- 1 <u>Title:</u> GABARAP membrane conjugation sequesters the FLCN-FNIP tumor suppressor complex
- 2 to activate TFEB and lysosomal biogenesis
- 3 <u>Authors</u>: Jonathan M. Goodwin^{1*}, Ward G. Walkup $IV^{1\dagger}$, Kirsty Hooper^{2†}, Taoyingnan Li^{3,13†},
- 4 Chieko Kishi-Itakura⁴, Aylwin Ng¹, Timothy Lehmberg¹, Archana Jha¹, Sravya Kommineni¹,
- 5 Katherine Fletcher², Jorge Garcia-Fortanet¹, Yaya Fan⁵, Qing Tang⁵, Menghao Wei⁵, Asmita
- 6 Agrawal⁶, Sagar R. Budhe⁶, Sreekanth R. Rouduri⁶, Dan Baird¹, Jeff Saunders¹, Janna Kiselar⁷,
- 7 Mark R. Chance⁷, Andrea Ballabio^{8,9,10,11,12}, Brent A. Appleton¹, John H. Brumell^{3,13,14,15}, Oliver
- 8 Florey^{$2^{*^}$}, and Leon O. Murphy^{$1^}$.</sup>

9 <u>Affiliations:</u>

- 10 1 Casma Therapeutics, 400 Technology Sq, Cambridge, MA 02139.
- 11 2 Signalling Programme, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, UK.
- 12 3 Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 1A8, Canada
- 13 4 Imaging Facility, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, UK.
- 14 5 Viva Biotech, Shanghai, China
- 15 6 Sai Life Sciences Limited, Pune 411057, Maharashtra, India.
- 16 7 NEO Proteomics Inc., Cleveland, OH 44106.
- 17 8 Telethon Institute of Genetics and Medicine (TIGEM), Naples, Italy.
- 9 Medical Genetics Unit, Department of Medical and Translational Science, Federico II
 19 University, Naples, Italy.
- 20 10 Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston,
 21 TX, USA.
- 11 Jan and Dan Duncan Neurological Research Institute, Texas Children's Hospital,
 Houston, TX, USA.
- 24 12 SSM School for Advanced Studies, Federico II University, Naples, Italy.
- 25 13 Cell Biology Program, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, M5G 1X8, Canada
- 26 14 Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M5S 1A8, Canada
- 27 15 SickKids IBD Centre, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, M5G 0A4, Canada
- 28
- 29 ^{\dagger}These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 30 ^Co-senior authors
- 31 *Corresponding authors.
- 32
- 33 Adaptive changes in lysosomal capacity are driven by the transcription factors TFEB and
- 34 TFE3 in response to increased autophagic flux and endolysosomal stress, yet the molecular

35	details of their activation are unclear. LC3 and GABARAP members of the ATG8 protein
36	family are required for selective autophagy and sensing perturbation within the
37	endolysosomal system. Here we show that during single membrane ATG8 conjugation
38	(SMAC), Parkin-dependent mitophagy, and Salmonella-induced xenophagy, the membrane
39	conjugation of GABARAP , but not LC3, is required for activation of TFEB/TFE3 to
40	control lysosomal homeostasis and capacity. GABARAP directly binds to a novel LC3-
41	interacting motif (LIR) in the FLCN/FNIP tumor suppressor complex with picomolar
42	affinity and regulates its relocalization to these GABARAP-conjugated membrane
43	compartments. This disrupts the regulation of RagC/D by the FLCN/FNIP GAP complex,
44	resulting in impaired mTOR-dependent phosphorylation of TFEB without changing
45	mTOR activity towards other substrates. Thus, the GABARAP-FLCN/FNIP-TFEB axis
46	serves as a universal molecular sensor that coordinates lysosomal homeostasis with
47	perturbations and cargo flux within the autophagy-lysosomal network.

48

49 Introduction

Within the cell, the proteasome and the lysosome serve as the degradative hubs for both 50 intracellular and extracellular cargo to maintain proteostasis and cellular fitness¹. The lysosome 51 is an acidic organelle that compartmentalizes hydrolytic enzymes capable of degrading complex 52 53 organelles, protein aggregates, pathogens, complex lipids, as well as dysfunctional proteins². 54 Lysosomes can receive cargo from fusion with autophagosomes (macroautophagy), endosomes 55 (endocytosis), phagosomes (phagocytosis and LC3-associated phagocytosis), engulfment 56 through lysosomal microautophagy, or through import of proteins during chaperone-mediated autophagy³⁻⁹. Within the lysosome, cargo can be broken down and substituents are then recycled 57

to help the cell adapt to stress, or processed to for antigen presentation or lysosomal
exocytosis^{4,10,11}. Apart from the enzymatic digestion of proteins and other cargo, lysosomes are
important intracellular stores for cations such as calcium, iron, and sodium but also H⁺ protons
which are critical for maintaining lysosomal pH. Ion channels and proton pumps present on the
lysosomal membrane are essential for lysosomal function, positioning, membrane fusion, and
host-pathogen responses¹².

64 While an important pillar of proteostasis, the lysosome is also a critical regulator of cellular metabolism. The mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex 1 (mTORC1) resides on the 65 lysosomal membrane and coordinates anabolic processes in response to changes in nutrient 66 availability¹³. Lysosomal localization of mTORC1 is controlled by a signaling platform 67 consisting of the vATPase, the Ragulator complex (LAMTOR1-5), and the transmembrane 68 protein SLC38A9, which together mediates the localization and activation state of the Rag 69 GTPases^{14,15}. Functioning as obligate heterodimers, the GTP-loaded state of RagA/B and 70 71 RagC/D is tightly controlled by the GATOR1 and FLCN GTPase-activating complexes, respectively. Active RagGTPases (where RagA/B is in the GTP-bound state) recruits mTORC1 72 to the lysosomal membrane and modulates substrate phosphorylation¹⁵. 73 In addition to regulation of cellular metabolism, mTORC1 exerts effects on the lysosomal 74

network itself through the regulation of the TFE/MiTF bHLH transcription factor family¹⁶. The
family members TFE3 and TFEB are well documented as master regulators of lysosomal
biogenesis and autophagy¹⁷⁻¹⁹. In the case of macroautophagy, which is thought to have evolved
as a stress response to starvation, mTORC1 functions to suppress both autophagosome
biogenesis and TFE3/TFEB activation during nutrient availability. However, upon inhibition of
mTORC1 by nutrient deprivation, increased autophagosome biogenesis is coupled with

81	activation of TFE3/TFEB to drive lysosomal biogenesis and facilitate flux. Importantly, nutrient
82	deprivation switches nucleotide binding on RagC/D to the GTP-bound state through formation of
83	the lysosomal folliculin complex (LFC) ^{20,21} . When GTP-Bound, RagC/D can no longer directly
84	bind TFE3 and TFEB to present them as substrates to mTOR, a mechanism not required for
85	other mTOR substrates such as $S6K^{22}$. While this lysosome-to-nucleus signaling mechanism ²³ is
86	documented during nutrient starvation, how TFE3/TFEB activation is coordinated with the
87	lysosomal delivery pathways mentioned above, as well as specialized forms of selective
88	autophagy, is unclear.

89 Conjugation of ATG8 homologs (e.g., LC3 and GABARAP proteins) to double membranes during autophagosome biogenesis mediates phagosome maturation and lysosomal delivery of 90 cytosolic contents for degradation and recycling³. Alternatively, ATG8 proteins can also be 91 conjugated to single-membrane organelles within the endocytic system, but the functional 92 consequence of this is not well understood²⁴. Single-membrane ATG8 conjugation, referred to 93 here as SMAC, occurs during LC3-associated phagocytosis (LAP)⁶, LC3-associated endocytosis 94 $(LANDO)^8$, and upon perturbation of endolysosomal ion gradients during pathogen infection^{25,26}. 95 SMAC can be pharmacologically induced by chemical probes that exhibit lysosomotropic and 96 97 broad ionophore/protonophore-like properties but unfortunately these agents lack a molecular target⁷. Recently, it was reported that ATG8 proteins are directly conjugated to the lysosomal 98 99 membrane upon disruption of lysosomal homeostasis by agents such as LLoMe, oxalate crystals, and membrane-permeabilizing pathogen virulence factors 27,28 . This autophagy-independent 100 101 ATG8 conjugation was required for the coordinated activation of TFEB, specifically uncoupling TFEB, but not other substrates, from regulation by mTOR. This prompts the question whether 102

the commonality of ATG8 conjugation across lysosomal delivery pathways serves as a universal
 mechanism to coordinate TFE3/TFEB activation.

- 105 To uncover the novel mechanism by which ATG8 conjugation impacts TFEB activation, we
- 106 characterized TRPML1 channel agonists as the first pharmacological probes with a defined
- 107 molecular target that can be deployed as SMAC agonists, in contrast to non-specific
- 108 ionophore/protonophores⁷. Regulating ATG8 conjugation in this way allowed us to reveal that
- 109 GABARAP proteins directly bind and sequester the FLCN GAP complex on different membrane
- 110 compartments. This disrupts regulation of RagC/D and liberates TFEB from control by
- 111 mTORC1 to coordinate lysosomal biogenesis with organelle perturbations and elevations in
- 112 autophagic cargo flux. This represents a TFEB transcriptional activation paradigm distinct from
- the setting of nutrient starvation, where broad inhibition of mTOR activity towards downstream
- substrates such as S6K and 4E-BP1 results in a concurrent block in protein translation.
- 115

116 **<u>Results</u>**

117 TRPML1 agonists stimulate single membrane ATG8 conjugation (SMAC)

118 Given the complexity of lysosomal membrane disrupting agents, we chose to acutely alter ion

119 concentrations within the lysosome by harnessing pharmacological agonists of the lysosomal

transient receptor potential mucolipin channel 1 (TRPML1). Treatment with the TRPML1

agonists MK6-83²⁹, ML-SA1³⁰ or a recently published, more potent channel agonist (designated

- as compound 8 "C8")³¹ resulted in the rapid conversion of LC3 from its cytoplasmic "I" form to
- the lipidated, punctate "II" form in both wild type (WT) and autophagy-deficient cells
- 124 (ATG13_KO). In contrast, the mTOR inhibitor AZD8055, a well-established agent to induce

125	autophagosome biogenesis ³² , was able to regulate LC3 lipidation in WT but not autophagy-
126	deficient cells (Fig. 1A, B, Fig. S1A, B, Movie S1, S2). AZD8055 or EBSS starvation induced
127	conversion of LC3 which was sensitive to the VPS34 inhibitor PIK-III and potentiated with the
128	vATPase inhibitor Bafilomycin A1 (BafA1) (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, treatment with C8 robustly
129	induced VPS34-independent LC3 lipidation that was inhibited by BafA1 with no impact on
130	mTOR activity (Fig. 1C). This rapid lipidation depends on TRPML1 (Fig. S2A) and is not
131	accompanied by lysosomal alkalization or membrane damage (Fig. S3A, B). Together, these
132	features are characteristic of SMAC where ATG8s are conjugated to endolysosomal
133	membranes ³³ . Consistent with this, TRPML1 agonist treatment also induced strong
134	colocalization of ATG8s (LC3B or GABARAPL1) with the lysosomal marker LAMP1 (Fig. 1D-
135	F).
136	ATG16L1-K490A is a recently discovered allele with a mutation in the C-terminal WD repeats
137	of ATG16L1 required for SMAC but not autophagosome formation ²⁶ . Expression of ATG16L1-
138	K490A in autophagy-deficient cells blocked TRPML1 agonist-induced LC3 puncta formation
139	(Fig. 1G). Using Focused Ion Beam (FIB-SEM) correlative light and electron microscopy
140	(CLEM), TRPML1 agonist induced GFP-LC3 positive structures were identified as single-
141	membrane late endosome/lysosomes as opposed to the double-membrane autophagosomes in
142	AZD8055 treated cells (Fig. 1H, Movie S3, S4).
143	Next, we asked whether TRPML1 activation could induce SMAC in primary immune cells from
144	a knockin ATG16L1-K490A mouse model. Treatment of ATG16L1K490A or ATG16L1WT
1/15	primary hope marrow derived macrophages with AZD8055 showed similar levels of LC3

puncta, but C8 or ML-SA1 were unable to induce LC3 puncta in ATG16L1^{K490A} expressing cells

147 (Fig. 1K). Given the sensitivity to BafA1 and requirement of the ATG16L1 C-terminal WD

148	repeats, we explored the role of vATPase in SMAC. We utilized the S. Typhimurium SopF
149	effector protein, which has recently been shown to block interaction between ATG16L1 and the
150	vATPase through ADP-ribosylation of the V_0C subunit ²⁵ . Indeed, expression of SopF was
151	sufficient to block LC3-II formation upon TRPML1 activation but not AZD8055 treatment (Fig.
152	1I, J). Collectively, using genetic, pharmacological and ultrastructural methodologies, these data
153	provide strong evidence that TRPML1 activation can induce SMAC directly on lysosomes.

154

155 SMAC is required for TFEB activation downstream of TRPML1

156 The activation of TRPML1 upon nutrient starvation is known to result in the nuclear localization 157 of the transcription factors TFEB and TFE3, in part due to local calcium-mediated activation of the phosphatase Calcineurin (CaN) to dephosphorylate TFEB/TFE3³⁴. Interestingly, treatment of 158 fed cells with TRPML1 agonists resulted in TFEB nuclear accumulation, with no impact of 159 pharmacological or genetic inhibition of CaN (Fig. S4), suggesting a regulatory mechanism that 160 differs from nutrient starvation³⁴. To investigate whether SMAC was involved in TFEB 161 162 activation, we focused first on a requirement for the vATPase. Acute treatment with BafA1 was 163 sufficient to block TFEB activation by TRPML1 agonists, however it did not impact TFEB activation upon mTOR inhibition (Fig. 2A). Additionally, inhibition of SMAC through 164 expression of SopF blocked TFEB nuclear localization induced by TRPML1 agonist but not 165 166 AZD8055 treatment (Fig. S5). CRISPR mediated knockout of the ATG8 lipidation machinery such as ATG16L1, ATG5 or ATG7 but not FIP200, ATG9A or VPS34, which are required for 167 168 autophagosome biogenesis, blocked TRPML1 agonist induced TFEB activation (Fig. 2B). Importantly, lysosomal calcium release stimulated by C8 or the activation of TFEB upon nutrient 169 170 starvation were insensitive to BafA1 treatment or ATG16L1 knock-out (Fig. 2C, Fig. S6).

171	Consistent with modulation of SMAC by SopF expression, ATG16L1_KO cells re-expressing
172	WT or an autophagy deficient ATG16L1 FIP200 binding mutant (FBD) supported TRPML1
173	induced TFEB activation, while re-expression of a C-terminal domain truncation (Δ CTD) or
174	F467A or K490A mutations deficient for SMAC ²⁶ did not (Fig. 2D, Fig. S7). In contrast,
175	AZD8055 activated TFEB irrespective of ATG16L1 allele status. Furthermore, treatment of
176	ATG16L1 ^{K490A} or ATG16L1 ^{WT} primary bone marrow-derived macrophages revealed TRPML1-
177	mediated TFEB activation was abolished in ATG16L1 ^{K490A} expressing cells (Fig. 2E, F) further
178	confirming the presence of a novel SMAC-regulated pathway that induces TFEB activity in
179	diverse cell types. These data suggest that ATG8 conjugation to single membrane organelles can
180	promote activation of the TFEB/TFE3 transcription factors through a vATPase-dependent,
181	ATG8-dependent mechanism which is distinct to the regulation of TFEB in the context of
182	nutrient starvation or mTOR inhibition.
183	Upon nuclear localization, TFEB serves as the primary transcription factor responsible for
184	lysosomal biogenesis ¹⁶ . Remarkably, the TRPML1-dependent transcriptomic response was
185	largely dependent on ATG16L1 and included numerous TFEB-target genes involved in
186	lysosomal function (Fig. 2G, H, Fig. S8). Consistent with this profile, we found that TRPML1
187	activation by treatment with C8 for 24 hours increased the number of Lysotracker-positive
188	organelles in an ATG16L1-dependent manner (Fig. 2I, J). Together, these observations
189	demonstrate that following changes in lysosomal ion balance, the WD40 domain in ATG16L1
190	regulates lysosomal SMAC and that this is required for TFEB activation and lysosomal
191	biogenesis.

193 GABARAPs selectively bind and sequester the FLCN-FNIP tumor suppressor complex to194 lysosomes

195 Mammalian ATG8 homologs consist of 3 members of the MAP1LC3 family (LC3A/B/C) and 3

- members of the GABA type A Receptor-Associated Protein family $(GABARAP/L1/L2)^{35}$. Using
- 197 a combinatorial CRISPR knockout approach, the GABARAP subfamily were found to be
- 198 essential for the TRPML1-mediated activation of TFEB (Fig. 3A). In agreement with protein
- 199 interaction databases linking GABARAP, but not LC3 proteins, with the TFEB regulators FLCN
- and $FNIP1/2^{36-38}$, we confirmed that the FLCN-FNIP1 complex interacts with GABARAP
- 201 through LIR-dependent binding (Fig. 3B-D, Fig. S9). The connection between GABARAP and
- the FLCN/FNIP complex was intriguing given the role that the FLCN/FNIP substrate RagC/D

203 plays in regulating TFEB 39 .

