1 **Environmentally dependent and independent control of cell shape determination**

2 **by Rho GTPase regulators in melanoma**

3 L. G. Dent [2¶] , N. Curry [1¶] , H. Sparks [1&], V. Bousgouni [2&], V. Maioli [1], S. Kumar [1], I. Munro [1], C.

4 Dunsby [1,3 &&*], C. Bakal [2 &&*]

5

6 [1] Photonics Group, Department of Physics, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

7 [2] Division of Cancer Biology, Institute of Cancer Research, 237 Fulham Road, London SW3

8 6JB, UK

9 [3] Centre for Pathology, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

10

11 ¶   These authors contributed equally to this work

12 &   These authors also contributed equally to this work

13 &&   These authors also contributed equally to this work

14 *   Corresponding author

15 E-mail: chris.bakal@icr.ac.uk (CB), christopher.dunsby@imperial.ac.uk (CD)

16 Running Head: '3D shape control by Rho-regulators'

Abstract

In order to invade 3D tissues, cancer cells dynamically change cell morphology in response to geometric and mechanical cues in the environment. But how cells determine their shape in 3D versus 2D environments is poorly understood. Studying 2D versus 3D single cell shape determination has historically been technically difficult due to the lack of methodologies to directly compare the two environments. We developed an approach to study cell shape in 2D versus 3D by measuring cell shape at different depths in collagen using stage-scanning oblique plane microscopy (ssOPM). We find characteristic shape changes occur in melanoma cells depending on whether a cell is attached to a 2D surface or 3D environment, and that these changes can be modulated by Rho GTPase regulatory proteins. Our data suggest that regulation of cell protrusivity undergoes a 'switch' of control between different Rho GTPase regulators depending on the physical microenvironment.

Abbreviations

LSFM: light sheet fluorescence microscopy, OPM: oblique plane microscopy, PSF: point spread function, ssOPM: stage scanning oblique plane microscopy

2

Introduction

The ability of metastatic cancer cells to invade three-dimensional (3D) structures such as tissues and organs is dependent on their ability to change shape in response to the environment. To respond to the environment, cells detect factors such as stiffness and geometry and in turn dynamically regulate their cytoskeleton [1–6]. Cells appear to convert between two major modes of migration and shape control: (i) adhesion based mesenchymal migration, (ii) cortical tension based ameboid and lobopodial migration [7–11].

On rigid 2D substrates such as glass (modulus of elasticity 60-64 GPa) [12], melanoma cells typically adopt an elongated 'spindle' or mesenchymal shape. In contrast, on softer environments such as collagen hydrogels, cells can adopt either mesenchymal or amoeboid shapes depending on environmental parameters such as stiffness and pore size [13]. The modulus of elasticity for different compositions of collagen hydrogel is a major determinant of cell shape and typically ranges between 50 and 5000 Pascals [14–19]. In stiff 3D collagen environments with small pore sizes that restrict migration, cells adopt mesenchymal modes of migration. Within soft or more porous 3D substrates cells tend to adopt 'amoeboid' and 'lobopodial' forms. Amoeboid cell migration is characterized by extensive contraction of cortical actomyosin and weaker adhesion to the substrate [20–22]. Amoeboid cells invade 3D matrices by pushing via 'blebs', which are protrusions in the plasma membrane generated by hydrostatic pressure. The ability of cells to switch between spindle and ameboid forms provides cancer cells with the ability to invade substrates with different stiffness and geometry [7,23].

Many of the changes in cell shape between environments of different stiffness and geometry are controlled by Rho GTPase proteins. For example, activation of RHOA is associated with increased myosin II-mediated contractility and cell rounding. In contrast, activation of CDC42 leads to WASP and arp2/3 activity and the formation of protrusions, whereas RAC1 activation

3

57   leads to increased WAVE activity and the formation of protrusions in 3D spindle cells, or

58   lamellipodia in 2D cells [24,25].

59   Although Rho GTPases are important determinants of cell shape, additional layers of regulation

60   are necessary for GTPases to be able to 'detect' differences in the environment and respond with

61   dynamic changes in activity. This fine-tuned regulation comes from Rho GTP exchange factors

62   (RhoGEFs) and Rho GTPase activating proteins (RhoGAPs) [26]. RhoGEFs increase the activity

63   of GTPases by promoting the release of GDP and loading of GTP. RhoGAPs (Rho GTPase

64   activating proteins) decrease the activity of GTPases by catalysing hydrolysis of GTP to GDP

65   [27]. Previously, RhoGEFs and RhoGAPs have been shown to be able to confer environmental

66   responsiveness to Rho GTPases through recruitment and activation at distinct subcellular

67   locations. For example, ARHGEF7 and SRGAP1 play important roles in regulating cell shape in

68   3D collagen versus fibronectin gels [28]. Differential activation of RhoGEFs and RhoGAPs likely

69   underpin the ability of metastatic cells to change shape as cells transition between different

70   environments such as tumor and normal tissue. Despite this, in many instances the

71   RhoGEFS/GAPs that allow cancer cells to respond to a particular environmental context, remain

72   to be identified.

73   Identifying the RhoGEFs, RhoGAPS that control different aspects of cell shape and migration in

74   response to the environment is a major goal of biology, but there are significant challenges. For

75   example, although disruption of the classical Rho GTPases such as RHO, RAC and CDC42 each

76   have profound and stereotypic consequences for cell shape, the effect of RhoGEFs/GAPs can be

77   more subtle and varied. In contrast to the ~20 mammalian Rho GTPases [29], there are some

78   145 Rho-regulatory GEFs and GAPs and their disruption often results in context specific

79   modulation of shape.

80 A challenge in understanding the differences in shape control between 2D versus 3D

81 environments is the difficulty of imaging the same cell populations in distinct environments

82 simultaneously. In part this can be accomplished by culturing cells in invasion assays where cells

83 migrate 'up' from a 2D environment into 3D collagen hydrogels [11]. However, conventional

84 microscopy is poorly suited to imaging cells in both 2D and 3D environments, and is not

85 appropriate for measuring 3D geometry.

86 3D imaging of cells at multiple depths can be achieved at high speed using light-sheet

87 fluorescence microscopy (LSFM). Here we used stage-scanning oblique plane microscopy

88 (ssOPM) for imaging melanoma cells invading a collagen hydrogel. ssOPM has been previously

89 applied to time-lapse imaging of spheroids in multi-well plates [30]. This technique is based on

90 oblique plane microscopy (OPM) where the same high NA objective delivers the light sheet and

91 collects the fluorescence [31]. This system is built around a standard microscope frame and uses

92 standard multiwell plates. We retain the advantages of working with a standard microscope frame

93 but gain fast 3D imaging. The ssOPM images large volumes ($4.2 \times 0.32 \times 0.144$ mm^3) corresponding

94 to 100s of cells in 108 s. The collection NA is 0.7 and the system provides a spatial resolution of

95 $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 5$ μm^3 [32]. This allows the 3D position and shape of large numbers of cells to be

96 measured.

97 We analysed cell shape in control treated cells, and in response to depletion of different Rho-

98 regulatory proteins. We found characteristic shape changes as cells transition from a 2D to 3D

99 environment, and that these changes can be modulated by depletion of Rho-regulators. We also

100 found that some Rho-regulators influence cell shape in a range of physical settings, while others

101 are more context specific. In particular, focusing on cell protrusivity we found that depletion of

102 Rho-regulators such as *TIAM2* changed protrusivity in both 2D and 3D environments, whereas

103 depletion of *FARP1* only modulated protrusivity in 2D environments. This data suggests that cells

104 can adjust shape control between different Rho-regulators depending on their local environment.

105 Taken together, these results reveal new context specific regulators of protrusivity and highlight

106 the ability of high-throughput plate based volumetric imaging to rapidly assay and identify proteins

107 in control of cell shape.

108

109 Methods

110 Cell culture

111 WM266.4 melanoma cells expressing CAAX-GFP (donated by the Marshall lab) were grown in

112 Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated bovine

113 serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin in T75 flasks. Cells were cultured at 37°C and

114 supplemented with 5% CO_2 in humidified incubators.

115 Cell treatments and preparation

116 WM266.4 melanoma cells expressing CAAX-GFP were reverse transfected with OnTARGETplus

117 SMARTpool (Table 1). Gene name and Dharmacon catalogue numbers were as follows:

118 *ARHGEF35*, *ARHGEF9*, *PREX2*, *FARP1*, *TIAM2*, *SRGAP1*, *DOCK5*, *RND3* and *ECT2*

119 (Dharmacon cat # L-032365-02-0005, # L-020314-00-0005, # L-014602-00-0005, # L-008519-

120 00-0005, # L-008434-00-0005, # L-026974-00-0005, # L-018931-00-0005, # L-007794-00-0005

121 and # L-006450-00-0005) at stock concentration 20 μM in 6 well plates. Transfections were

122 carried out using Lipofectamine RNAimax (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's

123 instructions. On the second day after transfection, 10^5 cells/ml were re-suspended in 500 μl of 2.3

124 mgs/ml collagen rat tail (Gibco). A 100 μl volume of the collagen and cell mixture was dispensed

125 in quadruplicate wells onto poly-D-Lysine (0.1mg/ml) coated glass bottom view 96 well plates

126 (PerkinElmer). Plates were centrifuged @1200 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C and incubated overnight

127   in a tissue culture incubator. After incubation, cells were fixed with 4% PFA methanol free for 30

128   mins at RT. Wells were stained with DRAQ5 at a concentration of 5 µM, to label nuclei.

129   **Table 1. Gene names and siRNA reagent details for this study**

HGNC nomenclature	Reagent ID
ARHGEF35	L-032365-02-0005
ARHGEF9	L-020314-00-0005
PREX2	L-014602-00-0005
FARP1	L-008519-00-0005
TIAM2	L-008434-00-0005
SRGAP1	L-026974-00-0005
DOCK5	L-018931-00-0005
RND3	L-007794-00-0005

ECT2	L-006450-00-0005

130

Microscopy setup

132   Oblique plane microscopy was performed using a setup reported previously [30] and a schematic

133   is shown (Supplementary Fig 1A). Five excitation sources at different wavelengths (457, 488, 515,

134   561, 642 nm) are combined onto a common optical path using dichroic mirrors. An acousto-optic

135   tunable filter – allowing switching and power control – is used to selectively couple the beams into

136   a single-mode polarisation-maintaining fibre.

