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#### Abstract

Kinesins are microtubule-based motors important in cell division, motility, polarity and intracellular transport in many eukaryotes, but poorly studied in eukaryotic pathogens. Plasmodium, the causative agent of malaria, is a divergent eukaryote with atypical aspects of cell division and a plasticity of morphology throughout its lifecycle in both mammalian and mosquito hosts. Here we describe a genome-wide screen of all nine Plasmodium kinesins, revealing diverse subcellular locations and functions in spindle assembly, axoneme formation and cell morphology. Surprisingly, only kinesin-13 has an essential role for growth in the mammalian host while the other eight kinesins are required during the proliferative and invasive stages of parasite transmission through the mosquito vector. In-depth analyses of kinesin-13 and kinesin-20 revealed functions in microtubule (MT) dynamics during apical polarity formation, spindle assembly and axoneme biogenesis. This comprehensive study will inform the targeting of MT motors for therapeutic intervention in malaria.


## Introduction

Kinesins are microtubule (MT)-based motor proteins that use energy from the hydrolysis of ATP and function in various cellular processes including intracellular transport, mitotic spindle formation and chromosome segregation during cell division, and the organisation of cell polarity and cytoskeleton associated with motility (Konjikusic et al., 2021; Verhey and Hammond, 2009). There are 14-16 kinesin subfamilies identified in eukaryotes, and categorised based on analysis of the motor domain, with similar biological roles established by in vitro studies, and in vivo phenotypes in these organisms (Hirokawa and Tanaka, 2015; Konjikusic et al., 2021; Yount et al., 2015). Kinesin subfamilies that regulate MT dynamics, such as kinesin- 8 and -13 , are found in most eukaryotes including primitive and evolutionarily divergent eukaryotes (Vicente and Wordeman, 2015; Wickstead et al., 2010). Although there is an extensive literature and various bioinformatic and molecular investigations of kinesins in many eukaryotes, information on these molecular motors is sparse in deep rooted pathogenic eukaryotes including Plasmodium and other Apicomplexa, Giardia, and Trypanosoma (Vicente and Wordeman, 2015). These primitive eukaryotes have a flagellate stage in their life cycle and may have a complex MT associated cytoskeleton (Wickstead and Gull, 2011) indicating the importance of MT-based motor proteins in their development.

Plasmodium, the causative agent of malaria belongs to the phylum Apicomplexa and is an ancient haploid unicellular eukaryote with a number of morphologically diverse proliferative stages during its complex life cycle involving various cell and tissue types in its vertebrate and invertebrate hosts (Fig. 1A) (Sinden, 1991; Zeeshan et al., 2020b). In the mammalian host the parasite proliferates by repeated closed endomitotic division while retaining an intact nuclear membrane and cytokinesis only follows the final nuclear division, a process termed schizogony within liver and red blood cells, to produce multiple infective merozoites (Fig. 1A). In this cyclic asexual proliferative stage, merozoites invade red blood cells (RBCs), developing through ring and trophozoite stages into schizonts (Sinden, 1991; Zeeshan et al., 2020b). DNA replication and asynchronous nuclear division forms a polyploid cell followed by a synchronised round of S-phase, karyokinesis followed by
cytokinesis (Gubbels et al., 2020). Some of these asexual parasites in the RBC arrest and commit to sexual development as gametocytes (Fig. 1A). Gametocytes develop no further unless ingested in a blood meal by a female mosquito (the invertebrate host). The environmental conditions in the mosquito gut (lower temperature, higher alkalinity and the presence of xanthurenic acid) activate gametogenesis (Billker et al., 1998; Sinden et al., 1978). Female gametocytes produce a single, round, haploid female gamete after 15 min of activation without many physiological or morphological changes (Sinden et al., 1978). However, male gametogenesis is much more profound, although very fast, and complete within 12-15 min of activation, including two major events (Sinden et al., 1978). First, three rounds of DNA replication and chromosome segregation produce an 8 N genome by closed mitosis, followed by nuclear division and cytokinesis. Second, axoneme assembly and maturation occur in the cytoplasm, leading to formation of flagellate haploid male gametes in a process termed exflagellation (Sinden, 1991; Zeeshan et al., 2020b). The motile male gamete fertilises the female gamete, and the resultant diploid zygote differentiates over six distinct stages (I to VI ) into a banana-shaped, tetraploid and invasive motile ookinete with distinct apical polarity and conoidal associated proteins (Janse et al., 1985; Koreny et al., 2021; Zeeshan et al., 2020b). At the same time, in the first stage of meiosis, the DNA is replicated once and the now tetraploid ookinete develops over a 24 h period in the mosquito gut (Janse et al., 1985; Zeeshan et al., 2020b). The ookinete traverses the mosquito gut wall, forming an oocyst under the basal lamina. Within the oocyst endomitosis produces many sporozoites, which is another invasive and motile polar cell (Schrevel et al., 1977; Sinden and Strong, 1978). Sporozoite formation is called sporogony and the large number of haploid sporozoites derived from a single oocyst migrate to and invade the salivary glands, from where they are injected into the next mammalian host by infected mosquito bite (Graumans et al., 2020). The complete life cycle of the malaria parasite highlights the varied morphological differences in cellular size and shape, together with various modes of cell division and proliferation (Fig. 1A).

In our recent bioinformatic analysis of kinesins in Apicomplexa, we found nine kinesins encoded in the Plasmodium berghei genome, with members of three conserved kinesin subfamilies (kinesin-5, -8B, -8X and -13 ); kinesin-4, -15 and -20 ; and two Apicomplexa-specific kinesins: kinesin-X3 and -X4 (Zeeshan et al., 2019b). Surprisingly, kinesin-5 and the two kinesin-8 family members (kinesin-8X and $-8 B$ ) were found to be not essential for blood stage proliferation (Zeeshan et al., 2020a; Zeeshan et al., 2019a; Zeeshan et al., 2019b). However, deletion of kinesin-5, which showed a clear localisation with the spindle at all proliferative stages, affected the production of infective sporozoites (Zeeshan et al., 2020a). Kinesin-8X was required for endomitotic proliferation in oocysts, and kinesin-8B deletion resulted in a defect in axoneme biogenesis during male gametogenesis (Zeeshan et al., 2019a; Zeeshan et al., 2019b).

Here, we present a genome-wide screen of all $P$. berghei kinesins using genetargeting approaches, live-cell imaging, ultrastructure expansion microscopy and electron microscopy, and RNA-seq and ChIP-seq analyses. We elucidate the subcellular location of each kinesin using a protein endogenously tagged at the C-terminus with GFP, showing a differential localisation of kinesins in the mitotic and meiotic stages and a pellicular and polar location in certain invasive stages. Eight of the nine kinesins are required only for parasite transmission through the mosquito vector during the sexual and asexual sporogony stages. Only kinesin-13 is likely essential during blood stage schizogony. An in-depth analysis of kinesin-13 and kinesin-20 revealed a distinct subcellular location and function in MT assembly during spindle formation, axoneme assembly and cell polarity. Kinesin-20 was associated with a striking ring-like structure during zygote to ookinete differentiation and deletion of the gene revealed a function in the morphology and motility of the ookinete. Ultrastructure expansion methods and electron microscopic analysis showed a defect arises from the extent and organization of subpellicular MTs. Kinesin-13 is expressed at all proliferative stages of the life cycle and it associates with the kinetochore. Kinesin-13 genetic knockdown affected MT dynamics during spindle formation and axoneme assembly in male gametocytes, and subpellicular MT organization in ookinetes.

This comprehensive study of all $P$. berghei kinesins showed that most are required during parasite development within the mosquito vector where there are several morphological forms of the cell, with differentiation between invasive and proliferative stages. Kinesin-13 is the only kinesin essential for both asexual blood stages and sexual stages. These findings will inform the targeting of MT motors for therapeutic intervention against malaria.

## Results

## Live-cell imaging of all Plasmodium kinesins reveals diverse locations during cell

## division, differentiation, and pellicle formation throughout the life cycle.

To investigate the expression and subcellular location of all kinesins throughout the $P$. berghei life cycle, we generated transgenic parasite lines by single crossover recombination at the 3' end of each gene to express a fusion protein with a C-terminal GFP-tag (fig. S1A). PCR analysis of genomic DNA from each line, using locus-specific diagnostic primers, indicated correct integration of the GFP sequence (fig. S1B). Each kinesin-GFP parasite line completed the full life cycle with no detectable phenotypic change resulting from the GFP tagging. We analysed the expression and subcellular location of these GFP-tagged proteins by live cell imaging at various stages of the life cycle. Overall, we found that the nine kinesins have a diverse pattern of expression, with distinct subcellular locations including the mitotic spindle, axonemes, the surface pellicle and a polar distribution at various stages of the parasite life cycle (Fig. 1B). Kinesin-4GFP expression was detected only during oocyst development with a diffuse distribution (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, only two kinesins, kinesin-5 and -13, were expressed throughout the parasite life cycle, including blood stage schizogony, and were located on the mitotic spindle in both asexual and sexual stages (Fig. 1C). Kinesin-5GFP was restricted to the nucleus and not detected in mature extracellular parasites such as merozoites, male gametes and sporozoites, while kinesin-13GFP had both a nuclear and cytosolic location (Fig. 1C). Kinesin-8XGFP was also located on the mitotic spindle but only during the proliferative stages within the mosquito vector. Three kinesins (kinesin-8B, -15 and -4 X ) are expressed only during male gametogenesis with cytosolic locations (Fig. 1C), and two kinesins (kinesin-20 and -X3) were first detected in female gametocytes with a diffuse location (Fig. 1C). Their presence continues into the zygote and later stages of ookinete differentiation and sporogony with particular locations that are discussed in detail below (Fig. 1C). We also observed two kinesins at polar locations: kinesin-8X at the basal end of stage V to VI ookinetes and kinesin-13 at the apical end throughout ookinete development (Fig. 1C).

Kinesin-5 and -8X are nuclear spindle kinesins associated with the kinetochore (NDC80) that bind centromeres.