We next determined the binding affinity of the interaction between full length GABARAP and
FLCN/FNIP2 by Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR). GABARAP bound to immobilized

FLCN/FNIP2 with a picomolar affinity in single cycle (300 ± 18 pM K_D) and multi-cycle

kinetics SPR formats (71 ± 10 pM K_D), whereas LC3B did not bind to FLCN/FNIP2 under

identical assay conditions (Fig. 3E, F). To confirm the functionality of the full length LC3B, we

used multi-cycle SPR to measure the affinity of GABARAP and LC3B to immobilized p62 FIR

domain. Both GABARAP (670 ± 80 nM K_D) and LC3B (820 ± 40 nM K_D) bound p62 with

211 nanomolar affinities in steady state SPR measurements (Fig. 3G-J) which is consistent with

212 previous reports⁴⁰. Taken together, the specific binding of GABARAP to FLCN/FNIP2, with an

unusually high affinity for an ATG8-binding event, is consistent with the important role

214 GABARAP plays in regulating TFEB activation described above.

215	We hypothesized direct conjugation of GABARAPs to the lysosomal membrane could re-
216	distribute the FLCN/FNIP complex. Indeed, following TRPML1 activation, there was a rapid
217	and robust increase in membrane-associated FLCN and FNIP1 and this was dependent on the
218	GABARAP proteins and vATPase function (Fig. 3L, Fig. S10). FLCN colocalization with the
219	lysosomal marker LAMP-1 (Fig. 3K) and its presence on isolated lysosomes was dependent on
220	GABARAP proteins (Fig. 3N). Lysosomal localization of FLCN also occurs upon nutrient
221	starvation, where FLCN specifically binds to RagA ^{GDP} and forms the inhibitory lysosomal
222	folliculin complex (LFC) ^{20, 21} . However, in cells deficient for the Ragulator complex component
223	LAMTOR1, which is part of the LFC, TRPML1 activation promoted FLCN membrane
224	recruitment (Fig. 3M). Additionally, using NPRL2_KO cells which have constitutive RagA/B ^{GTP}
225	and defective lysosomal localization of FLCN upon starvation ⁴¹ , we found that the FLCN-FNIP1
226	complex distributed to membranes following TRPML1 activation (Fig. S11). These data indicate
227	that the GABARAP-dependent sequestration of FLCN/FNIP1 is a process distinct from LFC
228	formation.
229	We reasoned that GABARAP-dependent recruitment of FLCN to the lysosome could inhibit its
230	GAP activity towards RagC/RagD, the heterodimeric partner of RagA/B, analogous to how
231	lysosomal recruitment inhibits FLCN-FNIP GAP activity during LFC formation ^{20, 21} . In this
232	model, FLCN-FNIP1 would normally exert its GAP function away from the lysosomal surface,
233	thus promoting cytosolic RagC/D ^{GDP} and subsequent TFEB cytosolic retention ^{22,39} . Indeed,
234	RagGTPase dimers have been shown to interact dynamically with the lysosome under fed
235	conditions ^{42,43} . Using NPRL2_KO cells mentioned above, additional knockout of FLCN resulted
236	in complete nuclear localization of TFEB under nutrient rich conditions in both wild type and
237	LFC-deficient NPRL2_KO cells, supporting a model where FLCN-FNIP1 GAP activity towards

238	RagC/D can occur outside the context of the LFC (Fig. S12). In a complementary approach, we
239	artificially tethered FLCN to the lysosomal surface (lyso-FLCN, see methods) and found this
240	sufficient to activate TFEB and TFE3 in full nutrient conditions without impacting mTOR
241	signaling to S6K1 (Fig. S13). Additionally, we found that in contrast to nutrient starvation,
242	TRPML1 agonist treatment retained the ability to activate TFEB in NPRL2_KO cells, suggesting
243	intact FLCN GAP activity toward RagGTPases (Fig. S14). However, expression of RagGTPases
244	locked in the active state (RagB ^{Q99L} /RagD ^{S77L}), which are no longer regulated by FLCN-FNIP1,
245	suppressed the mobility shift of TFEB and its homolog TFE3 following TRPML1 activation but
246	not with AZD8055 (Fig. S14). Collectively, these data support a model in which the
247	redistribution of the FLCN-FNIP1 complex to the lysosomal membrane can regulate the
248	nucleotide binding state of RagC/D, thus resulting in a novel mechanism for TFEB activation.
249	
250	A novel I ID domain in FNID1/2 modiates high affinity CARADAD interaction
	A nover LIK domain in FINIT 1/2 mediates nigh annihy GADAKAT interaction
251	Carboxyl group footprinting was employed as an unbiased approach to identify the molecular
251 252	Carboxyl group footprinting was employed as an unbiased approach to identify the molecular interface between GABARAP and the FLCN-FNIP complex ^{44,45} . This method also leverages the
251 252 253	A nover LIK domain in FIGH 1/2 mediates high annity GABAKAT interaction Carboxyl group footprinting was employed as an unbiased approach to identify the molecular interface between GABARAP and the FLCN-FNIP complex ^{44,45} . This method also leverages the observation that aspartate and/or glutamate residues are commonly observed upstream of LIR
251 252 253 254	A nover LIK domain in FIGH 1/2 mediates high annity GABAKAT interaction Carboxyl group footprinting was employed as an unbiased approach to identify the molecular interface between GABARAP and the FLCN-FNIP complex ^{44,45} . This method also leverages the observation that aspartate and/or glutamate residues are commonly observed upstream of LIR motifs ^{40,46} . Analysis of covalent modification by LC-MS revealed three FNIP2 peptides showing
251 252 253 254 255	A nover LIK domain in FIAT 1/2 mediates night annuty GADAKAT interaction Carboxyl group footprinting was employed as an unbiased approach to identify the molecular interface between GABARAP and the FLCN-FNIP complex ^{44,45} . This method also leverages the observation that aspartate and/or glutamate residues are commonly observed upstream of LIR motifs ^{40,46} . Analysis of covalent modification by LC-MS revealed three FNIP2 peptides showing the most significant protection (553-559, 560-573 and 564-573), which span a 21-residue
251 252 253 254 255 256	A nover Lick domain in FIAT 1/2 methates high annuty GABARAT interaction Carboxyl group footprinting was employed as an unbiased approach to identify the molecular interface between GABARAP and the FLCN-FNIP complex ^{44,45} . This method also leverages the observation that aspartate and/or glutamate residues are commonly observed upstream of LIR motifs ^{40,46} . Analysis of covalent modification by LC-MS revealed three FNIP2 peptides showing the most significant protection (553-559, 560-573 and 564-573), which span a 21-residue segment containing a single LIR motif (YVVI) at positions 567-570 (Fig. 4B, Table S5).
251 252 253 254 255 256 257	A novel LIK domain in FIGH 1/2 mediates high annuly GADAKAT interaction Carboxyl group footprinting was employed as an unbiased approach to identify the molecular interface between GABARAP and the FLCN-FNIP complex ^{44,45} . This method also leverages the observation that aspartate and/or glutamate residues are commonly observed upstream of LIR motifs ^{40,46} . Analysis of covalent modification by LC-MS revealed three FNIP2 peptides showing the most significant protection (553-559, 560-573 and 564-573), which span a 21-residue segment containing a single LIR motif (YVVI) at positions 567-570 (Fig. 4B, Table S5). Additional peptides with a lower level of protection were observed on FLCN (283-290 and 275-
251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258	A nover Lik uoman in FIAR 172 methates ingit animity GABARAT interaction Carboxyl group footprinting was employed as an unbiased approach to identify the molecular interface between GABARAP and the FLCN-FNIP complex ^{44,45} . This method also leverages the observation that aspartate and/or glutamate residues are commonly observed upstream of LIR motifs ^{40,46} . Analysis of covalent modification by LC-MS revealed three FNIP2 peptides showing the most significant protection (553-559, 560-573 and 564-573), which span a 21-residue segment containing a single LIR motif (YVVI) at positions 567-570 (Fig. 4B, Table S5). Additional peptides with a lower level of protection were observed on FLCN (283-290 and 275- 290) and FNIP2 (286-295 and 331-346) (Table S4), however these lacked an obvious LIR motif
251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259	Carboxyl group footprinting was employed as an unbiased approach to identify the molecular interface between GABARAP and the FLCN-FNIP complex ^{44,45} . This method also leverages the observation that aspartate and/or glutamate residues are commonly observed upstream of LIR motifs ^{40,46} . Analysis of covalent modification by LC-MS revealed three FNIP2 peptides showing the most significant protection (553-559, 560-573 and 564-573), which span a 21-residue segment containing a single LIR motif (YVVI) at positions 567-570 (Fig. 4B, Table S5). Additional peptides with a lower level of protection were observed on FLCN (283-290 and 275- 290) and FNIP2 (286-295 and 331-346) (Table S4), however these lacked an obvious LIR motif and may reflect potential conformational rearrangements upon complex formation. The putative

complex that was recently solved by CryoEM^{20, 21}. This loop sits within the C shaped 261 FLCN/FNIP2 cradle at a region distal to the RagA/RagC binding interface (Fig. 4C). Mutation of 262 263 the orthologous LIR sequence in FNIP1 from YVLV to AVLA completely blocked GABARAP interaction with the FLCN-FNIP1 complex, whereas the FNIP1 LIR mutation did not impact its 264 association with FLCN (Fig. 4D, Fig. S15). 265 266 We determined the crystal structure of GABARAP in complex with residues 558-576 of FNIP2 267 at 1.8 Å (Fig. S16, Table S1-S3). Tyr567 and Ile57 comprise the x₀ and x₃ positions of the 4residue LIR motif, which occupy the canonical hydrophobic pockets 1 and 2, respectively, on 268 269 GABARAP (Fig. 4E). Published co-structures of ATG8 family members often reveal additional interactions upstream and/or downstream of the LIR motif, which appear to contribute to affinity 270 271 and selectivity. On the C-terminal side of the LIR, the GABARAP/FNIP2 co-structure contains a hydrogen bond between Thr571 (x₄) and Arg28^{GAB}, but no further downstream contacts in 272 contrast to other reports showing interactions up to $(x_{10})^{40}$. The lack of interaction on the C-273 terminal side is not unexpected given the lack of sequence conservation between FNIP1 and 274 FNIP2 between x_5 and x_{10} (Fig. S17). 275

276 On the N-terminal side of the LIR, GABARAP forms a beta-hairpin loop that contributes a number of side chain-mediated interactions (Fig. 4E, Fig. S18). In contrast to the C-terminal 277 side, the N-terminal region is strongly conserved between FNIP1 and FNIP2. Glu558 (x-9) and 278 279 Glu564 (x-3), which were protected by GEE-labeling (Fig. S17), form hydrogen bonds and salt bridges. Glu558 forms a bidentate engagement with Lys24^{GAB} and Gln25^{GAB} while Glu564 in 280 combination with Ser565 (x-3) participate in a hydrogen bonding network that includes Tyr5^{GAB}, 281 Glu17^{GAB} and Lys48^{GAB} (Fig. 4E). Val562 occupies a shallow cleft that involves the 282 hydrophobic portion of a trio of aliphatic residues. 283

500	
300	
299	affinity differences of LC3B and GABARAP for the FLCN/FNIP complex (Fig. 3E).
298	and GABARAP (Fig. 4H, I). These amino acid differences may contribute to the observed
297	chain:side chain-mediated interactions in the X-ray structure are not conserved between LC3B
296	comparison of LC3B and GABARAP shows that four of the five residues that form side
295	the ATG8 family as universal rules have not yet been established for interaction partners. A
294	It remains unclear how selectivity is established within the GABARAP and LC3B branches of
293	GABARAP and FLCN/FNIP2 (Fig. 4G).
292	FLCN/FNIP2, confirming the role of the LIR motif in driving the interaction between
291	LIR sequence in the elongated peptide blocked competition with the GABARAP and
290	increased affinity for GABARAP ($29 \pm 2 \text{ nM } K_D$) in competition SPR. Mutation of the candidate
289	peptide to incorporate the full stabilized hairpin structure (aa 550-576) showed markedly
288	GABARAP (6.2 \pm 3 uM) than full length FLCN/FNIP2. N-terminal extension of the FNIP2
287	FLCN/FNIP2 (Fig. 4G). However, we noted a 10^4 lower affinity of our FNIP2 LIR peptide for
286	motif in FNIP2 (aa 558-576) was able to fully compete interaction of GABARAP with
285	developed a competition SPR assay using isolated FNIP2 peptides. A peptide spanning the LIR
284	To confirm the importance of the molecular interactions outside the core LIR motif, we

302 activation during SMAC and selective autophagy

To establish the functional requirement of the FNIP LIR domain, we reconstituted FNIP1/2 double knockout cells with WT or LIR-mutant (LIR) FNIP1. Both FNIP1-WT and FNIP1-LIR were able to rescue the constitutive TFEB activation in FNIP1/2_DKO cells as evidenced by

suppression of GPNMB protein levels (Fig. 5A). TFEB activation upon acute TRPML1 306 stimulation was blocked specifically in cells expressing FNIP1-LIR, whereas TFEB activation in 307 response to nutrient starvation was not impacted by FNIP1-LIR (Fig. 5A, B). Upon chronic 308 treatment with the TRPML1 agonist, the functional TFEB transcriptional response can be 309 measured by protein levels of the target gene *GPNMB*. GPNMB expression was completely 310 311 blocked in FNIP1-LIR mutant cells (Fig. 5C). GPNMB protein levels were also largely 312 suppressed upon AZD8055 treatment despite robust TFEB activation. This highlights how 313 concurrent inhibition of protein translation may minimize the effective scope of the TFEB 314 transcriptional activation (Fig. 5C). ATG8 conjugation was not altered by modulation of FNIP1 (Fig. 5A, C). Membrane sequestration of the FLCN-FNIP complex also required the identified 315 FNIP1-LIR domain, confirming our hypothesis that GABARAP binding to the FLCN-FNIP 316 317 complex is responsible for its relocalization (Fig. 5D). Other inducers of SMAC, such as the 318 ionophore monensin, could induce FLCN-FNIP1 complex sequestration independently of 319 TRPML1 (Fig. S19A) and regulated TFEB activation in a FNIP1-LIR domain dependent manner (Fig. S19B, C). This suggests that perturbation of lysosomal ion homeostasis, rather then 320 TRPML1 activation specifically, serves as a trigger for GABARAP-dependent FLCN-FNIP 321 322 relocalization.

It is intriguing to consider that any instance where GABARAP proteins are conjugated to
subcellular membranes might result in TFEB activation via the high affinity sequestration of
FLCN/FNIP. Thus, we examined distinct forms of selective autophagy, mitophagy and
xenophagy. It has been shown that TFEB activation occurs during parkin-dependent
mitophagy⁴⁷, and we found that this required GABARAP proteins (Fig. 6A, B, Fig. S15).
Furthermore, TFEB activation was defective in cells stably expressing LIR-mutant FNIP1,

329	confirming that GABARAP-dependent relocalization of FLCN to mitochondria mechanistically
330	links TFEB activation to mitophagy (Fig. 6C). Interestingly, an earlier study observed that FLCN
331	and FNIP could localize to mitochondria upon depolarization ⁴⁸ and the TFEB activation
332	mechanism revealed in the current study explains the relevance of this. Importantly, using a
333	proximity-regulated mitophagy system, where mitophagy is measured using the Keima
334	fluorescence shift assay ⁴⁹ (Fig. 6D, E) we confirmed the GABARAP-dependence of TFE3
335	translocation and FLCN redistribution independent of using mitochondrial uncouplers (Fig. 6F).
336	Finally, we used a Salmonella infection model of xenophagy to determine if TFEB activation
337	was regulated by GABARAP mediated FLCN sequestration. A portion of Salmonella enterica
338	serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium) are rapidly targeted by the autophagy machinery and
339	become decorated with ATG8 homologs ⁵⁰ . It was recently discovered that S. Typhimurium
340	antagonize the ATG8 response through the bacterial effector SopF^{25} . We hypothesized that if
341	ATG8 proteins were involved in TFEB activation, $\Delta sopF S$. Typhimurium would show a greater
342	TFEB activation than WT due to increased ATG8 conjugation. Indeed, $\Delta sopF S$. Typhimurium
343	produced a robust activation of TFEB that occurred in a higher percentage of cells for a longer
344	duration of time post-infection (Fig. 6G-I). Importantly, TFEB activation was blunted by
345	deletion of GABARAP family members (RAP_TKO), but was not impacted by knockout of LC3
346	isoforms (LC3_TKO) (Fig. 6J-L). We next examined the localization of FLCN and found a
347	striking relocalization of FLCN to coat the S. Typhimurium Salmonella-containing vacuole
348	membrane (Fig. 6M). This relocalization required GABARAP proteins, indicating that
349	GABARAP-dependent sequestration of FLCN to the Salmonella vacuoles results in TFEB
350	activation upon infection (Fig. 6M). Taken together, the mitophagy and xenophagy examples of
351	selective autophagy highlight that GABARAP-dependent sequestration of FLCN to distinct

cellular membranes may serve as a universal mechanism to couple activation of TFE3/TFEB
transcription factors to the initiation of selective autophagy.

354

355 Discussion

356 We describe a previously unrecognized molecular mechanism orchestrated by GABARAP 357 proteins independent from their role in substrate degradation and vesicle maturation. Changes in 358 endolysosomal ion levels and induction of SMAC helped uncover a novel regulatory input to 359 TFEB nuclear localization that is independent of nutrient status and requires the ATG5-ATG12-ATG16L1 conjugation machinery. GABARAP-dependent membrane sequestration of the 360 361 FLCN-FNIP complex uncouples its regulation of RagC/D revealing a new paradigm for TFEB 362 activation distinct from LFC formation during nutrient starvation. Moreover, GABARAP-363 dependent TFEB activation is permissive with mTORC1 activity offering new insights into strategies to enhance lysosomal biogenesis. Given the conjugation of ATG8 proteins to double 364 membrane autophagosomes⁵¹, it is logical that GABARAPs would play a critical role in 365 366 coordinating the activation of TFEB during the early stage of autophagy. Indeed, the 367 GABARAP-FLCN-FNIP axis was required for TFEB activation during mitophagy and xenophagy suggesting that this newly identified mechanism can broadly serve to coordinate 368 369 lysosomal capacity (Fig. 7). Conjugation of GABARAP and sequestration of FLCN-FNIP to the 370 forming autophagosome can be viewed as a stage-gate ensuring tight coordination of autophagy with lysosomal capacity. 371

Germline mutations in *FLCN* underlie the FLCN-FNIP complex loss of function phenotype and
 TFEB-dependency in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome^{22, 52}, a rare disorder that predisposes patients to

kidney tumors. Interestingly, Ras-driven pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells (PDAC) show 374 constitutive nuclear localization of TFEB/TFE3 and notable colocalization of LC3 with LAMP2-375 positive lysosomes^{53, 54}. Moreover, elevated activity and permeability of lysosomes has been 376 noted in PDAC⁵⁴ and our study suggests a mechanism to explain TFEB nuclear localization 377 despite nutrient replete and mTOR-active conditions⁵³. Understanding the involvement of 378 379 FLCN-FNIP membrane sequestration in this setting and whether oncogenic signals might take advantage of this mechanism to drive TFEB-dependent tumor growth may offer new therapeutic 380 381 opportunities for lysosome-dependent tumors.

