# **1 Seasonal Dynamics in the Number and Composition of Coliform**

# 2 Bacteria in Drinking Water Reservoirs

- 3 Carolin Reitter<sup>a</sup>, Heike Petzoldt<sup>b</sup>, Andreas Korth<sup>b</sup>, Felix Schwab<sup>a</sup>, Claudia Stange<sup>a</sup>, Beate
- 4 Hambsch<sup>a</sup>, Andreas Tiehm<sup>a</sup>, Ilias Lagkouvardos<sup>c</sup>, Johannes Gescher<sup>d,e</sup>, Michael Hügler<sup>a\*</sup>

5

- <sup>a</sup> TZW: DVGW-Technologiezentrum Wasser (German Water Center), Karlsruher Str. 84,
  76139, Karlsruhe, Germany
- 8 <sup>b</sup> TZW: DVGW-Technologiezentrum Wasser (German Water Center), Wasserwerkstr. 2.
- 9 01326, Dresden, Germany
- <sup>c</sup> ZIEL Institute for Food & Health, Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany.
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Applied Biology, Institute for Applied Biosciences, Karlsruhe Institute of
   Technology (KIT), Fritz-Haber-Weg 2, 76131, Karlsruhe, Germany.
- <sup>e</sup> Institute for Biological Interfaces, Biomolecular Micro- and Nanostructures, Karlsruhe Institute
- 14 of Technology, Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

15

16 \* Corresponding author. E-Mail address: <u>michael.huegler@tzw.de</u> (M. Hügler)

#### 17 Abstract

18 Worldwide, surface waters like lakes and reservoirs are one of the major sources for drinking 19 water production, especially in regions with water scarcity. In the last decades, they have 20 undergone significant changes due to climate change. This includes not only an increase of 21 the water temperature but also microbiological changes. In recent years, increased numbers 22 of coliform bacteria have been observed in these surface waters. In our monitoring study we 23 analyzed two drinking water reservoirs (Klingenberg and Kleine Kinzig Reservoir) over a two-24 year period in 2018 and 2019. We detected high numbers of coliform bacteria up to  $2.4 \times 10^4$ 25 bacteria per 100 ml during summer months, representing an increase of four orders of 26 magnitude compared to winter. Diversity decreased to one or two species that dominated the 27 entire water body, namely Enterobacter asburiae and Lelliottia spp., depending on the 28 reservoir. Interestingly, the same, very closely related strains have been found in several 29 reservoirs from different regions. Fecal indicator bacteria Escherichia coli and enterococci 30 could only be detected in low concentrations. Furthermore, fecal marker genes were not 31 detected in the reservoir, indicating that high concentrations of coliform bacteria were not due 32 to fecal contamination. Microbial community revealed Frankiales and Burkholderiales as 33 dominant orders. Enterobacterales, however, only had a frequency of 0.04% within the 34 microbial community, which is not significantly affected by the extreme change in coliform 35 bacteria number. Redundancy analysis revealed water temperature, oxygen as well as 36 nutrients and metals (phosphate, manganese) as factors affecting the dominant species. We 37 conclude that this sudden increase of coliform bacteria is an autochthonic process that can be considered as a mass proliferation or "coliform bloom" within the reservoir. It is correlated to 38 39 higher water temperatures in summer and is therefore expected to occur more frequently in 40 the near future, challenging drinking water production.

# 41 Keywords

42 coliform bacteria; drinking water reservoir; mass proliferation; climate change; *Enterobacter*,
43 *Lelliottia*

# 44 **1. Introduction**

Worldwide, surface water is a major source for drinking water production, estimated to cover 45 approx. 50% of the worldwide need (WHO, 2016). Reservoirs or dams are built to store surface 46 47 water to guarantee the availability of water for drinking water production, especially in regions 48 with water scarcity. In the following, we refer to such dams and reservoirs used for the production of drinking water as "drinking water reservoirs". In Germany about 12% of drinking 49 water supply is covered by the use of surface water from lakes and reservoir waterworks 50 51 (Statistisches Bundesamt, 2018). Regionally, this proportion is much higher, like e.g. in Saxony 52 and Thuringia with about 50%.