Live cell imaging showed that the location of two kinesins, kinesin- 5 and kinesin-8X, was restricted to the nucleus throughout the life cycle stages. To further illustrate the spatiotemporal dynamics of these kinesins during spindle formation, chromosome segregation and axoneme biogenesis during male gametogenesis, we crossed parasite lines expressing kinesin-5-GFP and -8X-GFP with lines expressing NDC80-Cherry, a kinetochore protein in the nucleus, and kinesin-8B-Cherry, an axonemal protein in the cytoplasm, and compared protein location by live-cell imaging. Both kinesin-5 and -8X (green) were co-localised with NDC80 (red) suggesting a role in mitotic spindle function and chromosome segregation (Fig. 2A and B). On the other hand, neither kinesin-5 nor kinesin-8X showed any overlap with kinesin-8B (red) during male gametogenesis (fig. S2A and B) confirming their restricted location in the nucleus.

Kinetochores are multiprotein complexes assembled at the centromere of each chromosome that mediate chromosome attachment to spindle MT. Because kinesin-5 and 8X showed colocalization with kinetochore protein NDC80, we analysed further the binding of these proteins at the centromere DNA. We performed ChIP-seq experiments with parasites undergoing gametogenesis (6 min post-activation [mpa]), using kinesin-5GFP and kinesin-8XGFP tagged parasites and GFP-specific antibodies. Strong ChIP-seq peaks for each chromosome were observed with these kinesins, indicating their binding sites. Binding was restricted to a region close to the previously annotated centromeres of all 14 chromosomes (Iwanaga et al., 2012) (Fig. 2C). Together, live cell imaging and ChIP-seq analysis support the notion that kinesin-5 and kinesin-8X associate with kinetochores assembled at centromeres.

Apicomplexa-specific kinesins (kinesin-X3 and X4) have discrete locations during pellicle formation and axoneme assembly in sexual stage parasites.

Previous bioinformatic analysis identified two Plasmodium kinesins (kinesin-X3 and -X4) (Wickstead et al., 2010; Zeeshan et al., 2019b) that are restricted to the phylum Apicomplexa (Zeeshan et al., 2019b). These parasitic organisms are characterised by a specialised apical complex structure that coordinates the interaction with and penetration of host cells, and are enclosed by peculiar structure termed the pellicle that comprises the plasma membrane and an underlying layer of fused flattened membrane vesicles forming the inner membrane complex [IMC]) with associated MTs (Gould et al., 2008; Kono et al., 2013). The live cell imaging of kinesin-X3 and -X 4 revealed a stage-specific expression during sexual development with a distinct location (Fig. 1C). During ookinete differentiation, kinesin-X3 expression was restricted to one side of the cell in the early stages of development (stage IIII), suggesting an involvement in pellicle formation (Fig. 3A). In later stages (stage IV-VI), the kinesin-X3 location became more distinct around the periphery of the ookinete. Monoclonal antibody 13.1 conjugated with cy3 (red), which recognises the protein P28 on the surface of zygote and ookinete stages, was used to stain these stages and showed colocalization with kinesin-X3 (green) (Fig. 3A), although kinesin-X3 was not present at the apical and basal ends of the developing ookinete (Fig. 3A).

The expression and location of kinesin-X4 (green) was compared by live-cell imaging during male gametogenesis with that of axonemal protein kinesin-8B (red), which is located on basal bodies and axonemes, tracking the process of male gametogenesis (Zeeshan et al., 2019a). Live cell imaging showed a diffuse cytosolic distribution of kinesin-X4 during early stages of male gametogenesis (1-3 mpa) and no strong signal on the basal body tetrads labelled with kinesin-8B (red) (Fig 3B). However, in later stages ( $4-6 \mathrm{mpa}$ ) the kinesin-X4 signal changed in distribution to exhibit linear structures, which maintained in the later stages (8-10 mpa) and show co-localization with kinesin-8B (Fig. 3B).

## Genome-wide functional screen reveals that eight out of nine kinesins are required only for parasite transmission and not for blood stage proliferation.

To study the functional role of kinesins throughout the Plasmodium life cycle, each gene was deleted from P. berghei using a double crossover homologous recombination strategy as described previously (Tewari et al., 2010) (fig. S3A). Diagnostic PCR was performed to show successful integration of the targeting constructs at each gene locus (fig. S3B), except that kinesin-13 could not be deleted. PCR analysis of knockout parasites confirmed the complete deletion of eight kinesin genes (fig. S3B), indicating that they are not essential during the asexual blood stage. Only kinesin-13, which could not be deleted despite several attempts, likely has an essential role during the asexual blood stage. A recent functional profiling of the Plasmodium genome (Bushell et al., 2017) also supports the essential role of kinesin-13 during the blood stage. This study found that a further five kinesins (kinesin-4, $8 \mathrm{~B},-8 \mathrm{X},-20$ and -X 4 ) are not essential for blood stage growth but provided no data for the remaining three kinesins (kinesin-5, -15 and -X3) (Bushell et al., 2017).

Further phenotypic analyses of these kinesin-knockout parasites, in comparison with the parental parasite (WTGFP), were carried out at various stages of the life cycle (Fig. 4A). The phenotype of each gene deletion was examined in asexual blood stages, during male gametogenesis and the formation of exflagellation centres, during ookinete formation, in the number and size of oocysts, for the formation of sporozoites in oocysts and their migration to salivary glands, and for parasite transmission to the vertebrate host (Fig. 4A). Of the eight kinesins, only two knockout parasite lines ( $\Delta$ kinesin-8B and $\Delta$ kinesin-15) showed a defect in the formation of male gametes (Fig. 4B). $\Delta$ kinesin- $8 B$ parasites produced no male gametes as shown previously (Depoix et al., 2020; Zeeshan et al., 2019a), while there was a significant decrease in male gamete formation in $\Delta$ kinesin-15 parasites (Fig. 4B). Next, we analysed the zygote to ookinete transition (round to banana-shaped cells) after 24 h following gametocyte activation. Three parasite lines ( $\Delta$ kinesin-8B, $\Delta k i n e s i n-15$ and $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ ) produced none or reduced numbers of ookinetes (Fig. 4C). $\Delta$ kinesin-8B parasites produced no ookinetes, as expected because there were no male gametes to fertilise the female gametes (Fig. 4C) (Zeeshan et al., 2019a). 4 kinesin-15 parasites produced significantly fewer male gametes, which would be expected to fewer ookinetes
compared to WTGFP parasites (Fig. 4C). In contrast, 4 kinesin-20 parasites exflagellated normally therefore this kinesin must have a direct effect on ookinete formation (Fig. 4C).

To assess the effect of kinesin gene deletions on oocyst development and infective sporozoite formation, 40-50 Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes were fed on mice infected with individual kinesin-knockout lines, and parasite development was examined. First, GFP-positive oocysts on the mosquito gut wall were counted at 7 -, 14- and 21-days postinfection (dpi). Three out of eight kinesin-knockout lines showed defects in oocyst production; 4 kinesin-8B parasites produced no oocysts as shown previously (Zeeshan et al., 2019a), while there was a significant reduction in $\Delta k i n e s i n-15$ and $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ oocysts compared to WTGFP oocysts at 7 dpi and a further reduction by 14 and 21 dpi (Fig. 4D). The known adverse effects on ookinete production rather than a direct effect on oocyst development could explain this observation. Although there was no significant difference in the number of oocysts of other kinesin gene knockouts compared to WTGFP at 7 dpi , a significant reduction was observed for the $\Delta$ kinesin- $8 X$ line at 14 dpi , which became more evident by 21 dpi (Fig. 4D). Oocyst size was not affected in most of lines that produced them, the only exception was $\Delta$ kinesin- $8 X$ oocysts, which were approximately half the size of WTGFP oocysts at 14 dpi , and even smaller by 21 dpi (Fig. 4E). It would appear that kinesis-8X is the only kinesin that appears to directly affect oocyst development. Four out of eight kinesin-knockout lines produced no or defective sporozoite; $\Delta k i n e s i n-8 B$ and $\Delta k i n e s i n-8 X$ produced no sporozoites, as reported earlier (Zeeshan et al., 2019a; Zeeshan et al., 2019b), while $\Delta k i n e s i n-15$ and $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ lines had significantly reduced sporozoite numbers compared to control parental parasites (Fig. 4F). These defects were mirrored in the salivary glands: for the $\Delta k i n e s i n-8 B$ and $\Delta k i n e s i n-8 X$ lines no sporozoites were detected, as reported earlier (Zeeshan et al., 2019a; Zeeshan et al., 2019b), while $\Delta k i n e s i n-15$ and $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ lines had a significantly reduced number. The $\Delta k i n e s i n-5$ parasite produced significantly fewer infective salivary gland sporozoites (Fig. 4G) as reported previously (Zeeshan et al., 2020a). However, although several kinesin geneknockout lines exhibited defects in sporozoite production and reduced salivary gland
infection, these sporozoites were still infectious to the mammalian host as observed with successful infection of new hosts in mosquito bite back experiments (Fig. 4H). In summary, for most of the kinesin gene-knockout $P$. berghei lines, there were clear developmental defects at specific stages of life cycle within the mosquito vector.

## Kinesin-20-GFP location reveals a ring-like structure during ookinete differentiation, and deletion of the kinesin-20 gene affects ookinete morphology and motility.

In the initial phenotypic screen described above, the $\Delta$ kinesin-20 parasite did not produce normal ookinetes (Fig. 4C) but did produce a few oocysts (Fig. 4D), so we undertook a more in-depth analysis to investigate this further. First, we analysed the spatiotemporal profile of kinesin-20GFP expression during zygote to ookinete differentiation, using P28 as a cell surface marker. Live cell imaging showed a diffuse distribution of kinesin-20GFP in the female gametocyte and zygote (Fig. 5A). Subsequently, the intensity of kinesin-20GFP increased in the developing apical protuberance from the main cell body, and at later stages, especially at stage-II and III that are about 6-8 h after gametocyte activation (Fig. 5A). The protein appeared like a ring restricted to the junction of the main cell body and the protrusion that is characteristic of developing ookinetes during stage II to stage V , and then largely disappeared in mature ookinetes (stage VI ) with a largely diffuse distribution similar to zygote stage (Fig. 5A).

Next, we examined the $\Delta$ kinesin- 20 parasites to ascertain whether the zygote was formed and at what stage parasite development was blocked. The $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ parasite developed a short protuberance in stage-II similar to that of the WTGFP control (Fig. 5B), but this protrusion developed into a bulbous structure rather than the characteristic bananashaped ookinete (Fig. 5B) and remained like this 24 h later when the WTGFP ookinete had fully differentiated into banana-shaped structure (Fig. 5B and C). Since the mature wildtype ookinete is a motile and invasive stage, we investigated the motility of the $\Delta$ kinesin- 20 bulbous ookinete using a Matrigel substrate, as described previously (Volkmann et al., 2012; Zeeshan et al., 2019b). There was a significant decrease in the motility of $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$
ookinetes compared with the WTGFP ookinetes that showed normal gliding motility (Fig. 5D and E; movies S1 and S2).

