1	Early-life telomere length covaries with life-history traits
2	and scales with chromosome length in birds
3	Running title: Avian telomere length evolution
4	Michael Le Pepke ^{1*} , Thor Harald Ringsby ¹ & Dan T.A. Eisenberg ^{2,3,4}
5	¹ Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics (CBD), Department of Biology, Norwegian University of
6	Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway
7	² Department of Anthropology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
8	³ Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
9	⁴ Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
10	
11	*Correspondence: Michael Le Pepke, email: michael@pepke.dk
12	
13	Word count: main text: 4,826; abstract: 194; 125 references; 3 figures.
14	Key words: c-value, genome size, pace-of-life, phylogenetic comparative analysis, telomere

15 biology, trade-offs

16 ABSTRACT

17 Telomeres, the short DNA sequences that protect chromosome ends, are an ancient molecular structure, which is highly conserved across most eukaryotes. Species differ in their telomere 18 19 lengths, but the causes of this variation are not well understood. Here, we demonstrate that mean early-life telomere length is an evolutionary labile trait across 58 bird species (representing 35 20 21 families in 12 orders) with the greatest trait diversity found among passerines. Among these 22 species, telomere length is significantly negatively associated with the fast-slow axis of lifehistory variation, suggesting that telomere length may have evolved to mediate trade-offs 23 24 between physiological requirements underlying the diversity of pace-of-life strategies in birds. 25 Curiously, within some species, larger individual chromosome size predicts longer telomere lengths on that chromosome, leading to the suggestion that telomere length also covaries with 26 chromosome length across species. We show that longer mean chromosome length or genome 27 size tends to be associated with longer mean early-life telomere length (measured across all 28 29 chromosomes) within a phylogenetic framework constituting up to 32 bird species. Combined, 30 our analyses generalize patterns previously found within a few species and provide potential adaptive explanations for the 10-fold variation in telomere lengths observed among birds. 31

32

33 INTRODUCTION

Telomeres are an ancient molecular structure which is conserved across most eukaryotes (Fulnecková et al., 2013; Meyne, Ratliff, & Moyzis, 1989). Telomeres might have emerged when linear chromosomes evolved from a circular chromosome ancestor around 1.5 billion years ago (Lee, Leek, & Levine, 2017). Their function is to protect linear chromosomes during incomplete end-replication (Blackburn & Szostak, 1984) and oxidative stress (von Zglinicki,

39 2002), and in most tetrapods mean telomere length (TL) of somatic cells shortens through life (e.g. Tricola et al., 2018). Within some species, short telomeres have been shown to correlate 40 with shorter lifespan (Heidinger et al., 2012), increased size (Ringsby et al., 2015), and oxidative 41 42 stress (Reichert & Stier, 2017). Across species, a faster rate of telomere loss has been linked to shorter maximum species lifespan in several studies (Dantzer & Fletcher, 2015; Haussmann et 43 al., 2003; Pepke & Eisenberg, 2020; Tricola et al., 2018; Vleck, Haussmann, & Vleck, 2003; 44 Whittemore, Vera, Martínez-Nevado, Sanpera, & Blasco, 2019). However, no consistent patterns 45 have emerged in how absolute TL is associated with lifespan or body mass across species 46 47 (Gomes et al., 2011; Haussmann et al., 2003; Pepke & Eisenberg, 2021; Seluanov et al., 2007; Tricola et al., 2018). 48

The mean TL, measured across all chromosomes, has emerged as biologically relevant 49 trait in evolutionary, ecological, and physiological studies (Monaghan, 2010; Nussey et al., 50 2014). Among wild birds, mean adult TL varies from around 5 kb in Western jackdaws (Coloeus 51 52 monedula, Salomons et al., 2009) to more than 50 kb in great tits (Parus major, Tricola et al., 53 2018), but an evolutionary explanation for this 10-fold difference in mean TL is lacking (Tricola et al., 2018). A similar magnitude of variation is found within mammals (Gomes et al., 2011), in 54 which TL is shorter in larger and longer-lived species (Pepke & Eisenberg, 2021). Furthermore, 55 TL is positively associated with cancer risk across mammalian species (Pepke & Eisenberg, 56 2021). Thus, in mammals, evolution of shorter telomeres can be explained as an adaptation to 57 counteract the increased risk of development of tumors associated with a larger number of cells 58 59 and longer time to accumulate oncogenic mutations in larger and longer-lived species (Gomes et al., 2011; Gorbunova, Seluanov, Zhang, Gladyshev, & Vijg, 2014; Pepke & Eisenberg, 2021; 60 61 Risques & Promislow, 2018; Seluanov et al., 2007; Tian et al., 2018). However, no association

between TL and lifespan has been found in birds (Haussmann et al., 2003; Tricola et al., 2018;
Vleck et al., 2003) and it is not known how TL covaries with body mass or other life-history
traits in birds.

In life-history theory, evolutionary trade-offs are expected between vital life-history 65 traits, such as between investment in current reproduction and investment in somatic growth, 66 67 maintenance, or future reproduction (Stearns, 1989). Organisms can be placed along a fast-slow continuum of life-histories depending on how they resolve such trade-offs (Roff, 1993). A fast 68 pace-of-life is characterized by higher investment in reproduction over survival, which is 69 70 reflected in species with e.g. large clutch sizes and short generation times and lifespan (Araya-Ajoy et al., 2021; Ricklefs & Wikelski, 2002; Sæther, 1988). The variation in pace-of-life 71 strategies is associated with physiological differences between species (Dammhahn, 72 Dingemanse, Niemelä, & Réale, 2018; Ricklefs & Wikelski, 2002). We hypothesize that TL may 73 have coevolved with suites of life-history traits and that TL may be used to rank species on the 74 75 slow-fast axis of variation in life history traits. Short telomeres could reflect decreased 76 allocation of investment in somatic maintenance and hence increased allocation of resources to reproduction (Giraudeau, Angelier, & Sepp, 2019; Monaghan, 2010). However, long telomeres 77 may be an adaptation to the cumulative negative effects of reproduction (Sudyka, 2019) and 78 79 rapid growth on TL (Pepke et al., 2021), revealing the opposite relationship between TL and pace-of-life across species. We therefore investigate associations between TL and the slow-fast 80 axis of life-history variation across species (Dantzer & Fletcher, 2015). 81

Curiously, within several species, cytogenetic studies have shown a positive correlation between the TL at a particular chromosome arm and the corresponding total chromosome length or chromosome arm length (reviewed in Klegarth & Eisenberg, 2018). This pattern has been

85 found within laboratory mice (Mus musculus, Zijlmans et al., 1997), Chinese hamsters (Cricetulus griseus, Slijepcevic & Hande, 1999), humans (Homo sapiens, Graakjaer et al., 2003; 86 Mayer et al., 2006; Suda et al., 2002; Wise et al., 2009), arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana, 87 Shakirov & Shippen, 2004), pearl millets (Pennisetum glaucum, Sridevi. Uma. 88 Sivaramakrishnan, & Isola, 2002), yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Berthiau et al., 2006; 89 Craven & Petes, 1999), and Tetrahymena (Tetrahymena thermophila, Jacob, Stout, & Price, 90 2004). Klegarth and Eisenberg (2018) tested whether this relationship extends across mammal 91 species, using data on adult mean TL and mean chromosome length across 39 species of 92 93 Primates and Cetartiodactyla (Gomes et al., 2011) and 11 species of Rodentia (Seluanov et al., 2007). They did not find any significant associations, but within Primates and Cetartiodactyla a 94 positive trend between TL and chromosome length became stronger when the outlier Indian 95 Muntjac (Muntiacus muntjac) was removed. Indian Muntjacs have recently undergone several 96 whole chromosome fusions resulting in very large chromosomes (Wang & Lan, 2000). Perhaps 97 an evolutionary lag accounts for their relatively short telomeres, which are adapted to shorter 98 99 chromosomes (Klegarth & Eisenberg, 2018). Furthermore, many of the species included in past studies have been held in captivity or domesticated by humans, which might have altered their 100 telomere length dynamics (Eisenberg, 2011; Manning, Crossland, Dewey, & Van Zant, 2002; 101 Pepke & Eisenberg, 2021). 102

