1	<b>Recovery of high-qualitied Genomes from a deep-inland Salt Lake Using</b>		
2	BASALT		
3			
4	Ke Yu <sup>1#,*</sup> , Zhiguang Qiu <sup>1#</sup> , Rong Mu <sup>1#</sup> , Xuejiao Qiao <sup>1#</sup> , Liyu Zhang <sup>1#</sup> ,Chun-Ang Lian <sup>1</sup> , Chunfang Deng <sup>1</sup> , Yang Wu <sup>1</sup> ,		
5	Zheng Xu <sup>2</sup> ,Bing Li <sup>3</sup> , Baozhu Pan <sup>4</sup> , Yunzeng Zhang <sup>5</sup> , Lu Fan <sup>6</sup> , Yong-xin Liu <sup>7</sup> , Huiluo Cao <sup>8</sup> , Tao Jin <sup>9</sup> , Baowei Chen <sup>10</sup> , Fan		
6	Wang <sup>11</sup> , Yan Yan <sup>12</sup> , Luhua Xie <sup>12</sup> , Lijie Zhou <sup>13</sup> , Shan Yi <sup>14</sup> , Song Chi <sup>15</sup> , Chuanlun Zhang <sup>6</sup> , Tong Zhang <sup>16</sup> , Weiqin Zhuang <sup>17</sup>		
7			
8			
9	<sup>1</sup> School of Environment and Energy, Shenzhen Graduate School, Peking University, Shenzhen, 518055, China,		
10	<sup>2</sup> School of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia		
11	<sup>3</sup> Guangdong Provincial Engineering Research Center for Urban Water Recycling and Environmental Safety, Tsinghua		
12	Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, 518055, China.		
13	<sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Eco-hydraulics in Northwest Arid Region of China, Xi'an University of Technology, Xi'an,		
14	Shaanxi, 710048, China.		
15	<sup>5</sup> Joint International Research Laboratory of Agriculture and Agri-Product Safety, the Ministry of Education of China,		
16	Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, 225009, China.		
17	<sup>6</sup> Department of Ocean Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China.		
18	<sup>7</sup> State Key Laboratory of Plant Genomics, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Innovation Academy for		
19	Seed Design, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.		
20	<sup>8</sup> Department of Microbiology, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, 999077, China.		
21	<sup>10</sup> Guangdong Magigene Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Guangzhou, China.		
22	<sup>10</sup> Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai), School of Marine Sciences, Sun Yat-sen		
23	University, Zhunai, 510275, China.		
24 25	<sup>12</sup> Key Laboratory of Ocean and Marginal Sea Geology, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of		
25	Sciences Guangzhou 510640 China		
27	<sup>13</sup> College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China.		
28	<sup>14</sup> Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Auckland, New Zealand.		
29	<sup>15</sup> Wuhan Benagen Tech Solutions Company Limited, Wuhan 430070, China		
30	<sup>16</sup> Environmental Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Civil Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam		
31	Road, Hong Kong, 999077, China.		
32	<sup>17</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Auckland, New Zealand.		
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			

## 38 Abstract

Metagenomic binning enables the in-depth characterization of microorganisms. To improve the 39 resolution and efficiency of metagenomic binning, BASALT (Binning Across a Series of AssembLies 40 Toolkit), a novel binning toolkit was present in this study, which recovers, compares and optimizes 41 metagenomic assembled genomes (MAGs) across a series of assemblies from short-read, long-read or 42 hybrid strategies. BASALT incorporates self-designed algorithms which automates the separation of 43 redundant bins, elongate and refine best bins and improve contiguity. Evaluation using mock 44 45 communities revealed that BASALT auto-binning obtained up to 51% more number of MAGs with up to 10 times better MAG quality from microbial community at low (132 genomes) and medium (596 46 genomes) complexity, compared to other binners such as DASTool, VAMB and metaWRAP. Using 47 BASALT, a case-study analysis of a Salt Lake sediment microbial community from northwest arid 48 region of China was performed, resulting in 426 non-redundant MAGs, including 352 and 69 bacterial 49 and archaeal MAGs which could not be assigned to any known species from GTDB (ANI < 95%), 50 respectively. In addition, two Lokiarchaeotal MAGs that belong to superphylum Asgardarchaeota were 51 52 observed from Salt Lake sediment samples. This is the first time that candidate species from phylum Lokiarchaeota was found in the arid and deep-inland environment, filling the current knowledge gap 53 of earth microbiome. Overall, BASALT is proven to be a robust toolkit for metagenomic binning, and 54 more importantly, expand the Tree of Life. 55

56 Keywords: Microbiome; Metagenome; Binning refinement; Tree of Life; Salt Lake;
57 Asgardarchaeota/Lokiarchaeota

58

60 Name: Ke Yu,

61 Address: Shenzhen Graduate School, Peking University, Lishui Road, Nanshan district, Shenzhen

62 Email: yuke.sz@pku.edu.cn

- 63
- 64
- 65
- 66 67

<sup>59 \*</sup>Contact Information:

# 69 Introduction

Metagenomics analyses accommodated numerous of approaches exploring microbial diversities, 70 71 biosynthetic potentials and evolutionary relationships of earth's microbiome (Tyson et al. 2004, 72 Temperton and Giovannoni 2012). Specifically, the development of sequencing technologies, computational capacities and bioinformatic tools enabled genome-scale analyses, which freed our 73 cognition of microorganisms from only cultivated isolates and significantly boosted our 74 understandings on uncultivable microorganisms (Parks et al. 2017, Pasolli et al. 2019). Genome-75 76 resolved metagenomics was firstly applied in 2004 from low microbial diversity environment (Tyson et al. 2004), followed by a series of initiations such as Earth Microbiome Project (EMP) and the 77 European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) which enabled us to unravel the microorganisms on a global 78 79 scale (Thompson et al. 2017). For example, the latest report from EMP projects revealed more than 52,000 Metagenomic Assembled Genomes (MAGs) on species level (Amid et al. 2020, Nayfach et al. 80 2020), consisting a wide range of samples from environments with medium to high level of microbial 81 complexities, such as human (Pasolli et al. 2019, Almeida et al. 2021), freshwater (Ali et al. 2020), 82 marine (Tully et al. 2018, Reji et al. 2020), engineered environment (Ransom-Jones et al. 2017, Liang 83 84 et al. 2020) and soil (Kroeger et al. 2018, Nascimento Lemos et al. 2020), etc. Such studies implementing genome-resolved metagenomic approaches have largely expanded branches of 85 microorganisms on tree of life (Hug et al. 2016). However, despite these findings, a vast majority of 86 87 microorganisms remain obscured due to 1) limitation of bioinformatic tools such as assembly and binning; 2) large unexplored area/regions with specific environmental conditions; and 3) advanced 88 cultivation methods to be developed. 89

