seRNA PAM-1 regulates skeletal muscle satellite cell activation and aging through trans regulation of Timp2 expression synergistically with Ddx5
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#### Abstract

\section*{25 Abstract:}

26 Muscle satellite cells (SCs) are responsible for muscle homeostasis and regeneration; and lncRNAs play important roles in regulating SC activities. Here in this study, we identify PAM-1 (Pax7 Associated Muscle lncRNA) that is induced in activated SCs to promote SC activation into myoblast cells upon injury. PAM-1 is generated from a myoblast specific super-enhancer (SE); as a seRNA it binds with a number of target genomic loci predominantly in trans. Further studies demonstrate that it interacts with Ddx 5 to tether PAM-1 SE to it inter-chromosomal targets Timp2 and Vim to activate the gene expression. Lastly, we show that PAM-1 expression is increased in aging SCs, which leads to enhanced inter-chromosomal interaction and target genes up-regulation. Altogether, our findings identify PAM-1 as a previously unknown lncRNA that regulates both SC activation and aging through its trans gene regulatory activity.


## Introduction

Skeletal muscle tissue homeostasis and regeneration relies on muscle stem cells, also known as muscle satellite cells (SCs). These cells reside in a niche between the muscle fiber sarcolemma and the basal lamina surrounding the myofiber and are uniquely marked by transcription factor paired box 7 (Pax7). SCs normally lie in a quiescent state, upon activation by injury and disease, the cells quickly activate and express the master myogenic regulator, MyoD , then re-enter cell cycle and proliferate as myoblasts, subsequently differentiate and fuse to form myotubes (1, 2). A subset of SCs undergo self-renewal and return to quiescence, thus restoring the stem cell pool. Deregulated SC activity contributes to the development of many muscle associated diseases. For example, sarcopenia, a highly prevalent elderly disorder condition characterized by declined muscle mass and deficient muscle strength and function, is linked to a progressive reduction in the regenerative capacity of the SCs. It is thus imperative to understand the way SCs contribute to muscle regeneration, and their potential to cell-based therapies. At cellular level, every phase of SC activity is tightly orchestrated by many molecules and signalling pathways both intrinsically from the cell and extrinsically from the niche; the elucidation of factors and molecular regulatory mechanisms governing SC function thus is of extreme importance, being the first step toward successful use of these cells in therapeutic strategies for muscle diseases.

It has become increasingly clear that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are important players regulating SC regenerative activates (3). For example, SAM promotes myoblast proliferation through stabilizing Sugt1 to facilitate kinetochore assembly (4); Linc-YY1 promotes myogenic differentiation and muscle regeneration through interaction with YY1 (5). In another example, we found that in myoblast cells master transcription factor MyoD induces the expression of $\operatorname{lncRNAs}$ from super enhancers (SEs), so called seRNAs, which in
turn regulate target gene expression in cis through interacting with hnRNPL (6). In fact, the functional synergism between enhancer generated eRNAs and their associated enhancer activity in regulating target promoter expression is well established. There are myriad of mechanisms that eRNAs or lncRNAs cooperate with protein, DNA or RNA partners to regulate transcription of target genes either in cis and in trans. For example, it is known that specific eRNA can interact with CBP or BRD4 within topologically associating domain (TAD) in a localized manner (7-9). Similarly, eRNA Sphkl evicts CTCF, that insulates between enhancer and promoter, thus activating proto-oncogene SPHK1 expression in cis (10). Some eRNAs or lncRNAs, on the other hand, play a dual molecular function both in cis and in trans, for example, lincRNA-p21 acts in trans by recruiting heterogenous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K (hnRNPK) to the target promoter (11). Interestingly, in a separate study, lincRNA-p21 can also transcriptionally activate Cdkn1a in cis (12). Another well demonstrated example of trans acting eRNA is FIRRE, that interacts with hnRNPU via a conserved RRD nuclear localization during hematopoiesis (13-15). Also, distal regulatory region of $M y o D$ transcribed eRNA ${ }^{\text {DRR }}$ eRNA that interacts with cohesin and transcriptionally activates Myogenin in trans (16). Altogether, these findings demonstrate the diversified modes of action of eRNAs or lncRNAs in regulating target genes, which needs to be more exhaustively investigated.

Here in this study, we identify $P A M-1$, an seRNA that regulates SC activation. Expression of $P A M-1$ is evidently upregulated during SC activation; consistently, knockdown of $P A M-1$ in vitro hindered SC activation. High throughput identification of PAM-1 interactome reveals that it regulates Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 2 (Timp2) locus in trans through binding and recruiting Ddx5 protein; loss of PAM-1 or Ddx5 results in reduction of chromatin interaction between PAM-1 SE and Timp2 locus. Furthermore, PAM-1 SE activity Ddx5 to promote SC activation. and chromatin connectivity with Timp2 is elevated in aging SCs; in vivo inhibition of the SE activity by JQ1 reduces Timp2 expression. Altogether our findings have identified $P A M-1$ as a seRNA regulator of SC activation through its trans regulation of Timp2 synergistically with