Although $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ parasites made no morphologically normal and motile ookinetes, nevertheless they formed a few oocysts that produced sporozoites (Fig. 4F). This would point to the mutation specifically affecting motility but not invasion of the mosquito gut. Therefore a few immotile ookinetes may contact the mosquito gut wall during gut turbulence and these are able to invade and initiate oocyst formation. The $\Delta$ kinesin-20 sporozoites were morphologically similar to WTGFP parasites, so we examined their motility on Matrigel as described previously (Volkmann et al., 2012; Wall et al., 2019). The motility of $\Delta$ kinesin-20 sporozoites was similar to that of WTGFP sporozoites (Fig. 5F and G; movies S3 and S4), suggesting that the defect in $\Delta$ kinesin-20 parasite shape and motility is limited to ookinete development.

## qRTPCR and RNA-seq analysis of $\mathbf{\Delta k i n e s i n}$-20 parasites showed the modulation of a limited number of genes.

In order to examine whether the expression of other kinesin genes is mis-regulated in $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ parasites, we performed qRTPCR for all the other kinesin genes. None of the other kinesin genes showed any significant change in expression in $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ parasites compared with WTGFP parasites (Fig. 5H).

To determine genome-wide changes in transcription we performed RNA-seq analysis of the $\Delta$ kinesin-20 gametocytes at 2 h post activation. The kinesin- 20 deletion in the 4 kinesin-20 strain was confirmed, since no significant reads mapped to the region of this gene (Fig. 5I). The volcano plot shows that only one gene was upregulated, and 16 genes were down regulated (Fig. 5J, table S1A). Most of the differentially regulated genes belong to pir and fam gene clusters.

## Ultrastructure analysis of $\Delta$ kinesin-20 ookinetes reveals disorganised subpellicular

 microtubules.The defective size and shape of $\Delta$ kinesin-20 parasites during ookinete differentiation, led us to perform high resolution and ultrastructure analysis of the bulbous ookinetes using ultrastructure expansion- and electron microscopy. With expansion microscopy using MTspecific antibody, we observed a marked reduction in microtubule length (Fig. 6A): the length of MTs in $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ bulbous ookinetes was decreased by approximately $40 \%$ compared to those of WTGFP parasites, which also reflected a shortening of the overall length of the ookinete (fig. S4). This difference was confirmed by electron microscopy observations (Fig. 6B).

In an ultrastructural comparison of WTGFP and $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ ookinetes, the most obvious difference was the shape of the cell. In longitudinal section, the WTGFP ookinetes were elongated with a crescentic outline (Fig. 6Ba), but in contrast, longitudinal sections of the $\Delta$ kinesin-20 ookinetes were less elongated and had a more bulbous appearance (Fig. $\mathbf{6 B b}, \mathbf{c}$ ). In WTGFP parasites, the distribution of subcellular organelles appeared ordered with most micronemes in the apical cytoplasm, a more centrally located crystalline body and a posterior nucleus (Fig. 6Ba). In contrast, early $\Delta$ kinesin-20 ookinetes had a large central nucleus with a few dense granules but lacked both micronemes and a crystalline body (Fig. 6Bb). Others that appeared more mature, possessed similar organelles (micronemes, crystalline body, nucleus) to those of the WTGFP, but differed from the control in having more randomly distributed micronemes (Fig. 6Bc).

Due to the differences in cell shape, the apical complex and pellicle were examined in detail. When the apical complex was examined in longitudinal (Fig. 6Bd, e) and cross (Fig. 6Bf, g) section, the complex nature of the structure was revealed. Interestingly, WTGFP and $\Delta$ kinesin-20 ookinetes displayed an identical sub-structure (Fig. 6Bd - e and f-g). In longitudinal sections of the central apex region, three conoidal rings could be identified underneath the plasma membrane. A unique sub-structure of the ookinete is the apical collar, which represents a truncated cone-like structure embedded in the pellicle. The outer
region of the collar is electron dense and appears to be fused to the IMC, which is interrupted at the apical end to form apical polar ring 1 (Fig. 6Bd-g). The inner aspect of the collar is more electron lucent and in close contact with the sub-pellicular MTs (Fig. 6Bd-g). The apical ends of the MTs are attached to a ring forming apical polar ring 2 (Fig. 6Bd, e). For a detailed review of the apical complex see Koreny et al (Koreny et al., 2021). Approximately 50 sub-pellicular MTs emanate from polar ring 2 and run longitudinally beneath the collar (Fig. 6Bf, g) and then beneath the IMC of the pellicle (Fig. 6Bh, i). In the region of the collar, MTs were evenly distributed in both WTGFP and $\Delta$ kinesin- 20 parasites (Fig. 6Bf, g), however, in more posterior sections, while there continued to be an even distribution of MTs in close contact with the IMC in the WTGFP (Fig. 6Bh) ookinete, in the $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$, there were areas where there was uneven distribution, clumping and detachment of the MT from IMC (Fig. 6Bi).

Kinesin-13 associates with kinetochore marker NDC80 at all proliferative stages of the life cycle and its knockdown affects male gamete formation.

We performed a detailed analysis of kinesin 13, because we observed both a diffuse cytoplasmic distribution and a distinct nuclear pattern of kinesin-13GFP during all proliferative stages as shown in Fig. 1; in addition this was the only kinesin gene that was essential for blood stage schizogony and could not be disrupted in our genome-wide screen. First, we performed live cell co-imaging of kinesin-13GFP and the NDC80-cherry kinetochore marker after crossing the two transgenic parasite lines, to observe kinesin13-GFP dynamics during chromosome segregation in various developmental stages. There was colocalization of kinesin-13 and NDC80 at all proliferative stages (Fig. 7), for example during the schizogony and sporogony endomitotic stages (Fig. 7A, B). In the sexual cells, during the atypical rapid mitosis of male gametogenesis there was partial colocalization of kinesin-13 and NDC80, but a substantial amount of kinesin-13 was also located in the cytosolic compartment (Fig. 7C). In the meiotic stage during ookinete development there was clear colocalization of kinesin-13 and NDC80 (Fig. 7D). At the start of meiotic division (2 h after
zygote formation) there was one strong nuclear focus and at the end of ookinete formation there were three to four co-localised foci (Fig. 7D). Further analysis by fixed immunofluorescence microscopy of kinesin-13 (green) in the male gamete revealed a colocalization with tubulin (red) (fig. S5A). To further examine the location of kinesin-13, we used ultrastructure expansion microscopy, to examine gametocytes activated for 15 min , and then compared its location with that of tubulins. Kinesin-13 (green) was observed to colocalized with $\alpha / \beta$ tubulin (magenta) suggesting a location on axonemes and spindles (fig. S5B).

Since, kinesin-13 is essential for the asexual blood stage, and the gene could not be deleted, we applied two conditional genetic knockdown strategies to evaluate its role at other proliferative stages. First, we used an auxin-inducible degron (AID) system to try and study the effect of rapid kinesin-13 degradation in gametocytes. We tagged the endogenous kinesin-13 gene with an AID/HA epitope tag (fig. S6A) to degrade the fusion protein in presence of auxin (Philip and Waters, 2015), and successfully generated kinesin-13-AID parasite lines as shown by integration PCR (fig. S6B) but could not deplete kinesin-13 protein by auxin treatment (fig. S6C). Next, we used a promotor trap double homologous recombination (PTD) approach, inserting the clag promotor at the 5' end of kinesin-13, and generated the conditional knockdown parasite: Pclagkinesin-13 (kinesin-13PTD) (fig. S6D). The clag (cytoadherence linked asexual gene) is highly expressed in asexual blood stages, but largely silent during stages of sexual differentiation, including gametocytes and ookinetes (Sebastian et al., 2012). The successful insertion was confirmed by diagnostic PCR (fig. S6E) and qRT PCR showed a significant downregulation of kinesin-13 gene transcripts in kinesin-13PTD gametocytes, when compared to WTGFP gametocytes (Fig. 8A).

The phenotype of the kinesin-13PTD modification was examined during various stages of the parasite life cycle. Parasite proliferation in asexual blood stages was not affected, but during male gametogenesis, exflagellation was markedly reduced and very few male gametes were produced by kinesin-13PTD parasites compared to WTGFP parasites
(Fig. 8B). Zygote development and ookinete differentiation were severely affected, and no clear banana-shaped ookinetes were observed (Fig. 8C). Subsequent stages in the mosquito were also affected significantly and no oocyst or sporozoite formation was observed (Fig. 8D), and as a consequence no transmission of kinesin-13PTD parasites occurred, as shown by mosquito bite back experiments (Fig. 8E).

## Global transcriptomic analysis on kinesin-13PTD shows mis-regulation of transcripts for gene clusters involved in chromosome dynamics, axoneme formation and glideosome assembly.

To examine the transcript level of other kinesins in kinesin-13PTD gametocytes, we performed qPCR for all the nine kinesins and found that some, like kinesin-8B, $-8 \mathrm{X},-15$ and 20, were downregulated (Fig. 8F).

Since transcripts of other kinesins were affected, global transcription was investigated by RNA-seq analysis of kinesin-13PTD gametocytes at 0 - and 15 mpa , representing a time point before the start of male gametogenesis and a time point just after male gamete formation (exflagellation), respectively. Kinesin-13 downregulation in kinesin-13PTD gametocytes, relative to WTGFP gametocytes, was confirmed by RNA-seq analysis, showing the lack of reads for this locus (Fig. 8G). In addition to reduced kinesin-13 expression, 34 other genes were significantly downregulated and the expression of 152 genes was significantly upregulated in kinesin-13PTD gametocytes before activation (at 0 mpa) (Fig. 8H; table S1B). Similarly, the expression of 22 genes was significantly downregulated and the expression of 329 genes was significantly upregulated in kinesin13PTD gametocytes after 15 min activation (Fig. 8H; table S1C). Bioinformatic analysis of these differentially regulated genes revealed three important clusters of genes that were affected, including those coding for proteins involved in axoneme biogenesis, glideosome assembly and chromosome dynamics (Fig. 8J).