90 Aside from the developing innovations of culturing new microorganisms (Lewis et al. 2020), major 91 discovery of novel species was based on sequencing-based analyses. However, major impediments 92 that hampered us from obtaining comprehensive and high-qualitied MAGs from existing sequencing 93 datasets are assembly and binning steps (Nayfach et al. 2020). Due to the nature of next-generation

sequencing, a series of errors may occur in binning sourced from assembled short-read sequences, such 94 as mis-clustering contigs into bins, mis-separating contigs from one genome into multiple bins, and 95 mis-separating multiple genomes into bins sharing partial genomic sequences (Rinke et al. 2013, Yu 96 et al. 2018, Wang et al. 2019), resulting in redundant and artificial bins that interfere the actual binning 97 result. While the development of third generation sequencing can significantly increase the length of 98 sequencing reads to mitigate binning errors, higher costs (e.g. Pacific Biosciences sequencing, PacBio) 99 100 or error reads (e.g. Oxford Nanopore Technology, ONT) are still hindrances from acquiring intact microbial genomes with higher completeness and lower contamination (Laver et al. 2015, Wang et al. 101 102 2015). To date, the development of assembly (Bankevich et al. 2012, Peng et al. 2012, Li et al. 2015), binning (Alneberg et al. 2013, Wu et al. 2016, Kang et al. 2019, Nissen et al. 2021) and refinement 103 tools (Song and Thomas 2017, Uritskiy et al. 2018) have allowed us recovering MAGs from relatively 104 105 high diversity environments (Parks et al. 2017, Sczyrba et al. 2017), but an average of 70% of 106 sequencing reads were still unable to be exploited on genome-resolved analyses, which proportion is even higher in more complexed environment such as soil (Howe et al. 2014, Nayfach et al. 2020). 107 Although a growing number of bioinformatic tools have implemented algorithms on third generation 108 sequencing datasets, such as hybrid assemblers (e.g. Unicycler, OPERA-MS, Wick et al. 2017, 109 Bertrand et al. 2019), a systematic estimation of these tools on complexed environmental samples is 110 crucially needed. Moreover, no study has utilized third generation sequences on post-binning 111 approaches calibrating assembled bins and complementing genome gaps, which can maximize the 112 exploitation of long-reads data to elevate recovered genome qualities. Therefore, to further expand tree 113 of life, more robust assembly and binning workflows, as well as post-binning refinement methods are 114 yet to be developed. 115

In addition to the demands of advanced bioinformatic tools, more investigations on remote areas/regions, especially the ones from unique environmental conditions that absent from global projects (Nayfach et al. 2020, Almeida et al. 2021) can largely expand our knowledge on earth genomic

pools. As such environmental parameters (physical, chemical and biological) have direct and indirect 119 effect on microbial communities (Berdjeb et al. 2011, Nishiyama et al. 2018, Easson and Lopez 2019, 120 Glasl et al. 2019), exploring uncommon environment can expand the breadth of our understanding on 121 microbial diversity and potential functions. A few studies have already launched targeting rarely 122 explored environments on deserts (Finstad et al. 2017), oil fields (Eze et al. 2020), hydrothermal vents 123 (Anderson et al. 2017) and non-marine soda lakes (Vavourakis et al. 2018), but such number of 124 125 investigations were still scarce to support the expansion of microbial diversity, compare to a vast majority of studies on marine, soil and human-associated microbiomes (Hoshino et al. 2020, 126 127 Nascimento Lemos et al. 2020, Almeida et al. 2021).

In this study, we introduce a highly robust toolkit BASALT (Binning Across a Series of AssembLies 128 Toolkit) to robustly recover microbial genomes from sequencing datasets using a series of innovative 129 methodologies for assembly, binning and refinements. Firstly, BASALT uses high-throughput 130 131 assembly methods to automatically assemble/co-assemble multiple files in parallel to reduce the manual input; Next, BASALT incorporates self-designed algorithms which automates the separation 132 of redundant bins to elongate and refine best bins and improve contiguity; Further, BASALT facilitates 133 state-of-art refinement tools using third-generation sequencing data to calibrate assembled bins and 134 complement genome gaps that unable to be recalled from bins; Lastly, BASALT is an open frame 135 toolkit that allows multiple integration of bioinformatic tools, which can optimize a wide range of 136 datasets from various of assembly and binning software. Using BASALT, we performed a case study 137 on sediment samples of Aiding Lake, Xinjiang China, a deep-inland hypersaline lake with high aridity 138 139 in the surrounding area (Figure S1, Guan et al. 2020), which is different from common chlorite saline aquatic system. Based on the superior number and quality of MAGs obtained via BASALT, we present 140 taxonomic and genetic profiles on prokaryotic microbial communities of lake sediment samples, 141 142 including two Lokiarchaeota species from a recently discovered archaeal superphylum Asgardarchaeota (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al. 2017) that also found in our samples. Here, we 143

highlighted that BASALT can efficiently enhance the assembly and binning processes on metagenomic
sequences, which allows in-depth investigations on the existing dataset by increasing overall and
individual MAG quality. By acquiring more high-qualitied MAGs, we could potentially unfold much
more knowledges on known or unknown microbial taxa, potential functions and host-microbial
interactions, which further expand the tree of life.

149

# 150 **Results**

## 151 Environment, pipeline and availability

BASALT is command line software based on Python scripts with a series of modules, each containing one or more algorithms/programs addressing data processing or analysis. Overall, BASALT is an automated program running with one command line, while a few checkpoints are set in each module to accommodate users to customize their preference to start at any checkpoint as needed. Further details of outlines and algorithms are available at (https://github.com/EMBL-PKU/BASALT).

157

#### 158 BASALT workflow.

BASALT is a versatile toolkit that provides comprehensive pipeline from mapping, automated binning 159 to post-binning refinement that enable users to retrieve non-redundant and high-qualitied MAGs from 160 metagenome samples. Overall, BASALT contains four major modules including Automated Binning, 161 Bin Dereplication, Bin Refinement and Reassembly, where five core algorithms were implemented in 162 these modules including Core Contigs Identification, Bin depth normalization, Outlier Removal, 163 Contigs Retrieval and Restrained Overlap-Layout-Consensus (rOLC, Figure 1). As BASALT enables 164 multiple assembly or co-assembly datasets from short-read sequences (next-generation sequencing, 165 NGS) or long-read sequences (third-generation sequencing, TGS) as input files, it is expected that a 166 potential increase of reads utilization is available (Stewart et al. 2018). By importing multiple 167

sequences with coverage information, BASALT conducted automated binning using prominent tools 168 such as MetaBAT2, Maxbin2 and CONCOCT (Alneberg et al. 2013, Wu et al. 2016, Kang et al. 2019) 169 that generated hybrid bin-sets. Raw hybrid bin-sets were firstly filtered with a homebrew Bin 170 Dereplication module to remove replicated bins obtained from the same assembly. The non-redundant 171 bins of each assembly were then merged and categorized into different groups at a customized average 172 nucleotide identity (ANI) cutoff. Each group of bins were further filtered by the homebrew algorithm 173 which classifies core contigs that further enables identification of redundant bins before selecting into 174 a single, hybrid bin-set obtained from all samples. The selected bin-set was further filtered using a 175 176 critical Outlier Removal algorithm, which integrated coverage and tetranucleotide frequency (TNF) to remove outliers by using an interquartile ranges (IQR) method with multiple thresholds. Next, 177 sequences from assembly files were retrieved by connecting with existing contigs in the OR-filtered 178 179 bins to create an expanded sequences pool with potential connected contigs, while BASALT compared and selected the refined bins with higher quality value. Further, a restrained Overlap-Layout-180 Consensus (rOLC) step was conducted to overlap the replicated bins obtained from different 181 assemblies into OLC-merged bins, followed by the reassembly step to generate the finalized bin-set. 182 Notably, the sequence retrieval and reassembly step allowed utilization of long-read sequences 183 obtained from TGS to complement gaps and join overlapped regions on the bins to increase 184 completeness and reduce contamination. 185



Figure 1. BASALT workflow for assembly, binning and refinement of metagenomic sequencing data (blocks in green). BASALT contains four major modules (blocks in blue) including Automated Binning, Bin Dereplication, Bin Refinement and Reassembly, where five core algorithms (blocks in yellow) were implemented in these modules including Core Contigs Identification, Bin depth normalization, Outlier Removal, Contigs Retrieval and Restrained Overlap-Layout-Consensus (rOLC). In addition to core workflow, assembly and binning tools (blocks in orange) were also flexibly embedded in BASALT.