Birds may present an easier taxon with which to examine telomere–chromosome length co-evolutionary dynamics: In contrast to mammals, the avian karyotype is relatively conserved, and most birds have a chromosome number (2n) around 80 (Degrandi et al., 2020; Ellegren, 2010) suggesting that inter-chromosomal rearrangements are rare in birds. However, most bird species possess several microchromosomes, which have been shown to harbor functional, but

108 ultra-long ("class III") telomeres in some species (Atema, Mulder, van Noordwijk, & Verhulst, 109 2019; Delany, Gessaro, Rodrigue, & Daniels, 2007; Delany, Krupkin, & Miller, 2000; Nanda & Schmid, 1994; Nanda et al., 2002; Rodrigue, May, Famula, & Delany, 2005). Not all 110 111 microchromosomes possess ultra-long telomeres (and not all species with microchromosomes possess any ultra-long telomeres, Delany et al., 2000; Nanda & Schmid, 1994; Nanda et al., 112 2002). Furthermore, these abnormal telomeres were found only on one chromosome arm and 113 only in some individuals of inbred domestic chickens (Gallus gallus), whereas TL of the 114 opposite chromosome arm was of typical size ("class II", Delany et al., 2007). It is therefore 115 116 possible that potential telomere-chromosome dynamics vary across chromosome size ranges 117 (Atema et al., 2019), but this is unexplored in birds. Birds have the smallest genomes among extant amniotes, which is generally thought to represent adaptations to the metabolic 118 requirements of active flight (Kapusta, Suh, & Feschotte, 2017; Wright, Gregory, & Witt, 2014). 119 Yet, compared to mammals, some birds seem to have a larger amount of telomere sequences 120 (Delany et al., 2000). Indeed, some of the ultra-long telomere signals may be attributed to sub-121 122 telomeric repeats ("class I", Ingles & Deakin, 2016, but see Atema et al., 2019), which occur in some bird species (Nanda et al., 2002). 123

Here, we use mainly TL measurements of individuals of known age to obtain estimates of an early-life TL. We first reconstruct the evolution of mean TL of 58 bird species. We then investigate how TL relates to key life-history traits of birds. We then proceed to test the hypothesis that TL covaries with chromosome length across species. We do not resolve withingenome variation in TL across chromosomes, which is largely unknown in birds (Nanda et al., 2002), but we test whether variation in mean chromosome length underlies some of the variation observed in mean TL across species.

131

132 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Telomere length data

134 The use of methods to estimate relative amounts of telomeric DNA within samples (qPCR) limits the feasibility of comparative studies (Nussey et al., 2014). In this study we only 135 136 used TL measured via the telomere restriction fragment (TRF) method (Haussmann & Vleck, 137 2002) or high-throughput quantitative fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (Q-FISH, Canela, Vera, Klatt, & Blasco, 2007; Lansdorp et al., 1996). In the TRF analysis, mean TL value is obtained 138 139 from the distribution of TLs (in a Southern blot gel smear) across all chromosomes (Haussmann 140 & Mauck, 2008). In the Q-FISH analysis, mean TL is obtained from the mean telomere probe fluorescence intensity across all chromosomes (quantified using microscopy image analysis, 141 142 Canela et al., 2007). We searched the literature for avian telomere studies using TRF to measure mean TL from blood (Web of Science and Google Scholar [March 2021] search terms: 143 "telomere", "TRF", "restriction fragment", "Q-FISH", "avian", or "bird"). Correlations between 144 145 TLs of various tissues suggest that blood TL is a good proxy of the TL across the organism 146 (Daniali et al., 2013; Demanelis et al., 2020; Reichert, Criscuolo, Verinaud, Zahn, & Massemin, 2013). We used TL estimates from the same lab when available to minimize methodological 147 effects (Tricola et al., 2018). Most studies used non-denatured in-gel TRF or Q-FISH to measure 148 functional (terminal) TLs, except for 15 species indicated in Table S1 in the Supporting 149 150 Information. These studies used denatured TRF, which may include short interstitial (class I) 151 telomeric sequences, that may lead to underestimation of the mean functional TL (Foote, Vleck, & Vleck, 2013). The size distinction between class II and III telomeres is not well-defined 152 (Atema et al., 2019; Delany et al., 2000) and some studies may not include the complete TL 153

distribution if it is outside the range of the specific molecular size markers used (Atema et al., 2019; Foote et al., 2013; Haussmann & Mauck, 2008). However, since chromosome-specific TLs are largely unknown in birds, we rely on estimates of mean TL, which may reflect mainly "class II" telomeres.

To estimate an early-life TL for species with TL measurements at different reported ages, 158 within each species we performed a linear regression of TL and individual age and used the 159 160 extrapolated TL value at age 0 (i.e. the intercept, see Fig. 1 in Tricola et al., 2018). For these species, interspecific variance (97%) greatly exceeded intraspecific variance (3%) in TL, 161 162 suggesting that our age correction method will only slightly change interspecific TL comparisons. For the remaining species, we used mean TL estimates for the youngest individuals 163 reported (all <1 year old), except for 8 species (Table S1), where TL is averaged across unknown 164 165 age classes. The domestic chicken was excluded because no mean TL has been reported (Delany, Daniels, Swanberg, & Taylor, 2003; Delany et al., 2000) and the chicken has a long history of 166 167 human domestication, which is likely to have altered its telomere biology (Pepke & Eisenberg, 168 2021) and genome biology (Piégu et al., 2020). Variation in the activity of telomerase, a ribonucleoprotein capable of rebuilding telomeres (reviewed in Criscuolo, Smith, Zahn, 169 170 Heidinger, & Haussmann, 2018), is unmeasured in our study and may confound the estimation of early-life TL. 171

172 Cytogenetic data

For 20 species estimates of genome size (the amount of DNA in a haploid genome, i.e. cvalue) measured in erythrocytes were compiled from the Animal Genome Size Database, 2.0 (Gregory, 2020). When more than one c-value estimate was available in the database, we followed Gregory (2020) and averaged c-values across studies using up to four comparable 177 cytometric methodologies (Hardie, Gregory, & Hebert, 2002, see Table S1). C-values (pg) were 178 converted to mega base pairs (Mb) by multiplying with 978 Mb/pg (Doležel, Bartoš, Voglmayr, 179 & Greilhuber, 2003). When these estimates were not available, we searched the National Center 180 for Biotechnology Information genetic sequence database GenBank (Clark, Karsch-Mizrachi, Lipman, Ostell, & Sayers, 2016) and recorded the length of whole genome sequence (WGS) 181 assemblies (in Mb, 10 species, in addition to 2 species from Grayson, Sin, Sackton, & Edwards, 182 2017, Table S1). Genome size estimates from cytometric methods are highly correlated with data 183 obtained from WGS projects (Elliott & Gregory, 2015). Sequencing methods seem to 184 185 underestimate genome size in some cases, a discrepancy that increases with absolute genome size (Elliott & Gregory, 2015). Since birds have relatively small genomes (1.0-2.2 Gb, Kapusta 186 et al., 2017), we do not try to correct for this, but use cytometric estimates when available. 187 Number of chromosomes was compiled from Degrandi et al. (2020). Atypical karyotypes are 188 known from birds (Damas, O'Connor, Griffin, & Larkin, 2019; de Boer & van Brink, 1982) and 189 190 we did not attempt to infer missing cytogenetic data from closely related species. Average 191 chromosome lengths were calculated by first dividing genome size by the number of (haploid) chromosomes and then subtracting the telomeric DNA component from each chromosome 192 (average TL multiplied by 2 representing the number of telomere arms per chromosome). For 14 193 species the karyotype is not yet known, and we therefore also test the association between TL 194 and genome size, as a proxy for chromosome length across 32 species. 195

196 Life-history data

Data on maximum lifespan (years) and average adult body mass (g) were compiled primarily from AnAge: The Animal Ageing and Longevity Database (Tacutu et al., 2018, Table S1 and S2), which combines data from captive and wild populations. Mean clutch size (mean 200 number of eggs laid per clutch) and generation time (in years, based on modelled values of age at 201 first reproduction, maximum lifespan, and annual adult survival) were primarily compiled from 202 Bird et al. (2020), see Table S1. TL, mass, lifespan, and generation time were \log_{10} -transformed 203 to linearize relationships observed in bivariate plots. We then used a phylogenetic principal component analysis (Revell, 2009) to construct a first principal component (PC1), which 204 explained 55% of the variation in these traits and may reflect the fast (low values) to slow (high 205 206 values) axis of variation in pace-of-life strategies (Table S3, Dantzer & Fletcher, 2015; Jeschke 207 & Kokko, 2009).