137   Light exiting the fibre is collimated (L1) then focused in the horizontal direction by a cylindrical

138   lens (C1) onto the back focal plane of spherical lens L2. This results in a vertically orientated light

139   sheet at 55 degrees to the optical axis of O2. The microscope formed by O2 and TL2 relays the

140   light sheet to the image plane of the camera port of a commercially available microscope frame

141   (Olympus IX71). The commercial microscope (comprising TL1 and O1) relays the light sheet to

142   the image plane of O1. O1 is a 60X/1.2NA water immersion objective (Olympus UPLSAPO60XW).

143   The microscope objective was fitted with a collar to provide a continuous supply of water

144   immersion liquid.

145   The overall magnification between the image planes of O1 and O2 is set to be equal to the ratio

146   of the refractive indices for the images formed at O1 (water) and O2 (air) to ensure that the lateral

147   and axial magnification between the focal planes of O1 and O2 are equal [33]. The excitation light

148   sheet produced across the focal plane of O1 excites fluorescence from the sample. The resulting

149   fluorescence image is relayed back to the focal plane of O2. O3 is positioned at 35 degrees to

150   the optical axes of O1 and O2, such that the fluorescence image of the region illuminated by the

151   light sheet in the sample is perpendicular to its optical axis, and conjugate with the focal plane of

152   O3. Together with tube lenses TL3a and TL3b, this system relays an image of the fluorescence

153   emitted within the light sheet to the two sCMOS cameras. A dichroic beam splitter (DC) and

154   emission filters (EM1, EM2) separate emitted fluorescence for two-colour imaging.

155

Image acquisition

156

157   Stage-scanning OPM was implemented as described previously [34]. A motorised stage (SCAN-

158   IM 120 × 80, Marzhäuser) controlled by a driver unit (Tango 2 fitted with AUX I/O option,

159   Marzhäuser) outputs a TTL trigger each time it has travelled a predefined distance (1.4 μm for

160   the results presented here). The TTL output is connected to a digital acquisition box (DAQ)

161   (National Instruments NI USB-6229) configured to output a pattern of signals each time a TTL

162   signal is received from the x-y stage. The signals control the laser power and illumination duration,

163   and trigger the start of camera exposures. The stage scans in the y direction, as shown

164   (Supplementary Fig 1A). During acquisition a scan speed of 0.1 μm ms^{-1} was used. A speed of

165   10 μm ms^{-1} was used to move between wells.

166   The two sCMOS cameras (PCO.edge, PCO) were both operated in Global Reset acquisition

167   mode with 1280x1000 pixels. The exposure time was defined by the 2 ms laser exposure time.

168   The two spectral channels were interleaved temporally to prevent cross-talk between channels.

169   Per field of view, the x-y stage was scanned 4200 μm in the y direction (corresponding to 3000

170   frames per camera). Each field of view corresponded to 7.32 GB of data per channel and took 42

171   s to acquire. Image acquisition was controlled by a HP z840 PC with 128 GB of RAM and a 4x1

172   TB SSD configured in RAID 0. Saving and moving to the next well took a further 66 s (for a total

173   of 108 seconds per well).

174 Volumetric imaging in 3 spectral channels (2 x fluorescence and 1 x scatter) was performed in

175 two stages. The first stage acquired the two fluorescence spectral channels (CAAX-GFP and

176 DRAQ5) for the entire plate. In the second stage, the image acquisition was repeated but now

177 with scattered light from collagen imaged on camera 1 with 488 nm excitation and in the absence

178 of an emission filter. Camera 2 was used to image the DRAQ5 channel for a second time. As

179 images of DRAQ5 were acquired in both stages, they could then be used to measure any drift

180 between image sets and thus enable the two sets to be co-registered.

181

Image reslicing and registration

183 The average background level was measured for each camera by taking the average pixel value

184 over a field of view acquired with no laser on. This was subtracted from the data prior to reslicing.

185 The 2D transform to co-register camera 1 and camera 2 was measured based on a 2-channel

186 fluorescence image acquisition of a sample of 100 nm four-colour fluorescent beads (TetraSpeck,

187 Thermofisher) in 10% agarose. The x-y shift, magnification and rotation needed to co-register the

188 data acquired on camera 2 to that of camera 1 was measured manually using a custom script in

189 MATLAB (imtranslate, imrotate, imscale and fliplr: Image Processing Toolbox, MATLAB). This

190 transform was applied to all raw image data acquired on camera 2 prior to reslicing.

191 Raw ssOPM images are a set of image planes at 55 degrees to the optical axis of O1. The data

192 was transformed into conventional coordinates (z parallel to the optical axis, x&y&z

193 perpendicular). Reslicing was performed using a bi-linear resampling algorithm [35]. To increase

194 speed, a custom-written Java implementation of the algorithm was used. For all image

195 segmentation and cell shape analysis, raw camera images were binned by factor of 4 - prior to

196 reslicing - to reduce data volume and analysis times. Images presented in figures in this paper

197   were resliced with factor 2 binning. After reslicing voxel sizes were 1x1x1 μm^3 (for analysis) and

198   0.5x0.5x0.5 μm^3 (for display).

199   The collagen channel was coregistered in 3D with the fluorescence channels using the imregtform

200   (Image Processing Toolbox, MATLAB) using the default optimizer parameters. The transform was

201   measured on the DRAQ5 channel, which was common to both acquisitions, and applied to the

202   collagen channel using the imwarp function in MATLAB.

203

204   3D rendering

205   3D renders are displayed as a 3D projection with trilinear interpolation using the Volume Viewer

206   2.01 Fiji plugin [36]. 3D surface renders were generated using the 3D viewer in Fiji.

207

208   Image segmentation

209   Prior to segmentation, the collagen channel was viewed manually. Any volumes where the

210   collagen was not present throughout the entire volume were rejected from analysis. Example

211   (accepted) volumes are shown (Supplementary Fig 3A).

212   To verify the robustness of the 3D segmentation used in this paper, two methods were tested. An

213   intensity-threshold-based approach using an Otsu threshold and an active contour method, which

214   uses energy minimisation (Supplementary Fig 4A). Both methods generate a mask with minimal

215   user input so can be applied to large datasets. For both methods, cells and nuclei were segmented

216   in 3D.

217   Prior to segmentation, the tips of the parallelepiped-shaped volume imaged by the ssOPM image

218   acquisition were removed by cropping in the y direction. This removed any parts of the volume

219   which were not imaged over its full axial extent due to the light-sheet angle.

220   In the intensity-based method, thresholds were measured automatically for each field of view. The

221   nucleus threshold was selected using Otsu's method with a single level (multithresh, Image

222   Processing Toolbox, MATLAB). The cell body was masked using a similar method. In this case

223   there were 3 intensity levels in the image, background, brightly fluorescent cell membrane and

224   dim fluorescent protrusions. To include all parts of the cell in the final mask, the lowest threshold

225   found by a two-level Otsu method was used.

226   In the active contour method, an initial guess of the mask was generated using a threshold of 5

227   digital numbers (just above the background). The final mask was formed after 500 iterations

228   (nuclei) or 1000 iterations (cell) of the active contour method (Image Processing Toolbox,

229   MATLAB).

230   The final nucleus mask was generated for both methods by separating touching nuclei. To

231   achieve this, the Euclidean distance transform (bwdist, Image Processing Toolbox, MATLAB) was

232   used on the inverse of the mask to determine the distance of each voxel to the edge of the 3D

233   mask. A watershed (Image Processing Toolbox, MATLAB) was used on the negative of the

234   distance transformed image to separate nuclei based on regions where the mask narrows. Nuclei

235   with volume less than 250 μm^3 were rejected at this stage.

236   As the nuclei are part of the cell, an OR operation is applied to the cell mask and the nucleus

237   mask to generate a combined mask. Any connected components in the cell binary mask which

238   do not contain a nucleus were rejected. Touching cells are separated using a marker-based

239   watershed approach. Nuclei are set as the low points (digital value 0), the cell body (digital value

240   1) as intermediate points and the background as high points (digital value infinity). The watershed

12

241 finds the halfway point between touching nuclei. The watershed then underwent an AND

242 operation with the original cell mask to generate a final mask. Following segmentation, cells

243 touching the edges of the image volume are removed. Cells with volume below 512 µm^3 were

244 rejected. Nuclei of rejected cells were removed from the nucleus mask.

245

246 # Image measures

247 Cell and nucleus shape measures were read out using the regionprops3 (Image Processing

248 Toolbox, MATLAB). Further statistics were derived from the outputs of this function as described

249 in Supplementary Fig 2A.

250

251 # Data processing

252 **Outlier removal**

253 Imaging of 3 plates produced a segmented dataset of more than 3×10^4 cells. We aimed to remove

254 cells and nuclei that could not be segmented accurately due to low expression of the CAAX-GFP

255 transgene, as well as cells with surface areas that are conspicuously large due to under-

256 segmentation. To remove cells that were improperly segmented due to low expression of the

257 CAAX-GFP construct, we removed cells that had both: (i) low CAAX-GFP intensity (an average

258 intensity of less than 1000 in camera digital numbers); and (ii) a nuclear to total cell volume ratio

259 of greater than 0.95. These cells were removed from subsequent analysis as they represent nuclei

260 with cells that cannot be appropriately measured due to low CAAX-GFP transgene expression.

261 Applying these criteria removed approximately 500 cells (~ 1.8 % of original total).

262

263 **Coverslip localisation**

264 The position of the coverslip was estimated using the fluorescence in the nucleus channel. To

265 account for spatial variations in the axial position of the coverslip over the field of view, the nucleus

266 channel was divided into 16 segments in the y direction and the average signal in each x-y plane

267 was found for each z position in each segment. For each segment, the coverslip location was

268 defined as the point when the signal first reaches 45% of its maximum value when moving in the

269 positive z direction. A full 2D map of coverslip height was then produced from the 16

270 measurements using bilinear interpolation, giving a smooth change of coverslip height across the

271 field of view. This map of coverslip height reflects the spatial variation across the field of view.