193

# **BASALT improves recognition of non-redundant bins.**

BASALT pipeline enables multiple input files for assembly, including long-reads files for hybrid 195 assembly. The advantage of input with multiple files is not limited to the reduction of computational 196 time but could also generate more bins than individual assembled samples. For example, binning using 197 multiplexed samples generated 16.3%, 14.2% and 11.1% more non-redundant MAGs when using DAS 198 Tool (MCM), VAMB and metaWRAP (MCM), respectively on CAMI-medium dataset (Table S1, 199 Figure S2), while the increasing rate on CAMI-high dataset were 8.2%, 11.0% and 9.0%, respectively 200 (Table S1, Figure 2A). Despite the advantage above, a major drawback using multiplexed samples was 201 the byproducts of replicated bins, which were considered as redundant or pseudo- genomes. To address 202

this issue, BASALT pipeline accommodates a Bin Dereplication module with homebrew algorithms 203 which can remove redundant bins generated from the multiplexed assemblies as well as hybrid bin-204 merging from automated binning, resulting in optimized, non-redundant bin-sets (Figure 1). 205 Comparing with standard CAMI-medium and -high genomes, DAS Tool, VAMB and metaWRAP 206 generated 85.9%, 77.1%, 95.0% (CAMI-medium) and 84.8%, 52.3%, 72.7% (CAMI-high) redundant 207 MAGs, respectively (Figure 2A, Figure S2), while no redundancy was observed in BASALT MAGs, 208 209 suggesting high redundancy rate were existed using the first three binning tools/toolkits. Remarkably, BASALT Bin Dereplication, Refinement and Reassembly modules can not only eliminate redundant 210 211 MAGs generated from DAS Tool, VAMB and metaWRAP pipelines, but also increase the overall quality of non-redundant MAGs (Co-assembled data refined with BASALT, CAB, Figure 2A), 212 suggesting good efficiently of redundancy removal and quality improvement using BASALT from co-213 assembled bins. 214



216 Figure 2. Comparison of BASALT with other binning tools/pipelines on CAMI-high dataset. A) Number of 217 MAGs recovered from CAMI-high dataset using DASTool (MaxBin2, CONCOCT and MetaBAT2, MCM), 218 VAMB, metaWRAP (MCM) and BASALT. In the first three tools, Co-assembly (CA) resulted in higher number 219 of non-redundant MAGs compare to single assembly (SA) approach, while BASALT refinement module (Coassembly refined with BASALT, CAB) removed redundant MAGs and generated higher quality of MAGs in 220 the co-assembly approach. Color of bars indicated the quality of MAGs (50-100, from light to dark). B) Venn 221 diagram showing number of MAGs recovered using different tools. There were 128 MAGs found shared across 222 all tools, while 4, 4, 7 and 30 MAGs were uniquely recovered using DAS Tool (green), VAMB (purple), 223 metaWRAP (cyan) and BASALT (red) pipelines, respectively. C) Completeness, contamination and quality of 224 128 shared MAGs recovered using DAS Tool (DAS, green), VAMB (purple), metaWRAP (MWP, cyan) and 225 226 BASALT (BST, red). MAGs recovered using BASALT had lower contamination rate compared to DAS Tool 227 and metaWRAP, and higher completeness and quality compared to all other tools. D) Pairwise comparison of 228 MAGs shared by corresponding pairs of tools. Overall, BASALT was superior in obtaining higher qualitied 229 MAGs compared to other tools. The number of MAGs that BASALT gained higher quality value (bars in light 230 green) was much more than the number of MAGs that other tools gained higher quality value (bars in light red) 231 or had similar quality value (difference of value  $\leq 1$ , bars in light blue).

232

# 233 BASALT generates higher number and qualitied MAGs in synthetic microbial communities.

The BASALT refinement module not only removes redundant bins generated in other pipelines, but 234 235 also improves the quality and number of MAGs. In comparison of MAGs (Quality score  $\geq$  50) obtained via different toolkits, BASALT resulted in 27.1%, 7.0%, 1.7% (CAMI-medium) and 50.9%, 50%, 11.7% 236 (CAMI-high) more non-redundant MAGs than DAS Tool, VAMB and metaWRAP, respectively 237 (Figure 2A, Figure S2, Table S1). Moreover, in top-qualitied CAMI-high MAGs (Quality score  $\geq$  90), 238 BASALT obtained 38%, 47.7% and 17.6% more non-redundant MAGs than DAS Tool, VAMB and 239 metaWRAP, respectively (Figure 2A). This result suggested BASALT is more robust retrieving high-240 qualitied and non-redundant MAGs from metagenome samples, especially on those samples with 241 higher complexity. 242

To individually evaluate the BASALT refinement module, a further refinement step was performed on
CAMI-high datasets processed with DAS Tool, VAMB and metaWRAP. Although less MAGs were

recovered compare to the result using comprehensive BASALT pipeline, 3.7%, 2.4% and 7.0% more MAGs (Quality score  $\geq 80$ ) were retrieved, respectively, compared to the default approaches of other toolkits (Table S1, Figure 2A). This result suggested that BASALT can also optimize number and quality of MAGs even based on the datasets processed with other pipelines.

249 Comparing MAGs recovered from the abovementioned toolkits with reference genomes in CAMI-250 high dataset, 128 MAGs were found universally presented across all pipelines, while 4, 4, 7 and 30 MAGs were uniquely recovered using DAS Tool, VAMB, metaWRAP and BASALT pipelines, 251 respectively (Figure 2B). In comparison of the 128 shared MAGs in their completeness, contamination 252 and quality score (completeness - 5\*contamination) across different toolkits, BASALT has the overall 253 advantages in acquiring high-quality and low contamination MAGs in comparison with others (Figure 254 2C). Further, we performed pairwise comparison between BASALT and the other tools of shared 255 MAGs and calculated delta value (difference of MAG quality between two tools) on the same genome. 256 257 The delta value showed BASALT could retrieve 10, 3.1 and 6.8 times of high-quality MAGs compared to DAS Tool, VAMB and metaWRAP, respectively (Figure 2D), indicating that BASALT can 258 substantially obtain better quality of MAGs comparing with other tools. In summary, BASALT can 259 retrieve a greater number of non-redundant MAGs from medium-high complexed samples with higher 260 qualities. 261

262

# 263 BASALT efficiently retrieves genomes from high complexity samples.

We performed four metagenome samples from Aiding Lake sediments using both BASALT to evaluate the capacity of BASALT on improving genome qualities recovered from complexed environmental samples. Using BASALT, assembled bins were quality-checked with CheckM (Parks et al. 2015), resulting in 426 non-redundant MAGs (completeness – 5\*contamination  $\geq$  50, mean completeness = 79%, mean contamination = 1.7%, mean quality value = 70.4), including 113 MAGs above highquality level (quality  $\ge$  80). As a majority of metagenomic sequences could not be utilized to recover high-qualitied genomes (Nayfach et al. 2016, Nayfach et al. 2020), we estimated the efficiency of sequences utilized in the lake sediment samples, resulting in 26.9% of reads mapped to the MAGs, which largely improved the utilization rate of metagenomic sequences in recovered MAGs compared to other samples with high complexity (Howe et al. 2014).