## Results

## IncRNAs profiling identifies $\boldsymbol{P A M}-1$ as a seRNA promoting SC activation

To gain global insights into the catalogue of lncRNAs in adult MuSC activation, we performed RNA-seq on quiescent satellite cells (QSCs) which were in situ fixed in ice-cold $0.5 \%$ paraformaldehyde before cell dissociation to preserve their quiescence, freshly isolated satellite cells (FISC), FISCs cultured and activated for 24 and 48 hours (ASC-24h and ASC48h, respectively) (Fig 1A). IncRNAs expressed in each stage were identified and many lncRNAs were up- or down-regulated in ASC-24h or ASC-48h vs. QSCs (Fig 1B, and Suppl Table 1). 77 lncRNAs were up-regulated and 130 down-regulated in ASC-24h vs. FISC. (Suppl Figs 1A\&B, Suppl Table 1). The above results suggested the dynamic expression of lncRNAs during SC activation. To further identify key lncRNAs that potentially play a role in SCs, we sought to identify Pax7 regulated lncRNAs by analyzing publicly available Pax7 ChIP-seq data in myoblast (17). Among 207 differentially expressed lncRNAs in ASC-24h vs FISC, we found that Pax 7 binding was enriched in promoter regions of 32 of them (Suppl Table 1); we thus named them as Pax7 associated muscle lncRNAs (PAMs). Among them, PAM-1 represented as one of the most up-regulated lncRNA in ASC-24h vs. FISC, with no expression in FISC but reaching 12.7 FPKM in ASC-24h (Suppl Figs 1B\&C), suggesting it possibly promotes SC activation/proliferation. Previously known as Gm12603, PAM-1 is a IncRNA located on chromosome 4: in the intervening region of Interferon alpha (Ifna) family and Cyclin-dependent kinase 2 inhibitor (Cdkn2a and Cdkn2b) protein coding genes. Gm12603 is also known as Wincrl, a Wnt activated lncRNA in mouse dermal fibroblast affecting extracellular matrix composition via collagen accumulation in dermal fibrosis (18). To dissect its function in SCs, we next cloned its sequence from C2C12 myoblast cells by Rapid Amplification of cDNA ends (RACE); it was 718bp long with three exons. More interestingly, we found that PAM-1 is generated from a SE region defined using our
published H3K27ac ChIP-seq datasets (Fig 1C) (19). Concomitant with the expression pattern of PAM-1, high level of H3K27ac ChIP-seq signals were observed in ASC-24h but not in FISC (Fig. 1D). The above findings suggested that $P A M-1$ may function as a seRNA to promote SC activation. Indeed, knockdown of PAM-1 reduced the activation of SCs as revealed by the EdU assay (Fig. 1E). Overexpression of PAM-1 in FISCs by transfection of a PAM-1 expression plasmid resulted in $16.65 \%$ increase of Pax 7 MyoD double positive ASCs, concomitant with reduction in number of Pax7+MyoD- QSCs by 16.65\%, (Fig 1F). Similar phenomena were also observed in SCs associated with isolated single muscle fibers; overexpressing the $P A M-1$ plasmid led to a $26.66 \%$ increase of Pax7+MyoD+ cells (Fig 1G). Taken together, these findings suggest $P A M-1$ is a potential seRNA that promotes activation of SCs.

## PAM-1 interacts with inter-chromosomal loci to modulate target expression

To further elucidate the regulatory mechanism of $P A M-1$ in SC activation, we sought to identify the subcellular localization pattern of $P A M-1$ as lncRNA function is largely determined by its cellular localization $(20,21)$ and seRNAs are known to be localized in both nucleus and cytoplasm of muscle cells (6). Cellular fractionation was performed using C2C12 myoblasts and PAM-1 was found to localize largely in nuclear fraction (70.21\%); as controls, lncRNAs Xist and Malat1 were predominately nuclear localized ( $93.61 \%$ and $87.37 \%$ respectively) while Gapdh mRNAs were enriched in the cytoplasm (89.66\%) (Fig 2A). The above finding was further validated by RNA Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using PAM-1 anti-sense probe, which also revealed PAM-1 transcript was predominantly enriched in the nucleus of ASCs (Fig 2B). Lastly, Subcellular localization of PAM-1 was also confirmed using sucrose gradient centrifugation on C2C12 myoblast lysate which separated protein complex based on their size. Using cohesin loading factor NIPBL as positive control
for nuclear fraction, we also found $P A M-1$ mainly enriched in the nucleus of myoblasts (Fig 2C). Taken together, our results showed $P A M-1$ is a nuclear enriched seRNA.

To elucidate the functional mechanism of $P A M-1$ as a nuclear seRNA, $P A M-1$ seRNA and PAM-1 SE interacting loci on the genome were identified respectively (Fig 2D). We first performed PAM-1 Chromatin Isolation by RNA Purification (ChIRP-seq) in ASCs to identify its binding target loci. As a result, we found that PAM-1 seRNA interacted with 178 DNA regions and associated with 1199 genes across the genome (Figs 2E\&F, Suppl Fig 2A and Suppl Table 2). Strikingly, PAM-1 dominantly associated with inter- but not intrachromosomal regions (Fig 2F). Only 5 out of the 178 regions were found on chromosome 4 and not adjacent to PAM-1 gene locus (Suppl Table 2). The above findings suggested PAM-1 seRNA may predominantly target gene loci in trans. Gene Ontology (GO) analysis on the above identified PAM-1 ChIRP-seq targets revealed that they were enriched for actin filament organization and regulation of cell shape (Suppl Fig 2A). Next, we set out to identify PAM-1 SE target genes by performing circular chromosome conformation capture (4C-seq) using PAM-1 SE region as a bait to query genome-wide chromatin interactions. Among 929 interacting targets, $74 \%$ (687) were in trans while only $26 \%$ (242) were in cis on chromosome 4 (Figs 2G\&H and Suppl Table 3).

