1 Genome structure and evolution of Antirrhnum majus L.

- 2
- 3 Miaomiao Li^{1,7*}, Dongfen Zhang^{1*}, Hui Zhang^{1,7*}, Qiang Gao^{3*}, Bin Ma^{3*}, Chunhai
- 4 Chen^{2*}, Yingfeng Luo⁶, Yinghao Cao³, Qun Li¹, Yu'e Zhang¹, Han Guo^{1,7}, Junhui
- 5 Li^{1,7}, Yanzhai Song^{1,7}, Yue Zhang^{1,7}, Lucy Copsey⁴, Annabel Whibley⁴, Yan Li³,
- 6 Ming qi³, Jiawei Wang⁵, Yan Chen², Dan Wang², Jinyang Zhao², Guocheng Liu², Bin
- 7 Wu², Lili Yu², Chunyan Xu², Jiang Li², Shancen Zhao², Yijing Zhang⁵, Songnian Hu⁶,
- 8 Chengzhi Liang^{a,3}, Ye Yin^{a,2}, Enrico Coen^{a,4}, Yongbiao Xue^{a,1,6,7}
- ¹State Key Laboratory of Plant Cell and Chromosome Engineering and National
- 10 Center of Plant Gene Research, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology,
- 11 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- 12 ²BGI-Shenzhen, Main Building, Beishan Industrial Zone, Yantian District, Shenzhen,
- 13 518083, China
- ¹⁴ ³State Key Laboratory of Plant Genomics, Institute of Genetics and Developmental
- 15 Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- ⁴John Innes Centre, Norwich, NR4 7UH, United Kingdom
- ¹⁷ National Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, CAS Center for Excellence in
- 18 Molecular Plant Sciences, Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Shanghai
- 19 Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 300 Fenglin Road,
- 20 Shanghai 200032, China
- ⁶Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- ²² ⁷University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
- ^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.
- ^aCorrespondence authors:
- 25 Yongbiao Xue
- 26 Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1
- 27 West Beichen Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100101, China

- 28 Tel: 86-10-64801181
- 29 Fax: 86-10-64801292
- 30 E-mail: ybxue@genetics.ac.cn
- 31 Enrico Coen
- 32 John Innes Centre, Norwich, NR4 7UH, United Kingdom
- 33 Email: enrico.coen@jic.ac.uk
- 34 Ye Yin
- 35 BGI-Shenzhen, Main Building, Beishan Industrial Zone, Yantian District, Shenzhen,
- 36 518083, China
- 37 Email: yinye@genomics.cn
- 38 Chengzhi Liang
- 39 Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1
- 40 West Beichen Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100101, China
- 41 Email: cliang@genetics.ac.cn
- 42

43 Abstract

- 44 Snapdragon (Antirrhinum majus L.), a member of Plantaginaceae, is an important
- 45 model for plant genetics and molecular studies on plant growth and development,
- 46 transposon biology and self-incompatibility. Here we report a high-quality genome
- 47 assembly of *A. majus* cultivated JI7 (*A. majus* cv.JI7) of a 510 Mb with 37,714
- 48 annotated protein-coding genes. The scaffolds covering 97.12% of the assembled
- 49 genome were anchored on 8 chromosomes. Comparative and evolutionary analyses
- 50 revealed that Plantaginaceae and Solanaceae diverged from their most recent ancestor
- 51 around 62 million years ago (MYA). We also revealed the genetic architectures
- 52 associated with complex traits such as flower asymmetry and self-incompatibility

53 including a unique TCP duplication around 46-49 MYA and a near complete ψ S-locus

54 of ca.2 Mb. The genome sequence obtained in this study not only provides the first

55 genome sequenced from Plantaginaceae but also bring the popular plant model system

56 of *Antirrhinum* into a genomic age.

57

58 Introduction

59 The genus Antirrhinum belongs to the Plantaginaceae family and includes about 20

60 species with the chromosome number of $2n=16^{1}$. The cultivated A. *majus* was

61 domesticated as an ornamental in garden over two thousand years ago. Antirrhinum

62 originated in Europe and are mainly distributed in Europe, Asia, and Africa around

63 the Mediterranean coast. Different species in Antirrhinum have obvious

64 differentiation in flower color, fragrance, flower pattern and flowering time; and

65 interspecific hybridization often occurred among them. The genus has evolved two

66 major mating systems, insect pollination (entomophily) and self-incompatibility (SI),

67 to promote out-cross 1,2,3 .