274 In reference to Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB, Parks et al. 2018), all 426 MAGs from BASALT pipelines were annotated spanning 39 bacterial and 8 archaeal phyla. MAGs classified into bacterial 275 phyla were mainly focused in Patescibacteria, Chloroflexota, Verrucomicrobiota, Bacterioidota, 276 Proteobacteria and Desulfobacterota, while MAGs classified into archaeal phyla were Halobacteriota, 277 278 Thermoplasmatota, Asgardarchaeota, Thermoproteota, Iainarchaeota and Micrarchaeota in archaeal domain (Figure 3A), representing a unique characteristic of microbial communities in the Salt Lake 279 sediment. Among these 426 MAGs, 98.9% bacterial MAGs and 100% archaeal MAGs could not be 280 281 assigned to any known species from GTDB (ANI < 95%). At genus level, 57.6% bacterial MAGs and 66.7% archaeal MAGs could not be assigned to any known genus from GTDB. This result suggested 282 that there was a large repository of genetic pool to be uncovered in Salt Lake sediments. 283

To further validate the MAGs recovered from Aiding Lake sediments, we compared these assembled 284 genomes with another study by Vavourakis et al. (2018) where samples were collected from several 285 soda lakes in the Kulunda Steppe (south-western Siberia, Altai, Russia). Generally, the two datasets 286 shared a vast majority of phyla (Figure 3A), indicating that despite the different geographical location, 287 bacterial assemblages of the Salt Lakes might be similar. Specifically, diverse bacterial taxa from 288 phylum Patescibacteria were observed in our study, which paralleled with the study by Vavourakis et 289 290 al. (2018). On the other hand, in the unique of phyla observed in two datasets, Acidobacteriota, Nitrospirota, Zixibacteria, WOR-3 and KSB31 were only present in this study (Figure 3A). In archaeal 291 292 communities, MAGs from phyla Halobacteriota, Thermophasmatota, Iainarchaeota and 293 Nanoarchaeota were observed in both datasets, while phyla Methanobacteriota and PWEA01 were

only present in Vavourakis et al. (2018) and phyla/superphyla Asgardarchaeota, Thermoproteota,
Micrarchaeota and Aenigmatarchaeota were only present in our study (Figure 3B). In the shared phyla,
a large number of MAGs from phylum Nanoarchaeota found, but only one MAG from phylum
Halobacteriota observed in this study, while a large community of Halobacteriota was observed in
Vavourakis et al. (2018). The difference of the two datasets might be due to the physiochemical
parameters between two contrasting sites of lakes (Sorokin et al. 2014), but such differences could also
be related to the different strategies of assembly, as well as binning pipelines.



Figure 3. Phylogenetic trees of A) bacterial MAGs from Vavourakis et al. (2018) and this study, B) archaeal MAGs from Vavourakis et al. (2018) and this study, and C) Maximum-likelihood tree of Asgardarchaeotal MAGs based on protein encoded genes from the whole genome. The unrooted phylogenetic trees in A) and B) were constructed with Fasttree, while the Maximum-likelihood tree in C) was constructed with PHYML and rerooted with superphylum TACK. The two Lokiarchaeota MAGs found in this study were highlighted with red in C), and all genomes used to create trees that not obtained from this study were listed in Table S2.

308

## 309 Newly discovered Lokiarchaeota species from a deep-inland non-marine hypersaline lake.

310 Using four metagenome samples, BASALT pipeline expanded 421 of known species on prokaryotic

phylogenetic tree with samples from one Salt Lake. Remarkably, two MAGs classified as 311 Lokiarchaeota belongs to the superphylum Asgardarchaeota, were also observed in our results. 312 Although previous study has reported Asgardarchaeota phyla found in hypersaline lakes (Bulzu et al. 313 2019), to the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that archaeal phylum Lokiarchaeota was found 314 from the deep inland non-marine samples. Comparing with other MAGs/isolates obtained in previous 315 studies, the two MAGs found in our study were grouped with Loki-2 MAGs/isolates (Figure 3C), next 316 317 to Ca. Prometheoarchaeum syntrophicum, a strain isolated from a deep-sea sediment sample at Nankai Trough, Japan (Imachi et al. 2020). Interestingly, other Loki-2 MAGs were found globally, including 318 319 Bin 342 from Shark Bay, Australia (Wong et al. 2020) and B53 G9 from Guaymas Basin, US (Seitz et al. 2019), suggesting that Loki-2 species were widely distributed not only in marine sediments but 320 also in the deep-inland terrestrial environment. 321

322

# 323 Discussion

BASALT is superior in quality and number of MAGs on low (132 genomes) to medium (596 genomes) 324 complexity samples. In regards of MAG quality assessment, CheckM (Parks et al. 2015) is widely 325 used in a vast majority of studies. However, in the presence of standard CAMI datasets, we calculated 326 the MAG quality against the corresponding genomes that can result in more accurate evaluations. 327 Notably, our dereplication module implemented in the integration step can efficiently remove 328 redundant bins that generated in co-assembly and bin selection steps, which was evidenced in the test 329 analysis using both CAMI-medium and CAMI-high datasets (Figure 2A). Although there are other 330 redundancy removal methods such as dRep (Olm et al. 2017), result suggested that redundant genomes 331 cannot be efficiently identified and removed using dRep on CAMI-medium or CAMI-high datasets 332 (Table S1), suggesting that BASALT Bin Dereplication gains more advantages in removing redundant 333 334 bins under higher complexed samples. Due to the scarcity of standard synthesized community with

high complexity to date, further works testing the efficiency of Bin Dereplication module on thestandardized samples with high complexity are needed.

BASALT-integrated Bin Dereplication module can efficiently remove redundant bins generated by 337 binning tools. However, due to the major impediments of short-fragmented technology of next-338 generation sequencing (NGS) and post sequencing algorithm, recovered MAGs cannot specify 339 340 differences of genomes at strain level with high similarity. While long read sequencing such as ONT has become more popular in the current metagenomic studies (Jain et al. 2016), high error rates still 341 required to be rectified by NGS. In this study, third-generation sequences were innovatively integrated 342 into our toolkit where long reads can be used to amend sequences and fill gaps on assembled genomes, 343 which can improve the overall quality of bins and increase the number of high-qualitied MAGs. 344 Although standard dataset with high complexity is currently not available in the database, our case 345 study revealed that Salt Lake sediments can be considered as samples with relatively high complexity 346 347 (6,993 ZOTUs from 16S rRNA gene amplicons), which was comparable with other studies on soil samples regardless the primer selection (Fulthorpe et al. 2008, Xiong et al. 2021). In the context of 348 high complexed samples of Salt Lake sediments, BASALT could also efficiently conduct reads 349 utilization in metagenomic binning with 26.9% mapped sequences, which to some extent helped to 350 resolve the difficulty raised in the EMP project (Nayfach et al. 2020). Prospectively, the trend in the 351 development of third-generation sequencing has inspired that further exploitation of long-read 352 sequences can increase the resolution of MAGs at strain or single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), 353 which may boost the outcome of recovered genomes in high complexity samples. Therefore, future 354 355 development should focus on the combination of NGS and TGS to improve the efficiency of binning, which consequently expand our knowledge on the tree of life. 356

In addition to the BASALT toolkits introduced in this study, one major finding in the case study was the discovery of two novel Lokiarchaeota genomes from the sediment samples of Aiding Lake. Lokiarchaeota belongs to a recently discovered superphylum Asgardarchaeota, which is a hot topic

linked to the origin of eukaryotes (Spang et al. 2018). To date, candidate species of Lokiarchaeota were 360 universally found near deep sea hydrothermal vents and marine sediments (Spang et al. 2015, Spang 361 et al. 2018, Hoshino et al. 2020, Wong et al. 2020, Yin et al. 2020). A recent study has found candidate 362 Lokiarchaeota present in hypersaline lakes near Black Sea (Bulzu et al. 2019), whereas our study 363 highlighted the first time that Loki-2 species were found from deep-inland hypersaline lake sediments. 364 Given the genetic analysis on Lokiarchaeota along with other candidate Asgardarchaeota species 365 366 (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al. 2017, Seitz et al. 2019, Imachi et al. 2020, Wong et al. 2020, Yin et al. 2020) have suggested that candidate Lokiarchaeota species were adaptive in marine environment with 367 368 distinct metabolic pathway, such as lignin or protein degradations. Thus, it was unlikely that candidate Loki-2 species were newly emerged in the deep-inland hypersaline lake. Therefore, the discovery in 369 this study might have provided a landmark that candidate Lokiarchaeota species might exist in the 370 ancient age before the plate movement event occurred. However, insufficient MAGs/isolates revealed 371 to date hampered us to make rigid conclusions, that more investigation on this group of archaea is 372 critically required in the future studies. 373

374

#### 375 Methods

# 376 Overview of BASALT

BASALT is a versatile toolkit that recovers, compares and optimizes MAGs across a series of assemblies assembled from short-read, long-read or hybrid strategies. We established five homebrew algorithms to carry out Core Contigs Identification (CCI), Bin Depth Normalization, Outlier Removal (OR), Contigs Retrieval, and restrained overlap-layout-consensus (rOLC). These algorithms consist three core modules of BASALT, such as Bin Dereplication, Bin Refinement and Bin Reassembly modules. Besides, BASALT contains an autobinning module that uses mapping tools (e.g. bowtie2, Langdon 2015) and binners (e.g. MetaBAT2, Maxbin2, and CONCOCT) to generate a raw hybrid bin-

set after input of assemblies (Figure 1).