By comparing the above targets from $P A M-1$ seRNA ChIRP-seq and $P A M-1$ SE 4C-seq data, we identified 11 common loci in both datasets; one of the loci was located on chromosome 4 , 33 Mb downstream of PAM-1 on chromosome 4, while others were all located in other chromosomes (Fig 2I), suggesting PAM-1 and PAM-1 SE may regulate genes in trans together. These 11 loci were associated with 152 genes, which were enriched for GO terms such as "adherens junction", "anchoring junction", in which Timp2 and Vimentin (Vim)
genes were top ranked (Fig 2J\&K). Timp2 plays a dual role in mediating extracellular matrix by mediating matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) activation and inhibition via interaction with MMP-14 and MMP-2 (22). Overexpression of Timp2 in C2C12 myoblast was known to delay myogenic differentiation and arrest C2C12 in Myod+Myog- state (23). Vim is an intermediate filament protein to modulate cell shape and motility in myoblast, which is also considered as a reliable marker for regenerating muscle tissue (24-27). The above findings raised an intriguing possibility that $P A M-1$ seRNA and $P A M-1$ SE interact with the interchromosomal target loci Timp2 and Vim to activate their expression. Consistent with the notion, we found that knocking down PAM-1 seRNA using siRNA oligo decreased the expression levels of Timp2 and Vim in C2C12 myoblasts (Fig 3A); removing PAM-1 SE region using CRISPR/cas9 yielded similar molecular phenotype (Fig 3D). To test the possibility that PAM-1 functions to tether PAM-1 SE to the target loci, we performed 3C qRT-PCR assay in C2C12 myoblasts; in line with the 4 C -seq result, and $P A M-1$ locus indeed displayed evident interaction with Timp2 and Vim promoters; however, PAM-1 siRNA oligo mediated knockdown significantly reduced the interaction by $41.42 \%$ \& $58.72 \%$ for Timp2, and $25.77 \%$ \& $32.18 \%$ for $\operatorname{Vim}$ (Fig 3B). Consistently, down-regulation of PAM-1 expression also led to a reduction in H3K27ac signals at Timp2 and Vim loci (Fig 3C). Furthermore, knockout of the 59bp flanking 5' of PAM-1 transcript and the constituent enhancer of PAM-1 SE region (Suppl Fig 2B) in C2C12 myoblast using CRISPR/Cas9 also led to reduction in the chromatin interaction between PAM-1 SE with Timp2 and Vim, and reduced expression of Timp2 and Vim and associated H3K27ac signals (Figs 3C\&F). Altogether, our results demonstrate that $P A M-1$ seRNA and $P A M-1$ SE can indeed interact with inter-chromosomal target loci Timp 2 and Vim to modulate their expression.

## PAM-1 regulates inter-chromosomal targets via associating with Ddx5

To further explore molecular mechanism on how $P A M-1$ regulates inter-chromosomal targets Timp2 and Vim, we performed RNA-pulldown followed by mass spectrometry (MS) to identify its protein interactome (Fig 4A\&B). Biotinylated sense probe of $P A M-1$ was used in the RNA pull down in vitro, while biotinylated anti-sense probe was used as negative control for non-specific protein binding. Among 134 potential protein partners retrieved by the sense probe with at least 5 unique peptide count, two known RNA binding proteins (RBPs), DEAD-Box Helicase 5 (Ddx5) and DEAD-Box Helicase 17 (Ddx17) were highly ranked, which showed 22 and 14 unique peptide counts respectively with target protein size around 70 kDa (Fig 4B). These proteins are commonly found to bind together in multiple cell types to carry out a myriad of molecular functions such as transcription regulation, rRNA processing, mRNA decay and splicing (28). To validate the above result, we performed RNA-pulldown followed by Western blot. PAM-1 seRNA but not GFP control transcripts retrieved an evident amount of Ddx5 and Ddx17 from C2C12 myoblast (Fig 4C). To test if Ddx5 regulates target expression in cooperation with PAM-1 seRNA, we first performed Ddx5 ChIP-seq which revealed an evident binding of Ddx5 on Timp2 and Vim loci (Fig 4D), suggesting PAM-1/Ddx5 are both tethered to the target loci. Consistent with their possible functional synergism, knockdown of Ddx5 in ASCs led to down-regulation of Timp2 and Vim (Fig 4E). Furthermore, knockdown of Ddx5 in ASCs reduced the interaction between PAM-1 SE and promoters of Timp2 and Vim (Fig 4F), suggesting Ddx5 promotes the interchromosomal interaction together with PAM-1 (29). Lastly, knockdown of $D d x 5$ reduced the number of activated SCs as revealed by the EdU assay (Fig 4G). Taken together, our findings demonstrate $P A M-1$ seRNA and Ddx5 function synergistically in orchestrating the interchromosomal interactions between the PAM-1 SE with the two target loci, Timp 2 and Vim, consequently promoting transcriptional activity.

## PAM-1 increase in aging SCs drives its target gene upregulation

Lastly, to test the possible involvement of $P A M-1$ in SC aging, we performed ChIP-qPCR in ASCs from mice of various ages ( 2,16 or 24 months) and found the activity of PAM-1 SE was indeed increased by $13.63 \%$ at 16 months, and reached a plateau ( $26.45 \%$ ) at 20 months (Fig 5A). The expression of Timp2 also showed an increase (781.36\%) in ASCs from 20 vs 2-month-old mice; but Vim expression remained unchanged (Fig 5B). Furthermore, the interaction between PAM-1 locus and promoters of Timp 2 and Vim were found to increase by $46.75 \%$ and $50.18 \%$ respectively in 20 vs 2 -month-old ASC (Fig 5C). Altogether, the above data suggest that PAM-1 SE activity is elevated in aging SCs and it is accompanied by the enhanced inter-chromosomal interaction between PAM-1 and target loci and upregulated target expression. Our result suggested Timp 2 chromatin activity was increased during aging, and potentially mediated by PAM-1. Lastly, to further confirm the synergistic function of PAM-1 and Ddx5 in increasing Timp2 expression in aging SCs, we found that knockdown of PAM-1 or Ddx5 in ASC in 20- or 30- month but not 2-month-old mice led to down-regulation of Timp 2 in ASCs (Fig 5D). Recently we have reported the use of BET family of bromodomain protein binding inhibitor JQ1 to down-regulate enhancer activity in aging mouse muscle (30). Expectedly, in vivo JQ1 treatment in 10-month-old mice led to a downregulation of PAM-1 seRNA and Timp2, but interestingly not $\operatorname{Vim}$ (Fig 5E), reinforcing the notion that $P A M-1$ SE activation causes Timp 2 upregulation during SC aging.