272 However, this is a relatively crude method and does not account for variations in nuclear intensity

273 between knockdowns and between plates, therefore there is a remaining global offset in coverslip

274 position for each well that is accounted for in the next step.

275

276 **Nucleus height determination**

277 Following coverslip position estimation, we calculated the lower boundary of the mask for each

278 nucleus above the coverslip (the bottom of the nucleus). To remove the remaining global offset in

279 coverslip position, we found the nucleus with the lowest calculated height in each well and

280 subtracted this value from the height of every cell in that well so that the lowest cell in each well

281 had a height of zero. Finally, we corrected for wells where microscopic detachment of collagen

282 (less than 6 microns) from the well bottom had occurred after fixation but before imaging. These

283 wells were identifiable by discontinuities in nuclear height distributions between cells at a lowest

284 position (attached to coverslip) and a larger number of cells in the lowest extent of the collagen

285 gel. In these cases the lowest positioned cell in the collagen was also registered to the height of

286 the coverslip. The median position adjustment was 1.17 micrometers.

14

287

Feature reduction and feature normalisation

289 We originally computed more than 20 measurements of cell and nuclear shape features, and

290 subsequently reduced this set to a set of four features. We used clustering to remove the most

291 highly correlated features as follows. First, we used single cell data to calculate Pearson

292 correlation values between each feature using the 'cor()' function in R. Pearson correlation values

293 between features were hierarchically clustered using the hclust() function in R, and the 'complete'

294 linkage method (see Fig 2). The resulting cluster was partitioned into four groups, and a single

295 cell or nuclear feature was chosen as a representative feature for each group. To allow for

296 comparison between plates that were prepared and imaged on different days, we normalised

297 single cell measurements for cell and nuclear features within each plate. We performed

298 normalisation by dividing feature measurements for a single cell, by the plate median across all

299 conditions for cells in that feature.

300

Data analysis

302 As some of the cell and nuclear shape features we examined did not have a normal distribution,

303 we used non-parametric tests throughout this study. To test for differences in measures of central

304 tendency between two conditions we used a paired Wilcoxon test with BH adjustment. For tests

305 of difference in central tendency between more than two conditions we used a Kruskal-Wallis test,

306 followed by a Dunn's test to discern which conditions differed from control. Tests for differences

307 between groups were performed on summary statistics calculated for wells, with multiple wells

308 from three different plates in each test. Tests at the well level used at least 12 wells per treatment,

309 from three plates, with at least 50 cells in the dataset per condition. Statistical tests were

15

310   performed with functions in the R programming language and environment. Principal component

311   analysis was performed on cell measurements aggregated at the well level, using the 'prcomp()'

312   function in R.

313

314   Confirmation that shape changes between environments are

315   robust to segmentation method and light sheet PSF shape

316   **Segmentation method**

317   There has been an acceleration in recent years in the development of fast 3D microscopy

318   techniques for imaging isolated cells. However there is limited software for segmentation of full

319   3D volumes. We test two methods for unsupervised segmentation of a full 3D dataset of cells.

320   We tested, on control cells, a method which segments based on an Otsu threshold set for each

321   field of view and an active contour method (both segmentation modes are described in detail in

322   the Methods section). Supplementary Fig 4A shows the features measured using both

323   segmentation methods. They are separated into coverslip proximal and distal groups based upon

324   nucleus position with respect to the coverslip (as outlined in the previous section). For most of the

325   features the Otsu and active contours methods give similar values. Changes between coverslip

326   proximal and distal cells appeared consistent between threshold and active contour based

327   segmentation. Overall this suggests that results are repeatable between segmentation methods.

328   As either segmentation method is viable for this dataset, the Otsu threshold approach was chosen

329   due to the shorter computation time.

330

Anisotropic PSF shape

331

332   In light-sheet microscopy, the point spread function (PSF) is usually not spherical. The FWHM

333   spatial resolution of this ssOPM system has been previously reported as 0.5 um in the plane of

334   the light sheet, with a light sheet thickness of 3.8 um at the waist. The light thickness increases

335   to 5.4 μm over a distance of 50 μm from the centre of the field of view [37]. We set out to establish

336   whether the anisotropic PSF might affect coverslip proximal cells - that are more likely to be flatter

337   - differently to coverslip distal cells. We therefore eroded the cell and nucleus masks from all

338   segmented data by an object approximating a worst-case PSF. This was performed using

339   MATLAB's imerode function with a morphological structuring element consisting of a 1x1x5 pixel

340   kernel angled at 45° to the coverslip plane (closest possible approximation to a 55° light sheet

341   angle) . The voxel size in the image data was 1 um^3, so this corresponds to a 1x1x5 um^3 PSF.

342   Supplementary Fig 4B shows pair plots of cell features for the thresholded mask (normal) and the

343   eroded (imerode) version. Coverslip proximal and distal cells have similar normalised distributions

344   for normal and eroded masks. This suggests that, for the selected features, the anisotropy of the

345   mask has limited impact on the comparison between coverslip proximal and distal cells.

346

Spatially varying light-sheet thickness

347

348   The ssOPM microscope uses an illumination light sheet with a confocal parameter of 100 μm.

349   Therefore, the thickness of the light sheet varies as a function of the z range and is thinner at the

350   centre of the z-range than the edges. Coverslip distal cells tend to be closer to the centre of the z

351   range than coverslip proximal cells. Therefore cells on the coverslip experience a different PSF,

352   which may affect the features measured.

17

353   To quantify this effect a reference sample of cells plated on the coverslip (no collagen) was used

354   (referred to as the test plate). The same cells were imaged at three different z positions

355   corresponding to the top, middle and bottom of the axial range. Example images are shown in

356   supplementary Fig 5B. Cells from the test plate and collagen assay were grouped into bins

357   (bottom, middle and top) based on their z coordinate (0-48, 48-96, 96-144 μm). Values were then

358   normalised to the mean value for that feature in the lowest (first) bin.

359   Supplementary Fig 5A shows side by side plots of the features from the test plate and control

360   cells from the collagen plates. Feature values were normalised by dividing by the average value

361   in the bottom bin. On the test plate, cell surface area and the angle between cell and nucleus are

362   both within one standard deviation of one at all heights, suggesting they are not significantly

363   changed by the spatially varying PSF. Cell protrusivity increases in the middle bin of the test plate

364   but a decrease in protrusivity is observed in the same bin on the collagen plate. This suggests

365   that the decrease in protrusivity observed in coverslip distal cells is due to the change in physical

366   environment and not due to the light sheet thickness. Nucleus minor axis shows a decrease below

367   1 in both the middle and top bins, however this decrease is not seen in the collagen plate. Cell

368   and nucleus volume were also tested for the test plate, but this metric was found to be affected

369   by the spatially varying light sheet thickness. This is expected for the thin flat cells used in the test

370   plate, which represent a deliberate worst case, as these cells are generally thinner than the light-

371   sheet thickness. The cell volume metric was therefore not used in the analysis in this paper. We

372   concluded that the behaviours of 'cell surface area', 'angle between', 'protrusivity' and 'nucleus

373   minor axis' in the collagen plate are not explainable by the PSF shape alone and are dominated

374   by other factors.

375

Results

An experimental paradigm to measure cell shape in distinct physical environments

To study the differences in shape as cells transition between two mechanically and geometrically distinct environments, we suspended cells in 2 mg/ml (initial concentration) type 1 collagen (rat tail), seeded this mixture into glass-bottomed 96 well plates, and centrifuged them. We incubated cells for 24 hours before fixation. Glass is a rigid or 'hard' substrate (on the order of 1 GPa), whereas collagen at a concentration of 2 mg/ml is relatively elastic [15] (between 300 and 1600 Pascals) [38–42] (Fig 1A). We used this system to study control treated cells, and also cells treated with siRNA targeting a variety of Rho-regulators that we had selected from preliminary screening (Fig 1B). To compare cell response between physical environments we used stage scanning oblique plane microscopy (ssOPM) to image the geometry of cells with nuclei at different distances from the glass coverslip (Fig 1C-E). In each single volume, approximately 200 cells were imaged across a 144 μm z range. Using this imaging approach for all of the wells and treatments in our study generated an initial dataset containing more than 30,000 individual cells. A technical advantage of this system is that variables are internally controlled because comparisons can be made between 2D and 3D microenvironments for a large number of cells in the very same well of a 96 well plate. In the case of a single gene knockdown, protein depletion, media conditions, collagen concentration and biological composition between 'proximal embedded' and 'distal embedded' cells are shared between 2D and 3D cell microenvironments.

To quantify cell morphology, we imaged GFP signal in CAAX-GFP-expressing WM266.4 cells, and visualized nuclei using DRAQ5 (Methods). Initially we generated over 20 measures of cell or nuclear shape features (Supplementary Fig 2A). We performed dimensionality reduction by using

19

399 hierarchical clustering to group these measurements into four clusters of highly correlated shape

400 features (Fig 2A). A representative shape feature was selected from each cluster to be used

401 throughout our analysis. By considering the shape features in each cluster we were also able to

402 suggest an interpretation of the underlying biology that is tracked by each feature (Fig 2A). The

403 shape features chosen were 'Cell surface area', 'Angle between cell and nucleus', 'Nucleus minor

404 axis', and 'Cell protrusivity' (description of features in Supplementary Fig 2A). After feature

405 reduction, no features had an absolute correlation of greater than 0.5 (Fig 2A). Collectively we

406 refer to these shape features as global geometry features.

407

408 Human melanoma cells adopt different shapes in distinct

409 microenvironments

410 To understand how cell shapes change based on distance from the glass coverslip we first

411 examined untreated WM266.4 melanoma cells. The data analysed included more than 2,500

412 untreated melanoma cells in 12 wells across three plates. Based on cell shape measurements in

413 our dataset, and on previous studies of hydrogels plated on stiff substrates we classified cells as

414 'proximal' to the coverslip when the base of the nucleus was less than 7 microns, and 'distal' if

415 the base of the nucleus was greater than 7 microns from the coverslip. To search for changes in

416 shape we compared global geometry features of proximal and distal cells (Fig 2B). This revealed

417 significant stereotypic differences in cell morphology depending on whether cell nuclei were

418 proximal or distal to the coverslip. These changes included reduced cell protrusivity, and smaller

419 cell surface area in distal versus proximal cells (Fig 2B). In contrast to these cell shape features,

420 the nuclear geometry feature, length of the minor axis of the nucleus was not different between

421 proximal and distal cells (Fig 2B). Thus cells invading 3D collagen gels are typically less protrusive

422 and have a smaller surface area than in 2D environments.