385

#### 386 Dereplication of redundant bins

387 Incompleteness and contamination of MAGs would hinder the dereplication of bins from the hybrid bin-set. We developed an effectively strategy that can remove most of the potential contaminated 388 sequences while large number of sequences are still kept to carrying out precise redundancy 389 identification. Different from previously reported genome-wide ANI-based and marker gene- based 390 de-replicating methods such as dRep (Olm et al. 2017), the present method firstly generated a core 391 contig pool by filtering out potentially contaminated contigs of target bins. The depth and 392 tetranucleotide frequency (TNF) value of the selected contigs ranked from a range of 25 to 75 393 percentile of all the contigs of target bins. Then, selected bins were grouped into different raw bin-sets 394 based on overall similarity of core contigs. Secondly, we evaluated the sequencing depth discrepancy 395 among bins across different bin-sets to ensure the correct identification of redundant bins with relative 396 low similarity. The average depth of one bin should be equivalent to the average depth of the core 397 398 contigs of this bin. For neutralizing the sequencing depth discrepancy yielded by mapping the same reads to different assemblies, we designed a method to calculate the normalization ratio between two 399 possibly redundant bins, which identifies near-identical sequences (99.8% similarity across longer than 400 50% of the whole length of sequence) between two potential redundant bins as candidate bins. A depth 401 normalization ratio between candidate bins was then calculated by using the depth of these contigs, 402 which was then used to neutralize the average depth of candidate bins. Those candidate bins with 403 similar average sequencing depth (delta  $\leq 10\%$ ) were considered as redundant bins to be removed. 404 Finally, the bin with better quality value (completeness  $-5^*$  contamination) estimated by CheckM 405 406 (Parks et al. 2015) was kept being the better bin for further refinement and reassembly.

#### 408 **Refinement**

BASALT refinement module contains two adversary processes: Outlier Removal (OR) and Contig 409 Retrieval. To effectively remove contaminated contigs from a certain bin, we designed an outlier 410 removal algorithm that removes contigs with an outlier value of sequencing depth or TNF based on an 411 interquartile range (Formula 1), while different thresholds (k) were set (e.g. 1, 1.5, 3) to determine 412 413 these contigs. In the context of bin quality, OR keeps bins with higher quality value, while bins with lower quality were discarded. If no refined bin with higher quality than the original bin was generated, 414 OR would acquiescently eliminate sequences marked as depth or TNF outliers under the threshold of 415 3. Notably, the default setting of OR mainly removes contaminated sequences, but it may cause an 416 417 unnecessary removal of contigs due to restricted threshold.

#### 418 Formula 1:

419

$$x_i > Q3 + k(IQR) \lor x_i < Q1 - k(IQR)$$

In this formula, Q1 and Q3 stands for 25 and 75 percentiles of all contigs, where IQR (interquartile ranges) was calculated by Q3 – Q1.  $k \ge 0$ .

Contig Retrieval algorithm was designed to retrieve sequences that have not been clustered into the 422 target bin in the binning process, especially multicopy sequences or unnecessarily removed sequences 423 424 by Outlier Removal. Contig Retrieval identifies contigs that potentially connected to existing sequences in the target bin. These candidate contigs were further assessed by an interquartile range 425 426 method to remove depth or TNF outliers as described above and connected to the target bin by pairedend tracking (Albertsen et al. 2013) or long-read mapped method, forming a refined bin. Refined bins 427 were expected to have higher quality value than target bins which were further selected to form a 428 refined bin-set for further reassembly. 429

430

#### 432 Reassembly

BASALT reassembly module includes a restrained overlap-layout-consensus (rOLC) process and a 433 reassembly process. The rOLC algorithm was designed to retrieve sequence which was not included 434 in the BASALT binning and refinement processes. Specifically, this process re-utilized sequences from 435 redundant bins identified and removed from the dereplication module to overlap the sequences from 436 437 the target bin. Using a loose threshold (overlap length: 300 bp; similarity: 99%), rOLC algorithm aggressively recorded the redundant candidate sequences removed from the target bin in the previous 438 steps. As rOLC process may increase the contamination of sequences, a reassembly step was 439 implemented after rOLC to amend the contamination caused by rOLC, and more importantly, to 440 precisely elongate the length of sequences in the target bin. In the reassembly process, short-read or 441 long-read sequences were extracted from datasets by Bowtie2 and Minimap2, respectively (Langdon 442 2015, Li 2018). Three state-of-art assemblers were implemented in the reassembly step: SPAdes, FLYe, 443 444 and Unicycler (Bankevich et al. 2012, Wick et al. 2017, Kolmogorov et al. 2019) to carry out shortread reassembly, long-read reassembly and hybrid reassembly, respectively. A final bin selecting 445 process was exploited to select the best bins from the reassembly step to form the final bins for post-446 binning analysis. 447

448

# 449 Sample collection

Salt Lake sediment samples were collected in July 2018 from Aiding Lake, an arid region in Turpan City, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region ( $42^{\circ}52'9''$  N,  $89^{\circ}03'5''$  E). Briefly, about 50 grams of sediment samples (n = 4) were randomly collected at 0-10 cm depth in the lake into sterile 50 ml falcon tubes. Samples were immediately placed on dry ice before brought to laboratory and transferred to -80 °C freezer until further DNA extraction was performed.

#### 456 DNA extraction and sequencing

Frozen stored sediment samples (~250 mg dry weight per sample) was used to extract genomic DNA
using DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), following the manufacturer's instructions.
Extracted DNA was quality checked by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham,
Massachusetts, US), quantity checked by Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and PCR
checked to confirm the amplifiability.

For 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, barcode as a marker for each sample DNA was added at the
5' end of primers targeting V4 region for bacterial and archaeal communities (515F-806R, Caporaso
et al. 2012). Sequencing was performed at MAGIGENE, Guangzhou, China on an Illumina NovaSeq
6000 platform (2 × 250 bp paired end chemistry).

For shotgun metagenomics sequencing, quantity and quality checked genomic DNA was sent to
Novegene Co., Ltd, Nanjing, China on an Illumina NovaSeq (2 × 150 bp paired end chemistry).