382 Linking TFEB activation to GABARAP membrane conjugation allows for sensitive detection of not only autophagy initiation and flux, but also dysfunction within the endolysosomal pathway, 383 possibly as part of a host-pathogen response. Pathogens have evolved virulence factors to inhibit 384 and evade SMAC, for example SopF of S. Typhimurium²⁵, CpsA of M. tuberculosis⁵⁵, and RavZ 385 of Legionella⁵⁶. Recently, it has been proposed that disruption of the phagosomal ion gradient 386 triggered ATG8 modification of the $\Delta sopFS$. Typhimurium-containing vacuole and that this 387 precedes vacuole rupture and xenophagy²⁵. Induction of SMAC could serve to couple TFEB-388 dependent transcription of cytoprotective/antimicrobial genes⁵⁷ and lysosomal biogenesis to limit 389 390 pathogen infection. While SMAC induction does result in the conjugation of both LC3 and GABARAP homologs to target membranes, our study highlights that different ATG8s serve 391 392 distinct functions. While LC3 is proposed to regulate vesicle maturation and fusion with lysosomes^{58, 59}, the primary role of GABARAP may be to coordinate lysosomal capacity to 393 accommodate increased rates of lysosomal delivery. 394

Our data highlights the importance of the RagC nucleotide state in the regulation of TFEB by
 mTOR²². mTOR has been shown to mediate the nuclear export of TFEB/TFE3 transcription

397	factors, with phosphorylation promoting cytosolic retention ⁶⁰ . In the absence of FLCN-FNIP
398	activity, the regulation of nuclear export is impaired due to a lack of mTOR access to
399	TFEB/TFE3 as substrates, resulting in nuclear retention rather than active translocation of these
400	transcription factors ²² . The GABARAP-dependent sequestration of FLCN-FNIP represents a
401	new paradigm for the control of the RagC/D nucleotide state, previously thought to solely be
402	regulated by nutrient levels. The contribution of this new mechanism to both the basal and
403	induced adaptive TFEB/TFE3 responses will be interesting to test in future work. Interestingly,
404	mice expressing a C-terminal ATG16L1 truncation, thus defective in SMAC, show an
405	Alzheimer's disease (AD) phenotype ⁶¹ and deficiencies in lysosomal biogenesis/homeostasis are
406	well-characterized in AD and other neurodegenerative disorders ⁶² . Further understanding the
407	regulation of this GABARAP-dependent sequestration of FLCN-FNIP, and whether a
408	homeostatic defect in TFEB/TFE3 activation contributes to neurodegeneration in vivo will
409	provide additional insights into therapeutic opportunities.
410	
411	
412	
413	
414	
415	
416	
417	

418 **References and notes:**

419	1.	Dikic, I. Proteasomal and autophagic degradation systems. Ann Rev Biochem. 86, 193-
420		224 (2017).
421	2.	Lawrence, R.E., and R. Zoncu. The lysosome as a cellular centre for signalling,
422		metabolism and quality control. Nat Cell Biol. 21, 133-142 (2019).
423	3.	Kaur, J., and J. Debnath, Autophagy at the crossroads of catabolism and anabolism. Nat
424		<i>Rev Mol Cell Biol.</i> 16 , 461-72 (2015).
425	4.	Ballabio, A., and J.S. Bonifacino. Lysosomes as dynamic regulators of cell and
426		organismal homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 21, 101-118 (2020).
427	5.	Florey, O., Kim, S.E., Sandoval, C.P., Haynes, C.M., and M. Overholtzer. Autophagy
428		machinery mediates macroendocytic processing and entotic cell death by targeting single
429		membranes. Nat Cell Biol. 13, 1335-43 (2011).
430	6.	Martinez, J.S., Almendinger, J., Oberst, A., Ness, R., Dillon, C.P., Fitzgerald, P.,
431		Hengartner, M.O., and D.R. Green. Microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 alpha
432		(LC3)-associated phagocytosis is required for the efficient clearance of dead cells. <i>Proc.</i>
433		Nat, Acad. Sci. 108, 17396-401 (2011).
434	7.	Jacquin, E., Leclerc-Mercier, S., Judon, C., Blanchard, E., Fraitag, S., and O. Florey.
435		Pharmocological modulators of autophagy activate a parallel noncanonical pathway
436		driving unconventional LC3 lipidation. Autophagy. 13, 854-867 (2017).
437	8.	Heckmann, B.L., Teubner, B.J.W., Tummers, B., Boada-Romero, E., Harris, L., Yang,
438		M., Guy, C.S., Zakharenko, S.S., and D.R. Green. LC3-associated endocytosis facilitates
439		β -amyloid clearance and mitigates neurodegeneration in murine Alzheimer's disease.
440		<i>Cell.</i> 178 , 536-51 (2019).

441	9.	Kaushik, S., and A.M. Cuervo. The coming of age of chaperone-mediated autophagy. N	at
442		<i>Rev Mol Cell Biol.</i> 19 , 365-381 (2018).	

- 443 10. Honey, K., and A.Y. Rudensky. Lysosomal cysteine proteases regulate antigen
- 444 presentation. *Nat Rev Immunol.* **3**, 472-482 (2003).
- 11. Buratta, S., Tancini, B., Sagini, K., Delo, F., Chiaradia, E., Urbanelli, L., and C. Emiliani.
- 446 Lysosomal exocytosis, exosome release, and secretory autophagy: the autophagic- and
- endo-lysosomal systems go extracellular. *Int J Mol Sci.* **21**, 2576 (2020).
- 448 12. Xu, H. and D. Ren. Lysosomal physiology. *Annu Rev Physiol.* 77, 57-80 (2015).
- Liu, G.Y., and D.M. Sabatini. mTOR at the nexus of nutrition, growth, ageing and
 disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 21, 183-203 (2020).
- 451 14. Fromm, S.A., Lawrence, R.E., and J.H. Hurley. Structural mechanism for amino acid452 dependent Rag GTPase nucleotide state switching by SLC38A9. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 27,
- 453 1017-1023 (2020).
- 454 15. Kim, J., and K-L. Guan. mTOR as a central hub of nutrient signalling and cell growth.
 455 *Nat Cell Biol.* 21, 63-71 (2019).
- 456 16. Settembre, C., Fraldi, A., Medina, D.L., and A. Ballabio. Signals from the lysosome: a
 457 control centre for cellular clearance and energy metabolism. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 14,
 458 283-96 (2013).
- 459 17. Sardiello, M., Palmieri, M., di Ronza, A., Medina, D.L., Valenza, M., Gennarino, V.A.,
- 460 Di Malta, C., Donaudy, F., Embrione, V., Polishchuk, R.S., et al., A gene network
- regulating lysosomal biogenesis and function. *Science*. **325**, 473-7 (2009).

462	18. Martina, J.A., Diab, H.I., Lishu, L., Jeong-A, L., Patange, S., Raben, N., and R.
463	Puertollano. The nutrient-responsive transcription factor TFE3 promotes autophagy,
464	lysosomal biogenesis, and clearance of cellular debris. Sci Signal. 7, ra9 (2014).
465	19. Settembre, C., Di Malta, C., Polito, V.A., Garcia Arencibia, M., Vetrini, F., Erdin, S.,
466	Erdin, S.U., Huynh, T., Medina, D., Colella, P., et al. TFEB links autophagy to lysosomal
467	biogenesis. Science. 332, 1429-33 (2011).
468	20. Lawrence, R.E., Fromm, S.A., Fu, Y., Yokom, A.L., Kim, D.J., Thelen, A.M., Young,
469	L.N., Lim, C-Y., Samelson, A.J., Hurley, J.H., and R. Zoncu. Structural mechanism of a
470	Rag GTPase activation checkpoint by the lysosomal folliculin complex. Science. 366,
471	971-977 (2019).
472	21. Shen, K., Rogala, K.B., Chou, H-T., Huang, R., Yu, Z., and D.M. Sabatini. Cryo-EM
473	structure of the human FLCN-FNIP2-Rag-Ragulator complex. Cell. 179, 1319-1329
474	(2019).
475	22. Napolitano, G., Di Malta, C., Esposito, A., de Araujo, M.E.G., Pece, S., Bertalot, G.,
476	Matarese, M., Benedetti, V., Zampelli, A., Stasyk, T., et al., A substrate-specific
477	mTORC1 pathway underlies Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Nature. 585, 597-602 (2020).
478	23. Settembre, C., Zoncu, R., Medina, D.L., Vetrini, F., Erdin, S., Erdin, SU., Huynh, T.,
479	Ferron, M., Karsenty, G., Vellard, M.C., et al., A lysosome-to-nucleus signalling
480	mechanism senses and regulates the lysosome via mTOR and TFEB. EMBO J. 31, 1095-
481	1108 (2012).
482	24. Galluzzi, L., and D.R., Green, Autophagy-independent functions of the autophagy
483	machinery. Cell. 177, 1682-99 (2019).

484	25. Xu, Y., Zhou, P., Cheng, S., Lu, Q., Nowak, K., Hopp, A-K., Li, L., Shi, X., Zhou, Z.,
485	Gao, W., et al., A bacterial effector reveals the V-ATPase-ATG16L1 axis that initiates
486	xenophagy. Cell. 178, 1-15 (2019).
487	26. Fletcher, K., Ulferts, R., Jacquin, E., Veith, T., Gammoh, N., Arasteh, J.M., Mayer, U.,
488	Carding. S.R., Wileman, T., Beale, R., and O. Florey. The WD40 domain of ATG16L1 is
489	required for its non-canonical role in lipidation of LC3 at single membranes. EMBO J.
490	37 , e97840 (2018).
491	27. Nakamura, S., Shigeyama, S., Minami, S., Shima, T., Akayama, S., Matsuda, T.,
492	Esposito, A., Napolitano, G., Kuma, A., Namba-Humano, T., et al., LC3 lipidation is
493	essential for TFEB activation during the lysosomal damage response to kidney injury.
494	Nat Cell Biol. 22, 1252-1263 (2020).
495	28. Kumar, S., Jain, A., Choi, S.W., Duarte da Silva, G.P., Allers, L., Mudd, M.H., Peters,
496	R.S., Anonsen, J.H., Rusten, T-E., Lazarou, M., and V. Deretic. Mammalian Atg8
497	proteins and the autophagy factor IRGM control mTOR and TFEB at a regulatory node
498	critical for responses to pathogens. Nat Cell Biol. 22: 973-985 (2020).
499	29. Chen, C-C., Keller, M., Hess, M., Schiffmann, R., Urban, N., Wolfgardt, A., Schaefer,
500	M., Bracher, F., Biel, M., Wahl-Scott, C., and C. Grimm. A small molecule restores
501	function to TRPML1 mutant isoforms responsible for mucolipidosis type IV. Nat Comm.
502	5, 1-10 (2014).
503	30. Shen, D., Wang, X., Li, X., Zhang, X., Yao, Z., Dibble, S., Dong, X-P., Yu, T.,
504	Lieberman, A.P., Showalter, H.D., and H. Xu. Lipid storage disorders block lysosomal
505	trafficking by inhibiting a TRP channel and lyosomal calcium release. Nat Comm. 3, 1-1
506	(2012).

507	31. Liang., C., Piperazine derivatives as trpml modulators. WO 2018/005713 A1. Jan 4
508	(2018).

509	32. Chresta, C.M., Davies, B.R., Hickson, I., Harding, T., Cosulich, S., Critchlow, S.E.,
510	Vincent, J.P., Ellston, R., Jones, D., Sini, P., et al., AZD8055 is a potent, selective, and
511	orally bioavailable ATP-competitive mammalian target of rapamycin kinase inhibitor
512	with in vitro and in vivo antitumor activity. Cancer Res. 70, 288-98 (2010).
513	33. Florey, O., Gammoh, N., Kim, S.E., Jiang, X., and M. Overholtzer. V-ATPase and
514	osmotic imbalances activate endolysosomal LC3 lipidation. Autophagy. 11, 88-99 (2015).
515	34. Medina, D.L., Di Paola, S., Peluso, I., Armani, A., De Stefani, D., Venditti, R.,
516	Montefusco, S., Scotto-Rosato, A., Prezioso, C., Forrester, A., et al., Lysosomal calcium
517	signaling regulates autophagy through calcineurin and TFEB. Nat Cell Biol. 17, 288-99
518	(2015).
519	35. Johansen, T., and T., Lamark. Selective autophagy: ATG8 family proteins, LIR motifs
520	and cargo receptors. J Mol Biol. 432, 80-103 (2020).
521	36. Dunlop, E.A., Seifan, S., Claessens, T., Behrends, C., Af Kamps, M., Rozycka, E.,
522	Kemp, A.J., Nookala, R.K., Blenis, J., Coull, B.J., et al., FLCN, a novel autophagy
523	component, interacts with GABARAP and is regulated by ULK1 phosphorylation.
524	Autophagy. 10, 1749-1760 (2014).
525	37. Hong, S.B., Oh, H.B., Valera, V.A., Baba, M., Schmidt, L.S., and W.M. Linehan.
526	Inactivation of the FLCN tumor suppressor gene induces TFE3 transcriptional activity by
527	increasing its nuclear translocation. PLoS One. 5, e15793 (2010).

23

528	38. Petit, C.S., Roczniak-Ferguson, A., and S.M. Ferguson. Recruitment of folliculin to
529	lysosomes supports the amino acid-dependent activation of Rag GTPases. J Cell Biol.
530	202 , 1107-1122 (2013).
531	39. Martina, J.A., and R., Puertollano. Rag GTPases mediate amino acid-dependent
532	recruitment of TFEB and MITF to lysosomes. J Cell Biol. 200, 475-91 (2013).
533	40. Wirth, M., Zhang, W., Razi, M., Nyoni, L., Joshi, D., O'Reilly, N., Johansen, T., Tooze,
534	S.A., and S. Mouilleron. Molecular determinants regulating selective binding of
535	autophagy adapters and receptors to ATG8 proteins. Nat Comm. 10, 2055 (2019).
536	41. Meng, J., and S.M., Ferguson. GATOR1-dependent recruitment of FLCN-FNIP to
537	lysosomes coordinates Rag GTPase heterodimer nucleotide status in response to amino
538	acids. J Cell Biol. 217, 2765-2776 (2018).
539	42. Manifava, M., Smith, M., Rotondo, S., Walker, S., Niewczas, I., Zoncu, R., Clark, J., and
540	N.T. Ktistakis. Dynamics of mTORC1 activation in response to amino acids. eLife.
541	5:e19960 (2016).
542	43. Lawrence, R.E., Cho, K.F., Rappold, R., Thrun, A., Tofaute, M., Kim, D.J., Moldavski,
543	O., Hurley, J.H., and R. Zoncu. A nutrient-induced affinity switch controls mTORC1
544	activation by its Rag GTPase-Ragulator lysosomal scaffold. Nat Cell Biol. 20, 1052-1063
545	(2018).
546	44. Parminder, K., Tomechko, S.E., Kiselar, J., Shi, W., Deperalta, G., Wecksler, A.T.,
547	Gokulrangan, G., Ling, V., and M.R. Chance. Characterizing monoclonal antibody structure
548	by carboxyl group footprinting. MAbs. 7, 540-52 (2015).
549	45. Zhang, H., Wen, J., Hunag, R. Y-C., Blankenship, R.E., and M.L. Gross. Mass spectrometry-
550	based carboxyl footprinting of proteins: method evaluation. Int J Mass Spectrom. 312, 78-
551	86 (2012).

552	46. Noda, N.N., Ohsumi, T., and F. Inagaki. ATG8-family interacting motif crucial for
553	selective autophagy. FEBS Lett. 584, 1379-1385 (2010).
554	47. Nezich, C.L., Wang, C., Fogel, A.I., and R.J. Youle. MiT/TFE3 transcription factors are
555	activated during mitophagy downstream of Parkin and ATG5. J Cell Biol. 210, 435-50
556	(2015).
557	48. Heo, J-M., Ordureau, A., Swarup, S., Paulo, J.A., Shen, K., Sabatini, D.M., and J.W.
558	Harper. RAB7A phosphorylation by TBK1 promotes mitophagy via the PINK-PARKIN
559	pathway. Sci Adv. 4, eaav0443 (2018).
560	49. Lazarou, M., Sliter, D.A., Kane, L.A., Sarraf, S.A., Wang, C., Burman, J.L., Sideris,
561	D.P., Fogel, A.I, and R.J. Youle. The ubiquitin kinase PINK1 recruits autophagy
562	receptors to induce mitophagy. Nature. 524, 309-314 (2015).
563	50. Birmingham, C.L., Smith, A.C., Bakowski, M.A., Yoshimori, T., and J.H. Brumell.
564	Autophagy controls Salmonella infection in response to damage to the Salmonella-
565	containing vacuole. J Biol Chem. 281, 11374-11383 (2006).
566	51. Tsuboyama, K., Koyama-Honda, I., Sakamaki, Y., Koike, M., Morishita, H., and N.
567	Mizushima. The ATG conjugation systems are important for degradation of the inner
568	autophagosomal membrane. Science. 354, 1036-1041 (2016).
569	52. Schmidt, L.S., and W.M. Linehan. FLCN: The causative gene for Birt-Hogg-Dube
570	syndrome. Gene. 640, 28-42 (2018).
571	53. Perera, R.M., Stoykova, S., Nicolay, B.N., Ross, K.N., Fitament, J., Boukhali, M.,
572	Lengrand, J., Deshpande, V., Selig, M.K., Ferrone, C.R., et al. Transcriptional control of
573	autophagy-lysosome function drives pancreatic cancer metabolism. Nature. 524, 361-5
574	(2015).

575	54	Gupta	S	Yano J	Htwe	ΗH	Shin	HR	Cakir Z	Ituarte	T.	Wen	KW	Kim	GE
575	JT.	Ouplu,	D.,	I and, J			, pinn,	11.1		., muance			12	, 121111,	U.L.,

- 576 Zoncu, R., Dawson, D.D., and R.M. Perera. Lysosomal retargeting of Myoferlin
- 577 mitigates membrane stress to enable pancreatic cancer growth. bioRxiv.
- 578 doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.04.425106 (2021).
- 579 55. Koster, S., Upadhyay, S., Chandra, P., Papavinasasundaram, K., Yang, G., Hassan, A.,
- 580 Grigsby, S.J., Mittal, E., Park, H.S., Jones, V., et al., Mycobacterium tuberculosis is
- 581 protected from NADPH oxidase and LC3-associated phagocytosis by the LCP protein
- 582 CpsA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*.**114**, E8711-E8720 (2017).
- 583 56. Choy, A., Dancourt, J., Mugo, B., O'Connor, T.J., Isberg, R.R., Melia, T.J., and C.R.
- Roy. The Legionella effector RavZ inhibits host autophagy through irreversible Atg8
 deconjugation. *Science*. 338, 1072-6 (2012).
- 586 57. Visvikis, O., Ihuegbu, N., Labed, S.A., Luhachack, L.G., Alves, A-M.F., Wollenberg,
- A.C., Stuart, L.M., Stormo, G.D., and J.E. Irazoqui. Innate host defense requires TFEBmediated transcription of cytoprotective and antimicrobial genes. *Immunity*. 40, 896-909
 (2014).
- 58. Sanjuan, M.A., Dillon, C.P., Tait, S.W.G., Moshiach, S., Dorsey, F., Connell, S.,
- 591 Komatsu, M., Tanaka, K., Cleveland, J.L., Withoff, S., and D.R. Green. Toll-like receptor
- signalling in macrophages links the autophagy pathway to phagocytosis. *Nature*. **450**,
- 593 1253-7 (2007).
- 59. Martinez, J., Subbarao Malireddi, R.K., Lu, Q., Dias Cunha, L., Pelletier, S., Gingras, S.,
- 595 Orchard, R., Guan, J.L., Tan, H., Peng, J., et al. Molecular characterization of LC3-
- associated phagocytosis reveals distinct roles for Rubicon, NOX2 and autophagy
- 597 proteins. *Nat Cell Biol.* **17**, 893-906 (2015).