## Discussion

Myogenesis is a complex process that relies on tightly regulated and finely tuned transcriptional regulatory mechanisms. Previous studies have discovered a myriad of lncRNAs that are dynamically regulated during myogenesis (31). Yet, their functional mechanisms in SCs remain largely unexplored. Here in this study we identified PAM-1, a seRNA that binds with Ddx 5 protein to synergistically tether the SE to its inter-chromosomal target loci Timp2 and Vim. Furthermore, we showed that deregulation of PAM-1 in aging SCs drives the target gene deregulation (Fig 6).

Through transcriptomic profiling, $P A M-1$ was identified as highly induced in activated SCs. Loss- and gain-of-function studies in SCs indeed pinpointed it as a promoting factor for SC activation. Its nuclear localization is consistent with its nature of being a seRNA. As part of the SE regulatory machinery, PAM-1 and its residing SE together promote the expression of their target loci, Timp 2 and Vim, both encoding extracellular matrix proteins. Increased extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins expressions are essential to SC activation (32). The regulation of ECM composition was conventionally believed to be mediated by surrounding fibroblasts, fibro/adipogenic progenitors, myofibers and basal lamina. In addition to receiving signals from ECM, emerging evidence demonstrates SCs also contribute to ECM compositions through secretion of matrix metalloproteases and urokinase plasminogen activator (33, 34). For example, transcriptome profiling of freshly isolated SC revealed that cell adhesion and ECM genes, such as Timp and integrin, were differentially expressed when compared with freshly isolated SC from dystrophic mdx mice $(35,36)$. This correlation was further demonstrated by systemic delivery of MMP inhibitor, AM409, which impairs SC activation (35). Therefore, upregulation of ECM gene expression mediates the promoting function of $P A M-1$ during SC activation. Furthermore, we showed that $P A M-1$ upregulation
contributes to ECM increase in aging SCs. It is known that the regenerative potential of SCs declines during aging, which is in concurrent with its fibrogenic conversion and muscle fibrosis (37). Our findings thus provide a potential way to partially restore ECM in aging SCs by down-regulating PAM-1 expression. Recently, an integrated transcriptome analysis of aging human skeletal muscle revealed a group of differentially expressed lncRNAs, and overexpression of lncRNA PRKG1-AS1 could increases cell viability and reduces apoptosis in human skeletal myoblast (38). In the future more efforts will be needed to elucidate the potential roles of lncRNAs in aging SCs.

Mechanistically, our data highlights the important role of $P A M-1$ seRNA to regulate interchromosomal targets through tethering its residing SE to the target loci. eRNAs or seRNAs are commonly known to regulate enhancer-promoter interactions as an integrated component of SE activating machinery (6); it is believed that cis regulation of the target loci through intra-chromosomal interactions is a more prevalent mode compared to trans regulation via inter-chromosomal interactions (39). However, trans activating eRNAs do exist to translocate to distal chromosomal regions beyond its neighboring loci. For example, MyoD distal enhancer generates ${ }^{\mathrm{DRR}}$ eRNA that transcriptionally regulate Myogenin expression in trans via cohesin recruitment (16). Similarly, in human prostate cancer, an adjacent eRNA of kallikrein related peptidase 3 (KLK3) can regulate target genes expression in trans (40). Our findings from integrating ChIRP-seq and 4C-seq demonstrate that PAM- 1 mainly acts in trans to exert its regulatory function in SCs; thus provide additional evidence to support the trans regulatory mechanism by eRNAs.

Another important discovery from our study stems from the identification of a direct physical interaction between PAM-1 and Ddx5. Ddx5 and PAM-1 synergistically facilitate the SE-
target interaction; knockdown of $D d x 5$ impaired the interaction. Although classically known as a RNA helicase controlling mRNA splicing, recent studies demonstrated Ddx5 interacts with a myriad of $\operatorname{lncRNAs}$, and uses the $\operatorname{lncRNAs}$ as a scaffold to bring in specific transcriptional machinery or chromatin architectural protein in context dependent manner (28). It was also demonstrated that Ddx5 interacts with lncRNA mrhl to mediate cell proliferation in mouse spermatogonial cells (41). Ddx5 and Ddx17 (p68 and p72) bind with lncRNA SRA in regulating skeletal muscle differentiation (42). With foundation laid by these studies, we further demonstrated the functional role of Ddx5 to mediate chromatin interactions via its interaction with $P A M-1$, underscoring the prevalence of $\operatorname{lncRNAs}$ and RNA helicases interaction and also broadening the mechanisms through which lncRNAs regulate gene expression.