208 **Phylogenetic reconstruction**

We used the most recent time-calibrated avian phylogeny (Jetz, Thomas, Joy, Hartmann, & Mooers, 2012) based on the Hackett et al. (2008) backbone. We compiled a set of 1,000 trees from BirdTree.org and summarized these into a single maximum clade credibility tree using the maxCladeCred function in the 'phangorn' package in R (Schliep, 2011). This tree was pruned using the 'ape' package (Paradis & Schliep, 2018) and visualized using the 'phytools' package (Revell, 2012). Ancestral states were estimated using the function 'fastAnc' (Revell, 2012).

215 **Phylogenetic comparative analyses**

Phylogenetic generalized least square regressions were performed using the 'pgls' function in 'caper' package (Orme et al., 2018), in which a variance-covariance matrix from the phylogenetic relationships (branch lengths) is compared to the actual covariance structure in the residual errors of the regression. The phylogenetic signal, λ , is a multiplier of the expected covariances (off-diagonal elements) that produces the actual variance-covariance matrix (Freckleton, Harvey, & Pagel, 2002; Pagel, 1999). Under the Pagel's λ (PA) model, branch length transformations are optimized numerically using maximum likelihood within default 223 bounds (0.0-1.0, Orme et al., 2018). When $\lambda=0$ the covariance between species is zero 224 corresponding to a non-phylogenetic (ordinary) regression, or "star model" (ST), normally indicating that the traits are evolutionary very labile (Blomberg, Garland Jr, & Ives, 2003; 225 226 Kamilar & Cooper, 2013). When $\lambda = 1$ the evolution of the residual error is best approximated by a Brownian motion (BM) model of evolution (Felsenstein, 1985), which is the case for many 227 gradually evolving traits (Kamilar & Cooper, 2013). The phylogenetic signal therefore estimates 228 229 the extent to which associations between traits reflect their shared evolutionary history 230 (Freckleton et al., 2002), i.e. the degree of similarity among closely related species compared to 231 distantly related species. We ran bivariate linear regressions of log₁₀-transformed TL (response 232 variable) and chromosome length (18 species), genome size (32 species), PC1, \log_{10} -transformed maximum lifespan, and log₁₀-transformed body mass (58 species), respectively. We also tested 233 234 associations between TL and the life-history traits while accounting for either chromosome 235 length or genome size using phylogenetic multiple regressions (Grafen, 1989). Due to the limited 236 sample sizes, we ran alternative evolutionary models assuming either $\lambda=1$ (BM model), $\lambda=0$ (ST 237 model) or the maximum \Box likelihood value of λ (PA model). We then used Akaike's information criteria corrected for small sample sizes (AIC_c) to determine the best model (Burnham & 238 Anderson, 2002), which is reported in the results. All analyses were performed in R v. 3.6.3 (R 239 240 Core Team, 2020).

241

Sensitivity and outlier analyses

242 We performed sensitivity and outlier analyses within the phylogenetic context to test the 243 robustness of our results to species sampling. We used a phylogenetic leave-one-out deletion analysis implemented in the 'sensiPhy' package (Paterno, Penone, & Werner, 2018) to test if any 244 245 species are strongly affecting the associations. Species were sequentially removed one at a time

and the phylogenetic regression refitted using 'phylolm' (Tung Ho & Ané, 2014). Highly influential species (outliers resulting in a standardized difference in β -estimates >2, Paterno et al., 2018) were then excluded and the regressions analyses rerun to obtain more robust phylogenetic regression estimates. We used a jackknifing method randomly removing a proportion of species (from 10 to 50%) and then refitted all regression models described above 500 times to estimate sensitivity of β -, λ -, and *p*-values to changes in sample size (Paterno et al., 2018).

253

254 **RESULTS**

255 **Telomere length evolution**

The greatest diversity in early-life TL was found within Passeriformes (6.2-50.5 kb, 25 species), whereas the other orders had relatively shorter and less variable TLs (7.1-34.9 kb, 33 species, Fig. 1). The ancestral TL of the 58 bird species was inferred, with a wide confidence interval, to be relatively short (12.4 kb, 95% confidence interval (CI)=[-1.1, 25.8], 97.9 Mya) compared to the range of TLs observed in extant species, but close to their average TL (14.0 kb). Phylogenetic signals in life-history traits were close to 1 (Table S4), but for TL λ =0.00 (CI=[0.00, 0.46]).

263 Associations between telomere length and life-history traits

We found a significant negative association between TL and PC1 across all 58 species (best model: β_{PC1} =-0.003±0.001 S.E., *p*=0.042, adjusted *R*²=0.055, λ =0.00, CI=[0.00, 0.27], Fig. 2a), suggestive of shorter TL in slower life-history species. There was also a negative association between TL and maximum lifespan ($\beta_{log(lifespan)}$ =-0.230±0.094 S.E., *p*=0.018, adjusted *R*²=0.080, λ =0.00, CI=[0.00, 0.27], Fig. 2b). Thus, a 1% increase in lifespan predicted a 0.23% decrease in TL. We found weak evidence for a negative association between body mass and TL ($\beta_{log(mass)}$ =-0.042±0.026 S.E., *p*=0.107, adjusted *R*²=0.029, λ =0.00, CI=[0.00, 0.28], Fig. 2c).

We identified up to four phylogenetically significant outliers in the regressions between TL and life-history traits, but their exclusion only led to slight attenuations of the associations (see Figs. S1-S3 in the Supporting Information). These associations were generally robust to smaller sample size effects (mean change in β with 50% species removed was 36-52%) and the phylogenetic association was 0 in most simulations (Figs. S4-S6).

276 Associations between telomere length, chromosome length and genome size

Genome size positively predicted chromosome length ($\beta_{genome \ size}$ =0.024±0.005 standard error [S.E.], *p*<0.001, adjusted *R*²=0.599, λ =1.00, CI=[0.00, 1.00], 18 species, Fig. S7), suggesting that genome size may be used as a proxy for chromosome length in birds.

We found weak evidence for a positive association between TL and chromosome length (best model: $\beta_{log(chromosome \ length)}=1.345\pm1.029$ S.E., p=0.210, $R^2=0.210$ $\lambda=0.00$, CI=[0.00, 1.00], 18 species, Fig. 3a). However, the phylogenetic outlier analysis identified one highly influential species, *Ciconia ciconia*, with a disproportional effect on the estimate (resulting in a change of β of 102%, see Paterno et al., 2018 and Fig. S8). Removal of this species revealed a substantial positive association between TL and chromosome length ($\beta_{log(chromosome \ length)}=2.710\pm1.106$ S.E., p=0.027, $R^2=0.238$ $\lambda=0.00$, CI=[0.00, 0.99], 17 species, Fig. 3a).

There was a marginally significant positive association between TL and genome size (best model: $\beta_{log(genome \ size)}=1.420\pm0.826$ S.E., p=0.096, adjusted $R^2=0.059$, 32 species, Fig. 3b). We identified three highly influential species, *Aphelocoma coerulescens*, *Parus major*, and *Riparia riparia* (β changes of 50-61%, Fig. S9), the exclusion of which slightly attenuated the fit

291 ($\beta_{log(genome \ size)}$ =1.158±0.742 S.E., *p*=0.130, *R*²=0.049, λ =0.00, CI=[0.00, 0.74], 29 species, Fig. 292 3b).

The associations between TL, chromosome length, and genome size were relatively unstable to larger reductions in sample sizes (changes in β with around 50% of the species removed were 45-81%, Fig. S10-S11).