423   Although our nuclear shape measures were not altered, we found changes in the relationship of

424   the cell to the nucleus. When cells were proximal to the coverslip the major axis of elongation of

425   the cell and nucleus was coordinated or 'coupled'. In contrast, for cells positioned away from the

426   glass coverslip we found an increase in the angle between the cell and nucleus. This suggests

427   the orientation of the nucleus was less constrained by cell geometry when positioned away from

428   the glass coverslip.

429   Previous studies have noted that WM266.4 cells exhibit extensive heterogeneity in morphology

430   when cultured either on stiff 2D plastic, or soft collagen matrices - adopting either amoeboid or

431   spindle forms [8,43,44]. But how the extent of this variability changes between 2D and 3D is poorly

432   understood. We tested whether variance in a range of shape features is changed between

433   proximal and distal settings. Due to the connection between cell protrusivity and metastatic

434   potential, we focused on variation in protrusivity (Fig 2E). To visualise these variations we grouped

435   the cells into three groups - below average, near average and above average protrusivity - and

436   selected 9 representative masks from the cell masks (Fig 2D). We also made a visual summary

437   of variation in protrusivity (without grouping the cells) by creating 'stacked maximum intensity

438   projections' (stacked-MIPs) (Fig 2F). The projected cells were the first 'n' cells in our dataset,

439   which matched the protrusivity criteria. These stacked-MIPs support the finding that protrusivity

440   is decreased when cells are positioned away from the coverslip. These observations are

441   consistent with those made by ourselves and others that WM266.4 cells alternate between round

442   and spindle forms in 3D gels, but are more homogenous on 2D stiff surfaces [7,8,44]. This

443   increased variance may reflect that there are more degrees of freedom away from the rigid

444   coverslip, which may make it less likely for cells to adopt stereotypic shapes.

445

Rho-regulators disrupt differences in shape between proximal and distal cells

446

447

448   Having identified characteristic shape differences between cells that are proximal or distal to the

449   coverslip, we interrogated the molecular control of these shape changes. To do this we depleted

450   a range of Rho-regulatory proteins, and examined whether their function was affected by distance

451   from the coverslip. To select a set of Rho-regulators for study by ssOPM, we had conducted a

452   collection of preliminary screens on cells plated on collagen to look for genes that control cell

453   morphology and imaged by confocal microscopy (Fig 1B). We identified nine Rho-regulators that

454   have a potent influence on cell shape (Fig 1B).

455   We depleted these nine Rho-regulators and for eight of these we measured the effect on shape

456   transitions between 'proximal' and 'distal' cells (Fig 1E and 3A and 3B). *ECT2* depleted cells

457   frequently had a multinucleate phenotype consistent with failed cytokinesis (Fig 1E). This

458   phenotype indicated potent protein depletion from our treatments, however to focus on primary

459   effects of gene knockdown on shape we did not analyse these cells. To see how Rho-regulators

460   contribute to cell shape in proximal 2D and distal 3D cells, we projected our four global geometry

461   features (Fig 3A) in principal component (PC) space (Fig 3B). The two largest contributions to

462   PC1 were cell protrusivity and cell surface area, while the two largest contributions to PC2 were

463   the nucleus minor axis, and the angle between the cell and nucleus.

464   For control cells in PC space, coverslip proximal cells formed a cluster with lower variance than

465   coverslip distal cells. Coverslip distal cells explore shapes characterised by reduced protrusivity

466   and cell surface area (higher PC1) and lower nucleus minor axis and greater angle between cell

467   and nucleus (lower PC2). We found that depletion of some Rho-regulators generated overlap

468   between proximal and distal cells in PC space (Fig 3A). For example, this overlap was substantial

469   for cells depleted for *FARP1.* This was true but to a lesser extent for *ARHGEF35* and *SRGAP1-*

470   depleted cells. The shape convergence we saw in PC space was visually supported by generating

471   stacked-MIPs of 200 cells (Fig 3C), which indicated greater similarity between proximal and distal

472   cells for *FARP1*, compared to control. Taken together we identify *FARP1*, *ARHGEF35* and

473   *SRGAP1* as important for reducing differences in shape between proximal and distal contexts

474   (Fig 3A-C).

475   Here we have shown that proximal and distal positioned WM266.4 melanoma cells are separable

476   in PC space based on a small set of shape features, and this separation can be disrupted by

477   depletion of some Rho-regulators.The ability to disrupt shape changes indicates that the shape

478   differences between proximal and distal cells are not just biophysical responses to changes in the

479   physical environment but are active transitions mediated (at least in part) by the signalling of Rho-

480   regulators.

481

482 # The effect of Rho-regulators on cell shape is environmentally

483 constrained

484   Visualisation in PC space also suggested that Rho-regulators have stronger and more

485   stereotyped effects on cell shape in proximal compared to distal cells. In the proximal cells we

486   found that our four global shape features were sufficient to separate many Rho-regulator depleted

487   cells from control treated WM266.4 melanoma. This was especially the case for *SRGAP1*,

488   *FARP1*, *TIAM2, RND3* and *DOCK5* (Fig 3D), where depletion of these proteins in proximal cells

489   created combinations of shape features that were separable from control treated WM266.4

490   melanoma cells. This was in contrast to the distal context, where cell shape features overlapped

491   between control WM266.4 melanoma and Rho-regulator depletion (Fig 3D), and where cell

492   shapes were more widely distributed in PC space (Fig 3D).

23

493   This supported our finding that Rho-regulators have a potent and stereotypic effect on cell shape,

494   but this effect depends on distance of the cell from the glass coverslip. Our data suggests that for

495   distal cells the physical environment has an overarching control on shape and increases variability

496   in cell shape.

497

498   Control of cell protrusivity

499   We next sought to identify specific shape differences that are disrupted by Rho-regulator

500   depletion, and focused on cell protrusivity. It is critical to understand regulation of cell protrusivity

501   in WM266.4 melanoma because changes in protrusivity are linked to malignant cell migration. In

502   the past a comprehensive understanding of the genetic control of cell protrusivity has been

503   confounded by different control of protrusivity between 2D and 3D environments, as well as

504   between rigid and soft environments. We define protrusivity as 1-ratio of the mask volume to its

505   convex hull volume (Fig 4A & B). This is similar to the spreading metric used by Isogai *et al* [45].

506   Increases in our protrusivity metric correlate with the number of cell protrusions, but also the

507   increased length of cell protrusions, and the angle between cell protrusions.

508   To understand how specific Rho-regulators control protrusivity when positioned near or far from

509   a rigid substrate, we compared median cell protrusivity of control and Rho-regulator depleted

510   WM266.4 melanoma cells. To account for the effect of cell microenvironment we made these

511   comparisons separately for proximal and distal cells (Fig 4C & D). In cells proximal to the

512   coverslip, depletion of *FARP1*, *TIAM2*, *DOCK5* and *RND3* each decreased protrusivity (Fig 4D).

513   In the distal context only, cells depleted for *TIAM2* had reduced protrusvity compared to the control

514   WM266.4 melanoma cells (Fig 4D). *TIAM2*, the Rho-regulator that controlled protrusivity in distal

515   cells, also controlled protrusivity in proximal embedded cells, suggesting control of a particular

516   shape process near to the glass coverslip is associated with the ability to control the same process

24

517   far from the glass coverslip. We noted that *RND3* and *DOCK5* depleted cells both appeared to be

518   associated with a reduction in cell protrusivity but also cell number (Fig 4C). Compared to control,

519   the average number of cells in wells depleted of *DOCK5* and *RND3* was reduced to approximately

520   65 and 77 percent, respectively. Therefore to focus on changes in protrusivity that were directly

521   related to shape control without complications introduced by cell survival, we continued our

522   analysis with *FARP1* and *TIAM2*.

523   We visualised protrusivity in *FARP1* and *TIAM2* depleted cells using CAAX-GFP signal from

524   proximal and distal cells (Fig 4E) as well as through stacked-MIPs (Fig 4F). This confirmed that

525   *FARP1* depleted cells are round when close to the coverslip, but have protrusivity similar to control

526   cells when positioned away from the coverslip (Fig 4E & F). In contrast, *TIAM2* depleted cells

527   were round in both environmental contexts (Fig 4E & F). Taken together these results suggest

528   that in our collagen system control of protrusivity is environment-stiffness dependent for *FARP1*

529   but independent of environment for *TIAM2*.

530

Scale of regulation of protrusivity

532   Next we looked to find the distance over which protrusivity changes as cells are positioned away

533   from the coverslip in untreated cells. We also looked to characterise the different ranges or

534   distances over which *FARP1* and *TIAM2* control protrusivity. To resolve this we binned cells over

535   two micron intervals and plotted the mean cell protrusivity for distances up to 20 microns from the

536   coverslip (Fig 5A). We found that compared to control, *FARP1* and *TIAM2* are each required for

537   cell protrusivity in cells with nuclei positioned up to 7-8 microns from the glass coverslip, but that

538   for distances beyond this only *TIAM2* is required for protrusivity (Fig 5A & B).

539   To visualise the changes in protrusion that occur with distance from the coverslip, we plotted

540   stacked-MIPs in the XZ plane at a range of intervals for cells within the first 20 microns from the

541   coverslip (Fig 5C). This confirmed that *FARP1* depleted cells regain protrusivity beginning at

542   distances around 8-10 microns from the coverslip, and appear similar to control cells at distances

543   of 12 or more microns from the coverslip. Visual inspection also confirmed that *TIAM2* treated

544   cells have a large reduction in protrusivity at all distances from the coverslip (Fig 5C).

