Verification of our empirical understanding of the physiology and ecology of two contrasting plantation species using a trait database

Yoko Osone[1], Shoji Hashimoto[1], Tanaka Kenzo[1, 2]

[1] Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Tsukuba, 305-8687, Japan

[2] Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences, Tsukuba 305-8686, Japan

Correspondence to: Tanaka Kenzo (mona@affrc.go.jp)

Abstract. The effects of climate change on forest ecosystems take on increasing importance more than ever. Information on plant traits is a powerful predictor of ecosystem dynamics and functioning. We reviewed the major ecological traits, such as foliar gas exchange and nutrients, xylem morphology and drought tolerance, of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa*, which are major timber species in East Asia, especially in Japan, by using a recently developed functional trait database for both species (SugiHinokiDB). Empirically, *C. obtusa* has been planted under drier conditions, whereas *C. japonica* has been planted under wetter conditions. Our analyses revealed followings: The maximum photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, foliar nutrient content and soil-to-foliage hydraulic conductance were higher in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* and were consistent with the higher growth rate of *C. japonica*. In contrast, the foliar turgor loss point and xylem pressure corresponding to 50% conductivity, which indicate drought tolerance, were lower in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica* and are consistent with the drier habitat of *C. obtusa*. Ontogenetic shifts were also observed; as the age and height of the trees increased, many foliar nutrient concentrations decreased, and the foliar minimum midday water potential and specific leaf area also decreased. This suggests that an ontogenetic reduction in photosynthesis occurred due to an increase in drought stress with tree height and age. However, among the Cupressaceae worldwide, the drought tolerance of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* is not as high. This may be related to the fact that the Japanese archipelago has historically not been subjected to strong dryness. The maximum photosynthetic rate showed intermediate values within the family, indicating that *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* exhibit relatively high growth rates in the Cupressaceae family, and this is thought to be the reason why they have been selected as economically suitable timber species in Japanese forestry. This study clearly demonstrated that the plant trait database provides us a promising opportunity to verify out empirical knowledge of plantation management and helps us to understand effect of climate change on plantation forests by using trait-based modelling.

Keywords

Climate change, database, growth modelling, hinoki cypress, Japanese cedar, plant functional traits

29 **1 Introduction**

30 There is an emerging scientific consensus that the global climate change is resulting in decreased stability in forest ecosystems

31 (Mann et al., 1998; Allen et al., 2010). The effects of climate change on the forestry sector have been examined in general

32 terms for many regions of the world but rarely with sufficient temporal or spatial resolution to influence regional or local forest

33 management (IPCC, 1996; Roos, 1996; Sykes and Prentice, 1996; Bradshaw et al., 2000). The major issues include how the

34 ranges in which commercially important tree species are suitable for plantations will change in the future and whether climatic

35 influence can be overridden by appropriate forest management. Answering these questions requires a basic understanding of

36 the physiology and ecology of target tree species.

37 Information on plant traits, that is, any physiological, morphological or phenological features measurable at the individual

38 level (Violle et al., 2007), is now widely used to predict how forests will respond to future climate change (White et al., 2002;

39 Sato et al., 2007; Zaehle et al., 2010; Kattge et al., 2020). Process-based models generally use leaf-scale process, such as,

40 photosynthetic capacity, stomatal response to vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and respiration of a plant species or a functional

41 type for modelling C dynamics under given climate scenarios (Chapin et al., 2011; Toriyama et al., 2021). There are also

42 studies focusing on traits more directly related to drought sensitivity for predicting future hydraulic risk. For example, leaf

43 water potential at turgor loss (Ψtlp), which had been recognized a classical index of plant water stress, was demonstrated to be

44 a powerful indicator of drought tolerance within and across biomes (Bartlett et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2021), while hydraulic

45 safety margins, defined as difference between minimum xylem water potential and water potential at which 50% loss of

46 conductivity occurs (Ψ50) is becoming widely used for a predictor of drought-induced tree mortality (Choat et al., 2012;

47 Anderegg et al.; 2016) . Clearly, trait information holds promise for better understanding of the vulnerability to drought, as

48 well as parameterizing models with increased robustness and accuracy.

49 With the increasing demands for trait information, trait databases are becoming key research tool in this study field (Kattge

50 et al., 2020). One of the strengths of trait databases is that they provide a wide array of traits for a species all at once, which is

51 generally difficult in a single study since measurements of physiological and morphological properties are time- and labour-

52 intensive. Another advantage is that they show the variability within a species since they stores data from different studies

53 which measured plants at different ages in different locations. Many functional traits change ontogenetically as plants grow

54 (Beets and Madgwick, 1988; Bargali et al., 1992; Bond, 2000; Niinemets, 2002; Hooker and Compton, 2003; Polglase et al.,

55 2006; Yang and Luo 2011). Understanding the ontogenetic drift of key functional traits is important for impact assessments of

56 climate change since forest management is a long-term commitment and requires optimality of adaptation strategies at each

57 growth stage (Niinemets, 2010; D'Amato et al., 2011).

58 Recently, we created a trait database for Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don, *Cupressaceae*) and Japanese

59 cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa* (Siebold et Zucc.) Endl., *Cupressaceae*) (SugiHinokiDB), which contains 24683 data for 177

60 plant traits compiled from diverse sources, such as papers, bulletins, reports and books (Osone et al., 2020). *C. japonica* and

61 *C. obtusa* produce high-quality wood and have been the most important commercial tree species in Japan. They were also

62 introduced for timber production in many regions of the world: China, Korean Peninsula, India, Nepal, Azores and Réunion

63 (Government of Azores Islands; Rull et al., 2017; Rai and Schmerbeck, 2018). In Japan, these species were planted to forest

64 sites according to empirically derived rule for species selection. *C. japonica*, which grows faster but thought to be less drought

65 tolerant than *C. obtusa*, is traditionally planted on moist and nutrient-rich sites, whereas *C. obtusa* is planted on relatively dry

66 and nutrient-poor sites (Mashimo, 1960; Hayashi, 1969; Sato, 1971). Since these management practices had worked well until

67 recently, we have paid little attention on the physiological mechanisms underlying their habitat preferences. However, without

68 the knowledge, we cannot predict how the species respond to climate change, nor what the optimal adaptation strategies are.

69 SugiHinokiDB, which compiled traits that are closely related to the life history strategy (Díaz et al., 2004; Grime, 2006; Kattge

70 et al., 2011, 2020; Kleyer et al., 2008), with a special focus on traits related to water relations, may offer a comprehensive

71 characterization of the growth and hydraulics of these species.

72   In this study, using the SugiHinoki plant trait DB, we verify the empirical knowledge that *C. japonica* grows faster but is

73 less tolerant to drought than *C. obtusa* based on three steps:

74

75 (1) We selected 20 traits that are central to the leading dimensions of plant strategy and quantified the differences in those

76 traits between the two species. Our hypothesis is that *C. japonica* has relatively pioneer-like properties, i.e., a higher gas

77 exchange rate, specific leaf area (m2 g-1, SLA), and xylem and foliar water conductivity, whereas *C. obtusa* shows more

78 conservative resource use and a higher drought tolerance.

79

80 (2) We also examined the ontogenetic changes in some foliage traits. There is still limited knowledge on age or height

81 depending changes in foliage traits, particularly their species patterns. We demonstrated how the ontogenetic patterns of key

82 foliage traits are different between drought intolerant and drought tolerant species.

83

84 (3) Finally, we compare some hydraulic properties of these species with those of Cupressaceae worldwide. Cupressaceae

85 species are thought to differentiate along an aridity gradient and vary greatly in their drought sensitivity. We discussed the

86 adaptive strategies of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* in light of the phylogenetic lineage and potential as timber species under

87 future climate.

88 **2 Materials and Methods**

89 **2.1 Plant species**

90   Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* (L.f.) D. Don, Sugi cedar), an evergreen conifer, is the only species of the

91 genus *Cryptomeria* in Cupressaceae. It is distributed mainly in Japan, but *C. fortunei*, which is genetically identical to

92 *C. japonica* is scarcely distributed in Zhejiang, China (Tsumura et al., 1995). In Japan, its natural range is Lat. 30°to 40°

93   with mean annual precipitation > 1800 mm (Tsukada 1982). *C. japonica*, which grows rapidly with a maximum height

94   greater than 50 m, has been used for timber production since the prehistoric period. At present, it dominates

95   approximately 45% of the forest area in Japan. Japanese cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa* (Sieb. et Zucc.) Endl.),

96   distributed in Japan and Taiwan, is also an evergreen conifer in the *Cupressaceae* family. The northern limit of its natural

97   range (Lat. 30°-37°) is lower in latitude than that of *C. japonica*. Due to low snow resistance, the species rarely appear

98   coastal area of Sea of Japan, where there is plenty of snow in winter. Although *C. obtusa* grows slower than *C. japonica*,

99   it produces high-quality wood and thus has also long been a commercially important species in Japan. *Chamaecyparis*

100 *obtusa* dominates 15% of the forest area in Japan.

101 **2.2 Plant trait database**

102 The sugi-hinoki database (SugiHinoki DB) consists of 24683 data entries for 177 traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* from

103 364 primary sources including journal papers, unpublished data and grey literature (e.g., reports, theses, articles) (Osone et al.,

104 2020). The traits, grouped into 15 categories by their features (Table 1), are those that are widely agreed on as relevant to plant

105 life-history strategies, vegetation modelling and global change responses (Grime, 1977; Díaz et al., 2004; Kleyer et al., 2008;

106 Kattge et al., 2011). Because of the limited distribution of the species, data were mainly obtained from forest sites in Japan

107 (30°20'N, 130°32'E - 41°25'N, 140°6'E) but also from arboretums or plantations in Taiwan, Korea and China. The database

108 includes data from plants grown in plantation forests, natural forests, and those grown under experimental conditions. Each

109 data entry is accompanied by ancillary information about the location, environmental conditions, experimental treatment,

110 measurement methods, status of measured individuals in the stand and the position of measured parts (e.g., upper or lower

111 crown for photosynthetic measurements). Further details on the database are given in Osone et al. (2020).

112 Table 1 lists 108 major plant traits selected from the SugiHinoki DB (Osone et al., 2020). The traits with the highest number

113 of data entries are mass-based nutrient contents such as mass-based foliar N concentration (N_m, 2875), K concentration (K_m,

114 1076) and P concentration (P_m, 1049), which had once been easy-to-measure indices of plant physiological status. Other basic

115 foliar properties, including photosynthetic capacities ($Amax_a$, 431; $Amax_m$, 985), SLA (623), foliar pressure-volume curve

116 parameters (Ψ_{tlp}, 423; RWC_{tlp} 194; $\Psi_{\pi sat}$, 351; ε, 154) and midday (minimum) foliage water potential (Ψ_{md}, 559), are also those

117 with the highest number of data entries. On the other hand, fewer data are available for properties that require more complex

118 measurements, such as water conductance/conductivity and the hydraulic safety during water transport. *C. japonica* and *C.*

119 *obtusa* showed similar patterns in data abundance among traits, but *C. japonica* had more data for most traits (81 out of 108

120 traits). Each trait of a species showed quite large variation in the values since the database contains data for trees of different

121 ages, grown under different conditions and measured at different times of the day or year. The data distribution of each trait

122 showed a convex curve when data were abundant, but distributions were mostly positively skewed.

4

123 **2.3 Selection of traits for the comparison of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa***

124   To detect the ecophysiological basis for the empirical knowledge that *C. japonica* grows faster on nutrient-rich moist soil

125 than *C. obtusa*, we selected 20 traits that are considered relevant to plant growth and water relations from SugiHinokiDB.

126 Those include the maximum photosynthetic rate per area ($Amax_a$), maximum carboxylation rate per area ($Vcmax_a$), maximum

127 electron transport rate per area ($Jmax_a$), foliar dark respiration rate per area (R_a), stomatal conductance for CO_2 per area (gs_a),

128 foliar N per area (N_a), SLA, foliar water potential at the turgor loss point (Ψ_{tlp}), foliar relative water content at the turgor loss

129 point (RWC_{tlp}), foliar osmotic potential at full turgor ($\Psi_{\pi sat}$), bulk elastic modulus (ε), soil-to-foliage water conductance ($K_{S\text{-}}$

130 $_L$), stem specific conductivity (K_{stem}), tracheid diameter of the stem, tracheid length of the stem, basic density of the stem,

131 xylem water potential at a 50% loss of conductivity (Ψ_{50}), foliage mass (LM), stem mass (SM) and root mass (RM).

132 Abbreviations and units of the traits used in the analyses are shown in Table 1.

133 **2.4 Selection of traits for the analysis of foliage age or height dependency**

134   For the analysis of age (size) dependency, we used the foliage nitrogen content per foliage dry mass (N_m), foliage

135 phosphorus content per foliage dry mass (P_m), foliage potassium content per foliage dry mass (K_m), specific leaf area (SLA)

136 and midday foliage water potential (Ψ_{md}). These are key traits for plant growth and water relations, and changes in these traits

137 with age or size could have considerable effects on stand growth, carbon and nutrient cycling, and thus forest management. In

138 SugiHinoki DB, they are abundant in data entries from many sources where measurements were performed for many trees of

139 different ages or sizes. However, since the data were not obtained from a single carefully controlled experiment but were the

140 compilation of multiple studies, we could not separate size and age effects that may independently affect foliage traits. Thus,

141 we assessed the dependency by the strength of the correlation between each trait and age and height.

