# Synthetic algal-bacteria consortia for space-efficient microalgal growth in a simple hydrogel system

- 3
- 4 Noah Martin<sup>1\*</sup>, Tatum Bernat<sup>1\*</sup>, Julie Dinasquet<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Stoftko<sup>1</sup>, April Damon<sup>1</sup>, Dimitri D.
- 5 Deheyn<sup>1</sup>, Farooq Azam<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer E. Smith<sup>1</sup>, Matthew P. Davey<sup>2,3</sup> Alison G. Smith<sup>2</sup>, Silvia
- 6 Vignolini<sup>4</sup>, & Daniel Wangpraseurt<sup>1,4,5#</sup>
- 7
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup>Marine Biology Research Division, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California
- 9 San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0205, USA
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EA, UK
- <sup>3</sup>Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban, PA37 1QA, UK
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1TN, UK
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Nanoengineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-
- 14 0205, USA
- 15
- 16 \*shared first author
- 17 #correspondance: <u>dwangpraseurt@eng.ucsd.edu</u> (D. Wangpraseurt)
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21

22 Abstract: Photosynthetic microalgae are an attractive source of food, fuel or nutraceuticals, but 23 commercial production of microalgae is limited by low spatial efficiency. In the present study, we 24 developed a simple photosynthetic hydrogel system that cultivates the green microalga, 25 Marinichlorella kaistiae KAS603, together with a novel strain of the bacteria Erythrobacter sp.. 26 We tested the performance of the co-culture in the hydrogel using a combination of chlorophyll-a 27 fluorimetry, microsensing and bio-optical measurements. Our results showed that growth rates in 28 algal-bacterial hydrogels were about 3-fold enhanced compared to hydrogels with algae alone. 29 Chlorophyll-a fluorimetry based light curves found that electron transport rates were enhanced 30 about 20% for algal-bacterial hydrogels compared to algal hydrogels for intermediate irradiance 31 levels. We also show that the living hydrogel is stable under different environmental conditions 32 and when exposed to natural seawater. Our study provides a potential bio-inspired solution for 33 problems that limit the space-efficient cultivation of microalgae for biotechnological applications.

34

#### 35 **1. Introduction**

36 Microscopic photosynthesizing algae produce a range of high value products including lipids and 37 pigments (Borrowitzka 2013). Additionally, algal biomass is of great interest for use as feedstocks 38 in aquaculture and for the generation of biofuels (Villarruel-Lopez et al. 2017, Khan et al. 2018). 39 However, commercial large-scale production of microalgae is still limited by low spatial efficiency 40 and associated high production and processing costs (e.g. Borrowitzka and Vonhak 2017). Algal 41 cultivation techniques can generally be divided into open pond systems, closed photobioreactors 42 and biofilm-based systems (Posten 2009). Open pond systems cultivate algae in raceway ponds 43 and have low maintenance cost but generate only limited biomass per area (Tan et al. 2020). 44 Photobioreactor systems allow for controlled conditions of irradiance, gas flux and temperature,

45 and yield higher algal growth efficiencies, but have high operation and maintenance costs (Tan et 46 al. 2020; Lee 2001). Biofilm-based systems cultivate algae as surface-attached biofilms rather than 47 in liquid suspensions. Algal biofilm cultivation can lead to reduced operation costs due to limited 48 water and energy use, as well as improved algal harvesting efficiencies (Ozkan et al. 2012; Berner 49 et al. 2015). Biofilm systems also demonstrate greater CO<sub>2</sub> utilization efficiency, and reduced 50 harvesting cost (Blanken et al. 2016; Roostaei et al. 2018). These systems, however, are also 51 constrained, often relying on sophisticated artificial architectures to compete with the efficiency 52 of natural systems and are much harder to scale-up.

53 More recently, algae have also been cultivated while immobilized in hydrogels (Berner et 54 al. 2015). Hydrogel immobilization enables reduced water usage during algal cultivation and 55 provides a potential physical barrier against bacterial infections (Brenner et al. 2008; Covarrubias 56 et al. 2012; He et al. 2016). 3D bioprinting has been used to create different hydrogel structures 57 growing a range of microalgal strains (Krujatz et al. 2014; Lode et al. 2015; Wangpraseurt et al. 58 2020). To optimize light propagation in hydrogels with high microalgal densities, coral-inspired 59 biomaterials have recently been developed (Wangpraseurt et al. 2020). However, the cultivation 60 of microalgae in hydrogel-based systems, still requires further development regarding the 61 exchange of gases and metabolites that are essential for microalgal growth (Podola et al. 2017).

To overcome diffusion limitation in attached cultivation systems, previous efforts have included the development of porous substrate-based bioreactors that make use of a porous membrane to deliver nutrients and promote gas exchange, whilst the surface of the biofilm is in direct contact with the ambient gas phase (Podola et al. 2017). In nature, benthic photosynthetic animals have faced similar challenges and photosynthesis in thick coral tissues could theoretically become limited by the diffusion-limited provision of  $HCO_3^-$  from the ambient water phase.

However, it has been shown that coral animal and bacterial respiration promote photosynthesis of their symbiotic microalgae, suggesting that the coral host provides essential metabolites and nutrients locally to the microalgae (e.g. Kuhl et al. 1996; Schrameyer et al. 2014).