545   Here our genetic perturbation data indicates that - for the gel used in this study - distances on the

546   order of 7 microns mark a threshold, beyond which the molecular control of protrusivity is 'handed

547   over' from *FARP1* to other shape regulators including *TIAM2*. This suggests a model where the

548   control of protrusivity relies on both *TIAM2* and *FARP1* in the micro-environment close to the

549   coverslip, but that control of protrusivity 'switches' to rely on *TIAM2* in the environment further

550   away from the coverslip (Fig 5D). These context specific roles may reflect different abilities of

551   *FARP1* and *TIAM2* to engage with distinct states of the cytoskeleton. For instance *FARP1*

552   signalling may engage with the filamentous actin and stable integrin adhesions known to be

553   present in coverslip cultured cells. In contrast *TIAM2* may be important in cytoskeletal states in

554   soft gels, such as the absence of actin stress fibers.

555

556   Control of cell height

557   In 2D tissue culture systems cells only contact their growth substrate at the basal surface. This

558   means that changes in cell geometry are largely restricted to the plane of the tissue culture surface

559   (the XY plane). In contrast, cells growing in a 3D context are embedded within their growth

560   substrate and have greater opportunity to change geometry in the XZ plane. The axial extent, or

561   extension of a cell and nucleus into the XZ plane (Fig 6A) have each been linked to migration and

562   control of cell geometry [2,3,46], and the orientation of the cell and nucleus with respect to each

26

563   other (Fig 6E) are connected to cell migration and disease states [46]. Due to the importance of

564   cell and nuclear height, and cell-nuclear orientation in disease, we examined the influence of

565   *FARP1* and *TIAM2* on these features.

566   First, we plotted the relationship between cell and nucleus axial extent (the 'height' of the nucleus

567   or cell) for all control, *FARP1* and *TIAM2* depleted WM266.4 melanoma cells that had their nuclei

568   positioned within 20 microns of the coverslip (Fig 6B). This plot pooled both proximal and distal

569   cells and indicated a positive relationship between normalised cell and nuclear height at the single

570   cell level (Fig 6B). We also plotted frequency histograms for cell and nuclear height and noted

571   that *FARP1* depleted cells had a shift towards increased cell and nuclear height (Fig 6B).

572   To visualise how cell and nuclear axial extent (height) change with distance from the coverslip we

573   binned cells by the position of their nucleus at one micron intervals, and calculated the average

574   cell and nucleus height (Fig 6C), as well as nuclear position. We used this information to generate

575   'glyphs' of cells that give an indication of how the relationship between cell and nucleus changes

576   with distance of the nucleus from the coverslip (Fig 6C). This plot suggested that *FARP1* depleted

577   cells increase their height when nuclei are within 7 microns of the coverslip, but are similar to

578   control cells for distance beyond 7 microns. In contrast, we found that the height of *TIAM2*

579   depleted cells are similar to control cells within the first 7 microns of the coverslip, but are reduced

580   in height for distances beyond this. Statistical testing of the difference in height between proximal

581   and distal cells supported these observations (Fig 6D).

582   The increase in height for *FARP1* depleted cells might be attributable to the concomitant increase

583   in nuclear height (Fig 6B). Changes in nuclear height on rigid surfaces have previously been

584   linked to maintenance of the perinuclear actin cap [46,47]. In contrast, the changes in cell height

585   in *TIAM2* depleted cells (Fig 6C and 6D) are likely to be driven by reduced protrusivity in cells

586   away from the coverslip (Fig 4B-D), rather than directly by changes in nuclear geometry.

587 Considered together, these results show that *FARP1* and *TIAM2* are each required to regulate

588 cell height, but that they regulate height in different micro-environmental contexts.

589

590 Control of cell and nuclear coupling

591 Finally, we considered coupling of cell and nuclear orientation (Fig 6E and 6F). Coupling of cell

592 and nuclear orientation is frequently observed in mammalian cell systems, where it is important

593 for cell mechanotransduction and cell migration but this relationship breaks down in disease

594 contexts. To measure cell and nuclear coupling we calculated the angle between the orientation

595 of the major axis of the cell and the nucleus. We looked for changes in cell and nuclear coupling

596 by generating probability density plots for control WM266.4 melanoma, as well as cells depleted

597 for *FARP1* and *TIAM2.*

598 Consistent with previous studies, we found that in control treated WM266.4 melanoma cells there

599 is a tight coupling of cell and nuclear orientation in proximal cells (Fig 6F). We found that this

600 coupling is reduced in cells with their nuclei positioned distal to the coverslip (Fig 6F). Given that

601 previous studies have also seen that changes in nuclear height are associated with breakdown

602 of cell and nuclear coupling [47], we examined this in *FARP1* depleted cells and saw a tendency

603 for increases in the angle between the cell and the nucleus in proximal cells.

604

Comparison of cell shape in distinct environments reveals TIAM2 and FARP1 control a range of shape features but in different physical environments.

Due to the importance of cell protrusivity in disease, we have focused on this metric of cell shape and found a context-dependent control of protrusvity by a subset of Rho-regulators (Fig 4A-B). However, we have found that shape differences between proximal and distal environments can be characterised by the changes in three additional shape measures (Fig 3A). Therefore we considered how each of the Rho-regulators in our study controlled these individual shape features, and whether this control is physical context specific (Fig 6A-D). To test the effect of Rho-regulators across a range of shape features, we analysed well-median values for each of our global geometry features and compared them between Rho-regulator depleted cells and control cells. Comparisons were made using Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's tests to compare controls to treatment.

For cells proximal to the coverslip, we found that depletion of many Rho-regulators were able to change multiple shape features. The broadest acting shape controllers in proximal cells were *FARP1*, *DOCK5*, *TIAM2* and *RND3*. The proteins that acted on fewer features were *ARHGEF9* and *ARHGEF35*. *PREX2* did not significantly change any shape features in this study (Fig 7A). In contrast, for distal cells we found that cell geometry was relatively robust to depletion of the same Rho-regulators (Fig 7B), and that fewer shape features were significantly changed by Rho-regulator depletion.

To summarise the breadth and context specificity of shape control by Rho-regulators in our study, we generated a shape control matrix (Fig 7C). This highlights *DOCK5* and *TIAM2* as controlling shape features in both proximal and distal contexts (Fig 7C). In contrast, *FARP1* and *RND3* stand

29

628 out as broad-acting shape regulators that significantly changed each shape feature measured,

629 but were only effective in proximal or rigid physical regimes (Fig 7C).

630

Discussion

631

632 Living cells have evolved myriad ways to change their shape in response to the physical

633 properties of their environment. For example, a response to the environment has been linked to

634 Rho GTPase activity and the modulation of Rho GTPases by RhoGEFs and RhoGAPs.

635 A full understanding of how cells change their shape in response to environmental cues is a major

636 challenge in biology. Classic work on this problem has been conducted in 2D tissue culture

637 paradigms, and more recently, work has been done in 3D settings. However, technical limitations

638 have made it difficult to study the effect of RhoGEFs and RhoGAPs in multiple environments at

639 the same time. For microscopy systems these limitations include the challenge of achieving high

640 3D spatial resolution and the high throughput when imaging thousands of cells simultaneously.

641 Here, we have used ssOPM to address these challenges by imaging thousands of cells in

642 collagen and in two distinct physical contexts. This approach creates an opportunity to understand

643 how cells respond to different geometrical and mechanical cues, and how a genetic perturbation

644 affects this response. We find that control treated WM266.4 melanoma cells have reduced

645 protrusivity and become more heterogeneous when positioned away from the coverslip. A

646 systematic depletion of Rho-Regulators revealed genes that modulate this transition. In particular

647 our data suggest that *TIAM2* and *FARP1* ordinarily function to promote protrusions in coverslip

648 proximal cells (Fig 4 and Fig 5). The context dependence of *FARP1* strongly suggests a role for

649 FARP1 signalling in shape transition when cells are positioned away from rigid micro-

650 environments.

651

Stage scanning oblique plane microscopy for measuring cell shape

654 This assay allowed investigation of the shape of large numbers of cells at different positions in

655 collagen. It takes advantage of many aspects of stage scanning OPM. As a light sheet technique,

656 this method was fast. 50 volumes (corresponding to 10000 cells) were acquired in 90 minutes.

657 This method was compatible with standard multiwell plates. This simplified the assay as standard

658 sample preparations could be used. Nine siRNA knockdowns plus control conditions were imaged

659 on each plate. Stage scanning allowed a large volume ($4.2 \times 0.32 \times 0.144$ mm^3) to be imaged,

660 corresponding to ~200 cells per volume. Notably the 144 µm z range allowed coverslip proximal

661 and distal cells to be imaged in the same scan. This ensures values can be internally controlled.

662 Cell segmentation and shape analysis was performed entirely in 3D. This allowed measurements

663 of inherently 3D features including cell and nucleus axial extent.

664

Validation of imaging metrics

666 A challenge for quantitative measurements in LSFM is that the PSF varies depending on where

667 the sample is in the light sheet. For instance, the larger light sheet at the top and bottom of the

668 ssOPM field of view may lead to cells appearing larger. To check for this, we imaged the same

669 (fixed) cells positioned at different points in the light sheet by adjusting the position of the sample

670 with respect to O1. Based on this we found that the spatially varying light sheet had a distorting

671 effect on cell volume when imaging thin flat cells on the coverslip, and this metric was excluded

672   from our analysis. For the other metrics used in this paper the biological effect was found to

673   dominate over any PSF effect.

674   In LSFM the PSF is typically anisotropic due to the low excitation NA compared to detection. In

675   the ssOPM system used here the resolution was (0.5x0.5x3.8 µm^3-0.5x0.5x5 µm^3 depending on

676   position in the light sheet). This may lead to cells which have a dimension < 5 µm to be extended

677   in the light sheet direction, depending on orientation. Deconvolution could be used to reduce this

678   effect [48] but 3D deconvolution of large datasets is slow and does not take into account the

679   spatially varying light sheet. As a simple test we eroded segmented masks with a structured

680   element object similar to the PSF. The same cell shape changes were found with both eroded

681   and uneroded masks. This suggests that, for this dataset, the PSF shape did not have a significant

682   effect compared to the biological effects.

683   A wide range of segmentation approaches can be used in fluorescence microscopy. We tested

684   intensity and active-contour-based segmentation. By visual inspection both methods produced

685   good masks of cells and nuclei. We further found that we would draw the same conclusions from

686   our data using either method.