68 Antirrhinum has served as an excellent system in molecular genetics and 69 developmental biology studies in the past three decades because of its active 70 transposable elements for generating rich mutant resources⁴. Several key genes were 71 first cloned in Antirrhinum including the founding members of MADS (DEFICIENS) 72 and TCP (CYCLOIDEA) gene families, a MYB gene MIXTA controlling petal 73 epidermis formation, three MYB transcription factors encoded by *ROSEAL*, 74 ROSEAL2 and VENOSA controlling flower color intensity and the SLFs (S-Locus *F*-box) controlling self-incompatibility⁵⁻¹³. Most of these genes were identified by a 75 76 representative "cut and paste" transposon-tagging systems in Antirrhinum involved in flower development, floral-organ identity and inflorescence architecture^{1,14}. 77

78 However, it remains unclear what constitutes their genomic architectures and 79 how they evolve without the genome structure of the regions containing these genes. 80 Here, we report a high-quality genome sequence of A. majus of 510 Mb assembled 81 with 37,714 annotated protein-coding genes combining using whole-genome shotgun 82 sequencing of Illumina short reads and single-molecule, real time (SMRT) sequencing 83 long reads from Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) platform. Most of the assembled 84 sequences were anchored to chromosomes to form 8 pseudomolecules using a genetic 85 map. Furthermore, comparative genomic analysis revealed that Antirrhinum was 86 derived from related families about 62 MYA and a whole genome duplication event 87 occurred around 46-49 MYA. We also showed a near complete genomic structure of 88 the pseudo (ψ) S-locus of A. majus of ca. 2 Mb, which consists of 102 genes from *RAD* to *SLF37* genes¹⁵. The genome sequence provided in this study will accelerate 89 90 genomic and evolutionary studies on this classical model species.

91 Results

92 Genome sequencing, assembly and annotation of A. majus

93 We sequenced a highly inbred Antirrhinum line (A. majus cv. JI7 with eight linkage 94 groups) using the combination Illumina short read and Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) 95 long read sequencing technologies by the genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) method¹⁶. 96 The genome size was estimated to be about 520 M based on kmer distribution. We 97 used Canu to correct and assemble PacBio reads into contigs and using SSPACE for 98 scaffolding with Mate-paired short reads. The assembled genome size was 510 Mb 99 with a contig and scaffold N50 sizes of 733 kb and 3,742 kb, respectively (Figure 1, 100 Table 1, Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1-2). To anchor 101 Antirrhinum genome sequence to chromosomes, we used JoinMap 4.1 to designed 102 marks from re-sequencing 48 recombinant inbred lines (RIL) that derived from A. 103 majus crossed to the self-incompatible species A. charidemi. Eight linkage groups 104 covered 496.9Mb, representing 97.12% of the assembled Antirrhinum genome. The

105 largest chromosome 2 was estimated ca. 75.4 Mb, whereas the smallest chromosome 106 4 ca. 50.9 Mb and chromosome 8 ca. 57.0 Mb. The average ratio of physical to 107 genetic distance was estimated to be 753.6 kb/cM. The rate of genetic and physical 108 distance showed lower recombination rate at the pericentromeric regions of chr4, chr6, 109 chr7 and chr8, together with the locations of their centromeres. Validations by known 110 genetic markers and FISH (fluorescence *in situ* hybridization) showed that the linkage 111 groups represent a high-quality physical map (Supplementary Figure 2-4 and 112 Supplementary Table 3).

113 To validate genome quality and integrity, the completeness of the assembled 114 euchromatic portion was examined by comparing 25,651 public ESTs of Antirrhinum 115 from NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucest/?term=EST%20Antirrhinum) and 116 96.59% EST sequences were mapped on the assembled genome. Next, we did the 117 alignments between three Bacterial Artificial Chromosome (BAC) sequences and the 118 assembled Antirrhinum genome, indicating an average 98.3% of euchromatin 119 coverage. We also used BUSCO analysis to compare the quality of the assembled 120 Antirrhinum genome sequence to that of other published plant genomes and showed a 121 high quality of the assembled sequence data (Supplementary Figure 5-7). 122 We predicted a total of 37,714 protein-coding genes with an average transcript 123 length of 3,166 bp by using Antirrhinum EST sequences and RNA-seq data from six 124 major tissues: leaf, root, stem, stamen, pistil and pollen (http://bioinfo.sibs.ac.cn/Am/, 125 Supplementary Excel 1). Approximately 89.11% of all genes could be functionally 126 annotated. The average gene density in Antirrhinum is one gene per 15.5 kb, which is 127 about three times lower than Arabidopsis (one gene/4.5 kb) and slightly higher than 128 tomato (one gene/25.7 kb). Genes are also distributed unevenly, being more abundant 129 in the ends of chromosomal arms. We also identified 981 transfer RNA, 800 130 microRNA, 10 ribosomal RNA and 622 small nuclear RNA families. A total of 268.3 131 Mb (43.8%) sequences were annotated as repeats including a wealth of class I

132 (Retrotransposon: 182.8 Mb), class II (DNA transposon: 41.1 Mb) elements,

accounting for 52.6% of the assembled genome (Supplementary Table 4-7).

134 To detect the intragenomic organization in *A. majus*, self-alignment analysis was

135 employed to reveal the duplicated and triplication regions between and within

136 chromosomes. A whole genome triplication occurred between chromosome 1, 7 and 8,

137 between chromosome 4, 6 and 8. Paralogous relationships among eight Antirrhinum

138 chromosomes revealed 45 major duplications and 2 triplications by dot plot analyses.

139 Collectively, forty-seven major intra-syntenic blocks spanning 1,841 pairs of

140 paralogous genes were identified on the eight chromosomes (Figure 1, Supplementary

141 Excel 2).

142 Taken together, the *Antirrhinum* genome generated in this study represents a

143 high-quality chrome-scale genome map.

