# 1 Chromosome counting in the mouse and human zygote using low-invasive super-2 resolution live-cell imaging 3

- 4 \*Yu Hatano<sup>1</sup>, \*Daisuke Mashiko<sup>1</sup>, \*Mikiko Tokoro<sup>1,2</sup>, Tatsuma Yao<sup>1,3</sup>, Ryota Hirao<sup>2</sup>, Hiroya
- 5 Kitasaka<sup>2</sup>, Noritaka Fukunaga<sup>2</sup>, Yoshimasa Asada<sup>2</sup>, Kazuo Yamagata<sup>1</sup>
- 6
- 7 <sup>1</sup>Faculty of Biology-Oriented Science and Technology, KINDAI University, Kinokawa,
- 8 Wakayama, Japan;
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>2</sup>Asada Institute for Reproductive Medicine, Asada Ladies Clinic, Aichi, Japan;
- <sup>10</sup> <sup>3</sup>Research and Development Center, Fuso Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan
- 11
- 12 \* These authors contributed to this work equally.
- 13 **Correspondence should be addressed**: yamagata@waka.kindai.ac.jp (KY)
- 14

15

#### 16 Impact Statement

17 Low-toxic super-resolution observation enables chromosome counting in preimplantation18 embryos without cell collection.

19

## 20 Abstract

21In preimplantation embryos, an abnormal chromosome number causes developmental failure 22and a reduction in the pregnancy rate. Conventional chromosome testing methods requiring 23biopsy reduce the risk of associated genetic diseases; nevertheless, the reduction in cell number also reduces the pregnancy rate. Therefore, we attempted to count the chromosomes in mouse 2425(Slc:ICR) embryos using super-resolution live-cell imaging as a new method of chromosome counting that does not reduce the cell number or viability. We counted the forty chromosomes 2627at the first mitosis by injecting embryos with histone H2B-mCherry mRNA under conditions by which pups could be obtained; however, the results were often an underestimation of 2829chromosome number and varied by embryo and time point. Therefore, we developed a method to count the chromosomes via CRISPR/dCas-mediated live-cell fluorescence in situ 30 31hybridization targeting the sequence of the centromere region, enabling us to count the chromosomes more accurately in mouse and human embryos. The methodology presented here 32may be broadly applied to assisted reproductive technologies, such as those used in livestock 33 animals/human, as a technique for assessing the chromosomal integrity of embryos prior to 3435transfer.

#### 36 Introduction

In preimplantation embryos, the number of chromosomes is correlated with the pregnancy 37rate in assisted reproductive technology (ART) and animal breeding (Magli et al., 2000, 38Sandalinas et al., 2001, Rubio et al., 2007, Mantikou., 2012, Yao et al., 2018). In addition, 39even if embryos with abnormal chromosome numbers achieve full-term development, they 40may exhibit severe genetic disease (e.g., trisomy 21) (Lejeune et al., 1959). Therefore, 41 evaluating chromosome numbers prior to embryo transplantation is important to mitigate these 4243risks. Conventional chromosomal testing methods such as collecting and disrupting cells, 44subsequent spreading of the chromosomes, or scanning the genome using microarrays or nextgeneration sequencing can reduce the risk of genetic disease (Hens et al., 2013). Meanwhile, 45an associated risk of decreased pregnancy rate consequent to cell harvesting from 46chromosomally normal embryos has been reported (Mastenbroek et al., 2007). As 47approximately 90% of the causes of trisomy 21 are derived from meiosis (Antonarakis et al., 481991, Freeman et al., 2007), determining the number of chromosomes immediately following 49fertilization may facilitate the application of strategies to reduce the risk of genetic disease by 5051excluding embryos with abnormal chromosome numbers from transplantation. Nevertheless, the number of chromosomes in early-stage embryos cannot be evaluated using these techniques 5253since they are conventionally performed using blastocysts, which contain a sufficient number of cells to support cell collection. Therefore, the development of a technology that allows real-54time examination of chromosomes immediately following fertilization without reducing the 55number of preimplantation embryo cells would represent a breakthrough in ART. 56

Live-cell imaging constitutes a technology for observing the interior of cells in their native 57environment. Through low-toxic, long-term live-cell imaging of mouse early embryos using 58fluorescence microscopy (Yamagata 2009a), we previously demonstrated that the early 59division of preimplantation embryos affects subsequent ontogeny. For example, abnormal 60 61chromosome segregation during early division affects development up to the blastocyst stage (Mashiko et al., 2020), resulting in extremely low birth rates following transplantation of 2-62cell stage embryos (*Yamagata et al., 2009b*). However, although obvious abnormalities leading 63 to the formation of micronuclei could be detected, it was not possible to count the number of 64chromosomes owing to limited resolution (*Mashiko et al., 2020*). 65

In recent years, super-resolution microscopes based on various principles have been
 proposed and used for imaging cells (i.e., stimulated emission depletion microscopy (STED):
 *Hell et al.*, 1994; Hell et al., 1995; photoactivated localization microscopy (PALM): Betzig et
 al., 2006; fluorescence photoactivation localization microscopy (FPALM): Hess et al., 2006;

70stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM): Rust et al., 2006; structured illumination microscopy (SIM): Gustafsson et al., 2000; and Airyscan: Huff, 2015). 7172Nevertheless, long-term observation using a super-resolution microscope may be considered as highly invasive to observed cells. In particular, during the observation of preimplantation 73embryos, acquisition of three-dimensional images and repeated irradiation by the laser for time-74lapse imaging causes embryo damage. Thus, fluorescence observation under conditions that 75allow the development of embryos to term without prior arrest is important to ensure that the 7677observed phenomenon is not an artifact caused by dying cells. To this end, we attempted to 78identify appropriate long-term live-cell imaging conditions using a disk confocal type fluorescence microscope to observe early embryogenesis and predict prognosis (Yamagata et 79al., 2009a). In general, confocal microscopy scans a sample at a single plane, thus requiring 80 substantial time to obtain an image. In addition, application of high-intensity laser energy may 81 readily discolor the sample or have cytotoxic effects. In comparison, spinning disk confocal 82 microscopy can overcome these limitations by scanning multiple points through rotation of the 83 disk and is therefore suitable for live-cell imaging of early embryos. Moreover, super-84 resolution has recently been realized using a disk confocal, optical photon reassignment 85 microscopy (OPRA)-type microscope by optically reducing individual focal points projected 86 87 on pinholes using microlenses (super-resolution via optical re-assignment (SoRa): Azuma and *Kei*, 2015). 88

The objective of this study is therefore to develop a technology capable of providing chromosome counts in preimplantation embryos without requiring cell collection. Using mice, which have successfully been used as model animals for the study of mammalian embryos and the establishment of associated techniques, we applied the SoRa system to count chromosomes of early-stage embryos under conditions that allowed the embryo to maintain full-term developmental potential following the super-resolution observation. Lastly, we applied this methodology to human embryo.