687

Cell protrusions

689   Cell protrusivity is essential for cell migration in normal development and metastasis during

690   disease. However, modes of cellular protrusion are context dependent and vary with cell type,

691   chemical and physical environment [7,49]. In 2D cell culture systems, two major modes of

692   protrusion formation are hydrostatic blebbing and actin based protrusivity [50–52]. Hydrostatic

693   blebbing is *RHO-ROCK* dependent and contributes to what is often described as 'ameboid'

694　migration in WM266.4 melanoma cells. In contrast, actin-based protrusion is often described as

695　'mesenchymal' and relies on *RAC* regulated lamellipodia and *CDC42* regulated filopodia.

696　In the present study we use WM266.4 cells that have low levels of Rho GTP and produce both

697　hydrostatic blebs and actin based protrusion but are thought to be predominately mesenchymal

698　[7]. We also use a collagen concentration and polymerisation temperature associated with the

699　formation of highly reticular collagen networks, and nascent, unstable integrin-based adhesions

700　with low contractility [53].

701　In this setting we identified *FARP1, TIAM2, RND3* and *DOCK5* as regulating protrusivity when

702　cell nuclei are proximal to the coverslip. In future it will be interesting to distinguish changes in the

703　amount of hydrostatic blebbing from actin-based pseudopodial protrusions by simultaneously

704　assessing plasma membrane and actin markers in the context of Rho-regulator depletion to

705　determine whether either type of protrusion is specifically controlled by these Rho-regulators.

706　Notably we also highlighted Rho-regulators where depletion increased cell protrusivity. In

707　particular, reduction of *PREX2* and *SRGAP1* tended to increase protrusivity indicating that these

708　Rho-regulars normally function to repress protrusivity, however these changes did not reach

709　statistical significance in this study.

710

Context specific protrusivity control

712　We found that most Rho-regulators were context dependent in the sense that they were more

713　potent in controlling protrusivity close to the coverslip. This may reflect major cell biological and

714　cytoskeletal changes that take place in response to rigidity sensing [54,55]. For example, *FARP1,*

715　*RND3* and *DOCK5* may modulate protrusivity through mechanisms that depend on the

716　abundance of integrin adhesions and filamentous actin organised into stress fibres, which are

717 associated with rigid substrates. In contrast *TIAM2* was required for protrusion formation both

718 proximal to and far from the coverslip and may promote protrusivity independent from

719 environmental stiffness.

720

Changes in nuclear shape and alignment

722 For cells in close proximity to the coverslip, the reduced protrusivity in *FARP1*-depleted cells was

723 associated with an increase in nuclear axial extent (Fig 6C) and reduced coordination in the angle

724 of elongation between cell and nucleus (Fig 6F). Interestingly, increased nuclear height and

725 decreased coupling of cell-nuclear orientation were recently reported for loss of *TIAM2* [47]. In

726 the case of *TIAM2,* increased nuclear height has been attributed to loss of nuclear capping actin,

727 and uncoordinated cell and nuclear orientation has been attributed to dysfunction of the

728 perinuclear actin cage [47]. In future it will be interesting to determine whether *FARP1* regulates

729 perinuclear actin cap morphology, or is controlling nuclear axial extent by a separate mechanism.

730

Effective stiffness gradients and cell and nuclear environmental

sensing

733 Our experimental setup used cells seeded into collagen and plated on top of glass. We interpret

734 this set-up as creating an effective 'stiffness gradient', where the elastic properties of the collagen

735 proximal to the glass are influenced by the rigidity of the glass. At distances further from the glass

736 the cells experience the greater elasticity of the collagen. Using this paradigm, we found major

737 changes in cell shape when the base of cell nuclei are at distances on the order of 7 micrometers

738 from the coverslip. The average nuclear diameter in our dataset was on the order of 16

739 micrometers. This suggests that cells respond to changes in their physical environment such as

740 stiffness and surface geometry, over scales that are smaller than the dimensions of the nucleus.

741 An important question raised by our data, is whether it is the cell or nucleus that is sensing and

742 responding to the physical environment as nuclei become positioned further away from the glass

743 coverslip. Nuclear deformation is known to be directly linked to changes in gene expression and

744 cell shape [56,57]. Moreover, recently new mechanisms of nuclear environment sensing have

745 been elucidated, whereby stretching and deformation of the nuclear membrane leads to the

746 release of calcium, increasing myosin contractility and cell shape change [2,3].

747

748 Conclusions

749 In this study we use the ability of ssOPM to image thousands of melanoma cells spanning 2D and

750 3D collagen environments. We find cells make characteristic changes between 2D and 3D and

751 that these changes can be modified by depletion of Rho-regulators. We find that cells in 3D

752 environments tend to reduce their protrusivity and their protrusivity also becomes more varied

753 and heterogeneous. Our data also suggest that cells respond to changes in environmental

754 parameters such as stiffness and geometry, over scales that are smaller than the diameter of the

755 nucleus. Furthermore, we identify *TIAM2* and *FARP1* as each controlling cell protrusivity but in

756 different physical contexts. Taken together our data indicate general reliance on *TIAM2* for cell

757 protrusivity, and a context dependent switch from *FARP1* dependent to *FARP1* independent

758 control of protrusion between 2D and 3D settings.

759

Funding

Acknowledgements

Disclosures

C.D has a licensed granted patent on OPM.

References

1. Geiger B, Spatz JP, Bershadsky AD. Environmental sensing through focal adhesions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10: 21–33. doi:10.1038/nrm2593

2. Venturini V, Pezzano F, Castro FC, Häkkinen H-M, Jiménez-Delgado S, Colomer-Rosell

778   M, et al. The nucleus measures shape changes for cellular proprioception to control

779   dynamic cell behavior. Science. 2020;370. doi:10.1126/science.aba2644

780   3.   Lomakin AJ, Cattin CJ, Cuvelier D, Alraies Z, Molina M, Nader GPF, et al. The nucleus

781   acts as a ruler tailoring cell responses to spatial constraints. Science. 2020;370.

782   doi:10.1126/science.aba2894

783   4.   Martino F, Perestrelo AR, Vinarský V, Pagliari S, Forte G. Cellular Mechanotransduction:

784   From Tension to Function. Front Physiol. 2018;9. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00824

785   5.   Kechagia JZ, Ivaska J, Roca-Cusachs P. Integrins as biomechanical sensors of the

786   microenvironment. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019; 1. doi:10.1038/s41580-019-0134-2

787   6.   Spill F, Reynolds DS, Kamm RD, Zaman MH. Impact of the physical microenvironment on

788   tumor progression and metastasis. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2016;40: 41–48.

789   doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2016.02.007

790   7.   Sahai E, Marshall CJ. Differing modes of tumour cell invasion have distinct requirements

791   for Rho/ROCK signalling and extracellular proteolysis. Nat Cell Biol. 2003;5: 711–719.

792   doi:10.1038/ncb1019

793   8.   Cooper S, Sadok A, Bousgouni V, Bakal C. Apolar and polar transitions drive the

794   conversion between amoeboid and mesenchymal shapes in melanoma cells. Mol Biol Cell.

795   2015;26: 4163–4170. doi:10.1091/mbc.E15-06-0382

796   9.   Wolf K, Mazo I, Leung H, Engelke K, von Andrian UH, Deryugina EI, et al. Compensation

797   mechanism in tumor cell migration : mesenchymal–amoeboid transition after blocking of

798   pericellular proteolysis. J Cell Biol. 2003;160: 267–277. doi:10.1083/jcb.200209006

799   10. Petrie RJ, Gavara N, Chadwick RS, Yamada KM. Nonpolarized signaling reveals two

800   distinct modes of 3D cell migration. J Cell Biol. 2012;197: 439–455.

801   doi:10.1083/jcb.201201124

802   11. Sanz-Moreno V, Gadea G, Ahn J, Paterson H, Marra P, Pinner S, et al. Rac Activation and

803   Inactivation Control Plasticity of Tumor Cell Movement. Cell. 2008;135: 510–523.

804   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.043

805   12. Online Materials Information Resource - MatWeb. [cited 6 May 2021]. Available:

806   http://www.matweb.com/index.aspx

807   13. Yamada KM, Sixt M. Mechanisms of 3D cell migration. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019;20:

808   738–752. doi:10.1038/s41580-019-0172-9

809   14. Baker EL, Srivastava J, Yu D, Bonnecaze RT, Zaman MH. Cancer Cell Migration:

810   Integrated Roles of Matrix Mechanics and Transforming Potential. PLoS ONE. 2011;6.

811   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020355

812   15. Joshi J, Mahajan G, Kothapalli CR. Three-dimensional collagenous niche and azacytidine

813   selectively promote time-dependent cardiomyogenesis from human bone marrow-derived

814   MSC spheroids. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2018;115: 2013–2026.

815   doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26714

816   16. McBane JE, Vulesevic B, Padavan DT, McEwan KA, Korbutt GS, Suuronen EJ. Evaluation

817   of a Collagen-Chitosan Hydrogel for Potential Use as a Pro-Angiogenic Site for Islet

818   Transplantation. PLOS ONE. 2013;8: e77538. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077538

819   17. Joo S, Oh S-H, Sittadjody S, Opara EC, Jackson JD, Lee SJ, et al. The effect of collagen

820   hydrogel on 3D culture of ovarian follicles. Biomed Mater. 2016;11: 065009.

821   doi:10.1088/1748-6041/11/6/065009

822   18. Tian Z, Liu W, Li G. The microstructure and stability of collagen hydrogel cross-linked by

823   glutaraldehyde. Polym Degrad Stab. 2016;130: 264–270.

824   doi:10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2016.06.015

825   19. Jiang T, Xu G, Chen X, Huang X, Zhao J, Zheng L. Impact of Hydrogel Elasticity and

826   Adherence on Osteosarcoma Cells and Osteoblasts. Adv Healthc Mater. 2019;8: 1801587.

827   doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201801587

828   20. Reversat A, Gaertner F, Merrin J, Stopp J, Tasciyan S, Aguilera J, et al. Cellular

829   locomotion using environmental topography. Nature. 2020;582: 582–585.