71 In corals, the microbial community performs critical functions for the coral holobiont 72 including pathogen protection, sulfur and nitrogen cycling as well as beneficial modulations of the 73 host microhabitat (Rosenberg et al. 2009; Krediet et al. 2013; Ceh et al. 2013). Benefits of bacterial 74 communities for an algal host have been documented in free-living algae as well (e.g. Kazamia et 75 al. 2012). Some bacteria can provide a local supply of essential nutrient compounds required by 76 the algae, including nitrogen, inorganic carbon, vitamin B12 (cobalamin), and growth promoting 77 hormones (Kouzuma and Watanabe 2015). For example, one study estimated that 50% of algal 78 species are cobalamin auxotrophs, implying a reliance on bacterial-produced cobalamin (Croft et 79 al. 2005). More generally, symbiotic relationships between microalgae and bacteria often employ 80 a mutually beneficial exchange of carbon and nitrogen (Thompson et al. 2012). Experiments 81 working with the microalgae *Chlorella* in co-culture with a known growth promoting bacteria in 82 alginate beads demonstrates enhanced growth which can be utilized for biotechnological 83 applications (Gonzalez and Bashan 2000). Accordingly, there is a growing interest in using 84 microbial consortia for enhanced biomanufacturing (Padmaperuma et al. 2018; Nai and Meyer 85 2018).

Here, we developed a novel gelatin-based hydrogel system by combining microalgae and
bacteria for space-efficient microalgal cultivation. We chose the green microalga *Marinichlorella kaistiae* KAS603 and screened 14 marine bacterial strains for beneficial effects on algal biomass.
Based on these results, we further measured the bio-optical properties and photosynthetic
performance of a synthetic co-culture between *Marinichlorella kaistiae* KAS603 and a novel strain

of *Erythrobacter* sp.. We then evaluated the beneficial effects of the *Erythrobacter* strain on a
range of microalgae covering coccolithophorids, red algae and other species of green microalgae.
Finally, the mechanical stability of our hydrogel system was tested under different environmental
conditions.

95

#### 96 <u>2. Methods</u>

#### 97 Experimental approach

98 To test for beneficial effects of algal-bacterial co-culture, we assessed a range of bacterial and algal 99 strains. Marinichlorella kaistiae KAS603 (Sánchez-Alvarez et al. 2017) was used as model algal 100 strain. M. kaistiae KAS603 is a robust algal strain that is morphologically similar to Chlorella and 101 has high lipid and biomass production rates (Sánchez-Alvarez et al. 2017). M. kaistiae KAS603 102 has been successfully grown in 3D bioprinted gelatin-based hydrogels (Wangpraseurt et al. 2020). 103 The beneficial impact of 14 different bacterial strains (see Table S1) on *M. kaistiae* KAS603 104 growth was investigated over 3-day co-culture experiments. These preliminary experiments 105 suggested enhanced growth with the strain SIO\_La6, closely related to Erythrobacter sp., (Table 106 S1), which was then used as our bacterial model for co-culture experiments. Finally, to test whether 107 these beneficial effects of SIO La6 were transferrable to other microalgal species, co-cultures 108 between SIO\_La6 and Micromonas sp., Porphyridium cruentum, Pleurochrysis carterae, and 109 Amphidinium carterae, were also investigated. Co-culture experiments with M. Kaistiae KAS603 110 were conducted also in liquid culture to assess the relative effect of algae immobilization in 111 hydrogels (Fig. S1).

112 Stock cultures

113 Bacterial stock cultures were cultivated in Zobell broth at 25°C under sterile conditions. Bacterial 114 cultures used for hydrogel immobilization were harvested during exponential growth in Zobell 115 broth as determined via optical density (OD) measurements (Begot et al. 1996) and flow cytometry 116 (Gasol and Del Giorgio 2000). Bacterial cultures were identified by 16S rDNA Sanger sequencing 117 (using the primer pair 27F-1492R) to determine their closest phylogenetic relations (Table S1). 118 Algal stock cultures were grown in artificial seawater medium (ASW, Darley and Volcani 1969) at 25 °C under a continuous irradiance regime of 150 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> provided by white LED 119 120 light panels (AL-H36DS, Ray2, Finnex). Microalgae were harvested from liquid stock cultures in 121 the exponential growth phase for hydrogel immobilization. Cell density was measured using a 122 hemocytometer, with 3 technical replicate counts per algal stock sample.

# 123 Algal-bacterial hydrogel fabrication and cultivation

124 Hydrogels were made by using a 10% solution of porcine gelatin (type-A, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) 125 in ASW. The solution was prepared by heating the gelatin-ASW mixture on a hot plate under 126 continuous stirring to 90 °C until it was optically clear. The solution was cooled to 30 °C and 2.5 127 mL of the gel solution was rapidly mixed with 2 mL of the algal stock solution (at a concentration 128 of 1.36 x 10<sup>7</sup> cells/mL for main *M. kaistiae* experiments) and 0.5 mL of either sterile Zobell 129 medium (for monoculture control gels) or Zobell medium containing a chosen bacterial strain (for 130 co-culture gels) (Figure 1). Bacterial density for cultivation experiments was chosen at an  $OD_{600}$ 131 of 0.02. We also performed preliminary growth experiments using different starting concentrations 132 of microalgal cell density (Fig. S2). The solution was vortexed for 30 s, to ensure proper mixing 133 of algae and bacteria, before it was poured into Petri dishes. Gelation was facilitated by keeping 134 the Petri dishes at 18 °C for 1 hour, which resulted in gels that were  $\sim 10$  mm thick. Gels were then

- 135 cultivated at 25 °C under a continuous irradiance regime of 150  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> provided by
- 136 white LED light panels (AL-H36DS, Ray2, Finnex).