96

# 97 **Results**

# 98 **Construction of the SoRa live-cell imaging system and optimization of imaging conditions**

# 99 with minimal phototoxicity

We constructed a disk confocal type super-resolution live-cell imaging system to observe 100mouse fertilized eggs (*Figure 1*). As the system has a switching mechanism between the 101 spinning disk with and without microlenses, we can observe the same specimen under these 102 103 two different modes. To cover the broad viewing field of the system, a scientific complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (sCMOS) image sensor was used and denoise 104105algorithms (*Boulanger et al., 2009*) were activated at every acquisition to compensate for the lower sensitivity compared to electron multiplying charge-coupled devices (EMCCD) sensor. 106107For observation of the first mitosis (approximately 17 h from the pronuclear stage to the end of the first mitosis), an incubator and gas chamber were set up on the stage for culturing 108embryos. A constant room temperature was maintained at 30 °C so that the embryos would not 109be affected by outside air. 110

To evaluate the phototoxicity resulting from observation using the constructed SoRa live-111 cell imaging system, we focused on the decay of the brightness (fading) of H2B-mCherry 112during the observation of early embryos. We injected mRNA encoding histone H2B-mCherry 113into fertilized eggs. One plane of the z-axis of the nucleus of the mouse 2-cell stage embryo 114115was observed for 100 s continuously in streaming mode. Despite observation under the same conditions, such as excitation laser power, exposure time, and camera sensitivity, a decrease in 116117signal was observed with SoRa compared to that observed using the conventional disk confocal microscopy system W1 (time constant 82.4 vs 137 ms, respectively) (Figure 2A and B). This 118119result suggested that the SoRa system requires more stringent conditions to suppress the 120phototoxicity than the conventional W1 system, which likely arises because the SoRa system 121has a higher light density (power of laser per unit area) than the conventional W1 system.

We next searched for conditions that did not affect embryogenesis following observation 122123with a super-resolution microscope (*Table 1*). We examined the wavelength, laser power, and time intervals. At an excitation wavelength of 561 nm, laser power of 0.1 mW, and 5 min 124intervals, the number of embryos that reached the 2-cell stage was 10/10 (100%) and 8/10 125(80%) reached the blastocyst stage. At an excitation wavelength of 561 nm, laser power of 0.1 126mW, and 10 min intervals, 5/5 (100%), embryos reached 2 cells and 4/5 (80%) reached the 127blastocyst stage. The blastocyst arrival rate under these conditions did not differ from that in 128the non-observed group (14/22 (63.6%), P = 0.61, P = 0.86, prop-test). Laser power of 0.2 mW 129

130affected the growth up to the blastocyst stage more than 0.1 mW (0.2 mW, 5 min: 0/5 (0%); 0.2 mW, 10 min: 1/5 (20%), prop-test P = 0.0086, 0.046, respectively). These results suggested 131132that a laser power of 0.1 mW is suitable for an excitation wavelength of 561 nm. However, at an excitation wavelength of 488 nm and laser power of 0.1 mW, no embryos reached the 133blastocyst stage regardless of the time interval, indicating that an excitation wavelength of 488 134135nm is more toxic. We therefore utilized the conditions supportive of normal embryo development following super-resolution observation using a wavelength of 561 nm in 136137subsequent experiments.

138To examine whether super-resolution live-cell imaging allowed subsequent full-term embryo development, 2-cell embryos observed at an excitation wavelength of 561 nm, laser 139power of 0.1 mW, and interval of 5 or 10 min were transplanted into the oviduct of 140pseudopregnant mice. Notably, pups were obtained under both intervals (5 min (1/7): P = 0.53, 14110 min (7/15): P = 0.78, respectively vs. imaging (-) prop-test) (*Table 2; Table 2--figure*) 142*supplement* 1). We therefore adopted a 10 min interval as the preferred observation condition. 143Under the condition that the pups could be obtained (i.e., excitation wavelength 561 nm, 144145laser power 1 mW, 10 min interval), time-lapse observation was then performed for 17 h until

146 the completion of the first mitosis (*Figure 3A and B; Figure 3--movie supplement 1*).

147

#### 148 SoRa system allows observation of the grooves between the sister chromatids

Subsequently, the resolution of the SoRa system was compared with that of the W1 system using movies captured by each system. Chromosomes could be seen as two separating sister chromatids by observation using SoRa but not the conventional W1 system. Furthermore, by performing deconvolution processing on the images acquired with SoRa, the grooves of sister chromosomes could be separated more clearly (peak-to-peak length:  $618.7 \pm 137.5$  nm)

(*Figure 4*). When interphase nuclei were observed, the contrast of the chromatin in the nuclei
could be observed in more detail by imaging using the SoRa system than when using the
conventional W1 system (*Figure 4*).

157

# 158 Chromosome segmentation and auto-counting

For counting the number of chromosomes, we attempted the segmentation of M-phase chromosomes in living cells. Deconvoluted images were acquired using the Tikhonov regularization algorithm (*Sage et al., 2017*). Images were noise-processed using the Top Hat

162 filter (Legland et al., 2016) and binarized with Otsu's method (Otsu, 1979). As a result of

automatically counting binarized objects (*Figure 5A; Figure 5—movie supplement 2*), we
were able to count 40 objects (*Figure 5B*). However, a risk existed of recognizing two objects
as one when the chromosomes were close; consequently, the number of chromosomes detected
were varied among the embryos and time points (*Figure 5C*). Although the chromosomes were
clearly separated compared to the results from conventional W1 system observation (*Figure 5—figure supplement 2*), the inconsistent counting of individual chromosomes represented a
limitation of this technique.

170

# 171 Chromosome counting by centromere labeling with live-fluorescence in situ 172 hybridization (live-FISH) technology

To overcome the issue of miscounting at sites of overlapping chromosome signals, we sought 173to visualize the centromere, a more restricted DNA region in which the distal ends of all 174chromosomes are located (Figure 6A). Toward this end, CRISPR-mediated live imaging 175technology (*Wang et al.*, 2019) was performed by targeting the minor satellite sequence, a 176repeat sequence constituting the centromere (*Figure 6B*). First, we designed the crRNA based 177178on the target sequence used in a previous report of ES cells (Anton et al., 2014). As counter staining, major satellite sequence locating pericentromere, a region on a chromosome that is 179larger and existing inward than the centromere (*Figure 6A*), was also labeled using TALE 180 technology (*Miyanari et al, 2013*). As a result, the signals of both minor and major satellites 181182were observed at the distal ends of metaphase and anaphase chromosomes (Figure 6C). Importantly, when compared to major satellites, the dot-like signals of minor satellites were 183more restricted, and overlapping satellites were not detected (*Figure 6C*). 184

Finally, the number of chromosomes at metaphase were counted by combining centromeres
labeled by live-FISH and the SoRa system. Consequently, it was possible to count 40 pairs of

187 dot-shaped signals (*Figure 7A, B; Figure 7—movie supplement 3 and 4*). Notably, the number

188 of counted dot-like signals were 40 in all three embryos analyzed, which did not change with

- 189 time (Figure 7C; Figure 7—figure supplement 3). In contrast, conventional W1 system did
- 190 not consistently detect the dot-like signal (*Figure 7—figure supplement 4*).
- 191