468

# 469 Sequencing processing

To evaluate the efficiency of BASALT, standard Critical Assessment of Metagenome Interpretation 470 (CAMI) datasets including a simple-complexity (132 genomes, CAMI-medium) and a medium-471 complexity (596 genomes, CAMI-high) synthesized communities were downloaded from 472 (https://data.cami-challenge.org/participate) (Sczyrba et al. 2017). Raw paired-end reads were initially 473 filtered using fastp (Chen et al. 2018). Fifty percent of bases were filtered based on a minimum quality 474 score of 5 and sequence length of 150 bp, allowing no ambiguous bases. Clean reads were individually 475 and co-assembled using SPAdes (version3.14.1, Bankevich et al. 2012) into contigs specifying k-mer 476 sizes of 21, 33, 55, 77 and finally reserved contigs > 1,000 bps. To compare with other binners/toolkits, 477 filtered contigs were processed with DASTool, VAMB, metaWRAP and BASALT, respectively. The 478 479 redundancy, completeness and contamination of the MAGs were calculated against standard CAMI

datasets using homebrew script *Bin quality evaluation.py* available github 480 a on (https://github.com/EMBL-PKU/BASALT) to ensure high accuracy of results obtained from the four 481 binners/toolkits. High-quality MAGs (completeness - 5\*contamination  $\geq$  50%) were kept for further 482 statistical analysis, whereas bins did not meet the quality were discarded. 483

484 For Aiding Lake sediment samples, raw 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences were processed using Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME2) pipeline (http://qiime.org) (Caporaso et al. 485 2010). DADA2 was used to filter low-quality sequences with lengths < 230 bp, remove chimeric 486 sequences, singletons, and join the quality-filtered paired-end reads. Unique sequences (100% 487 similarity) were taxonomically assigned using Naive Bayes classifier against the SILVA 16S rRNA 488 489 gene reference alignment database (release 123) (Pruesse et al. 2007). To compare the complexity of Salt Lake sediment samples with other high-complexed samples such as soil, sequences were rarefied 490 to an even sampling depth at 10,000 reads per sample, before singleton was removed from the 491 generated OTU table. Overall, a total number of 6,993 ZOTUs (Zero-radius OTUs) were obtained. 492

For shotgun metagenomic sequences of Aiding Lake sediment samples, sequences were processed following the same procedure on CAMI-medium and CAMI-high datasets using BASALT. The completeness and contamination of the MAGs were then estimated using CheckM version 1.1.3 (Parks et al. 2015) with lineage-specific marker genes and default parameters, with only high-quality MAGs (completeness - 5\*contamination  $\geq$  50%) were kept for further analyses.

498

# 499 **Phylogenetic analysis**

500 The GTDB-Tk version (version1.4.1, Chaumeil et al. 2020) program was used to assign taxonomic

501 classifications to the MAGs (release r95). To make comparison with another study of soda lake samples

502 (Vavourakis et al. 2018), dereplicated MAGs were downloaded from NCBI Assembly database and

503 phylogenetic analyses were conducted based on MAGs from both studies using Fasttree (Price et al.

504	2010). For phylogenetic analysis of Asgardarchaeota, MAGs/isolates were downloaded from other
505	studies listed in Table S2, and Maximum-likelihood tree was constructed using PHYML version 3.0
506	(Guindon et al. 2010) with 1000 bootstrap iterations. Phylogenetic trees were visualized and edited in
507	the iTOL (https://itol.embl.de) online platform (Letunic and Bork 2019).
508	
509	Code availability
510	All BASALT codes including homebrew scripts for quality checking against standard datasets are
511	available at (https://github.com/EMBL-PKU/BASALT).
512	
513	Reference
514	Albertsen M. P. Hugenholtz A. Skarshewski, K. L. Nielsen, G. W. Tyson, and P. H. Nielsen. 2013. Genome sequences of
515	rare uncultured bacteria obtained by differential coverage binning of multiple metagenomes. Nature
516	biotechnology <b>31</b> :533-538.
517	Ali, M., D. R. Shaw, M. Albertsen, and P. E. Saikaly, 2020. Comparative genome-centric analysis of freshwater and marine
518	ANAMMOX cultures suggests functional redundancy in nitrogen removal processes. Frontiers in microbiology
519	<b>11</b> :1637.
520	Almeida, A., S. Nayfach, M. Boland, F. Strozzi, M. Beracochea, Z. J. Shi, K. S. Pollard, E. Sakharova, D. H. Parks, and P.
521	Hugenholtz. 2021. A unified catalog of 204,938 reference genomes from the human gut microbiome. Nature
522	biotechnology <b>39</b> :105-114.
523	Alneberg, J., B. S. Bjarnason, I. de Bruijn, M. Schirmer, J. Quick, U. Z. Ijaz, N. J. Loman, A. F. Andersson, and C. Quince.
524	2013. CONCOCT: clustering contigs on coverage and composition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.4038.
525	Amid, C., B. T. Alako, V. Balavenkataraman Kadhirvelu, T. Burdett, J. Burgin, J. Fan, P. W. Harrison, S. Holt, A. Hussein,
526	and E. Ivanov. 2020. The European nucleotide archive in 2019. Nucleic acids research 48:D70-D76.
527	Anderson, R. E., J. Reveillaud, E. Reddington, T. O. Delmont, A. M. Eren, J. M. McDermott, J. S. Seewald, and J. A. Huber.
528	2017. Genomic variation in microbial populations inhabiting the marine subseafloor at deep-sea hydrothermal
529	vents. Nature communications 8:1-11.
530	Bankevich, A., S. Nurk, D. Antipov, A. A. Gurevich, M. Dvorkin, A. S. Kulikov, V. M. Lesin, S. I. Nikolenko, S. Pham,
531	and A. D. Prjibelski. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell
532	sequencing. Journal of computational biology 19:455-477.
533	Berdjeb, L., T. Pollet, I. Domaizon, and S. Jacquet. 2011. Effect of grazers and viruses on bacterial community structure

- Bertrand, D., J. Shaw, M. Kalathiyappan, A. H. Q. Ng, M. S. Kumar, C. Li, M. Dvornicic, J. P. Soldo, J. Y. Koh, and C.
  Tong. 2019. Hybrid metagenomic assembly enables high-resolution analysis of resistance determinants and
  mobile elements in human microbiomes. Nature biotechnology 37:937-944.
- 538 Bulzu, P.-A., A.-Ş. Andrei, M. M. Salcher, M. Mehrshad, K. Inoue, H. Kandori, O. Beja, R. Ghai, and H. L. Banciu. 2019.