296 Multiple phylogenetic regressions of life-history and cytogenetic traits

The associations described above between life-history traits and TL, and between cytogenetic traits and TL were slightly or substantially attenuated when included in phylogenetic multiple regressions (Table S4). Mass, lifespan, and PC1 were only weakly correlated with genome size and chromosome length (Table S5), however, sample size was considerably reduced (by 44% when including genome size [32 species] and 68% when including chromosome length [18 species]).

303

304 **DISCUSSION**

305 In this study, we showed that variation in mean early-life TL was significantly associated with key life-history traits underlying the pace-of-life continuum across 58 bird species. This 306 307 result is consistent with the hypothesis that TL may be an important mediator of life-history trade-offs between reproduction, somatic maintenance, and cancer risk (Heidinger et al., 2012; 308 Monaghan, 2010; Tian et al., 2018). Furthermore, we found some support for a positive 309 310 association between TL and mean chromosome length or genome size. This indicates that a 311 component of variation in TL may be constrained by interactions with chromosome length across 312 species (Klegarth & Eisenberg, 2018; Slijepcevic, 2016).

313 Early-life TL was significantly negatively associated with maximum lifespan, but we 314 only found weak evidence for a negative association with body mass (Fig. 2b-c). In mammals, 315 lifespan and mass are strongly negatively associated with mean TL (Gomes et al., 2011; Pepke & 316 Eisenberg, 2021), suggesting that these are general relationships across tetrapods. In birds, body size is constrained by adaptations to flight (Tobalske, 2016) and body masses within our study 317 vary by almost 3 orders of magnitude compared to 7 orders of magnitude within the study of 318 319 mammalian TL (Gomes et al., 2011), which may explain the weaker association between TL and 320 mass in birds. However, there is still a large variation in TL particularly among small species, 321 within both mammals and birds. This variation may be explained by the larger diversity of 322 mechanisms evolved to deal with cancer defenses or cellular senescence within smaller bodied species (Risques & Promislow, 2018; Seluanov, Gladyshev, Vijg, & Gorbunova, 2018). That 323 324 larger and longer-lived species of tetrapods have short telomeres, has been interpreted as an anti-325 cancer mechanism, limiting the risk of accumulating oncogenic mutations through replicative 326 cell senescence (Campisi, 2001; Gorbunova et al., 2014; Pepke & Eisenberg, 2021). Research on 327 cancer prevalence in wild bird species is very limited (Møller, Erritzøe, & Soler, 2017; Pesavento, Agnew, Keel, & Woolard, 2018). Boddy et al. (2020) found a positive relationship 328 between litter size and cancer prevalence in 37 mammal species. They suggested that the faster 329 330 pace-of-life associated with larger litter sizes, exposed fast life-history species to higher cancer rates by allocating more resources to offspring quantity than somatic maintenance. In our study, 331 332 fast-lived species had a low PC1 score (i.e. short generation time and lifespan, large clutch size, 333 and to some extent a small body mass, Table S3) and long telomeres compared to slow-lived species with a high PC1 score and short telomeres (Fig. 2a). If fast life-history bird species also 334 335 have higher cancer rates, as suggested by Møller et al. (2017), these observations are consistent

336 with the fact that longer telomeres are associated with increased cancer prevalence across species 337 (in mammals, Pepke & Eisenberg, 2021). Thus, TL may have evolved to be longer to avoid the greater risk of critically short telomeres faced by species with accelerated TL shortening due to 338 339 increased oxidative stress associated with high rates of reproduction (Sudyka, 2019). Selection for longer telomeres may have been further promoted by the lower antioxidant capacity or higher 340 levels of oxidative damage found in bird species with a faster pace-of-life (Vágási et al., 2019; 341 342 Xia & Møller, 2018). Bird species with a slower pace-of-life have also been found to have a lower telomere shortening rate (Dantzer & Fletcher, 2015), which suggests that TL and TL 343 344 attrition co-vary across species, but this has not yet been shown (Tricola et al., 2018).

The sensitivity and outlier analyses indicated that the associations between TL and 345 cytogenetic traits were susceptible to sample size effects (Figs. S8-S11). However, our results 346 347 suggest an interaction between TL evolution and karyotype evolution. We found that a 1% increase in chromosome length was associated with a 2.7% increase in TL (Fig. 3a). The 348 349 taxonomic diversity of species exhibiting positive scaling between TL and chromosome length 350 within species (reviewed in the introduction) suggests that this is a highly conserved, fundamental characteristic of telomere biology. The explanation behind the positive correlation 351 between telomere and chromosome lengths, remains unknown, but several molecular 352 353 mechanisms may be involved (Klegarth & Eisenberg, 2018).

Experiments in yeast have shown that if telomeric and centromeric sequences are inserted into plasmids, they become unstable, probably because they are being pulled away from each other during mitosis (Enomoto, Longtine, & Berman, 1994). Slijepcevic (2016) suggested that this telomere–centromere antagonism could explain the correlation between TL and chromosome length observed within some species, i.e. the length of telomeres closer to centromeres is shorter

359 to mitigate interference during mitosis. Furthermore, longer telomeres may be needed to protect 360 longer chromosomes from end denaturation and rearrangements (Pampalona, Soler, Genescà, & 361 Tusell, 2010; Slijepcevic, 1998). Supporting the connection between TL and chromosome size, 362 Pontremoli et al. (2018) found that positive selection on genes implicated in telomere homeostasis among mammals was related to the number of chromosome arms. Given that 363 genome size is relatively conserved among mammals (Kapusta et al., 2017), the positive 364 selection at these genes is likely driven by chromosome size and these genes might help calibrate 365 specific telomeres to the corresponding chromosomes. Assuming causality of the telomere-366 367 chromosome length association, more chromosome arms results in multiple short telomeres. This may facilitate chromosomal rearrangements (Murnane, 2012; Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2015; 368 Slijepcevic, 1998), but also lead to a higher recombination rate (Pardo-Manuel de Villena & 369 370 Sapienza, 2001).

Among mammals, the association between TL and chromosome length was highly 371 372 influenced by the karyotypic abnormal Indian Muntjac (Klegarth & Eisenberg, 2018). However, 373 the association remained non-significant after outlier exclusion. This study relied primarily on estimates of adult TL from cultured cell lines (Gomes et al., 2011). Our analysis may have better 374 resolution by including mainly terminal TLs in early life, thereby reducing the effects of 375 376 differing TL changes through life (Tricola et al., 2018). Consistent with the mammalian 377 sensitivity to the karyotypic abnormal Indian Muntjac, our results were strongly influenced by 378 the outlying white stork, *Ciconia ciconia* (8.8 kb, Fig. 3a), of a genus known to have undergone 379 several chromosomal rearrangements (de Boer & van Brink, 1982, Fig. S7). For instance, C. ciconia (2n=72) probably has many more microchromosomes than the black stork, C. nigra 380 381 (2n=52, de Boer & van Brink, 1982), whose mean TL we may then expect to be long, but that is

currently unknown. The observation of ultra-long telomeres on some microchromosomes (Nanda et al., 2002) does not conform with the general patterns observed in this study. Since microchromosomes constitutes only around 23% of the avian genome size and are remarkably conserved across most bird species (Burt, 2002; O'Connor et al., 2019), it may be that the patterns reported here primarily retain to the telomere dynamics of macrochromosomes.

Within birds, larger genomes have been associated with lower metabolic rate 387 (Vinogradov, 1997), reduced capacity for flight efficiency (Andrews, Mackenzie, & Gregory, 388 2009), and increased body size (Wright et al., 2014). The mechanism underlying these 389 390 correlations may be acting through a positive relationship between genome size and cell size (Wright et al., 2014). However, if part of the variation in genome size is due to variation in 391 telomere-chromosome length interactions, we suggest that some of these associations may 392 393 involve adaptations in TL to different life-history strategies, as indicated in this study. For instance, correlations between life-history traits and genome size (Womack, Metz, & Hoke, 394 395 2019) may involve telomere–chromosome length dynamics.