142 **2.5 Two measures of foliage-area based traits**

143 Shoots of *C. japonica* have complex structures, with needles being attached densely and helicoidally to a stalk, while shoots

144 of *C. obtusa* are planar with scale-like leaves arranged on a flat surface (Fig. 1). As a result, in *C. japonica*, there are large

145 differences in projected needle area and the shoot silhouette area, and thus the area-based foliar traits differ largely depending

146 on whether the 'foliage area' is projected needle (or scale) area (A_n) or the shoot silhouette area (A_s). The relationship between

147 the trait values presented on a needle area basis (T_{leaf}/A_n) and shoot silhouette area basis (T_{leaf}/A_s) for any trait (T_{leaf}) is given

148 as

149 $$\frac{T_{leaf}}{A_n} = SPAR\frac{T_{leaf}}{A_S}, \tag{1}$$

150 where SPAR is the shoot silhouette and projected needle area ratio (Stenberg, 1996; Ishii et al., 2007) and is given as

151 $$SPAR = \frac{A_S}{A_n}, \tag{2}$$

152 The SPAR is less than 1 in conifers with complex shoot structures and is 1 in broad-leaf species and conifers with planar

153 shoots, such as *C. obtusa*. A smaller SPAR means higher needle clumping or higher mutual shading in a shoot. SPAR can vary

154 among species and the crown position of a tree (Carter and Smith, 1985; Ishii et al. 2007; Thérézien et al., 2007). However,

155 Inoue et al. (2020) found that the relationships between needle area and shoot projected area were almost consistent among

156 shoots of different ages and different sizes among 4 cultivars of *C. japonica,* with a slope (=SPAR) of 0.63.

157

158 Similarly, the relationship between needle area-based SLA (An/M_n) and shoot silhouette area-based SLA (As/M_s) is

159
$$\frac{A_n}{M_n} = \frac{A_s}{M_s} \frac{1}{SPAR} \frac{M_s}{M_n} \qquad (3)$$

160 where Mn and Ms are the needle mass of a shoot and the mass of a shoot, respectively. In the database, these two values were

161 stored individually. In this analysis, we focused on both needle/scale area-based (presented with suffix 'n' after trait

162 abbreviation such as '$Amax_{an}$') and shoot silhouette area-based traits (presented with suffix 's' after trait abbreviation such as

163 '$Amax_{as}$') since the two could have different ecological meanings, especially in terms of light capture (Carter and Smith, 1985;

164 Leverenz and Hinckley, 1990; Stenberg et al., 1995; Stenberg, 1996; Thérézien et al., 2007).

2.6 Data treatment for analysis

166 For all selected data, errors were checked, and outliers, which we defined as data out of accepted ranges, were excluded

167 from the database. Data collected under experimental conditions (plants grown in a greenhouse, growth chamber and lysimeter;

168 plants grown in planters; plantation forests with experimental fertilization, etc.) were also excluded, which resulted in the

169 exclusion of most young plants (0-2 years). Gas exchange rates and traits related to water relations were filtered for the

170 measurements conducted during summer and early autumn (Jun-Oct), except where the focus was monthly or seasonal

171 changes.

2.7 Statistical analysis

173 The age and height dependency of the foliar traits were assessed by regression analysis. Differences in means between species

174 were tested by Student's t-test. All statistical analyses were performed with R Version 3.4.4 (R Core Team, 2018).

3 Results and Discussion

3.2 Differences in traits related to growth rate

3.2.1 Photosynthesis

178 In contrast to our hypothesis that photosynthetic capacity and foliar (needle or scale) N concentration are higher in fast-

179 growing *C. japonica* than in slow-growing *C. obtusa*, they were not significantly different between the species when presented

180   on a foliage area basis ($Amax_{an}$, $Vcmax_{an}$, $Jmax_{an}$, N_{an}) (Fig. 2a-2d). However, since the two species have completely different

181   shoot morphologies (Fig. 1), a simple comparison of these foliage area-based measurements may fail to characterize their

182   photosynthetic properties (Smith et al., 1991; Smith and Brewer, 2002). Photosynthetic capacity is usually presented per unit

183   foliage area on the assumption that the foliage area represents the amount of solar radiation intercepted. However, the

184   interception of solar radiation is not directly related to the total foliage area unless foliage is oriented horizontally. In *C.*

185   *japonica*, where needles are attached helicoidally to a stalk, mutual shading could occur within the shoots, which reduces the

186   photosynthetic rate per needle area (Stenberg et al., 1995; Stenberg, 1996; Thérézien et al., 2007). In such shoots, as in many

187   other coniferous shoots, light interception is determined by the shoot silhouette area rather than the total needle area, and

188   photosynthetic characteristics should be evaluated based on both photosynthesis per needle area and per shoot silhouette area

189   (Stenberg et al., 1995).

190   On a shoot silhouette basis, the photosynthetic capacity and foliar N contents were higher for *C. japonica* ($Amax_{as}$,

191   $Vcmax_{as}$, $Jmax_{as}$, N_{as}) than for *C. obtusa* ($Amax_{an}$, $Vcmax_{an}$, $Jmax_{an}$, N_{an}), by $1.9 - 2.1$ times (Fig. 3). The marked increases in

192   the photosynthetic capacity of *C. japonica* when presented based on the shoot silhouette area are theoretically justified as

193   follows. Photosynthesis per silhouette area is photosynthesis per needle area divided by SPAR (eqn. 1), which is 0.53-0.73 in

194   *C. japonica* (SugiHinoki DB). The $Amax_{as}$ calculated by eqn. 1 with this SPAR and $Amax_{an}$ (7.55 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) is 10.34-14.25

195   μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, which is similar to the measured $Amax_{as}$ (Fig. 3a). Note that the two measures of photosynthesis are consistent

196   in *C. obtusa* in which shoot is planar and SPAR is one. The higher $Amax_{as}$ in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* despite the similar

197   $Amax_{an}$ suggests that under saturating irradiance, densely packed needles on the shoots of *C. japonica* can absorb more

198   irradiance than the planar shoots of *C. obtusa* and thereby achieve a higher photosynthetic rate per shoot. However, this could

199   be at the expense of the photosynthetic efficiency of each needle in *C. japonica*; that is, at low irradiance, the photosynthetic

200   rate per needle area could be decreased more than that of *C. obtusa* due to mutual shading of needles.

201   This is analogous to the effects that the different anatomy of sun/shade leaves has on foliar photosynthesis or the different

202   structure of grass stands (steep foliage angle)/forb type (vertical foliage) on canopy photosynthesis (Monsi and Saeki, 1953;

203   Terashima and Saeki, 1983; Terashima and Hikosaka, 1995). Similar to sun leaves with thick tissue layers and grass stands

204   with high LAI, needle clumping would be favourable only if incident light is high and penetrates deep into the shoots. Under

205   these conditions, whole shoot productivity could be higher than that of planar shoots where shoot photosynthesis saturates at

206   lower light levels. If the incident light is low, however, light attenuates on the upper layers of the shoots without being

207   transmitted to deeper layers. Under these circumstances, planar shoots are preferable for higher efficiency of weak light capture

208   just as shade leaves or forb-type stands are preferred under low light availability. The differences in the light interception

209   between the two shoot types were also reflected in photosynthetic light response curves. *C. japonica* had a lower initial slope

210   (0.31) and convexity (0.59) than *C. obtusa* (initial slope, 0.48; convexity, 0.66) since photosynthesis increases and saturates at

211   a slower rate with increasing irradiance in three-dimensional shoots (data from SugiHinokiDB). This is a well-documented

212   pattern in the photosynthetic light response curves of sun/shade leaves and indicates that *C. obtusa* has characteristics of shade

213   leaves in comparison with *C. japonica*. Although our hypothesis that photosynthetic capacity would be higher in *C. japonica*

214 than in *C. obtusa* was not supported on a per needle basis, their adaptation to different light environments is more apparent in

215 their light use and photosynthesis at the shoot level.

216   The two shoot types may also differ in total light interception per day. Light interception is the most efficient when leaves

217 are oriented to face the direction of the light source. Therefore, planar shoots (*C. obtusa*) can intercept light more efficiently

218 than shoots that have needles with various orientations (*C. japonica*) when the light source is just above them and is weak.

219 However, since the solar azimuth angle changes considerably during a day, shoots that have needles with various orientations

220 may be able to intercept more light and have higher assimilation rate on a daily basis than planar shoots, especially under

221 strong light conditions (Stenberg et al., 1995; Stenberg, 1996). These results also imply that *C. japonica* is advantageous over

222 *C. obtusa* in open habitats where strong light is available for longer times during a day. Such environments do appear in early

223 stages of plantations before canopy closure. Thus, *C. japonica* may be able to grow faster than *C. obtusa* due to higher daily

224 photosynthesis in this stage of a plantation.

225 **3.2.2 SLA**

226   SLA (projected foliage area per foliage mass) was not significantly different between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* (Fig. 3a).

227 This contradicts our hypothesis and the vast majority of studies that have reported a correlation between SLA and the relative

228 growth rate across a wide range of plant species (Poorter and Remkes, 1990; Cornelissen et al., 1996; Atkin et al., 1998; Poorter

229 and Van der Werf, 1998; Reich et al., 1998a; Wright and Westoby, 2000, Osone et al., 2008). From the viewpoint of growth

230 analysis, SLA contributes to the relative growth rate because a high SLA is assumed to represent a large photosynthetic surface

231 (=area of light interception) per given foliage biomass (Hunt, 1978; Lambers et al., 2008). However, as discussed above, the

232 relationship between needle area and light interception is not straightforward for three-dimensional shoots, and it is possible

233 that *C. japonica,* with shoots of various needle orientations, has a higher daily assimilation rate than *C. obtusa* with planar

234 shoots in certain environments. On the other hand, the consistent SLA of the two species appears reasonable from the viewpoint

235 of the leaf economic spectrum, a multivariable correlation between key chemical, structural and physiological properties of

236 leaves based on the carbon and nitrogen economy (e.g., Wright et al., 2004). One of the key axes of the leaf economic spectrum

237 is that SLA is positively correlated with the mass based photosynthetic capacity and foliage N concentration and is negatively

238 correlated with foliage longevity (Kikuzawa and Lechowicz, 2011). If so, the two species with a similar photosynthetic

239 capacity (on a needle basis), foliage N concentration (needle basis) and foliage longevity (Fig. 2), should also be similar in

240 SLA.

241 **3.2.3 Biomass ratio between foliage and other organs**

242   The biomass ratio between organs is also a factor that affects the growth rate because a relatively high foliar mass

243 equates to a relatively large photosynthetic organ if other factors are equal (Hunt 1978; Lambers et al. 2008). At a given DBH

244 or total biomass, the stem and root biomasses were not significantly different between the species, but foliar biomass and

245 therefore the foliar mass ratio (LMR) were higher in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* (Fig. 4). This tendency was more pronounced

8

246   at smaller DBHs or total biomass, suggesting that the initial greater LMR could contribute to faster growth at early stages of

247   *C. japonica* growth. There could be several reasons for the higher LMR in *C. japonica*. Since plants grown under high soil

248   resource availability allocate more biomass to foliage at the expense of roots (Osone and Tateno, 2005, and references therein),

249   *C. japonica*, which is often planted in fertile wet sites, might allocate more biomass to foliage than *C. obtusa*, which is planted

250   in less fertile and dry sites. Another possible reason is related to the shoot and crown form of *C. japonica*. Generally, the leaf

251   area index (≈leaf biomass) is larger in canopies where the light absorption coefficient (k) is smaller because light penetrates

252   deeper into the canopy ($I_x = I_0 \exp(-kF_x)$, where I_x and I_0 are the photon flux density at depth x and outside the canopy,

253   respectively) and Fx is the cumulative LAI at depth x, first proposed by Monsi and Saeki 1953). One of the factors that

254   decreases k is a steep foliage inclination (Terashima and Hikosaka, 1995 and references therein), and *C. japonica,* which has

255   three-dimensional shoots, had a lower k (0.38) than *C. obtusa* with planar shoots (0.97, but only one data entry was available).

256   Therefore, the shoot morphology that allows light penetration deeper into the crown may cause *C. japonica* to have a thick

257   canopy (=high LMR).

258   **3.3 Differences in traits related to water use**

259   **3.3.1 Stomatal conductance and transpiration rate**

260   *C. japonica* exhibited 1.7- and 1.5-fold higher stomatal conductance to CO_2 (gs_{an}) and transpiration rate (E_{an}), respectively,

261   compared with *C. obtusa* (Fig. 5a, 5b). In concert with this, stomatal distribution and anatomy differed largely between the

262   species. Coniferous stomata are generally distributed unevenly on foliar surfaces, forming a species-specific pattern of stomatal

263   clusters called a "white band". *C. japonica* has two thick white bands on every surface of the triangular pyramid-shaped

264   needles, whereas *C. obtusa* has y-shaped white bands along the rims on the abaxial surface of its scales (Ma et al., 2007; Yazaki

265   et al., 2015; Kim, 2018). In addition to this larger proportional area of white bands to the total foliage surface, the stomatal

266   diameter of *C. japonica* was 1.5 times higher than that of *C. obtusa* (Fig. 5c).

267   Despite the lower gs_{an} and E_{an} in *C. obtusa*, $Amax_{an}$ was not significantly different between the species (Fig. 2a), suggesting

268   that water use efficiency, that is, gs_{an} or E_{an} divided by $Amax_{an}$, is higher in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica*. The lower gs_{an} and

269   E_{an} and higher water use efficiency are regarded as adaptations of drought-tolerant plants (Kozlowski and Pallardy, 2002),

270   which supports the hypothesis that *C. obtusa* is more drought tolerant than *C. japonica*. However, adaptation to environments

271   with different levels of water availability is represented not only by potential gas exchange rates but also by the magnitude and

272   speed of the stomatal response to changing environments (Oren et al., 1999; Meinzer et al., 2016). Although stomatal sensitivity

273   are less often measured than potential gas exchange rates are and they are not collected in SugiHinokiDB, some studies that

274   estimated canopy stomatal conductance by sap flow measurements suggested that mature *C. japonica* trees were less sensitive

275   to increasing VPD than *C. obtusa* (Kumagai et al., 2008; Tsuruta et al., 2020).