53 Reservoirs are created by damming a river in a valley, usually with a pre-reservoir to withhold 54 the coarsest dirt. From a limnologic point of view, reservoirs can be regarded as a mixture of 55 lake and river. The closer to the dam, the more lacustrine the reservoir gets. In comparison to 56 many lakes, the water retention time is considerably shorter. Generally, stratification is 57 observed in summer. Temperature uniformity on the one hand and wind on the other hand 58 ensure a mixing in spring and autumn. In winter, inverse stratification can occur. Stratification 59 influences the distribution of substances in the reservoir, as mixing of water bodies no longer 60 occurs. As a consequence oxygen consumption in the hypolimnion can lead to the solution of 61 metals (e.g. manganese) and nutrients from the sediment.

62 Within the last decades, reservoirs have undergone significant changes due to climate change. 63 One of the main factors is the temperature. In lakes, water temperature has increased around 64 0.1 to 1.5 °C in the last 40 years (Bates et al., 2008). Even the cold hypolimnetic water shows an increase in temperature of about 0.1 to 0.2 °C per decade (Dokulil et al., 2006). 65 66 Temperature influences many physicochemical parameters and globally leads to an increase 67 in pH and a decrease of dissolved gases like oxygen in deeper layers (Bates et al., 2008; 68 Delpla et al., 2009). Furthermore, due to global warming, the stratification period in lakes in the 69 Northern Hemisphere has lengthened by 2 to 3 weeks and thermal stability has increased

70 (Bates et al., 2008) due to an earlier warming-up of the water and a decreased ice coverage
71 in winter (Magnuson et al., 2000).

72 Unlike groundwater, that is protected by overlying soils, surface waters are vulnerable to 73 various contaminations and often show microbial fecal contamination that impacts drinking 74 water production or recreational activities. Rivers and streams are widely used as receiving 75 waters for wastewater treatment plant effluents, and rivers, as well as lakes and reservoirs, 76 are influenced by diffuse pollution like e.g. agricultural runoffs (Kirschner et al., 2017; 77 Kistemann et al., 2002). Fecal pollution poses potential health risks to humans, therefore 78 microbiological monitoring is essential in assessing the water quality of reservoirs used for 79 drinking water production (Kistemann et al., 2002; Paruch et al., 2019).

80 The hygienic-microbiological water guality of drinking water, raw water for drinking water 81 production and recreational water is examined using bacterial indicators such as coliform 82 bacteria. Escherichia coli (E. coli) and enterococci (European Community, 1998). Especially 83 the detection of the latter two indicates a possible fecal contamination. This is a serious health issue as it indicates the potential presence of pathogens. For coliform bacteria, this concept 84 85 has been relativized as this heterogeneous group of Enterobacteriacaea also occurs in the 86 environment, like e.g. water, plants and soil, thus their hygienic relevance is still under debate 87 (Ashbolt et al., 2001; Leclerc et al., 2001; Octavia and Lan, 2014; Stevens et al., 2003; WHO, 88 2017).

In order to be able to operate reservoir water treatment in a natural, stable and economical way, it is necessary to provide raw water, which means the water used for drinking water production, with the lowest possible chemical and microbiological contamination. For this purpose, appropriate protection and management concepts for the catchment areas and raw water resources are in place. Despite the implementation of the proven management concepts, changes in the raw water quality occur, which pose considerable challenges for the treatment process.

96 In recent years, high densities of coliform bacteria have repeatedly been observed in drinking 97 water reservoirs and lakes (Davis et al., 2005; Exner et al., 2005; Freier et al., 2005; Packroff 98 and Clasen, 2005). The observed high concentrations of coliform bacteria (occasionally above 99 10<sup>4</sup> per 100 ml) led to uncertainty for water suppliers and represented a challenge for drinking 100 water treatment. Water works treating reservoir water often rely on classical treatment 101 technologies like flocculation and filtration and final disinfection with chlorine or chlorine 102 dioxide. According to the German recommendations, treated water should be free from 103 indicator bacteria prior to final disinfection. With very high concentrations of coliform bacteria 104 in the raw water, these requirements cannot always be fulfilled.