144

145 Comparative genomics and evolution of A. majus

146 To compare Antirrhinum genome with other plant genomes, we first examined the 147 synteny of Antirrhinum chromosomes. The results showed that homologous genes in 148 Antirrhinum chromosomes 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 are collinear to tomato chromosome 149 6/7/11, 3/8, 1/4/5, 2/3, 12 and 9, respectively, indicating that these chromosomes 150 shared similar evolutionary histories (Figure 2a). Base on the collinearity of gene 151 pairs, we calculated the density distribution of synonymous substitution rate per gene 152 (Ks) between collinear paralogous genes and inferred the time of whole-genome 153 duplication (WGD) events in Antirrhinum. A peak at around 0.57-0.60 showed a 154 recent WGD in Antirrhinum occurred around 46-49 Mya corresponding to a β event ¹⁷ 155 (Figure 2b). We then compared the complexity of gene families between Antirrhinum 156 and other species and showed that 9,503 gene families are shared by Antirrhinum, 157 Arabidopsis, rice, and tomato. 6,677 genes families were possibly contracted in

158 Antirrhinum while the other 3,778 gene families expanded including the F-box protein

159 family being expanded significantly (Figure 2c).

160 To examine the *Antirrhinum* genome evolution, we performed all-against-all

- 161 comparison analyses for the evolution of gene families and constructed a phylogenetic
- tree of nine angiosperm species (A. majus, A. thaliana, A. trichopoda, C. papaya, O.
- 163 sativa, P. hybrida, P. mume, S.lycopersicum, S. tuberosumand V. vitis) based on the
- shared 2114 single-copy genes of *Antirrhinum*. The divergence times of *C. papaya-A*.
- thaliana (55.1~90.6 million years ago) and dicot-monocot (123.9~228.5 million years
- ago) were used for calibration derived from the published data (Figure 2d). Taken
- 167 together, these results showed that Antirrhinum lineage was split from potato and
- tomato lineages around 97 MYA with its own recent WGD event occurred about

169 46-49 MYA.

170

184

171 Evolution of floral asymmetry and TCP family

172 A. majus has served as the genetic model of floral symmetry. Recent studies have 173 revealed the floral asymmetry in A. majus is largely controlled by two TCP family TFs (CYC and DICH)^{7,8,18}. To explore their evolution, we compared the composition 174 175 and number of TCP family in several sequenced angiosperms with floral symmetry 176 information. Both eudicot and monocot share a CYC/DICH embedded CYC/TB1 177 clade, while radial flower basal angiosperm A. trichopoda lacks any members of class 178 II CYC/TB1 clade, suggesting that class I and class II CIN clade were more ancient 179 than class II CYC/TB1 clade, and the initial role for CYC/TB1 clade was not likely 180 involved in floral symmetry control (Figure 3 and Supplementary Excel 3). 181 We identified a total of 30 putative functional TCP family genes, including 13 182 class I genes, 10 class II CIN clade genes and 7 class II CYC/TB1 clade genes. 183 Syntenic block and Ks analyses of the orthologous gene pairs revealed that the recent

whole genome duplication and tandem duplication contributed to the expansion of

185 TCP family members, especially for the CYC/DICH embedded CYC/TB1 clade 186 (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 8). Previous studies indicated CYC and DICH 187 have overlapping expression patterns in floral meristems in A. majus and the fully 188 radial flower only appeared in CYC/DICH double mutants, suggesting the genetic 189 robustness of zygomorphic flower control. To estimate the time of CYC and DICH 190 duplication event, we performed Ks analysis of the syntenic block embedding 191 CYC/DICH with 79 homologous gene pairs (Supplementary Table 8). Interestingly, 192 the results indicated this syntenic block was retained from a whole genome 193 duplication event taken place during the time span of the zygomorphic flower 194 emergences (about 60 million years ago). Furthermore, downstream CYC/DICH, two 195 genes (DIV and DRIF) in RAD/DIV module have homologous copies with similar Ks as *CYC/DICH*, while *DRIF* is located at a WGD derived syntenic block^{19,20,21}. Those 196 197 analyses showed that the master regulators of zygomorphic flower were retained from 198 the recent whole genome duplication, revealing the evolutionary base for the 199 zygomorphic flower maintenance in A. majus and perhaps other close relatives. 200 To further explore TCP family evolution among other zygomorphic flower 201 species, we extended the syntenic block and Ks analyses to M. truncatula, belonging to legume²². The results showed that *M. truncatula* independently undergone a recent 202 203 whole genome duplication event around the similar time as A. majus without similar 204 duplicated CYC/DICH copies, suggesting that A. majus harbored a unique genetic 205 mechanism for zygomorphic flower (Supplementary Figure 8). Though we could not 206 couple the genetic events with the appearance of zygomorphic flower, our TCP family 207 analysis on representative angiosperms indicated that the genetic origins of 208 zygomorphic flower were ancient and occurred around the late period of Cretaceous 209 about 60 MYA (Figure 3). 210 Previous phylogenetic analysis suggested that zygomorphic flower

211 independently evolved from actinomorphic ancestors for more than 25 times²³.Our

- 212 findings indicated that gene duplications are involved in a genetic robustness of
- 213 zygomorphic flower evolution by maintaining copies of master regulators.