137

Fig. 1 Development of a synthetic co-culture between microalgae and Erythrobacter sp. in a 138 139 gelatin-based hydrogel. Algae were grown in monoculture and in co-culture with *Erythrobacter* 140 sp. both in liquid culture and in hydrogel configuration. Arrows indicate potential interactions 141 between algae and bacteria that were hypothesized to enhance algal growth. Microalgal 142 photosynthesis generates O<sub>2</sub> and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) that fuels bacterial metabolism. 143 In turn, bacterial activity provides an inorganic carbon source for photosynthesis and vitamins. 144 This synthetic co-culture enhances the stability of the biopolymer when exposed to potential 145 pathogens. (Figure was created with BioRender.com)

146

#### 147 **Performance testing**

Microalgal cell density. Hydrogels were liquified by heating to 30°C on a hot plate. The liquid algal suspension was then diluted with ASW and the cell density was determined with a

150 hemocytometer (see above). The accuracy of this approach was tested using stock cultures of 151 known cell density, showing an error of less than 3% between expected and measured cell 152 densities.

153 O<sub>2</sub> microsensor measurements. Clark-type O<sub>2</sub> microsensors (tip size of 25 µm, a 90% response 154 time of <0.5 s and a stirring sensitivity of  $\sim 1\%$ ; Unisense A/S, Aarhus, Denmark) were used to 155 measure O<sub>2</sub> production and consumption of the hydrogels as described previously (Wangpraseurt 156 et al. 2012). Briefly, microsensors were connected to a picoammeter (Unisense, Denmark) and 157 operated by an automatic microsensor profiler (MU1, Pyroscience GmbH, Germany). Hydrogels 158 were placed in a black acrylic flow chamber and flowing seawater was supplied at a flow velocity of 0.5 cm s<sup>-1</sup> at 25° C and a salinity of 35. Microsensors were positioned at the surface of the 159 160 hydrogel by observing the microsensor tip with the aid of a dissecting microscope and the use of 161 automated micromanipulator (MU1, Pyroscience GmbH, Germany). Steady-state an 162 O<sub>2</sub> concentration profiles from the hydrogel surface through the diffusive boundary layer (DBL) 163 and into the mixed turbulent water phase above were performed in 100 µm steps under an incident photon irradiance of  $E_d(PAR) = 0$  and 550 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. O<sub>2</sub> microsensors were linearly 164 165 calibrated from readings at 100 % air saturated seawater at experimental temperature and using 166 anoxic water (flushed with  $N_2$ ). Percent air saturation in seawater at experimental temperature and salinity was transformed to  $O_2$  concentration (µmol  $O_2 L^{-1}$ ) using gas tables (Ramsing and 167 168 Gundersen 2011).

169

*Variable chlorophyll a fluorimetry*. We used a variable chlorophyll a fluorometer (diving PAM II,
Walz, Germany) to characterize PS II performance (Baker 2008). The fiber of the PAM system
was mounted on a laboratory stand and directed vertically towards the surface of the hydrogels at

a fixed distance of 1 cm. Hydrogels were dark adapted for at least 30 minutes before experimental measurements. Rapid light curves (RLC) (Ralph and Gademan 2005) were performed over a range of 8 irradiance intensities spanning 0-1500 umol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> of incident downwelling irradiance. For each RLC, the dark-adapted hydrogels were incubated at each experimental irradiance regimes for 15 seconds followed by a saturation pulse. The variable chlorophyll fluorescence data was analyzed as described previously (Ralph and Gademan 2005). Briefly, the maximum quantum yield of PSII was calculated as:

 $F_{v}/F_{m} = [F_{m}-F_{0}]/F_{m}$ 

181 and the effective quantum yield of PSII was calculated as:

182 
$$\Phi_{PSII} = \Delta F / F_m' = [F_m' - F] / F_m'$$

183 Where F<sub>0</sub> and F describe the minimum and transient fluorescence and F<sub>m</sub> F<sub>m</sub>' describe the 184 maximum fluorescence in the light adapted state. The electron transport rate was calculated as 185 ETR=  $\Phi_{PSII} \times E_d \times 0.5 \times AF$ , where  $E_d$  is the incident downwelling irradiance (400–700 nm), 0.5 186 assumes the equal distribution between PSI and PSII and AF denotes the absorption factor which 187 was assumed to be 0.83 (Ralph and Gademan 2005). It is important to note that AF will vary as a 188 function of pigment and cell density and thus serves only as an approximation (Wangpraseurt et 189 al. 2019). The photosynthetic light curves were fitted to the empirical equations of Platt and 190 Gallegos (1980), using a Marquardt-Levenberg regression algorithm:

191 
$$P = P_s(1 - exp^{-(\alpha Ed/Ps)})exp^{-(\beta Ed/Ps)}$$

where *Ps* is a scaling factor defined as the maximum potential rETR,  $\alpha$  describes the light use efficiency, i.e. the initial slope of the RLC and  $\beta$  characterizes photoinhibition and indicates the slope of the RLC where PSII declines. The maximum electron transport rate ETR<sub>max</sub> and the light intensity at half saturation, E<sub>k</sub> were calculated as:

196 
$$ETR_{max} = PS(\alpha/[\alpha+\beta])(\beta/[\alpha+\beta])^{\beta/\alpha}$$

197

198  $E_{k=} ETR_{max}/\alpha$ 

199 The fitting procedure was sensitive to initial guesses of PS,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , which were adjusted for each

200 curve fitting. All fitting was done with custom codes written in Matlab 2018b.