High numbers of coliform bacteria occur mainly in summer, which means at a time when high water temperatures prevail. As possible factors, besides water temperature, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and oxygen content have been discussed (Exner et al., 2005; Freier et al., 2005; Kämpfer et al., 2008; Packroff and Clasen, 2005). In order to clarify the question of the cause of high concentrations of coliform bacteria, it is important to understand the ecology in a reservoir and the changes they have undergone in recent years due to climate change.

111 Therefore, the aim of our study was to examine the phenomenon of the "coliform blooms" in 112 drinking water reservoirs in Germany. For this reason, microbial indicator bacteria like coliform 113 bacteria, E. coli and enterococci that occur in drinking water reservoirs were quantified and 114 afterwards identified using Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass 115 spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) and Multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA). Especially E. coli 116 and enterococci as fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) indicate a potential fecal contamination, which 117 may involve a risk to public health. Microbial source tracking (MST) methods were applied in 118 order to identify the origin of fecal contaminations. In addition, total cell counts (TCC) and the 119 microbiome were analyzed, to view the results in context with the whole microbial community.

#### 120 2. Material and methods

#### 121 **2.1. Study side and sampling**

Sampling was carried out between April 2018 and December 2019 in two drinking water
reservoirs in Germany (Klingenberg Reservoir in Saxony and Kleine Kinzig Reservoir in
Baden-Württemberg). The main characteristics of the reservoirs are summarized in Table 1.

125 For the monitoring study, the surface water and raw water of the reservoirs was sampled on a 126 two to four weeks basis. For Kleine Kinzig Reservoir sampling was carried out at a tower 127 located 151 m away from the dam wall in the lake. This tower consist of seven sampling points 128 for the measurement of the depth profile between ground level (544 m above sea level (ASL)) 129 and the surface 55 m higher (599.3 m ASL). The withdrawal height of the raw water varies 130 according to the seasons and physical conditions. During measuring period the withdrawal 131 height was between 20 and 30 m above ground. Only in January 2019 the withdrawal height 132 was higher (45.3 m above ground) due to high turbidity contents in the deeper water. Surface 133 water was sampled in the highest possible withdrawal floor, which differed due to the water 134 level between 45.3 m to 55.3 m above ground.

In Klingenberg Reservoir sampling spots are directly at the dam wall and consist of six sampling steps from nearly ground level (360.2 m ASL) up to the surface with 25.3 m (385 m ASL). The extraction height of raw water was 50 cm above ground throughout the entire series of measurements. Surface water was extracted at the highest withdrawal point 25.3 m above ground. For Klingenberg Reservoir it was also possible to monitor the main inflow (Wilde Weißeritz). With these water samples microbiological analysis and identification of bacteria were conducted as described in the next section.

In order to answer the question for parameters that influence the variability of coliform bacteria within the reservoir, a sampling campaign was carried out at Klingenberg Reservoir in June and September 2018, during which surface water of different points in the reservoir, the depth profile and all inflows were sampled as described below. In addition, 2 L water samples were 146 collected from selected sites to investigate fecal markers as well as the microbial community.
147 For the Kleine Kinzig Reservoir, water samples for fecal markers and microbial community
148 were taken during sampling campaigns in July and December 2019 from the depth profile.
149 These samples were pretreated and DNA was extracted as described below.

High concentrations of coliform bacteria have been observed in many drinking water reservoirs and lakes in Germany. Therefore, in addition to the two reservoirs intensively sampled in this study, coliform bacteria were also quantified and identified from other reservoirs and lakes when high densities occurred. In these cases, the responsible water suppliers provided either water samples or isolates. Those samples were treated as described later and isolates were identified using MALDI-TOF MS and MLSA (see below). The following surface waters were examined: Lake Constance, Rappbode Reservoir, Breitenbach Reservoir and Söse Reservoir.