598	60. Napolitano, G., Esposito, A., Choi, H., Matarese, M., Benedetti, V., Di Malta, C.,
599	Monfregola, J., Medina, D.L., Lippincott-Schwartz, J., and A. Ballabio. mTOR-
600	dependent phosphorylation controls TFEB nuclear export. Nat Comm. 9, 3312 (2018).
601	61. Heckmann, B.L., Teubner, B.J.W., Boada-Romero, E., Tummers, B., Guy, C., Fitzgerald,
602	P., Mayer, U., Carding, S., Zakharenko, S.S., Wileman, T., and D.R. Green.
603	Noncanonical function of an autophagy protein prevents spontaneous Alzheimer's
604	disease. Sci Adv. 6, eabb9036 (2020).
605	62. Bonam, S.R., Wang, F., and S. Muller. Lysosomes as a therapeutic target. Nat Rev Drug
606	Disc. 18, 923-948 (2019).
607	
608	
609	
610	
611	
612	
613	
614	
615	
616	
617	
618	
619	
620	
621	
622	
623	

624 Materials and Methods:

625 Antibodies:

Antibodies used in this study were as following: ATG16L1 (8089, human), Phospho-ATG14 S29 (92340),

627 ATG14 (96752), Phospho-Beclin S30 (54101), FIP200 (12436), FLCN (3697), GABARAPL1 (26632),

628 GABARAPL2 (14256), GAPDH (5174, 1:10000 for WB), DYKDDDDK tag (14793), HA tag (3724), myc tag

629 (2278), LC3A/B (12741), LC3B (3868), LAMTOR1 (8975), LAMP1 (15665, 1:1000 for IF), NFAT1 (5861,

630 1:250 for IF), NPRL2 (37344), Phospho-S6K (9234), S6K (2708), Phospho-S6 S235/236 (4858, 1:3000 for

631 WB), S6 (2217, 1:5000 for WB), TAX1BP1 (5105), TFEB (4240), TFEB (37785, 1:200 for IF), and Phospho-

632 ULK S757 (14202) were from Cell Signaling Technologies. Mouse monoclonal anti-S. Typhimurium LPS

(clone 1E6, ab8274) and FNIP1 (ab134969) were from Abcam. TFE3 (HPA023881) was from Millipore
 Sigma. p62 (GP62-C) was from Progen. Galectin-3 (sc-23938) was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. TFEB

635 (A303-673A, 1:200 for IF in murine cells) was from Bethyl Laboratories. All antibodies were used at a

636 1:1000 dilution for western blotting unless otherwise noted.

637 **Generation of knockout cell lines with CRISPR/Cas9**:

638 HeLa cells or U2OS cells were made to stably express Cas9 through lentiviral transduction (vector Cat#

639 SVC9-PS-Hygro, Cellecta). Knockout cell lines were generated as pooled populations following

640 subsequent lentiviral transduction with gRNA sequences as indicated (vector Cat# SVCRU6UP-L,

641 Cellecta). Pooled populations were selected for 3 days with puromycin (2ug/ml, Life Technologies) and

used for experiments 7-9 days post transduction with gRNA. Clones were isolated for ATG16L1_KO to

643 use for reconstitution experiments. gRNA sequences were as follows (5'-3'):

644	sgCTRL:	GTAGCGAACGTGTCCGGCGT
645	sgRB1CC1 (FIP200):	CAGGTGCTGGTGGTCAATGG
646	sgATG9A:	TCTGGAAACGGAGGATGCGG
647	sgPIK3C3 (VPS34):	CATACACATCCCATATGGTG
648	sgATG5:	GCTTCAATTGCATCCTTAGA
649	sgATG7:	TCCGTGACCGTACCATGCAG
650	sgATG16L1:	GCCACATCTCGGAGCAACTG
651	sgLAMTOR1:	GCTGCTGTAGCAGCACCCCA
652	sgNPRL2:	GATGCGGCAGCCGCTGCCCA
653	sgMAP1LC3A (LC3A):	GTCAAGCAGCGGCGGAGCTT
654	sgMAP1LC3B (LC3B):	GCAGCATCCAACCAAAATCC
655	sgMAP1LC3C (LC3C):	GCTTGAAGGGTCTGACGCTT
656	sgGABARAP:	GGATCTTCTCGCCCTCAGAG
657	sgGABARAPL1:	CATGAAGTTCCAGTACAAGG

- 658sgGABARAPL2:TTCCCGCCGCCGCCATGAAG659sgFLCN:TCACGCCATTCCTACACCAG660sgFNIP1:TCTGGCTTACAATGATGTCG661sgFNIP2:CCAGTTGATATGCCAAGCAG
- 662

663 cDNA expression constructs:

664 Wild type and K490A mutant mouse ATG16L1 were cloned into pBabe-Puro-Flag-S-tag plasmids as

665 previously described²⁶. pBabe-Blast-GFP-LC3A has been previously described⁵.

666 The following constructs were generated for use in this study:

Insert	Epitope Tag	Terminus	Expression vector
Human FNIP1 WT	ЗХ НА	N	pcDNA-DEST40
Human FLCN WT	FLAG	С	pCDNA-DEST40
			Tet Lenti
Human lyso-FLCN	FLAG	С	Tet Lenti
(Nterm 39aa of			
LAMTOR1 fusion			
Human GABARAP WT	тус	Ν	pcDNA-DEST40
Human GABARAP	тус	Ν	pcDNA-DEST40
LBMmut			
Human ATG16L1 WT	FLAG	Ν	Tet Lenti
Human ATG16L1 ΔCTD	FLAG	Ν	Tet Lenti
Human ATG16L1 ΔFBD	FLAG	Ν	Tet Lenti
Human ATG16L1 F467A	FLAG	Ν	Tet Lenti
Human ATG16L1 K490A	FLAG	Ν	Tet Lenti
S. Typhimurium SopF	тус	Ν	Tet-Lenti
Human LAMP1	RFP	С	pBabe
Human FNIP1 WT	3XHA	Ν	Tet Lenti
Human FNIP1	3XHA	Ν	Tet Lenti
Y583A/V586A			
Human TMEM192	3XHA	С	Tet Lenti

667

- 668 cDNA constructs with the indicated epitope tags were synthesized (Genscript, USA) and provided as
- 669 entry clones. Gateway recombination was used to shuttle cassettes into pcDNA-DEST40 (Life
- 670 Technologies) or a lentiviral vector allowing tetracycline inducible expression referred to as Tet-Lenti
- 671 (synthesized by Genscript, USA).

672 <u>Cell Culture:</u>

- 673 Cell lines used in this study were U2OS, HeLa, and RAW264.7 and were obtained from the American
- Type Culture Collection (ATCC). HEK293FT were obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific. Cell lines were

- verified to be mycoplasma-free by routine testing. All cells were cultured in a humidified incubator at
- 676 37°C and 5% CO₂. Cell culture reagents were obtained from Invitrogen unless otherwise specified. Cells
- 677 were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine
- 678 serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.
- 679 Reagents:
- 680 Bafilomycin A1, PIK-III, and AZD8055 were purchased from Selleckchem. ML-SA1 and MK6-83 were
- 681 purchased from Tocris. Monensin, nigericin, salinomycin, valinomycin, and LLoMe were purchased from
- 682 Sigma Aldrich. C8 is available for purchase through Chemshuttle (Cat# 187417).

683 Viral production and transduction:

- 684 For lentiviral production of CRISPR gRNA or Cas9 virus and cDNA overexpression virus, 8 x 10⁵ 293FT
- cells were plated in 6-well plates. The next day, cells were transfected with lentiviral packaging mix (1 ug
- 686 psPAX2 and 0.25 ug VSV-G) along with 1.5 ug of the lentiviral backbone using Lipofectamine 2000
- 687 (ThermoFisher). Supernatant was removed from 293FT cells after 48 hr, centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5
- 688 min and then syringe filtered using a 0.45 um filter (Millipore). Polybrene was then added to a final
- 689 concentration of 8 ug/ml and target cells were infected overnight. Cells were then allowed to recover
- 690 for 24 hr in DMEM/10% FBS before being selected with 1 mg/ml neomycin (G418:Geneticin,
- ThermoFisher), 2ug/ml puromycin (ThermoFisher), or 500 ug/ml Hygromycin B (ThermoFisher) for 72 hr.
- 692 Retroviral infection was performed using centrifugation. Stable populations were selected with
- 693 puromycin (2 mg/ml) or blasticidin (10 mg/ml) for 3-5 days.

694 Cell lysis and western blotting:

- 695 For preparation of total cell lysates, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (#9806, Cell Signaling Technology)
- 696 supplemented with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, Boston BioProducts) to 1% final concentration, and
- 697 protease inhibitor tablets (Complete EDTA-free, Roche). Lysates were homogenized by sequential
- 698 passaging through Qiashredder columns (Qiagen), and protein levels were quantified by Lowry DC
- 699 protein assay (Bio-Rad). Proteins were denatured in 6X Laemmli SDS loading buffer (Boston BioProducts)
- 700 at 100°C for 5 min.
- For preparation of membrane fractions, 1.5 X10⁶ cells were plated the day before in 6cm tissue culture
- dishes (BD Falcon). Cellular fractions were prepared using the MEM-PER kit (ThermoFisher) according to
- the manufacturer's protocol. Protein levels were quantified by Lowry DC protein assay (Bio-Rad) and
- 704 denatured in 6X Laemmli SDS loading buffer (Boston BioProducts).
- For western blotting, equivalent amounts of total proteins were separated on Tris-Glycine TGx SDS-
- 706 PAGE gels (Bio-Rad). Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose using standard methods and
- 707 membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat dry milk (Cell Signaling Technology) in TBS with 0.2% Tween-20
- 708 (Boston BioProducts). Primary antibodies were diluted in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Cell Signaling
- Technology) in TBS with 0.2% Tween-20 and were incubated with membranes at 4°C overnight. HRP-
- conjugated secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking solution (1:20,000, ThermoFisher) and
- 711 incubated with membranes at room temperature for 1 h. Western blots were developed using West
- 712 PicoPLUS Super Signal ECL reagents (Pierce) and film (GEHealthcare).

713 Immunoprecipitation:

- For immunoprecipitations, cells were lysed in IP CHAPS lysis buffer: 0.3% CHAPS, 10 mM β-glycerol
- phosphate, 10 mM pyrophosphate, 40 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, supplemented with protease
- 716 inhibitor tablets (Roche) and Calyculin A (Cell Signaling Technology). Lysates were clarified by

- centrifugation and equilibrated as described above. For FLAG IP, lysates were incubated with anti-M2
- 718 FLAG-conjugated agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) at 10 ul bed volume per 1 mg of protein and incubated
- for 1 hour at 4°C with gentle rocking. For MYC IP, lysates were incubated with 10 ul bed volume per 1
- mg protein of anti-myc 9E10-conjugated agarose beads (Sigma Aldrich). Beads were then centrifuged
- and washed 3X with lysis buffer supplemented with 300mM NaCl. Immunoprecipitate was eluted by
- addition of 6X Laemmli SDS loading buffer at 100°C for 5 min.
- LysolP was performed as described previously⁶³. Briefly, U2OS cells stably expressing 3XHA-TMEM192
- were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and then scraped into 1ml of ice-cold LysoIP buffer (136 mM KCl,
- 10 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.25 in Optima LC/MS water). 100ul of cell suspension was reserved for input
- sample. Cells were then homogenized using 35 strokes of a dounce homogenizer followed by
- 727 centrifugation for 10 minutes at 1500 x g in a 4°C centrifuge. Clarified homogenates were then
- incubated with 50ul prewashed anti-HA magnetic beads for 30 minutes with constant rotation at 4°C.
- 729 Beads were then washed 4X with LysoIP washing buffer (136 mM KCl, 10 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.25 in Optima
- 730 LC/MS water, 300mM NaCl). Both input and immunoprecipitated samples were then lysed with LysoIP
- 731 lysis buffer (40 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM β-glycerol phosphate, 10 mM
- pyrophosphate, 2.5 mM MgCl₂ and complete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)). 6X Laemmli
- 733 SDS loading buffer was added and samples placed at 100°C for 5 min.
- 734

735 Immunofluorescence and high content image analysis:

- Following indicated treatments, GFP-LC3 LAMP1-RFP expressing cells were fixed with ice cold methanol
- for 3 minutes at -20°C. Cells were washed in PBS and image acquisition was performed using a Confocal
- 738 Zeiss LSM 780 microscope (Carl Zeiss Ltd) equipped with a 40x oil immersion 1.40 numerical aperture
- 739 (NA) objective using Zen software (Carl Zeiss Ltd).
- For quantification, the number of GFP-LC3 puncta were counted for >20 cells across multiple fields of view. For co-localization quantification, GFP-LC3 puncta were assessed for LAMP1-RFP.
- For LC3 and LAMP1 staining in primary BMDMs, cells were plated on 18 mm coverslips. The next day
- cells were treated as indicated and cells fixed in ice cold methanol as above. Cells were then blocked in
- 744 PBS + 5% BSA for 1 hour before addition of primary antibodies (anti-LC3A/B, CST #4108, 1:100; anti-
- LAMP1, BD #555798, 1:100) diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed and
- incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies in blocking buffer for 1 hour at room temperature.
- 747 Cells were washed in PBS incubated with DAPI and mounted on glass slides using Prolong anti-fade
- 748 reagent (Life Technologies).
- 749 For endogenous TFEB staining in mouse macrophage, cells were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde for 15
- 750 minutes at room temperature, washed in PBS and permeabilized in 0.2% triton/PBS for 5 mins. Cells
- 751 were then processed as above for primary (anti-TFEB, Bethyl Laboratories, #A303-673A, 1:200) and
- rscondary antibodies. Images were acquired using a Confocal Zeiss LSM 780 microscope (Carl Zeiss Ltd)
- rtical aperture (NA) objective using Zen software (Carl Zeiss
- Ltd). Analysis was performed using Image J. For nuclear cytosol quantification, the ratio of fluorescent
- 755 intensity of TFEB within the DAPI was mask versus the cytosol of 30 cells across 2 independent
- 756 experiments were measured.
- 757 For high content image acquisition, cells were plated in 96-well glass bottom, black wall plates (Greiner,
- 758 #655892) or 384-well polystyrene, black-wall plates (Greiner, #781091) and grown overnight to 70%
- confluency. Treatments were performed as indicated. Cells were fixed for 10 min in either -20°C

- 760 methanol or 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences). Cells were blocked and
- 761 permeabilized in a solution containing 1:1 Odyssey blocking buffer (LiCor)/PBS (Invitrogen) with 0.1%
- 762 Triton X-100 (Sigma) and 1% normal goat serum (Invitrogen) for 1 h at room temperature. Primary
- 763 antibodies were added overnight at 4°C in blocking buffer described above. After washing plates with
- PBS using an EL-406 plate washer (BioTek), secondary Alexa-conjugated antibodies (Life Technologies)
- were diluted 1:1,000 in blocking solution supplemented with DAPI and applied for 1 h at room
- temperature. Cells were then washed again in PBS as described and imaged using an INCELL 6500 high-
- 767 content imager (GE Healthcare). Images were analyzed using the GE InCarta software.
- For imaging in the context of *Salmonella* infection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at
- 769 37 °C for 10 min. Immunostaining was performed as previously described⁶⁴. Cells were imaged using a
- 770 Quorum spinning disk microscope with a 63Å~ oil immersion objective (Leica DMIRE2 inverted
- fluorescence microscope equipped with a Hamamatsu Back-Thinned EM-CCD camera or Hamamatsu
- 772 CMOS FL-400 camera, spinning disk confocal scan head) and Volocity 6.3 acquisition software
- 773 (Improvision). Confocal z-stacks of 0.3 μm were acquired and images were analysed with Volocity 6.3
- software.

775 Correlative FIB-SEM

- 776 Cells were seeded in 35-mm glass-bottom dishes (MatTek Corp., USA, #P35G-2-14-CGRD). They were
- fixed with 4% formaldehyde (TAAB F017, 16% w/v solution formaldehyde-methanol free) in 0.1 M
- phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (PB) for 30 min at 4 °C. They were washed in PB and imaged with a 40x/1.4 NA
- objective on an inverted confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM780). Further fixation was carried out with 2%
- 780 formaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde (TAAB G011/2, 25% solution glutaraldehyde) in PB for 2 hours,
- 781 prior to further processing.
- 782 Samples were embedded using a protocol as described previously^{65, 66}. The cells were washed in PB five 783 times and post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide (Agar Scientific, R1023, 4% solution osmium tetroxide) and
- 1.5% potassium ferrocyanide (v/v) (SIGMA ALDRICH, P3289-100G, potassium hexacyanoferrate (II)
- trihydrate) for 1 hour on ice. And then dehydrated and embedded in Hard-Plus Resin812 (EMS, #14115).
- 786 The samples were polymerized for 72 h at 60 °C. The coverslip was removed from the resin by dipping
- the block into liquid nitrogen. After locating the region of interest (ROI) on the block surface using the
- imprint of the grid, the block was cut to fit on an aluminium stub using a hacksaw, and trimmed with a
- razorblade. The block/stub was then coated with 20nm Pt using a Safematic CCU-010 sputter coater
- 790 (Labtech) to create a conductive surface.
- The block/stub was placed in the chamber of a Zeiss 550 CrossBeam FIB SEM and the surface imaged
 using the electron beam at 10 kV to locate the grid and underlying cells. Once the ROI had been
 identified, Atlas software (Fibics) was used to operate the system. A trench was cut into the resin to
 expose the target cell and serial SEM images were acquired with 7 nm isotropic resolution using a 1.5 kV
 electron beam. For 3D-image analysis, image stacks were processed using Atlas software and viewed
- 796 using ImageJ.
- 797

798 **RNA isolation and RNAseq analysis:**

799 **RNA isolation:**

Total RNA was prepared from cells treated with DMSO or 2uM C8 for 24 hours using Trizol extraction
and RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). A total of 2ug of RNA with a RIN score of >9.8 was submitted for RNAseq
analysis.

803 Library preparation, HiSeq sequencing and Analysis:

RNA sequencing libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina using
 manufacturer's instructions (NEB, MA). mRNAs were enriched with Oligod(T) beads, and the enriched
 mRNAs fragmented at 94°C (15 minutes). This was followed by first strand and second strand cDNA
 synthesis. cDNA fragments were end-repaired and adenylated at 3'ends. Universal adapters were then

808 ligated to cDNA fragments, followed by index addition and library enrichment by PCR with limited cycles.