396 We found TL to be evolutionary labile across bird species, as exemplified by the large intrageneric variation within Aphelocoma, Larus, Tachycineta, and Thalassarche, suggesting 397 recent evolutionary change in TL (Fig. 1). Reconstructing the evolutionary history of TL changes 398 399 within recent radiations of closely related species that represent independent replicated branching events, may elucidate adaptations underlying shifts in TL during speciation (Baird, 2018). As 400 species progress through series of changes in species ecology and life-history (Pepke, Irestedt, 401 Fjeldså, Rahbek, & Jønsson, 2019), associated changes in telomere biology may be observed 402 within taxonomically more densely sampled clades (Canestrelli et al., 2020; Dupoué et al., 403 404 2017).

405 Our results indicate that some of the variation in early-life TL in birds arises through 406 interactions with chromosome length, which may constrain the evolution of TL. Future crossspecies studies attentive to the specificity of TL at different chromosome arms (Miga et al., 407 408 2020; Poon & Lansdorp, 2001), in particular of microchromosomes, may resolve the details of this interaction. Whether this effect has implications for telomere loss and the variation in 409 senescence pattern across species remains unknown. However, mean TL also co-evolved with 410 key life-history traits suggesting that the adaptive significance of TL may be as an important 411 mediator of life-history trade-offs between investment in reproduction and somatic maintenance. 412

413

414 ACKNOWLDGEMENT

We thank Mark Haussmann, Gianna Tricola, Simon Verhulst, Ellis Mulder, David S. Richardson, Antoine Stier, and Tiia Kärkkäinen for sharing data on telomere lengths within bird species, Claus Bech for providing longevity data, and Christina Bauch and Pat Monaghan for comments on earlier versions of this manuscript. This work is funded by the Research Council of Norway (Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics, 223257). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

421

422 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

423 MLP and DTAE conceived the ideas. MLP compiled and analyzed data and wrote the 424 manuscript with contributions from all authors.

425

426 DATA ACCESSIBILITY

- 427 All data is available from Table S1 in the Supporting information and from BirdTree.org, and it
- 428 will be submitted to an open access data repository.

429

430 **REFERENCES**

- Andrews, C. B., Mackenzie, S. A., & Gregory, T. R. (2009). Genome size and wing parameters
 in passerine birds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276(1654),
 55-61. doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.1012
- Araya-Ajoy, Y. G., Niskanen, A. K., Froy, H., Ranke, P. S., Kvalnes, T., Rønning, B., . . .
 Wright, J. (2021). Variation in generation time reveals density regulation as an important driver of pace-of-life in a bird metapopulation. *Ecol Lett.* doi:10.1111/ele.13835
- Atema, E., Mulder, E., van Noordwijk, A. J., & Verhulst, S. (2019). Ultralong telomeres shorten
 with age in nestling great tits but are static in adults and mask attrition of short telomeres.
 Molecular Ecology Resources, 19(3), 648-658. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12996
- Baird, D. M. (2018). Telomeres and genomic evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 373(1741), 20160437. doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0437
- Berthiau, A.-S., Yankulov, K., Bah, A., Revardel, E., Luciano, P., Wellinger, R. J., . . . Gilson, E.
 (2006). Subtelomeric proteins negatively regulate telomere elongation in budding yeast. *The EMBO Journal*, 25(4), 846-856. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600975
- Bird, J. P., Martin, R., Akçakaya, H. R., Gilroy, J., Burfield, I. J., Garnett, S. T., . . . Butchart, S.
 H. M. (2020). Generation lengths of the world's birds and their implications for extinction risk. *Conservation Biology*, *34*(5), 1252-1261. doi:10.1111/cobi.13486
- Blackburn, E. H., & Szostak, J. W. (1984). The molecular structure of centromeres and telomeres. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 53(1), 163-194. doi:10.1146/annurev.bi.53.070184.001115
- Blomberg, S. P., Garland Jr, T., & Ives, A. R. (2003). Testing for phylogenetic signal in
 comparative data: Behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution*, 57(4), 717-745.
 doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00285.x
- Boddy, A. M., Abegglen, L. M., Pessier, A. P., Schiffman, J. D., Maley, C. C., & Witte, C.
 (2020). Lifetime cancer prevalence and life history traits in mammals. *Evolution*, *Medicine, and Public Health*. doi:10.1093/emph/eoaa015
- Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2002). Model selection and multimodel inference. A
 practical information-theoretic approach (2 ed.). New York, U.S.A.: Springer-Verlag.
- Burt, D. W. (2002). Origin and evolution of avian microchromosomes. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, *96*(1-4), 97-112. doi:10.1159/000063018
- Campisi, J. (2001). Cellular senescence as a tumor-suppressor mechanism. *Trends Cell Biol*,
 11(11), S27-31. doi:10.1016/s0962-8924(01)02151-1
- 463 Canela, A., Vera, E., Klatt, P., & Blasco, M. A. (2007). High-throughput telomere length
 464 quantification by FISH and its application to human population studies. *Proceedings of*465 *the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104*(13), 5300-5305.
 466 doi:10.1073/pnas.0609367104

- 467 Canestrelli, D., Bisconti, R., Liparoto, A., Angelier, F., Ribout, C., Carere, C., & Costantini, D.
 468 (2020). Biogeography of telomere dynamics in a vertebrate. *Ecography, In press.*469 doi:10.1111/ecog.05286
- Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D. J., Ostell, J., & Sayers, E. W. (2016). GenBank.
 Nucleic Acids Research, 44(D1), D67-D72. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1276
- 472 Craven, R. J., & Petes, T. D. (1999). Dependence of the regulation of telomere length on the type
 473 of subtelomeric repeat in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics*, 152(4), 1531474 1541.
- Criscuolo, F., Smith, S., Zahn, S., Heidinger, B. J., & Haussmann, M. F. (2018). Experimental
 manipulation of telomere length: does it reveal a corner-stone role for telomerase in the
 natural variability of individual fitness? *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, *373*(1741),
 20160440. doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0440
- 479 Damas, J., O'Connor, R. E., Griffin, D. K., & Larkin, D. M. (2019). Avian chromosomal
 480 evolution. In R. H. S. Kraus (Ed.), *Avian genomics in ecology and evolution: From the*481 *lab into the wild* (pp. 69-92). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Dammhahn, M., Dingemanse, N. J., Niemelä, P. T., & Réale, D. (2018). Pace-of-life syndromes:
 a framework for the adaptive integration of behaviour, physiology and life history. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 72(3), 62. doi:10.1007/s00265-018-2473-y
- Daniali, L., Benetos, A., Susser, E., Kark, J. D., Labat, C., Kimura, M., . . . Aviv, A. (2013).
 Telomeres shorten at equivalent rates in somatic tissues of adults. *Nat Commun*, *4*, 1597.
 doi:10.1038/ncomms2602
- 488 Dantzer, B., & Fletcher, Q. E. (2015). Telomeres shorten more slowly in slow-aging wild
 489 animals than in fast-aging ones. *Experimental gerontology*, 71, 38-47.
 490 doi:10.1016/j.exger.2015.08.012
- de Boer, L. E., & van Brink, J. M. (1982). Cytotaxonomy of the Ciconiiformes (Aves), with
 karyotypes of eight species new to cytology. *Cytogenet Cell Genet*, 34(1-2), 19-34.
 doi:10.1159/000131791
- Degrandi, T. M., Barcellos, S. A., Costa, A. L., Garnero, A. D. V., Hass, I., & Gunski, R. J.
 (2020). Introducing the bird chromosome database: An overview of cytogenetic studies in
 birds. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, *160*(4), 199-205. doi:10.1159/000507768
- 497 Delany, M. E., Daniels, L. M., Swanberg, S. E., & Taylor, H. A. (2003). Telomeres in the
 498 chicken: genome stability and chromosome ends. *Poultry Science*, 82(6), 917-926.
 499 doi:10.1093/ps/82.6.917
- Delany, M. E., Gessaro, T. M., Rodrigue, K. L., & Daniels, L. M. (2007). Chromosomal
 mapping of chicken mega-telomere arrays to GGA9, 16, 28 and W using a cytogenomic
 approach. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, *117*(1-4), 54-63. doi:10.1159/000103165
- Delany, M. E., Krupkin, A. B., & Miller, M. M. (2000). Organization of telomere sequences in
 birds: evidence for arrays of extreme length and for in vivo shortening. *Cytogenet Cell Genet*, 90(1-2), 139-145. doi:10.1159/000015649
- Demanelis, K., Jasmine, F., Chen, L. S., Chernoff, M., Tong, L., Delgado, D., . . . Pierce, B. L.
 (2020). Determinants of telomere length across human tissues. *Science*, 369(6509),
 eaaz6876. doi:10.1126/science.aaz6876
- Doležel, J., Bartoš, J., Voglmayr, H., & Greilhuber, J. (2003). Letter to the editor. *Cytometry Part A*, 51A(2), 127-128. doi:10.1002/cyto.a.10013