## Materials and Method

## Mice.

Tg:Pax7-nGFP mouse strain (43) was kindly provided by Dr. Shahragim Tajbakhsh. All animal handling procedures and protocols were approved by the Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee (AEEC) at the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

## JQ1 treatment.

JQ1 treatment was performed as described previously (30). C57/BL6 mice were caged in groups of five and maintained at controlled temperature ( $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ ), humidity ( $55 \pm 10 \%$ ), and illumination with 12 hours light/ 12hours dark cycle. Food and water were provided ad libitum. All procedures involving animal care or treatments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee (AEEC) at Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. To investigate the effect of JQ1 on aging skeletal muscle, daily intraperitoneal injection of JQ1 at $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ was performed on 10 -month-old mouse for 14 days (30), with DMSO as control. Then TA muscle tissues were extracted from mice, and MuSCs were isolated from mouse skeletal muscle by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) using BD FACSAria Fusion cell sorter (BD Biosciences) with cell surface marker Sca1 $/$ CD $31 / / \mathrm{CD} 45 / \mathrm{Vcam}^{+}$(44).

## Cells.

Mouse C2C12 myoblast cell (CRL-1772) was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and cultured in DMEM medium with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, $1 \%$ penicillin/ streptomycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Oligonucleoties of siRNA against mouse PAM-1 and scrambled control were obtained from Ribobio Technologies (Guangzhou, China). siRNAs were transfected at 100 nM into C2C12 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life

Technologies). The sequences of oligonucleotides using for siRNA knockdown were listed in Supplementary Table 4.

## Satellite cell isolation and culture.

Hindlimb skeletal muscles from Tg:Pax7-nGFP mice were dissected and minced, followed by digestion with Collagenase II (LS004177, Worthington, 1000 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) for 90 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in water bath shaker. Digested muscles were then washed in washing medium (Ham's F-10 medium (N6635, Sigma) containing 10\% heat inactivated horse serum (Gibco, 26050088) with $1 \%$ penicillin/ streptomycin, followed by incubating in digestion medium with Collagenase II (100 units/mL) and Dispase (1.1 unit/mL, Gibco, 17105-041) for additional 30 min . Suspensions were then passed through 20G syringe needle to release myofiber-associated SCs. Mononuclear cells were filtered with a $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ cell strainer, followed by cell sorting using BD FACSAria Fusion Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences). BD FACSDiva ( BD Biosciences, version 8.0.1) software was used to manage machine startup, data acquisition and analysis of flow cytometry data. Culture dish were coated with poly-D-lysine (Sigma, P0899) and Matrigel (BD Bioscience, 356234). FACS isolated SCs were seeded in coated culture dish and cultured in Ham's F10 medium with $10 \%$ heat inactivated horse serum, $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ FGF-Basic (AA 10-155) (Gibco, PHG0026), or cultured in differentiation medium (Ham's F10 medium with $2 \%$ horse serum and $1 \%$ penicillin/ streptomycin).

## EdU incorporation assay.

EdU incorporation assay was performed as described previously (4). EdU was added to cultured MuSCs for 4 hours, followed by fixation in 4\% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 min and stained according to the EdU staining protocols provided by manufacturer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, C10086)

## Single myofibers isolation and culture.

Externsor digitorum longus (EDL) muscles were dissected and digested in collagenase II ( 800 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) in DMEM medium at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 75 min . Single myofibers were released by gentle trituration with Ham's F-10 medium with heat inactivated horse serum and $1 \%$ penicillin/ streptomycin, then cultured in this medium for the follow up experiments.

## Genomic editing by CRISPR-Cas9 in C2C12 cells.

To delete PAM-1 exon1, target-specific guide RNAs (gRNAs) were designed using CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu), followed by cloning into BbsI digested px330 plasmid (Addgene, 42230). To perform genomic deletion, a pair of gRNAs containing plasmids were co-transfected into C2C12 cells with screening plasmid pSIREN-RetroQ (Clontech) using Lipotectamine 2000. Cells were selected with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of puromycin for 3 days at 48 hours post-transfection. Cells were diluted to 1 cell per well in 96 well plate. Individual colonies were PCR validated. Sequences of gRNAs and genotyping primers were listed in Supplementary Table 4.

## Plasmids.

Full length cDNA of Gm12603 (PAM-1) was cloned into pcDNA3.1 vector using HindIII and KpnI restriction enzymes digestion site. Primer sequences were listed in Supplementary Table 4.

## qRT-PCR.

RNAs were extracted using Trizol (Life Technologies), followed by reverse transcription using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies). PCRs were performed with

SYBR green (Life Technologies) using $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of immunoprecipitated DNA as template. PCR products were analyzed by LC480 II system (Roche). Primers used are listed in Supplementary Table 4.

## RNA pulldown.

RNA pulldown was performed as described previously (4). PAM-1 DNA constructs were first linearized by single restriction enzyme digestion (NotI and XhoI for antisense and sense transcription respectively). Biotinylated transcripts were generated by these digested constructed by in vitro transcription using Biotin RNA labeling Mix (Roche) and MAXIscript T7/T3 In Vitro Transcription Kit (Ambion). Transcribed RNAs were denatured at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes, then cooling on ice for 5 minutes, followed by addition of RNA structure buffer (Ambion) and refolding at room temperature for 20 minutes. Nuclear proteins from C2C12 cells were collected by resuspending cell pellet in nuclear isolation buffer ( 40 mM Tris- HCl pH $7.5,1.28 \mathrm{M}$ sucrose, $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 4 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ and 1x protease inhibitor). Nuclei were collected by centrifugation at $3,000 \mathrm{~g}$ and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. Supernatant was removed, and nuclear pellet was resuspended in 1 mL RIP buffer ( 25 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}$, 0.5 mM DTT, $0.5 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,1 \mathrm{mM}$ PMSF, 1 x RNase inhibitor and 1x protease inhibitor), followed by homogenization for 10 cycles ( 15 seconds on/off) using Ika homogenizer (IkaWerk Instruments, Cincinnati). Nuclear envelops and debris were removed by centrifugation at $16,200 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 minutes. For RNA pulldown assay, 1 mg of nuclear extracts were incubated with $3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of refolded RNA on rotator at room temperature for 1 hour. $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of prewashed Dynabeads M-280 Streptavidin were added to each reaction with incubate on rotator at room temperature for additional 1 hour. Streptavidin beads were collected using a magnetic rack, and beads were washed with 1 mL RIP buffer for 5 times. Proteins were eluted by adding Western blot loading buffer and incubated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, followed by removal of
beads using magnetic rack. RNA pulldown samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by silver staining and LC-MS/MS with Q Exactive and Easy-nLC 1000 system (Thermo Fisher). Peptides were identified using MASCOT.