9

3.3.2 Foliage PV curve parameters

Among the parameters of the pressure-volume curve, Ψ_{tlp} (foliage water potential at the turgor loss point) showed the clearest species difference: Ψ_{tlp} was larger in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica* throughout the year (Fig. 6a). Since plants with more negative Ψ_{tlp} are able to maintain cell turgor pressure under drought stress, thereby sustaining stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and growth, Ψ_{tlp} is thought to be predictive of the drought tolerance of a plant species (Scholander et al., 1965; Tyree and Jarvis, 1982; Tognetti et al., 2000; Baltzer et al., 2008; Blackman et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2018). Indeed, midday foliage water potential was lower in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica* during summer (Fig. 6c) indicating that *C. obtusa* continued to open stomata until the water potential more decreased than that of *C. japonica*. This supported the hypothesis that *C. obtusa* is more drought tolerant than *C. japonica*. There are three possible ways in which Ψtlp becomes more negative: the accumulation of solutes such as sugar (decreases Ψπsat), a reduction in the symplastic water content through the redistribution of more water outside the cell walls (decreases Ψπsat) and an increase in cell wall flexibility (decreases Ɛ) (Bartlett et al., 2012). Ψπsat was slightly lower in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica*, but the species difference was marginal throughout the year (Fig. 6b). Unfortunately, Ɛ values were limited in the database, and we could not make reliable comparisons of monthly Ɛ between the species, but the small difference in Ψπsat suggests that the lower Ψtlp of *C. obtusa* might be due to its presumed smaller Ɛ. Species differences in Ψtlp are more often correlated with Ψπsat than with Ɛ since a unit decrease in Ψπsat causes a larger decrease in Ψtlp than in Ɛ (Saito et al., 2003; Mitchell et al., 2008; Bartlett et al., 2012; Meinzer et al., 2016). However, as was shown in the present study, there are also studies that showed a correlation between Ψtlp and Ɛ (Niinemets, 2001).

The other marked difference in the PV curve parameters was that *C. japonica* had higher Ɛ in winter (Nov-Apr) compared to summer (May-Oct), whereas *C. obtusa* showed no seasonal changes in Ɛ (Fig. 7a). Winter increases in Ɛ were also reported for *Eucalyptus* species (Valentini et al., 2012), Taiwan cedar (Hara et al., 1998) and Patagonian woody shrubs (Scholz et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016) and are thought to reduce physical injury to cell membranes by making cell walls more rigid (higher Ɛ) during extracellular freezing and/or thawing processes. However, if increases in Ɛ occur in response to freezing resistance, it is not clear why only *C. japonica* exhibited this response while both species exhibited a winter decrease in Ψπsat (Fig. 7), which is also a well-known response to freezing (Sakai, 1982; Sakai and Larcher, 1987). Considering that *C. japonica* is more water demanding, another explanation may be possible for the winter increase in Ɛ — the 'cell water conservation hypothesis' (Cheung et al., 1975; Bartlett et al., 2012). Theoretically, reductions in Ψπsat decrease both Ψtlp and RWCtlp. However, a coordinated reduction in Ψπsat and an increase in Ɛ would lower Ψtlp while maintaining a constant RWCtlp, which would result in tolerance for freezing and also prevent dangerous cell dehydration and shrinkage. In *C. japonica*, Ɛ was negatively and strongly correlated with Ψπsat (Fig. 7a), and in accordance with the theory, RWCtlp remained constant irrespective of Ψπsat (Fig. 7b). In contrast, in *C. obtusa*, where reductions in Ψπsat did not accompany increases in Ɛ, RWCtlp decreased with reductions in Ψπsat (Fig. 7a, 7b). Plant species have a minimum cellular water content to maintain metabolic functions (Lawlor and Cornic, 2002). Since *C. japonica* has a higher minimum tissue water requirement for survival than *C. obtusa*

308 (Satoo, 1956), it may adjust ε in coordination with $\Psi\pi$sat to constantly maintain RWCtlp above this minimum tissue water

309 content.

3.3.3 Hydraulic architecture

311 Soil-to-foliage hydraulic conductance (K_{S-L}), an index of whole-plant hydraulic efficiency, was 1.6 times higher in

312 seedlings of *C. japonica* than in seedlings of *C. obtusa* (Fig. 8a). Hydraulic conductance is a major determinant of plant water

313 status and stomatal behaviour (Zimmermann, 1978; Meinzer et al., 2001) because of the relationship $E_{an} = K_{S-L} (\Psi_{pd}-\Psi_L)$,

314 where Ψ_{pd} is the foliage water potential measured at predawn, which represents the soil water potential, and Ψ_L is the foliage

315 water potential. In accordance with this relationship, *C. japonica*, with a higher K_{S-L}, had a higher transpiration rate than *C.*

316 *obtusa* (Fig. 5b), maintaining a higher midday foliage water potential (Fig. 6c). Extensive measurements of K_{S-L} across species

317 have revealed the adaptive significance of hydraulic conductance across functional groups; that is, pioneer, mesic and drought-

318 avoiding species have higher hydraulic conductance than late successional, xeric and drought-tolerant species (Tyree et al.,

319 1998, Nardini and Tyree, 1999, Nardini and Pitt, 1999; Stratton et al., 2000, references in Meinzer et al., 2001). The higher

320 K_{S-L} in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* appears in line with this. However, since K_{S-L} depends on the water transport distance,

321 here, we used only seedling data where comparisons at similar sizes (ca. 1 m in height) were possible and are not sure whether

322 this is also true for adult trees.

323 Since K_{S-L} is determined by the conductivity of all organs along the whole water transport pathway, knowledge on the

324 hydraulic conductivity of each would provide more insight for water use. Unfortunately, we still have limited knowledge on

325 organ hydraulic conductivity of these species. The only available information, i.e., stem-specific conductivity presented as

326 hydraulic conductance per stem sapwood area (K_{stem}), was not significantly different between the two species (Fig. 8b),

327 suggesting that the higher K_{S-L} of *C. japonica* could be due to the higher foliage and/or root hydraulic conductivity of this

328 species.

329 In addition to hydraulic efficiency, water transport safety is another important factor of hydraulic architecture. Although

330 we have even less information for hydraulic safety than for hydraulic conductivity, xylem pressure corresponding to a 50%

331 loss of conductivity ($\Psi50$), an index of safety from embolism (Choat et al., 2012), was 1.4 times higher in *C. obtusa* than in

332 *C. japonica* (Fig. 8C). This means that *C. obtusa* can endure more severe negative pressure than *C. japonica*, which supports

333 the empirical knowledge that this species is more tolerant to drought. However, in Japan, where precipitation is generally high

334 throughout the year, plants rarely experience such extreme negative pressure, represented by the $\Psi50$ (-6.7 MPa) in the xylem

335 of *C. obtusa*, and the extent to which the differences in $\Psi50$ are relevant to their habitat preference is not obvious. Recently,

336 Ψe, which is the xylem pressure at the start of conductivity loss, has been considered to be a more suitable index for drought

337 tolerance than $\Psi50$ in nonextreme habitats (Meinzer et al., 2009). Although Ψe is less focused on than $\Psi50$, studies show that

338 plants control stomatal conductance to maintain xylem pressure near Ψe (Sparks and Black, 1999; Brodribb et al., 2003; Domec

339 et al., 2008), indicating that Ψe could be a key factor linking stomatal control and xylem pressure. Measurements of Ψe,

340 therefore, would lead to a better understanding of differences in the drought response of these species. In conifers, hydraulic

11

341 vulnerability segmentation, that is, a lower resistance to embolism in the distal segments that ensures the safety of the more

342 proximal stems, is also a common hydraulic strategy (Johnson et al., 2016). In this case, not the hydraulic resistance of stems

343 themselves but the differences in resistance between distal segments and more proximal segments (e.g., differences in Ψ_{50}

344 between leaves and stems) should be more important. However, because characterization of hydraulic safety is tedious and

345 time-consuming, relatively few data are available in this field overall (Blackman et al., 2010; Santiago et al., 2018), and this

346 is also the case for these species (Table 1).

347 The tracheid diameter of the stem xylem was 1.26 times larger in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa*, and the length was not

348 significantly different (Fig. 8d, 8e). The basic density of stem wood (wood density) was lower in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa*

349 (Fig. 8f). Generally, the tracheid structure is considered to be closely related to water transport efficiency and drought safety,

350 such as cavitation in the xylem (Delzon et al., 2010; Jansen et al., 2012). Conducting efficiency increases with tracheid diameter

351 according to the Hagen–Poiseuille law, and it is also correlated with the tracheid length because a longer tracheid can result in

352 conductive pits in the end walls, where water flow is significantly limited, being farther apart (Hacke et al., 2006; Pittermann

353 et al., 2006a, 2010). If so, stem specific conductivity should be higher in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa*, but it was not

354 significantly different between the species (Fig. 8b). The reason is not clear, but the pit structure, which could affect

355 conductivity and for which we have no information for these species, might play a role (Delzon et al., 2010; Jansen et al.,

356 2012). A more negative Ψ_{50} is also known to be associated with a smaller tracheid diameter and greater basic density because

357 it requires mechanical strength to support the xylem conduit against implosion caused by negative pressures (Hacke et al.,

358 2001; Pittermann et al., 2006b; Ogasa et al., 2013). The smaller tracheid diameter, higher wood density and more negative

359 xylem Ψ_{50} in *C. obtusa* compared with *C. japonica* are in line with the hypothesis.

3.4 Age and height dependency

361 Tree age and/or size, especially height, usually affect many foliar functional traits, such as photosynthetic traits, stomatal

362 behaviour, morphology, water use and nutrient concentrations, in various tree species, including angiosperms and

363 gymnosperms in tropical, temperate, boreal and even semiarid areas (Ellsworth and Reich, 1993; Ryan and Yoder, 1997; Bond,

364 2000; Rijkers et al., 2000; Koch et al., 2004; Duursma et al., 2006; Kenzo et al., 2006, 2015, 2016; Ryan et al., 2006; Azuma

365 et al., 2016; Chin and Sillett, 2019; Liu and Hikosaka, 2020). These age- and/or size-related foliage changes are important for

366 understanding the forest growth rate, timber yield and carbon balance because they have a strong relationship with individual-

367 based functional traits such as photosynthetic productivity and drought tolerance (Ryan et al., 2006). In this section, we

368 demonstrate the effects of tree age and/or height on foliar nutrient concentrations, especially nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and

369 potassium (K), specific leaf area (SLA) and midday minimum water potential in the foliage, using a database. These foliar

370 traits generally indicate the photosynthetic ability and stomatal behaviour of plants, e.g., there is a positive relationship between

371 the nitrogen concentration and maximum photosynthetic rate at light saturation, and the minimum midday water potential

372 indicates plant drought stress (Kramer and Boyer, 1995; Lamberts et al., 1998). If we can clearly demonstrate those

373 relationships in *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*, the findings will contribute to the development of accurate estimation models to

374 predict future forest production and carbon dynamics in those forests. In addition, since the analysed data sets were obtained

375 from sunlit foliage, the effects of light intensity on tree height can be ignored as much as possible.

3.4.1 Foliage nutrient contents

377 Changes in foliar nutrient concentrations with tree age and/or height showed different patterns depending on tree species

378 and nutrient type. The foliar N concentration was similar among the age classes of *C. japonica*, whereas that of *C. obtusa*

379 decreased significantly with age (Fig 9a, 9b). On the other hand, foliar P and K concentrations in *C. japonica* decreased

380 significantly with age class (Fig. 9c, 9e). A similar age-dependent reduction in the P concentration was observed in *C. obtusa*

381 (Fig. 9d), although the K concentration was constant with age (Fig. 9f). Various patterns of changes in foliar nutrient contents

382 with tree size and/or age have been reported. Although several cases indicate an increase in the foliar nitrogen content with

383 tree size and age (Chen et al. 2018 for N of Fabaceae tree species), many other cases showed decreased (Beets and Madwick,

384 1988; Polglase and Attiwill, 1992; Bargali et al., 1992; Hooker and Compton, 2003; Tanaka-Oda et al., 2010; Yang and Luo,

385 2011) or constant (Polglase and Attiwill, 1992; Clinton et al., 2002; Kenzo et al., 2012, 2015; Givnish et al., 2014; Chen et al.,

386 2018) contents of foliar N and P with tree size and age. These variations may be caused by species-specific traits, soil nutrient

387 availability, and sampling effects caused by rather small datasets (Thomas and Winner, 2002). In the present study, it is

388 believed that the influence of sampling effects is small due to the large data sets, e.g., 200-1500 data points (forest age up to

389 80 years old, tree height up to 40 m and grown on various forest soil types), used to examine the relationship between each

390 tree species and nutrient. Increasing nutrient accumulation to living biomass and coarse woody debris with forest development

391 may cause decreased foliar nutrient concentrations with tree age and height through a reduction in soil nutrient availability

392 (Bond, 2000; Clinton et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2018). A reduction in soil nutrient concentrations with forest development has

393 been reported in the early stages of forest growth (Ohta, 1990; Kleinman et al., 1995; Hattori et al., 2013). In addition, higher

394 drought stress, such as hydraulic limitation with tree height, promotes the investment of carbon into foliage compared with

395 physiological functions, which require more N, P, and K to protect against dehydration (Niinemets, 1997; Thomas, 2010;

396 Kenzo et al., 2012). As a result, invested carbon dilutes the foliar nutrient concentrations. These changes are likely to be true

397 for changes in the P and K concentrations of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* trees. In contrast, several authors have suggested that

398 tree size- or age-related foliar N contents may have a unimodal relationship rather than a simple linear relationship (Ishii et al.,

399 2008; Thomas, 2010; Kenzo et al., 2012). If nonlinear changes in foliar N occurred in each *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* stand,

400 it may be difficult to identify a clear linear relationship of N concentration with tree size and height by using the present pooled

401 analysis. Further studies should consider other factors, such as soil type and slope position, to understand more detailed changes

402 in foliar nutrients with age and height.

403 The difference in the changes in each nutrient between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* is believed to reflect the interspecific

404 differences in nutrient demand and usage and growth habitats. For example, it has long been known that *C. japonica* forests

405 are planted under moister conditions in nutrient-rich soil, and their nutrient dynamics are faster than those of *C. obtusa* forests,

13

406   which usually grow on upper slopes with poor nutrient and water availability (e.g., Sawata and Kato, 1993; Tanikawa et al.,

407   2014). These differences may affect the age- and height-dependent changes in foliar nutrients.