157 Meteorological data (air temperature, precipitation, wind speed) were measured during the 158 complete period of monitoring on a daily basis. Furthermore, physical and chemical 159 parameters were documented according to the German regulations for water, sewage, and 160 sludge analysis (Wasserchemische Gesellschaft and Deutsches Institut für Normung e.V., 161 2020). For measurement of on-site parameters (oxygen, temperature, conductivity) 162 multiparameter probes were used (SEBA Hydrometrie GmbH & Co. KG, Kaufbeuren, 163 Germany, at Kleine Kinzig Reservoir and Sea&Sun Technology GmbH, Trappenkamp, 164 Germany, at Klingenberg Reservoir). For better comparison, only values from the depth profile 165 of the reservoir were used. This was not the case for chlorophyll *a* in the Kleine Kinzig 166 Reservoir, as this parameter was only measured in the mixed sample of the reservoir.

#### 167 2.2. Enumeration and identification of bacteria

#### 168 2.2.1. Microbiological methods

Coliform bacteria and *E. coli* were quantified in 100 ml water sample using the most probable
 number (MPN) method Colilert<sup>®</sup>-18/Quanti-Tray<sup>®</sup> (IDEXX Laboratories, Westbrook, USA)
 according to ISO 9308-2 (2012). For isolation of the coliform bacteria, the wells of the Quanti-

Tray<sup>®</sup> were opened with a sterile scalpel. The liquid was transferred on heterotrophic plate count agar plates (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), recommended by German regulations (Deutsches Einheitsverfahren, DEV), with a sterile inoculation loop in order to gain a pure culture. Agar plates were incubated overnight at 36 °C. For identification 10 to 30 isolates per sample were isolated and analyzed with MALDI-TOF MS.

The culture-based detection of enterococci in 100 ml water sample was carried out according to ISO 7899-2 (2000). Additionally, heterotrophic plate counts (HPC) were conducted in 1 ml water sample at 22 °C and 36 °C as described in the German drinking water directive (Drinking Water Ordinance, 2001).

To measure total cell count (TCC), together with high (HNA) and low (LNA) nucleic acid content bacteria, flow cytometry was used (Hammes et al., 2008; Prest et al., 2013). Water samples were diluted in filtered (0.2 µm, Pall Corporation, New York, USA) nuclease-free water (gibco<sup>®</sup> life technologies<sup>™</sup>, Carlsbad, USA), incubated in the dark with SYBR-Green I (Life Technologies, Eugene, USA, 10,000x in DMSO) for 10 minutes at 37 °C and analyzed with Bio-Rad S3 Cell Sorter (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., California, USA) and ProSort<sup>™</sup> Software using green fluorescence as a trigger.

# 188 2.2.2. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry 189 (MALDI-TOF MS)

190 For the identification of bacterial isolates MALDI-TOF MS was used. Bacterial isolates were 191 picked from DEV agar plates and transferred onto a spot of a polished steel target. Protein 192 extraction was performed with 1 µl of 70% formic acid and then air-dried. The spots were 193 covered with 1 μl of a saturated solution of α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (Bruker Daltonics 194 GmbH, Bremen, Germany) solved in 50% acetonitrile and 2.5% trifluoroacetic acid and again 195 air-dried. The plate was applied to the Microflex LT (Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Bremen, 196 Germany) instrument and the spectra were measured according to manufacturer's instructions 197 (Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Bremen, Germany). For identification MALDI Biotyper and 198 flexControl software were used.

199 Identification of coliform bacteria with MALDI-TOF MS is often very difficult as they are closely 200 related and MALDI database is not designed for environmental bacteria. Therefore, the 201 database was expanded to ensure accurate identification. Strains, previously identified via 202 MLSA, were added to the database according to manufacturer's instructions.

#### 203 2.2.3. Multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA)

204 Selected isolates were identified at the molecular level via MLSA. For DNA extraction bacterial 205 material was transferred into 50 µl sterile water and then heated for 10 min at 95 °C. After 206 cooling for at least 90 min at - 20 °C, DNA was used for further analysis. MLSA of the genes 207 atpD, infB, gyrB and rpoB was performed according to Brady et al. (2013). Amplified PCR 208 products were visualized using QIAxcel® Advanced System (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and 209 purified by High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) according to 210 manufacturer's instructions. Purified DNA was sequenced by StarSEQ<sup>®</sup> GmbH (Mainz, 211 Germany). Phylogenetic analysis was conducted with MEGA7 Version 7.0.26 (Kumar et al., 212 2016), using Maximum-Likelihood method and bootstrap values based on 1000 replications.