539 Casting light on Asgardarchaeota metabolism in a sunlit microoxic niche. Nature microbiology **4**:1129-1137.

- Caporaso, J. G., J. Kuczynski, J. Stombaugh, K. Bittinger, F. D. Bushman, E. K. Costello, N. Fierer, A. G. Peña, J. K.
   Goodrich, and J. I. Gordon. 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nature
   methods 7:335-336.
- Caporaso, J. G., C. L. Lauber, W. A. Walters, D. Berg-Lyons, J. Huntley, N. Fierer, S. M. Owens, J. Betley, L. Fraser, and
   M. Bauer. 2012. Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms.
   The ISME journal 6:1621-1624.
- Chaumeil, P.-A., A. J. Mussig, P. Hugenholtz, and D. H. Parks. 2020. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the
   Genome Taxonomy Database. Oxford University Press.
- 548 Chen, S., Y. Zhou, Y. Chen, and J. Gu. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34:i884 549 i890.
- Easson, C. G., and J. V. Lopez. 2019. Depth-Dependent environmental drivers of microbial plankton community structure
   in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. Frontiers in microbiology 9:3175.
- Eze, M. O., S. A. Lütgert, H. Neubauer, A. Balouri, A. A. Kraft, A. Sieven, R. Daniel, and B. Wemheuer. 2020. Metagenome
   assembly and metagenome-assembled genome sequences from a historical oil field located in Wietze, Germany.
   Microbiology Resource Announcements 9.
- Finstad, K. M., A. J. Probst, B. C. Thomas, G. L. Andersen, C. Demergasso, A. Echeverría, R. G. Amundson, and J. F.
  Banfield. 2017. Microbial community structure and the persistence of cyanobacterial populations in salt crusts of
  the hyperarid Atacama Desert from genome-resolved metagenomics. Frontiers in microbiology 8:1435.
- Fulthorpe, R. R., L. F. Roesch, A. Riva, and E. W. Triplett. 2008. Distantly sampled soils carry few species in common.
  The ISME journal 2:901-910.
- Glasl, B., D. G. Bourne, P. R. Frade, T. Thomas, B. Schaffelke, and N. S. Webster. 2019. Microbial indicators of
   environmental perturbations in coral reef ecosystems. Microbiome 7:1-13.
- Guan, T.-W., Y.-J. Lin, M.-Y. Ou, and K.-B. Chen. 2020. Isolation and diversity of sediment bacteria in the hypersaline
   aiding lake, China. PLoS One 15:e0236006.
- Guindon, S., J.-F. Dufayard, V. Lefort, M. Anisimova, W. Hordijk, and O. Gascuel. 2010. New algorithms and methods to
   estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic biology 59:307 321.
- Hoshino, T., H. Doi, G.-I. Uramoto, L. Wörmer, R. R. Adhikari, N. Xiao, Y. Morono, S. D'Hondt, K.-U. Hinrichs, and F.
   Inagaki. 2020. Global diversity of microbial communities in marine sediment. Proceedings of the national
   academy of sciences 117:27587-27597.
- Howe, A. C., J. K. Jansson, S. A. Malfatti, S. G. Tringe, J. M. Tiedje, and C. T. Brown. 2014. Tackling soil diversity with
  the assembly of large, complex metagenomes. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences 111:4904-4909.
- Hug, L. A., B. J. Baker, K. Anantharaman, C. T. Brown, A. J. Probst, C. J. Castelle, C. N. Butterfield, A. W. Hernsdorf, Y.
  Amano, and K. Ise. 2016. A new view of the tree of life. Nature microbiology 1:1-6.
- Imachi, H., M. K. Nobu, N. Nakahara, Y. Morono, M. Ogawara, Y. Takaki, Y. Takano, K. Uematsu, T. Ikuta, and M. Ito.
   2020. Isolation of an archaeon at the prokaryote–eukaryote interface. Nature 577:519-525.
- Jain, M., H. E. Olsen, B. Paten, and M. Akeson. 2016. The Oxford Nanopore MinION: delivery of nanopore sequencing to
   the genomics community. Genome biology 17:1-11.
- Kang, D. D., F. Li, E. Kirton, A. Thomas, R. Egan, H. An, and Z. Wang. 2019. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm
  for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. PeerJ 7:e7359.
- Kolmogorov, M., J. Yuan, Y. Lin, and P. A. Pevzner. 2019. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. Nature
   biotechnology 37:540-546.
- 582 Kroeger, M. E., T. O. Delmont, A. M. Eren, K. M. Meyer, J. Guo, K. Khan, J. L. Rodrigues, B. J. Bohannan, S. G. Tringe,

- and C. D. Borges. 2018. New biological insights into how deforestation in Amazonia affects soil microbial
   communities using metagenomics and metagenome-assembled genomes. Frontiers in microbiology 9:1635.
- Langdon, W. B. 2015. Performance of genetic programming optimised Bowtie2 on genome comparison and analytic testing
   (GCAT) benchmarks. BioData mining 8:1-7.
- Laver, T., J. Harrison, P. O'neill, K. Moore, A. Farbos, K. Paszkiewicz, and D. J. Studholme. 2015. Assessing the
   performance of the oxford nanopore technologies minion. Biomolecular detection and quantification 3:1-8.
- Letunic, I., and P. Bork. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic acids
   research 47:W256-W259.
- Lewis, W. H., G. Tahon, P. Geesink, D. Z. Sousa, and T. J. Ettema. 2020. Innovations to culturing the uncultured microbial
   majority. Nature Reviews Microbiology:1-16.
- Li, D., C.-M. Liu, R. Luo, K. Sadakane, and T.-W. Lam. 2015. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and
   complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. Bioinformatics 31:1674-1676.
- Li, H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 34:3094-3100.
- Liang, Z., J. Shi, C. Wang, J. Li, D. Liang, E. L. Yong, Z. He, and S. Wang. 2020. Genome-centric metagenomic insights
  into the impact of alkaline/acid and thermal sludge pretreatment on the microbiome in digestion sludge. Applied
  and environmental microbiology 86.
- Nascimento Lemos, L., L. Manoharan, L. William Mendes, A. Monteiro Venturini, V. Satler Pylro, and S. M. Tsai. 2020.
   Metagenome assembled-genomes reveal similar functional profiles of CPR/Patescibacteria phyla in soils.
   Environmental microbiology reports 12:651-655.
- Nayfach, S., B. Rodriguez-Mueller, N. Garud, and K. S. Pollard. 2016. An integrated metagenomics pipeline for strain
   profiling reveals novel patterns of bacterial transmission and biogeography. Genome research 26:1612-1625.
- Nayfach, S., S. Roux, R. Seshadri, D. Udwary, N. Varghese, F. Schulz, D. Wu, D. Paez-Espino, I.-M. Chen, and M.
   Huntemann. 2020. A genomic catalog of Earth's microbiomes. Nature biotechnology:1-11.
- Nishiyama, E., K. Higashi, H. Mori, K. Suda, H. Nakamura, S. Omori, S. Maruyama, Y. Hongoh, and K. Kurokawa. 2018.
   The relationship between microbial community structures and environmental parameters revealed by
   metagenomic analysis of hot spring water in the Kirishima Area, Japan. Frontiers in bioengineering and
   biotechnology 6:202.
- Nissen, J. N., J. Johansen, R. L. Allesøe, C. K. Sønderby, J. J. A. Armenteros, C. H. Grønbech, L. J. Jensen, H. B. Nielsen,
   T. N. Petersen, and O. Winther. 2021. Improved metagenome binning and assembly using deep variational
   autoencoders. Nature biotechnology:1-6.
- Olm, M. R., C. T. Brown, B. Brooks, and J. F. Banfield. 2017. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons that
   enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication. The ISME journal 11:2864-2868.
- Parks, D. H., M. Chuvochina, D. W. Waite, C. Rinke, A. Skarshewski, P.-A. Chaumeil, and P. Hugenholtz. 2018. A
  standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. Nature
  biotechnology 36:996-1004.
- Parks, D. H., M. Imelfort, C. T. Skennerton, P. Hugenholtz, and G. W. Tyson. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of
   microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome research 25:1043-1055.
- Parks, D. H., C. Rinke, M. Chuvochina, P.-A. Chaumeil, B. J. Woodcroft, P. N. Evans, P. Hugenholtz, and G. W. Tyson.
  2017. Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life. Nature
  microbiology 2:1533-1542.
- Pasolli, E., F. Asnicar, S. Manara, M. Zolfo, N. Karcher, F. Armanini, F. Beghini, P. Manghi, A. Tett, and P. Ghensi. 2019.
  Extensive unexplored human microbiome diversity revealed by over 150,000 genomes from metagenomes
  spanning age, geography, and lifestyle. Cell 176:649-662. e620.
- 626 Peng, Y., H. C. Leung, S.-M. Yiu, and F. Y. Chin. 2012. IDBA-UD: a de novo assembler for single-cell and metagenomic

627 sequencing data with highly uneven depth. Bioinformatics **28**:1420-1428.