## RNA Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH).

RNA FISH was performed as described previously (45). Cells were fixed with $4 \%$ formaldehyde in PBS for 15 minutes at room temperature, followed by permeabilization with $0.5 \%$ Triton X-100, 2 mM VRC (NEB) on ice, and two times 2 x SSC wash for 10 minutes each. Probes were first amplified with PCR using PAM-1 expression plasmid in RNA pulldown experiment. PCR products were then precipitated by ethanol, nick-translated and labelled with Green d-UTP (Abbott) and nick translation kit (Abbott). For each FISH experiment, $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of probe and $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of yeast tRNA were lyophilized and redissolved in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ formamide (Ambion), followed by denaturation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes and chilled immediately on ice. Denatured probes were mixed with hybridization buffer at 1:1 ratio. 20 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of hybridization mix was added onto fixed cells, followed by putting coverslip on it and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours in a humidified chamber. Cells were then washed twice in 2 x SSC, $50 \%$ formamide; thrice in 2 x SSC ; and once in 1 x SSC for 5 minutes each in $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were mounted by coverslip with ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen). Fluorescence images were taken in Olympus microscope FV10000 and FV10ASW software (version 01.07.02.02, Olympus).

## Cellular fractionation.

Cellular fractionation was performed as described previously (45). C2C12 cell pellet from $1 \mathrm{x} 10^{6}$ cells was lysed with lysis buffer $(140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris-HCl pH $8.0,1.5 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \% \mathrm{NP}-40$, and 2 mM Vanadyl Ribonucleoside Complex) for 5 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
followed by centrifugation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 300 \mathrm{~g}$ for 2 minutes. The supernatant after centrifugation was considered as cytoplasmic fraction and stored in $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for storage, while the pellet was resuspended in $175 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ resuspension buffer $(500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0,1.5 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,2 \mathrm{mM}$ Vanadyl Ribonucleoside Complex) and incubate at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. Nuclear-insoluble fraction in the resuspended pellet was removed by centrifugation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 16000 g for 2 minutes. RNA was extracted from cytoplasmic and nuclear soluble fraction by Trizol (Life Technologies).

## Sucrose gradient.

Sucrose gradient was performed as described previously (46). C2C12 cells were lysed in cell lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.6,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $1 \%$ Triton X-100, $10 \%$ glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 1x RNase inhibitor and 1x protease inhibitor). $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of whole cell lysate was added to 13.5 mL of $10-30 \%$ sucrose gradient, followed by centrifugation at 38000 RPM at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours. The centrifuged lysate was fractionated in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ portion. To avoid cross-contamination, only odd-numbered fractions were obtained. Protein samples were resolved in SDS-PAGE, followed by western blotting. RNA samples were subjected to RTPCR of $P A M-1$ and $18 S$, followed by $2 \%$ agarose gel electrophoresis.

## Chromatin Immunoprecipitation using sequencing (ChIP-seq).

ChIP assays were performed as previously described (47). C2C12 cells were crosslinked with $1 \%$ formaldehyde at room temperature for 10 minutes, followed by quenching with 0.125 M glycine for 10 minutes. Chromatin was fragmented using S220 sonicator (Covaris), followed by incubation with $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of antibodies and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Dynabeads Protein G magnetic beads (Life Technologies) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on rotator for overnight. Anti-histone H3-K27 acetylation (Abcam, ab4729), anti Ddx5 (Abcam, ab21696) and normal rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-
2027) were used in ChIP assay. Beads were washed with 1 mL RIPA buffer for 5 times, followed by decrosslinking at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours and DNA extraction with phenol/chloroform. Immunoprecipitated DNA was resuspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of water. 200 ng of immunoprecipitated DNA was used as starting material for NEBNext® Ultra II DNA Library Preparation kit for Illumina (NEB) according to manufacturer's guideline. DNA libraries were sequenced in Illumina NextSeq 550 platform.