#### 213 **2.3. Bacterial community composition and microbial source tracking**

# 214 **2.3.1.** Sample pretreatment and DNA extraction

Water samples (n = 31) for MST and analysis of microbial community were concentrated using membrane filtration (0.2 µm Supor<sup>®</sup>-200 membranes, 47 mm diameter, Pall Corporation, New York, USA). To analyze the fecal markers and the microbial community, total DNA was extracted from the membranes using the FastDNA<sup>™</sup> SPIN Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. DNA quality was evaluated using NanoDrop (Implen, München, Germany).

# 221 **2.3.2.** Quantification of microbial source tracking markers (MST)

222 Microbial source tracking (MST) is a method to test water samples for a potential fecal pollution 223 and their origin. It is based on the fact that specific microorganisms are associated with a 224 specific host (Hagedorn et al., 2011). Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) of 16S rRNA Bacteroidales was conducted according to Stange et al. (2019) to identify human, ruminant
and pig specific fecal contaminations within the water samples (n = 31), as well as to estimate
total amount of fecal contamination from a range of mammals (Layton et al., 2006). Amplified
PCR-products were verified using QIAxcel<sup>®</sup> Advanced System (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).
Efficiency of PCR was between 90 and 105% with a R<sup>2</sup> value from 0.99. Limit of quantification
(LOQ) was 10 copies per reaction.

# 231 2.3.3. Analysis of microbial community

232 For microbiome analysis the V3/V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified and sequenced 233 at Core Facility Microbiome (Freising, Germany) using Illumina MiSeq® Next Generation 234 Sequencing System. Raw sequence data (n = 869.073) were demultiplexed using Perl script, 235 provided with IMNGS (Lagkouvardos et al., 2016). For further analysis the IMNGS pipeline 236 was used, which implements UPARSE algorithm from the USEARCH8 package (Edgar, 2010, 237 2013). Sequences were clustered *de novo* to operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with 97% 238 similarity and a relative abundance of  $\geq$  0.25%. After filtering and checking for chimeras, 239 504,886 sequences were used for further analysis. Taxonomic classification was carried out 240 using SINA 1.2.11 with a minimum identity query of at least 90% (Pruesse et al., 2012). A 241 Neighbor-Joining tree with 100 bootstraps was conducted using MEGA7 Version 7.0.26 242 (Kumar et al., 2016). For the analysis of microbial profile the R script Rhea was used 243 (Lagkouvardos et al., 2017).

# 244 2.4. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analysis were conducted with R (Ihaka and Gentleman, 1996; R Core Team, 246 2019). Graphics were computed with the packages *ggplot2* (Wickham, 2016) and *ggpubr* 247 (Kassambara, 2020). To determine statistical significant differences Mann-Whitney-U-test was 248 performed.

For plotting the physicochemical parameters bilinear interpolation was conducted using the akima package (Akima and Gebhardt, 2016). The relation between physicochemical parameters and coliform bacteria were revealed by the redundancy analysis (RDA) using *zoo*package (Zeileis and Grothendieck, 2005) for linear interpolation of the data and *vegan*package (Oksanen et al., 2019). *R*<sub>2</sub> was adjusted using Ezekiel's formula (Ezekiel, 1930) to
measure the unbiased amount of explained variation as described by Borcard et al. (2018).
ANOVA like permutation test for RDA was performed using *vegan* package (Oksanen et al., 2019) with 999 permutations.

Alpha diversity was estimated using Shannon and Simpson index, where the latter adds more weight to abundant species. The indices were then transformed to effective number of species (Jost, 2006, 2007). Beta diversity examines differences between microbial profiles. Therefore generalized Unifrac distances were calculated using the package *GUniFrac* (Chen, 2018). For visualization a nonparametric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plot was conducted using the packages *vegan* and *ade4* (Dray and Dufour, 2007; Oksanen et al., 2019).