408   The stoichiometry of N to P changed with tree age and height based on different patterns of changes in foliar N and P

409   concentrations. In *C. japonica*, where the foliar P concentration decreased with forest age and the N concentration did not

410   change, the NP ratio increased significantly with the age of the forest (Fig. 9g). In *C. obtusa*, the N:P ratio tended to increase

411   but was not significant (slope = 0.024, P = 0.34). The NP ratio is an index of soil nutrient limitation, e.g., P limitation occurs

412   if the ratio is higher than 16, N limitation typically occurs if the ratio is lower than 14, and N and P are co-limiting if the value

413   is 14-16 (Koerselman and Meuleman, 1996). The maximum NP ratio of *C. japonica* is 16.9, and 87.2% of all data points have

414   values of 14 or lower, suggesting that *C. japonica* stands are generally N-limited. However, since the NP ratio increases with

415   age, the stands shift from being N- to being P-limited with maturity. *C. obtusa* also has an NP ratio of 14 or less, and

416   approximately 77% of the data points are considered to be in the N-limited range (Fig. 9h).

417   **3.4.2 Foliage water potential and specific leaf area (SLA)**

418   As tree height increases, drought stress increases in the upper part of the canopy, which in turn affects morphological and

419   physiological responses of tree foliage (Koch et al., 2004; Ryan et al., 2006). The foliar midday water potential, which indicates

420   the degree of tree drought stress, significantly decreased with height and age in *C. japonica* trees (Fig. 11a, 12a) and with age

421   in *C. obtusa* trees (Fig. 11b). These reductions in tree height have been reported for various tree species worldwide and cause

422   hydraulic limitations, such as a reduction in photosynthesis through stomatal limitations (Fredericksen et al., 1996; Ryan and

423   Yoder, 1997; McDowell et al., 2002; Woodruff et al., 2004; Ishii et al., 2008; Ambrose et al., 2009). Interestingly, the recovery

424   of photosynthetic ability by grafting canopy tree shoots onto saplings of *C. japonica* supported the occurrence of hydraulic

425   limitations in tall trees of this species (Matsuzaki et al., 2005). The slopes of Ψmd with the tree height of *C. japonica* and *C.*

426   *obtusa* are -0.137 and -0.089 MPa m^{-1}, respectively. These slopes are gentler than those of tropical rainforest trees (-0.0282

427   MPa m^{-1}, Kenzo et al. 2015) and similar to the temperate conifer *Sequoia sempervirens* (-0.0100 to -0.0113 MPa m^{-1}) and

428   Douglas fir (-0.019 MPa m^{-1}) (Koch et al., 2004; Ishii et al., 2008). In general, to tolerate a decrease in Ψ_{md}, the foliar water

429   potential at the turgor loss point (Ψ_{tlp}) must be reduced (Inoue et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2018). Foliar osmotic adjustment as well

430   as structural strength to withstand low negative pressure to achieve lower Ψ_{tlp} and foliage strength is accompanied by a decrease

431   in SLA. In fact, the SLA of sugi, whose Ψ_{md} significantly decreased with tree age and height, decreased with tree height and

432   age. Many studies have reported that SLA decreases with tree age and height (Thomas and Winner, 2002; Mediavilla and

433   Escuderl, 2003; Koch et al., 2004; Kenzo et al., 2006, 2015, 2016; Coble et al., 2014; Azuma et al., 2016). In addition, Thomas

434   and Winner (2002) showed that SLA decreases with tree age in all existing studies based on meta-analysis. On the other hand,

435   the SLA of *C. obtusa* did not show a significant change with either tree age or height (Fig. 11d, 12d). Although there was no

436   clear reason, *C. obtusa* is more resistant to drought stress than *C. japonica,* and thus, changes in SLA may be small. However,

437   the smaller size and age range in *C. obtusa* compared with *C. japonica* may cause this constant change in SLA because Shiraki

14

438 et al. (2016) recently reported that SLA decreased significantly at a single canopy height in a *C. obtusa* tree. Thus, further data

439 collection on older and taller *C. obtusa* trees is needed to understand tree age and size dependency with respect to SLA.

3.5 Comparison with broader species in *Cupressaceae* with respect to drought tolerance

441 Through the present analysis, clear differences in growth and water use characteristics were observed between *C. japonica*

442 and *C. obtusa*, although the differences were at most ca. 50% (excluding stomatal size). The Cupressaceae family, to which *C.*

443 *japonica* and *C. obtusa* belong, consists of more than 100 species with a marked diversity in physiology, morphology and

444 habitat preference (Pittermen et al., 2012). How much do the contrasts we found between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* in the

445 present study account for the ranges in traits exhibited by all Cupressaceae species? Here, we compare traits related to drought

446 tolerance between the two species and other Cupressaceae species using data from Pitterman et al. (2012) to gain more insight

447 into the ecological characteristics of these species.

448 Cupressaceae species first appeared in the warm and humid Mesozoic and differentiated in the cool and dry Cenozoic

449 (Gifford and Foster, 1989). Reflecting the climatic conditions under which each species evolves, early diverging species

450 prefer mesic-hydric habitats, while derived species are adapted to arid climates (Pittermann et al., 2012). As a result of these

451 adaptations, the species exhibit a gradient of foliage morphology (from needle-like in basal species to scaly, similar to foliage

452 in derived species) and other drought tolerance or water use characteristics (Pittermann et al., 2010; Brodribb et al., 2014;

453 Gleason et al., 2016). In fact, *Juniperus sabina*, which has needle and scaly foliage at the ontogenic stage within the same

454 individual, showed that needle foliage had higher photosynthesis with lower drought tolerance than scale-like foliage (Tanaka-

455 Oda et al., 2010).

456 There was a significant relationship between Ψ_{50} and the foliar and xylem functional traits (Fig. 13). Species with higher

457 wood density (Fig. 13a), lower xylem specific conductivity (Fig. 13b), low stomatal conductance (Fig. 13c), and a lower

458 photosynthetic rate (Fig. 13d) were associated with decreased Ψ_{50}, indicating a higher ability to withstand drought. The trade-

459 off between xylem water permeability and vulnerability mediated by xylem anatomy has been well documented across

460 Cupressaceae species, although it might not be a global pattern (Meinzer et al., 2009; Gleason et al., 2016). Among these axes,

461 basal species (e.g., *Glyptostrobus*, *Taxodium*, *Metasequoia*) that grow in moist environments have lower Ψ_{50} with low wood

462 density (Fig. 13a), higher xylem-specific conductivity (Fig. 13b), and higher area-based stomatal conductance and

463 photosynthetic rate (Fig. 13c, 13d), whereas derived species growing in dry environments such as *Callitris*, *Juniperus*, and

464 *Widdringtonia,* are on the opposite side of the axis (Fig. 13a,b,c,d).

465 The traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* also fell on these correlation lines (Fig. 13). Among these axes, *C. japonica*, which

466 is more basal than *C. obtusa*, was located next to the lowest Ψ_{50} (= the highest gas exchange) group, consisting of species of

467 *Glyptostrobus*, *Taxodium*, and *Metasequoia*. This seems reasonable given that these genera form the same clade as *C. japonica*.

468 *C. obtusa*, which had a slightly lower Ψ_{50} than *C. japonica*, was located almost in the middle of the axes where close relatives,

469 such as *Chamaecyparis lawsonia* (the same genus with *C. obtusa*) and *Thuja* and *Thujopsis* species, occurred. However, across

470 all Cupressaceae species, the difference between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* was not large, nor was *C. obtusa* especially high

471 in drought tolerance within the main Cupressaceae species. Japan, which is surrounded by the sea and has high-altitude
472 mountains, has not suffered an extremely dry climate, even after the Cenozoic era, and only a few species of *Juniperus* with
473 strong drought tolerance grow in alpine and coastal areas. Rather, there exist many relict genera, such as *Chamaecyparis,*
474 *Thuja* and *Thujopsis,* which are located in the middle of the axis. This suggests that the mild climate of the Japanese archipelago
475 became a refugia of these species with moderate drought tolerance in the arid Cenozoic (Farjon, 2008). However, high drought
476 tolerance is generally achieved at the expense of a low growth rate. Therefore, the moderate drought tolerance of *C. obtusa*
477 ensures a moderate growth rate and makes the species a major alternative to *C. japonica* at relatively dry forestry sites in Japan.

4 Implication for climate change

479 The detailed comparison of two major species highlights the importance of incorporating trait data into forest ecosystem
480 models for more accurately predicting responses to climate change. Parameterizing models with various trait data enables us
481 to obtain more realistic responses of trees and predictions. Recent studies demonstrate the limitations of plant functional type
482 approaches and claim the need for trait-based approaches, for example, hydraulic responses (Anderegg, 2015). Most models
483 at present are plant functional-type based; in other words, default parameters are prepared for different plant functional types;
484 however, recent trait data compilation studies, such as this study, clearly demonstrate the diversity of traits among tree species
485 even in the same plant functional type. The latest study even proposes flexible trait models for the next generation of vegetation
486 models (Berzaghi et al., 2020). These trait-based approaches would succeed more easily in manmade pure forests than in mixed
487 natural forests.

488 More specifically, our study provides important implications about possible differences in the species' responses to climate
489 change: no differences in SLA and photosynthetic ability per needle area were found between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*; on
490 the other hand, the different structures of shoots and canopies between the two species may cause a difference in the amount
491 of photosynthetic production per day. In particular, in the early planting period from just after plantation to canopy closure, *C.*
492 *japonica* may have more advantages than *C. obtusa* due to its high photosynthetic production under bright light conditions.
493 However, a big-leaf model, which is a typical photosynthesis model, does not explicitly describe the photosynthetic ability per
494 part, structure or mass (Thérézien et al., 2007). For instance, values are the same for *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* in the Biome-
495 BGC model for most of the parameters. The response of stomata to climate change, such as the response of stomatal
496 conductance to vapor pressure deficit (VPD), may differ between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*, but it is not clear at this stage due
497 to a lack of data. In other words, it is possible to accurately grasp the type and amount of data that are lacking for modelling
498 by using the database, which allows us to make an experimental plan to efficiently collect data according to a specific purpose.
499 Regarding water use, we found various differences in related traits between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*; however, in general,
500 most traits related to drought tolerance and xylem hydraulic safety except for gas exchange traits are rarely incorporated into
501 models. This is perhaps partly because of the lack of data; drought tolerance and xylem hydraulic safety are new fields that
502 have recently emerged.

16

503   In Japan, *C. obtusa* is a species planted in dry sites, while *C. japonica* is planted in wet sites; however, our compilation

504   revealed that the drought tolerance of *C. obtusa* was moderate within the wide range of traits of the global Cupressaceae family.

505   In fact, there are several reports of drought damage in *C. obtusa* forests, particularly in western Japan, which experiences more

506   severe drought more often than in eastern Japan (Ogawa et al., 1996; Sanui et al., 1998). These facts imply that it is very likely

507   that not only *C. japonica* but also *C. obtusa* will suffer from possible more severe droughts induced by future climate change.

508

509   5. Conclusion

510   The present study challenged the empirical knowledge of two contrasting plantation species in Japan. The intensive

511   analysis of plant trait database clearly demonstrates that tree ecological traits recorded support traditional knowledge and

512   empirical plantation management, such as preferable planting sites for both *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. Overall, even if the

513   photosynthesis per foliage area is the same for *C. japonica*, photosynthetic production is higher due to the high shoot-level

514   light utilization efficiency. In addition, high biomass allocation to the foliage and the low wood density of *C. japonica* result

515   in a high stem volume yield. On the other hand, *C. obtusa* has high drought tolerance due to its lower transpiration rate,

516   stomatal conductance and water potential at the foliar turgor loss point, whereas its photosynthesis at the shoot level is lower

517   than that of *C. japonica*. These characteristics are consistent with traditional knowledge of suitable planting sites for both

518   species (*C. japonica* on wet lower slopes and *C. obtusa* on dry ridges). Our finding that the most functional characteristics

519   change according to tree age and/or height indicates that forest management also reflects a functional shift with the ontogeny

520   of the tree. For example, to maximize tree production, fertilization to prevent foliar functional deterioration and thinning to

521   compensate for the water supply can be considered with forest ageing. Furthermore, those approaches may also help to solve

522   problems that cannot be addressed by empirical knowledge alone, i.e., the trait database is a powerful tool for modelling and

523   predicting how forests respond to future temperature and precipitation associated with climate change, long-term cutting

524   operations (e.g., more than 100 years), and more intense thinning to form mixed forests that contain broadleaf species. On the

525   other hand, within the global Cupressaceae family, both species have moderate drought tolerance and photosynthetic rates,

526   and the traits may be consistent with the historical climate of the Japanese archipelago being warm and humid without severe

527   drought. The relatively low tolerance of both species may indicate a weak ability to withstand severe dry events associated

528   with future climate change. This study clearly demonstrated that the plant trait database provides us a promising opportunity

529   to verify out empirical knowledge of plantation strategies and help us to seek more climate change tolerant forest managements.

530   6 Author contributions

531   YO, SH and TK collected the data, analyzed the data, and drafted and edited the paper.

532

7 Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., et al.: A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortal-ity reveals emerging climate change risks for forests, Forest. Ecol. Manag., 259, 660–684, 2010.

Ambrose, A. R., Sillett, S. C., and Dawson, T. E.: Effects of tree height on branch hydraulics, leaf structure and gas exchange in California redwoods, Plant, Cell Environ., 32, 743–757, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01950.x, 2009.

Anderegg, W. R. L.: Spatial and temporal variation in plant hydraulic traits and their relevance for climate change impacts on vegetation, New Phytol., 205, 1008–1014, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12907, 2015.

Anderegg, W. R. L., Klein, T., Bartlett, M., Sack, L., Pellegrini, A. F. A., Choat, B. and Jansen, S.: Meta-analysis reveals that hydraulic traits explain cross-species patterns of drought-induced tree mortality across the globe, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 113, 5024–5029, doi:10.1073/pnas.1525678113, 2016.

Atkin, O. K., Schortemeyer, M., McFarlane, N., and Evans, J. R.: Variation in the components of relative growth rate in 10 Acacia species from contrasting environments, Plant, Cell Environ., 21, 1007-1017, 1998.

Azuma, W., Ishii, H. R., Kuroda, K., and Kuroda, K.: Function and structure of leaves contributing to increasing water storage with height in the tallest *Cryptomeria japonica* trees of Japan, Trees, 30, 141–152, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-015-1283-3, 2016.