## High resolution ultrastructure analysis of kinesin-13PTD parasites indicates defects in

 the spindle assembly and axoneme MT of gametocytes, and the subpellicular MT of ookinetes.Phenotypic analysis of kinesin-13PTD parasites revealed defects in male gamete and ookinete formation, and therefore we performed comparative high resolution image analysis of kinesin-13PTD and WTGFP gametocytes and ookinetes. Ultrastructure expansion microscopy revealed that both spindle and axoneme MTs were disorganised, and no clear flagella were visible at 4 to 5 or 15 min after male gametocyte activation, respectively (Fig. 9A). Disorganised MT were also observed in the defective kinesin-13PTD zygotes/ookinetes in comparison to the corresponding WTGFP parasites (Fig. 9A). These data were substantiated further by electron microscopy with male gametocytes activated for 6 or 15 min (Fig. 9B).

The electron micrographs showed that in both WTGFP and kinesin-13PTD parasites at 6 mpa , most male gametocytes were at early stage of development, with a spherical appearance with a large central nucleus (Fig. 9Ba, c). In WTGFP gametocytes, a number of nuclear poles were observed while the cytoplasm contained a number of normal 9+2 axonemes, and some abnormal axonemes (Fig. 9Ba, b). Although in the kinesin-13PTD gametocytes, few nuclear poles were observed (Fig. 9Bc), the major difference was in the cytoplasm, where there was collection of free single and double MTs plus a number partially organized into axonemes-like structures (Fig. 9Bd) while 9+2 axonemes were very rare. At 15 mpa , in the WTGFP samples, a number of late stages were observed with evidence of exflagellation and protruding microgametes (Fig. 9Be). A number of free male gametes complete with nucleus and flagellum was observed (Fig. 9Bf, g). In contrast, in the kinesin13PTD parasites, the majority of male gametocytes were still at an early stage, and in the few at a later stage, the nucleus displayed clumps of chromatin (Fig. 9Bh) with a few examples of protrusions of MTs from the plasma membrane (Fig. 9Bi), but no evidence of flagella formation or free male gametes.
bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.26.445751; this version posted May 27, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

## Discussion

Plasmodium has a complex life cycle involving two hosts. It invades tissues and cells in diverse environments and has evolved a series of cellular shapes and sizes, with several distinct morphological forms with cellular polarity and gliding motility for invasion, and cellular proliferation underpinned with an atypical mode of cell division (Gubbels et al., 2020; Sinden, 1991; Zeeshan et al., 2020b). Many of these processes are governed by MTs and MTbased motor proteins like kinesins (Zeeshan et al., 2019b). MTs are cytoskeletal filaments forming a different structural frameworks such as the spindle assembly during cell division, the axonemes of cilia and flagella, and a cytoskeletal scaffold to establish and maintain cell polarity, cell shape, intracellular transport, and cell motility, in many organisms including Plasmodium (Morrissette and Sibley, 2002; Spreng et al., 2019). Kinesins regulate the organisation and function of MTs, using them as a track for movement or regulating their dynamics during cellular processes (Verhey and Hammond, 2009; Vicente and Wordeman, 2015). Plasmodium is an evolutionarily divergent and primitive unicellular eukaryote with a genome that encodes nine kinesins including two that are Apicomplexa-specific, and it lacks three classical kinesins (kinesin-1, -2 and -3) normally important in intracellular transport (Wickstead et al., 2010; Zeeshan et al., 2019b). In the present study we performed a systematic and comprehensive analysis of the timing of expression and subcellular location of all kinesins, and their roles in parasite proliferation, polarity and transmission at all stages of the life cycle.

The expression and subcellular location of each kinesin provide important information about their potential role. Three of the most evolutionarily conserved kinesins (kinesin-5, -8X and -13) are abundant throughout the life cycle with a similar location on spindles and associated with the kinetochore protein NDC80, consistent with a role in spindle dynamics during endomitosis and meiosis as shown in our recent studies (Zeeshan et al., 2020a; Zeeshan et al., 2019b). Kinesin-13 is the most widely expressed of these motors in all proliferative and invasive stages with diverse cytoplasmic locations including axonemes in male gametocytes and at the apical end of the differentiating ookinete in addition to its
association with the nuclear spindle apparatus. A similar diverse set of kinesin-13 locations has been reported for other eukaryotes (Ali et al., 2017; Ems-McClung and Walczak, 2010; Manning et al., 2007), highlighting its importance for various MT-associated biological processes of Plasmodium.

Male gametogenesis in Plasmodium is a rapid process that includes three rounds of genome replication with successive spindle formation and cytoplasmic axoneme assembly before karyokinesis and cytokinesis, and all completed within 12-15 min of gametocyte activation (Sinden et al., 1978). The cytoplasmic location of three male gametocyte-specific kinesins (kinesin-8B, -15 and -X 4 ) and kinesin-13, highlights the importance of these kinesins for rapid axoneme assembly and flagellum formation in Plasmodium, especially kinesin-X4 that is restricted to the Apicomplexa. The axoneme are assembled directly in the male gametocyte cytoplasm and, thus, there is no requirement for transport of building materials by the intraflagellar transport (IFT) mechanisms common in many other eukaryotes (Briggs et al., 2004; Mirvis et al., 2018; Sinden et al., 2010). Plasmodium male gametes have a very simple structure, with a basal body that lacks the classical nine triplet MTs (9+0), an axoneme with a typical 9+2 MT organization associated with dynein, and an elongated nucleus enclosed within the plasma membrane (Okamoto et al., 2009; Sinden et al., 1978). In a previous Plasmodium male gamete proteome study, three kinesins (kinesin-8B, kinesin13 and -15) were identified and proposed to have an important role in axoneme assembly (Talman et al., 2014). In a recent study on the regulation of $P$. berghei male gametogenesis, half of the phospho-regulated proteins have motor activity, and they include most of these kinesins (Invergo et al., 2017). The expression of six kinesins (kinesin-5, -8B, -8X, -13, -15, and -X 4 ) in gametocytes and their location in either nucleus (kinesin-5 and -8X), cytoplasm (kinesin-8B, -15, and -X4) or both (kinesin-13) suggest the importance of these kinesins in male gametogenesis and thus parasite transmission.

The restricted location of kinesin-X3 at the pellicle of ookinetes, except for the apical and basal ends of the cell, suggests an involvement in subpellicular MT/IMC interactions. The absence of kinesin-X3 from the apical end highlights that it is not a part of the conoidal
complex (Bertiaux et al., 2021; Koreny et al., 2021). Kinesin-20 has a ring-like location at the junction between the protrusion and the main cell body of the developing ookinete suggesting a role in formation of the IMC/sub-pellicular microtubule complex thus defining the size and shape of the cell and disappears from the mature cell. Myosin K has a similar location in early stages of ookinete development (Wall et al., 2019) suggesting the existence of an actomyosin contractile ring that might be regulated by kinesin- 20 .

Genetic analysis revealed that most of the kinesins (8 out of 9) have their most important roles in transmission stages within the mosquito, where there are substantial changes in cell size, morphology and invasive properties, which may be regulated by MTs and associated proteins. For example, the results of our ultrastructural analysis of $\Delta$ kinesin20 parasites show that loss of this kinesin affects the development of ookinete shape and size. MTs length, number and association with the IMC are crucial to determine the size, shape and motility of certain Plasmodium stages (Spreng et al., 2019). We show that kinesin-20 regulates the length and arrangement of subpellicular MTs of developing ookinetes. Subpellicular MTs along with IMC proteins maintain ookinete polarity and morphology in Plasmodium (Kono et al., 2013). IMC1b-deficient ookinetes display abnormal cell shape and reduced gliding motility (Tremp et al., 2008) similar to the properties of 4 kinesin-20, and a similar phenotype was observed in a recent study showing that palmitoylation of IMC subcompartment proteins (ISPs) regulates the apical subpellicular MT network in ookinetes and affects their elongation (Wang et al., 2020). ISPs also maintain the polar location of guanylate cyclase beta (GC $\beta$ )/CDC50A complex at the IMC, essential for ookinete gliding (Gao et al., 2018). PPKL-deficient parasites have a defective MT organisation and abnormal shaped ookinetes (Guttery et al., 2012), but the $\Delta$ kinesin-20 phenotype is slightly different, with no defect in the apical ring, which serves as an MT organising centre for subpellicular MTs, and similar to what was found for phosphodiesterase- $\bar{\delta}$ (pde $\delta$ ) deficient ookinetes which lack this enzyme involved in cyclic GMP signalling (Moon et al., 2009). The kinesin-20GFP location suggests there is a ring-like structure at the junction of the protrusion and cell body, which defines the developing
ookinete shape and diameter, while apical polarity guides ookinete size and differentiation. An actomyosin contractile ring is present in elongation of embryonic cells of Ciona intestinalis, a primitive chordate (Sehring et al., 2014). The assembly and organization of an actomyosin contractile ring during cytokinesis is highly dynamic and contains, in addition to actin and myosin, other proteins that regulate actin nucleation, cross liking and myosin activity (Mangione and Gould, 2019; Vavylonis et al., 2008). In Plasmodium and other members of Apicomplexa, a similar contractile ring has been reported as required for cytokinesis (Hammarton, 2019; Morano and Dvorin, 2021) but an involvement in cell elongation is unknown. It is possible that a contractile ring is formed during ookinete development when meiosis occurs, but it does not lead to cytokinesis. Kinesin-20 could be a protein that regulates contractile ring function in cell elongation during ookinete development.