201

202 *Bio-optical properties of the hydrogels.* Irradiance reflectance of the gels were measured with a 203 0.7 mm wide flat-cut fiber-optic reflectance probe (Ocean Optics, USA) with the hydrogels 204 positioned in the black acrylic flow-through system described previously. The hydrogel was 205 illuminated vertically incident by a light source emitting broadband white light. Reflectivity was 206 determined with the reflectance probe positioned at a distance of 500 µm from the hydrogel 207 surface. All reflectivity measurements were normalized to the reflectivity of a 10%, 20% and 99% 208 white diffusing reflectance standard (Spectralon, Labsphere, USA). These measurements occurred 209 under identical configuration and distance to light source as on the hydrogel surface, but were 210 performed in air. Measurements of scalar irradiance (i.e. the integral quantum flux from all 211 directions around a given point) were measured with fiber-optic microsensors (zensor, Denmark) 212 as described previously (Wangpraseurt et al. 2012).

### 213 Bacterial contamination experiment

To test whether the co-culture with *Erythrobacter* sp. SIO\_La6 strain would provide protection from other microbes, we exposed the hydrogels to natural unsterilized seawater supplied from the Scripps Pier. For these tests, 3-day old hydrogels were incubated with the natural seawater for 1.5 hours in a beaker under low turbulent flow. The gels were then removed, and cultivation in the environmental growth room continued as described above. The gels were visually examined at

- 219 every day after exposure and photographed to assess visual differences, such as noticeable cell
- 220 death, bacterial growth or hydrogel liquification, indicative of gelatin-degrading bacteria.

#### 221 Results and Discussion

- Here, we developed a simple hydrogel system for the space-efficient co-culture of microalgae. We
- found that a novel strain of *Erythrobacter* sp. (SIO\_La6, Fig. 2) isolated from Southern California
- 224 coastal waters (off Scripps Pier) has beneficial effects on growth and photosynthetic performance
- of microalgae immobilized in hydrogels.



Fig. 2 Maximum likelihood tree of Alpha-proteobacteria sequences closely related to the tested isolates (SIO\_La6). Reference sequences from NCBI are indicated in italic. Bootstrap values (n=1000) are indicated at nodes; scale bar represents changes per position.

#### 230 Cell density differences between treatments

231 Microalgal cell density was on average 2.3-fold enhanced for Marinichlorella kaistiae KAS603 gels co-cultured with SIO\_La6 (mean =  $2.85 \times 10^7$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>, SD =  $5.94 \times 10^6$ , n = 5) compared 232 233 to monoculture gels (1.18 x  $10^7$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>, SD = 4.06 x  $10^6$ , n = 5) after 72 h of cultivation (paired 234 t-test, p <0.001, Fig. 3A). The cell doubling time was 16.75 h for co-cultures compared to 33.11 h 235 for monocultures (Fig. 3). The beneficial effects of co-culture with *Erythrobacter sp.* SIO La6 236 were also evident in liquid culture, although the relative growth stimulating effect was 15% higher 237 in hydrogel (Supplementary Fig. 2). In a stagnant hydrogel, gas exchange is likely to become a 238 limiting growth factor, while such limitation is unlikely to occur in a liquid mixed culture. Thus, 239 the relative enhancement for hydrogel cultures could suggest that bacterial colonies stimulate gas 240 exchange, and provide nutrients locally within the hydrogel. Indeed, bacteria observed during 241 confocal microscopy were observed forming aggregates around algal cells (Supplementary Fig. 242 3). This proximity, and reduced diffusion in a gel compared to liquid culture, may account for 243 better access of algae to growth enhancing nutrients from bacteria in co-cultured hydrogels.

244 Following the successful tests with *M. kaistiae* KAS603, other common microalgae were 245 tested in co-culture with SIO La6. The bacterial co-culture enhanced microalgal growth for three 246 of the five microalgal strains compared to monoculture controls (Fig. 3B). Cell densities after 3-d 247 of cultivation were at least 2-fold higher for the coccolithophorid algae Pleurochrysis carterae and 248 the red algae *Porphyridium cruentum* when grown in co-culture hydrogels (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, 249 cultures that did not perform well in co-culture (e.g. Micromonas sp. and Amphidinium carterae) 250 also showed limited growth when encapsulated in the gelatin-based hydrogel in monoculture, 251 suggesting that hydrogel immobilization interfered with the growth dynamics of these algae (Fig.

252 3B). This suggests that Micromonas sp. and Amphidinium carterae might not be suitable



254

255



257 Fig. 3 Effect of algal-bacterial hydrogel co-culture on microalgal cell density growth. (A) 3-d 258 growth dynamics of Marinichlorella kaistiae KAS603 in monoculture (light blue) and in co-259 culture with *Erythrobacter* sp. SIO\_La6 (dark blue). Insets show example top view images of 260 hydrogels each day. Data are means  $\pm$ SD, n = 15. (B) Cell density of *Pleurochrysis carterae*, 261 Porphyridium cruentum, Micromonas sp. and Amphidinium carterae after 3 days of growth in 262 monoculture and co-culture. Images show top view images of hydrogel after 3 days. Data are 263 means  $\pm$  SD n=2. \* indicates a significant difference between treatments (p<0.05, paired student's 264 t-test).