- Price, M. N., P. S. Dehal, and A. P. Arkin. 2010. FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments.
   PLoS One 5:e9490.
- Pruesse, E., C. Quast, K. Knittel, B. M. Fuchs, W. Ludwig, J. Peplies, and F. O. Glöckner. 2007. SILVA: a comprehensive
  online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic
  Acids Res 35.
- Ransom-Jones, E., A. J. McCarthy, S. Haldenby, J. Doonan, and J. E. McDonald. 2017. Lignocellulose-degrading microbial
   communities in landfill sites represent a repository of unexplored biomass-degrading diversity. Msphere 2.
- Reji, L., B. B. Tolar, J. M. Smith, F. Chavez, and C. Francis. 2020. Genome-resolved metagenomics reveals lineage-specific
   metabolic strategies within marine nitrifier subpopulations.*in* Ocean Sciences Meeting 2020. AGU.
- Rinke, C., P. Schwientek, A. Sczyrba, N. N. Ivanova, I. J. Anderson, J.-F. Cheng, A. Darling, S. Malfatti, B. K. Swan, and
  E. A. Gies. 2013. Insights into the phylogeny and coding potential of microbial dark matter. Nature 499:431-437.
- 639 Sczyrba, A., P. Hofmann, P. Belmann, D. Koslicki, S. Janssen, J. Dröge, I. Gregor, S. Majda, J. Fiedler, and E. Dahms.
  640 2017. Critical assessment of metagenome interpretation—a benchmark of metagenomics software. Nature
  641 methods 14:1063-1071.
- Seitz, K. W., N. Dombrowski, L. Eme, A. Spang, J. Lombard, J. R. Sieber, A. P. Teske, T. J. Ettema, and B. J. Baker. 2019.
   Asgard archaea capable of anaerobic hydrocarbon cycling. Nature communications 10:1-11.
- Song, W.-Z., and T. Thomas. 2017. Binning\_refiner: improving genome bins through the combination of different binning
   programs. Bioinformatics 33:1873-1875.
- Spang, A., L. Eme, J. H. Saw, E. F. Caceres, K. Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka, J. Lombard, L. Guy, and T. J. Ettema. 2018.
  Asgard archaea are the closest prokaryotic relatives of eukaryotes. PLoS genetics 14:e1007080.
- Spang, A., J. H. Saw, S. L. Jørgensen, K. Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka, J. Martijn, A. E. Lind, R. Van Eijk, C. Schleper, L. Guy,
  and T. J. Ettema. 2015. Complex archaea that bridge the gap between prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Nature 521:173179.
- Stewart, R. D., M. D. Auffret, A. Warr, A. H. Wiser, M. O. Press, K. W. Langford, I. Liachko, T. J. Snelling, R. J. Dewhurst,
  and A. W. Walker. 2018. Assembly of 913 microbial genomes from metagenomic sequencing of the cow rumen.
  Nature communications 9:1-11.
- Temperton, B., and S. J. Giovannoni. 2012. Metagenomics: microbial diversity through a scratched lens. Current opinion
   in microbiology 15:605-612.
- Thompson, L. R., J. G. Sanders, D. McDonald, A. Amir, J. Ladau, K. J. Locey, R. J. Prill, A. Tripathi, S. M. Gibbons, and
   G. Ackermann. 2017. A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551:457-463.
- Tully, B. J., E. D. Graham, and J. F. Heidelberg. 2018. The reconstruction of 2,631 draft metagenome-assembled genomes
  from the global oceans. Scientific data 5:1-8.
- Tyson, G. W., J. Chapman, P. Hugenholtz, E. E. Allen, R. J. Ram, P. M. Richardson, V. V. Solovyev, E. M. Rubin, D. S.
  Rokhsar, and J. F. Banfield. 2004. Community structure and metabolism through reconstruction of microbial
  genomes from the environment. Nature 428.
- Uritskiy, G. V., J. DiRuggiero, and J. Taylor. 2018. MetaWRAP—a flexible pipeline for genome-resolved metagenomic
   data analysis. Microbiome 6:1-13.
- Vavourakis, C. D., A.-S. Andrei, M. Mehrshad, R. Ghai, D. Y. Sorokin, and G. Muyzer. 2018. A metagenomics roadmap to
   the uncultured genome diversity in hypersaline soda lake sediments. Microbiome 6:1-18.
- Wang, M., C. R. Beck, A. C. English, Q. Meng, C. Buhay, Y. Han, H. V. Doddapaneni, F. Yu, E. Boerwinkle, and J. R.
  Lupski. 2015. PacBio-LITS: a large-insert targeted sequencing method for characterization of human diseaseassociated chromosomal structural variations. BMC genomics 16:1-12.
- 670 Wang, Z., Z. Wang, Y. Y. Lu, F. Sun, and S. Zhu. 2019. SolidBin: improving metagenome binning with semi-supervised

671 normalized cut. Bioinformatics **35**:4229-4238.

- Wick, R. R., L. M. Judd, C. L. Gorrie, and K. E. Holt. 2017. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short
   and long sequencing reads. PLoS computational biology 13:e1005595.
- Wong, H. L., F. I. MacLeod, R. A. White, P. T. Visscher, and B. P. Burns. 2020. Microbial dark matter filling the niche in
  hypersaline microbial mats. Microbiome 8:1-14.
- Wu, Y.-W., B. A. Simmons, and S. W. Singer. 2016. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to recover genomes from
   multiple metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics 32:605-607.
- Kiong, C., Y. G. Zhu, J. T. Wang, B. Singh, L. L. Han, J. P. Shen, P. P. Li, G. B. Wang, C. F. Wu, and A. H. Ge. 2021. Host selection shapes crop microbiome assembly and network complexity. New Phytologist 229:1091-1104.
- Yin, X., M. Cai, Y. Liu, G. Zhou, T. Richter-Heitmann, D. A. Aromokeye, A. C. Kulkarni, R. Nimzyk, H. Cullhed, and Z.
   Zhou. 2020. Subgroup level differences of physiological activities in marine Lokiarchaeota. The ISME journal:1 14.
- Yu, G., Y. Jiang, J. Wang, H. Zhang, and H. Luo. 2018. BMC3C: binning metagenomic contigs using codon usage, sequence
   composition and read coverage. Bioinformatics 34:4172-4179.
- Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka, K., E. F. Caceres, J. H. Saw, D. Bäckström, L. Juzokaite, E. Vancaester, K. W. Seitz, K.
   Anantharaman, P. Starnawski, and K. U. Kjeldsen. 2017. Asgard archaea illuminate the origin of eukaryotic cellular complexity. Nature 541:353-358.







Β

С

Completeness





Contanmination



D

----

-----

BASALT

DASTool

VAMB

metaWRAP





# Delta (pairwise comparison)

# **MAG Quality** Better in BASALT Similar (diffs $\leq 1$ ) Better in other tools Comparison 📥 vs. DASTool vs. VAMB 🔄 vs. metaWRAP



# Phylum Patescibacteria MAGs from Vavourakis et al. Zixibacteria MAGs from this study Margulisbacteria • Bootstrap > 50% Spirochaetota Myxococcota Planctomycetota Chloroflexota Verrucomicrobiota Hydrogenedentota Cyanobacteria WOR-3 Omnitrophota bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.05.434042; this version posted March 5, 2021. The copyright hole (whigh child of action of a child Firmicutes Gemmatimonadota Proteobacteria KSB1 Others Desulfobacterota Bipolaricaulota 0.1 - C Bacteroidota Nitrospirota Acidobacteriota

"Lake



Lokiarchaeota AS27yjCOA_147		
Lokiarchaeota CR_4		
Bin_342		
BASALT_bin_790		
BASALT_bin_357	Loki-2	
MK-D1		
— B53_G9		
Bin_229		
Bin_204		
RBin_035		
RBin_125		
Bin_485		
AMARA_1S		
AMARA_7		
TEKIR_21		
Loki_b32	Loki-3	
Hel_238_bin13		
SZ_4_bin8.338		
- AMARA_5S		
Loki_b31		
— DZG_bin1.240		
— Hel 238 bin90		
— Hel 238 bin105		