830   doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2283-z

831 21. Lämmermann T, Sixt M. Mechanical modes of 'amoeboid' cell migration. Curr Opin Cell

832   Biol. 2009;21: 636–644. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2009.05.003

833 22. Wolf K, Müller R, Borgmann S, Bröcker E-B, Friedl P. Amoeboid shape change and

834   contact guidance: T-lymphocyte crawling through fibrillar collagen is independent of matrix

835   remodeling by MMPs and other proteases. Blood. 2003;102: 3262–3269.

836   doi:10.1182/blood-2002-12-3791

837 23. Brábek J, Mierke CT, Rösel D, Veselý P, Fabry B. The role of the tissue microenvironment

838   in the regulation of cancer cell motility and invasion. Cell Commun Signal CCS. 2010;8: 22.

839   doi:10.1186/1478-811X-8-22

840 24. Ridley AJ. Rho GTPase signalling in cell migration. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2015;36: 103–112.

841   doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2015.08.005

842 25. Lawson CD, Ridley AJ. Rho GTPase signaling complexes in cell migration and invasion. J

843   Cell Biol. 2018;217: 447–457. doi:10.1083/jcb.201612069

844 26. Müller PM, Rademacher J, Bagshaw RD, Wortmann C, Barth C, van Unen J, et al.

845   Systems analysis of RhoGEF and RhoGAP regulatory proteins reveals spatially organized

846   RAC1 signalling from integrin adhesions. Nat Cell Biol. 2020;22: 498–511.

847   doi:10.1038/s41556-020-0488-x

848 27. Rossman KL, Der CJ, Sondek J. GEF means go: turning on RHO GTPases with guanine

849   nucleotide-exchange factors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2005;6: 167–180.

850   doi:10.1038/nrm1587

851 28. Kutys ML, Yamada KM. An extracellular matrix-specific GEF-GAP interaction regulates

852   Rho GTPase crosstalk for 3D collagen migration. Nat Cell Biol. 2014;16: 909.

853   doi:10.1038/ncb3026

854 29. Boureux A, Vignal E, Faure S, Fort P. Evolution of the Rho family of ras-like GTPases in

855   eukaryotes. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24: 203–216. doi:10.1093/molbev/msl145

856   30.   Maioli V, Chennell G, Sparks H, Lana T, Kumar S, Carling D, et al. Time-lapse 3-D

857   measurements of a glucose biosensor in multicellular spheroids by light sheet fluorescence

858   microscopy in commercial 96-well plates. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 37777. doi:10.1038/srep37777

859   31.   Dunsby C. Optically sectioned imaging by oblique plane microscopy. Opt Express.

860   2008;16: 20306–20316. doi:10.1364/OE.16.020306

861   32.   Kumar S, Wilding D, Sikkel MB, Lyon AR, MacLeod KT, Dunsby C. High-speed 2D and 3D

862   fluorescence microscopy of cardiac myocytes. Opt Express. 2011;19: 13839–13847.

863   doi:10.1364/OE.19.013839

864   33.   Botcherby EJ, Juskaitis R, Booth MJ, Wilson T. Aberration-free optical refocusing in high

865   numerical aperture microscopy. Opt Lett. 2007;32: 2007–2009. doi:10.1364/OL.32.002007

866   34.   Time-lapse 3-D measurements of a glucose biosensor in multicellular spheroids by light

867   sheet fluorescence microscopy in commercial 96-well plates | Scientific Reports. [cited 16

868   Sep 2020]. Available: https://www.nature.com/articles/srep37777

869   35.   Maioli VA. High-speed 3-D fluorescence imaging by oblique plane microscopy: multi-well

870   plate-reader development, biological applications and image analysis. 2016 [cited 27 May

871   2021]. doi:10.25560/68022

872   36.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an

873   open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9: 676–682.

874   doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

875   37.   Sikkel MB, Kumar S, Maioli V, Rowlands C, Gordon F, Harding SE, et al. High speed

876   sCMOS-based oblique plane microscopy applied to the study of calcium dynamics in

877   cardiac myocytes. J Biophotonics. 2016;9: 311–323. doi:10.1002/jbio.201500193

878   38.   Buxboim A, Rajagopal K, Brown AEX, Discher DE. How deeply cells feel: methods for thin

879   gels. J Phys Condens Matter Inst Phys J. 2010;22. doi:10.1088/0953-8984/22/19/194116

880   39.   Maloney JM, Walton EB, Bruce CM, Van Vliet KJ. Influence of finite thickness and stiffness

881   on cellular adhesion-induced deformation of compliant substrata. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin

882   Soft Matter Phys. 2008;78: 041923. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.78.041923

883   40.   Merkel R, Kirchgeßner N, Cesa CM, Hoffmann B. Cell Force Microscopy on Elastic Layers

884   of Finite Thickness. Biophys J. 2007;93: 3314–3323. doi:10.1529/biophysj.107.111328

885   41.   Sen S, Engler AJ, Discher DE. Matrix strains induced by cells: Computing how far cells can

886   feel. Cell Mol Bioeng. 2009;2: 39–48. doi:10.1007/s12195-009-0052-z

887   42.   Solon J, Levental I, Sengupta K, Georges PC, Janmey PA. Fibroblast Adaptation and

888   Stiffness Matching to Soft Elastic Substrates. Biophys J. 2007;93: 4453–4461.

889   doi:10.1529/biophysj.106.101386

890   43.   Wilkinson S, Paterson HF, Marshall CJ. Cdc42-MRCK and Rho-ROCK signalling

891   cooperate in myosin phosphorylation and cell invasion. Nat Cell Biol. 2005;7: 255–261.

892   doi:10.1038/ncb1230

893   44.   Yin Z, Sadok A, Sailem H, McCarthy A, Xia X, Li F, et al. A Screen for Morphological

894   Complexity Identifies Regulators of Switch-like Transitions between Discrete Cell Shapes.

895   Nat Cell Biol. 2013;15: 860–871. doi:10.1038/ncb2764

896   45.   Isogai T, Dean KM, Roudot P, Shao Q, Cillay JD, Welf ES, et al. Direct Arp2/3-vinculin

897   binding is essential for cell spreading, but only on compliant substrates and in 3D. bioRxiv.

898   2019; 756718. doi:10.1101/756718

899   46.   Kim D-H, Cho S, Wirtz D. Tight coupling between nucleus and cell migration through the

900   perinuclear actin cap. J Cell Sci. 2014;127: 2528–2541. doi:10.1242/jcs.144345

901   47.   Woroniuk A, Porter A, White G, Newman DT, Diamantopoulou Z, Waring T, et al.

902   STEF/TIAM2-mediated Rac1 activity at the nuclear envelope regulates the perinuclear

903   actin cap. Nat Commun. 2018;9. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04404-4

904   48.   Preibisch S, Amat F, Stamataki E, Sarov M, Singer RH, Myers E, et al. Efficient Bayesian-

905   based multiview deconvolution. Nat Methods. 2014;11: 645–648. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2929

906   49.   Caswell PT, Zech T. Actin-Based Cell Protrusion in a 3D Matrix. Trends Cell Biol. 2018;28:

907   823–834. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2018.06.003

908   50. Paluch EK, Raz E. The role and regulation of blebs in cell migration. Curr Opin Cell Biol.

909   2013;25: 582–590. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2013.05.005

910   51. Petrie RJ, Yamada KM. Fibroblasts lead the way: a unified view of three-dimensional cell

911   motility. Trends Cell Biol. 2015;25: 666–674. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2015.07.013

912   52. Charras G, Paluch E. Blebs lead the way: how to migrate without lamellipodia. Nat Rev

913   Mol Cell Biol. 2008;9: 730–736. doi:10.1038/nrm2453

914   53. Doyle AD, Carvajal N, Jin A, Matsumoto K, Yamada KM. Local 3D matrix

915   microenvironment regulates cell migration through spatiotemporal dynamics of contractility-

916   dependent adhesions. Nat Commun. 2015;6. doi:10.1038/ncomms9720

917   54. Nardone G, Cruz JO-DL, Vrbsky J, Martini C, Pribyl J, Skládal P, et al. YAP regulates cell

918   mechanics by controlling focal adhesion assembly. Nat Commun. 2017;8: ncomms15321.

919   doi:10.1038/ncomms15321

920   55. Gupta M, Sarangi BR, Deschamps J, Nematbakhsh Y, Callan-Jones A, Margadant F, et al.

921   Adaptive rheology and ordering of cell cytoskeleton govern matrix rigidity sensing. Nat

922   Commun. 2015;6: 7525. doi:10.1038/ncomms8525

923   56. Isermann P, Lammerding J. Nuclear Mechanics and Mechanotransduction in Health and

924   Disease. Curr Biol CB. 2013;23. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.11.009

925   57. Dalby MJ, Riehle MO, Yarwood SJ, Wilkinson CDW, Curtis ASG. Nucleus alignment and

926   cell signaling in fibroblasts: response to a micro-grooved topography. Exp Cell Res.

927   2003;284: 274–282. doi:10.1016/s0014-4827(02)00053-8

928 # Figure captions

929 **Fig 1. Genetic depletion of Rho-regulators in distinct physical contexts and imaging in 3D**

930 **by oblique plane microscopy.**

931 **A.** Schematic illustration of WM266.4 melanoma cells embedded in collagen at different distances

932 from coverslip. **B.** Schematic of preliminary shape screens on collagen and list of final Rho-

933 regulators targeted for depletion. **C.** 3D volume rendering of control cells imaged by ssOPM. **D.**

934 Maximum intensity projection (MIP) of the full field of view imaged for a single well. **E.** Example

935 MIPs of zoomed regions for the nine different Rho-regulator siRNA treatments. Cells are marked

936 by CAAX-GFP (yellow) and nuclei are marked by DRAQ5 (magenta). Images are from plate 2

937 row E. Scale bars are 100 microns.