The in-depth structural analysis of kinesin-13PTD parasites reveals the importance of kinesin-13 in regulating MT organisation in parasite sexual stages in the mosquito. Kinesin13s are MT depolymerising kinesins, playing essential roles in spindle MT dynamics, kinetochore-MT attachment, and chromosome segregation (Ali et al., 2017; Ems-McClung and Walczak, 2010; Manning et al., 2007), and Plasmodium kinesin-13 has also been shown to exhibit MT depolymerisation activity in vitro (Moores et al., 2002). Kinesin-13 homologs are present in most eukaryotes possessing cilia or flagella (Wickstead and Gull, 2006) and regulate the length of these structures (Chan and Ersfeld, 2010; Piao et al., 2009; Vasudevan et al., 2015). The knockdown of Plasmodium kinesin-13 resulted in defects in the organisation of both spindle and axonemal MTs, thus arresting nuclear division and axoneme assembly during male gametogenesis. A similar phenotype was observed for the kinesin-8B gene knockout, which shows defective basal body formation and axoneme assembly during male gametogenesis, but nuclear division was normal (Zeeshan et al., 2019a). Knockout of the gene for another basal body protein, SAS6, resulted in a similar phenotype with defective basal body formation and axoneme assembly but no effect on nuclear division (Marques et al., 2015). Disruption of the gene for PF16, an armadillo-repeat protein of Plasmodium, produces a similar phenotype, with an effect on axonemal central MT assembly and male
gamete motility and fertility (Straschil et al., 2010). We found a cdc2-related kinase (CRK5) that is important for nuclear spindle formation but has no effect on axoneme assembly during male gametogenesis (Balestra et al., 2020). Previous studies have shown a similar phenotype for CDPK4, SRPK and MAP2 gene disruptions, where either the early or late stages of exflagellation are impaired but axoneme assembly is not (Fang et al., 2017; Tewari et al., 2005; Tewari et al., 2010). In another primitive eukaryote, Giardia intestinalis, kinesin-13 regulates MT dynamics during both flagellar assembly and nuclear division (Dawson et al., 2007).

Since kinesin-13 was not completely depleted, a few male gametes were produced that fertilised female gametes to form zygotes. However, these zygotes did not transform to ookinetes and produced only abnormal zygotes or ones arrested at the undifferentiated (retort) stage. Ultrastructure analysis of these retorts revealed a loss of polarity and disorganisation of the subpellicular MTs, consistent with the additional polar localization of kinesin-13GFP in zygotes and during ookinete development. This phenotype of kinesin-13 depletion is different from that of the kinesin-20 knockout (described above), where the apical polarity was not affected. A similar phenotype has been observed following the knockdown of two P. berghei phosphatases, PPKL and PP1, where apical polarity was lost, affecting ookinete differentiation (Guttery et al., 2012; Zeeshan et al., 2021).

Global transcriptomic analysis supported these findings with kinesin-13, as the expression of several genes involved in chromosome segregation, axoneme biogenesis, IMC/glideosome formation and other biological processes were modulated in kinesin-13PTD parasites. For example, differentially expressed genes like CRK5, SRPK, CDPK4 are involved in chromosome segregation during male gametogenesis (Fang et al., 2017; Tewari et al., 2005; Tewari et al., 2010). Kinesin-8B, kinesin-X4, dynein and radial spoke proteins are involved in axoneme assembly, male gamete formation and fertility (Andreadaki et al., 2020; Talman et al., 2014; Zeeshan et al., 2019a). Genes coding for IMC/glideosomeassociated proteins like IMCb, MyoA, and MTIP have roles in ookinete polarity, shape transformation and motility (Siden-Kiamos et al., 2011; Siden-Kiamos et al., 2020; Tremp et
al., 2008). Overall, these global transcriptomic data for kinesin-13PTD parasites are consistent with the profound phenotypic changes observed during male gametogenesis and ookinete formation.

In conclusion, the nine $P$. berghei kinesins show a diverse pattern of expression and subcellular location at various stages of the parasite life cycle. Genetic and phenotypic analyses indicate that most kinesins have important roles in mosquito stages, except kinesin13 which is also essential for asexual blood stages (Fig. 10). Kinesin-20 and kinesin-13 have roles in MT dynamics during proliferation, polarity formation, and transmission of the parasite. This comprehensive study provides knowledge and understanding of the important roles of kinesins in various cellular processes at different stages of the life cycle of this evolutionarily divergent eukaryotic pathogen. This information may be used to exploit kinesins as promising targets for therapeutic intervention against malaria.

## Figure Legends

Fig 1. Kinesin pattern of expression and diverse subcellular location at various stages in the Plasmodium berghei life cycle. (A) Life cycle of Plasmodium showing different proliferative and invasive stages in its host and vector. (B) A summary of expression and location of kinesins-GFP during different stages of $P$. berghei life cycle. (C) Live cell imaging showing subcellular locations of nine kinesin-GFP proteins (green) during various stages of the $P$. berghei life cycle. DNA is stained with Hoechst dye (blue). Arrowhead indicates basal end and arrow indicates apical end of the ookinete. $\mathrm{Mpa}=\mathrm{min}$ post activation. Oocyst-NS: non-sporulating oocyst, Oocyst-S: sporulating oocyst. Scale bar $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig 2. Nuclear kinesins (kinesin-5 and -8X) associate with kinetochore and bind to the centromere during male gamete formation. (A) Live cell imaging showing the temporal dynamics of kinesin-5GFP (green) along with kinetochore marker NDC80Cherry (red) during male gametogenesis. (B) Live cell imaging showing the dynamics of kinesin-8XGFP (green) along with kinetochore marker NDC80Cherry (red) during male gametogenesis. (C) ChIPseq analysis of kinesin-5 and kinesin-8X during gametocyte stage. Lines on top are division points between chromosomes and circles on the bottom indicate locations of centromeres. DNA is stained with Hoechst dye (blue); mpa=min post activation; Scale bar=5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

Fig 3. Apicomplexa-specific kinesins (kinesin-X3 and -X4) are located at the pellicle during ookinete differentiation and axoneme in male gametogenesis. (A) Live cell imaging showing temporal location of kinesin-X3 (green) associated with pellicle formation (arrows) during zygote to ookinete transition (2-24 h). A cy3-conjugated antibody, 13.1, which recognises the protein P28 on the surface of zygote and ookinete stages, was used to track these stages (red). DNA is stained with Hoechst dye (blue). Scale bar=5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. (B) Live cell imaging shows the association of kinesin-X4 (green) with axoneme marker kinesin-8B (red) during male gametogenesis. Note in later stages, axonemes (arrows) are labelled with
both markers. DNA is stained with Hoechst dye (blue). $\mathrm{mpa}=\mathrm{min}$ post activation. Scale bar $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig 4. Phenotypic screen of nine kinesins in Plasmodium reveals their role during sexual and transmission stages within mosquito vector. (A) Summary of phenotypes at various stages of life cycle resulting from gene deletion. The phenotype was examined in asexual blood stages (schizogony), exflagellation (male gamete formation), ookinete formation, oocyst number, oocyst size, sporozoite formation in oocyst, salivary gland sporozoite and sporozoite transmission to vertebrate host. (B) Male gametogenesis in kinesin gene-deletion lines in comparison with WTGFP parasite, measured as the number of exflagellation centres per field; more than 20 fields were counted for each line. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. (C) Percentage ookinete conversion comparing knockout and WTGFP parasites. Ookinetes were identified using 13.1 antibody for surface marker (P28, red) and defined as those cells that differentiated successfully into elongated 'banana shaped' ookinetes. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=5$ independent experiments. (D) Total number of GFPpositive oocysts per mosquito midgut at 7 -, 14- and 21-days post infection for knockout and WTGFP parasites; at least 10 mosquito midguts were counted for each line. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. (E) Representative mosquito midguts at 10 x and 63 x magnification showing oocysts of kinesin knockout and WTGFP lines at 7-, 14- and 21-days post infection. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (10x), $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (63x). (F) Total number of sporozoites in oocysts of kinesin knockout and WTGFP parasites at 14- and 21-days post infection. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. (G) Total number of sporozoites in salivary glands of kinesin knockout and WT-GFP parasites. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. (H) Mosquito bite back experiments showing no transmission of $\Delta k i n e s i n-8 B$ and $\Delta k i n e s i n-8 X$ parasites, while other kinesin knockout and WT-GFP parasites show successful transmission from mosquito to mice. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and *** $p \leq 0.001$.

Fig 5. Kinesin-20 makes a ring like structure at the base of developing ookinete and is important for zygote to ookinete transformation and subsequent motility. (A) Live cell imaging showing localization of kinesin-20GFP (green) in the female gametocyte, zygote and during ookinete transformation. Kinesin-20GFP accumulates at the neck of developing ookinete making a ring like structure (indicated by arrows). Labelling with P28 was used to identify the surface of activated female gametes, zygotes and ookinetes was used to mark these stages (red). DNA is labelled with Hoechst dye (blue) (B). Ookinete developmental stages for $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ and WTGFP parasites. Ookinetes were identified using P28 and defined as those cells differentiated successfully into elongated 'banana shaped'. Round cells are arrested zygotes or female gametocytes, while bulbous cells did not develop to banana-shaped ookinetes in $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$. DNA is stained with Hoechst dye (blue). Scale bar $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (C) Ookinete conversion as a percentage of cells for $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ and WTGFP parasites after 24 h . Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=4$ independent experiments. (D) Representative frames from time-lapse videos of motile WTGFP ookinetes and sessile ookinetes of $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ in Matrigel. Black arrow indicates apical end of ookinete. Scale bar $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (E) Ookinete motility for WT-GFP and 4 kinesin-20 lines in Matrigel. More than 20 ookinetes were examined for each line. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. (F) Representative frames from time-lapse videos showing motile sporozoites of WTGFP and $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ lines. Black arrow indicates the apical end of the sporozoites. Scale bar $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (G) Sporozoite motility for WTGFP and $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ lines. More than 20 sporozoites were examined for each line. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. (H) qRT-PCR analysis of transcripts for other kinesin genes in $\Delta$ kinesin-20 and WTGFP parasites. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. Ns $=$ not significant. (I) RNAseq analysis showing no transcript in $\Delta$ kinesin-20 parasites. (J) Volcano plot showing differentially regulated genes in $\Delta$ kinesin-20 gametocytes activated for 2 h .