- Dupoué, A., Rutschmann, A., Le Galliard, J. F., Clobert, J., Angelier, F., Marciau, C., . . .
 Meylan, S. (2017). Shorter telomeres precede population extinction in wild lizards.
 Scientific Reports, 7(1), 16976. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-17323-z
- Eisenberg, D. T. (2011). An evolutionary review of human telomere biology: the thrifty telomere
 hypothesis and notes on potential adaptive paternal effects. *Am J Hum Biol*, 23(2), 149167. doi:10.1002/ajhb.21127
- Ellegren, H. (2010). Evolutionary stasis: the stable chromosomes of birds. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 25(5), 283-291. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.12.004
- Elliott, T. A., & Gregory, T. R. (2015). What's in a genome? The C-value enigma and the
 evolution of eukaryotic genome content. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 370(1678), 20140331. doi:10.1098/rstb.2014.0331
- Enomoto, S., Longtine, M. S., & Berman, J. (1994). TEL+CEN antagonism on plasmids involves
 telomere repeat sequences tracts and gene products that interact with chromosomal
 telomeres. *Chromosoma*, 103(4), 237-250. doi:10.1007/BF00352248
- Felsenstein, J. (1985). Phylogenies and the comparative method. *American Naturalist*, 125(1), 1 15. doi:Doi 10.1086/284325
- Foote, C. G., Vleck, D., & Vleck, C. M. (2013). Extent and variability of interstitial telomeric
 sequences and their effects on estimates of telomere length. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 13(3), 417-428. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12079
- Freckleton, R. P., Harvey, P. H., & Pagel, M. (2002). Phylogenetic analysis and comparative
 data: A test and review of evidence. *American Naturalist*, 160(6), 712-726. doi:Doi
 10.1086/343873
- Fulnecková, J., Sevcíková, T., Fajkus, J., Lukesová, A., Lukes, M., Vlcek, C., . . . Sykorová, E.
 (2013). A broad phylogenetic survey unveils the diversity and evolution of telomeres in
 eukaryotes. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 5(3), 468-483. doi:10.1093/gbe/evt019
- Giraudeau, M., Angelier, F., & Sepp, T. (2019). Do telomeres influence pace-of-life-strategies in
 response to environmental conditions over a lifetime and between generations?
 Bioessays, 41(3), 1800162. doi:10.1002/bies.201800162
- Gomes, N. M., Ryder, O. A., Houck, M. L., Charter, S. J., Walker, W., Forsyth, N. R., . . .
 Wright, W. E. (2011). Comparative biology of mammalian telomeres: hypotheses on ancestral states and the roles of telomeres in longevity determination. *Aging Cell, 10*(5), 761-768. doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2011.00718.x
- Gorbunova, V., Seluanov, A., Zhang, Z., Gladyshev, V. N., & Vijg, J. (2014). Comparative
 genetics of longevity and cancer: insights from long-lived rodents. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 15(8), 531-540. doi:10.1038/nrg3728
- Grafen, A. (1989). The phylogenetic regression. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 326*(1233),
 119-157. doi:10.1098/rstb.1989.0106
- Grayson, P., Sin, S. Y. W., Sackton, T. B., & Edwards, S. V. (2017). Comparative genomics as a
 foundation for evo-devo studies in birds. *Methods Mol Biol*, 1650, 11-46.
 doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-7216-6_2
- 551 Gregory, T. R. (2020). Animal genome size database. <u>http://www.genomesize.com</u>. Accessed 552 01-09-2020.
- Graakjaer, J., Bischoff, C., Korsholm, L., Holstebroe, S., Vach, W., Bohr, V. A., ... Kølvraa, S.
 (2003). The pattern of chromosome-specific variations in telomere length in humans is
 determined by inherited, telomere-near factors and is maintained throughout life.

556 *Mechanisms of Ageing and Development, 124*(5), 629-640. doi:10.1016/S0047-557 6374(03)00081-2

- Hackett, S. J., Kimball, R. T., Reddy, S., Bowie, R. C. K., Braun, E. L., Braun, M. J., . . . Yuri,
 T. (2008). A phylogenomic study of birds reveals their evolutionary history. *Science*, *320*(5884), 1763. doi:10.1126/science.1157704
- Hardie, D. C., Gregory, T. R., & Hebert, P. D. N. (2002). From pixels to picograms: A beginners'
 guide to genome quantification by Feulgen Image Analysis Densitometry. *Journal of Histochemistry & Cytochemistry*, 50(6), 735-749. doi:10.1177/002215540205000601
- Haussmann, M. F., & Mauck, R. A. (2008). Technical advances: New strategies for telomerebased age estimation. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 8(2), 264-274. doi:10.1111/j.14718286.2007.01973.x
- Haussmann, M. F., & Vleck, C. M. (2002). Telomere length provides a new technique for aging
 animals. *Oecologia*, 130(3), 325-328. doi:10.1007/s00442-001-0827-y
- Haussmann, M. F., Winkler, D. W., O'Reilly, K. M., Huntington, C. E., Nisbet, I. C. T., & Vleck,
 C. M. (2003). Telomeres shorten more slowly in long-lived birds and mammals than in
 short–lived ones. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 270(1522), 1387-1392. doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2385
- Heidinger, B. J., Blount, J. D., Boner, W., Griffiths, K., Metcalfe, N. B., & Monaghan, P. (2012).
 Telomere length in early life predicts lifespan. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 109(5), 17431748. doi:10.1073/pnas.1113306109
- Ingles, E. D., & Deakin, J. E. (2016). Telomeres, species differences, and unusual telomeres in vertebrates: presenting challenges and opportunities to understanding telomere dynamics.
 AIMS Genetics, 3(1), 1-24. doi:10.3934/genet.2016.1.1.
- Jacob, N. K., Stout, A. R., & Price, C. M. (2004). Modulation of telomere length dynamics by
 the subtelomeric region of tetrahymena telomeres. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 15(8),
 3719-3728. doi:10.1091/mbc.e04-03-0237
- Jeschke, J. M., & Kokko, H. (2009). The roles of body size and phylogeny in fast and slow life
 histories. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 23(6), 867-878. doi:10.1007/s10682-008-9276-y
- Jetz, W., Thomas, G. H., Joy, J. B., Hartmann, K., & Mooers, A. O. (2012). The global diversity
 of birds in space and time. *Nature*, 491(7424), 444-448.
- Kamilar, J. M., & Cooper, N. (2013). Phylogenetic signal in primate behaviour, ecology and life
 history. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
 368(1618), 20120341. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0341
- Kapusta, A., Suh, A., & Feschotte, C. (2017). Dynamics of genome size evolution in birds and
 mammals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(8), E1460.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1616702114
- Klegarth, A. R., & Eisenberg, D. T. A. (2018). Mammalian chromosome-telomere length
 dynamics. *Royal Society Open Science*, 5(7), 180492. doi:10.1098/rsos.180492
- Lansdorp, P. M., Verwoerd, N. P., van de Rijke, F. M., Dragowska, V., Little, M.-T., Dirks, R.
 W., . . . Tanke, H. J. (1996). Heterogeneity in telomere length of human chromosomes.
 Human Molecular Genetics, 5(5), 685-691. doi:10.1093/hmg/5.5.685
- Lee, Y. C. G., Leek, C., & Levine, M. T. (2017). Recurrent innovation at genes required for
 telomere integrity in *Drosophila*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(2), 467-482.
 doi:10.1093/molbev/msw248