938

939 **Fig 2. There are characteristic shape changes between cells with nuclei positioned away**

940 **from a rigid substrate.**

941 **A.** Hierarchical clustering of cell and nuclear features, showing partitioning into four groups of

942 correlated features (top row of grey boxes), and the feature selected to represent each group

943 (bottom row of grey boxes). Right hand side shows a correlation matrix between the four shape

944 features selected for analysis (also labelled 'i','ii','iii' and 'iv'). The matrix indicates the direction

945 and magnitude of correlation between these features. **B.** Comparison of shape features between

946 cells with nuclei 'proximal' to the coverslip (blue) and 'distal' to the coverslip (orange). Cells with

947 the base of nucleus less than 7 microns from the coverslip are proximal, and cells with the base

948 of nuclei greater than 7 microns are distal. Violin plots show the distribution of single cells (grey

949 filled region). Also shown are well medians (coloured points), and plate medians (coloured

43

950   rhomboids). Each colour indicates data from a different experimental plate. Statistical tests are

951   paired Wilcox tests of data from 3 independent experiments aggregated at the 'well' level. **C.** Cell

952   segmentation masks from XZ MIPs from an exemplar field of view showing separation of cells

953   into proximal and distal groups on the basis of nucleus distance from the coverslip. **D.** Examples

954   of the heterogeneity in protrusivity that is inherent amongst proximal control treated cells. Plots of

955   shape outlines from cells in three groups: below average (-2σ to -1σ); near average (-1σ to 1σ);

956   and above average (1σ to 2σ). **E.** Comparison of the estimated probability density for protrusivity

957   between proximal (blue shading) and distal cells (orange shading). Points show data at the well

958   level. Point colours indicate replicate experimental plates, and are the same as in B. **F.** Images

959   are stacked maximum intensity projections of cell outlines (as in D), for cells with protrusivity at

960   different ranges from the median. n = 35 cells per projection.

961

962   **Fig 3. Depletion of Rho-regulators can disrupt shape differences between cells with nuclei**

963   **at different distances from a rigid substrate.**

964   **A.** Principal components analysis (PCA) plotting of well median values for cell shape features

965   showing proximal (blue) and distal (orange) cells. In *control* cells, proximal and distal cells are

966   separated in PCA space. In contrast, treatments such as *FARP1* depletion result in an overlap

967   between proximal and distal cells in PCA shape space. **B.** Map of how shape features project to

968   PCA space. **C.** Stacked projections of cell segmentation masks for coverslip proximal or distal

969   cells that are Control treated or depleted for *FARP1* or *TIAM2*. **D.** PCA plots comparing the effect

970   of Rho-regulator depletion on shape in cells with nuclei proximal or distal to the coverslip.

971

972   **Fig 4. Depletion of Rho-regulators reveals genes that control protrusivity in broad and**

973   **specific contexts.**

44

974 **A.** 2D Projections of cell segmentation masks (black) and convex hull (blue) used to calculate

975 protrusivity. XY and YZ views are shown. **B.** 3D surface rendering of cells with different measures

976 of protrusivity. **C.** Single cell plots of cell protrusivity against nucleus distance from the coverslip

977 in control cells and cells depleted for Rho-regulators. Heatmap indicates density of points for

978 overplotted regions of each chart. Horizontal dashed magenta line indicates median protrusivity

979 across the entire dataset. **D.** Comparison of cell protrusivity between cells with depletion of a

980 range of Rho-regulators for cells with nuclei proximal to the coverslip or distal to the coverslip.

981 Data are aggregated and plotted at the well level (boxplots and scatterplot points), and at the

982 plate level (rhomboids) The different colours indicate data from different plates. Statistical tests

983 are Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test and are for data aggregated at the well level.

984 Significance are, *** = $p < 0.001$, ** = $p < 0.01$ and * = $p < 0.05$. **E.** XZ MIPs from exemplar fields

985 of view showing separation of cells into proximal and distal groups for *control* cells, and cells with

986 depletion of *FARP1* or *TIAM2* as indicated. **F.** Stacked projections of cells with nuclei proximal or

987 distal to the coverslip for control cells and cells depleted for *FARP1* or *TIAM2* as indicated.

988

989 **Fig 5. Length scale of physical regulation of protrusivity**.

990 **A.** Cell protrusivity against nucleus distance from coverslip in *control* cells, and cells depleted for

991 Rho-regulators. Values are the mean of well level measurements. The extent of shading indicates

992 90 percent confidence intervals, and color of shading indicates mean protrusivity for a treatment

993 across all distances from coverslip. **B.** Overlay of charts in A for comparison of protrusivity

994 between *control*, and cells depleted for *TIAM2* or *FARP1*. **C.** Stacked MIPs (XZ plane) indicating

995 changes in cell shape with distance from the coverslip. **D.** Schematic of control of protrusivity by

996 *TIAM2* and *FARP1* over a different range of physical parameters.

997

998 **Fig 6. Changes in cell axial extent and cell-nuclear coupling are associated with changes**

999 **in protrusivity and environment.**

1000 **A.** Schematic of cell (green) and nucleus (magenta) axial extent. **B.** Plot of cell versus nucleus

1001 axial extent for cells with nuclei within 20 microns of the coverslip. Treatments are as indicated.

1002 **C.** Stacked bar-chart representation of average cell and axial extent, and nucleus position for cells

1003 at two micron intervals from the coverslip. Treatments are as indicated. **D.** Comparison of cell

1004 axial extent for the indicated genotypes. Data aggregated at the well level (boxplots and scatter-

1005 points), and plate level (rhomboids) are shown. The different colours show data from the 3 plates.

1006 Statistical tests are Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test. **E.** Schematic of measurement for

1007 angle between cell (green) and nucleus (magenta). **F.** Estimated density plots of cell-nuclear

1008 angle in cells with nuclei proximal or distal to the coverslip. Cell treatments are indicated.

1009

1010 **Fig 7. Comparison of multiple shape features across distinct environments highlights**

1011 **broad and specific shape controllers.**

1012 **A-B.** Heatmaps indicating significant changes in cell shape features for depletion of Rho-

1013 regulators when nuclei are proximal (A) and distal (B) to the coverslip. Asterisks in A and B

1014 indicate significant change compared to control. Statistical test was Dunn's multiple comparisons

1015 test. **C.** Logical combination of significant changes in A and B highlights genes with specific and

1016 general control of a range of shape features. For example *TIAM2* shows general shape control

1017 and affects a range of features in proximal and distal contexts. In contrast, *FARP1* shows specific

1018 shape control and influences a range of features in proximal contexts only.

1019

46

Supplementary figure captions

Supplementary Fig 1

Schematic of stage scanning oblique plane microscopy (ssOPM) imaging system.

Supplementary Fig 2

Description of shape feature calculations. MATLAB's regionprops3 is from the image processing toolbox.

Supplementary Fig 3

Examples of accepted volumes for each treatment. The same example of accepted volume for 'Control' treatment is also shown in Fig 1D. CAAX signal (yellow) and DRAQ5 (magenta) are shown.

Supplementary Fig 4

A. Comparison of unsupervised segmentation methods. Feature values are shown for a threshold based method and active contour method. Values were normalised to the median feature value for the plate. The trends in values are similar in both segmentation methods. Features measured using Otsu (blue) and active contour (orange) segmentation methods. **B.** Pair plots comparing features between the original (uneroded) threshold mask (blue) and an eroded version (orange).

1039   The eroded mask is chosen to take into account the anisotropic ssOPM PSF. Features are

1040   normalised to the median value for the plate.

1041

1042   **Supplementary Fig 5**

1043   **A.** Measurement of the effect of the spatially varying light sheet PSF on cell shape measurements.

1044   The test plate uses shape measurements of the same cells in different axial positions with respect

1045   to the light sheet. Collagen plate data is based on the axial location of cells in collagen, within the

1046   field of view. Data points are normalised to the average cell at the bottom of the field of view

1047   across the whole dataset. A viable feature measurement should have either a normalised value

1048   close to one at all heights for the test plate, a change in the shape feature in collagen larger than

1049   on the test plate or a change in shape feature in the opposite direction to the test plate. **B.**

1050   Representative images of the test plate showing the same cells measured at different positions

1051   within the light sheet.

Fig 1

A

Media

Media + Collagen

Coverslip

B

Rho GTPase regulator screens on collagen

siGenome 2D

siGenome 3D

OTP 3D

OTP 2D

Rho-regulator shape modifiers

Gene	Entrez	Function
ARHGEF35	445328	GEF-like
ARHGEF9	23229	GEF
FARP1	10160	GEF
PREX2	57580	GEF
TIAM2	26230	GEF
SRGAP1	57522	GAP
DOCK5	80005	GEF
RND3	390	RhoGTPase-like
ECT2	1894	GEF

C

Control

z

0

x

DRAQ5
CAAX-GFP

D Control

YZ   XZ

XY

E

Control   *siARHGEF35*   *siARHGEF9*   *siPREX2*   *siFARP1*

XZ

XY

siTIAM2   *siSRGAP1*   *siDOCK5*   *siRND3*   *siECT2*

Fig 2

A

B

C

D

Solidity — Protrusivity

E Cell Protrusivity

p < 0.001

F Solidity — Protrusivity

Fig 3

A

B

CONTROL, ARHGEF35, ARHGEF9, PREX2, FARP1, TIAM2, SRGAP1, DOCK5, RND3

PC2 (27.29%)

PC1 (46.84%)

Map of PC space

- coverslip proximal
- coverslip distal

C

proximal / distal

CONTROL / siFARP1 / siTIAM2

25μm

Max / Min

n = 250

D

coverslip proximal / coverslip distal

PC2 (27.29%)

PC1 (46.84%)

siName
- CONTROL
- ARHGEF35
- ARHGEF9
- PREX2
- FARP1
- TIAM2
- SRGAP1
- DOCK5
- RND3

Fig 4

A Convex hull and cell volume

B 3D surface render and protrusivity score

Fig 5

A

Relative Cell Protrusivity

CONTROL ARHGEF35 ARHGEF9 PREX2 FARP1

TIAM2 SRGAP1 DOCK5 RND3

mean protrusivity

Nucleus distance from coverslip (2 micron intervals)

B

proximal | distal

siName
CONTROL
FARP1
TIAM2

Relative Cell Protrusivity

Nucleus distance from coverslip

C

	0-2 micron	4-6 micron	8-10 micron	12-20 micron
Control				
siFARP1				
siTIAM2				

25µm

Max

Min

XZ view   n = 25 each

D

Media + Collagen

Coverslip

Physical gradient

'broad' range

'narrow' range

TIAM2   FARP1

Regulation of protrusivity

Fig 6

Fig 7