265

### 266 Co-culture effects on microalgal photosynthesis and bio-optics

267 Compared to *Marinichlorella kaistiae* KAS603 monocultures, O<sub>2</sub> microsensor 268 measurements in co-cultures indicated 4.9-fold enhancements of net photosynthesis at high light 269 (550 umol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) irradiance regimes (Fig. 4A). Additionally, co-cultures exhibited about 270 4.3-fold greater rates of dark respiration (Fig. 4A). Variable chlorophyll-*a* fluorimetry 271 measurements showed significant enhancements in the maximum quantum yield of PSII ( $F_v/F_m$ ) for co-culture hydrogels compared to monoculture hydrogels during 7 days of growth (mean = 0.603, SD = 0.022 vs mean = 0.535, SD = 0.004, respectively; Fig. 4B, paired t-test p = 0.006).  $F_v/F_m$  is a key parameter used to assess the healthiness of photosynthesizing microalgae (e.g. Baker 2008) and thus suggests that algae in co-culture displayed superior photosynthetic capacities. Likewise, relative electron transport rates showed clear differences in key photosynthetic parameters including  $\alpha$  and ETR<sub>max</sub> (Fig. 4D-F, Table 1). For instance, at day 3 ETR<sub>max</sub> was about 71.6% higher for cocultures vs monocultures Fig. 4D-F, Table 1).



279

**Fig. 4** Photosynthetic performance of hydrogels in mono- and co-culture. (**A**), O<sub>2</sub> turnover based on O<sub>2</sub> microsensor measurements of the linear O2 flux from the surface into the diffusive boundary layer performed at 0 (dark respiration) and at 550  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (net photosynthesis. (**B**) Maximum quantum yield of PSII (F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>) and electron transport rates (ETR) at (**C**) day 2, (**D**) day

3, and (E) day 7 of algal cultivation. Data are means  $\pm$  SD (n = 4 for panel A and n = 3 for panel B-E). Note that y-axis scale was adjusted for clarity in panel C-E. \* indicates a significant difference between treatments (p<0.05, paired student's t-test).

287

288 Although areal net photosynthetic (P<sub>n</sub>) rates were strongly enhanced in co-culture, these 289 differences were also affected by the greater algal growth in co-culture (Fig. 3). However, 290 normalizing  $P_n$  rates to the differences in biomass still suggests an approximate doubling in net 291 photosynthesis in co-culture vs monoculture (compare Fig. 3A and 4A). As *Erythrobacter sp.* are 292 anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria and thus does not produce  $O_2$  (Koblizek et al. 2003) such 293 differences strongly suggest cell specific enhancements of photosynthetic activity by M. kaistiae 294 KAS603 in the presence of Erythrobacter. It is important to note that these measurements include 295 respiratory activity by the bacteria, further strengthening the argument of enhanced algal 296 photosynthesis in co-culture. PAM measurements can detect potential electron transport by 297 Evrythrobacter sp. (Chandaravithoon et al. 2020), however we did not find any measurable 298 quantum yield of PSII from SIO\_LA6 in monoculture ( $F_v/F_m=0$ , data not shown). Additionally, 299 diffuse reflectance measurements did not show characteristic absorption peaks of 300 bacteriochlorophyll a at ~ 750 nm (Fig. 5, Yurkov and Beatty 1998), suggesting that pigment 301 synthesis and photosynthetic electron transport might be low by this *Erythrobacter* strain. In turn, 302 reflectance in the near-infrared region (~750 nm) was about 2.5-fold enhanced which could be 303 indicative of the production of light scattering microbial extracellular polymeric substances (EPS, 304 Flemming and Wingender 2001). Such EPS has previously been shown to scatter light and could 305 potentially enhance the internal actinic irradiance intensity which would further promote 306 photosynthesis (Decho et al. 2003; Fisher et al. 2019). Clearly, there are various potential 307 mechanisms underlying the enhanced photosynthetic performance of the co-culture hydrogels and

a detailed understanding of the mechanisms was beyond the scope of this first study. However,
taken together our results indicate that *Erythrobacter* sp. SIO\_La6 enhances *Marinichlorella kaistiae* KAS603 photosynthesis (Table 1) which could explain the enhanced algal biomass in coculture.

- 312
- 313

314 **Table 1** Photosynthetic performance of *Marinichlorella kaistiae* KAS603 grown in the hydrogel

- 315 alone (mono-culture) or together with *Erythrobacter* sp. SIO\_La6 (co-culture). Parameters are
- 316 derived from the best fit from all replicate measurements (*n*=3, lines in Fig. 4 C-E)

	Day 2		Day:	3	Day7	
	Mono-culture	co-culture	Mono-culture	co-culture	Mono-culture	co-culture
α	0.07	0.10	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.20
β	0.04	0.015	0.03	0.034	0.06	0.05
ETR <sub>max</sub>	11.64	17.03	30.59	52.30	45.26	52.5
E <sub>k</sub>	158	169	180	245	220	261
$\mathbf{R}^2$	0.8	0.90	0.91	0.93	0.93	0.94

317

318

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.433490; this version posted March 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



Fig. 5 Hydrogel diffuse reflectance (%) after (A) day 1, (B) day 2, and (C) day 3 of algal cultivation. Data are means from 3 hydrogels, error bars are omitted for clarity (SD was less than 5%)