# 192 Chromosome counting of human embryos

- 193 Upon the observation of the initial division of human embryos expressing histone H2B-
- 194 mCherry, human chromosomes were more condensed than those of mice, and hence could not
- 195 be counted (*Figure 8—figure supplement 5; Figure 8—movie supplement 5*). To apply the
- 196 CRISPR-mediated live-fish technology to human embryos, we designed a crRNAs targeting

- 197 CENPB-Box in the human centromere region (*Iwahara et al., 1998*), injected the
- 198 CRISPR/dCas-gRNA complex, and conducted live-fish using the SoRa system. As a result, we
- 199 observed 46 pairs of dot-like signals (Figure. 8; Figure 8—movie supplement 6; Figure 8—
- 200 *movie supplement 7*). Subsequently, we examined the two-cell stage human embryo using
- 201 next-generation sequencing and confirmed the presence of 46 chromosomes (*Figure 8—figure*
- 202 supplement 6).
- 203

#### 204 **Discussion**

The objective of this study was to establish a method to count the number of chromosomes in 205206 the one-cell zygote without the need for cell collection and fixation. We continuously observed the first cleavage of fertilized mouse eggs using an OPRA-type disk confocal super-resolution 207208microscope and identified the observation conditions under which full-term embryos could be obtained. Depending on the image quality and timing, we succeeded in counting M-phase 209210chromosomes using these conditions. Moreover, the CRISPR-mediated live-cell imaging of the minor-satellite region dramatically improved the accuracy of chromosome counting. 211Finally, we succeeded in counting the number of chromosomes in living human embryos using 212213this methodology.

214

# 215 Implications of phototoxicity assessment and full-term development

When observing a thick sample such as an oocyte, the image becomes darker and the resolution 216217in the Z-direction becomes lower than that of a thinner sample, such as most somatic cells. Although these challenges can be overcome by increasing the laser intensity or the number of 218images obtained in the Z-axis direction, such measures tend to decrease the embryo viability. 219Moreover, the effect of super-resolution imaging on the viability of preimplantation embryos 220221has not been reported in the few prior studies that utilized such systems (e.g., Airyscan, SIM, 222 and STED) to observe mouse embryos (Zielinska et al., 2019). In the present study, because 223observations using the SoRa system evinced faster fading of H2B-mCherry, which indicated 224higher phototoxicity than that in conventional systems (Figure 2A and B), we searched for 225observation conditions that did not affect the prognosis of the embryo. Squirrell et al. 1999 reported that embryo phototoxicity could be evaluated by determining the rate of full-term 226227development following in-utero transplantation of hamster embryos observed using twophoton excitation microscopy. This method is convincing since it can confirm that the observed 228229phenomenon is not caused by dying cells. In the same manner, phototoxicity consequent to observation using a field microscope (Yamagata et al., 2005) and a disk confocal system 230(Yamagata et al., 2009a) has been evaluated. In these studies, EGFP- $\alpha$ -tubulin and histone 231H2B-mRFP1 were injected into fertilized eggs and observed in two colors (excitation 232wavelengths: 488 nm/561 nm) using disk confocal microscopy. In comparison, in the present 233234study, using super-resolution microscopy, we found that cells harvested from 2-cell embryos could not grow into blastocysts when observed with an excitation wavelength of 488 nm (Table 2352361). Conversely, following observation at an excitation wavelength of 561 nm, the cells

successfully developed into blastocysts and full-term embryos (*Table 2*). These findings suggest that the phenomenon observed at the excitation wavelength of 561 nm did not reflect the abnormal behavior of cells dying from phototoxicity. Furthermore, as we identified conditions under which embryo development proceeded to full-term following superresolution observation, it should be possible in future experiments to associate phenomena observed using the SoRa system with the prognosis of embryo development and the acquisition of offspring.

244

# Possibilities afforded by super-resolution live-cell imaging of the first mitosis or chromatin

In the present study, we observed the first division of the mouse embryo (*Figure 3A and B*). 247Failure of chromosomal segregation during the first mitosis constitutes a major factor in the 248termination of embryonic development (Yamagata et al., 2009b, Mashiko et al., 2020); thus, 249detailed observation of the first mitosis using super-resolution live-cell imaging will allow for 250the prediction of developmental failure. We have previously identified a mitotic pattern that 251252causes an uploidy using a combination of next generation sequencing and low-magnification live-cell imaging (Mashiko et al., 2020). By repeatedly associating super-resolution 253observation of the first mitosis with genomic testing by next generation sequencing methods, 254it may be possible to clarify the behavior of chromosome segregation, which is prone to 255producing chromosome abnormalities. 256

Notably, analysis of the image data obtained in this study revealed that the SoRa system 257provided sufficiently high resolution to observe chromatin density at the 2-cell stage (*Figure* 2584), which suggests that this system offers considerable promise as a research tool. Since we 259have established a low-invasive super-resolution observation method, it has become possible 260261to link the images with chromatin information in combination with conventional methods for examining chromatin. For example, the combination of a method for detailed observation of 262263chromatin dynamics that does not affect embryogenesis (Figure 4) with the profiles of 264chromatin fold structure as observed by chromosome conformation capture (3C)/Hi-C (Ke et 265al., 2017) and transcription as determined by live-imaging (Bertrand et al., 1998; Park et al., 2014) will be expected to accelerate chromatin research. 266

267

# 268 Application of chromosome counting to zootechnical science and ART

Counting the chromosomes of mouse zygotes from the obtained super-resolution imaging data (*Figure 5A and B*) successfully allowed the detection of 40 objects (i.e., 40 pairs of sister 271chromatids) with a risk of miscounting (*Figure 5C*). The concept that chromosome counts could be obtained using super-resolution microscopy had previously been tested on U2OS cells 272(Gao et al., 2012); however, the effects on cell viability or risk of miscounting were not 273reported. In the present study, by further applying the CRISPR-mediated live-FISH technique 274to our super-resolution live-cell imaging system (*Figure 6*), we obtained 40-pairs (mice: 275*Figure 7*) and 46-pairs (human: *Figure 8*) of dot signals without measurement error over time. 276277This suggests that if the chromosomes of livestock/human fertilized eggs could be segmented in the same manner as mouse embryos and the number of chromosomes can be measured, the 278279risk of transplanting abnormal ploidy embryos may be reduced. Although chromosome counting has been attempted by observing the centromere and kinetochore (Chiang and 280*Lampson*, 2013), to our knowledge, the number of chromosomes has not yet been counted in 281living cells. Notably, the human alpha-satellite sequence (*Willard*, 1985) is homologous to the 282mouse minor satellite sequence used in the present study, and cattle are also recognized to carry 283centromere satDNA (Macaya et al., 1978; Modi et al., 1993; Modi et al., 1996). Thus, bovine 284embryo chromosomes could also be counted using a gRNA that targets the alpha-satellite. 285286Taken together, our methodology presented in this report, the combination of SoRa system and live-FISH technology, can be used in ART and breeding livestock. 287

288

#### 289 Material and Methods

#### 290 Animals

This study conformed to the requirements of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory 291Animals. All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at 292the Research Institute for Kindai University (permit number: KABT-28-001). ICR strain mice 293(15-week-old) were obtained from Japan SLC, Inc. (Shizuoka, Japan), and were bred under a 294295specific pathogen-free environment. Room conditions were standardized with temperature maintained at 23 °C, relative humidity at 50%, and 12 h/dark: 12 h light cycle. Animals had 296297free access to water and commercial food pellets. Mice used for the experiments were 298euthanized by cervical dislocation.