214 Structure of the ψ S-locus in A. majus and its gene collinearity in SI species

215 Previously, we found the *Antirrhinum S*-locus is located in a heterochromatin region 216 on the short arm of chromosome 8 through cytological investigation²⁴. To reveal a 217 complete pseudo (ψ)*S*-locus in self-compatible *A. majus*, we scan conserved regions 218 (FBA/FBK domain) of *SLF* gene family in the assembled *A. majus* genome, we 219 identified the *S* genes located in the short arm of chromosome 8 and examined their 220 expressions inside the ψ *S*-locus region. The locus consists of 37 *SLF* genes

221 (SLF1-SLF37) covering 874 kb composed of three scaffolds Sc29, Sc276 and Sc184

222 (Figure 4a). Six pseudogenes with FBA domains were inferred to be loss-of-function

223 in evolution. No S-RNase was found in and near the region, suggesting it might be

lost in self-compatible A. majus. RAD gene locates in the upstream of SLF1 gene

about 1Mb, consistent with previous studies showing its linkage with the S-locus²⁴;

and the region contains 102 genes from RAD to SLF37. Most SLF genes are expressed

in pollen or anther indicating they could be related to pollination and fertilization.

228 Taken together, these results showed that we obtained a near complete ψ S-locus with

the largest numbers of S genes annotated in a plant genome (Figure 4b and

230 Supplementary Excel 4-6).

231 To explore the *S*-locus structures between SC and SI species, we compared the 232 ψ *S*-locus sequence with nine assembled TAC (Transformation-competent artificial 233 chromosome) sequences from four *S* haplotypes of self-incompatible *A. hispancum*

and uncovered high gene collinearity between AhSLF12 and AhSLF13 except for

AhSLF32 located in S₂, S₄ and S₅ haplotypes of A. hispanicum (Figure 4c). An

intra-chromosome inversion around the S-locus occurred in the S_2 haplotype of A.

- 237 *hispanicum* as described previously. However, no apparent large collinearity was
- found between A. majus and TAC sequences containing the S-RNase gene, supporting

239 that the region containing S-RNase was deleted in the ψ S-locus. We found one 240 orthologous pseudo-gene in A. majus, AmSLF18 on chromosome 8, which has a 241 complete corresponding coding sequence region and expressed in the S_4 haplotype, 242 suggesing a recent duplication event (Figure 4c, Supplementary Excel 7). 243 To examine the evolution of *SLF and S-RNase* genes, the *Ka* and *Ks* rate of the 244 twelve collinear SLF gene pairs showed that the values of SLFs are lower than that of 245 S-RNase in Antirrhinum, and the allelic SLF genes showed a Ka/Ks=0.41, consistent 246 with a negative frequency-dependent selection detected previously. Only SLF14 247 appears to be a positively selected gene (Ka/Ks>1) (Supplementary Excel 8). The 248 average divergence time of these SLF orthologous genes was estimated to be 4 249 million years ago consistent with the early Antirrhinum species divergence of less 250 than 5.3 million years. The average divergence time of these *S*-*R*Nases is estimated to 251 be around 90 MYA, consisting with the species divergence between Antirrhinum and 252 Solanaceae species (Supplementary Excel 9). 253 Taken together, our results showed that the Antirrhinum S-locus is shaped by 254 allelic synteny, purifying selection, gene conversion, transposition and

- pseudogenization .
- 256

257 Discussion

258 In this study, we have successfully obtained a chromosome-scale fine genome of A. 259 *majus*, representing the first sequenced genome in Plantaginaceae. This genome 260 provides a useful resource for evolutionary and molecular studies on key genes 261 controlling complex traits in the classical eudicot model species. The comparison of 262 404 gene families' expansions and 191 contractions in A. majus genome with those of 263 nine other fully sequenced diverse plant species showed several specific evolutionary 264 paths of important gene families, such as those involved in metabolism and signaling 265 pathways. Furthermore, the absence of a recent WGT in Antirrhinum genome makes it 266 a key diploid genome that promises to provide important insights into plant genome

267 evolution. Recently, small RNAs in natural hybrid zone of A. majus using this 268 genome sequence as a reference have revealed an inverted duplication acting as steep 269 clines by nature selection in evolution of flower color pattern²⁵. 270 Complex traits, such as zygomorphic type flower in *Antirrhinum*, often couple 271 with different gene duplication events. The zygomorphic structure in Antirrhinum 272 occurred in late period of Cretaceous, consistent with its role in facilitating 273 insect-mediated pollination. We demonstrated that the morphology of floral organ 274 was associated with several gene duplication events and revealed the divergence of A. 275 *majus* from other angiosperms. Although *Antirrhinum* did not experience a whole 276 genome triplication, the duplicated region containing TCP genes on chromosomes 6 277 and 8 are closely associated with zygomorphic flower type, showing its unique 278 evolutionary manner because no similar mechanism had been found in closely related 279 species. For examples, one separated whole genome duplication led to partial 280 zygomorphic type species of Glycine; and a MADS box family gene change resulting in symmetrical flowers in Orchidaceae^{26,27}. In general, the ancient species are mostly 281 282 radial symmetry and the newly derived species with symmetrical flowers. Studies on 283 Antirrhinum floral mutants supported that these two copies play a role in controlling 284 symmetrical flower, which makes the petals polar, and the flower structure tends to be 285 upright and is beneficial to the use of light and attracting pollinators. This mechanism 286 could be further verified by genetic analysis in a relative species.