Fig 6. Ultrastructural analysis of WTGFP and $\mathbf{\Delta k i n e s i n}-20$ ookinetes. (A) Representative confocal images of expanded ookinetes of WTGFP and bulbous cells of $\Delta$ kinesin-20 lines stained for $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-tubulin (magenta) showing sub-pellicle MTs. Scale bar=1 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (B) Electron micrographs of WTGFP ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}$ ) and $\Delta k i n e s i n-20(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{i})$ ookinetes. Bars represent $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})$ and $100 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{i})$.
(a) Longitudinal section of a crescentic shaped WTGFP ookinete showing the apical complex (AC) with numerous micronemes $(\mathrm{M})$ in the anterior cytoplasm and a more posteriorly located crystalline body (Cr) and nucleus (N). (b) Longitudinal section through an immature 4 kinesin-20 ookinete displaying a bulbous shape. Within the cytoplasm, the apical complex (AC) plus an irregular shaped nucleus $(\mathrm{N})$ and a few irregularly arranged dense granules (DG) can be identified. (c). Longitudinal section through a more mature $\Delta$ kinesin- 20 ookinete showing a bulbous shaped cell. Within the cytoplasm the apical complex (AC), nucleus (N) and crystalline body ( Cr ) can be identifying but note the micronemes $(\mathrm{M})$ appear to be distributed throughout the cytoplasm. (d, e) Details of longitudinal sections through the apical complex of WTGFP (d) and $\Delta$ kinesin-20 (e) ookinetes showing similar substructures consisting of three conoidal rings (CR), an anterior polar ring 1 (P1) formed by the IMC and a second polar ring (P2) representing the initiation site for the sub-pellicular microtubules (Mt). The polar rings are separated by a collar consisting of an outer electron dense layer (cd) and an inner electron lucent layer (cl). Note the micronemes (M) with ducts (D) direct to the anterior plasmalemma. (f,g) Cross section through the periphery of the anterior complex of a WTGFP (f) and a $\Delta$ kinesin- 20 ( $\mathbf{g}$ ) parasite showing similar sub-structure consisting of the outer plasmalemma (PM) and the underlying inner membrane complex (IMC) which appears fused to the outer electron dense (cd) region of the apical collar while the more electron lucent inner region is in close contact with sub-pellicular microtubules (Mt). (h, i) Cross section of the pellicle posterior to the apical complex consisting of the outer plasmalemma and the underlying IMC. However, note that while the sub-pellicular microtubules (Mt) in the WTGFP parasite (h) are evenly spaced, those of the $\Delta$ kinesin-20 (i) show irregular spacing and some clumping.

Fig 7. Kinesin-13 associates with kinetochore marker, NDC80, during various proliferative stages of parasite life cycle. Live cell imaging showing location of kinesin13GFP (green) with respect to kinetochore marker NDC80Cherry (red) during asexual (A, B) and sexual (C, D) proliferative stages. Asexual proliferative stages include endomitosis during blood schizogony and sporogony. Sexual stages include endomitosis during male gametogenesis and meiosis during ookinete development. Kinesin-13GFP (green) shows a diffuse distribution in the cytoplasm, together with strong foci at different stages of development that co-localise with NDC80Cherry (red). DNA is stained with Hoechst dye (blue). Scale bar $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig 8. Phenotypic analysis of gene expression knockdown in kinesin-13PTD transgenic line at various proliferative stages during the life cycle (A) qRTPCR analysis showing down-regulation of kinesin-13 gene in kinesin-13PTD parasites compared to WTGFP. Mean $\pm$ SEM, $n=3$ independent experiments. (B) Exflagellation centres per field at 15 min post-activation. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments (>20 fields per experiment). Error bar, $\pm$ SEM. (C) Percentage ookinete conversion from zygote. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments (> 80 cells). Error bar, $\pm$ SEM. (D) Oocysts at days 7, 14, and 21 post infection. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments with a minimum of 8 mosquito guts. Error bar, $\pm$ SEM. (E) Bite back experiments reveal no transmission of kinesin-13PTD and successful transmission of WT-GFP parasites from mosquito to mouse. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. (F) qRT-PCR analysis of transcripts for other kinesin genes in kinesin-13PTD compared to WTGFP parasites. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments. Error bar, $\pm$ SEM. (G) RNAseq analysis showing depletion of kinesin-13 transcript in kinesin-13PTD parasites. (H) Volcano plot showing differentially regulated genes in kinesin-13PTD gametocytes before activation (0 min). (I) Volcano plot showing differentially regulated genes in kinesin-13PTD gametocytes activated for 15 min . (J) Heat maps showing differential regulation of various genes involved in axoneme biogenesis, IMC/glideosome and chromosome dynamics.

Fig 9. Ultrastructural analysis of WTGFP and kinesin-13PTD gametocytes and ookinetes. (A) Representative confocal images of expanded gametocytes of WTGFP and kinesin-13PTD lines stained for $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-tubulin (magenta) showing labelling of spindle (arrow) and axonemal MTs (arrowhead) at 4-5 min and axonemal MTs (arrowhead) at 15 min post activation. Similarly, representative confocal images of expanded ookinetes of WTGFP and kinesin-13PTD lines stained for $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-tubulin (magenta) showing well organised subpellicular MTs (white arrows) and apical tubulin ring (ATR, red arrows) in WTGFP ookinetes and disorganised MTs were observed in kinesin-13PTD. Scale bars = 1 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. (B) Electron micrographs of $P$. berghei male gametogenesis of WTGFP (a, b, e, f, g) and kinesin-13PTD ( $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}$ ) at $6 \mathrm{~min}(\mathbf{a} \mathbf{- d})$ and $15 \mathrm{~min}(\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{i})$ post-activation. Bars represent $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{h}$ and 100 nm in other micrographs. (a) Early stage WTGFP showing the large central nucleus ( N ) displaying two nuclear poles (NP) with cytoplasm containing a number of axonemes (A). (b) Detail of the cytoplasm illustrating a number of normal 9+2 axonemes (A) and abnormal axonemes. (c) Early stage kinesin-13PTD gametocytes showing the central nucleus (N) but the cytoplasm lacked visible axonemes. (d) Detail of the enclosed area in c showing randomly arranged free single and duplet MTs with some forming partial axonemes. (e) Late stage WTGFP showing a flagellum (F) of a developing microgamete emerging from the male gametocyte (exflagellation). N - nucleus; Ch- chromatin. (f) Detail of a longitudinal section through a free male gamete showing the nucleus (N) and flagellum (F). (g) Detail of a cross section through a male gamete showing the 9+2 flagellum and the nucleus (arrowhead). (h) Late-stage kinesin-13PTD gametocyte showing the central nucleus $(\mathrm{N})$ with clumps of chromatin (Ch) but an absence of any $9+2$ axonemes. (i) Detail of the enclosed area in $\mathbf{h}$ showing a microtubule (Mt) enclosed by the plasmalemma emerging from the male gametocyte.

Fig 10. Summary of kinesin function throughout the $P$. berghei life cycle. Kinesins with important roles in host and mosquito are highlighted in red.

## Supplementary figures

## Fig S1. Generation and genotypic analysis of kinesin-GFP parasites

A. Schematic representation of the endogenous kinesin locus, the GFP-tagging construct and the recombined kinesin locus following single homologous recombination. Arrows 1 (P1) and $3(\mathrm{P} 3)$ indicate the position of PCR primers used to confirm successful integration of the construct. B. Diagnostic PCR of kinesin and WT parasites using primers: integration primer (P1) and ol492 (P2). The bands of expected size for amplified DNA fragments are indicated for each tag line.

Fig S2. The location of kinesin-5 and kinesin-8X in relation to that of the axoneme marker (kinesin-8B)
A. The location of kinesin-5GFP (green) in relation to the axoneme marker, kinesin-8BCherry (red) during male gamete formation. B. The location of kinesin-8XGFP (green) in relation to the axoneme marker, kinesin-8BCherry (red) during male gamete formation. The nuclear location of kinesin-5 and kinesin-8X contrasts with the cytoplasmic location of kinesin-8B during chromosome replication and segregation, indicating that kinesin-5 and kinesin-8X are associated with the mitotic spindle. $\mathrm{mpa}=\mathrm{min}$ post activation. DNA is stained with Hoechst dye (blue). Scale bar $\square=\square 5 \square \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig S3. Generation and genotypic analysis of $\Delta$ kinesin parasites
A. Schematic representation of the endogenous kinesin locus, the targeting knockout construct and the recombined kinesin locus following double homologous crossover recombination. Arrows 1 and 2 indicate PCR primers used to confirm successful integration in the kinesin locus following recombination and arrows 3 and 4 indicate PCR primers used to show deletion of the kinesin gene. B. Integration PCR of the kinesin locus in WT-GFP (WT) and knockout (Mut) parasites using primers: integration primer and ol248. Integration of
the targeting construct gives expected size band for each gene. C. qRT-PCR analysis of transcript in WT-GFP and $\Delta$ kinesin parasites confirming the deletion of respected genes.

## Fig S4. Quantification of MT length in $\mathbf{\Delta k i n e s i n - 2 0 ~ a n d ~ W T G F P ~ o o k i n e t e s ~}$

The MT lengths were measured from the images of ookinetes stained to reveal $\alpha$ and $\beta$ tubulins obtained by expansion microscopy. The bar diagram shows the length of subpellicular MTs in bulbous $\Delta$ kinesin-20 ookinetes compared to WTGFP ookinetes. Mean $\pm$ SEM. $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments.

Fig S5. Kinesin-13 shows co-localisation with tubulins in male gametocyte and gamete (A) Indirect immunofluorescence assay showing the co-localisation of kinesin-13 (green) and tubulin staining (red) in male gamete. Scale bar $\square=\square 5 \square \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (B) Expansion microscopy showing co-localization of kinesin-13 (green) with $\alpha / \beta$ tubulin staining (purple) in gametocytes activated for $15 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{mpa}=\mathrm{min}$ post activation. Scale bar $\square=\square 1 \square \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig S6. Generation and genotype analysis of conditional knockdown kinesin-

## 13 parasites

(A) Schematic representation of auxin inducible degron (AID) strategy to generate kinesin13AID/HA parasites. (B) Integration PCR of the kinesin-13AID/HA construct in the kinesin-13 locus. Oligonucleotides used for PCR genotyping are indicated and agarose gels for corresponding PCR products from genotyping reactions are shown (C) Kinesin-13AID/HA protein expression level as measured by western blotting upon addition of auxin to mature purified gametocytes; $\alpha$-tubulin serves as a loading control. (D) Schematic representation of the promoter swap strategy (kinesin-13PTD, placing kinesin-13 under the control of the clag promoter) by double homologous recombination. Arrows 1 and 2 indicate the primer positions used to confirm 5' integration and arrows 3 and 4 indicate the primers used for 3 ' integration. (E) Integration PCR of the promotor swap construct into the kinesin-13 locus. Primer 1 ( $5^{\prime}-\operatorname{IntPTD} 50$ ) with primer 2 ( $5^{\prime}-\operatorname{lntPTD}$ ) were used to determine successful integration of the selectable marker. Primer 3 ( 3 '-intClag) and primer 4 ( 3 '-IntPTD50) were used to determine the successful integration of the clag promoter. Primer 1 (5'-IntPTD50)
and primer 4 ( 3 '-IntPTD50) were used to show complete knock-in of the construct and the absence of a band at 2.3 kb (endogenous) expected if no integration occured.