299

#### 300 Ethics statement

All experiments using human embryos were approved by the Ethics Committee of Asada Ladies Clinic (Approval number is 2017-05), Kindai-University (Approval number: H27-2-008), and the Japanese Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology (date of approval /approval number: 04/25/2018). Informed consent was obtained from all patients who provided embryos for the study.

#### 306 In vitro fertilization

To obtain the unfertilized egg, superovulation was induced in female ICR mice (15 weeks old) 307 by intraperitoneal injections of 10 IU pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (ASKA Animal 308309 Health Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and 10 IU human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) (ASKA Animal Health) at 48 h intervals. Cumulus-intact oocytes were recovered at euthanasia 15–17 310 h following hCG injection; these oocytes were arrested at metaphase II of the meiotic cell cycle. 311 Spermatozoa were collected from the cauda epididymis of male ICR mice (11–16 weeks old) 312in 0.2 mL droplets of TYH medium (*Toyoda et al.*, 1971) and capacitated by incubation for 1.5 313 h at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in humidified air. Cumulus-intact oocytes were collected in 0.2 mL 314315of TYH medium and inseminated with spermatozoa (final concentration 75 sperm/100 µL). 316Upon insemination, oocytes arrested at metaphase II restarted the meiotic cell cycle and proceeded to interphase in approximately 3 h. After 1.5 h incubation at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> 317in humidified air, the cumulus cells were dispersed by brief treatment with hyaluronidase (Type 318I-S, 120–300 units/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). A total of 30 female and 6 male 319mice were used, with three experimental replications (female 10). The obtained fertilized eggs 320 were frozen. In this process, the eggs of each group used in the experiment were randomized, 321

which was expected to mask individual differences in mice. Frozen eggs were used as follows:
20 for the examination of fading, 57 for the examination of developmental capacity, 45 for the
examination of birth rate, 10 for observation of 1st mitosis, 10 for W1 and SoRa comparison,
10 for chromosome counting using H2B-mCherry, 10 for chromosome counting using
CRISPR-mediated live-FISH, and 20 for measuring the distance between sister chromosomes.

# 328 Preparation of mRNAs to express proteins of interest

- After linearization of the template plasmids (pcDNA3.1-polyA83: Yamagata et al., 2005, 329pTALYM3 (Addgene #47874): Miyanari et al., 2013) at the XhoI (histone H2B-mCherry, 330331histone H2B-EGFP) and ApaI (pTALYM3) site, mRNA was synthesized using RiboMAXTM 332Large Scale RNA Production Systems-T7 (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). For efficient translation of the fusion proteins in embryos, the 5'-end of each mRNA was capped using the 333Ribo m7G Cap Analog (Promega), according to the manufacturer's protocol. To circumvent 334the integration of template DNA into the embryonic genome, the reaction mixtures for in vitro 335336 transcription were treated with RQ-1 RNase-free DNase I (Promega). Synthesized mRNAs were treated with phenol-chloroform to remove protein components. The mRNAs were further 337338 purified by filtration using MicroSpinTM S-200 HR columns (Amersham Biosciences, 339Piscataway, NJ, USA) to remove unreacted substrates (RNA reaction intermediates) and then stored at -80 °C until use. 340
- 341

# 342 **Preparation of the dCas/gRNA complex**

The target sequence of minor satellites of mouse was 5'-ACACTGAAAAACACATTCGT-3' 343 (Anton et al., 2014), while target sequences of alpha satellites of human were 5'-344TTCGTTGGAAAC-3' and 5'-TTCGTTGGAAGC-3'. crRNA and tracrRNA-ATTO550 345(Integrated DNA Technologies, Redwood City, CA) hybridized using a T100 thermal cycler 346 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) (94 °C: 5 min, 60 °C: 5 min) were mixed with 347 dCas protein (Integrated DNA Technologies, Redwood City, CA). The final concentrations of 348349gRNA and dCas for mice embryos were 20 and 200 ng/µL, respectively, while those of gRNA 350and dCas for human embryos were 50 and 500 ng/ $\mu$ L, respectively.

351

# 352 Microinjection of mRNA or dCas/gRNA complex

Probe injection into fertilized eggs was performed as described previously (*Yamagata et al.*, 2005). Briefly, mRNAs were diluted to  $10 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$  using ultrapure water (Thermo Fisher Scientific Barnstead Smart2Pure; Waltham, MA, USA) and an aliquot was placed in a

micromanipulation chamber. Fertilized eggs (approximately 4–6 h following insemination) 356were transferred to HEPES-buffered Chatot-Ziomek-Bavister (CZB) (Chatot et al., 1989) 357medium in the chamber and injected with mRNA using a piezo-driven manipulator with a 358narrow glass pipette (1 µm diameter). Once the mRNA solution had been aspirated into the 359pipette, piezo pulses were applied to the fertilized eggs to break the zona pellucida and plasma 360 membrane. A few picoliters of the solution were introduced into the cytoplasm and the pipette 361was removed gently. The mRNA-injected fertilized eggs were incubated at 37 °C under 5% 362  $CO_2$  in air for at least 2 h prior to injection to allow time for protein production. 363

364

# 365 Imaging

The motor-control (Mac5000; Ludl Electronic Products, Hawthorne, NY, USA) and piezo 366control (P-725xDD, PIFOC high dynamics piezo scanner) methods were used to control the Z-367axis direction. However, as the images obtained using the piezo-control method were blurred, 368 369 we adopted the motor-control method for subsequent experiments. The fertilized eggs were 370 transferred to 5 µL droplets of potassium simplex optimization medium with amino acids 371(KSOMaa) containing 0.00025% polyvinyl alcohol (P8136-250G; Sigma-Aldrich) and 100 µM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid on a glass-bottomed dish and placed in an incubation 372chamber (Tokai Hit, Shizuoka, Japan) set at 37°C on the microscope stage. A gas mixture of 3735% O<sub>2</sub>, 6% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 89% N<sub>2</sub> was introduced into the chamber (138 mL/min). An inverted IX-37473 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used with an attached Nipkow disk confocal 375microscope (CSU-W1 SoRa; Yokogawa Electric, Tokyo, Japan), a Prime95B scientific 376377 complementary metal oxide semiconductor (sCMOS) camera (Teledyne Photometrics, Tuscon, AZ, USA), and a filter wheel and z-motor (Mac5000; Ludl Electronic Products, Hawthorne, 378NY, USA). As our imaging device contained an attached auto x-y stage (Sigma Koki, Tokyo, 379 380Japan), multiple embryos could be monitored simultaneously. The SoRa system can be switched to the traditional nipkow disk without micro lenses (CSU-W1 mode), allowing 381382parallel observation of the same sample. A set of imaging systems was placed in a dark room with the room temperature maintained at 30 °C. Device control was performed using µ-383 384Manager microscopy software (https://micro-manager.org). When observing in the Zdirection, 101 images were taken at 0.5 µm intervals, 25 µm above and below the equatorial 385386 plane of the fertilized egg (total 50 µm). The observed embryos were subsequently moved to an incubator to examine their developmental potential. 387