SI also is a complex trait controlled by the S-locus^{2,3}. The fine genomic structure 287 288 of the ψ S-locus from A. majus showed that the large number of pollen SLFs could be 289 a result of recombination suppression, gene duplication, purifying selection and frequency-dependent selection²⁸. These evolutionary processes could be the intrinsic 290 291 mechanisms to maintain the low allelic diversity of orthologous SLFs because 292 extensive divergence would lead to self-inactivation of S-RNase resulting in loss of SI. 293 The deletion of S-RNase in cultivated A. majus could be directly associated with the 294 loss of SI, resulting in an irreversible evolutionary process, because loss of

295	self-incompatibility of SI species often results in compatible ones and SC species are
296	difficult or almost impossible to reverse back to self-incompatible species (Doll's
297	Law) ²⁹ . The high microcollinearity of the S-locus between SI and SC of Antirrhinum
298	indicated that the deletion of S-RNase in SC species was a recent event. Some
299	mutated SLF genes in different haplotypes were also evolved recently. Loss of
300	S-RNase at the S-locus would have little consequence for the pollen phenotype while
301	duplications would lead to expression of two types of SLFs which could inactivate a
302	broader range of incoming S-RNases. Further genome analysis of additional SI
303	species of Antirrhinum should provide an insight into its evolutionary status.
304	Intriguingly, the physical size of the S-locus in S. lycopersicum (17 Mb,
305	containing 17 SLF genes) is much larger than that in A. majus (2 Mb, containing 37
306	SLF genes) ³⁰ . This appears to indicate that increasing the gene numbers through
307	in-locus unequal crossovers and repetitive element enrichments by a hitchhike effect
308	could result in its large physical size and low gene density of the S-locus in tomato.
309	Rich retrotransposons and unique small regulatory RNAs associated with the S-loci of
310	Solanum and Antirrhinum, respectively, appear to indicate the differential epigenetic
311	modifications could contribute to the locus density puzzle between these species.
312	In conclusion, the high-quality genome sequence obtained in this work could be
313	used as a reference genome for Plantaginaceae and will be helpful for genetic,
314	genomic and evolutionary studies in both Antirrhinum and other flowering plants.
315	

316 URLs

- 317 Genome assembly data has been deposited at NCBI BioProject ID under accession
- 318 codes PRJNA227267 and Biosample ID under accession codes SAMN02991092. We
- built the website of *Antirrhinum* genome http://bioinfo.sibs.ac.cn/Am.

320 Methods

- 321 Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the
- 322 paper.

323 Acknowledgements

- 324 This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China
- 325 (2013CB945102) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31401045
- and 31221063). We thank Zhixi Tian for help of CACTA transposon analyses.

327 Author contributions

- 328 Y.X., H.Z., D.Z. and M.L. designed the experiments; M.L., D.Z. and Y.X. wrote the
- 329 manuscript. Q.G, B.M., C.C., Y.L., Q.L., Y.Z., H.G., J.L., Y.Z., Y.S., L.C., A.W.,
- 330 Y.C., Y.L., M.Q., J.W., Y.C., D.W., J.Z., G.L., B.W., L.Y., C.X., J.L., S.Z., Y.Z,
- 331 S.H., C.L., Y.Y., E.C. and Y.X. analyzed the data and performed the experiments.

332

333 References

- Schwarz-Sommer, Z., Davies, B. & Hudson, A. An everlasting pioneer: the story of *Antirrhinum* research. *Nat Rev Genet* 4, 655-664, doi:10.1038/nrg1127 (2003).
 Nettancourt, D. Incompatibility in angiosperms. (*Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York*) (1977).
- 338 3 Franklin-Tong, V. E. Self-incompatibility in flowering plants. (Springer) (2008).
- Coen, E. S., Carpenter, R. & Martin, C. Transposable elements generate novel
 spatial patterns of gene expression in *Antirrhinum majus*. *Cell* 47, 285-296
 (1986).