Baltzer, J. L., Davies, S. J., Bunyavejchewin, S., and Noor, N. S. M.: The role of desiccation tolerance in determining tree species distributions along the Malay-Thai Peninsula, Funct. Ecol., 22, 221–231, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01374.x, 2008.

Bargali, S. S., Singh, R. P., and Singh, S. P.: Structure and function of an age series of eucalypt plantations in central himalaya, II. Nutrient dynamics, Ann. Bot., 69, 413–421, https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a088362, 1992.

Bartlett, M. K., Scoffoni, C., and Sack, L.: The determinants of leaf turgor loss point and prediction of drought tolerance of species and biomes: A global meta-analysis, Ecol. Lett., 15, 393–405, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01751.x, 2012.

563 Beets, P. N., and Madgwick, H. A. I.: Above-ground dry matter and nutrient content of Pinus radiata as affected by lupin,
564   fertiliser, thinning, and stand age, New Zeal. J. For. Sci., 18, 43–64, 1988.

565 Berzaghi, F., Wright, I. J., Kramer, K., Oddou-Muratorio, S., Bohn, F. J., Reyer, C.P.O., et al: Towards a New Generation of
566   Trait-Flexible Vegetation Models. Trends Ecol. Evol., 35, 191–205, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.11.006, 2020.

567 Blackman, C. J., Brodribb, T. J., and Jordan, G. J.: Leaf hydraulic vulnerability is related to conduit dimensions and drought
568   resistance across a diverse range of woody angiosperms, New Phytol., 188, 1113–1123, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
569   8137.2010.03439.x, 2010.

570 Bond, B. J.: Age-related changes in photosynthesis of woody plants, Trends Plant Sci., 5, 349–353, 2000.

571 Bradshaw, R. H., Holmqvist, B. H., Cowling, S. A., and Sykes, M. T.: The effects of climate change on the distribution and
572   management of Picea abies in southern Scandinavia, Can. J. For. Res. 30, 1992-1998, 2000.

573 Brodribb, T. J., Holbrook, N. M., Edwards, E. J., and Gutiérrez, M. V.: Relations between stomatal closure, leaf turgor and
574   xylem vulnerability in eight tropical dry forest trees, Plant, Cell Environ., 26, 443–450, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-
575   3040.2003.00975.x, 2003.

576 Brodribb, T. J., McAdam, S. A. M., Jordan, G. J., and Martins, S. C. V.: Conifer species adapt to low-rainfall climates by
577   following   one   of   two   divergent   pathways,   Proc.   Natl.   Acad.   Sci.,   111,   14489–14493,
578   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407930111, 2014.

579 Carter, G. A., and Smith, W. K.: Influence of shoot structure on light interception and photosynthesis in conifers, Plant Physiol,
580   79, 1038–1043, https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.79.4.1038, 1985.

581 Chapin, III F. S., Matson, P. A. and Vitousek, P.: Principles of terrestrial ecosystem ecology, Springer Science & Business
582   Media, 2011.

583 Chen, L., Deng, Q., Yuan, Z., Mu, X., and Kallenbach, R. L.: Age-related C:N:P stoichiometry in two plantation forests in the
584   Loess Plateau of China, Ecol. Eng., 120, 14–22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.05.021, 2018.

585 Cheung, Y. N. S., Tyree, M. T., Dainty, J: Water relations parameters on single leaves obtained in a pressure bomb and some
586   ecological interpretations, Can. J. Bot., 53, 1342-1346, 1975.

587 Chin, A. R., and Sillett, S. C.: Within-crown plasticity in leaf traits among the tallest conifers, Am. J. Bot. 106:174-186, 2019.

588 Choat, B., Jansen, S., Brodribb, T. J., Cochard, H., Delzon, S., Bhaskar, R., et al.: Global convergence in the vulnerability of
589   forests to drought, Nature, 491, 752-755, 2012.

590 Clinton, P. W., Allen, R. B., and Davis, M. R.: Nitrogen storage and availability during stand development in a New Zealand
591   Nothofagus forest, Can. J. For. Res., 32, 344–352, https://doi.org/10.1139/x01-188, 2002.

592 Coble, A. P., Autio, A., Cavaleri, M. A., et al.: Converging patterns of vertical variability in leaf morphology and nitrogen
593   across seven Eucalyptus plantations in Brazil and Hawaii, USA, Trees, 28, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-013-
594   0925-6, 2014.

595 Cornelissen, J. H. C., Diez, P. C., and Hunt, R.: Seedling growth, allocation and leaf attributes in a wide range of woody plant
596   species and types, J. Ecol., 84, 755-765, https://doi.org/10.2307/2261337, 1996.

19

597 D'Amato, A. W., Bradford, J. B., Fraver, S., Palik, B. J.: Forest management for mitigation and adaptation to climate change:

598   Insights from long-term silviculture experiments, For. Ecol. Manag., 262, 803-816, 2011.

599 Delzon, S., Douthe, C., Sala, A., Cochard, H.: Mechanism of water-stress induced cavitation in conifers: bordered pit structure

600   and function support the hypothesis of seal capillary-seeding, Plant, Cell Environ., 33, 2101-2111, 2010.

601 Díaz, S., Hodgson, J. G., Thompson, K, et al.: The plant traits that drive ecosystems: Evidence from three continents, J. Veg.

602   Sci., 15, 295–304, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2004.tb02266.x, 2004.

603 Domec, J-. C., Lachenbruch, B., Meinzer, F. C., Woodruff, D. R., Warren, J. M., and McCulloh, K. A.: Maximum height in a

604   conifer is associated with conflicting requirements for xylem design, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 105, 12069–12074,

605   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710418105, 2008.

606 Ellsworth, D. S., and Reich, P. B. Canopy structure and vertical patterns of photosynthesis and related leaf traits in a deciduous

607   forest, Oecologia, 96, 169-178, 1993.

608 Fredericksen, T. S., Steiner, K. C., Skelly, J. M., et al. Diel and seasonal patterns of leaf gas exchange and xylem water

609   potentials of different-sized *Prunus serotina* Ehrh. trees, For. Sci., 42, 359–365,

610   https://doi.org/10.1093/forestscience/42.3.359, 1996.

611 Farjon, A: Natural history of conifers, Timber Press, Portland, 2008.

612 Foster, A. S., and Gifford, E. M.: Morphology and evolution of vascular plants, Freeman, W. H., New York, 1989.

613 Givnish, T. J., Wong, S. C., Stuart-Williams, H., Holloway-Phillips, M., and Farquhar, G. D.: Determinants of maximum tree

614   height in Eucalyptus species along a rainfall gradient in Victoria, Australia, Ecology, 95, 2991-3007, 2014.

615 Gleason, S. M., Westoby, M., Jansen, S., Choat, B., Hacke, U. G., Prat, R. B., et al.: Weak tradeoff between xylem safety and

616   xylem-specific hydraulic efficiency across the world's woody plant species, New Phytol., 209, 123–136,

617   https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13646, 2016.

618 Grime, J.: Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to ecological and evolutionary

619   theory, Am. Nat., 111, 1169–1194, 1977.

620 Grime, J. P. Trait convergence and trait divergence in herbaceous plant communities: Mechanisms and consequences, J. Veg.

621   Sci., 17, 255–260, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2006.tb02444.x, 2006.

622 Hacke, U. G., Sperry, J. S., Pockman, W. T., Davis, S. D., and McCulloh, K. A.: Trends in wood density and structure are

623   linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative pressure, Oecologia, 126, 457-461, 2001.

624 Hacke, U. G., Sperry, J. S., Wheeler, J. K., and Castro, L.: Scaling of angiosperm xylem structure with safety and efficiency,

625   Tree Physiol. 26, 689–701, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/26.6.689, 2006.

626 Hara, M., Norisada, M., Suzuki, M., Tange, T., and Yagi, H.: Changes in water relations with temperature decrease in

627   *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Taiwania cryptomerioides*, Bull. Univ. Tokyo For. 99, 111–123, 1998.

628 Hattori, D., Kenzo, T., Yamauchi, N., Irino, K.O., Kendawang, J. J., Ninomiya, I., and Sakurai, K.: Effects of environmental

629   factors on growth and mortality of *Parashorea macrophylla* (*Dipterocarpaceae*) planted on slopes and valleys in a

630   degraded tropical secondary forest in Sarawak, Malaysia, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 59, 218-228, 2013.

631 Hayashi, Y.: An illusrated book of useful trees. Seibundoshinkosha, Tokyo, 1969.

632 Hooker, T. D., and Compton, J. E.: Forest Ecosystem Carbon and Nitrogen Accumulation during the First Century after
633   Agricultural Abandonment. Ecol Appl 13:299–313. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761, 2003.

634 Hunt, R.: Basic growth analysis. Unwin Hyman, London, 1990.

635 Inoue, Y., Ichie, T., Kenzo, T., Yoneyama, A., Kumagai, T., and Nakashizuka, T.: Effects of rainfall exclusion on leaf gas
636   exchange traits and osmotic adjustment in mature canopy trees of *Dryobalanops aromatica* (*Dipterocarpaceae*) in a
637   Malaysian tropical rain forest, Tree Physiol., 37, 1301–1311, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx053, 2017.

638 Inoue, Y., Araki, M.G., Kitaoka, S., Kenzo, T., and Saito, S. Relationship between projected shoot area and projected needle
639   area in *Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don trees, J. Jpn. For. Soc., 102, 7-14, 2020, (In Japanese with English summary).

640 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Climate change 1995, The science of climate change, IPCC 1995
641   Assessment, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

642 Ishii, H., Kitaoka, S., Fujisaki, T., Maruyama, Y., and Koike, T. Plasticity of shoot and needle morphology and photosynthesis
643   of two Picea species with different site preferences in northern Japan, Tree Physiol., 27, 1595–1605,
644   https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.11.1595, 2007.

645 Ishii, H. T., Jennings, G. M., Sillett, S. C., and Koch, G. W.: Hydrostatic constraints on morphological exploitation of light in
646   tall *Sequoia sempervirens* trees, Oecologia, 156, 751–763, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1032-z, 2008.

647 Jansen, S., Lamy, J. B., Burlett, R., Cochard, H., Gasson, P., and Delzon, S.: Plasmodesmatal pores in the torus of bordered
648   pit membranes affect cavitation resistance of conifer xylem. Plant Cell Environ 35:1109-1120, 2012.

649 Japan Forestry Agency Annual Report on Forest and Forestry in Japan FY 2018: Forest Agency, Tokyo, 2019.

650 Johnson, D. M., Wortemann, R., Mcculloh, K. A., Jordan-meille, L., Ward, E., Warren, J. M., Palmroth, S., and Domec, J- C.:
651   A test of the hydraulic vulnerability segmentationhypothesis in angiosperm and conifer tree species, Tree Physiol., 36,
652   983–993, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw031, 2016.

653 Kattge, J., Bönisch, G., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, L. C., Leadley, P., et al: TRY plant trait database – enhanced coverage
654   and open access, Glob. Chang. Biol., 26, 119–188, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14904, 2020.

655 Kattge, J., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, L. C., Leadley, P., Bönisch, G., et al: TRY - a global database of plant traits, Glob.
656   Chang. Biol., 17, 2905–2935, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02451.x, 2011.

657 Kenzo, T., Ichie, T., Watanabe, Y., Yoneda, R., Ninomiya, I., and Koike, T.: Changes in photosynthesis and leaf characteristics
658   with tree height in five dipterocarp species in a tropical rain forest, Tree Physiol., 26, 865–873,
659   https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/26.7.865, 2006.

660 Kenzo, T., Inoue, Y., Yoshimura, M., Yamashita, M., Tanaka-Oda, A., and Ichie, T.: Height-related changes in leaf
661   photosynthetic traits in diverse Bornean tropical rain forest trees, Oecologia, 177, 191–202,
662   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3126-0, 2015.

21

663 Kenzo, T., Yoneda, R., Sano, M., Araki, M., Shimizu, A., Tanaka-Oda, A, et al.: Variations in leaf photosynthetic and
664   morphological traits with tree height in various vree species in a cambodian tropical dry evergreen forest, Japan Agric.
665   Res. Q., 46, 167–180, https://doi.org/10.6090/jarq.46.167, 2012.

666 Kenzo, T., Iida, S. I., Shimizu, T., Tamai, K., Kabeya, N., Shimizu, A., and Chann, S.: Seasonal and height-related changes in
667   leaf morphological and photosynthetic traits of two dipterocarp species in a dry deciduous forest in Cambodia, Plant
668   Ecol. Div., 9, 505-520, 2016.

669 Kikuzawa, K., and Lechowicz, M. J.: Ecology of leaf longevity, Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.

670 Kim, K. W.: Electron microscopic observations of stomata, epicuticular waxes, and papillae in Chamaecyparis obtusa:
671   Reconsidering the traditional concept of Y-shaped white stomatal bands, Microsc. Res. Tech., 81, 716–723,
672   https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.23027, 2018.

673 Kleinman, P. J. A., Pimentel, D., and Bryant, R. B.: The ecological sustainability of slash-and-burn agriculture, Agric. Ecosyst.
674   Environ., 52, 235–249, https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809(94)00531-I, 1995.

675 Kleyer, M., Bekker, R. M., Knevel, I. C., et al.: The LEDA Traitbase: A database of life-history traits of the Northwest
676   European flora, J. Ecol., 96, 1266–1274, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01430.x, 2008.

677 Koch, G. W., Sillett, S. C., Jennings, G. M., and Davis, S. D. The limits to tree height, Nature, 428, 851–854,
678   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02417, 2004.

679 Koerselman, W., and Meuleman, A. F. M.: The vegetation N:P ratio: a new tool to detect the nature of nutrient limitation, J.
680   Appl. Ecol., 33, 1441–1450, https://doi.org/10.2307/2404783, 1996.

681 Kozlowski, T. T., and Pallardy, S. G.: Acclimation and adaptive responses of woody plants to environmental stresses, Bot.
682   Rev., 68, 270–334, 2002.

683 Kramer, P. J., and Boyer, J. S. Water relations of plants and soils. Academic press, San Diego, 1995.

684 Kumagai, T., Tateishi, M., Shimizu, T., and Otsuki, K.: Transpiration and canopy conductance at two slope positions in a
685   Japanese   cedar   forest   watershed,   Agric.   For.   Meteorol.,   148,   1444–1455,
686   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.04.010, 2008.