809 The sequencing library and RNA samples for RNAseq were quantified using Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life

- 810 Technologies, CA) and RNA integrity checked using Agilent TapeStation 4200 (Agilent Technologies, CA).
- 811 The sequencing libraries were clustered on a single lane of a flowcell on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 system
- according to manufacturer's instructions. The samples were sequenced using a 2x150bp Paired End (PE)
- 813 configuration. Image analysis and base calling were conducted by the HiSeq Control Software (HCS). Raw
- sequence data (.bcl files) generated from Illumina HiSeq was converted into fastq files and de-
- 815 multiplexed using Illumina's bcl2fastq 2.17 software. One mismatch was allowed for index sequence
- 816 identification. Sequence reads were mapped to the Homo sapiens reference genome version GRCh38
- available on ENSEMBL using the STAR aligner v.2.5.2b. Unique gene hit counts were calculated by using
- feature Counts from the Subread package v.1.5.2. Only unique reads that fell within exon regions were
- 819 counted.
- 820 The count data was normalized by the trimmed mean of M-values normalization (TMM) method,
- followed by variance estimation and applying generalized linear models (GLMs), utilizing functions from
- 822 empirical analysis of digital gene expression⁶⁷ to identify differentially expressed genes as described
- previously^{68, 69}. Factorial designs were incorporated into the analysis by fitting these linear models with
- the coefficient for each of the factor combinations and then simultaneously extracting contrasts for the
- 825 respective 'differential-of-differential' analysis in the two experimental dimensions (C8 stimulation and
- 826 genotype status: ATG16L1KO and WT). The associated p-values were adjusted to control the false
- discovery rate in multiple testing, using the Benjamini and Hochberg's (BH) method (BH-adjusted
- 828 p<0.05).
- 829 Pathway and biological process enrichment analysis were performed as previously described^{69, 70}. Briefly,
- data were interrogated from KEGG pathways and gene ontology biological processes. Each module or
- 831 category was assessed for statistical enrichment or over-representation among differentially expressed
- genes relative to their representation in the global set of genes in the genome. P-values were computed
- using the hypergeometric test.

834 **Quantitative PCR with reverse transcription analysis**

- 835 RNA extractions were performed using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN), and complementary DNA was
- subsequently generated using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). Quantitative PCR analysis was
- 837 performed on the QuantStudio 3 Real-time PCR system (ThermoFisher) using the SsoFast EvaGreen
- 838 Supermix Kit (Bio-Rad) and the following primer sets: GPNMB human (5' GCCTTTAAGGATGGCAAACA 3'
- and 5' TGCACGGTTGAGAAAGACAC 3'), RRAGD human (5' TCCGGTGGATATGCAAACCT 3' and 5'

840 ACAAAGCAAACGAGAGCCAG 3') and GAPDH human (5' GGAGCGAGATCCCTCCAAAAT 3' and 5'

841 GGCTGTTGTCATACTTCTCATGG 3'). Data were normalized to that of the housekeeping gene GAPDH.

842 Lysotracker staining:

- 843 U2OS.Cas9 cells expressing a control gRNA or knocked out for ATG16L1 were treated for 24 hours with
- 2uM C8. Cells were then washed and incubated live for 20 min with 25nM Lysotracker Red DND-99
- 845 (ThermoFisher) and Hoecsht 33342 (ThermoFisher) diluted in warmed imaging buffer (20 mM HEPES (pH
- 846 7.4) , 140 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 10 mM D-glucose, and 5% v/v FBS).
- 847 Staining solution was removed and cells were incubated in imaging buffer for an additional 30 min
- 848 before image acquisition on the INCELL 6500. Images were analyzed using the GE InCarta software.
- 849

850 Generation of ATG16L1 K490A knockin mouse model

- The K490A point mutation was introduced into C57/BL6 mice via direct zygote injection of CRISPR/Cas9
- reagents. Briefly, a single stranded guide sequence was designed and synthesised along with a
- 853 tracerRNA from Dharmacon. A repair donor single stranded DNA sequence was designed to introduce
- the K490A point mutation and mutate the PAM sequence to stop re-targeting of the Cas9 complex to
- already edited DNA. These reagents along with recombinant Cas9, were injected into mouse zygotes.
- 856 Pups born from these injections were genotyped via Transnetyx and heterozogous founders were bred
- 857 with wild type mice to obtain pure heterozygote animals. Further breeding yielded mice homozygous for
- the K490A mutation. Mice were housed in the Biological Support Unit at the Babraham Institute under
- 859 specific pathogen–free conditions. All animal experiments at The Babraham Insitute were reviewed and
- approved by The Animal Welfare and Ethics Review Body and performed under Home Office Project
- 861 license PPL/PO302B91A.
- 862 K490A guide sequence-
- 863 GUUAGGGGCCAUCACGGCUCGUUUUAGAGCUAUGCUGUUUUG
- 864 Repair donor ssDNA-

865 GCTGTCTCCCTTAGGTCAGAGAGAGTGTGGTCCGAGAGATGGAACTGTTAGGG<mark>GC</mark>GATCACCGCTT<u>TGG</u>ACCTAA

866 ACCCTGAGAGAACTGAGCTCCTGAGCTGCTCCCGTGATGACCTG

867 Bone Marrow Derived Macrophage isolation

- 868 C57/BL6 wild-type and ATG16L1 K490A mice, aged 13–15 weeks, were used to obtain BMDCs. Bone
- 869 marrow cells were isolated by flushing tibias and femurs with PBS + 2% FBS. Cells were pelleted and
- resuspended in 1 ml Red Blood Cell lysis buffer (150 mM NH_4Cl , 10 mM $KHCO_3$, 0.1 mM EDTA) for 2 min
- at room temperature. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen 22409-031), 10%
- FBS, 1% Pen/Strep, 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol supplemented with 20 ng/ml M-CSF (Peprotech #AF-315-
- 02) and 50ng/ml Fungizone (Amphotericin B) (Gibco #15290018). Media was refreshed on days 3 and 6
- and cells plated for assays on day 8.
- 875

876 HEK293 GFP-LC3B ATG13/ATG16L1_DKO cells

- ATG13_KO HEK293 cells stably expressing GFP-LC3B maintained in DMEM, 10% FBS, 1% pen/strep, were
- 878 used as previously described⁷. To generate *ATG16L1* KO, gRNA sequence (GTGGATACTCATCCTGGTTC)
- 879 with overhangs for containing a Bpil site was annealed and cloned into the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP plasmid
- 880 (Addgene, 48138; deposited by Dr. Feng Zhang) digested with the Bpil restriction enzyme (Thermo
- Scientific, ER1011). The recombinant plasmid along with a pBabe-puro construct (Addgene, 1764;
- deposited by Dr. Hartmut Land) expressing mouse ATG16L1 variants was transfected into HEK293
- ATG13_KO GFP-LC3B cells via Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Cells were selected with 2.5 μg/ml
- puromycin (P8833, Sigma) for 48 h, and single cell clones were obtained by limiting dilution. After clonal
- expansion, *ATG16L1 KO* clones were selected based on the absence of ATG16L1 protein as detected by
 Western blot.
- RAW264.7 wild type and ATG16L1_KO cells were kindly provided by Dr Anne Simonsen⁷¹ and maintained
 in DMEM 10% FBS, 1% pen/strep.

889 Live imaging time-lapse confocal microscopy

- 890 HEK293 cells were plated on 35 mm glass-bottomed dishes (Mattek, Ashland, MA). Images were
- acquired every 2 minutes using a spinning disk confocal microscope, comprising Nikon Ti-E stand, Nikon
- 60x 1.45 NA oil immersion lens, Yokogawa CSU-X scanhead, Andor iXon 897 EM-CCD camera and Andor
- 893 laser combiner. All imaging with live cells was performed within incubation chambers at 37°C and 5%
- 894 CO₂. Image acquisition and analysis was performed with Andor iQ3 (Andor Technology, UK) and ImageJ.

895 Endogenous Calcium Imaging

- 896 Hela wild-type and Hela ATG16L1KO cells were trypsinized and seeded at 20000 per well of PDL coated
- 897 Greiner Bio plates for 2 h. Cells were loaded with 10 μ l of Calcium 6 dye solution for 1.5 h at room
- temperature. After incubation, the dye was removed from the plates and replaced with 10μl of low Ca²⁺
 solution containing 145 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl2, 10 mM glucose, 1 mM EGTA and 20 mM HEPES
- at pH 7.4. With 1 mM EGTA, the free Ca^{2+} concentration is estimated to be < 10 nM based on the
- 901 Maxchelator software (<u>http://maxchelator.stanford.edu/</u>). Compounds plates were prepared with low
- 902 calcium solution. Cell and compound plates were loaded onto the FLIPR and the 15 min protocol was run.
- 903 The fluorescence intensity at 470nm was monitored. After an initial 10 second baseline read, compounds
- 904 were added to the cells. Images were taken for 15 minutes to monitor effects on Ca²⁺ fluorescence. Data
- 905 exported as max-min Relative Fluorescence Unit (RFU).

906 Recombinant protein expression

907 Protein purification

- 908 For evaluation of the FLCN/FNIP2/GABARAP complex by size exclusion chromatography, FLCN and FNIP2
- 909 were subcloned as twin-strep-FLAG and GST fusion proteins, respectively, and purified as described²⁰.
- 910 Final purified complexes were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen in buffer A (25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 130 mM
- 911 NaCl, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 2 mM EGTA, and 0.5 mM TCEP). Full-length human GABARAP (1-117) was
- subcloned with a C-terminal MBP tag (GABARAP_MBP) separated by a GSSGSS linker in pET21b and
- 913 expressed in *E. coli* following induction at 16°C for 16 hours in LB. Cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris pH 7.4,
- 500 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, and 15 ug/ml benzamidine; sonicated; and
- 915 clarified by centrifugation. GABARAP_MBP was purified using amylose resin equilibrated in wash buffer
- 916 (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP) and eluted with wash buffer plus 30 mM maltose. The

917 protein was further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 75 column equilibrated

918 buffer A and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Purified GABARAP_MBP was mixed with FLCN/FNIP2 at a

919 ratio of 1:0.8 and gently mixed for 2 hours at 4 °C. The sample was injected onto a Superose 6 Increase

- 920 (GE) column (1CV = 24 mL) that was pre-equilibrated in Buffer A. The retention time of peak fractions
- were compared to FLCN/FNIP2 and GABARAP_MBP alone followed by evaluation of samples using 12%SDS-PAGE.

923 For GEE labelling studies, full-length human FLCN-PreScission-MBP and His8-TEV-FNIP2 were subcloned for co-expression in mammalian cells. Cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 924 925 1% Triton X-100, and 2 mM MgCl₂ with Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and clarified by 926 centrifugation. Protease Inhibitor tablets were included throughout purification to prevent degradation. 927 The FLCN/FNIP2 complex was purified over an amylose column equilibrated in wash buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, and 2 mM MgCl₂), washed and eluted in wash 928 929 buffer plus 10 mM maltose. The complex was further purified by IMAC chromatography and eluted in 930 buffer B (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.11 mM NG311, and 2 mM MgCl₂) plus 250 mM imidazole followed by overnight cleavage with PreScission Protease[™] at 4°C. The cleaved MBP tag 931 932 was removed with a second amylose column, and the complex was concentrated prior to purification by 933 size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 column equilibrated in buffer B. The sample was 934 snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. GABARAP (1-117) was cloned as GST-PreScission-His8-TEV-GABARAP for 935 expression in E. coli and lysis as described for GABARAP MBP. GABARAP was purified using glutathione 936 resin equilibrated in buffer C (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM TCEP) and eluted using 937 buffer C plus 10 mM glutathione. The GST tag was cleaved overnight at 4°C with PreScission Protease 938 and purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 75 column equilibrated in buffer D (50 939 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM TCEP). His8-TEV-GABARAP was applied to an IMAC column 940 equilibrated in buffer E (25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM TCEP), eluted in buffer E plus 250 941 imidazole, and dialyzed into buffer E. Final samples were concentrated and snap frozen in liquid 942 nitrogen.

For X-ray crystallography, a single polypeptide clone was designed using GST-PreScission-His8-TEV
followed by residues 558-576 of FNIP2, a Gly-Ser spacer, and full-length GABARAP. Protein was
expressed and purified using glutathione resin as described for GST-PreScission-His8-TEV-GABARAP. The
tag was cleaved using TEV protease and purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 75
column in buffer D. Residual tag was removed by IMAC chromatography and the final protein was
exchanged into buffer E by dialysis, concentrated to 18.7 mg/mL prior, and snap frozen in liquid
nitrogen.

950 For evaluation of the binding affinity of GABARAP and LC3B for the FLCN/FNIP2 complex via SPR, full-951 length human FLCN-8xG-AviTag-PreScission-MBP and full-length human His8-TEV-FNIP2 were expressed 952 in mammalian cells and purified above as described for GEE labelling studies. Biotinylation of the AviTag 953 in full-length human FLCN-8xG-AviTag-PreScission-MBP was performed following according to the 954 manufacturer's (Avidity) suggested protocol and was performed following PreScission protease cleavage 955 and prior to size exclusion chromatography. Full-length human GABARAP (1-117) was purified as 956 described above for GEE studies. Full-length human LC3B (1-125) was cloned as GST-PreScission-His8-957 TEV-LC3B for expression in *E. coli* and lysis as described for GABARAP MBP. LC3B was purified using 958 glutathione resin equilibrated in buffer C (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM TCEP) and 959 eluted using buffer C plus 10 mM glutathione. The GST tag was cleaved overnight at 4°C with
- 960 PreScission Protease and purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 75 column
- 961 equilibrated in buffer D (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM TCEP). His8-TEV-LC3B was
- applied to an IMAC column equilibrated in buffer E (25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM
- 963 TCEP), eluted in buffer E plus 250 imidazole, and dialyzed into buffer E. Final samples were
- 964 concentrated and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

965 For evaluation of the binding affinity of GABARAP and LC3B for the p62 FIR domain via SPR, the FIR

- region of human p62 (326-380) with the 4P mutations (S349E, S365E, S366E, S370E)⁷² was cloned as
- 967 GST-PreScission-p62 FIR 4P for expression in *E. coli* following induction at 18°C for 16 hours in TB. Cells
- 968 were lysed in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM TCEP and 1 U/mL
- DNase I; sonicated; and clarified by centrifugation. GST-PreScission-p62 FIR 4P was purified using
 glutathione resin equilibrated in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP and eluted using the
- same buffer supplemented with 10 mM glutathione. The GST tag was left on the protein, and GST-
- 972 PreScission-p62 FIR 4P was further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200
- column equilibrated in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP. Fractions containing GST-
- 974 PreScission-p62 FIR 4P were pooled, concentrated and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. GST protein used
- 975 as a reference for SPR was purchased from Cytiva.

976 Protein Crystallization

- 977 FNIP2-GABARAP chimera was crystallized by the vapor diffusion method using equal volumes protein
- 978 and 0.1 M Magnesium acetate, 0.1 M MOPS pH 7.5, 12% v/v PEG 8000 in sitting drops. Crystals were
- 979 frozen using well solution supplemented with 20% glycerol. Data were collected at beamline BL18U1 of
- 980 the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) and processed with XDS⁷³ and AIMLESS⁷⁴. The
- 981 structure was solved by molecular replacement with PHASER⁷⁵ using 6hyo⁴⁰ as a search model and
- 982 refined with REFMAC5⁷⁶ and PHENIX⁷⁷. Model building was performed using COOT⁷⁸.

983 Peptide Synthesis

984 Wild Type and mutant peptides corresponding to residues 550-576 (Long) or 558-576 (Short) of FNIP2 985 were ordered from New England Peptide. All peptides were purified to >99% purity, with the exception 986 of the WT Long FNIP2 peptide that was purified to 70% purity. 5 mg of each short peptide was 987 resuspended in water to a concentration of 500 μ M, whereas 5 mg aliquots of long peptides were 988 resuspended in water supplemented with 3 uL of 1M ammonium bicarbonate to a final concentration of 989 500 μ M.

Measurement of *in vitro* binding kinetics of GABARAP and LC3B with FLCN/FNIP2 by Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)

Direct binding of GABARAP and LC3B to immobilized FLCN/FNIP2 was assayed on a Biacore S200 (Cytiva) 992 993 using the Biotin CAPture Kit (Series S Sensor Chip CAP and Biotin CAPture reagent) (Cytiva). Prior to 994 beginning each run, the S200 system was equilibrated using a 4x Multiprime of running buffer (1x HBS-P+ 995 (Cytiva) supplemented with 1 mM TCEP). The chip surface was conditioned with three successive 60 996 second pulses of 6M Guanidine HCl / 50 mM NaOH at a flow rate of 30 µL/min. Prior to kinetic analysis, 997 three startup cycles were performed using standard conditions for the CAPture Kit (Cytiva). For each cycle 998 of kinetic analysis, undiluted Biotin CAPture reagent was injected onto all four flow cells for 150 seconds 999 at a flow rate of 4 µL /min. 40 µg/mL Biotinylated FLCN-8xGlycine-AviTag/FNIP2 was injected onto flow 1000 cells 2 and 4 at 10 µL/min for 60 seconds to produce an immobilization level of 100-150 Response Units

1001(RU). 0.06 - 31.25 nM GABARAP or 0.06 to 4000 nM LC3B protein was injected using "High performance1002Multi-cycle Kinetics" or "Single Cycle Kinetics" injections for 60 seconds at a flow rate of 100 µL/min, and1003were allowed to dissociate from FLCN/FNIP2 for 750 seconds prior to regeneration of the chip surface1004with 30 second pulses of 6M Guanidine HCl / 50 mM NaOH followed by 30% Acetonitrile / 250 mM NaOH.

1005 Sensorgrams were processed with Cytiva Biacore S200 Evaluation Software (version 1.1, Build 28). Double 1006 referencing was performed by first subtracting the GABARAP/LC3B responses over the reference flow cells 1007 (1 and 3) from the corresponding responses in sample flow cells (2 and 4, respectively), followed by 1008 subtraction of averaged sensorgrams for 0 nM GABARAP/LC3B from sensorgrams corresponding to all 1009 other concentrations of GABARAP/LC3B. After double referencing kinetic data, injection and pump spikes 1010 were manually removed from sensorgrams and the data were fit globally by non-linear regression to a 1011 simple 1:1 Langmuir binding model without a Bulk RI term (RI = 0 RU) to determine 1012 association/dissociation rate constants (k_a, k_d), analyte binding capacity (R_{max}) and the equilibrium 1013 dissociation constant (K_D). R_{max} values obtained during kinetic analysis represented 50-80% of the theoretical R_{max} value indicating a surface activity of 50-80%. Sensorgrams and 1:1 binding model curve 1014 1015 fits were exported from the S200 Evaluation Software and replotted in GraphPad Prism (v8.4.3).

1016Measurement of *in vitro* binding kinetics of GABARAP and LC3B with the p62 FIR 4P Domain by Surface1017Plasmon Resonance (SPR)

1018 Direct binding of GABARAP and LC3B to immobilized GST-p62 FIR 4P protein was assayed on a Biacore 1019 S200 (Cytiva) using a CM5 chip (Cytiva). Prior to beginning each run, the S200 system was equilibrated 1020 using a 4x Multiprime of 1x HBS-N buffer (Cytiva). The CM5 chip surface was preconditioned using five cycles of successive 60 second injections of 50 mM NaOH, 100 mM HCl, 0.2% SDS and nuclease free water 1021 1022 at 30 µL/min. GST-p62 FIR 4P (Sample cells, 2 and 4) or GST (Reference cells, 1 and 3) were covalently 1023 coupled to the chip surface using NHS/EDC supplied in the Cytiva Amine Coupling Kit. GST-p62 and GST 1024 (Cytiva) were diluted to 0.1 µM in 10 mM Sodium Acetate, pH 4.5 and injected onto flow cells 2 and 4 1025 (GST-p62) or 1 and 3 (GST) of the NHS/EDC activated chip until 250 RU of GST-p62 or 200 RU of GST was 1026 immobilized. Ethanolamine was then injected across all four flow cells according to the Amine Coupling 1027 Kit protocol to block remaining reactive sites on the chip surface.

