease in hamster infected with Leptospira interrogans: histopathologic findings and
427 cytokine mRNA expressions. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2009;80:832-36.

428 25. Patarakul K, Lo M, Adler B. Global transcriptomic response of Leptospira
429 interrogans serovar Copenhageni upon exposure to serum. BMC Microbiol. 2010;10:(31).

430 26. de Araújo Junior EC, Garcia LE, Melo LM, Bragato JP, de Lima VMF, Peiró JR,

431 et al. Transcriptome datasets of macrophages infected with different strains of Leptospira

432 spp. Data in Brief. 2018;16:1044-1050.

433 27. Nathan C, Ding A. TREM-1: a new regulator of innate immunity in sepsis
434 syndrome. Nature. 2001;5:7;530-32.

28. Colonna M, Facchetti F. TREM-1 (Triggering Receptor Expressed on Myeloid
Cells): a new player in acute inflammatory responses. The J. of Infect. Dis. 2003; 187
(Suppl 2): 5397-401.

Padayatty SJ, Katz A, Wang Y, Eck P, Kwon O, Lee JH, et al. Vitamin C as an
antioxidant: evaluation of its role in disease prevention. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 2003;22(1):1835.

21

441	30. Bouchon A, Fachhetti F, Weigand MA, Colonna M. TREM-1 amplifies				
442	inflammations and is a crucial mediator of septic shock. Nature. 2001; 410. 1103-1107.				
443	31. Iskandar BJ, William T, Daisy VJ. Cytokine profile of patients with leptospirosis				
444	in Sabah, Malaysia. Med J Malaysia, 2018;73(2):106-109.				
445	32. Schulte W, Bernhagen J, Bucala R. Cytokines in sepsis: potent immunoregulators				
446	and potential therapeutic target-an updated view. Mediators Inflamm. 2013:165974.				
447	33. Reis EA, Ribeiro GS, Teixeira-Carvalho A, Martins-Filho OA, Montgomery RR,				
448	et al. Cytokine response signatures in disease progression and development of severe				
449	clinical outcomes for leptospirosis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013; 7(9):e2457.				
450	34. Shaltiei IA, Krenning L, Bruinsma W, Medema RH. The same, only different -				
451	DNA damage checkpoint and their reversal throughout the cell cycle. J Cell Sci. 2015;				
452	128:1-14.				
453	35. Jin D, Ojcius DM, Sun D, Donh H, Luo Y, May Y, et al. Leptospira interrogans				
454	induces apoptosis in macrophages via caspase-8 and caspase-3-dependent pathways.				
455	Infec and Immunity. 2009; 77(2):799-809.				
456	36. Garcia LE, de Araújo Junior EC, Melo LM, Bragato JP, Peiró JR, et al.				
457	Characterization of the microtranscriptome of macrophages infected with virulent,				
458	attenuated and saprophyte strains of Leptospira spp. PLos Negl. Trop. Dis.				

459 2018;12(7):e0006621.

460 37. Hu W, Ge Y, Ojcius DM, Sun D, Dong H, Yang XF, et al. P53 Signalling
461 Controls Cell Cycle Arrest And Caspase-Independent Apoptosis In Macrophages Infected
462 With Pathogenic Leptospira Species. Cell Microbiol. 2013;15(10):1624–59.

463 38. Aubrey BJ, Kelly GL, Janic A, Herold MJ, Strasser A. How does this relate to
464 p53-mediated tumour suppression? Cell Death Differ. 2018;25(1):104-113.

465	39. Van der Meide PH, Schellekens H. Cytokines and the immune response.						
466	Biotherapy. 1996;8(3-4):243-9.						
467	40. Xue F, Zhao X, Yang Y, Zhao J, Yang Y, Cao Y, et al. Responses of Murine and						
468	Human Macrophages to Leptospiral Infection: A Study Using Comparative Array						
469	Analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013; 7(10): e2477.						
470	41. Rozen S, Skaletsky H. Primer3 on the www for general users and for biologist						
471	programmers. Methods Mol. Biol. 2000;132:365-86.						
472							
473	Supporting information						
474	S1 Table. DEGs (gene symbol) modulated by macrophages at 6h of infection by						
475	different strains of <i>Leptospira</i> spp.						
476							
477							
478							
479							
480							
481							
482							
483							
484							
485							
486							
487							
488							

23

489			
490			

491

492





Fig2



Fig3



Fig4

Apoptosis Signaling : Virulent vs. Control 02-07-2017 - 2017-07-07 09:48 AM : Expr Fold Change



© 2000-2018 QIAGEN. All rights reserved.





© 2000-2018 QIAGEN. All rights reserved.



Cell Cycle: G2/M DNA Damage Checkpoint Regulation : Virulent vs. Control 02-07-2017 - 2017-07-07 09:48 AM : Expr Fold Change



© 2000-2018 QIAGEN. All rights reserved.

Fig5C



Fig6A



Fig6B



Fig6C