323

# 324 Contamination resistance in hydrogels

325 A potential key problem in cultivating microalgae in hydrogels is that most biopolymers 326 are readily degraded by various bacterial communities (Pathak et al. 2017). We hypothesized that 327 co-cultivation might provide protection from such degradation by occupying microbial habitats 328 within the hydrogel and potentially producing antibiotics. Such concept is analogous to the role of 329 the microbial community in the coral mucus, which protects from opportunistic microbes (Shnit-330 Orland et al. 2009). Following exposure to natural seawater, co-culture gels remained viable and 331 no visible degradation of the gelatin matrix was noticeable even after 7 days of cultivation (Fig. 332 6A-E). However, monocultures showed clear degradation and liquefaction of the polymer matrix 333 within 24 hours (Fig. 6A-E). Likewise, previous experiments using *Chlorella*-bacteria co-cultures 334 in alginate beads found reduced contamination by foreign bacteria from the environment and 335 concluded that co-cultured bacteria provide a physical barrier (Covarrubias et al. 2012). Here, it is 336 likely that DOC produced by the algae might enhance virulence factors (present in SIO La6

genomes, J. Dinasquet pers. com.) and toxin production as observed in other Erythrobacter species in the presence of algal DOC (Cardenas et al. 2018). This induced pathogenicity might have antagonistic effects against environmental contaminants. Although the mechanisms warrant further investigation, these initial results suggest protective effects of our synthetic co-culture hydrogel from external microbes. This could be further developed as a viable bio-inspired alternative to costly antibiotic treatments that are currently used in such cultivation approaches (Berner et al. 2015).



Fig. 6 Biopolymer stability after exposure to natural seawater. Images show top view of hydrogels
after 7 days of the seawater exposure experiment. Monocultures (top panels, light blue) are
liquified while co-cultures remain solid (bottom panels, dark blue) for (A) *Marinichlorella kaistiae*KAS603 (B) *Porphyridium cruentum*, (C) *Pleurochrysis carterae.*, (D) *Micromonas sp.* and (E) *Amphidinium carterae*

350

# 351 Conclusions

This study developed a simple hydrogel system for microalgal cultivation in co-culture with a novel strain of *Erythrobacter* sp. Our findings demonstrate enhanced photosynthetic activity and growth rates of microalgae in co-culture when immobilized in our hydrogel system. We further

355	show that our gelatin-based hydrogel is easy to fabricate, requires low maintenance, and remains
356	stable when the co-culture is exposed to natural contaminants. Our study suggests that co-
357	cultivation in hydrogels of microalgae with Erythrobacter sp., enhances microalgal growth and
358	density, and could potentially reduce the need for costly antibiotics. We conclude that hydrogel
359	algal-bacterial co-culture is a simple, bio-inspired approach that can be further developed to solve
360	some problems that currently limit microalgal cultivation.

361

362 **Data availability:** All raw data generated during this study are deposited on figshare (link: XX).

363

### 364 Author contributions:

Conceptualized and designed the study: D.W., J.D., N.M., T.B., S.V., A.G.S., M.D., Performed
experimental measurements: N.M., T.B., A.S., A.D. Analyzed and interpreted data: N.M., T.B.
D.W. Provided reagents, materials and analysis tools: D.W., J.D., D.D.D., S.V., F.A., J.E.S.,
Supervised the study: D.W., S.V., D.D.D. Wrote the manuscript: N.M, T.B., DW. All authors
critically assessed the results and edited drafts of the manuscript.

# 370 Acknowledgements:

371 Mark Hildebrand is thanked for initial input on the experimental approach and for providing

372 *Marinchlorella* cultures. Orna Cook is thanked for providing algal cultures. Funding: This study

373 was funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme

374 (702911-BioMIC-FUEL, D.W., D.D.D., S.V.), the European Research Council (ERC-2014-STG

- H2020 639088, S.V.), the European Union's 7<sup>th</sup> Framework programme (PIOF-GA-2013-
- 376 629378, J.D.), the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (Grant GBMF4827, F.A.).

377

378	<b>References:</b>

379 Baker NR (2008) Chlorophyll Fluorescence: A Probe of Photosynthesis In Vivo. Annu Rev Plant

380 Biol 59:89–113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.09275</u>

- 381 Begot C, Desnier I, Daudin JD, et al (1996) Recommendations for calculating growth parameters
- 382 by optical density measurements. J Microbiol Method 25:225–232.
- 383 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7012(95)00090-9</u>
- Berner F, Heimann K, Sheehan M (2015) Microalgal biofilms for biomass production. J Appl
  Phycol 27:1793–1804. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-014-0489-x
- 386 Blanken W, Schaap S, Theobald S, et al (2017) Optimizing carbon dioxide utilization for
- 387 microalgae biofilm cultivation. Biotechnol Bioeng 114:769–776.
- 388 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26199</u>
- 389 Borowitzka MA (2013) High-value products from microalgae-their development and
- 390 commercialisation. J Appl Phycol 25:743–756
- 391 Borowitzka MA, Vonshak A (2017) Scaling up microalgal cultures to commercial scale. Eur J
- 392 Phycol 52:407–418. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2017.1365177</u>
- 393 Brenner K, You L, Arnold FH (2008) Engineering microbial consortia: a new frontier in
- 394 synthetic biology. Trends Biotechnol 26:483–489