- Manning, E. L., Crossland, J., Dewey, M. J., & Van Zant, G. (2002). Influences of inbreeding
 and genetics on telomere length in mice. *Mammalian Genome*, 13(5), 234-238.
 doi:10.1007/s003350020027
- Mayer, S., Brüderlein, S., Perner, S., Waibel, I., Holdenried, A., Ciloglu, N., . . . Möller, P.
 (2006). Sex-specific telomere length profiles and age-dependent erosion dynamics of
 individual chromosome arms in humans. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, *112*(3-4),
 194-201. doi:10.1159/000089870
- Meyne, J., Ratliff, R. L., & Moyzis, R. K. (1989). Conservation of the human telomere sequence
 (TTAGGG)n among vertebrates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 86(18), 7049-7053. doi:10.1073/pnas.86.18.7049
- Miga, K. H., Koren, S., Rhie, A., Vollger, M. R., Gershman, A., Bzikadze, A., . . . Phillippy, A.
 M. (2020). Telomere-to-telomere assembly of a complete human X chromosome. *Nature*, 585(7823), 79-84. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2547-7
- Monaghan, P. (2010). Telomeres and life histories. the long and the short of it. Year in *Evolutionary Biology*, 1206, 130-142. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05705.x
- Murnane, J. P. (2012). Telomere dysfunction and chromosome instability. *Mutat Res*, 730(1-2),
 28-36. doi:10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2011.04.008
- Møller, A. P., Erritzøe, J., & Soler, J. J. (2017). Life history, immunity, Peto's paradox and
 tumours in birds. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 30(5), 960-967.
 doi:10.1111/jeb.13060
- Nanda, I., & Schmid, M. (1994). Localization of the telomeric (TTAGGG)n sequence in chicken
 (*Gallus domesticus*) chromosomes. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, 65(3), 190-193.
 doi:10.1159/000133630
- Nanda, I., Schrama, D., Feichtinger, W., Haaf, T., Schartl, M., & Schmid, M. (2002).
 Distribution of telomeric (TTAGGG)n sequences in avian chromosomes. *Chromosoma*, 111(4), 215-227. doi:10.1007/s00412-002-0206-4
- Nussey, D. H., Baird, D., Barrett, E., Boner, W., Fairlie, J., Gemmell, N., . . . Monaghan, P.
 (2014). Measuring telomere length and telomere dynamics in evolutionary biology and ecology. *Methods Ecol Evol*, 5(4), 299-310. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12161
- O'Connor, R. E., Kiazim, L., Skinner, B., Fonseka, G., Joseph, S., Jennings, R., . . . Griffin, D.
 K. (2019). Patterns of microchromosome organization remain highly conserved throughout avian evolution. *Chromosoma*, 128(1), 21-29. doi:10.1007/s00412-018-0685 6
- Orme, D., Freckleton, R. P., Thomas, G. H., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S. A., & Isaac, N. (2018).
 CAPER: comparative analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *3*, 145-151.
- Pagel, M. (1999). Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature*, 401(6756),
 877-884. doi:10.1038/44766
- Pampalona, J., Soler, D., Genescà, A., & Tusell, L. (2010). Whole chromosome loss is promoted
 by telomere dysfunction in primary cells. *Genes, Chromosomes and Cancer, 49*(4), 368378. doi:10.1002/gcc.20749
- Paradis, E., & Schliep, K. (2018). ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and
 evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics*, 35(3), 526-528.
 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633

- Pardo-Manuel de Villena, F., & Sapienza, C. (2001). Recombination is proportional to the
 number of chromosome arms in mammals. *Mammalian Genome*, 12(4), 318-322.
 doi:10.1007/s003350020005
- Paterno, G. B., Penone, C., & Werner, G. D. A. (2018). sensiPhy: An r-package for sensitivity
 analysis in phylogenetic comparative methods. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9(6),
 1461-1467. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12990</u>
- Pepke, M. L., & Eisenberg, D. T. A. (2020). Accounting for phylogenetic relatedness in crossspecies analyses of telomere shortening rates. *Experimental Results*, 1, e11.
 doi:10.1017/exp.2020.18
- Pepke, M. L., & Eisenberg, D. T. A. (2021). On the comparative biology of mammalian telomeres: Telomere length co-evolves with body mass, lifespan and cancer risk. *Mol Ecol.* doi:10.1111/mec.15870
- Pepke, M. L., Irestedt, M., Fjeldså, J., Rahbek, C., & Jønsson, K. A. (2019). Reconciling
 supertramps, great speciators and relict species with the taxon cycle stages of a large
 island radiation (Aves: Campephagidae). *Journal of Biogeography*, 46(6), 1214-1225.
 doi:10.1111/jbi.13577
- Pepke, M. L., Kvalnes, T., Rønning, B., Jensen, H., Boner, W., Sæther, B.-E., ... Ringsby, T. H.
 (2021). Artificial size selection experiment reveals telomere length dynamics and fitness
 consequences in a wild passerine. *Preprint on Authorea*.
 doi:10.22541/au.161447476.67562312/v1
- Pesavento, P. A., Agnew, D., Keel, M. K., & Woolard, K. D. (2018). Cancer in wildlife: patterns
 of emergence. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, *18*(10), 646-661. doi:10.1038/s41568-018-0045-0
- Piégu, B., Arensburger, P., Beauclair, L., Chabault, M., Raynaud, E., Coustham, V., . . . Bigot, 666 Y. (2020). Variations in genome size between wild and domesticated lineages of fowls 667 belonging species. Genomics, 668 to the Gallus gallus 112(2), 1660-1673. 669 doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.10.004
- Pontremoli, C., Forni, D., Cagliani, R., Pozzoli, U., Clerici, M., & Sironi, M. (2018).
 Evolutionary rates of mammalian telomere-stability genes correlate with karyotype
 features and female germline expression. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(14), 7153-7168.
 doi:10.1093/nar/gky494
- Poon, S. S. S., & Lansdorp, P. M. (2001). Measurements of telomere length on individual
 chromosomes by image cytometry. In *Methods in Cell Biology* (Vol. 64, pp. 69-96):
 Academic Press.
- R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (Version 3.6.3).
 Vienna, Austria.: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <u>www.R-project.org/</u>
- Reichert, S., Criscuolo, F., Verinaud, E., Zahn, S., & Massemin, S. (2013). Telomere length
 correlations among somatic tissues in adult zebra finches. *PLoS One*, 8(12), e81496.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081496
- Reichert, S., & Stier, A. (2017). Does oxidative stress shorten telomeres in vivo? A review.
 Biology Letters, 13(12), 20170463. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2017.0463
- Revell, L. J. (2009). Size-correction and principal components for interspecific comparative studies. *Evolution*, 63(12), 3258-3268. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00804.x
- Revell, L. J. (2012). phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3(2), 217-223. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x