342 343 344	5	Sommer, H., Beltrán, J.P. &Huijser, P. Deficiens, a homeotic gene involved in the control of flower morphogenesis in <i>Antirrhinum majus</i> : the protein shows homology to transcription factors. <i>EMBO J.</i> 9 , 605-13 (1990).
345 346 347	6	Tröbner, W., Ramirez, L. & Motte, P. <i>GLOBOSA</i> : a homeotic gene which interacts with <i>DEFICIENS</i> in the control of <i>Antirrhinum</i> floral organogenesis. <i>EMBO J.</i> 11 4693–4704 (1992).
348 349	7	Luo, D., Carpenter, R., Vincent, C., Copsey, L. & Coen, E. Origin of floral asymmetry in <i>Antirrhinum</i> . <i>Nature</i> 383 , 794-799 (1996).
350 351	8	Luo, D., Carpenter, R., Copsey, L., Vincent. C, Clark. J, & Coen, E. Control of Organ Asymmetry in Flowers of <i>Antirrhinum. Cell</i> 99 , 367-376 (1999).
352 353 354	9	Glover, B. J., Perez-Rodrigues, M. & Martin, C. Development of several epidermal cell types can be specified by the same MYB-related plant transcription factor. <i>Development</i> 125 , 3497-3508 (1998)
355 356 357 358	10	Schwinn, K., Venail, J., Shang, Y., Mackay, S., Alm, V., Butelli, E., Oyama, R., Bailey, P., Davies, K. & Martin, C. A small family of MYB regulatory genes controls floral pigmentation intensity and patterning in the genus <i>Antirrhinum</i> . <i>Plant Cell</i> 18 , 831–851 (2006).
359 360 361	11	Lai, Z. <i>et al.</i> An F-box gene linked to the self-incompatibility (S) locus of <i>Antirrhinum</i> is expressed specifically in pollen and tapetum. <i>Plant Mol Biol</i> 50 , 29-42 (2002).
362 363	12	Lee, H. S., Huang, S. & Kao, T. S proteins control rejection of incompatible pollen in Petunia inflata. <i>Nature</i> 367 , 560-563, doi:10.1038/367560a0 (1994).
364 365 366	13	Qiao, H. <i>et al.</i> The F-box protein AhSLF-S2 controls the pollen function of S-RNase-based self-incompatibility. <i>Plant Cell</i> 16 , 2307-2322, doi:10.1105/tpc.104.024919 (2004).
367 368 369	14	Hudson, A., Critchley, J. & Erasmus, Y. The genus <i>Antirrhinum</i> (snapdragon): a flowering plant model for evolution and development. <i>CSH Protoc.</i> doi: 10.1101/pdb.emo100 (2008).
370 371 372	15	Corley, S.B., Carpenter, R., Copsey, L. &Coen, E. Floral asymmetry involves an interplay between TCP and MYB transcription factors in <i>Antirrhinum</i> . <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> . 102 (14):5068-73 (2005).
373 374 375 376	16	Sonah, H., Bastien, M., Iquira, E., Tardivel, A., Le ´gare ´, G., Boyle, B., Normandeau, E., Laroche, J., Larose, S., Jean, M., et al. An improved genotyping by sequencing (GBS) approach offering increased versatility and efficiency of SNP discovery and genotyping. PLoS One 8 : e54603 (2013).

377 378 379	17	Bowers, J. E., Chapman, B. A., Rong, J. & Paterson, A. H. Unravelling angiosperm genome evolution by phylogenetic analysis of chromosomal duplication events. <i>Nature</i> 422 , 433-438, doi:10.1038/nature01521 (2003).
380 381	18	Cubas P., Lauter N., Doebley J. & Coen E. The TCP domain: a motif found in proteins regulating plant growth and development. <i>Plant J.</i> 18 , 215–222 (1999).
382 383 384	19	Preston, J.C., Martinez, C.C.&Hileman, L.C. Gradual disintegration of the floral symmetry gene network is implicated in the evolution of a wind-pollination syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108 (6):2343-8 (2011)
385 386 387 388	20	Raimundo, J., Sobral, R., Bailey, P., Azevedo, H., Galego, L., Almeida, J., Coen, E.&Costa MM. A subcellular tug of war involving three MYB-like proteins underlies a molecular antagonism in <i>Antirrhinum</i> flower asymmetry. <i>Plant J.</i> 75 (4):527-38 (2013).
389 390 391 392 393	21	Reardon, W., Gallagher, P., Nolan, K.M., Wright, H., Cardeñosa-Rubio, M.C., Bragalini, C., Lee, C.S., Fitzpatrick, D.A., Corcoran, K., Wolff, K., Nugent, J,M. Different outcomes for the MYB floral symmetry genes DIVARICATA and RADIALIS during the evolution of derived actinomorphy in Plantago. New Phytol. 202 (2):716-25 (2014).
394 395	22	Young, N.D. et al., The Medicago genome provides insight into the evolution of rhizobial symbioses. <i>Nature</i> 480 (7378):520-4 (2011).
396 397	23	Donoghue, M., Ree, R.&Baum, D. Phylogeny and the evolution of flower symmetry in the Asteridae. <i>Trends in Plant Science</i> 3 :311–317 (1998).
398 399 400	24	Yang, Q., Zhang, D., Li, Q., Cheng, Z. & Xue, Y. Heterochromatic and genetic features are consistent with recombination suppression of the self-incompatibility locus in <i>Antirrhinum</i> . <i>Plant J</i> 51 , 140-151 (2007).
401 402 403 404	25	Bradley, D., Xu, P., Mohorianu, II., Whibley, A., Field, D., Tavares, H., Couchman, M., Copsey, L., Carpenter, R., Li, M., Li, Q., Xue, Y., Dalmay, T.& Coen, E. Evolution of flower color pattern through selection on regulatory small RNAs. <i>Science</i> 358 (6365):925-928 (2017).
405 406 407 408	26	Feng, X., Zhao, Z., Tian, Z., Xu, S., Luo, Y., Cai, Z., Wang, Y., Yang, J., Wang, Z., Weng, L., Chen, J., Zheng, L., Guo, X., Luo, J., Sato, S., Tabata, S., Ma, W., Cao, X., Hu, X.&Sun C, Luo D. Control of petal shape and floral zygomorphy in Lotus japonicus. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> . 103 (13):4970-5 (2006).
409 410	27	Zhang et.al The Apostasia genome and the evolution of orchids. <i>Nature</i> 2017 549 (7672):379-383 (2017).