- 395 Cárdenas A, Neave MJ, Haroon MF, et al (2018) Excess labile carbon promotes the expression
- 396 of virulence factors in coral reef bacterioplankton. ISME J 12:59–76.
- 397 https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.142
- 398 Ceh J, Kilburn MR, Cliff JB, et al (2013) Nutrient cycling in early coral life stages: *Pocillopora*
- 399 *damicornis* larvae provide their algal symbiont (*Symbiodinium*) with nitrogen acquired
- 400 from bacterial associates. Ecol Evol 3:2393–2400. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.642</u>
- 401 Chandaravithoon P, Ritchie RJ, Runcie JW (2020) Measuring photosynthesis of both oxygenic
- 402 and anoxygenic photosynthetic organisms using pulse amplitude modulation (PAM)
- 403 fluorometry in wastewater ponds. J Appl Phycol 32:2615–2629.
- 404 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-020-02171-8</u>
- 405 Cho K, Kang Z, Ramanan R, et al (2018) Influence of Water Depth on Microalgal Production,
- 406 Biomass Harvest, and Energy Consumption in High Rate Algal Pond Using Municipal
- 407 Wastewater. Artic J Microbiol Biotechnol 28:630–637.
- 408 <u>https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1801.01014</u>
- 409 Covarrubias SA, De-Bashan LE, Moreno M, Bashan Y (2012) Alginate beads provide a
- 410 beneficial physical barrier against native microorganisms in wastewater treated with
- 411 immobilized bacteria and microalgae. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 93:2669–2680.
- 412 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3585-8</u>
- 413 Croft MT, Lawrence AD, Raux-Deery E, et al (2005) Algae acquire vitamin B12 through a
- 414 symbiotic relationship with bacteria. Nature 438:90–93.
- 415 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04056</u>

416	Darley WM	Volcani BE (19)	9) Role o	f silicon in	diatom	metabolism	A silicon	requirement	for
410		VUICAIII DE (190	$(\mathbf{z})$ <b>NULC</b> U	i shicon m	ulatom	inclauonsin.	a sincon	icquitement.	101

- 417 deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis in the diatom Cylindrotheca fusiformis Reimann and
- 418 Lewin. Exp Cell Res 58:334–342. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(69)90514-X</u>
- 419 Decho AW, Kawaguchi T, Allison MA, et al (2003) Sediment properties influencing upwelling
- 420 spectral reflectance signatures: The "biofilm gel effect." Limnol Oceanogr 48:431–443.
- 421 <u>https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2003.48.1\_part\_2.0431</u>
- 422 Fisher A, Wangpraseurt D, Larkum AWD, et al (2018) Correlation of bio-optical properties with
- 423 photosynthetic pigment and microorganism distribution in microbial mats from Hamelin
- 424 Pool, Australia. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 95:. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy219</u>
- 425 Flemming HC, Wingender J (2001) Relevance of microbial extracellular polymeric substances
- 426 (EPSs) Part I: Structural and ecological aspects. Water Sci Technol 43(6):1–8
- 427 Fuentes J, Garbayo I, Cuaresma M, et al (2016) Impact of Microalgae-Bacteria Interactions on
- 428 the Production of Algal Biomass and Associated Compounds. Mar Drugs 14:100.
- 429 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/md14050100</u>
- 430 Gasol JM, del Giorgio PA (2000) Using flow cytometry for counting natural planktonic bacteria
- 431 and understanding the structure of planktonic bacterial communities. In: Scientia Marina.
- 432 CSIC Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas 2:197–224
- 433 Gonzalez LE, Bashan Y (2000) Increased growth of the microalga Chlorella vulgaris when
- 434 coimmobilized and cocultured in alginate beads with the plant-growth-promoting
- 435 bacterium Azospirillum brasilense. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:1527–1531.
- 436 <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.4.1527-1531.2000</u>He P, Zeng Y, Lin Y-J, Wang Y (2016)
- 437 A Review of Novel Materials and Technologies for the Sustainable Development of
- 438 Microalgae Biofuel. SSRN Electron J 2758331. <u>https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2758331</u>

- 439 Kazamia E, Czesnick H, Nguyen TT van, et al (2012) Mutualistic interactions between vitamin
- 440 B12-dependent algae and heterotrophic bacteria exhibit regulation. Environ Microbiol
- 441 14:1466–1476. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02733.x</u>
- 442 Khan, M. I., Shin, J. H., & Kim, J. D. (2018). The promising future of microalgae: Current
- status, challenges, and optimization of a sustainable and renewable industry for biofuels,
- 444 feed, and other products. Microbial Cell Factories, 17(1), 1–21.
- 445 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-018-0879-x
- 446 Koblížek M, Béjà O, Bidigare RR, et al (2003) Isolation and characterization of Erythrobacter
- 447 sp. strains from the upper ocean. Archives Microbiol 180:327–338.
- 448 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-003-0596-6
- Kouzuma A, Watanabe K (2015) Exploring the potential of algae/bacteria interactions. Curr
  Opin Biotechnol 33:125–129
- 451 Krediet CJ, Ritchie KB, Paul VJ, Teplitski M (2013) Coral-associated micro-organisms and their
- 452 roles in promoting coral health and thwarting diseases. Proc R Soc B: Biol Sci 280
- 453 Krujatz F, Lode A, Brüggemeier S, et al (2015) Green bioprinting: Viability and growth analysis
- 454 of microalgae immobilized in 3D-plotted hydrogels versus suspension cultures. Eng Life
- 455 Sci 15:678–688. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201400131</u>
- 456 Kühl M, Glud RN, Ploug H, Ramsing NB (1996) Microenvironmental control of photosynthesis
- 457 and photosynthesis-coupled respiration in an epilithic cyanobacterial biofilm. J Phycol
- 458 32:799–812. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1996.00799.x</u>
- 459 Lee YK (2001) Microalgal mass culture systems and methods: Their limitation and potential. In:
  460 J Appl Phycol. pp 307–315