## Chromatin Isolation by RNA Purification using sequencing (ChIRP-seq).

Biotin labelled probes targeting PAM-1 lncRNA were designed by ChIRP Designer (LGC Biosearch Technologies) and listed in Supplementary Table 4. Cells were rinsed in PBS, trypsinized, washed once with complete DMEM followed by resuspension in PBS. 10 million of ASCs were collected per ChIRP experiment for separated odd and even probe pools. Cell pellets were cross-linked with $1 \%$ Glutaraldehyde in 40 mL PBS on rotator for 10 minutes at room temperature, followed by quenching the cross-linking reaction with 2 mL 1.25 M Glycine for 5 minutes and resuspend in 1 mL chilled PBS. Cell pellets were collected at 2000RCF for 5 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by removing PBS, snap frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell pellets were lysed and sonicated according to our standard ChIP-seq protocol (47), then aliquoted into two 1 mL samples. Before ChIRP experiment, DNA were extracted for quality control with size ranging from $100-500 \mathrm{bp}$. For ChIRP experiment, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of lysate were saved for DNA input. 1 mL of sonicated lysate was mixed with 2 mL of hybridization buffer (750mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH7, 1mM EDTA, $1 \%$ SDS, $15 \%$ Formamide, 1x protease inhibitor and 1x RNase inhibitor). 100pmol of odd and even ChIRP probes were added separately to the hybridization mixture and incubate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours with rotation. After the hybridization was completed, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of streptavidin magnetic C1 beads (Life Technologies, 65001) were washed thrice with hybridization buffer and added to
each ChIRP reaction for extra 30 minutes incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with rotation. After the hybridization completed, 1 mL of wash buffer ( $2 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{SSC}, 0.5 \% \mathrm{SDS}$ and 1 x protase inhibitor) was used to wash the beads for 5 times using magnetic stand. Input control and $P A M-1$ bound DNA was eluted with ChIP elution buffer for each pair of ChIRP reactions using standard elution protocol as ChIP (47). For ChIRP-seq, DNA libraries were prepared as previous described in ChIP-seq protocol (47). Raw reads were uniquely mapped to mm9 reference genome using Bowtie2 (48). Peaks were called by using MACS2 (49).

## 4C-seq and 3C qRT-PCR.

3C experiments were performed as previously described using restriction enzyme Bgl II to digest fixed chromatins (46). Primers for PAM-1 bait region and target regions were listed in Supplementary Table 4. First round of restriction enzyme digestion in 4C-seq was the same as 3C qRT-PCR. 4C experiment was then continued with TatI restriction enzyme digestion, incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and circularized using T4 DNA ligase. Gradient range of annealing temperature $\left(55-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ were used to determine the optimum annealing temperature for inverse PCR. Primer sequences for inverse PCR were listed in Supplementary Table 4. PCR products were subject to standard sequencing library preparation as ChIP-seq and ChIRP-seq. Sequencing reads with 5'end matching the forward inverse PCR primer sequence were selected and trimmed, remaining sequences containing TatI sites were mapped to mm 9 assembly using Bowtie2 (48) and the interaction regions are identified by fourSig (50).

## RNA-seq.

Total RNAs were extracted using Trizol, followed by poly(A) selection (Ambion, 61006) and library preparation using NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Preparation Kit (NEB). Barcoded libraries were pooled at 10 pM and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 1500 platform.

## Statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis of experimental data was calculated by the Student's t-test, whereas * $\mathrm{P}<0.05, * * \mathrm{P}<0.01$, and n.s. means not significant $(\mathrm{P}>=0.05$ ).

## Data availability.

RNA-seq, H3K27ac ChIP-seq, PAM-1 ChIRP-seq and PAM-1 4C-seq using in this study have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under the accession code (GSE180073).
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## Figure legend

Figure 1. IncRNAs profiling identifies $P A M-1$ as a seRNA promoting SC activation. A. Transcriptomic and epigenomic discovery of seRNAs in quiescence SCs (QSCs), freshly isolated SCs (FISCs), or activated SCs (ASCs) in vitro cultured for 24, 48 and 72 hours respectively. All SCs were isolated from muscles of Tg:Pax7-nGFP mice. B. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified in ASCs versus QSCs. Yellow dots indicate differentially expressed lncRNAs. PAM-1 was highly expressed in ASCs. C. Heatmap showing H3K27ac signal intensity on super-enhancers along SC activation. PAM-1 associated SE was inactive in FISCs, but the activity peaked in ASCs after 24 hours of in vitro culture, followed by a reduction in SE activity. D. Genome browser tracks showing active histone mark H3K27ac on PAM-1 locus. E. Knockdown of PAM-1 expression in vitro in cultured ASCs for 48 hours with in vitro EdU incorporation assay. The percentage of EdU+ cells was quantified. F-G. Overexpression of PAM-1 in FISCs or freshly isolated myofibers increased the percentage of Pax7+Myod+ cells 48 hours post-transfection. The percentage of double positive cells was quantified. (Data represent the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$. P-value was calculated by two-tailed unpaired $t$ test ( ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.)

Figure 2. PAM-1 is a nuclear-retained IncRNA, forming cis and trans chromosomal interactions A. Cellular fractionation of C 2 C 12 cell line showed PAM-1 was enriched in nucleus not cytosolic fraction. Xist and Malatl were positive control for nuclear fraction, Gapdh was positive control for cytosolic fraction. B. Fluorescence in situ Hybridization (FISH) using PAM-1 antisense (AS) probe showed nuclear localization of PAM-1, sense probe (S) was used as negative control. Scale bar: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ C. Cellular fractionation using sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation showed $P A M-1$ was co-localized in fractions containing nuclear protein Nipbl. D. Experimental workflow to discover PAM-1 interactome and
regulatory targets. For details and controls, see Materials and Method. E. Pie chart showing distribution of $P A M-1$ seRNA interacting chromatin across the genome in ChIRP-seq. F. Circos plot showing genes associated to PAM-1 seRNA interacting chromatin, each line in the plot represents an interaction, line colors represent interchromosomal (purple) or intrachromosomal (green) interactions. Chromosome numbers were colored and arranged in clockwise direction. Top ranked genes were named in the figure. G. Pie chart showing distribution of $P A M-1$ SE interacting chromatin across the genome in 4C-seq. H. Circos plot showing genes associated to PAM-1 SE interacting chromatin, each line in the plot represents an interaction, line colors represent inter-chromosomal (purple) or intra-chromosomal (green) interactions. Chromosome numbers were colored and arranged in clockwise direction. Top ranked genes were named in the figure. I. Venn diagram showing overlapping loci between ChIRP-seq of PAM-1 seRNA and 4C-seq of PAM-1 SE. J. Table showing top ranked gene ontology (GO) terms of genes associated with overlapping loci from ChIRP-seq of PAM-1 seRNA and 4C-seq of PAM-1 SE. K. Genome browser tracks showing Timp2 and Vim as examples of PAM-1 inter-chromosomal targets.