- Ricklefs, R. E., & Wikelski, M. (2002). The physiology/life-history nexus. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 17(10), 462-468. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02578-8
- 692 Ringsby, T. H., Jensen, H., Pärn, H., Kvalnes, T., Boner, W., Gillespie, R., . . . Monaghan, P. 693 (2015). On being the right size: increased body size is associated with reduced telomere 694 length under natural conditions. Proc Biol Sci. 282(1820), 20152331. 695 doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.2331
- Risques, R. A., & Promislow, D. E. L. (2018). All's well that ends well: why large species have
 short telomeres. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 373(1741).
 doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0448
- Rodrigue, K. L., May, B. P., Famula, T. R., & Delany, M. E. (2005). Meiotic instability of
 chicken ultra-long telomeres and mapping of a 2.8 megabase array to the W-sex
 chromosome. *Chromosome Research*, *13*(6), 581-591. doi:10.1007/s10577-005-0984-7
- Roff, D. (1993). Evolution of life histories: theory and analysis: Springer Science & Business
 Media.
- 704 Salomons, H. M., Mulder, G. A., van de Zande, L., Haussmann, M. F., Linskens, M. H. K., & 705 Verhulst, S. (2009). Telomere shortening and survival in free-living corvids. Proceedings 706 of the Royal Society *B*: **Biological** Sciences, 276(1670), 3157-3165. 707 doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.0517
- Sánchez-Guillén, R. A., Capilla, L., Reig-Viader, R., Martínez-Plana, M., Pardo-Camacho, C.,
 Andrés-Nieto, M., . . . Ruiz-Herrera, A. (2015). On the origin of Robertsonian fusions in
 nature: evidence of telomere shortening in wild house mice. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 28(1), 241-249. doi:10.1111/jeb.12568
- Schliep, K. P. (2011). phangorn: phylogenetic analysis in R. *Bioinformatics*, 27(4), 592-593.
 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq706
- Seluanov, A., Chen, Z., Hine, C., Sasahara, T. H. C., Ribeiro, A. A. C. M., Catania, K. C., . . .
 Gorbunova, V. (2007). Telomerase activity coevolves with body mass not lifespan. *Aging Cell*, 6(1), 45-52. doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2006.00262.x
- Seluanov, A., Gladyshev, V. N., Vijg, J., & Gorbunova, V. (2018). Mechanisms of cancer
 resistance in long-lived mammals. *Nat Rev Cancer*, 18(7), 433-441. doi:10.1038/s41568018-0004-9
- Shakirov, E. V., & Shippen, D. E. (2004). Length regulation and dynamics of individual
 telomere tracts in wild-type *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell*, 16(8), 1959.
 doi:10.1105/tpc.104.023093
- Slijepcevic, P. (1998). Telomeres and mechanisms of Robertsonian fusion. *Chromosoma*, 107(2),
 136-140. doi:10.1007/s004120050289
- Slijepcevic, P. (2016). Mechanisms of the evolutionary chromosome plasticity: Integrating the
 'centromere-from-telomere' hypothesis with telomere length regulation. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, 148(4), 268-278. doi:10.1159/000447415
- Slijepcevic, P., & Hande, M. P. (1999). Chinese hamster telomeres are comparable in size to
 mouse telomeres. *Cytogenet Cell Genet*, 85(3-4), 196-199. doi:10.1159/000015292
- Sridevi, V., Uma, K. D., Sivaramakrishnan, S., & Isola, N. R. (2002). Telomere length as related
 to chromosome length in the genus *Pennisetum*. *Cytologia*, 67(2), 185-190.
- Stearns, S. C. (1989). Trade-offs in life-history evolution. *Functional Ecology*, 3(3), 259-268.
 doi:10.2307/2389364

- Suda, T., Fujiyama, A., Takimoto, M., Igarashi, M., Kuroiwa, T., Waguri, N., . . . Aoyagi, Y.
 (2002). Interchromosomal telomere length variation. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 291(2), 210-214. doi:10.1006/bbrc.2002.6425
- Sudyka, J. (2019). Does reproduction shorten telomeres? Towards integrating individual quality
 with life-history strategies in telomere biology. *Bioessays*, 41(11), e1900095.
 doi:10.1002/bies.201900095
- Sæther, B.-E. (1988). Pattern of covariation between life-history traits of European birds. *Nature*, 331(6157), 616-617. doi:10.1038/331616a0
- Tacutu, R., Thornton, D., Johnson, E., Budovsky, A., Barardo, D., Craig, T., . . . de Magalhaes, J.
 P. (2018). Human Ageing Genomic Resources: new and updated databases. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *46*(D1), D1083-d1090. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1042. Accessed 01-07-2020.
- Tian, X., Doerig, K., Park, R., Can Ran Qin, A., Hwang, C., Neary, A., . . . Gorbunova, V.
 (2018). Evolution of telomere maintenance and tumour suppressor mechanisms across
 mammals. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 373*(1741), 20160443.
 doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0443
- Tobalske, B. W. (2016). Evolution of avian flight: muscles and constraints on performance.
 Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences, 371(1704), 20150383. doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0383
- Tricola, G. M., Simons, M. J. P., Atema, E., Boughton, R. K., Brown, J. L., Dearborn, D. C., ...
 Haussmann, M. F. (2018). The rate of telomere loss is related to maximum lifespan in
 birds. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *373*(1741),
 20160445. doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0445
- Tung Ho, L. s., & Ané, C. (2014). A linear-time algorithm for gaussian and non-gaussian trait
 evolution models. *Systematic Biology*, 63(3), 397-408. doi:10.1093/sysbio/syu005
- Vágási, C. I., Vincze, O., Pătra□, L., Osváth, G., Pénzes, J., Haussmann, M. F., . . . Pap, P. L.
 (2019). Longevity and life history coevolve with oxidative stress in birds. *Functional Ecology*, *33*(1), 152-161. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13228
- Vinogradov, A. E. (1997). Nucleotypic effect in homeotherms: Body-mass independent resting
 metabolic rate of passerine birds is related to genome size. *Evolution*, 51(1), 220-225.
 doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1997.tb02403.x
- Vleck, C. M., Haussmann, M. F., & Vleck, D. (2003). The natural history of telomeres: tools for
 aging animals and exploring the aging process. *Experimental gerontology*, *38*(7), 791 765 795. doi:10.1016/S0531-5565(03)00110-4
- von Zglinicki, T. (2002). Oxidative stress shortens telomeres. *Trends Biochem Sci*, 27(7), 339 344.
- Wang, W., & Lan, H. (2000). Rapid and parallel chromosomal number reductions in Muntjac
 deer inferred from mitochondrial DNA phylogeny. *Mol Biol Evol*, *17*(9), 1326-1333.
 doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026416
- Whittemore, K., Vera, E., Martínez-Nevado, E., Sanpera, C., & Blasco, M. A. (2019). Telomere
 shortening rate predicts species life span. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(30), 201902452. doi:10.1073/pnas.1902452116
- Wise, J. L., Crout, R. J., McNeil, D. W., Weyant, R. J., Marazita, M. L., & Wenger, S. L. (2009).
 Human telomere length correlates to the size of the associated chromosome arm. *PLoS One*, 4(6), e6013. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006013

- Womack, M. C., Metz, M. J., & Hoke, K. L. (2019). Larger genomes linked to slower
 development and loss of late-developing traits. *The American Naturalist*, 194(6), 854864. doi:10.1086/705897
- Wright, N. A., Gregory, T. R., & Witt, C. C. (2014). Metabolic 'engines' of flight drive genome
 size reduction in birds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
 281(1779), 20132780. doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2780
- Xia, C., & Møller, A. P. (2018). Long-lived birds suffer less from oxidative stress. Avian
 Research, 9(1), 41. doi:10.1186/s40657-018-0133-6
- Zijlmans, J. M. J. M., Martens, U. M., Poon, S. S. S., Raap, A. K., Tanke, H. J., Ward, R. K., &
 Lansdorp, P. M. (1997). Telomeres in the mouse have large inter-chromosomal variations
 in the number of T2AG3 repeats. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 94(14), 7423-7428. doi:10.1073/pnas.94.14.7423

791 FIGURES



Fig. 1: Calibrated maximum clade credibility tree illustrating the evolution of early-life telomere length in 58 bird species using a continuous color gradient (red: short telomeres; blue: long telomeres). Orders are shown on the right, and the timescale is in million years ago (Mya).

796

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.07.455497; this version posted August 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.



Fig. 2: Associations between log_{10} -transformed early-life telomere length (kb) and a) PC1 scores from a phylogenetic principal component analysis reflecting the slow-fast continuum of lifehistory trait variation across 58 bird species, b) log_{10} -transformed maximum lifespan in years, and c) log_{10} -transformed body mass in g. Scatter plots do not depict phylogenetic corrections. Phylogenetic regression lines and their associated statistics are shown. Grey shadings correspond to 95% confidence intervals.





Fig. 3: Associations between average log₁₀-transformed early-life telomere length (kb) and a) chromosome size (Mb) for 18 bird species and b) genome size (Mb) for 32 bird species. Scatter plots do not show phylogenetic corrections. Phylogenetic regression lines and their associated statistics are shown (solid lines). The phylogenetically identified outliers *Ciconia Ciconia* (a), and *Aphelocoma coerulescens*, *Parus major*, and *Riparia riparia* (b) are excluded from the regression analyses shown in italics and with dashed regression lines. Grey shadings correspond to 95% confidence intervals.