411 412	28	Wright, S. The distribution of self-sterility alleles in populations. <i>Genetics</i> 24 , 538-552 (1939).
413 414	29	Igic, B., and Kohn, J.R. The distribution of plant mating systems: study bias against obligately outcrossing species. <i>Evolution</i> 60 , 1098-1103 (2006).
415 416 417	30	Li, W. & Chetelat, R. T. Unilateral incompatibility gene ui1.1 encodes an S-locus F-box protein expressed in pollen of Solanum species. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 112 , 4417-4422 (2015).
418		

419 Figures



420

421 Figure 1. Genomic structure overview of Antirrhinum majus JI7.

422 (I) Duplications of genomic paralogous sequences; (II) GC content.In II, III, IV, and V,

423 deep colors show high density genes or repeat sequence regions; (III) Simple

424 sequence repeats; (IV) Gene density; (V) Retroelement density; (VI) Recombination

425 rate. Deep color shows high recombination rates.); (VII) Eight Antirrhinum

426 chromosomes with physical distances including low copy number repetitive elements:

427 telomere repeat TTTAGGG (green), 5S rDNA (orange), pericentromeric repeats

428 CentA1 and CentA2 (purple).



430

431 Figure 2. Genome evolution of A. majus.

432 **a** Synteny blocks among chromosomes of *A. majus*, *S. lycopersicum* and *A. thaliana*.

The numbers represent individual chromosomes. The selected syntenic gene numbermore than fifty.

435 **b** Density distributions of Ks for paralogous genes.

436 c A Venn diagram of shared orthologues among four species. Each number represents

437 a gene family number. Venn diagram of annotated gene families showing shared

- 438 orthologous groups among the genomes of A. majus, S. lycopersicum, A. thaliana, and
- 439 *O. sativa*.
- 440 **d** Phylogenetic tree of 10 angiosperm species including their divergence time based
- 441 on orthologues of single-gene families. The number in each node indicates the
- 442 number of gene families. A. trichopoda used as an outgroup. Bootstrap values for
- each node are above 100%.



445 Figure 3. Evolution of flower symmetry and TCP gene family.

446 Left shows a phylogenetic tree of the flowering plants derived from their divergence 447 time based on orthologues of single-gene families. Three red stars show the whole 448 genome triplication and two green stars the duplication events. "B" represents 449 bilateral flower symmetry and "R" radial flower symmetry; Asterid, Rosid, Basal 450 rosid, Basal eudicot, Monocot and Basal angiosperm represent their clades, 451 respectively. Total numbers of TCP family genes, Class I-PCF, Class II-CIN and 452 Class II-CYC/TB1 are shown from left to right. * indicates the sequenced genome of 453 species of *Medicago truncatula* with flower radial symmetry, but flowers of most Medicago species are of bilateral symmetry. ** indicates Lotus comiculatus in which 454 455 three TCP genes were identified but a functional TCP gene was not detected in its 456 genome. Four-pointed stars denote bilateral symmetry flowers with their photos from 457 PPBC (http://www.plantphoto.cn).



458

Figure 4. Genomic features of the ψ S-locus of *A. majus* and its synteny with the S-locus regions of *A. hispanicum*.

461 **a** Chromosomal locations of three scaffolds covering the ψ S-locus region of A. majus.

462 A genetic recombination map of chromosome 8 is shown on the top panel. The x-axis

463 shows its physical distance and the y-axis its genetic distance. A schematic

464 representation of chromosome 8 is shown in the middle panel with a red dot

465 indicating its centromere. The ψ S-locus is depicted as a blue box on its short arm. A

- 466 vertical red line in chromosome indicates *RAD* gene. The low panel shows three
- 467 scaffolds of *Sc29*, *Sc276*, and *Sc184* covering the ψ *S*-locus region.
- 468 **b** Transcriptional profiles of the ψ S-locus and its flanking regions of A. majus. The
- light yellow shadow denotes the predicted ψ S-locus region (*SLF1-SLF37*). This
- 470 region between *RAD* and *SLF37* contains a total number of 102 annotated genes. The
- 471 bottom part is a schematic representation of the SLF genes. Orange squares indicate
- 472 the ψ *SLF* genes and green arrows the other annotated genes (I: a putative MYB
- 473 family transcription factor; II and III, putative RNA-binding proteins; and IV, a
- 474 putative phosphate-dependent transferase)

- 475 **c** The syntemy of the S-locus regions between A. majus and S_1 , S_2 , S_4 and S_5
- 476 haplotypes of *A. hispanicum*. Different colors indicate syntenic and inversion regions
- 477 between the ψ S-locus and $S_1(S_{1a}, S_{1b} \text{ and } S_{1c})$, S_2 , $S_4(S_{4a}, S_{4b} \text{ and } S_{4c})$ or $S_5(S_{5a} \text{ and } S_{5b})$
- 478 haplotypes of *A. hispanicum*.