#### 263 **3. Results**

#### 264 **3.1. Meteorological data**

265 For this study, the two reservoirs were monitored and regularly sampled for two seasons in 266 2018 and 2019. The main characteristics of the two drinking water reservoirs sampled in this 267 study are summarized in Table 1. The first year of the monitoring study was characterized by 268 major storms in the first quarter of the year, followed by a dry and hot summer. It was the 269 warmest year in Germany since weather records began in 1881 with an average temperature 270 of 10.5 °C and one of the years with the least precipitation (DWD, 2018). For the Kleine Kinzig 271 Reservoir, a mean air temperature of 9.7 °C was measured for the catchment area (Table 2). 272 This represents an increase of 3.1 °C above the long-term average. Precipitation was 14% 273 lower than the years before, but still amounted to 1454 l/m<sup>2</sup> in 2018. In Klingenberg mean air 274 temperature was 9.2 °C. Total precipitation amounted to 536 l/m<sup>2</sup>, which is significant lower 275 than at the Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (p < 0.001). The second year, 2019, was again hot and dry. 276 With 690 l/m<sup>2</sup> the precipitation was slightly higher in 2019 compared to 2018 (536 l/m<sup>2</sup>) at 277 Klingenberg Reservoir, while the average air temperature (9.3 °C) was very similar to 2018. In 278 the Kleine Kinzig Reservoir, mean air temperature was 9.1 °C. Total precipitation was 279 1940 l/m<sup>2</sup>, which is in the usual range for this area.

#### 280 **3.2. Physicochemical parameters**

During the whole investigation period, physicochemical parameters were analyzed. An overview is given in Table 2 for both reservoirs. Selected parameters (temperature, pH, O<sub>2</sub> content) are shown in Fig. 1.

The two reservoirs were selected since they are comparable in size, but differ in other parameters and represent a typical mesotrophic (Klingenberg) and oligotrophic (Kleine Kinzig) drinking water reservoir in Germany. Significant differences can be observed regarding their metal and nutrient content (Table 2). For example, TOC, nitrate as well as silicate content is higher in Klingenberg Reservoir (p < 0.001), whereas total manganese and dissolved iron content is higher in Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (p < 0.01). Furthermore, Kleine Kinzig Reservoir</li>
as a humic material shaped reservoir has a higher SAK (254 nm).

The two reservoirs did not only differ with regard to the nutrient level, but also in water temperature. Klingenberg Reservoir is noticeably warmer with a mean water temperature 2 to 3 °C higher than in Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (Table 2), mainly due to higher temperatures in the deeper water layers (Fig. 1) (p < 0.001).

Stratification duration is another difference between the two reservoirs, caused by the differences in water temperature. For both reservoirs and years, stratification started in April, but it stopped much earlier in Klingenberg with the beginning of October, when temperature uniformity was reached and all layers had high water temperature. In Kleine Kinzig Reservoir, the stratification period lasted longer, until November in 2018 and until January 2020 in the 2019 season.

## 301 **3.3. Seasonal changes of microbiological parameters**

Regular microbiological monitoring of surface water and raw water (used for drinking water production) included the parameters *E. coli*, coliform bacteria, enterococci, heterotrophic plate counts (at 22 °C and 36 °C) and total cell counts. Fig. 2 gives an overview about the measured numbers of these parameters, median values of coliform bacteria, enterococci and *E. coli* are shown in the Supplement (Table S1).

307 In Kleine Kinzig Reservoir, 44 samples of each water were analyzed during the monitoring 308 study. In the majority of the samples, the FIB E. coli and enterococci could not be detected in 309 100 ml water samples. With an abundance of 34% respectively 27% of the samples they were 310 detected more often in the surface water samples than in the raw water samples (14% and 311 9%, respectively). Maximum numbers of E. coli were 10 MPN/100 ml in the surface water and 312 4 MPN/100 ml in raw water. For enterococci, the highest levels detected were 19 CFU/100 ml 313 in the surface water and 2 CFU/100 ml in the raw water. Dominating species was the 314 environmental enterococcus strain Enterococcus rotai (61% of positive results).