1028 The SPR system was then equilibrated using a 4x Multiprime of running buffer (1x HBS-P+ (Cytiva) 1029 supplemented with 1 mM TCEP). Prior to kinetic analysis, ten startup cycles were performed using 60 1030 second sample injections of running buffer at 30 µL/min and 30 second dissociation times followed by two 30 second injections of pH 2.0 Glycine (Cytiva) at 30 µL/min and a "Carry-over control" injection. For 1031 1032 each cycle of kinetic analysis, 40 – 10,000 nM GABARAP or LC3B protein was injected across all four flow 1033 cells using "High performance Multi-cycle Kinetics" injections for 60 seconds at a flow rate of $100 \,\mu$ L/min, and were allowed to dissociate from GST-p62 FIR 4P and GST surfaces for 1000 seconds prior to 1034 1035 regeneration of the chip surface with two 30 second injections of pH 2.0 Glycine (Cytiva) at 30 µL/min 1036 followed by a 300 second stabilization period and a "Carry-over control" injection.

Sensorgrams were processed with Cytiva Biacore S200 Evaluation Software as described above. While sensorgrams for LC3B binding to GST-p62 FIR 4P domain showed high affinity binding with a very slow off rate (Estimated 80 nM K_D with 1200 second half life), they were more complicated than could be accurately described using a simple 1:1 Langmuir binding model with a Bulk RI term. In spite of their complexity the LC3B sensorgrams and sensorgrams for GABARAP binding to GST-p62 reached a steady state during injection onto the chip surface and were fit using a "Steady State Affinity Model" with the offset parameter set to zero. R_{max} values obtained during steady state affinity analysis represented ~85%

of the theoretical R_{max} value indicating a surface activity of ~85%. Sensorgrams and steady state affinity
 binding isotherm fits were exported from the S200 Evaluation Software and replotted in GraphPad Prism
 (v8.4.3).

1047Measurement of the Affinity of p62, FLCN/FNIP1 and FNIP2 Peptides for GABARAP by Competition in1048Solution / Affinity in Solution Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)

We used the 'Competition in solution' (also called 'affinity in solution') method⁷⁹⁻⁸¹ to measure the affinity of the GST-p62 FIR 4P domain, full length FLCN/FNIP1 protein and FNIP2 Peptide (New England Peptide) competitors for GABARAP. Full length AviTag-FLCN/FNIP2 heterodimers were immobilized on the Series S Sensor Chip CAP surface (as described above for FLCN/FNIP binding kinetics with GABARAP and LC3B) and used to capture and measure the concentration of free GABRAP in pre-equilibrated mixtures containing a constant amount of GABARAP with varying amounts of soluble p62, FLCN/FNIP1 and FNIP2 peptide competitors.

1056 Calibration curves of 0 - 15.6 nM GABRAP were prepared by two-fold serial dilution of 31.2 nM GABARAP 1057 into running buffer (1x HBS-P+ buffer (Cytiva) supplemented with 1 mM TCEP). Calibration curves of 1058 GABARAP were injected onto the chip surface for 60 seconds at 30 μ L/min and the maximum RU for each 1059 concentration of GABARAP was recorded. Following sample injections, the chip surface was regenerated 1060 by injecting one 30 second pulse of 6M Guanidine Hydrochloride with 0.25 M NaOH and a second 30 1061 second pulse of 30% acetonitrile with 0.25 M NaOH, followed by a 'carry over control injection'.

1062 Mixtures containing a fixed amount of GABARAP (7.8 nM) and soluble GST-p62 FIR, FLCN/FNIP1 protein 1063 and FNIP2 peptide competitors were prepared by 1:1 dilution of 15.6 nM GABARAP with two-fold serial 1064 dilutions of competitors in running buffer. Each mixture of GABARAP and competitor was injected to 1065 produce a series of sensorgrams that were recorded as described for the GABARAP calibration curve.

Sensorgrams were processed with Cytiva Biacore S200 Evaluation Software (version 1.1, Build 28). Double referencing was performed by first subtracting the GABARAP/LC3B responses over the reference flow cells (1 and 3) from the corresponding responses in sample flow cells (2 and 4, respectively), followed by subtraction of averaged sensorgrams for 0 nM GABARAP/LC3B from sensorgrams corresponding to all other concentrations of GABARAP/LC3B.

Sensorgrams were processed with the Cytiva Biacore S200 Evaluation Software. The y-axes were zeroed at the baseline for each cycle and x-axes were aligned at the injection start. Bulk refractive index changes and systematic deviations in sensorgrams were removed by double referencing as described above. The concentration of free GABARAP in each mixture with competitors was determined from the calibration curve, exported into Prism, plotted against the log of competitor concentration and fit to the equation detailed on page 192 of the Biacore S200 Software Handbook (Revision 29-1431-08 AA). The equation assumes the existence of a single binding site between FLCN/FNIP2 competitors and GABARAP.

1078 **Bacterial strains and infections**

1079 Infections were performed with wild-type *S*. Typhimurium SL1344 and isogenic mutant lacking the SopF 1080 effector. Mutation in the *S*. Typhimurium SL1344 background lacking SopF ($\Delta sopF$) was a kind gift from 1081 Dr. Feng Shao and described previously²⁵. A previously established approach was used for infection of 1082 epithelial cells, using late-log *S*. Typhimurium cultures as inocula⁸². Briefly, subcultured *Salmonella* 1083 strains were pelleted at 10,000g for 2 min, resuspended and diluted 1:50 in PBS, pH 7.2, and added to 1084 cells for 10 min at 37 °C. Selection for intracellular bacteria was performed at 30 min p.i. using 100 µg ml⁻¹ gentamicin, a concentration that was decreased to 10 μg ml-1 at 2 h p.i. for maintenance purposes.
 If applicable, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 37 °C for 10 min.

1087 Supplemental References:

- 1088 63. Abu-Remaileh, M., et al., Lysosomal metabolomics reveals v-ATPase and mTOR-dependent 1089 regulation of amino acid efflux from lysosomes. *Science*. **358**: 807-813 (2017).
- 1090 64. Brumell, J.H., Rosenberger, C.M., Gotto, G.T., Marcus, S.L., and B.B., Finlay. SifA permits survival and 1091 replication of Salmonella typhimurium in murine macrophages. *Cell Microbiol.* **3**, 75-84 (2001).
- 1092
- 1093 65. Kishi-Itakura, C., et al., Ultrastructural analysis of autophagosome organization using mammalian 1094 autophagy-deficient cells. *J Cell Sci.* **127**, 4089-4102 (2014).
- 1095 66. Kishi-Itakura, C., and F., Buss. The use of correlative light-electron microscopy (CLEM) to study
 1096 PINK1/Parkin-mediated mitophagy. *Methods Mol Biol.* **1759**, 29-39 (2018).
- 1097 67. McCarthy, D.J., et al., Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-seq experiments with 1098 respect to biological variation. *Nuc Acid Res.* **40**, 4288-97 (2012).
- 68. Bouziat, R., et al., Reovirus infection triggers inflammatory responses to dietary antigens and
 development of celiac disease. *Science*. **356**, 44-50 (2017).
- 1101 69. Bouziat, R., et al., Murine norovirus infection induces TH1 inflammatory responses to dietary
 1102 antigens. *Cell Host Microbe*. 24, 677-688 (2018).
- 1103 70. DeJesus, R., et al., Functional CRISPR screening identifies the ufmylation pathway as a regulator of 1104 SQSTM1/p62. *eLife*. **5**, e17290 (2016).
- 1105 71. Lystad, A.H., et al., Distinct functions of ATG16L1 isoforms in membrane binding and LC3B lipidation
 1106 in autophagy-related processes. *Nat Cell Biol.* 21, 372-383 (2019).
- 72. Turco, E., et al., FIP200 Claw domain binding to p62 promotes autophagosome formation at ubiquitin
 condensates. *Mol Cell.* 74, 330-46 (2019).
- 1109 73. Kabsch W. XDS. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 66, 125-32. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909047337
 1110 (2010).
- 74. Evans, P.R., and G.N. Murshudov., How good are my data and what is the resolution? *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 69, 1204-14 (2013).
- 1113 75. McCoy, A.J., et al., Phaser crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr*. **40**, 658-674 (2007).
- 1114 76. Murshudov, G.N., et al., REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta*1115 *Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 2011 Apr;67, 355-67 (2011).
- 1116 77. Adams, P.D., et al., PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure
 1117 solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 66, 213-21 (2010).

- 1118 78. Emsley, P., et al., Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 66, 486-501
 1119 (2010).
- 1120 79. Nieba, L., et al., Competition BIAcore for measuring true affinities: large differences from values 1121 determined from binding kinetics. *Analytical Biochemistry*. **234**, 155–165 (1996).
- 80. Lazar, G.A., et al., Engineered antibody Fc variants with enhanced effector function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 103, 4005–4010 (2006).
- 1124 81. Walkup, W.G., et al., A model for regulation by synGAP- α 1 of binding of synaptic proteins to PDZ-1125 domain 'Slots' in the postsynaptic density. *eLife*. **5**, e16813 (2016).
- 1126 82. Szeto, J., et al., Salmonella-containing vacuoles display centrifugal movement associated with cell-to-1127 cell transfer in epithelial cells. *Infect Immun.* **77**, 996-1007 (2009)
- 1128

1129 Acknowledgements:

- 1130 We would like the thank Drs. James Hurley, Sascha Martens, Keith Dionne, Frank Gentile, Bob
- 1131 Tepper, and the employees of Casma Therapeutics for critical feedback in preparation of this
- 1132 manuscript. We thank all staff in the Biological Support Unit at the Babraham Institute for their
- 1133 outstanding care of our mouse colony and Dr Simon Walker in the Imaging Facility for his
- support. We thank Dr Domink Spensberger for his role in generating the K490A mouse and Dr
- 1135 Elise Jacquin for early work on vATPase. We thank Dr Anne Simonsen for providing the
- 1136 ATG16L1 KO RAW264.7 cell line. This work was supported by grants from the BBSRC,
- 1137 BB/P013384/1 (BBS/E/B/000C0432 and BBS/E/B/000C0434), BB/R019258/1, Cancer Research
- 1138 UK Career Development award C47718/A16337 and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research
- 1139 (FDN#154329 to J.H.B). J.H.B. holds the Pitblado Chair in Cell Biology.
- 1140

1141 <u>Author Contributions:</u>

- 1142 J.M.G, L.O.M, and O.F designed all experiments and wrote the manuscript. J.M.G., W.G.W. IV,
- 1143 K.H., T.L., and S.K performed experiments. K.F. and O.F. generated the ATG16L1 K490A
- 1144 knockin mouse model. A.N. performed data analysis of RNAseq profiling, A.J, A.A., S.R.B., and
- 1145 S.R.R. performed calcium imaging experiments. C.K-I. performed ultrastructural analysis. J.G.F.
- 1146 provided chemistry support. M.W., B.A., and D.B. performed protein purification and complex
- 1147 formation assays. A.B. provided valuable scientific guidance throughout the study.
- 1148

1149 Competing Financial Interest

- 1150 J.M.G., W.G.W. IV, A.N., T.L., S.K., A.J., J.G.F., D.B., B.A.A., J.S., and L.O.M. are employees
- and shareholders of Casma Therapeutics. A.B. is a scientific co-founder of Casma Therapeutics
- and is a shareholder.

Figure 1. Activation of the lysosomal ion channel TRPML1 results in ATG8 conjugation to the lysosomal membrane independent of autophagy.

1155 (a) Western blot analysis of LC3 lipidation kinetics upon treatment with the mTOR inhibitor AZD8055 or the TRPML1 agonists MK6-83 (25uM) or C8 (2uM) for the indicated timepoints. (b) Time-lapse imaging 1156 1157 of GFP-LC3B in WT or ATG13 KO HEK2393T cells. Cells were treated with C8 (2uM) or AZD8055 (1uM). 1158 Still images at the indicated timepoints (min) are shown. (c) Western blot analysis of LC3 lipidation 1159 sensitivity to either BafA1 (100nM) or PIK-III (5uM). Cells were cotreated with the indicated compounds 1160 for 2hr. (d) Colocalization analysis of GFP-tagged ATG8 homologs with the lysosomal marker LAMP1-RFP 1161 in WT HEK293T cells after treatment with C8 (2uM). (e) Quantification of GFP-LC3B puncta number in 1162 WT or ATG13 KO HEK293T cells treated with AZD8055 (1uM) or C8 (2uM). (f) Quantification of acute GFP-LC3B colocalization with RFP-LAMP1 in WT and ATG13 KO HEK293T cells. (g) Immunofluorescence 1163 1164 analysis of GFP-LC3B puncta formation in WT HEK293T, ATG13_KO, ATG13/ATG16L1_DKO, and DKO 1165 cells rescued with either WT- or K490A-ATG16L1. Treatment with AZD8055 displayed puncta only in 1166 autophagy-competent cells, whereas the TRPML1 agonist treatment formed puncta in autophagydeficient cells dependent on the ability of ATG16L1 to interact with single membrane structures. (h) 1167 Ultrastructural CLEM, FIB-SEM analysis of GFP-LC3B positive cells in HEK293T cells of the indicated 1168 1169 genotype. CLEM representative images shown in optimal X/Y resolution. Zoom FIB-SEM images shown in 1170 X/Z plane. (i) Primary BMDM were treated with the indicated compounds for 1 hr and immunostained 1171 for LC3B and the lysosomal marker LAMP1. LC3 puncta generation with AZD8055 was preserved in both 1172 genotypes. (j) Diagram of SopF impairment of ATG16L1 recruitment by the vATPase. (k) Expression of 1173 SopF (+DOX) in HeLa cells blocks LC3A/B lipidation upon TRPML1 activation but does not impact

- autophagosome biogenesis stimulated by AZD8055.
- 1175

1176Figure 2. ATG16L1-dependent ATG8 conjugation to single membranes is required for TFEB activation1177and lysosomal biogenesis upon TRPML1 activation.

1178 (a) Western blot analysis of TFEB phosphorylation and active state reveals that TRPML1 activation of 1179 TFEB requires the vATPase. HeLa cells were treated with the indicated compounds for 90 min. (b) 1180 CRISPR knockout of the indicated genes in HeLa cells stably expressing Cas9. TRPML1 agonist induced 1181 TFEB activation in autophagy-deficient cells, yet was dependent upon the genes required for ATG8 conjugation. (c) TFEB activation by a TRPML1 agonist is sensitive to ATG16L1 KO or BafA1 cotreatment, 1182 1183 whereas neither of these conditions affects TFEB activation upon nutrient starvation. HeLa.CTRL KO and 1184 ATG16L1 KO cells were treated as indicated. (d) Western blot analysis of HeLa cells of the indicated 1185 genotype expressing variants of ATG16L1. TFEB activation was strictly dependent of the ability of the 1186 ATG16L1 C-terminal WD repeats to interact with single membranes. (e) Primary BMDM of the indicated 1187 genotype were treated with 2uM C8 for 1 hr and immunostained for endogenous TFEB localization. Nuclear localization was impaired in the ATG16L1^{K490A} cells. (f) Quantification of nuclear/cytosolic ratio 1188 of TFEB in primary macrophages of the indicated genotype upon C8 treatment. (g) RNAseq 1189 1190 transcriptomics profiling of genes induced by C8 compound stimulation for 24h in ATG16L1 KO and WT 1191 HeLa cells (expressing Cas9). The Venn diagram shows a large proportion (80%) of C8-induced genes 1192 that were also ATG16L1 dependent. The heatmap shows Log₂(CPM)-derived values for these genes, 1193 expressed as Z-transformed signed difference ratios (SDR) relative to their respective unstimulated 1194 baseline controls (either ATG16L1 KO or WT) and then scaled by normalizing to the maximum absolute 1195 deviation of each gene's expression level from the unstimulated control. Differentially induced genes

1196 were identified by having a fold change (FC) >1.5 and Benjamini and Hochberg's (BH)-adjusted p<0.05.

(h) Expression analysis of TFEB target gene panel, which was induced upon TRPML1 agonist (C8)

1198 treatment but not in TRPML1_KO and TFEB/TFE3_DKO cells, which were used to highlight specificity of

1199 response. RNAseq was performed in triplicates. (i) Prolonged treatment with TRPML1 agonist induces

1200 lysosomal biogenesis dependent on ATG16L1. U2OS cells of the indicated genotype were treated for 24

hours before staining of the cells with Lysotracker dye. Representative images shown. (j) Quantification

of Lysotracker staining organelle count after 24 hr treatment with the indicated compounds. Foldchange organelles per cell ± SD.

1204

Figure 3. GABARAP is required for TFEB activation and FLCN-FNIP sequestration upon acute TRPML1 stimulation.

1207 (a) Combinatorial CRISPR KO of ATG8 homologs in HeLa cells stably expressing Cas9. RAP_TKO = 1208 GABARAP, GABARAPL1, and GABARAPL2 knockout. LC3 TKO = LC3A, LC3B, and LC3C knockout. Western 1209 blot analysis of cells treated with TRPML1 agonist reveals GABARAP proteins are specifically required for 1210 TFEB activation. (b) GABARAP binds the FLCN-FNIP1 complex. HEK293T cells transiently transfected with 1211 the indicated constructs for 20hr before lysis and immunoprecipitation. (c) Interaction of GABARAP with 1212 FLCN-FNIP1 complex requires LIR binding motif of GABARAP. HEK293T cells transiently transfected with 1213 the indicated constructs for 20hr before lysis and immunoprecipitation. LIR binding motif (LBM) mutant 1214 = K39Q/Y40H/Q74E/F75L. (d) GABARAP MBP complexes with FLCN/FNIP2 over a Superose 6 column. Overlay of individual and complexed chromatograms. (e) GABARAP binds FLCN/FNIP2 with picomolar 1215 1216 affinity (300 pM K_D) in single cycle SPR, while LC3B does not show binding to FLCN/FNIP2. (f) GABARAP 1217 binds FLCN/FNIP2 with picomolar affinity in multi-cycle SPR. (g, h) GABARAP and (i, j) LC3B bind to the 1218 FIR domain of p62 with equivalent affinity (700 nM K_D) in multi-cycle SPR. (k) Immunofluorescence 1219 analysis of U2OS cells treated with TRPML1 agonist for 20 minutes. Images show colocalization between 1220 endogenous FLCN and LAMP1. (I) Western blot analysis of HeLa WT or GABARAP TKO membrane 1221 fractions after treatment with TRPML1 agonist for the indicated timepoints. (m) Western blot analysis of 1222 FLCN-FNIP1 membrane recruitment upon TRPML1 agonist treatment in LAMTOR1_KO cells. (n) 1223 Purification of lysosomes using LysoIP reveals acute GABARAP-dependent lysosomal FLCN-FNIP 1224 sequestration. U2OS cells of the indicated genotype were stably transduced with 3XHA-TMEM192 1225 expression construct. LysoIP was performed after treatment with C8 (2uM, 15 min).