Table 1 Statistics for the Antirrhinum genome and gene annotation			
Estimate of genome size	520 Mb		
GC content	35.50%		
N50 length (contig)	0.73 Mb		
Longest contig	3.74 Mb		
Total size of assembled contigs	510.00 Mb		
N50 length (scaffold)	2.62 Mb		
Longest scaffold	9.90 Mb		
Total size of assembled scaffolds	511.70 Mb		
Number of genes	37714		
Average gene length	3166 bp		
Gene density	73.95 Mb ⁻¹		
Transcripts number	52780		
Average CDS length	1036 bp		
Average protein length	344 aa		
Average exon length	245 bp		
Average intron length	314 bp		
Tandem repeat	13.03 Mb		



Figure 1. Genomic structure overview of Antirrhinum majus JI7.

(I) Duplications of genomic paralogous sequences; (II) GC content.In II, III, IV, and V, deep colors show high density genes or repeat sequence regions; (III) Simple sequence repeats; (IV) Gene density; (V) Retroelement density; (VI) Recombination rate. Deep color shows high recombination rates.); (VII) Eight Antirrhinum chromosomes with physical distances including low copy number repetitive elements: telomere repeat TTTAGGG (green), 5S rDNA (orange), pericentromeric repeats CentA1 and CentA2 (purple).



Figure 2. Genome evolution of A. majus.

a Synteny blocks among chromosomes of *A. majus*, *S. lycopersicum* and *A. thaliana*. The numbers represent individual chromosomes. The selected syntenic gene number more than fifty.

b Density distributions of Ks for paralogous genes.

c A Venn diagram of shared orthologues among four species. Each number represents a gene family number. Venn diagram of annotated gene families showing shared orthologous groups among the genomes of *A. majus*, *S. lycopersicum*, *A. thaliana*, and *O. sativa*.

d Phylogenetic tree of 10 angiosperm species including their divergence time based

on orthologues of single-gene families. The number in each node indicates the number of gene families. *A. trichopoda* used as an outgroup. Bootstrap values for each node are above 100%.



Figure 3. Evolution of flower symmetry and TCP gene family.

Left shows a phylogenetic tree of the flowering plants derived from their divergence time based on orthologues of single-gene families. Three red stars show the whole genome triplication and two green stars the duplication events. "B" represents bilateral flower symmetry and "R" radial flower symmetry; Asterid, Rosid, Basal rosid, Basal eudicot, Monocot and Basal angiosperm represent their clades, respectively. Total numbers of TCP family genes, Class I-PCF, Class II-CIN and Class II-CYC/TB1 are shown from left to right. * indicates the sequenced genome of species of *Medicago truncatula* with flower radial symmetry, but flowers of most *Medicago* species are of bilateral symmetry. ** indicates *Lotus comiculatus* in which three TCP genes were identified but a functional TCP gene was not detected in its genome. Four-pointed stars denote bilateral symmetry flowers with their photos from PPBC (http://www.plantphoto.cn).



Figure 4. Genomic features of the ψ *S*-locus of *A*. *majus* and its synteny with the *S*-locus regions of *A*. *hispanicum*.

a Chromosomal locations of three scaffolds covering the ψ S-locus region of *A. majus*. A genetic recombination map of chromosome 8 is shown on the top panel. The x-axis shows its physical distance and the y-axis its genetic distance. A schematic representation of chromosome 8 is shown in the middle panel with a red dot indicating its centromere. The ψ S-locus is depicted as a blue box on its short arm. A vertical red line in chromosome indicates *RAD* gene. The low panel shows three scaffolds of *Sc29*, *Sc276*, and *Sc184* covering the ψ S-locus region. **b** Transcriptional profiles of the ψ S-locus and its flanking regions of *A. majus*. The light yellow shadow denotes the predicted ψ S-locus region (*SLF1-SLF37*). This region between *RAD* and *SLF37* contains a total number of 102 annotated genes. The bottom part is a schematic representation of the *SLF* genes. Orange squares indicate the ψ SLF genes and green arrows the other annotated genes (I: a putative MYB family transcription factor; II and III, putative RNA-binding proteins; and IV, a putative phosphate-dependent transferase)

c The synteny of the *S*-locus regions between *A*. *majus* and S_1 , S_2 , S_4 and S_5 haplotypes of *A*. *hispanicum*. Different colors indicate syntenic and inversion regions

between the ψ S-locus and $S_1(S_{1a}, S_{1b} \text{ and } S_{1c})$, S_2 , $S_4(S_{4a}, S_{4b} \text{ and } S_{4c})$ or $S_5(S_{5a} \text{ and } S_{5b})$

haplotypes of A. hispanicum.