315 In contrast, coliform bacteria were detected in all except two samples (98%). As shown in Fig. 316 2, the concentration of coliform bacteria varied over four orders of magnitude ranging from 317 below detection limit in 100 ml to above 2.4 x 10<sup>4</sup> MPN/100 ml. Periods of high concentrations 318 have been observed in both years 2018 and 2019, starting from the end of June until the end 319 of September. While the concentration of coliform bacteria remained high in 2019 over the 320 entire summer period, a decrease in number could be observed in 2018 after the initial peak 321 in June/July and a second peak occurred in November 2018. Highest numbers in coliform 322 bacteria were observed in the surface water with a maximum of 5.4 x 10<sup>3</sup> MPN/100 ml in June 323 2018 and about ten times the amount in July 2019 (>2.4 x  $10^4$  MPN/100 ml). In the raw water, numbers were lower with a maximum number of about 1.7 x 10<sup>3</sup> MPN/100 ml in both years. 324

In Klingenberg Reservoir regular sampling was conducted 32 times. The fecal indicators *E. coli* and enterococci could be detected more often than in the other reservoir. They were detected in 31% respectively 59% of all surface water samples and in 34% respectively 50% of all raw water samples. The highest values of *E. coli* were 7 MPN/100 ml, both in surface and raw water. Enterococci reached maximum numbers of 11 CFU/100 ml in raw water and 23 CFU/100 ml in surface water.

Coliform bacteria were detected in all samples. In contrast to Kleine Kinzig Reservoir, the highest numbers of coliform bacteria were reached in the raw water with  $4.0 \times 10^3$  MPN/100 ml in September 2018. In the surface water, the maximum numbers were also detected in September 2018, but reached with  $2.1 \times 10^3$  MPN/100 ml a lower level. Surprisingly, these high numbers of coliforms were only observed in 2018. In 2019, the maximum number of coliform bacteria was  $2.3 \times 10^2$  MPN/100 ml, reached in September in the surface water.

In Klingenberg, the main inflow into the reservoir (Wilde Weißeritz) was also sampled regularly (n = 26). In contrast to the reservoir (surface and raw water), the inflow did not show high seasonal differences. Other than in the reservoir, FIB *E. coli* and enterococci were detected in all samples. They reached maximum values of  $1.5 \times 10^2$  MPN/100 ml for *E. coli* and  $4.2 \times 10^2$  CFU/100 ml for enterococci, thus around 1.5 orders of magnitude higher than in the reservoir. Coliform bacteria were observed in all samples, too. Here the maximum number was
3.1 x 10<sup>3</sup> MPN/100 ml (Fig. 2).

#### 344 **3.4. Identification of coliform bacteria**

Seasonal dynamics were not only found for the number of coliform bacteria, but also for the
species diversity, as shown in Fig. 3. Overall, 45 species of coliform bacteria, belonging to
20 genera, could be identified. The five most abundant genera were *Serratia, Enterobacter, Lelliottia, Citrobacter* and *Buttiauxella*.

In Kleine Kinzig Reservoir at least 26 species of 11 genera could be identified. Mostly two genera of coliform bacteria dominated, namely *Lelliottia* (72%) and *Serratia* (21%). During winter, mainly *Serratia* occurred, but as soon as concentrations of coliform bacteria increased, *Lelliottia* was dominant. By measuring the species diversity using Simpson effective (Table S2), the diversity decreased during mass proliferation from three to four effective species to only two, namely *Lelliottia amnigena* and *Lelliottia aquatilis*.

355 The Klingenberg Reservoir differed considerably. In the reservoir 28 species out of 12 genera 356 could be observed. As in Kleine Kinzig Reservoir Serratia dominated during winter period, 357 Lelliottia also occurred frequently, but the genus did not dominate. Instead, Enterobacter 358 asburiae dominated here during late summer 2018, the time with the highest concentrations 359 of coliform bacteria. Species diversity showed an even higher change during this time as it 360 decreased from about six effective species to only one. In this monitoring study, the first 361 occurrence of Enterobacter asburiae was in June/July of both years in the surface water. In 362 August, Enterobacter asburiae was observed in the raw water. While this species could only 363 be identified sporadically in 2019, it dominated in 2018 from September onwards throughout 364 the whole reservoir.

In contrast, the main inflow did not change much over the sampling period. Richness was much higher here, with 43 species out of 18 genera. The dominating genus was *Serratia*. In cases where *Enterobacter* was detected, it was mainly identified as *Enterobacter cloacae*. In the inflow, no change in species diversity could be observed during times with high concentrations
of coliform bacteria in the reservoir compared to times with low concentrations. The diversity
was about six to seven effective species all over the years, thus higher than in the reservoir.