- 388
- 389

#### 390 Image analysis

Line plots were generated using MetaMorph software ver. 7.7.10 (Molecular Devices, San Jose, 391CA, USA), µ-Manager microscopy software, and the ImageJ/Fiji image analysis platform 392(https://imagej.net/Fiji). The time constant of the SoRa system was obtained by measuring 393the time until H2B-mCherry decayed to 36.8% (derived from the definition of the time constant 394 in exponential decay and from the reciprocal of the Napier number), and the time constant of 395the W1 system was derived from the time until H2B-mCherry decayed to 36.8% after fitting 396 397 the exponential curve. Centromere images obtained using the W1 and SoRa systems were 398binarized using the yen-method, denoised using median-filter, and the region of interest (ROI) was detected using Icy (http://icy.bioimageanalysis.org/). 399

400

# 401 **Observation of human embryo**

Human embryos used in this study were collected at the Asada-Ladies Clinic that have been
fertilized for therapeutic purposes, are scheduled to be discarded after achieving the goal of
pregnancy and childbirth, and have the consent of the patient for research use as described
above. Frozen fertilized eggs from the clinic were transferred to Kindai University and thawed
using thawing media (VT102, Kitazato BioPharmaCo., Ltd.) prior to the experiment. Thawed
fertilized eggs were placed in a HiGROW OVIT medium (Fuso Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd.,
Osaka, Japan), and microinjection and super-resolution observations were conducted.

409

# 410 **Chromosome counting/dot signal counting**

The FIJI distribution of ImageJ (Schindelin et al., 2012) was used for image processing. 411 Deconvolved images were acquired using the Tikhonov regularization algorithm in the 412 DeconvolutionLab2 plugin (Sage et al., 2017) with a theoretical point spread function 413414calculated using the Diffraction PSF 3D plugin. Following deconvolution, these images were applied to the Top Hat filter in the MorphoLibJ plugin (*Legland et al., 2016*) for noise removal 415416and binarized using Otsu's algorithm. Proximate objects in the image were separated using the Transform Watershed 3D algorithm in the MorphoLibJ plugin. The dot signals of the minor 417418 satellite counted using FIJI FluoRender (Wan al., *2012*: were and et https://www.sci.utah.edu/software). 419

420

# 421 Genome sequencing

An Ion ReproSeq PGS kit was used for extraction, amplification, and barcoding of genomic
DNA (A34899, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The barcoded samples were

424 evaluated using the Ion Chef System and Ion S5 Next-generation sequencing System (Thermo

425 Fisher Scientific). The reads were mapped to the human reference genome (hg19) using Bowtie

- 426 software (<u>http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net</u>). We then calculated the moving average of the
- 427 mapping read counts within a 10-Mbp window and compared it with normal male/female
- 428 genome to identify the number of chromosomes.
- 429

#### 430 Embryo transfer

Embryo transfer has been described previously (*Yamagata et al., 2009b*). Two-cell mice
embryos were transferred into the oviducts of day 0.5 pseudopregnant mice. At 18 days
following the transfer, cesarean section was performed.

434

# 435 Sample-size estimation

436The blastocyst formation rate in mice is approximately 70–90% and is expected to decrease to 0% to 20% when problems such as environmental or embryo damage occur. We determined 437that a total of n = 5.08 embryos were required to test the hypothesis that blastocyst formation 438rate is reduced by super-resolution observation by assuming power = 0.8, sig.level = 0.05, 439blastocyst ratio of control group = 0.8, blastocyst ratio of observed group = 0.1, one-sided test 440 and prop-test. In turn, the birth rate of mice is approximately 40-60% and is expected to be 441442reduced to 0-10% owing to embryonic damage. We calculated that n = 11.08 embryos would be required to test the hypothesis that super-resolution observation reduced the birth rate by 443 assuming the power was 0.8, sig.level = 0.05, term development ratio of the control group = 4440.5, term ratio of the observed group = 0.05, one-sided test and prop-test. However, the lack of 445446significant difference under these conditions does not necessarily suggest the lack of effect. Thus, in the present study, we confirmed whether any abnormalities in blastocyst formation 447were present following observation of the embryos under these conditions and considered 448 449 whether live offspring could be obtained. Power analysis was performed using R.

450

# 451 **Experimental replication**

With super-resolution observation, the number of eggs that could be observed in one experiment was approximately 10. Thus, the number of eggs used in one experiment was approximately 5 in each of the two groups (biological replication n = 5/once). Technical replication was N = 1 in the examination of fading, N = 4 for blastocyst formation rate, N = 5for term ratio, N = 1 for first mitosis, N = 1 in the comparison between conventional W1 and SoRa systems, N = 1 each for chromosome counting by H2B-mCherry mRNA and by CRISPR-

464	Statistical analysis						
463							
462	replication control group.						
461	confirmed that inconsistent observation results were not obtained for each experimental						
460	time constant was set to 1. By setting a control group for each experimental replication, we						
459	technical replication of experiments related to qualitative conclusions and calculation of the						
458	mediated live-FISH, and $N = 2$ for measuring the distance between sister chromosomes. The						

- 465 One-sided proportion test was performed using the prop.test function in R. Wilcoxon Rank sum
- 466 test was performed using R.

# 467 **Competing interests**

468 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

469

## 470 Author contributions

Yu Hatano: Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources, Visualization,
manuscript review & editing; Daisuke Mashiko: Data curation, Formal analysis, original
manuscript draft preparation; Mikiko Tokoro: Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources;
Tatsuma Yao: Formal analysis, Software; Ryota Hirao and Hiroya Kitasaka: Investigation,
Resources; Noritaka Fukunaga and Yoshimasa Asada: Conceptualization and Ethical
procedures; Kazuo Yamagata: Conceptualization, Supervision, manuscript review & editing,
Funding acquisition.

478

# 479 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Editage for English language editing. We would like to thank Takuya
Azuma for producing the image of the SoRa W1 switching system. We thank IGENOMIX
JAPAN for genome sequencing.