## Supplementary Tables

Table S1. List of genes differentially expressed between $\Delta$ kinesin- 20 and WTGFP activated gametocytes for 2 h (table S1A); and between kinesin-13PTD and WTGFP gametocytes (table S1B and S1C)

## Table S2. Oligonucleotides used in this study.

## Movies

## Movie S1: Gliding motility of WT-GFP ookinetes

## Movie S2: Gliding motility of $\Delta$ kinesin-20 ookinetes

## Movie S3: Gliding motility of WT-GFP salivary gland sporozoite

Movie S4: Gliding motility $\boldsymbol{\Delta k i n e s i n}$-20 salivary gland sporozoite

## Methods

## Ethics statement

The animal work performed in the UK passed an ethical review process and was approved by the United Kingdom Home Office. Work was carried out under UK Home Office Project Licenses (30/3248 and PDD2D5182) in accordance with the United Kingdom 'Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986'. Six- to eight-week-old female CD1 outbred mice from Charles River laboratories were used for all experiments in the UK.

## Generation of transgenic parasites and genotype analyses

To observe the location of kinesin proteins, the C-terminus was tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP) sequence by single crossover homologous recombination at the 3' end of the gene. To generate the GFP-tag line, a region of these genes downstream of the ATG start codon was amplified, ligated to p277 vector, and transfected as described previously (Guttery et al., 2012). The p277 vector contains the human dhfr cassette,
conveying resistance to pyrimethamine. A schematic representation of the endogenous gene locus, the constructs and the recombined gene locus can be found in Fig S1A. For the parasites expressing a C-terminal GFP-tagged protein, diagnostic PCR was used with primer 1 (Int primer) and primer 3 (ol492) to confirm integration of the GFP targeting construct (Fig S1B). A list of primers used to amplify these genes can be found in Table S2.

The gene-deletion targeting vectors for kinesin genes were constructed using the pBS-DHFR plasmid, which contains polylinker sites flanking a T. gondii dhfr/ts expression cassette conferring resistance to pyrimethamine, as described previously (Tewari et al., 2010). The 5' upstream sequences from genomic DNA of kinesin genes were amplified and inserted into Apal and HindIII restriction sites upstream of the dhfr/ts cassette of pBS-DHFR. The DNA fragments amplified from the $3^{\prime}$ flanking region of kinesin genes were then inserted downstream of the dhfr/ts cassette using EcoRI and Xbal restriction sites. The linear targeting sequence was released using Apal/Xbal. A schematic representation of the endogenous kinesins'locus, the constructs and the recombined kinesins'locus can be found in S3 Fig. The primers used to generate the mutant parasite lines can be found in Table S2. A diagnostic PCR was used with primer 1 (Int primer) and primer 2 (ol248) to confirm integration of the targeting construct, and primer 3 (KO1) and primer 4 (KO2) were used to confirm deletion of the kinesin genes construct (fig. S3, Table S2).

To study the function of kinesin-13, we used two conditional knock down systems; an auxin inducible degron (kinesin13AID) system and a promoter exchange/trap using double homologous recombination (kinesin-13PTD). For the generation of transgenic Kinesin13AID/HA line, library clone PbG01-2471h08 from the PlasmoGEM repository (http://plasmogem.sanger.ac.uk/) was used. Sequential recombineering and gateway steps were performed as previously described (Pfander et al., 2013; Pfander et al., 2011). Insertion of the GW cassette following gateway reaction was confirmed using primer pairs GW1 x Kinesin-13 QCR1 and GW2 $\times$ Kinesin-13 QCR2. The modified library inserts were then released from the plasmid backbone using Notl. The Kinesin-13-AID/HA targeting vector was transfected into the 615 parasite line and conditional degradation of kinesin-13-AID/HA on
the non-clonal line was performed as described previously (Balestra et al., 2020). A schematic representation of the endogenous kinesin-13 locus, the constructs and the recombined kinesin-13 locus can be found in Fig S6A. A diagnostic PCR was performed for kinesin-13 gene knockdown parasites s outlined in Fig. S6A. Primer pairs Kinesin13QCR1/GW1, and Kinesin-13 QCR2/GW2 were used to determine successful integration of the targeting construct at the 3' gene locus (Fig S6B).

The conditional knockdown construct kinesin-13PTD was derived from $P_{\text {clag }}(\mathrm{pSS367})$ where kinesin-13 was placed under the control of the clag gene (PBANKA_083630) promoter, as described previously (Sebastian et al., 2012). A schematic representation of the endogenous kinesin-13 locus, the constructs and the recombined kinesin-13 locus can be found in fig. S6D. A diagnostic PCR was performed for kinesin-13 gene knockdown parasites as outlined in fig. S6D. Primer 1 ( $5^{\prime}$-intPTD50) and Primer 2 ( $5^{\prime}$-intPTD) were used to determine successful integration of the targeting construct at the $5^{\prime}$ gene locus. Primer 3 ( $3^{\prime}$-intPTclag) and Primer 4 ( 3 '-intPTD50) were used to determine successful integration for the 3 ' end of the gene locus (fig. S6E). All the primer sequences can be found in Table S2. P. berghei ANKA line 2.34 (for GFP-tagging) or ANKA line 507cl1 expressing GFP (for the gene deletion and knockdown construct) parasites were transfected by electroporation (Janse et al., 2006).

## Parasite phenotype analyses

Blood containing approximately 50,000 parasites of the kinesin knockout/knockdown lines was injected intraperitoneally (i.p) into mice to initiate infections. Asexual stages and gametocyte production were monitored by microscopy on Giemsa stained thin smears. Four to five days post infection, exflagellation and ookinete conversion were examined as described previously (Guttery et al., 2012) with a Zeiss Axiolmager M2 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc) fitted with an AxioCam ICc1 digital camera. To analyse mosquito transmission, 30-50 Anopheles stephensi SD 500 mosquitoes were allowed to feed for 20 min on anaesthetized, infected mice whose asexual parasitaemia had reached $15 \%$ and were
carrying comparable numbers of gametocytes as determined on Giemsa stained blood films. To assess mid-gut infection, approximately 15 guts were dissected from mosquitoes on day7 and -14 post feeding and oocysts were counted on an AxioCam ICc1 digital camera fitted to a Zeiss Axiolmager M2 microscope using a 63x oil immersion objective. On day 21 postfeeding, another 20 mosquitoes were dissected, and their guts and salivary glands crushed separately in a loosely fitting homogenizer to release sporozoites, which were then quantified using a haemocytometer or used for imaging. Mosquito bite back experiments were performed 21 days post-feeding using naive mice and blood smears were examined after 3-4 days.

## Purification of schizonts, gametocytes and ookinetes

Blood cells obtained from infected mice (day 4 post infection) were cultured for 8 h and 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (with rotation at 100 rpm ) and schizonts were purified on a $60 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ NycoDenz (in PBS) gradient, (NycoDenz stock solution: 27.6\% w/v NycoDenz in 5 mM Tris-HCI, pH 7.20, 3 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.3 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA).

The purification of gametocytes was achieved by injecting parasites into phenylhydrazine treated mice (Beetsma et al., 1998) and enriched by sulfadiazine treatment after 2 days of infection. The blood was collected on day 4 after infection and gametocyte-infected cells were purified on a 48\% v/v NycoDenz (in PBS) gradient (NycoDenz stock solution: 27.6\% w/v NycoDenz in 5 mM Tris-HCI, pH 7.20, $3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.3 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA). The gametocytes were harvested from the interface and activated.

Blood cells obtained from infected mice (day 4-5 post infection) with high gametocytemia ( $>20 \%$ ) were cultured for 24 h in ookinete medium having xanthurenic acid at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ookinetes were pelleted at $1900 \mathrm{rpm}(500 \mathrm{~g}$ ), the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in 8 ml ookinete medium. Five $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of magnetic beads coated with 13.1 antibody (anti-P28 of ookinete) were added and incubated for 10 min at RT with continuous mixing. The tubes were placed on the magnet (Dyna rack) for 2 min and supernatant was transferred into new tubes. The beads with bound ookinetes were washed with 2 ml of ookinetes medium and used for imaging or electron microscopy.

## Live cell imaging

To examine kinesin-GFP expression during erythrocyte stages, parasites growing in schizont culture medium were used for imaging at different stages (ring, trophozoite, schizont and merozoite) of development. Purified gametocytes were examined for GFP expression and cellular location at different time points ( 0, 1-15 min ) after activation in ookinete medium (Zeeshan et al., 2019b). Zygote and ookinete stages were analyzed throughout 24 h of culture. Oocysts and sporozoites were imaged using infected mosquito guts. Images were captured using a 63x oil immersion objective on a Zeiss Axio Imager M2 microscope fitted with an AxioCam ICc1 digital camera (Carl Zeiss, Inc).

## Generation of dual tagged parasite lines

The kinesin-GFP (kinesin-5, -8X, -13 and $-\mathrm{X4}$ ) parasites were mixed with NDC80-cherry and kinesin8B-cherry parasites in equal numbers and injected into mice. Mosquitoes were fed on mice 4 to 5 days after infection when gametocytemia was high. These mosquitoes were checked for oocyst development and sporozoite formation at day 14 and day 21 after feeding. Infected mosquitoes were then allowed to feed on naïve mice and after 4-5 days the mice were examined for blood stage parasitaemia by microscopy with Giemsa-stained blood smears. In this way, some parasites expressed both kinesin-GFP and NDC80-cherry; and kinesin-GFP and kinesin-8B-cherry in the resultant gametocytes, and these were purified and fluorescence microscopy images were collected as described above.