687 Lambers, H., Chapin, III, F. S., and Pons, T. L.: Plant physiological ecology, Springer, New York, 2008.

688 Lawlor, D. W., and Cornic, G.: Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated, Plant Cell Environ., 25, 275–294,
689   https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0016-8025.2001.00814.x, 2002.

690 Leverenz, J. W., and Hinckley, T. M.: Shoot structure, leaf area index and productivity of evergreen conifer stands, Tree
691   Physiol. 6, 135–149, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/6.2.135, 1990.

692 Liu, Z., Hikosaka, K., Li, F., and Jin, G.: Variations in leaf economics spectrum traits for an evergreen coniferous species:
693   Tree size dominates over environment factors, Funct. Ecol., 34, 458-467, 2020.

694 Ma Q. W., Li, C. S., and Li, F. L.: Epidermal structures of Cryptomeria japonica and implications to the fossil record, Acta
695   Palaeobot., 47, 281–289, 2007.

22

696 Mann, M. E., Bradley, R. S., and Hughes, M. K.: Global-scale temperature patterns and climate forcing over the past six
697   centuries, Nature, 392, 779-787, 1998.

698 Mashimo, Y. Studies on the physical properties of forest soil and their relation to the growth of sugi (*Cryptomeria japonica*)
699   and hinoki (*Chamaecyparis obtusa*), For. Soils Japan, 11, 1–182, 1960.

700 Matsuzaki, J., Norisada, M., Kodaira, J., Suzuki, M., and Tange, T.: Shoots grafted into the upper crowns of tall Japanese cedar
701   (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don) show foliar gas exchange characteristics similar to those of intact shoots, Trees, 19, 198-
702   203, 2005.

703 McDowell, N. G., Phillips, N., Lunch, C., et al: An investigation of hydraulic limitation and compensation in large, old
704   Douglas-fir trees, Tree Physiol., 22, 763–774, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/22.11.763, 2002.

705 Mediavilla, S., and Escuderl, A.: Mature trees versus seedlings: Differences in leaf traits and gas exchange patterns in three
706   co-occurring Mediterranean oaks, Ann. For. Sci., 60, 455–460, https://doi.org/10.1051/forest, 2003.

707 Meinzer, F. C., Clearwater, M. J., and Goldstein, G. :Water transport in trees: Current perspectives, new insights and some
708   controversies, Environ. Exp. Bot., 45, 239–262, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472(01)00074-0,2001, 2001

709 Meinzer, F. C., Johnson, D. M., Lachenbruch, B., McCulloh, K. A., and Woodruff, D. R.: Xylem hydraulic safety margins in
710   woody plants: Coordination of stomatal control of xylem tension with hydraulic capacitance, Funct. Ecol., 23, 922–930,
711   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01577.x, 2009.

712 Meinzer, F. C., Woodruff, D. R., Marias, D. E., Smith, D. D., McCulloh, K. A., Howard, A. R., et al.: Mapping 'hydroscapes'
713   along the iso- to anisohydric continuum of stomatal regulation of plant water status, Ecol. Lett., 19, 1343–1352,
714   https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12670, 2016.

715 Mitchell, P. J., Veneklaas, E. J., Lambers, H., and Burgess, S. S. O.: Leaf water relations during summer water deficit:
716   Differential responses in turgor maintenance and variation in leaf structure among different plant communities in south-
717   western Australia, Plant, Cell Environ., 31,1791–1802, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2008.01882.x, 2008.

718 Monsi. M., and Saeki, T.: Über den lichtfaktor in den pflanzengesellschaften und seine bedeutung fur die stoffproduktion, J.
719   Japanese Bot., 14, 22–52, 1953.

720 Nardini, A., and Pitt, F.: Drought resistance of *Quercus pubescens* as a function of root hydraulic conductance, xylem
721   embolism and hydraulic architecture, New Phytol., 143, 485-493, 1999.

722 Nardini, A., and Tyree, M. T.: Root and shoot hydraulic conductance of seven *Quercus* species, Ann. For. Sci., 56, 371-377,
723   1999.

724 Niinemets, Ü.: Distribution patterns of foliar carbon and nitrogen as affected by tree dimensions and relative light conditions
725   in the canopy of *Picea abies*, Trees, 11, 144–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00009663, 1997.

726 Niinemets, Ü.: Global-scale climatic controls of leaf dry mass per area, density, and thickness in trees and shrubs, Ecology,
727   82, 453–469, https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[0453:GSCCOL]2.0.CO;2, 2001.

728 Niinemets, Ü.: Stomatal conductance alone does not explain the decline in foliar photosynthetic rates with increasing tree age
729   and size in *Picea abies* and *Pinus sylvestris*, Tree Physiol., 22, 515-535, 2002.

730 Niinemets, Ü.: Responses of forest trees to single and multiple environmental stresses from seedlings to mature plants: past
731   stress history, stress interactions, tolerance and acclimation, For. Ecol. Manag., 260, 1623-1639, 2010.

732 Ogasa, M., Miki, N. H., Murakami, Y., and Yoshikawa, K.: Recovery performance in xylem hydraulic conductivity is
733   correlated with cavitation resistance for temperate deciduous tree species, Tree Physiol., 33, 335–344,
734   https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpt010, 2013.

735 Ogawa, S.: Drought damge of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* in Kyushu region of Japan, For. Pests, 45,
736   62–69, 1996 (In Japanese with English summary).

737 Ohta, S.: Initial soil changes associated with afforestation with acacia auriculiformis and *Pinus kesiya* on denuded grasslands
738   of the pantabangan area, central luzon, the philippines. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 36:633–643.
739   https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1990.10416800, 1990.

740 Oren, R., Sperry, J. S., Katul, G. G., Pataki, D. E., Ewers, B. E., Phillips, N., and Schäfer, K. V. R.: Survey and synthesis of
741   intra-and interspecific variation in stomatal sensitivity to vapour pressure deficit, Plant Cell Environ. 22, 1515-1526,
742   1999.

743 Osone, Y., Hashimoto, S., Kenzo, T., Araki, M. G., Inoue, Y., Shichi, K., et al.: Plant trait database for Cryptomeria japonica
744   and Chamaecyparis obtusa (SugiHinoki DB): Their physiology, morphology, anatomy and biochemistry, Ecol. Res. 35,
745   274–275, https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1703.12062, 2020.

746 Osone, Y., Ishida, A., and Tateno, M.: Correlation between relative growth rate and specific leaf area requires associations of
747   specific leaf area with nitrogen absorption rate of roots. New Phytol, 179, 417-427, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
748   8137.2008.02476.x, 2008.

749 Osone, Y., and Tateno, M.: Nitrogen absorption by roots as a cause of interspecific variations in leaf nitrogen concentration
750   and photosynthetic capacity, Funct. Ecol. 19, 460-470, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2005.00970.x, 2005.

751 Peters, J. M. R., López, R., Nolf, M., Hutley, L. B., Wardlaw, T., Cernusak, L. A. and Choat, B.: Living on the edge: A
752   continental-scale assessment of forest vulnerability to drought, Glob. Chang. Biol., (January), gcb.15641,
753   doi:10.1111/gcb.15641, 2021.

754 Pittermann, J., Choat, B., Jansen, S., Stuart, S. A., Lynn, L., and Dawson, T. E.: The relationships between xylem safety and
755   hydraulic efficiency in the *Cupressaceae*: The evolution of pit membrane form and function, Plant Physiol., 153, 1919–
756   1931, https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.158824, 2010.

757 Pittermann. J., Sperry, J. S., Hacke, U. G., Wheeler, J. K., and Sikkema, E. H.: Inter-tracheid pitting and the hydraulic
758   efficiency of conifer wood: The role of tracheid allometry and cavitation protection, Am. J. Bot. 93, 1265–1273,
759   https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.93.9.1265, 2006a.

760 Pittermann. J., Sperry, J. S., Wheeler, J. K., Hacke, U. G., and Sikkema, E. H.: Mechanical reinforcement of tracheids
761   compromises the hydraulic efficiency of conifer xylem, Plant Cell Environ., 29, 1618–1628, 2006b.

762 Pittermann. J., Stuart, S. A., Dawson, T. E., Moreau, A. Cenozoic climate change shaped the evolutionary ecophysiology of
763   the *Cupressaceae* conifers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 109, 9647–9652. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1114378109, 2012.

24

764 Polglase, P. J., Attiwill, P. M., and Adams, M. A. Nitrogen and phosphorus cycling in relation to stand age of *Eucalyptus*
765   *regnans* F. Muell, Plant Soil, 142, 177–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00010964, 2006.

766 Poorter, H., and Remkes, C : Leaf area ratio and net assimilation rate of 24 wild species differing in relative growth rate,
767   Oecologia, 83, 553-559, 1990.

768 Poorter, H., and Van der Werf, A.: Is inherent variation in RGR determined by LAR at low irradiance and by NAR at high
769   irradiance? A review of herbaceous species. Inherent variation in plant growth. In: Physiological mechanisms and
770   ecological consequences (eds Lambers H, Poorter H, Van Vuuren M), 309-336, Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, 1998.

771 Rai, R. K., and Schmerbeck, J.: Why Forest Plantations Are Disputed? An Assessment of Locally Important Ecosystem
772   Services from the *Cryptomeria japonica* Plantations in the Darjeeling Hills, India. In: Conifers (ed Gonçalves AC),
773   IntechOpen, 2018.

774 Reich, P. B., Ellsworth, D. S., and Walters, M. B. Leaf structure (specific leaf area) modulates photosynthesis–nitrogen
775   relations: evidence from within and across species and functional groups, Funct. Ecol., 12, 948-958, 1998.

776 Rijkers, T., Pons, T. L., and Bongers, F.: The effect of tree height and light availability on photosynthetic leaf traits of four
777   neotropical species differing in shade tolerance, Funct Ecol, 14, 77-86, 2000.

778 Roos, J.: The Finnish research programme on climate change: Final report, Academy of Finland, Helsinki, 1996.

779 Rull, V., Lara, A., Rubio-Inglés, M. J., Giralt, S., Gonçalves, V., Raposeiro, P., et al.: Vegetation and landscape dynamics
780   under natural and anthropogenic forcing on the Azores Islands: A 700-year pollen record from the São Miguel Island.
781   Quat. Sci. Rev., 159, 155-168, 2017.

782 Ryan, M. G., Phillips, N., and Bond, B. J.: The hydraulic limitation hypothesis revisited, Plant, Cell Environ., 29, 367–381.
783   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01478.x, 2006.

784 Ryan, M. G., and Yoder B. J.: Hydraulic Limits to Tree Height and Tree Growth, Bioscience, 47, 235–242,
785   https://doi.org/10.2307/1313077, 1997.

786 Saito ,T., Tanaka, T., Tanabe, H., Matsumoto, Y., and Morikawa, Y.: Variations in transpiration rate and leaf cell turgor
787   maintenance in saplings of deciduous broad-leaved tree species common in cool temperate forests in Japan, Tree
788   Physiol., 23, 59–66, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/23.1.59, 2003.

789 Sakai, A.: Freezing tolerance of plants and adaptation to the frigidity, Gakkai Shuppan Center, Tokyo, 1982.

790 Sakai, A., and Larcher, W.: Frost survival of plants: responses and adaptation to freezing stress (Vol. 62), Springer Science &
791   Business Media, 1987.

792 Santiago, L. S., De Guzman, M. E., Baraloto, C., Vogenberg, J. E., Brodie, M., Hérault, B., et al.: Coordination and trade-offs
793   among hydraulic safety, efficiency and drought avoidance traits in Amazonian rainforest canopy tree species, New
794   Phytol., 218, 1015-1024, 2018.

795 Sanui, K.: Drought damages on forest in 1994 at Kyushu region, Forest Pests, 47, 2–9 , 1998 (In Japanese with English
796   summary).

25

797 Sato, H., Itoh, A., and Kohyama, T.: SEIB–DGVM: A new Dynamic Global Vegetation Model using a spatially explicit
798   individual-based approach, Ecol. Mod., 200, 279–307, 2007.

799 Sato, K: Hinoki (*Chamaecyparis obtusa*) of Japan-The first volume. Zenrinkyo, Tokyo, 1971.

800 Satoo, T.: Drought resistance of some conifers at the first summer after their emergence, Bull Univ. Tokyo For., 51, 1–108,
801   1956.

802 Sawata, S., and Kato, H.: Effect of forest on soil (Part 4) Factors concerning the base accumulation in Sugi and Hinoki soils,
803   Japan J. Soil. Sci. Plant Nutr., 64, 196–302, 1993.

804 Scholz, F. G., Phillips, N. G., Bucci, S. J., Meinzer, F. C., and Goldstein, G.: Hydraulic capacitance: biophysics and functional
805   significance of internal water sources in relation to tree size. In: Size and Age-Related Changes in Tree Structure and
806   Function (eds Meinzer FC, Lachenbruch B, Dawson TE), 341-361, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2011.

807 Shiraki, A., Azuma, W., Kuroda, K., and Ishii, H. R.: Physiological and morphological acclimation to height in cupressoid
808   leaves of 100-year-old *Chamaecyparis obtusa*, Tree Physiol., 37, 1327–1336, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw096,
809   2016.

810 Scholander, P. F., Bradstreet, E. D., Hemmingsen, E. A., and Hammel, H. T., Sap pressure in vascular plants: negative
811   hydrostatic pressure can be measured in plants, Science, 148, 339-346, 1965.

812 Smith, W. K., and Brewer, C. A.: The adaptive importance of shoot and crown architecture in conifer trees, Am. Nat., 143,
813   528–532, https://doi.org/10.1086/285618, 2002.

814 Smith, W. K., Schoettle, A. W., and Cui, M.: Importance of the method of leaf area measurement to the interpretation of gas
815   exchange of complex shoots, Tree physiol., 8, 121-127, 1991.

816 Sparks, J. P., and Black, R. A.: Regulation of water loss in populations of *Populus trichocarpa*: The role of stomatal control
817   in preventing xylem cavitation, Tree Physiol, 19, 453–459, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/19.7.453, 1999.