1226

1227 Figure 4. GABARAP binds the FLCN-FNIP complex through a novel LIR motif-driven interface.

1228 (a) Overview of chemical footprinting assay. GEE and EDC label carboxyl groups of Asp/Glu residues. (b) 1229 Significant protection observed for 3 overlapping peptides in FNIP2. (c) Location of putative LIR domain 1230 within reported FLCN-FNIP2 CryoEM structure. GABARAP binds a region located in an unresolved 1231 disordered loop, distinct from the RagGTPase binding interface (purple). (d) Identified LIR domain is 1232 required for GABARAP-FNIP1 interaction. HEK293T cells transiently transfected with the indicated 1233 constructs for 20hr before lysis and immunoprecipitation. LIR mutation = Y583A/V586A. (e) Crystal 1234 structure of FNIP2-GABARAP fusion protein. HP1 pocket shaded in green, HP2 shaded in purple. FNIP2 1235 LIR motif forms a beta-sheet hairpin structure, with added interactions within the context of the hairpin 1236 N-terminal to the core LIR motif. (f) Representation of similarity between GABARAP and LC3B in the LIR docking site. (g) Competition SPR of immobilized FLCN/FNIP2 and GABARAP in solution with FLCN/FNIP1 1237 1238 protein and FNIP2 peptide competitors highlights the essential role of the LIR motif in driving the initial

- 1239 GABARAP-FLCN/FNIP2 interaction. Regions outside of the LIR result in stabilization and strengthening of
- 1240 the interaction. (h) Molecular interactions within the FNIP2 hairpin outside the core LIR motif. Key
- 1241 residues are underlined. (i) Sequence divergence of key underlined residues in LC3B.

1242

Figure 5. GABARAP-dependent sequestration of FLCN-FNIP complex is required to activate TFEB upon disruption of endolysosomal ion balance.

1245 (a) Reconstitution of FNIP1/2 double knockout (DKO) cells with either WT or LIR (LIR-mutant

- 1246 Y583A/V586A) FNIP1 reveals functional requirement of GABARAP interaction for TRPML1 agonist, but
- 1247 not EBSS, activation of TFEB. Western blot analysis of FNIP1 allele series treated with the indicated
- stimuli. I.e = long exposure. (b) Quantification of TFEB nuclear localization in WT or LIR expressing
- 1249 FNIP1/2_DKO HeLa cells treated with the indicated stimuli. Analysis was performed using high content
- 1250 imaging. Mean ± SD. Minimum of 1500 cells quantified per condition. C8 = 2uM. ML-SA5 = 1uM. (c)
- 1251 Functional TFEB response upon prolonged TRPML1 activation requires FNIP1 LIR domain. Western blot
- analysis of WT or LIR expressing FNIP1/2_DKO HeLa cells treated with the indicated stimuli. GPNMB is a
- 1253 validated TFEB/TFE3 transcriptional target. (d) Western blot analysis of membrane fractions from FNIP1
- allele series after acute treatment with TRPML1 agonist for the indicated timepoints.
- 1255

1256 Figure 6. GABARAP regulates TFEB activation through FLCN relocalization during selective autophagy. 1257 (a) Parkin is required for robust TFEB activation upon stimulation of mitophagy. HeLa.Cas9 or HeLa.Cas9 + Parkin cells were treated with the indicated compounds for 4 hr and analyzed by immunofluorescence 1258 1259 for endogenous TFEB. (b) GABARAPs, but not LC3s, are specifically required for TFEB transcriptional 1260 activity during mitophagy. HeLa cells expressing Parkin and CRISPR KO for the indicated ATG8 family 1261 members were treated with 0.78 uM valinomycin for 24 hr. (c) FNIP LIR motif is required for robust TFEB 1262 activation upon mitochondrial dysfunction. Cells of the indicated genotype were treated with mitophagy 1263 inducers for 24 hr. (d) Overview of proximity-based mitophagy induction model. Recruitment of p62 to 1264 mitochondria results in mitophagy independent of chemical disruption of mitochondrial function. (e) 1265 Quantification of mitophagy efficiency using the mKeima flow cytometry assay. U2OS cells expressing 1266 mKeima, FRB-p62, and FKBP-FIS1 were clonally isolated. Mitophagy = percentage of cells in the 1267 Keima_{acidic} quadrant. Keima_{acidic} signal can be fully blocked with BafA1 cotreatment. (f) Analysis of TFE3 1268 and FLCN subcellular localization upon dimerizer induced mitophagy in U2OS cells. Cells of the indicated genotype were stimulated with 25nM AP21967 (dimerizer) for 3 hr. TFE3 nuclear localization and FLCN 1269 1270 punctate structures are seen in CTRL and RB1CC1 KO (autophagy-deficient) cells but not in GABARAP_TKO cells. (g) Analysis of TFEB mobility shift upon challenge with WT or ΔsopF Salmonella. 1271 1272 HeLa cells were infected for 30 min with the indicated strain and lysates taken an the indicated 1273 timepoints post infection. (h) Immunofluorescence analysis of nuclear TFEB accumulation upon 1274 Salmonella infection. Cells were infected with the indicated strain as above and analyzed at 2 hours post 1275 infection (h.p.i.). (i) Quantification of TFEB nuclear localization. A minimum of 100 cells were quantified 1276 per condition. (j) GABARAPs are specifically required for TFEB activation upon infection. Cells of the 1277 indicated genotype were infected with Δ sopF Salmonella as above and analyzed at 2 h.p.i.. (k) 1278 Quantification of TFEB nuclear localization. A minimum of 100 cells were quantified per condition. (I) 1279 Analysis of TFEB transcriptional activity in cells of the indicated genotype at 10 h.p.i with Δ sopF 1280 Salmonella. GPNMB and RRAGD were used as core TFEB target genes. (m) Analysis of FLCN recruitment

to *Salmonella* vacuoles. Deletion of GABARAP proteins, but not LC3 family members, blocks therelocalization of FLCN.

1283

1284 1285 1286 1287 1288 1289 1290 1291 1292 1293 1294 1295 1296 1297 1298 1299	Figure 7. GABARAP-dependent membrane sequestration of the FLCN-FNIP complex represents a TFEB activation paradigm distinct from nutrient starvation. The FLCN-FNIP GAP complex critically regulates the mTOR-dependent phosphorylation and cytosolic retention of the TFEB/TFE3 transcription factors by promoting the GDP-bound state of RagC/D. GDP-bound RagC/D directly binds to and presents TFEB/TFE3 as a substrate to mTOR (center inset), as described previously. During nutrient starvation (A), recruitment of FLCN-FNIP to the lysosomal membrane helps form the lysosomal folliculin complex (LFC), which has reduced GAP activity towards RagC/D. This is coincident with mTORC1 inhibition. Independently of LFC formation, GABARAP proteins bind directly to the FLCN-FNIP complex and sequester it at diverse intracellular membranes (B). This membrane recruitment is required for TFEB activation in response to endolysosomal ion disruption (SMAC) and forms of selective autophagy (xenophagy and mitophagy). This suggests that FLCN-FNIP regulates cytosolic RagC-GTP and its sequestration on intracellular membranes reduces access to this substrate, allowing for nuclear retention of TFEB/TFE3 due to impaired Rag binding. Unlike (A), this novel TFEB activation pathway is permissive with mTORC1 activity. Subcellular redistribution of the FLCN-FNIP complex to both single and double membranes serves to broadly coordinate lysosomal capacity with homeostasis and perturbations within the endolysosomal network.
1300	
1301	
1302	
1303	
1304	
1305	
1306	
1307	
1308	
1309	
1310	
1311	
1312	
1313	
1314	
1315	

1316

1317

1318



bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.22.432209; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer revidew) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiva license to display the preprint in persetuity. It is made of the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiva license to display the preprint in persetuity. It is made of the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiva license to display the preprint in persetuity. It is made of the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiva license to display the preprint in persetuity. It is made of the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiva license.







C8



f DMSO C8 2.5 EB/DAPI Wild type 2.0 ns 1.5 1.0 1 0.5 K490A 0 + + Wild type K490A **Primary Macrophages**

g

а

80% of C8-induced genes are predominantly ATG16L1-dependent









g 12-FLCN/FNIP1 Protein WT Peptide (Short)
 WT Peptide (Long) 10-Y567A I570A Peptide (Short) Concentration of Free GABARAP (nM) Y567A I570A Peptide (Long) 8-6-4 2-1×10⁴ 0 1×10⁻² 1×10⁰ 1×10² 1×10⁶ [Competitor] (nM)

h GABARAP

K24 Y25 R28 E17 Y5 K48 i LC3B









υ	NU .	- VV	<u> </u>	DK	U +	LIR	mut	Cell line
DMSO	AZD8055	C8	ML-SA5	DMSO	AZD8055	C8	ML-SA5	Treatment 24hr
100	Ħ			1	ŧ	朝	H	GPNMB
-	-	-	-		-	-	-	TFEB
	-	-	-		-	-	-	TFEB I.e.
-	1	11	-	-		-	-	GABARAPL1-I GABARAPL1-II
-	_	-	-	-	-		-	LC3A/B-I LC3A/B-II
-	-	-	-			-	-	P-S6 S235
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	S6 total
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	GAPDH











(%)

cation

TFEB nuclear trans

20

LC3THO

ST.

RAPTICO





h









2 h.p.i.



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary movie S1. Time lapse imaging of GFP-LC3B puncta formation in HEK293T WT cells upon AZD8055 treatment. Images taken at 2 minute intervals. AZD8055 = 1uM.

Supplementary movie S2. Time lapse imaging of GFP-LC3B puncta formation in HEK293T WT cells upon C8 treatment. Images taken at 2 minute intervals. C8 = 2uM.

Supplementary movie S3. Reconstruction of FIB-SEM imaging in HEK293T WT cells upon AZD8055 treatment. Ultrastructural analysis of colocalization events HEK293T WT cells stably expressing GFP-LC3B and LAMP1-RFP were imaged by correlative light electron microscopy. Image compilation for zoomed ROI.

Supplementary movie S4. Reconstruction of FIB-SEM imaging in HEK293T ATG13_KO cells upon C8 treatment. Ultrastructural analysis of colocalization events HEK293T ATG13_KO cells stably expressing GFP-LC3B and LAMP1-RFP were imaged by correlative light electron microscopy. Image compilation for zoomed ROI.

Supplementary table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics (molecular replacement)

Supplementary table 2. Data collection, phasing and refinement statistics (MIR)

Supplementary table 3. Data collection, phasing and refinement statistics for MAD (SeMet) structures

Supplementary table 4. Rate constants for the modified peptides of FNIP2 and FLCN identified by carboxyl group footprinting.

Supplementary table 5. Rate constants for the three most modified residues in FNIP2.



FIGURE S1

Supplementary figure 1. TRPML1 agonists stimulate ATG8 lipidation in autophagy-deficient cells. (a) U2OS cells stably expressing Cas9 were deleted for the ULK1 complex component FIP200. Cells were then treated with the indicated compounds for 4 hr. P-ATG14 S29 and P-Beclin S30 are specific ULK1-dependent phosphorylation sites. (b) HeLa cells stably expressing Cas9 were deleted for the indicated autophagy components and then treated with compound C8 (2uM) for 3hr. Lipidation of the ATG8 homologs LC3A/B and GABARAPL1 could be observed irrespective of autophagosome biogenesis.

	0	N1_K	ICOL	A	CTRL_KO							
Timepoint (hr)	6	3	1	0	6	3	1	0				
LC3A/B-I LC3A/B-II	-			100	-	-	-					
GAPDH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

FIGURE S2

Supplementary figure 2. TRPML1 agonists induce rapid lipidation of LC3 through an on-target mechanism. CRISPR knockout of TRPML1 in HeLa cells stably expressing Cas9 abolishes LC3-II induction upon TRPML1 agonist (C8) treatment.



FIGURE S3

Supplementary figure 3. TRPML1 activation does not result in loss of the lysosomal pH gradient or membrane damage. (a) HeLa cells were treated for 60 min with the indicated compounds and stained with the pH-sensitive Lysotracker dye. BafA1 serves as a positive control. Representative images are shown. (b) HeLa cells were treated for 60 min with the indicated compounds, fixed, and stained for immunofluorescence analysis of Galectin-3 puncta. LLoMe (1mM) served as a positive control. Representative images are shown.



FIGURE S4

Supplementary figure 4. CRISPR knockout of the essential calcineurin (CaN) regulatory subunit PPP3R1 does not impact TFEB nuclear localization upon TRPML1 activation, yet does block activation of the canonical CaN substrate NFAT1 by ionomycin. (a) HeLa cells stably expressing Cas9 were knocked out for PPP3R1 using CRISPR. PPP3R1_KO_1 and PPP3R1_KO_2 represent pooled population derived from distinct gRNA sequences. PPP3R1_KO_combo represents cell infected with both gRNA sequences. Cells were treated with the indicated compounds for 3 hours, fixed, and stained for endogenous TFEB or NFAT1. Analysis was performed using high content imaging. Mean ± SD. Minimum of 1500 cells quantified per condition. (b) Dose response analysis of TFEB nuclear localization upon cotreatment with the CaN inhibitor FK-506. FK-506 did not impact nuclear TFEB upon TRPML1 agonist (C8) or AZD8055 treatment despite effective inhibition of CaN-mediated NFAT translocation upon ionomycin treatment. Cells were treated as in (A). Mean ± SD. Minimum of 1500 cells quantified per condition.



FIGURE S5

Supplementary figure 5. HeLa cells expressing inducible-SopF were treated with the indicated compounds for 3 hr. DOX induction of SopF was begun 24 hr prior to compound treatment. Mean ± SD. Minimum of 1500 cells quantified per condition.



FIGURE S6

Supplementary figure 6. BafA1 treatment or ATG16L1 knockout does not affect TRPML1-dependent calcium release. (a) Whole-cell calcium imaging in wild-type or TRPML1_KO HeLa cells upon dose-response treatment with C8. Mean ± SD plotted from three wells per datapoint. (b) BafA1 cotreatment (100nM) in wild-type HeLa cells upon dose response treatment with C8. Mean ± SD plotted from three wells per datapoint. (c) ATG16L1 knockout does not impact C8 induced calcium release. GPN treatment shown to examine total lysosomal calcium content. Mean ± SD plotted from three wells per datapoint.



FIGURE S7

Supplementary figure 7. Single membrane ATG8 conjugation is required for TRPML1 agonist induced nuclear localization of TFEB. RAW264.7 murine macrophages were genetically engineered to knockout endogenous ATG16L1 and reconstituted with either WT-ATG16L1 or K490A-ATG16L1. Cells were treated with the indicated compounds for 2 hours, fixed and stained for endogenous TFEB. Representative images are shown. AZD8055 effectively translocates TFEB in all lines, however the TRPML1 agonists only retain activity with competent ATG8 conjugation to single membranes.



FIGURE S8

Supplementary figure 8. Representation of ATG16L1-dependent differentially expressed genes upon treatment with C8. RNAseq transcriptomics profiling of genes induced by C8 compound stimulation for 24h in ATG16L1 KO and WT HeLa cells (expressing Cas9). The heatmap shows Log₂(CPM)-derived values for these genes, expressed as Z-transformed signed difference ratios (SDR) relative to their respective unstimulated baseline controls (either ATG16L1 KO or WT) and then scaled by normalizing to the maximum absolute deviation of each gene's expression level from the unstimulated control. Differentially induced genes were identified by having a fold change (FC) >1.5 and Benjamini and Hochberg's (BH)-adjusted p<0.05. Genes were partitioned based of fold change. Strongly induced genes >1.5 FC. Moderately induced genes 1.2-1.5 FC. Differentially induced genes were identified by having a Benjamini and Hochberg's (BH)-adjusted p<0.05. Pathway enrichment analysis shows lysosomal genes are significantly increased in the moderate fraction upon C8 treatment (2uM for 24hr).



FIGURE S9

Supplementary figure 9. GABARAP_MBP complexes with FLCN/FNIP2 over a Superose 6 column. (a) Size exclusion chromatography elution profile of GABARAP_MBP. **(b)** Size exclusion chromatography of Strep/Flag tagged FLCN/FNIP2. **(c)** Complexing and size exclusion profile of GABARAP_MBP and Strep/Flag_FLCN/FNIP2. **(d)** Overlay of individual and complexed chromatograms.



FIGURE S10

Supplementary figure 10. FLCN recruitment to lysosomes upon TRPML1 activation is sensitive to vATPase inhibition, unlike recruitment stimulated by nutrient starvation. (a) Representative images of FLCN/LAMP1 colocalization upon EBSS or TRPML1 agonist treatment. (b) Quantification of FLCN/LAMP1 colocalization. Organelle masks were established on the endogenous LAMP1 staining and the intensity ratio of endogenous FLCN in the organelle/cytoplasm was measured using high content imaging. Mean ± SD. Minimum of 1500 cells quantified per condition.

а



FIGURE S11

Supplementary figure 11. TRPML1 agonist regulates FLCN-FNIP membrane sequestration in LFC-deficient NPRL2_KO cells (const. RagA^{GTP}**). (a)** Western blot analysis of FLCN-FNIP1 membrane recruitment upon TRPML1 agonist treatment or EBSS starvation in NPRL2_KO cells.



FIGURE S12

Supplementary figure 12. FLCN loss of function results in TFEB nuclear accumulation in WT and NPRL2_KO cells. (a) Immunofluorescence of TFEB localization in HeLa cells of the indicated genotypes with or without nutrient starvation (EBSS). (b) Quantification of immunofluorescence data from Figure 4I. Cells were starved with EBSS for 60 minutes. Nuclear localization of endogenous TFEB was analyzed by immunofluorescence and high content imaging. Mean ± SD. Minimum of 1500 cells quantified per condition.



FIGURE S13

Supplementary figure 13. Forced lysosomal recruitment of FLCN activates TFEB/TFE3 in full nutrient conditions independent of mTOR. (a) U2OS cells stably expressing Cas9 were genetically engineered to knockout endogenous FLCN and reconstituted with either WT- or lysosome-targeted-FLCN (N-terminal 39aa of LAMTOR1 fused to FLCN N-terminus). Expression of WT-FLCN, but not lyso-FLCN, is able to rescue TFEB/TFE3 cytosolic retention. Mean ± SD. Minimum of 1500 cells quantified per condition. **(b)** FLCN status or localization does not impact mTOR signaling to canonical substrates in response to nutrient modulation, despite regulation of TFEB/TFE3 localization.



FIGURE S14

Supplementary figure 14. Constitutive RagD^{GDP}, **but not constitutive RagA**^{GTP}, **suppresses TFEB activation by TRPML1 agonist. (a)** Western blot analysis of HeLa WT or NPRL2_KO cells treated with the indicated stimuli. Constitutive RagA^{GTP} (LFC-deficiency) suppresses TFEB activation by starvation but not TRPML1 agonist. **(b)** Western blot analysis of HEK293T cells transiently expressing constitutively active RagGTPases (RagB^{GTP}/RagD^{GDP}) and treated with the indicated compounds for 1hr.