## Ookinete and sporozoite motility assays

Sporozoites were isolated from the salivary glands of mosquitoes infected with WTGFP and $\Delta k i n e s i n-20$ parasites 21 days post infection. Isolated sporozoites in RPMI 1640 containing $3 \%$ bovine serum albumin (Fisher Scientific) were pelleted ( $5 \mathrm{~min}, 5,000 \mathrm{rpm}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and used for motility assay. The assay using Matrigel was performed as described previously (Volkmann et al., 2012; Zeeshan et al., 2020a). A small volume ( $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) of sporozoites, isolated as above for WT-GFP and $\Delta$ MyoE parasites, were mixed with Matrigel (Corning). The mixture ( $6 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was transferred on a microscope slide with a cover slip and sealed with nail polish. After identifying a field containing sporozoites, time-lapse videos (one frame
every 2 s for 100 cycles) were taken using the differential interference contrast settings with a 63x objective lens on a Zeiss Axiolmager M2 microscope fitted with an AxioCam ICc1 digital camera and analysed with the AxioVision 4.8 .2 software.

For ookinete motility, 24 h ookinete cultures were added to an equal volume of Matrigel on ice, mixed thoroughly, dropped onto a slide, covered with a cover slip, and sealed with nail polish. The Matrigel was then allowed to set at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After identifying a field containing ookinetes, time-lapse videos were taken at every 5 s for 100 cycles.

## Fixed Immunofluorescence Assay

The kinesin-GFP gametocytes were purified, activated in ookinete medium then fixed with 4\% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Sigma) diluted in microtubule stabilising buffer (MTSB) for 1015 min and added to poly-L-lysine coated slides. Immunocytochemistry was performed using primary GFP-specific rabbit monoclonal antibody (mAb) (Invitrogen-A1122; used at 1:250) and primary mouse anti-a tubulin mAb (Sigma-T9026; used at 1:1000). Secondary antibodies were Alexa 488 conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen-A11004) and Alexa 568 conjugated anti-rabbit $\operatorname{lgG}$ (Invitrogen-A11034) (used at 1 in 1000). The slides were then mounted in Vectashield 19 with DAPI (Vector Labs) for fluorescence microscopy. Parasites were visualised on a Zeiss Axiolmager M2 microscope fitted with an AxioCam ICc1 digital camera (Carl Zeiss, Inc).

## Ultrastructure expansion microscopy (U-ExM)

Purified gametocytes were activated for 4-5 minutes and 15 minutes, activation of gametocytes was stopped by adding 1X ice cold PBS. Activated gametocytes and mature ookinetes were sedimented on a 12mm round Poly-D-Lysine (A3890401, Gibco) coated coverslips for 10 minutes (gametocyte procedure was performed on ice) and fixed in $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ methanol for 7 minutes, and prepared for U-ExM as previously described (Bertiaux et al., 2021; Gambarotto et al., 2021). Immuno-labelling was performed using primary antibody against $\alpha$-tubulin and $\beta$-tubulin (1:200 dilution, source: Geneva antibody facility) and secondary antibody anti-guinea pig Alexa 488 (1:400 dilution, source: ThermoFisher).

Images were acquired on a Leica TCS SP8 microscope, image analysis was performed using Fiji-Image J and Leica Application Suite X (LAS X) software.

## Electron microscopy

Gametocytes activated for 6 min and 15 min and ookinetes were fixed in $4 \%$ glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer and processed for electron microscopy (Ferguson et al., 2005). Briefly, samples were post fixed in osmium tetroxide, treated en bloc with uranyl acetate, dehydrated and embedded in Spurr's epoxy resin. Thin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate prior to examination in a JEOL JEM-1400 electron microscope (JEOL Ltd, UK)

## RNA isolation and quantitative Real Time PCR (qRT-PCR) analyses

RNA was isolated from purified gametocytes using an RNA purification kit (Stratagene). cDNA was synthesised using an RNA-to-cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression was quantified from 80 ng of total RNA using SYBR green fast master mix kit (Applied Biosystems). All the primers were designed using primer3 (Primer-blast, NCBI). Analysis was conducted using an Applied Biosystems 7500 fast machine with the following cycling conditions: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 s followed by 40 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3 \mathrm{~s} ; 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s . Three technical replicates and three biological replicates were performed for each assayed gene. The hsp70 (PBANKA_081890) and arginyl-t RNA synthetase (PBANKA_143420) genes were used as endogenous control reference genes. The primers used for qPCR can be found in Table S2.

## RNA-seq analysis

Libraries were prepared from lyophilized total RNA, first by isolating mRNA using NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module (NEB), then using NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit (NEB) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were amplified for a total of 12 PCR cycles ( 12 cycles of [ 15 s at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ]) using the KAPA HiFi HotStart Ready Mix (KAPA Biosystems). Libraries were sequenced using a NovaSeq 6000 DNA sequencer (Illumina), producing paired-end 100-bp reads.

FastQC (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/), was used to analyse raw read quality, and based on this information, the first 11 bp of each read and any adapter sequences were removed using Trimmomatic (http://www.usadellab.org/cms/?page=trimmomatic). Bases were trimmed from reads using Sickle with a Phred quality threshold of 25 (https://github.com/najoshi/sickle). The resulting reads were mapped against the Plasmodium berghei ANKA genome (v36) using HISAT2 (version 2-2.1.0), using default parameters. Uniquely mapped, properly paired reads with mapping quality 40 or higher were retained using SAMtools (http://samtools.sourceforge.net/). Genome browser tracks were generated and viewed using the Integrative Genomic Viewer (IGV) (Broad Institute).

Raw read counts were determined for each gene in the $P$. berghei genome using BedTools (https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/\#) to intersect the aligned reads with the genome annotation. Differential expression analysis was done by use of $R$ package DESeq2 to call up- and down-regulated genes with an adjusted P-value cutoff of 0.05 . Gene ontology enrichment was done using $R$ package topGO (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/topGO.html) with the weight01 algorithm.

## ChIP-seq analysis

Gametocytes for kinesin-5GFP and kinesin-8XGFP parasites were harvested and the pellets were resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Hi-C lysis buffer ( 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM}$ AESBF, $1 \%$ NP-40, protease inhibitors). After incubation for 10 min at room temperature (RT), the resuspended pellets were homogenized by passing through a 26.5 gauge needle/syringe 15 times and cross-linked by adding formaldehyde (1.25\% final concentration) for 25 min at RT with continuous mixing. Crosslinking was stopped by adding glycine to a final concentration of 150 mM and incubating for 15 min at RT with continuous mixing. The sample was centrifuged for 5 min at $2,500 \times \mathrm{g}(\sim 5,000 \mathrm{rpm})$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the pellet washed once with $500 \mu$ lice-cold wash buffer ( 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH $8.0,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 2 mM AESBF, protease inhibitors) and the pellet stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for ChIP seq
analysis. The crosslinked parasite pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of nuclear extraction buffer ( 10 mM HEPES, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mM AEBSF, 1X protease inhibitor tablet), post 30 min incubation on ice, $0.25 \%$ Igepal-CA-630 was added and homogenized by passing through a $26 G \times 1 / 2$ needle. The nuclear pellet extracted through 5000 rpm centrifugation, was resuspended in $130 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of shearing buffer (0.1\% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1X protease inhibitor tablet), and transferred to a $130 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Covaris sonication microtube. The sample was then sonicated using a Covaris S220 Ultrasonicator for 8 min (Duty cycle: 5\%, Intensity peak power: 140, Cycles per burst: 200, Bath temperature: $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The sample was transferred to ChIP dilution buffer ( 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 3 mM EDTA, $0.1 \%$ SDS, $30 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1.8 \%$ Triton X-100, 1X protease inhibitor tablet, 1X phosphatase inhibitor tablet) and centrifuged for 10 min at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, retaining the supernatant. For each sample, $13 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of protein A agarose/salmon sperm DNA beads were washed three times with $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ChIP dilution buffer (without inhibitors) by centrifuging for 1 min at 1000 rpm at room temperature, then buffer was removed. For preclearing, the diluted chromatin samples were added to the beads and incubated for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with rotation, then pelleted by centrifugation for 1 min at 1000 rpm . Supernatant was removed into a LoBind tube carefully so as not to remove any beads and $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of anti-GFP antibody (Abcam ab290, anti-rabbit) were added to the sample and incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with rotation. Per sample, $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of protein A agarose/salmon sperm DNA beads were washed with ChIP dilution buffer (no inhibitors), blocked with $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ BSA for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then washed three more times with buffer. $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of washed and blocked beads were added to the sample and incubated for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with continuous mixing to collect the antibody/protein complex. Beads were pelleted by centrifugation for 1 min at 1000 rpm at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The bead/antibody/protein complex was then washed with rotation using 1 mL of each buffers twice; low salt immune complex wash buffer ( $1 \%$ SDS, $1 \%$ Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ), high salt immune complex wash buffer ( $1 \%$ SDS, 1\% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl$)$, high salt immune complex wash buffer ( $1 \%$ SDS, $1 \%$ Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500
$\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl})$, TE wash buffer ( 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA) and eluted from antibody by adding $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of freshly prepared elution buffer ( $1 \%$ SDS, 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate). We added 5 M NaCl to the elution and cross-linking was reversed by heating at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight followed by addition of $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ RNAase A with 30 min incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this, $10 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ EDTA, $20 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \mathrm{M}$ Tris- HCl pH 7.5 , and $2 \mu \mathrm{l} 20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ proteinase K were added to the elution and incubated for 2 hours at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DNA was recovered by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, using a phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) mixture twice and chloroform once, then adding $1 / 10$ volume of 3 M sodium acetate pH 5.2 , 2 volumes of $100 \%$ ethanol, and $1 / 1000$ volume of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ glycogen. Precipitation was allowed to occur overnight at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then washed with fresh $80 \%$ ethanol, and centrifuged again for 15 min with the same settings. Pellet was air-dried and resuspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ nuclease-free water. DNA was purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads. Libraries were then prepared from this DNA using a KAPA library preparation kit (KK8230), and sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 machine. FastQC (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/), was used to analyze raw read quality. Any adapter sequences were removed using Trimmomatic (http://www.usadellab.org/cms/?page=trimmomatic). Bases with Phred quality scores below 25 were trimmed using Sickle (https://github.com/najoshi/sickle). The resulting reads were mapped against the P. berghei ANKA genome (v36) using Bowtie2 (version 2.3.4.1). Using Samtools, only properly paired reads with mapping quality 40 or higher were retained, and reads marked as PCR duplicates were removed by PicardTools MarkDuplicates (Broad Institute). Genome browser tracks were generated and viewed using the Integrative Genomic Viewer (IGV).

## Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software). For qRT-PCR, an unpaired t-test was used to examine significant differences between wild-type and mutant strains.

Data Availability. Sequence reads have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive with accession number:
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