Figure 3. PAM-1 regulates extracellular matrix associated genes Timp2 and Vim.
A-C. Knockdown of $P A M-1$ expression with siRNA oligo treatment in C2C12 myoblast. A. Knockdown of PAM-1 showed reduction in Timp2 and Vim expression. B. Chromatin Conformation Capture assay (3C-qRT-PCR) with knockdown of PAM-1 showed reduction in chromatin interaction between PAM-1 SE with Timp2 and Vim. C. Genome browser tracks showing knockdown of PAM-1 led to mild reduction in H3K27ac signal intensity on Timp2 and Vim loci. D-F. Knockout of PAM-1 locus using CRISPR/cas9 approach in C2C12 myoblast. D. Knockout of PAM-1 showed significant reduction in Timp2 and Vim expression. E. 3C-qRT-PCR with knockout of PAM-1 showed reduction in chromatin interaction
between PAM-1 SE with Timp2 and Vim. F. Genome browser tracks showing knockout of PAM-1 led to reduction in H3K27ac signal intensity on Timp2 and Vim loci. Data information: Data represent the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$. P-value was calculated by two-tailed unpaired $t$ test $\left({ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<\right.$ $0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ).

Figure 4. PAM-1 regulates inter-chromosomal targets via association with Ddx5. A. RNA pulldown using PAM-1 sense or antisense (AS) experiment followed by SDS-PAGE. AS was used as negative control. Red arrow indicates enrichment of a protein band at 70 kDa specifically found in pulldown using PAM-1 sense probe. B. Mass spectrometry (MS) result of the above band showing a list of potential protein binding partners of PAM-1. C. RNA pulldown followed by Western blotting of the above identified two candidate protein partners (Ddx5 and Ddx17) of PAM-1 transcript. D. Ddx5 ChIP-seq in C2C12 myoblast showing enrichment of Ddx5 on promoter of Timp2 or Vim. E. Knockdown of Ddx5 using siRNA oligo in C2C12 myoblast showed reduction in expression of Ddx5, Timp2 and Vim but not PAM-1. F. 3C-qRT-PCR with siRNA oligo mediated $\operatorname{Ddx5} 5$ knockdown showed reduction in chromatin interaction between Timp2 or Vim promoter with PAM-1 locus in the above cells. G. Knockdown of Ddx5 expression in vitro in cultured ASCs for 48 hours showed reduction in EdU+ SCs. The percentage of EdU + cells was quantified. Data information: Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD. P-value was calculated by two-tailed unpaired $t$ test $\left(* \mathrm{P}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<0.01\right)$. Scale bar: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure 5. PAM-1 increase in aging SCs drives its target gene upregulation. A. H3K27ac ChIP qRT-PCR showing increase in enrichment on PAM-1 SE in ASCs isolated from aging (16 and 24 months) vs young ( 2 months) old mice. B. qRT-PCR showed up-regulation of PAM-1 and target genes Timp 2 but not Vim in ASCs from 20 vs. 2 month old mice. C. 3C-
qRT-PCR assay showed increase in interaction between PAM-1 locus and Timp2 or Vim promoter in the above aging ASCs. D. qRT-PCR showing the expression dynamics of Timp2 in ASCs from 2, 20 and 30 months old mice. Knockdown of PAM-1 or Ddx5 using siRNA oligo in ASCs from 20 or 30 months old mice showed down-regulation of Timp2. E. In vivo treatment of JQ1, a Brd4 inhibitor, in 10 month old mice down-regulated expression of PAM1 and Timp 2 but not Vim in FISCs. Data information: Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD. P-value was calculated by two-tailed unpaired $t$ test $\left({ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.05\right.$, $\left.{ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<0.01\right)$.

Figure 6. Schematic model showing functional role of seRNA PAM-1 in SC activation and skeletal muscle aging. PAM-1 regulates SCs activation by binding with Ddx5 to facilitate the chromatin interaction between PAM-1 SE and target loci, Timp2 and Vim during SC activation. In aging mice, the activity of $P A M-1$ SE was elevated, thereby enhancing the transcription of Timp2, which potentially modulates extracellular matrix components in skeletal muscle.
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| GO Term | Count | $p$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| adherens junction | 35 | $3.61 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| anchoring junction | 35 | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| mitochondrial membrane | 24 | $7.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| extracellular exosome | 96 | 0.001045 |
| ruffle | 13 | 0.001252 |
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| Gene | Weight <br> (kDa) | Unique <br> Count | Cover \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Myh9 | 230 | 57 | 22.7 |
| Plectin | 500 | 56 | 10.17 |
| Myh10 | 230 | 32 | 12.02 |
| Prelamin-A | 74 | 41 | 40.75 |
| Ddx5 | 68 | 22 | 28.01 |
| Ckap4 | 63 | 16 | 24 |
| Ddx17 | 72 | 14 | 21.54 |
| Syrc | 48 | 13 | 16.82 |
| hnrnpQ | 70 | 10 | 16.7 |
| hnrnpL | 64 | 10 | 16.75 |
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