483

# 484 **Funding**

485 This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP25712035, JP25116005,

486 JP18H05528, and JP18H02357 to KY. The funders had no role in study design, data collection

487 and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

488

#### 489 **References**

- Anton T, Bultman S, Leonhardt H, Markaki Y. 2014. Visualization of specific DNA sequences
  in living mouse embryonic stem cells with a programmable fluorescent CRISPR/Cas
  system. *Nucleus* 5:163–172. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4161/nucl.28488
- 493 Antonarakis SE. 1991. Parental origin of the extra chromosome in trisomy 21 as indicated by
  494 analysis of DNA polymorphisms. Down Syndrome Collaborative Group. *New England*

495 *Journal of Medicine* **324**:872–876. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199103283241302</u>

- 496 Azuma T, Kei T. 2015. Super-resolution spinning-disk confocal microscopy using optical
  497 photon reassignment. *Optics Express* 23:15003–15011. DOI:
  498 https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.23.015003
- Bertrand E, Chartrand P, Schaefer M, Shenoy SM, Singer RH, Long RM. 1998. Localization
  of ASH1 mRNA particles in living yeast. *Molecular Cell* 2:437–445. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80143-4
- Betzig E, Patterson GH, Sougrat R, Lindwasser OW, Olenych S, Bonifacino JS, Davidson MW,
  Lippincott-Schwartz J, Hess HF. 2006. Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at
  nanometer resolution. *Science*, 313:1642–1645. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127344
- Boulanger J, Kervrann C, Bouthemy P, Elbau P, Sibarita J B, Salamero J. 2009. Patch-based
   nonlocal functional for denoising fluorescence microscopy image sequences. *IEEE transactions* on medical imaging, 29(2), 442-454. DOI:
   https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2009.2033991
- 510 Chatot CL, Ziomek CA, Bavister BD, Lewis JL, Torres I. 1989. An improved culture medium
  511 supports development of random-bred 1-cell mouse embryos in vitro. *Journal of*512 *Reproduction and Fertility* 86:679–688. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0860679
- 513 Chiang T, Lampson MA. 2013. Counting chromosomes in intact eggs. Methods in Molecular
  514 Biology 957:249–253. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-191-2\_17</u>
- Fragouli E, Alfarawati S, Spath K, Babariya D, Tarozzi N, Borini A, Wells D. (2017). Analysis
  of implantation and ongoing pregnancy rates following the transfer of mosaic diploid–
  aneuploid blastocysts. *Human Genetics*, *136*(7), 805-819. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-017-1797-4
- Freeman SB, Allen EG, Oxford-Wright CL, Tinker SW, Druschel C, Hobbs CA, O'Leary LA,
   Romitti PA, Royle MJ, Torfs CP, Sherman SL. 2007. The National Down Syndrome
   Project: design and implementation. *Public Health Reports* 122:62–72. DOI:
   https://doi.org/10.1177/003335490712200109

- 523 **Gao L**, Shao L, Higgins CD, Poulton JS, Peifer M, Davidson MW, Wu X, Goldstein B, Betzig
- E. 2012. Noninvasive imaging beyond the diffraction limit of 3D dynamics in thickly fluorescent specimens. *Cell* **151**:1370–1385. DOI:

526 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.008

- 527 Gustafsson MG. 2000. Surpassing the lateral resolution limit by a factor of two using
  528 structured illumination microscopy. *Journal of Microscopy* 198:82–87. DOI:
  529 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00710.x
- Hell SW, Wichmann J. 1994. Breaking the diffraction resolution limit by stimulated emission:
   stimulated-emission-depletion fluorescence microscopy. *Optics Letters* 19:780–782. DOI:
   https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.19.000780
- Hell SW, Kroug M. 1995. Ground-state-depletion fluorescence microscopy: A concept for
   breaking the diffraction resolution limit. *Applied Physics B* 60:495–497. DOI:
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01081333">https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01081333</a>
- 536 Hens K, Dondorp W, Handyside A H, Harper J, Newson A J, Pennings G, ... & de Wert G.
- 537 2013. Dynamics and ethics of comprehensive preimplantation genetic testing: a review of
  538 the challenges. *Human Reproduction Update*, 19(4), 366-375. DOI:
  539 https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmt009
- Hess ST, Girirajan TP, Mason MD. 2006. Ultra-high resolution imaging by fluorescence
  photoactivation localization microscopy. *Biophysical Journal* 91:4258–4272. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.091116
- Huff J. 2015. The Airyscan detector from ZEISS: confocal imaging with improved signal-tonoise ratio and super-resolution. *Nature Methods* 12: i–ii. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.388
- Iwahara J., Kigawa T., Kitagawa K., Masumoto H., Okazaki T., & Yokoyama S. (1998). A
  helix-turn-helix structure unit in human centromere protein B (CENP B). *The EMBO journal*, 17(3), 827-837.
- 549 Ke Y, Xu Y, Chen X, Feng S, Liu Z, Sun Y, Yao X, Li F, Zhu W, Gao L, Chen H, Du Z, Xie
  550 W, Xu X, Huang X, Jiang L.. 2017. 3D chromatin structures of mature gametes and
  551 structural reprogramming during mammalian embryogenesis. *Cell* 170:367–381. DOI:
  552 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.029
- Legland D, Arganda-Carreras I, Andrey P. 2016. MorphoLibJ: integrated library and plugins
   for mathematical morphology with ImageJ. *Bioinformatics* 32:3532–3534. DOI:
   https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw413

- Lejeune J, Gauthier M, Turpin R. 1959. [Human chromosomes in tissue culture]. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences* 248:602–603.
- Macaya G, Cortadas J, Bernardi G. 1978. An analysis of the bovine genome by densitygradient centrifugation. Preparation of the dG+dC-rich DNA components. *European Journal of Biochemistry* 84:179–188 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14321033.1977.tb11565.x
- Magli MC, Jones GM, Gras L, Gianaroli L, Dorman I, Trounson AO. 2000. Chromosome
  mosaicism in day 3 aneuploid embryos that develop to morphologically normal blastocysts
  in vitro. *Human Reproduction* 15:1781–1786. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/15.8.1781
- Mantikou E, Wong KM, Repping S, Mastenbroek S. 2012. Molecular origin of mitotic
   aneuploidies in preimplantation embryos. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta* 1822:1921–1930.
   DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2012.06.013</u>
- Mashiko D, Ikeda Z, Yao T, Tokoro M, Fukunaga N, Asada Y, Yamagata K. 2020.
  Chromosome segregation error during early cleavage in mouse pre-implantation embryo
  does not necessarily cause developmental failure after blastocyst stage. *Scientific Reports*10:854. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57817-x
- Mastenbroek S, Twisk M, van Echten-Arends J, Sikkema-Raddatz B, Korevaar JC, Verhoeve
  HR, Vogel NEA, Arts EGJM, de Vries JWA, Bossuyt PM, Buys CHCM, Henieman MJ,
  Repping S, van der Veen F. 2007. In vitro fertilization with preimplantation genetic
  screening. New England Journal of Medicine 357:9–17. DOI:
- 577 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa067744
- Miyanari Y, Ziegler-Birling C, Torres-Padilla ME. 2013. Live visualization of chromatin
  dynamics with fluorescent TALEs. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 20:1321–1324.
  DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2680.
- Modi WS, Gallagher DS, Womack JE. 1993. Molecular organization and chromosomal
   localization of six highly repeated DNA families in the bovine genome. *Animal Biotechnology* 4:143–161. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/10495399309525793
- Modi WS, Gallagher DS, Womack JE. 1996. Evolutionary histories of highly repeated DNA
   families among the Artiodactyla (Mammalia). *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 42:337–349.
   DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02337544">https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02337544</a>
- 587 Otsu N, 1979. A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms. in *IEEE* 588 *Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*. 9(1):62-66. DOI: https://doi.org/
   589 10.1109/TSMC.1979.4310076.