FIGURE S15

Supplementary figure 15. Mutation of FNIP1 LIR motif does not impact binding to FLCN. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with the indicated constructs 20 hr prior to immunoprecipitation.



FIGURE S16

Supplemental figure 16. The electron density for the region of FNIP2 is contoured at 1 sigma from the 2Fo-Fc map.

FNIP 2	1553	1554	1555	A556	L557	9 •••	K559	6560	-	V562		944	5565	-	1567	3500	.yaga)	1970	1571	∖v572	8573	N574	E575	P576	P577
FNIP 1		τ		T						R.							L			м	н	R	N	к	S
	X. ₁₄	X.,13	X.12	X.11	X.10	Х.9	X.8	X.,	X .6	X.5	X.4	X.3	X.,	X.,	x _o	X 1	X ₂	\mathbf{X}_{\exists}	Х4	X ₅	X _b	X7	X ₈	X.9	X ₁₀

FIGURE S17

Supplemental figure 16. Sequence conservation between FNIP1 and FNIP2 surrounding the identified LIR motif.

ENIP 2	K552.	1558	1554	1555	A558	1557	6558	1559	G560	1561	V562	2583	6584	\$585	1566	1567	V568	V569	1570	1571	95 72	R573	N574	F575	<u>8976</u> ;
GEE							*					3	Ň		•										
	К.12	X. ₁₄	X .13	× 12	×·11	x 10	x,g	X.B	×.7	×.	X.5	Χ.4	ĸa	x _z	x.	X ₀	×	×z	×s	х,	×5	x ₆	ж,	Хe	x _g
GAB ARAP							K24 Q25				K13 S16 K20	85 868 817		кан		121 KAE LSO F104	K46 V49 R67		P52 L55 L63	828					
LC38							0,26 H27					F7								K30					

FIGURE S18

Supplemental figure 17. The region spanning 552-576 of FNIP2 was crystallized in complex with GABARAP. Glutamates protected by GEE-labelling are indicated with asterisks. Side chain-mediated interactions on GABARAP are indicated (red, salt bridge; blue, side chain:side chain hydrogen bond; green, main chain: side chain hydrogen bond; black, hydrophobic interactions). Key amino acid differences with LC3B as highlighted in Figure 4G, H are listed.



FIGURE S19

Supplemental figure 18. (a) Monensin treatment stimulates FLCN-FNIP recruitment to membrane fractions independent of TRPML1. WT or TRPML1_KO HeLa cells were treated with the indicated compounds for 30 min and membrane fractions were analyzed by western blot with the indicated antibodies. C8 (2uM). Monensin (1uM). (b) TFEB nuclear localization upon monensin treatment requires FNIP1 LIR-mediated membrane sequestration. Representative images of TFEB nuclear localization upon treatment of the indicated cell lines with monensin (1uM) for 4 hr. (c) Quantification of TFEB nuclear localization upon treatment of the indicated cell lines for 4 hr with the annotated compounds. AZD8055 (2uM), C8 (2uM), ML-SA5 (1uM), MK6-83 (25uM), and monensin (1uM). Mean ± SD. Minimum of 1500 cells quantified per condition.
	Crystal 1	Crystal 2
Data collection		
Space group	P2(1)	
Cell dimensions		
a, b, c (Å)	75.91, 44.75, 78.4	8
α, β, γ (°)	90.0, 118.5, 90.0	
Resolution (Å)	39.4 – 1.80 (1.84 - 1.80) *	-
R _{sym} or R _{merge}	0.230 (0.716)	
l / σl	10.7 (2.0)	
Completeness (%)	97.5 (98.4)	
Redundancy	2.8 (2.7)	
Refinement		
Resolution (Å)	39.5 – 1.80	
No. reflections	39930	
Rwork / Rfree	0.229 / 0.273	
No. atoms		
Protein	3464	
Ligand/ion	0	
Water	408	
B-factors		
Protein	18.9	
Ligand/ion	0	
Water	35.2	
R.m.s. deviations		
Bond lengths (Å)	0.012	
Bond angles (°)	1.69	

Supplementary table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics (molecular replacement)

*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

Supplementary table 2. Data collection, phasing and refinement statistics (MIR)

	Crystal 1 name	Crystal 2 name
Data collection		
Space group		
Cell dimensions		
a, b, c (Å)		
α, β, γ (°)		
Resolution (Å)	##(high res shell) *	
R _{sym} or R _{merge}	##(high res shell)	
l / σl	##(high res shell)	
Completeness (%)	##(high res shell)	
Redundancy	##(high res shell)	
Refinement		
Resolution (Å)		
No. reflections		
Rwork / Rfree		
No. atoms		
Protein		
Ligand/ion		
Water		
B-factors		
Protein		
Ligand/ion		
Water		
R.m.s deviations		
Bond lengths (Å)		
Bond angles (°)		

^{*}Number of xtals for each structure should be noted in footnote. *Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

[AU: Equations defining various *R*-values are standard and hence are no longer defined in the footnotes.] [AU: Phasing data should be reported in Methods section.]

[AU: Ramachandran statistics should be in Methods section at end of Refinement subsection.]

[AU: Wavelength of data collection, temperature and beamline should all be in Methods section.]

Supplementary table 3. Data collection, phasing and refinement statistics for MAD (SeMet) structures

	Native	Crysta	1		Crystal 2		
		name			name		
Data collection							
Space group		comm	on #		common #		
Cell dimensions							
a, b, c (Å)		comm	common # commo		common #	mon #	
α, β, γ (°)		comm	on #		common #		
	Pe	ak Inflect	ion Remote	e Peak	Inflection	Remote	
Wavelength	#	#	#	#	#	#	
Resolution (Å)	#	#	#	#	#	#	
R _{sym} or R _{merge}	#	#	#	#	#	#	
l / σl	#	#	#	#	#	#	
Completeness (%)	#	#	#	#	#	#	
Redundancy	#	#	#	#	#	#	
Refinement							
Resolution (Å)		comm	on #		common #		
No. reflections							
Rwork / Rfree							
No. atoms							
Protein							
Ligand/ion							
Water							
B-factors							
Protein							
Ligand/ion							
Water							

R.m.s deviations

Bond lengths (Å)

Bond angles (°)

*Number of xtals for each structure should be noted in footnote. *Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

[AU: Equations defining various *R*-values are standard and hence are no longer defined in the footnotes.]

[AU: Phasing data should be reported in Methods section.]

[AU: Ramachandran statistics should be in Methods section at end of Refinement subsection.]

[AU: Wavelength of data collection, temperature and beamline should all be in Methods section.]

Location	Sequence FNIP2	Modification rate, x10 ⁻⁵ K _{free}	Modification rate, x10 ⁻⁵ <i>K</i> _{complex}	Ratio K _{free} /K _{complex}
31-42	EGPAFSWSCSEF	10.57 ± 0.49	11.97 ± 0.27	0.88
43-48	DLNEIR	42.46 ± 1.55	44.64 ± 0.57	0.95
72-79	IEEVTAQK	29.27 ± 8.45	32.94 ± 9.61	0.89
80-86	TEDVPIK	303 ± 29.97	370 ± 9.90	0.82
188-195	DSFEYINQ	$\textbf{78.69} \pm \textbf{1.06}$	168 ± 15.61	0.47
214-229	TGSNLAHSTPVDMPS R	203 ± 33.45	214 ± 1.21	0.95
273-285	SQTTSLENGIIPR	$\textbf{3.4}\pm\textbf{0.31}$	4.03 ± 0.07	0.84
289-295	DETFSLA	$\textbf{35.77} \pm \textbf{7.82}$	22.90 ± 8.20	1.56
296-307	EETCSSNPAMVR	15.03 ± 2.68	14.88 ± 1.32	1.01
331-346	DFFFSHFPLFESHMNR	$\textbf{3.44} \pm \textbf{0.20}$	2.40 ± 0.42	1.43
361-367	IAESSLR	1.30 ± 0.18	1.15 ± 0.24	1.13
375-383	LMEALGEFR	$\textbf{12.19} \pm \textbf{0.45}$	11.10 ± 0.83	1.10
395-410	IAEPVWLTMMSGTLEK	12.12 ± 3.47	10.92 ± 1.30	1.11
420-430	EFTLLIEQINK	$\textbf{1.25} \pm \textbf{0.027}$	1.58 ± 0.13	0.79
478-500	THPYNPLWAQLGDLY GAIGSPVR	85.95 ± 23.13	78.11 ± 22.65	1.10
527-544	CSELQENQLTWSGNH GEG	47.82 ± 6.56	65.90 ± 5.11	0.73
532-552	ENQLTWSGNHGEGD QVLNGSK	195 ± 40.93	206 ± 32.48	0.95
553-559	IITAL E K	$\textbf{6.29} \pm \textbf{0.24}$	3.69 ± 0.34	<mark>1.70</mark>
560-573	GEVEESEYVVITVR	36.46 ± 1.65	16.53 ± 1.79	<mark>2.21</mark>
564-573	ESEYVVITVR	8.02 ± 0.25	1.39 ± 0.074	<mark>5.77</mark>
574-590	NEPALVPPILPPTAAER	$\textbf{7.41} \pm \textbf{0.26}$	12.56 ± 0.47	0.59
591-605	HNPWPTGFPECPEGT	$\textbf{7.35} \pm \textbf{0.88}$	6.75 ± 0.34	1.09
609-616	DLGLKPDK	5.04 ± 0.45	4.26 ± 0.46	1.18
621-638	RPEQGSEACSAGCLG PAS	5.57 ± 0.66	5.24 ± 0.52	1.06
665-676	LPSCEVLGAGMK	7.52 ± 0.29	10.59 ± 1.92	0.71

			-	
678-687	DQQAVCELLK	48.23 ± 14.49	65.46 ± 4.15	0.74
698-706	SVAWPCPDR	42.21 ± 3.65	47.79 ± 4.67	0.88
710-716	EKPSLEK	$\textbf{382} \pm \textbf{40.31}$	$\textbf{370} \pm \textbf{36.95}$	1.03
717-729	VTFQIGSFASPES	11.46 ± 0.99	8.31 ± 0.57	1.38
717-734	VTFQIGSFASPESDFE SR	52.86 ± 5.04	$\textbf{38.99} \pm \textbf{2.35}$	1.36
744-755	ACGPSLEASEAA	40.86 ± 1.60	46.72 ± 1.40	0.87
770-782	PGFQENVCCPQNR	3.93 ± 0.94	3.48 ± 0.26	1.13
808-817	DIAGQLSHAA	0.34 ± 0.14	0.35 ± 0.07	0.97
808-832	DIAGQLSHAADLGTAS HGAGGTGGR	54.79 ± 1.58	92.09 ± 15.86	0.59
844-856	AAEGPVLEPVAPR	$\textbf{22.44} \pm \textbf{0.45}$	$\textbf{38.49} \pm \textbf{3.18}$	0.58
846-856	EGPVLEPVAPR	13.42 ± 0.69	18.06 ± 0.78	0.74
883-889	TEGDIPR	1260 ± 338	1441 ± 427	0.87
902-910	DEACASAML	$\textbf{23.86} \pm \textbf{4.40}$	27.51 ± 3.52	0.87
919-931	TGGSLEVELPLPR	4.34 ± 0.56	$\textbf{4.67} \pm \textbf{0.15}$	0.93
924-931	EVELPLPR	$\textbf{7.31} \pm \textbf{0.59}$	6.84 ± 0.33	1.07
958-969	DLVLHGTGSDEK	58.42 ± 7.96	$\textbf{78.14} \pm \textbf{11.01}$	0.75
972-987	QCLVADLVHTVHHPVL	$\textbf{74.92} \pm \textbf{2.41}$	111 ± 13.84	0.67
988-999	DEPIAEAVCIIA	9.97 ± 0.96	12.84 ± 1.01	0.78
1021-1043	LGQDVLVSSQVSSLLQ SILQLYK	13.34 ± 1.42	14.08 ± 2.05	0.95
1049-1056	DFCIMHLE	0.61 ± 0.074	0.45 ± 0.13	1.36
1068-1074	MLSEYLR	1.41 ± 0.01	1.69 ± 0.30	0.83
1083-1093	ELGVVLGIESN	13.91 ± 1.35	13.03 ± 1.50	1.07
1094-1114	DLPLLTAIASTHSPYVA QILL	2.56 ± 0.33	2.10 ± 0.35	1.22

Location	Sequence FLCN	Modification rate, x10⁻⁵ <i>K</i> free	Modification rate, x10 ⁻⁵ <i>K</i> _{complex}	Ratio K _{free} /K _{complex}
20-34	TLFCTEVLHAPLPQG	$\textbf{0.83}\pm\textbf{0.14}$	1.23 ± 0.07	0.67
25-38	EVLHAPLPQGDGNE	113 ± 11.4	137 ± 18.73	0.82
49-59	EEEGGIQMNSR	69.80 ± 6.38	68.05 ± 3.61	1.03
62-79	AHSPAEGASVESSSP GPK	5.16 ± 0.55	7.44± 0.80	0.69
67-79	EGASVESSSPGPK	5.05 ± 0.14	5.16 ± 0.37	0.98
89-102	SLAAGHPGYISHDK	4.66 ± 1.43	5.70 ± 2.02	0.82
130-139	SLSCEVCPGR	204 ± 2.30	162 ± 7.49	1.26
140-146	EGPIFFG	85.94 ± 16.09	82.97 ± 13.13	1.04
147-161	DEQHGFVFSHTFFIK	$\textbf{2.29} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	$\textbf{2.40} \pm \textbf{0.15}$	0.95
167-194	GFQRWYSIITIMMDRIY LINSWPFLLGK	38.86 ± 5.89	33.42 ± 7.61	1.16
171-194	WYSIITIMMDRIYLINS WPFLLGK	2.05 ± 0.42	1.53 ± 0.48	1.34
197-205	GIIDELQGK	1181 ± 689	1131 ± 0.48	1.04
209-220	VFEAEQFGCPQR	$\textbf{35.97} \pm \textbf{2.24}$	33.46 ± 4.46	1.08
249-265	DDNLWACLHTSFAWL LK	$\textbf{2.25}\pm\textbf{0.46}$	3.25 ± 1.02	0.69
275-290	LLEGAPTEDTLVQMEK	238 ± 50.66	166 ± 21.21	1.43
283-290	DTLVQMEK	13.84 ± 1.04	9.74 ± 0.71	1.42
293-301	DLEEESESW	131 ± 7.98	123 ± 4.87	1.07
312-322	APVLPESTEGR	12.93 ± 0.14	16.25 ± 0.72	0.80
323-343	ELTQGPAESSSLSGC GSWQPR	12.14 ± 0.20	11.43 ± 0.65	1.06
380-394	SRDVDLVQSAFEVLR	$\textbf{3.16} \pm \textbf{0.50}$	3.91 ± 1.03	0.81
382-390	DVDLVQSAF	199 ± 18.63	215 ± 51.25	0.93
382-394	DVDLVQSAFEVLR	6.64 ± 2.62	6.67 ± 0.95	0.95
404-412	IIPYSSQYE	$\textbf{35.43} \pm \textbf{2.98}$	35.79 ± 1.88	0.99
449-457	STLHPVGCE	$\textbf{4.76} \pm \textbf{0.74}$	4.02 ± 0.19	1.18
449-458	STLHPVGCED	$\textbf{7.03} \pm \textbf{1.69}$	8.07 ± 1.0	0.87

465-477	YEFVVTSGSPVAA	5.04 ± 0.56	5.59 ± 0.46	0.90
465-479	YEFVVTSGSPVAADR	224 ± 27.23	184 ± 24.36	1.22
488-499	IEAALTNQNLSV	1.42 ± 0.20	1.66 ± 0.18	0.86
500-510	DVVDQCLVCLK	221 ± 9.19	207 ± 19.21	1.31
511-516	EEWMNK	9.22 ± 1.74	8.34 ± 1.06	1.11
537-546	LLSILGASEE	15.28 ± 1.95	16.87 ± 1.75	0.91
581-587	NLEVLFQ	17.67 ± 2.39	20.87 ± 3.21	0.85

TABLE S4

Supplementary table 4. Rate constants for the modified peptides of FNIP2 and FLCN identified by carboxyl group Footprinting.

The highest protection ratios (R) are highlighted in yellow for peptides derived from (a) FNIP2 and (b) FLCN. Modestly protected peptides are highlighted in grey. Three peptides from FNIP2 that cover 553-559, 560-573 and 564-573 exhibited the highest protection ratios of 1.70, 2.21 and 5.77, respectively, while two peptides covering 286-295 and 331-346 showed more modest protection ratios of 1.56 and 1.43, respectively. For FLCN, two peptides covering 275-290 and 283-290 showed modest protection ratios of 1.43 and 1.42, respectively. MS data from one replicate experiment were used to calculate Kvalues for each peptide. The overall fit results for all detected peptides within (a) FNIP2 and (b) FLCN are shown. Peptide locations and their corresponding sequences are shown in columns 1 and 2. The third and fourth columns denote the K values for free FLCN/FNIP2 (K_{free}) and the complex with GABARAP (K_{complex}), respectively. The fifth column shows the ratio, $R = K_{free}/K_{complex}$. For a given peptide, R<1 suggests that the corresponding region experienced a gain in solvent accessibility due to structural changes introduced during complex formation. A R value close to 1 indicates that the solvent accessibility of the region remains unchanged, while a R>1 suggests that the corresponding region exhibits protection from the solvent as a function of the complex formation. The R values for all of the peptides (column five) fell between 0.47 and 5.77 with a mean value of 1.06 and a median value of 0.95. The histogram of the distribution of R values (Fig. 3B) for all peptides also indicated that a majority of the peptides within FLCN/FNIP2 exhibited changes in modification upon complex formation close to 1. Using a strategy similar to one used in metabolomics to correct for non-biological variations between samples (mean scaling, division by central tendency), we used the average of the mean and the median to normalize the ratios to 1. A normalization factor for these studies was calculated to be 1 = ((1.05+0.95)/2).

Location	Modified Residues FNIP2	Modification rate, x10 ⁻⁵ <i>K</i> free	Modification rate, x10 ⁻⁵ <i>K</i> _{complex}	Ratio K _{free} /K _{complex}
553-559	E558	$\textbf{6.29} \pm \textbf{0.24}$	3.69 ± 0.34	<mark>1.70</mark>
560-573	E561&E564	21.19 ± 0.69	7.36 ± 1.11	<mark>2.88</mark>
	E561	$\textbf{6.17} \pm \textbf{0.56}$	5.53 ± 1.01	1.12
	E563	1.32 ± 0.32	0.44 ± 0.087	<mark>3.00</mark>
564-573	E566	8.02 ± 0.25	1.39 ± 0.074	<mark>5.77</mark>

TABLE S5

Supplementary table 5. Rate constants for the three most modified residues in FNIP2.

Residues E558, E564, E563 and E566 (within peptides 553-559, 560-573 and 564-573) showed the highest protection of 1.70, 2.88, 3.0 and 5,77, respectively, upon complex formation Peptides were derived from trypsin/Asp-N digestion.