- 461 Lode A, Krujatz F, Brüggemeier S, et al (2015) Green bioprinting: Fabrication of photosynthetic
- 462 algae-laden hydrogel scaffolds for biotechnological and medical applications. Eng Life Sci
- 463 15:177–183. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201400205</u>
- 464 Meyer V, Nai C From axenic to mixed cultures : technological advances accelerating a paradigm
- 465 shift in microbiology. Trends Microbiol 26(6):538-54.
- 466 https://doi.org/10.14279/depositonce-6524
- 467 Ozkan A, Kinney K, Katz L, Berberoglu H (2012) Reduction of water and energy requirement of
- 468 algae cultivation using an algae biofilm photobioreactor. Bioresour Technol 114:542–548.
- 469 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.03.055</u>
- 470 Padmaperuma G, Kapoore RV, Gilmour DJ, Vaidyanathan S (2018) Microbial consortia: a
- 471 critical look at microalgae co-cultures for enhanced biomanufacturing. Crit Rev
- 472 Biotechnol 38:690–703. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2017.1390728</u>
- 473 Pathak M, Sarma HK, Bhattacharyya KG, et al (2017) Characterization of a Novel Polymeric
- 474 Bioflocculant Produced from Bacterial Utilization of n-Hexadecane and Its Application in
- 475 Removal of Heavy Metals. Front Microbiol 8:170.
- 476 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00170</u>
- 477 Platt T, Gallegos CL (1980) Modelling Primary Production. In: Primary Productivity in the Sea.
- 478 Springer US, pp 339–362
- 479 Podola B, Li T, Melkonian M (2017) Porous Substrate Bioreactors: A Paradigm Shift in
- 480 Microalgal Biotechnology? Trends Biotechnol 35:121–132
- 481 Ralph PJ, Gademann R (2005) Rapid light curves: A powerful tool to assess photosynthetic
- 482 activity. Aquat Bot 82:222–237. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2005.02.006</u>
- 483 Ramsing N, Gundersen J (2011) Seawater and gases. Limnol. Oceanogr. 37:1307-12.

- 484 Roostaei J, Zhang Y, Gopalakrishnan K, Ochocki AJ (2018) Mixotrophic Microalgae Biofilm: A
- 485 Novel Algae Cultivation Strategy for Improved Productivity and Cost-efficiency of
- 486 Biofuel Feedstock Production. Sci Rep 8(1):1-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-</u>
- 487 <u>31016-1</u>
- 488 Rosenberg E, Kushmaro A, Kramarsky-Winter E, et al (2009) The role of microorganisms in
- 489 coral bleaching. ISME J 3:139–146
- 490 Sánchez-Alvarez EL, González-Ledezma G, Bolaños Prats JA, et al (2017) Evaluating
- 491 Marinichlorella kaistiae KAS603 cell size variation, growth and TAG accumulation
- 492 resulting from rapid adaptation to highly diverse trophic and salinity cultivation regimes.
- 493 Algal Res 25:12–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2017.03.027</u>
- 494 Schrameyer V, Wangpraseurt D, Hill R, et al (2014) Light respiratory processes and gross
- 495 photosynthesis in two scleractinian corals. PLoS ONE 9(10):e110814.
- 496 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110814</u>
- 497 Shnit-Orland M, Kushmaro A (2009) Coral mucus-associated bacteria: a possible first line of
- 498 defense. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 67:371–380. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-</u>
- 499 <u>6941.2008.00644.x</u>
- 500 Subashchandrabose SR, Ramakrishnan B, Megharaj M, et al (2011) Consortia of
- 501 cyanobacteria/microalgae and bacteria: Biotechnological potential. Biotechnol Adv
  502 29:896–907
- 503 Tan JS, Lee Y, Chew KW, et al (2020) A review on microalgae cultivation and harvesting, and
- 504 their biomass extraction processing using ionic liquids. Bioengineering 11:116–129.
- 505 https://doi.org/10.1080/21655979.2020.1711626

- 506 Thompson AW, Foster RA, Krupke A, et al (2012) Unicellular cyanobacterium symbiotic with a
- 507 single-celled eukaryotic alga. Science 337:1546–1550.
- 508 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1222700</u>
- 509 Tredici MR (2010) Photobiology of microalgae mass cultures: understanding the tools for the
- 510 next green revolution. Biofuels 1:143–162. <u>https://doi.org/10.4155/bfs.09.10</u>
- 511 Unnithan VV, Unc A, Smith GB (2014) Mini-review: A priori considerations for bacteria-algae
- 512 interactions in algal biofuel systems receiving municipal wastewaters. Algal Res 4:35–40
- 513 Villarruel-López A, Ascencio F, Nuño K (2017) Microalgae, a potential natural functional food
- 514 source–a review. Pol J Food Nutr Sci 67(4):251-64
- 515 Wang H, Hill RT, Zheng T, et al (2016) Effects of bacterial communities on biofuel-producing
- 516 microalgae: stimulation, inhibition and harvesting. Crit Rev Biotechnol 36:341–352.
- 517 <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/07388551.2014.961402</u>
- 518 Wangpraseurt D, Jacques SL, Petrie T, Kühl M (2016) Monte Carlo Modeling of Photon
- 519 Propagation Reveals Highly Scattering Coral Tissue. Front Plant Sci 7:1404.
- 520 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01404</u>
- 521 Wangpraseurt D, You S, Azam F, et al (2020) Bionic 3D printed corals. Nat Commun 11(1):1-8.
- 522 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15486-4</u>
- 523 Wyatt PJ (1969) Identification of Bacteria by Differential Light Scattering.
- 524 Nature221(5187):1257-8
- 525 Yurkov VV, Beatty JT (1998) Aerobic Anoxygenic Phototrophic Bacteria. Microbiology and
- 526 Mol Biol Rev 62:695–724. https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.62.3.695-724.1998
- 527
- 528