- 590 **Park, HY**, Lim H, Yoon YJ, Follenzi A, Nwokafor C, Lopez-Jones M, Meng X, Singer RH.
- 591 2014. Visualization of dynamics of single endogenous mRNA labeled in live mouse.
  592 *Science* 343:422–424. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239200
- Rubio C, Rodrigo L, Mercader A, Mateu E, Buendía P, Pehlivan T, viloria T, De los Santos,
  MJ, Simón C, Remohí J, Pellicer A. 2007. Impact of chromosomal abnormalities on
  preimplantation embryo development. *Prenatal Diagnosis* 27:748–756. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1002/pd.1773
- Rust MJ, Bates M, Zhuang X. 2006. Sub-diffraction-limit imaging by stochastic optical
   reconstruction microscopy (STORM). *Nature Methods* 3:793–795. DOI:
   https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth929
- 600 Sage D, Donati L, Soulez F, Fortun D, Schmit G, Seitz A, Guiet R, Vonesch C, Unser M. 2017.
- 601 DeconvolutionLab2: An open-source software fordeconvolution microscopy. *Methods*602 115:28–41. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.12.015
- Sandalinas M, Sadowy S, Akikani M, Calderon G, Cohen , Munné S. 2001. Developmental
   ability of chromosomally abnormal human embryos to develop to the blastocyst stage.
   *Human Reproduction* 16:1954–1958. DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/16.9.1954">https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/16.9.1954</a>
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietsch T Preibisch S,
  Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K,
  Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* 9:676–82. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019
- 610 Squirrell JM, Wokosin DL, White JG, Bavister BD. 1999. Long-term two-photon
  611 fluorescence imaging of mammalian embryos without compromising viability. *Nature*612 *Biotechnology* 17:763–767. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/11698</u>
- Toyoda Y, Yokoyama M, Hoshi T. 1971. Studies on the fertilization of mouse eggs *in vitro. Japanese Journal of Animal Reproduction* 16, 147–151. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1262/jrd1955.16.147
- 616 Wan Y, Otsuna H, Chien CB, Hansen C. 2012. FluoRender: an application of 2D image space
- 617 methods for 3D and 4D confocal microscopy data visualization in neurobiology research.
- 618 In 2012 IEEE Pacific Visualization Symposium (pp. 201–208). IEEE, New York. DOI:
  619 https://doi.org/10.1109/PacificVis.2012.6183592
- Wang H, Nakamura M, Abbott TR, Zhao D, Luo K, Yu C, Nguyen CM, Lo A, Daley TP, La
  Russa M, Liu Y, Qi LS. 2019. CRISPR-mediated live imaging of genome editing and
- 622 transcription. *Science* **365**.6459:1301–1305. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax7852
- 623 Willard HF. 1985. Chromosome-specific organization of human alpha satellite DNA.

624 American Journal of Human Genetics **37**:524–532 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0888-625 7543(87)90103-0

- Yamagata K, Suetsugu R, Wakayama T. 2009a. Long-term, six-dimensional live-cell imaging
   for the mouse preimplantation embryo that does not affect full-term development. *Journal*
- 628 of Reproduction and Development **55**:343–350. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1262/jrd.20166</u>
- Yamagata K, Suetsugu R, & Wakayama T. 2009b. Assessment of chromosomal integrity using
   a novel live-cell imaging technique in mouse embryos produced by intracytoplasmic sperm
   injection. *Human* reproduction, 24(10), 2490-2499. DOI:
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dep236</u>
- **Yamagata K**, Yamazaki T, Yamashita M, Hara Y, Ogonuki N, Ogura A. 2005. Noninvasive
  visualization of molecular events in the mammalian zygote. *Genesis* 43:71–79. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1002/gene.20158
- Yao T, Suzuki R, Furuta N, Suzuki Y, Kabe K, Tokoro M, Sugawara A, Yajima A, Nagasawa
  T, Matoba S, Yamagata K, Sugimura S. 2018. Live-cell imaging of nuclear–chromosomal
  dynamics in bovine in vitro fertilised embryos. *Scientific Reports* 8:7460. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25698-w
- **Zielinska AP**, Bellou E, Sharma N, Frombach AS, Seres KB, Gruhn JR, Blayner M, Eckel H,
  Moltrecht r, Elder K, Hoffman ER, Schuh M. 2019. Meiotic kinetochores fragment into
  multiple lobes upon cohesin loss in aging eggs. *Current Biology* 29:3749–3765.e7. DOI:
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.09.006

644

#### 645 **Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** SoRa live-cell imaging system. Photograph (left) and list (right) of the equipment for imaging. A conventional inverted microscope was attached to a Nipkow disk confocal unit, sCMOS camera, Z-motor, and XY auto stage. All devices were controlled using a micromanager. Embryos were cultured in a  $CO_2$  incubator at this stage. The Nipkow disk confocal unit can switch between a conventional unit without micro lenses and a unit with micro lenses (lower left).

652

**Figure 2.** Assessment of the phototoxicity of super-resolution imaging. (**A**) Photographs of 2cell nuclei obtained using the W1 (upper panels) and SoRa (below panels) systems. bar: 1  $\mu$ m. (**B**) Graph of the brightness change.

656

**Figure 3.** Super-resolution live-cell imaging of the first mitosis using chromosome counting by H2B-mCherry mRNA was the SoRa system. (A) Schematic diagram of super-resolution imaging of the first mitosis. (B) Super-resolution imaging of the first mitosis in mouse embryos. The upper panels show the bright-field images. Middle panels show the histone H2B-mCherry images of the x–y plane, and the panels below show that of the x–z plane. See also Supplementary movie 1.

663

**Figure 4.** The SoRa system enables higher resolution imaging than the conventional W1 system. (**A**) Left six panels show images of the metaphase of an embryo. From left to right, the image was obtained using W1 (x–y and x–z), SoRa (x–y and x–z), and SoRa (x–y and x–z; deconvoluted). The right three panels show enlargements of the rectangular area (red). The right three graphs show the relative intensity on the yellow dashed line. (**B**) The left six panels show images of the interphase of a 2-cell embryo. The right three panels show enlargements of the rectangular area (red).

671

**Figure 5.** Chromosome counting using H2B-mCherry mRNA. (**A**) The left panel shows the H2B-mCherry signal. The right image shows the processed image. (**B**) Each panel shows the recognized object. The white arrowheads indicate a processed chromosome with a risk of miscount. (**C**) Relationship between the number of recognized objects and time from nuclear envelope breakdown (NEBD). See also Supplementary Movie 2 and Supplementary Figure 2.

678 Figure 6. Chromosome counting by CRISPR-mediated live-FISH. (A) The left panel shows

the illustrated chromosome; the black area shows the mouse centromere region. The right panel
shows the repeated sequence; the underlined sequence shows the sequence targeted by gRNA.
(B) Illustrated scheme of CRISPR-mediated live-cell imaging. The ATTO550 probe was added
to tracrRNA. (C) Snapshot of Histone H2B-mCherry, TALMaj-mClover3, and minor satellite

- 683 targeted gRNA using the SoRa system.
- 684

**Figure 7.** CRISPR-mediated live-cell imaging of the centromere region in the mouse preimplantation embryo. (**A**) Left panel shows the centromere region and right panel shows the segmented image. (**B**) Segmented 40 centromere pairs. (**C**) The number of pairs of dot signals was measured at three points prior to the start of anaphase (t = 0). See also Supplementary Figures 3 and 4.