818 Stenberg, P.: Simulations of the effects of shoot structure and orientation on vertical gradients in intercepted light by conifer
819   canopies, Tree Physiol., 16, 99–108, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/16.1-2.99, 1996.

820 Stenberg, P., Linder, S., and Smolander, H.: Variation in the ratio of shoot silhouette area to needle area in fertilized and
821   unfertilized Norway spruce trees, Tree Physiol., 15, 705–712, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/15.11.705, 1995.

822 Stratton, L., Goldstein, G., and Meinzer, F. C.: Stem water storage capacity and efficiency of water transport: their functional
823   significance in a Hawaiian dry forest, Plant, Cell Environ. 23, 99-106, 2000.

824 Syke, M. T., and Prentice, I. C.: Climate change, tree species distributions and forest dynamics: a case study in the mixed
825   conifer/northern hardwoods zone of northern Europe. Clim. change 34,161-177, 1996.

826 Tanaka-Oda, A., Kenzo, T., Koretsune, S., et al.: Ontogenetic changes in water-use efficiency ($\delta 13C$) and leaf traits differ
827   among tree species growing in a semiarid region of the Loess Plateau, China, For. Ecol. Manage. 259, 953–957.
828   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.11.037, 2010.

829 Tanikawa, T., Sobue, A., and Hirano, Y.: Acidification processes in soils with different acid buffering capacity in *Cryptomeria*
830   *japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* forests over two decades. For. Ecol. Manage., 334, 284–292,
831   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.08.036, 2014.

832 Terashima, I., and Hikosaka, K. Comparative ecophysiology of leaf and canopy photosynthesis, Plant, Cell Environ., 18, 1111–
833   1128. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00623.x, 1995.

834 Terashima, I., and Saeki, T.: Light environment within a leaf I. Optical properties of paradermal sections of camellia leaves
835   with special reference to differences in the optical properties of palisade and spongy tissues, Plant Cell Physiol., 24,
836   1493–1501, https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a076672, 1983.

837 Thérézien, M., Palmroth, S., Brady, R., and Oren, R. Estimation of light interception properties of conifer shoots by an
838   improved photographic method and a 3D model of shoot structure,Tree Physiol., 27, 1375–1387,
839   https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.10.1375, 2007.

840 Thomas, S. C.: Photosynthetic capacity peaks at intermediate size in temperate deciduous trees, Tree Physiol., 30, 555–573.
841   https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq005, 2010.

842 Thomas, S. C., and Winner, W.: Photosynthetic differences between saplings and adult trees: an integration of field results by
843   meta-analysis, Tree Physiol., 22, 117–127, 2002.

844 Tognetti, R., Raschi, A., and Jones, M. B.: Seasonal patterns of tissue water relations in three Mediterranean shrubs co-
845   occurring at a natural CO2 spring, Plant, Cell Environ., 23, 1341–1351, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-
846   3040.2000.00645.x, 2000.

847 Tsukada, M.: *Cryptomeria Japonica*: Glacial Refugia and Late-Glacial and Postglacial Migration, Ecology, 63, 1091–1105,
848   https://doi.org/10.2307/1937247, 1982.

849 Tsumura, Y., Yoshimaru, K., Tomaru, N., and Ohba K.: Molecular phylogeny of conifers using PCR-RFLP analysis of
850   chloroplast genes, Theor. Apllied Genet. 91, 1222–1236. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00220933, 1995.

851 Tsuruta, K., Yamamoto, H., Kosugi, Y., et al.: Slope position and water use by trees in a headwater catchment dominated by
852   Japanese cypress: Implications for catchment-scale transpiration estimates, Ecohydrology, 13,1–12.
853   https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2245, 2020.

854 Tyree, M. T., and Jarvis, P. G.: Water in tissues and cells. In: Physiological Plant Ecology II. Springer Berlin Heidelberg,
855   Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 35–77, 1982.

856 Tyree, M. T., Velez, V., and Dalling, J. W.: Growth dynamics of root and shoot hydraulic conductance in seedlings of five
857   neotropical tree species: scaling to show possible adaptation to differing light regimes, Oecologia, 114, 293-298, 1998.

858 Valentini, R., Mugnozza, G. S., Giordano, E., and Kuzminsky, E.: Influence of cold hardening on water relations of three
859   *Eucalyptus* species, Tree Physiol., 6, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/6.1.1, 2012.

860 Violle, C., Navas, M., Vile, D., et al.: Let the concept of trait be functional !, Oikos, 116, 882–892,
861   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.15559.x, 2007.

27

862 White, M. A., Thornton, P. E., Running, S. W., and Nemani R. R.: Parameterization and Sensitivity Analysis of the BIOME–
863   BGC Terrestrial Ecosystem Model: Net primary production controls, Earth Interact., 4, 1–85,
864   https://doi.org/10.1175/1087-3562(2000)004<0003:pasaot>2.0.co;2, 2002.

865 Woodruff, D. R., Bond, B. J., and Meinzer, F. C.: Does turgor limit growth in tall trees? Plant, Cell Environ., 27, 229–236.
866   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2003.01141.x, 2004.

867 Wright, I. J., Reich, P. B., Westoby, M., et al.: The worldwide leaf economics spectrum, Nature 428, 821–827.
868   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403, 2004.

869 Wright, I. J., and Westoby, M.: Cross-species relationships between seedling relative growth rate, nitrogen productivity and
870   root vs leaf function in 28 Australian woody species, Funct. Ecol., 14, 97-107, 2000.

871 Yang, Y., and Luo, Y.: Carbon:nitrogen stoichiometry in forest ecosystems during stand development, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.
872   20, 354–361, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00602.x, 2011.

873 Yazaki, K., Tobita, H., Kitaoka, S., Hiraoka, Y., and Kitaoka, M. Stem growth of six clones of elite tree of *Cryptomeria*
874   *japonica* under elevated CO_2 and ozone, Kanto J. For. Res. 66, 163–166, 2015.

875 Zaehle, S., Friend, A. D., Friedlingstein, P., Dentener, F., Peylin, P., and Schulz, M.: Carbon and nitrogen cycle dynamics in
876   the O-CN land surface model: 2. Role of the nitrogen cycle in the historical terrestrial carbon balance, Global
877   Biogeochem. Cycles., 24, 1–14, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003522,2010, 2010.

878 Zhang, Y. J., Bucci, S. J., Arias, N. S., et al.: Freezing resistance in Patagonian woody shrubs: the role of cell wall elasticity
879   and stem vessel size, Tree Physiol. 36, 1007–1018, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw036, 2016.

880 Zhu, S. D., Chen, Y. J., Ye, Q., He, P. C., Liu, H., and Li, R. H.: Leaf turgor loss point is correlated with drought tolerance
881   and leaf carbon economics traits, Tree Physiol., 38, 658–663, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy013, 2018.

882 Zimmermann, M. H.: Hydraulic architecture of some diffuse-porous trees, Can J. Bot. 56, 2286–2295,
883   https://doi.org/10.1139/b78-274, 1978.

884 **Table & Figure legends**

885 Table 1 List of 108 major plant traits selected from the SugiHinoki DB. Number of data entries and means and ranges were
886 calculated before outliers were removed for subsequent analyses.

887 Table 2 Species name and abbreviations used in Figure 10. Data on *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* are from
888 this study, and other data are from Pittermann et al. 2012.

889 Fig. 1 Photographs of *Cryptomeria japonica* (top) and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* (bottom). The right side is an example of the
890 foliar projected area. Note that the projected area is underestimated in *C. japonica* because the foliage of *C. japonica* has a
891 complex three-dimensional structure.

892 Fig. 2 Photosynthetic properties of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. In *C. japonica*, each trait is presented on a shoot silhouette area
893 basis and projected needle area basis. Differences between each *C. japonica* trait (shoot or needle basis) and each *C. obtusa*
894 trait were determined by a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx, P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

895

896   Fig. 3 Specific leaf area and leaf longevity of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. Differences between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* were

897   subjected to a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx, P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

898

899   Fig. 4 Ln-transformed organ biomass in relation to ln-transformed DBH (a, c, e) and ln-transformed total mass (b, d, f).

900

901   Fig. 5 Leaf traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. In *C. japonica*, each trait is presented on a shoot silhouette area basis and

902   projected needle area basis. Differences between each *C. japonica* trait (shoot or needle basis) and each *C. obtusa* trait were

903   determined by a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx, P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

904

905   Fig. 6 Seasonal changes in pressure volume parameters and midday leaf water potential.

906

907   Fig. 7 Relationship between pressure-volume parameters. Data measured from May-Oct and Nov-Apr were pooled. Filled

908   triangle, *C. japonica* data from May-Oct; Filled circle, *C. japonica* data from Nov-Apr; Open triangle, *C. obtusa* data from

909   May-Oct; Open circle, *C. obtusa* data from Nov-Apr.

910

911   Fig. 8 Stem hydraulics and xylem anatomy. Differences between the species were examined by a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx,

912   P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

913

914   Fig. 9 Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were

915   excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown.

916

917   Fig. 10 Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots

918   were excluded.

919

920   Fig. 11 Changes in midday leaf water potential (Ψmd) and SLA with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental

921   conditions or in pots were excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown.

922

923   Fig. 12 Changes in midday leaf water potential (Ψmd) and SLA with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental

924   conditions or in pots were excluded.

925

926   Fig. 13 Relationship between leaf and stem hydraulic properties in Cupressaceae species. Abbreviations of species names are

927   shown in Table 2. Species in bold type with an asterisk are from this study.

928

929

29

Fig. 1 Photographs of *Cryptomeria japonica* (top) and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* (bottom). The right side is an example of the foliar projected area. Note that the projected area is underestimated in *C. japonica* because the foliage of *C. japonica* has a complex three-dimensional structure.

Fig. 2 Photosynthetic properties of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. In *C. japonica*, each trait is presented on a shoot silhouette area basis and projected needle area basis. Differences between each *C. japonica* trait (shoot or needle basis) and each *C. obtusa* trait were determined by a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx, P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

Fig. 3 Specific leaf area and leaf longevity of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. Differences between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* were subjected to a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx, P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

Fig. 4 Ln-transformed organ biomass in relation to ln-transformed DBH (a, c, e) and ln-transformed total mass (b, d, f).

33

Fig. 5 Leaf traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*. In *C. japonica*, each trait is presented on a shoot silhouette area basis and projected needle area basis. Differences between each *C. japonica* trait (shoot or needle basis) and each *C. obtusa* trait were determined by a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx, P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

34

Fig. 6 Seasonal changes in pressure volume parameters and midday leaf water potential.

Fig. 7 Relationship between pressure-volume parameters. Data measured from May-Oct and Nov-Apr were pooled. Filled triangle, *C. japonica* data from May-Oct; Filled circle, *C. japonica* data from Nov-Apr; Open triangle, *C. obtusa* data from May-Oct; Open circle, *C. obtusa* data from Nov-Apr.

36

1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294

1295 Fig. 8 Stem hydraulics and xylem anatomy. Differences between the species were examined by a t-test; ***, P<0.001; xx,

1296 P<0.01; x, P<0.05; ns, not significant.

1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307

Fig. 9 Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown.

Fig. 10 Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded.

Fig. 11 Changes in midday leaf water potential (Ψmd) and SLA with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown.

Fig. 12 Changes in midday leaf water potential (Ψmd) and SLA with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded.

41

Fig. 13 Relationship between leaf and stem hydraulic properties in Cupressaceae species. Abbreviations of species names are shown in Table 2. Species in bold type with an asterisk are from this study.

Table 1 List of 108 major plant traits selected from the SugiHinoki DB. Data number, means and ranges were calculated before outliers were removed for the following analyses.