690

Figure 8. CRISPR-mediated live-cell imaging of the centromere region of the human preimplantation embryo. (A) The left panel shows the centromere region while the right shows the segmented image. (B) Segmented 46 centromere pairs. (C) The number of pairs of dot signals was measured at three points prior to the start of anaphase (t = 0).

695

### 696 **Table captions**

Table 1. Developmental capacity of the preimplantation embryo following super-resolutionimaging.

From left to right column: injected mRNA, excitation wavelength of the laser (nm), optical
intensity (mW), time interval (min), imaging period (h), number of embryos examined, number
of 2-cell embryos, and number of blastocysts.

702

703 **Table 2.** Full-term development of transferred embryos following super-resolution imaging.

From left to right column: injected mRNA, excitation wavelength of the laser (nm), optical intensity (mW), time interval (min), imaging period (h), number of embryos examined, number of 2-cell stage embryos, number of recipients (transferred mice), number of transferred embryos, and number of pups. See also Supplementary figure 1.

- 708
- 709
- 710
- 711
- 712

#### 713 Additional files

714

Supplementary Movie 1 (Related to Figure 3). Super-resolution observation of the first mitosis of a mouse embryo. The left panel shows the movie from bright field (BF) imaging while the right shows the movie of the histone H2B-mCherry signal.

718

Supplementary Movie 2 (Related to Figure 5). Three-dimensional (3D) construction of
segmented chromosomes. Rotated 3D images of the histone H2B-mCherry signal (left) and
processed image (right) are shown.

722

Supplementary Movie 3 (Related to Figure 7). Live-cell imaging using minor satellite
targeted gRNA. Imaging of the minor satellite region for approximately 17 h from the one-cell
to two-cell stage.

726

Supplementary Movie 4 (Related to Figure 7). Three-dimensional (3D) construction of the
segmented centromere region. Rotated 3D images of CRISPR-mediated minor satellite signal
(left) and the segmented centromere region (right).

730

Supplementary Movie 5 (Related to Figure 8). Live-cell imaging of human embryo using
histone H2B-mCherry. The left panel shows the bright-field movie while the right shows the
movie of histone H2B-mCherry.

734

Supplementary Movie 6 (Related to Figure 8). Live-cell imaging of human embryo using
CRISPR/dCas imaging system. Using alpha-satellite targeting gRNA, the movie of the
centromere was obtained.

738

Supplementary Movie 7 (Related to Figure 8). Three-dimensional (3D) construction of the
segmented centromere region of human embryo. Rotated 3D images of CRISPR-mediated
alpha satellite signal (left) and the segmented centromere region (right).

742

Supplementary Figure 1 (Related to Table 2). Two-cell stage embryo prior to transplantation
and pups obtained following transplantation. (A) Representative image of the 2-cell embryo
following observation with an excitation laser at 561 nm, laser power 0.1 mW, and interval 10
min/imaging (-). (B) Representative image of the pups obtained following super-resolution

imaging. The upper panel shows images of pups derived from imaging (-) and the lower shows
an image of pups derived from imaging (+).

749

Supplementary Figure 2 (Related to Figure 5). Chromosome counting using the conventional W1 system. (A) The left panel shows the H2B-mCherry signal. The right image shows the segmented image. (B) Each panel shows the recognized object. (C) Relationship between the number of recognized objects and time from nuclear envelope breakdown (NEBD).

Supplementary Figure 3 (Related to Figure 7). Minor satellite signal of CRISPR-mediated live-cell imaging. Imaging of a minor satellite with the start of anaphase at t = 0. An image of the three time points from each of three embryos is shown.

758

Supplementary Figure 4 (Related to Figure 7). Comparison of detected signal of centromere between SoRa system and W1 system. Upper panels show the binarized images of CRISPRmediated centromere images obtained using the SoRa system (white) and detected signals (green). Bottom panels show the binarized images of CRISPR-mediated centromere images obtained using the W1 system (white) and detected signals (green). The number in the lower left is the number of dot signals detected.

Supplementary Figure 5 (Related to Figure 8) Super-resolution imaging of human embryo
 using H2B-mCherry. Upper panels show the bright-field images, middle panels show the x-y
 images of H2B-mCherry, bottom panels show the x-z images of H2B-mCherry, respectively.

Supplementary Figure 6 (Related to Figure 8) Genome sequencing of human embryo. The
result of genome sequencing of observed embryo (blue) was compared to control embryo (red:
female) with no chromosomal abnormalities. The vertical axis represents normalized read, and
the horizontal axis represents chromosome number.

774

	No.	Devices	Manufacturer	Model
	1	Inverted microscope	Olympus	IX-73
	2	Nipkow disk Confocal unit	Yokogawa electric	CSU-W1 SoRa
	3	sCMOS camera	Photometrics	Prime 95B
	4	Z motor	Ludi	Mac5000
9	5	XY auto stage	Sigmakoki	BIOS-206T
	6	$CO_2$ incubator	Tokai hit	MI-IBC
SoRa Normal Disk Disk	7	Software	https://micro- manager.org	Micro- Manager
Relay lens Relay lens MLA Disk switch i Disk switch i EM filter Disk switch	8	Lasers	Coherent	405 nm 488 nm 561 nm 640 nm
Intermediate magnification lens	9	Laser combiner	Sigmakoki	-
Objective lens Specimen	10	Vibration isolation table	Nippon boushin industry	SK-AS-1875T

Figure 1.



Figure 2.











Β









Figure 6.





1 µm

mRNA injected	Optical wavelength (nm)	Optical intensity (mW)	Time intervals (min)	Imaging period (h)	No. of embryos examined	No. (%) of 2-cell embryos	No. (%) of blastocysts
	-	-	-	-	22	21 (95.4)	14 (63.6)
Histone H2B-	561	0.1	5	17	10	10 (100)	8 (80)
mCherry	561	0.1	10	17	5	5 (100)	4 (80)
menerry	561	0.2	5	17	5	0 (0)	0 (0)
	561	0.2	10	17	5	4 (80)	1 (20)
Histone H2B-	-	-	-	-	7	7 (100)	7 (100)
FGED	488	0.1	5	17	5	1 (20)	0 (0)
LOIF	488	0.1	10	17	5	5 (100)	0 (0)

Table 1. Developmental capacity of the preimplantation embryo following super-resolution imaging

mPNIA	Optical	Optical	Time	Imaging	No. of	No. (%) of	No. of	No $(96)$ of
injected	wavelength	intensity	intervals	period	embryos	2-cell	no. or	
injected	(nm)	(mW)	(min)	(h)	examined	embryos	recipients	pups
Histope H2B-	-	-	-	17	22	22 (100)	5	8 (36.4)
mCherry	561	0.1	5	17	8	7 (87.5)	2	1 (14.3)
meneny	561	0.1	10	17	15	15 (100)	3	7 (46.7)

Table 2. Full-term development of transferred embryos following super-resolution imaging