Category	Trait	Unit	Cryptomeria japonica n	Mean	Range		Chamaecyparis obtusa n	Mean	Range	
Photosynthesis	Maximum photosynthesis per foliage area (Amaxan, Amaxas)	$\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	216	6.7	0.3	– 19.9	215	5.3	0.4	– 11.3
	Maximum photosynthesis rate per foliage dry mass	$\mu mol\ kg^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	844	30.9	0.7	– 120.5	141	29.5	0.2	– 84.5
	Maximum rate of electron transport per foliage area (Jmaxa)	$\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	66	135.4	46.0	– 260.6	18	76.4	49.4	– 95.6
	Maximum rate of electron transport per foliage dry mass	$\mu mol\ kg^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	62	447.7	119.9	– 911.9	0	—		
	Maximum rate of carboxylation per foliage area (Vcmaxa)	$\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	228	59.2	20.3	– 139.1	34	31.1	14.7	– 51.1
	Maximum rate of carboxylation per foliage dry mass	$\mu mol\ kg^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	62	228.9	50.4	– 493.6	0	—		
	Initial slope of light response curve	$molCO2\ mol[e]^{-1}$	48	0.0	0.02	– 0.1	33	0.1	0.0	– 0.1
	Convexity of light response curve	NA	45	0.6	0.04	– 1.0	30	0.7	0.1	– 0.9
	Light compensation point of photosynthesis	$\mu mol[e]\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	7	53.1	32.4	– 87.5	17	26.3	11.0	– 37.7
	Mass content of chlorophyll per foliage dry mass	$mg\ g^{-1}$	243	2.68	0.66	– 7.48	0	—		
	Ratio of chlorophyll a to chlorophyll b.	NA	45	2.43	1.59	– 3.69	42	2.61	2.34	– 2.99
	Mass content of Rubisco per foliage dry mass	$mg\ g^{-1}$	3	19.5	12.4	– 26.6	0	—		
Respiration	Leaf dark respiration rate per area	$\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	128	0.76	0.07	– 2.76	223	0.65	0.02	– 3.10
	Leaf dark respiration rate per foliage dry mass	$\mu mol\ kg^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	155	4.40	0.44	– 24.00	3	12.82	5.46	– 17.00
	Day respiration rate per foliage area	$\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	39	5.45	1.50	– 14.27	0	—		
	Branch dark respiration rate per branch volume	$\mu mol\ m^{-3}\ s^{-1}$	46	378	56	– 2051	26	274	21	– 1405
	Stem dark respiration rate per stem surface area	$\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	207	3.67	0.22	– 12.46	89	1.55	0.24	– 4.44
	Root dark respiration rate per dry mass	$\mu mol\ kg^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	26	4.57	2.52	– 7.32	23	6.10	2.80	– 9.75
	Fineroot dark respiration rate per dry mass	$\mu mol\ kg^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	0	—			107	8.51	3.80	– 16.09
	Q10 measured for foliage	NA	0	—			30	2.43	1.87	– 3.65
	Q10 measured for stem	NA	18	1.93	1.45	– 2.81	0	—		
Water relation	Stomatal conductance for CO2 per foliage area (gsan)	$mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	59	0.10	0.01	– 0.93	40	0.08	0.001	– 0.52
	Stomatal conductance for CO2 per foliage dry mass	$mol\ kg^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	139	0.43	0.03	– 2.00	24	0.43	0.22	– 0.65
	Transpiration rate per foliage area (Ean)	$mmol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	76	1.08	0.03	– 3.30	95	2.77	0.11	– 17.0
	Transpiration rate per foliage dry mass	$mmol\ kg^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	395	12.1	0.10	– 102.1	207	6.19	0.01	– 41.7
	Sap flow density/velocity measured by Granier method.	$cm^3\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	88	17.3	0.48	– 40.6	19	17.9	6.1	– 46.1
	Sap frow density averaged for a day	$cm^3\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$	29	8.4	3.0	– 20.0	24	11.4	4.0	– 19.4
	Sap flow (or transpiration) per a tree per a day	$kg\ d^{-1}$	112	9.4	0.7	– 22.9	176	12.6	1.1	– 67.2
	Soil to leaf hydraulic conductance per foliage area (KS-L)	$mmol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}\ Mpa^{-1}$	37	0.6	0.2	– 1.3	9	1.1	0.3	– 1.7
	Soil to leaf Hydlauric resistance per foliage mass	$Mpa\ kg\ s\ mmol^{-1}$	64	1.2	0.1	– 5.8	60	1.2	0.0	– 10.1
	Stem (sapwood) specific conductivity (Kstem)	$kg\ m^{-1}\ s^{-1}\ MPa^{-1}$	18	1.0	0.4	– 2.2	9	1.3	0.3	– 2.3
	Predawn foliage water potential (Ψpd)	MPa	51	-0.4	-2.1	– 0.0	132	-0.6	-2.3	– 0.0
	Midday foliage water potential (Ψmd)	MPa	370	-1.0	-2.3	– -0.1	189	-1.3	-2.5	– -0.3
	Osmotic potential at water saturation ($\Psi \pi sat$)	MPa	258	-1.6	-2.7	– -0.7	93	-1.3	-2.4	– -0.1
	Leaf water potential at turgor loss point (Ψtlp)	MPa	262	-2.3	-3.6	– -1.3	161	-2.3	-4.1	– -0.9
	Water content at turgor loss point (RWCtlp)	$g\ g^{-1}$	101	0.8	0.6	– 0.9	93	0.7	0.5	– 0.8
	Bulk elastic modulus (ε)	MPa	101	7.4	1.7	– 15.1	53	4.4	0.6	– 10.7
	Branch water potential at 50% conductivity loss ($\Psi 50$)	MPa	2	-4.8	-5.2	– -4.4	2	-6.7	-7.6	– -5.8
	Root water potential at 50% conductivity loss	MPa	1	-4.1	-4.1	– -4.1	2	-3.1	-4.3	– -2.0
	Water use efficiency	$mg\ g^{-1}$	8	4.9	3.6	– 5.4	8	5.2	4.2	– 6.6
	13C:12C ratio in leaves	‰	74	-27.4	-30.1	– -24.7	44	-26.8	-28.5	– -24.6
Leaf morphology	Specific leafe area (SLA)	$m^2\ kg^{-1}$	379	4.9	1.5	– 16.9	244	5.8	3.2	– 15.7
	Shoot silhouette area to projected needle area ratio (SPAR)	NA	39	0.6	0.5	– 0.7	0	—		
Root morphology	Specific root length	$m\ g^{-1}$	132	13.3	0.2	– 48.4	196	14.8	0.3	– 46.2
	Specific root surface area	$cm^2\ mg^{-1}$	44	0.2	0.0	– 0.4	3	0.2	0.0	– 0.4

Table 1. continued

Category	Trait	Unit	Cryptomeria japonica n	Mean	Range		Chamaecyparis obtusa n	Mean	Range	
Anatomy	Number of stomata per foliage area	mm^{-2}	173	127.9	11.9	342.0	7	635.3	500.0	830.0
	Width of stomata	μm	3	65.8	31.2	85.3	2	20.7	19.9	21.5
	Length of stomata	μm	21	47.9	28.0	111.9	2	28.2	27.4	29.0
	Cross sectional area of foliage xylem	μm^2	43	1639	1048	2380	33	434	213	1104
	Cross sectional area of foliage transfusion cell	μm^2	46	9369	3522	16917	34	367	43	1082
	Tracheid length of early wood	mm	8	2.4	1.3	3.0	99	2.3	0.9	3.6
	Tracheid length of late wood	mm	495	2.4	0.8	4.5	7	2.8	1.8	3.8
	Tracheid diameter (tangent) of early wood	μm	47	28.2	21.6	37.0	3	24.0	21.0	26.0
	Tracheid diameter (radial) of early wood	μm	56	35.2	24.9	51.6	3	27.0	24.0	29.0
	Tracheid diameter (tangent) of late wood	μm	32	25.5	19.8	30.1	3	19.0	16.0	22.0
	Tracheid diameter (radial) of late wood	μm	56	15.0	9.0	24.9	3	14.7	13.0	16.0
Wood density	Basic density of stem	g cm^3	640	0.35	0.13	0.59	44	0.41	0.38	0.50
	Basic density of branch	g cm^3	31	0.32	0.06	0.65	0	—		
	Basic density of root	g cm^3	4	0.42	0.39	0.45	4	0.46	0.40	0.50
	Basic density of fine root	g cm^3	44	0.28	0.17	0.33	105	0.29	0.17	0.80
Resource use	Nitrogen resorption efficiency of leaves	%	3	23.1	5.5	49.1	19	39.4	23.4	56.4
	N content of foliage litter fall	mg g^{-1}	6	7.8	6.4	8.3	52	7.8	4.3	11.3
	15N:14N ratio in leaves	‰	4	2.0	-4.9	9.0	4	2.3	-6.4	10.3
	Leaf longevity	year	24	4.7	2.9	10.4	47	4.6	1.9	8.3
	Fineroot longevity	year	1	4.1	4.1	4.1	7	2.3	1.3	5.3
Nitrogen content	Nitrogen content per foliage area (Na, Ns)	g m^{-2}	381	3.9	1.0	12.6	112	2.5	0.8	4.1
	Nitrogen content per foliage dry mass (Nm)	mg g^{-1}	2116	13.8	4.5	44.3	759	14.1	6.7	32.4
	Nitrogen content per stem dry mass	mg g^{-1}	163	5.0	0.3	16.2	32	8.1	0.4	15.5
	Nitrogen content per root dry mass	mg g^{-1}	152	7.8	0.5	27.5	41	11.9	4.9	18.6
	Nitrogen content per thick root (>20mm) dry mass	mg g^{-1}	28	1.6	0.3	3.2	4	1.2	0.2	2.4
	Nitrogen content per thin root (<20mm, >2mm) dry mass	mg g^{-1}	28	3.4	0.6	5.1	4	3.6	3.0	4.3
	Nitrogen content per fine root (<2mm) dry mass	mg g^{-1}	63	9.8	2.9	18.2	107	10.4	0.9	17.0
Phosphorous content	Phosphorus content per foliage dry mass (Pm)	mg g^{-1}	692	1.5	0.5	8.9	357	1.6	0.4	8.1
	Phosphorus content per stem dry mass	mg g^{-1}	163	0.5	0.0	3.5	32	0.5	0.1	1.1
	Phosphorus content per root dry mass	mg g^{-1}	145	0.9	0.1	7.3	34	1.2	0.6	2.8
	Phosphorus content of thick root (>20mm) dry mass	mg g^{-1}	28	0.3	0.1	0.7	4	0.4	0.2	0.7
	Phosphorus content of thin root (<20mm, >2mm) dry mass	mg g^{-1}	28	0.4	0.2	0.7	4	0.3	0.2	0.4
	Phosphorus content of fine root (<2mm) dry mass	mg g^{-1}	26	0.6	0.3	1.4	4	0.6	0.3	0.8
Pottasium content	Pottasium content per foliage mass (Km)	mg g^{-1}	722	8.1	1.8	37.2	354	8.0	2.3	36.4
	Pottasium content per stem dry mass	mg g^{-1}	163	3.0	0.2	12.2	32	4.2	0.6	7.1
	Pottasium content per root dry mass	mg g^{-1}	157	3.7	0.2	16.8	34	4.1	1.4	14.8
	Pottasium content per thick root (>20mm) dry mass	mg g^{-1}	28	1.2	0.7	2.5	4	1.0	0.8	1.2
	Pottasium content per thin root (<20mm, >2mm) dry mass	mg g^{-1}	28	1.9	1.1	2.6	4	1.5	1.4	1.7
	Pottasium content per fine root (<2mm) dry mass	mg g^{-1}	26	2.2	1.1	4.3	4	2.0	1.3	2.8
Chemical composition	Lignin content of foliage	%	3	25.9	23.6	28.3	4	24.4	23.6	25.6
	Lignin content of foliage litter fall	%	2	34.8	30.2	39.4	1	27.3	27.3	27.3
	Lignin content of deadwood	%	69	33.5	30.2	40.6	67	31.3	28.4	38.1
	Holocellulose content of foliage	%	3	48.1	47.4	48.9	4	51.6	50.7	53.9
	Holocellulose content of foliage litter fall	%	2	46.5	45.5	47.5	1	42.4	42.4	42.4
	Holocellulose content of deadwood	%	69	63.5	55.0	68.1	67	65.6	57.7	69.0
	Nitrogen content of deadwood	g kg^{-1}	69	0.9	0.2	4.5	67	0.6	0.1	2.1
	Carbon content of deadwood	g kg^{-1}	69	513.7	483.0	537.0	67	521.5	498.0	547.0
Biomass	Leaf biomass (LM)	kg	150	4.1	0.0	57.1	77	4.8	0.1	22.2
	Stem+branch biomass	kg	150	30.3	0.0	477.2	77	43.3	1.6	208.4
	Branch biomass	kg	72	6.2	0.0	39.0	77	4.8	0.1	23.2
	Root biomass (RM)	kg	195	7.2	0.0	146.4	100	9.5	0.0	67.8
	Thick root biomass (>20mm)	kg	29	25.3	0.8	138.9	16	15.9	2.2	59.5
	Thin root biomass (<20mm, >2mm)	kg	29	3.3	0.5	6.0	16	3.5	1.1	6.8
	Fine root biomass (<2mm)	kg	39	0.5	0.0	1.5	16	0.7	0.2	1.5
Allocation	Annual allocation of net primary production to foliage	t ha^{-1} y^{-1}	94	4.8	1.7	7.7	22	3.8	2.8	5.3
	Annual allocation of net primary production to stem + branch	t ha^{-1} y^{-1}	94	9.6	2.1	28.6	22	9.9	6.4	13.6
	Annual allocation of net primary production to branch	t ha^{-1} y^{-1}	80	1.8	0.4	5.1	21	2.0	0.6	2.8
	Annual allocation of net primary production to root	t ha^{-1} y^{-1}	94	2.7	0.5	7.4	22	2.8	1.7	3.9
	Annual foliage litterfall	t ha^{-1} y^{-1}	46	3.6	0.9	6.6	130	3.2	0.8	6.0
	Annual branch + bark litterfall	t ha^{-1} y^{-1}	26	0.8	0.1	3.7	83	0.7	0.0	7.0
Stand characteristics	Leaf area index (LAI)	NA	16	5.9	2.1	17.2	62	7.0	2.0	12.4
	LAI-based light absorption coefficient (k)	NA	6	0.4	0.3	0.5	1	1.0	1.0	1.0
	Leaf mass-based Light absorption coefficient	NA	2	0.3	0.3	0.3	2	0.3	0.2	0.3

1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679

Table 2 Species name and abbreviations used in Figure 10. Data of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* are from this study, and other data are from Pittermann et al. 2012.

Species	Abbr.	Phenology, native habitat
Athrotaxis laxifolia	AL	E, Montane forests, Tasmania
Austrocedrus chilensis	AC	E, The Andes, Chile and Argentina
Callitris macleayana	CM	E, Mesic-dry forests, eastern Australia
Calocedrus decurrens	CD	E, Montane forests, United States–Mexican Pacific Coast
Chamaecyparis lawsoniana	CL	E, Mixed forests, Oregon to northern California
Chamaecyparis obtusa (This study)	CO*	E, Mixed evergreen forests, Japan and Taiwan
Cryptomeria japonica	CJ	E, Mixed evergreen forests, Japan
Cryptomeria japonica (This study)	CJ*	E, Mixed evergreen forests, Japan
Cunninghamia lanceolata	CL	E, Mixed broad-leaved forests of southeast Asia
Cupressus forbesii	CF	E, Chaparral, southern California, northern Mexico
Fitzroya cupressoides	FC	E, Evergreen rainforest, Chile
Glyptostrobus pensilis	GP	D, Riparian, southern China
Juniperus californica	JC	E, Desert, southern California to northern Mexico
Libocedrus plumosa	LP	E, Mixed conifer rainforests, New Zealand
Metasequoia glyptostroboides	MG	D, Mesic mixed forests, central China
Sciadopitys verticillata	SC	E, Temperate moist forests, Japan
Sequoia sempervirens	SS	E, northern coastal California
Sequoiadendron giganteum	SG	E, Sierra Nevada, California
Taiwania cryptomeroides	TC	E, Cool temperate forests, Asia
Taxodium distichum	TD	D, Riparian regions in southeastern United States
Taxodium mucronatum	TM	D, Southern Texas, Mexico, Central America
Taxus baccata	TB	E, Broadly distributed across Europe
Thuja plicata	TP	E, Mixed coniferous forests, United States Pacific northwest
Thujopsis dolabrata	TO	E, Coastal and montane Japan
Widdringtonia cedarbergensis	WC	E, Fynbos, South Africa