#### 371 3.5. Sampling campaign

At Klingenberg Reservoir, two sampling campaigns were conducted in addition to the monitoring program in 2018, one in June and the other one in September. At that time, not only the six depth layers and the main inflow were sampled, but also the whole water body of the reservoir together with additional inflows. Fig. 4 gives an overview about the results of the quantification and identification of coliform bacteria for exemplary presented samples.

377 In June 2018 in addition to the six regular samples, 13 samples from the surface of the reservoir 378 were sampled (n = 19). Furthermore, together with the main inflow (Wilde Weißeritz), another 379 small inflow and the outflow of the pre-reservoir could be sampled (n = 3). All other tributaries 380 had low water levels due to the drought during this year. As presented in Fig. 4A in the water 381 of the reservoir, numbers of coliform bacteria were generally low with a median of 382 33 MPN/100 ml (n = 19). Only in the inflow higher numbers of coliform bacteria were 383 measured, e.g. 5.8 x 10<sup>2</sup> MPN/100 ml at the main inflow, and 2.6 x 10<sup>3</sup> MPN/100 ml at the 384 outflow of the pre-reservoir.

From selected samples, coliform bacteria were identified (n = 11). Various genera were present in the reservoir water, like *Lelliottia* spp. (23%), *Serratia* spp. (19%), *Citrobacter* spp. (14%) and *Enterobacter* spp. (11%), most of them being identified as *Enterobacter asburiae*. In the main inflow, *Serratia* spp. dominated (56%), whereas in the pre-reservoir *Lelliottia* spp. was dominant (75%). The genus *Enterobacter* was only present in low abundance (4%) in the main inflow with all isolates identified as *Enterobacter cloacae*.

In September 2018, 14 additional samples from the water body of the reservoir were sampled,
together with the depth profile (n = 20). Furthermore, the main inflow, the outflow of the prereservoir, as well as an additional water pipe from the Rauschenbach Reservoir were sampled

(n = 3). During that time, additional water was transferred from the Rauschenbach Reservoir
into the Klingenberg Reservoir in order to prevent the reservoir from carrying too little water.
Results are presented in Fig. 4B.

The observed numbers of coliform bacteria in the reservoir water (n = 20) were much higher than in June (median:  $1.4 \times 10^3$  MPN/100 ml), nearly all of them being identified as *Enterobacter asburiae* (95%). In the inflowing water, numbers of coliform bacteria reached 7 x 10<sup>2</sup> to 1.6 x 10<sup>3</sup> MPN/100 ml. Similar to June, *Serratia* spp. dominated in the main inflow (52%) and *Lelliottia* spp. in the pre-reservoir (43%). In the pipe from Rauschenbach Reservoir, both genera had approximately the same frequencies (>40%). Less than 5% of all isolates from the inflows were identified as *Enterobacter* spp. (mainly *Enterobacter ludwigii*).

#### 404 **3.6. Microbial Source Tracking (MST)**

405 The detection of MST markers indicates the potential source of hygienically-relevant microbial 406 load in the reservoir. Therefore, samples were taken at two time points in each reservoir, once 407 with high numbers of coliform bacteria, once without. For Klingenberg Reservoir in June and 408 September 2018 surface water of the complete reservoir, the depth profile and all inflows were 409 sampled, as part of the sampling campaign. In Kleine Kinzig Reservoir, sampling was 410 conducted in July and December 2019 from the depth profile. With extracted DNA of the 411 samples, gPCR with host-specific 16S rRNA sequences of Bacteroidales bacteria was carried 412 out, to identify human-, ruminant- and pig-specific fecal contamination within the samples. 413 These markers were compared to the total cell counts obtained by flow cytometry 414 measurements as well as the total 16S rRNA genes quantified by qPCR (Table 3).

Altogether, the total amount of bacteria within the samples was around  $10^6$  bacteria per ml. TCC for all measured samples (n = 24) had a mean concentration of 7.3 x  $10^5$  bacteria per ml, the measured 16S rRNA genes over all samples (n = 31) a mean concentration of 1.1 x  $10^6$ genes/ml (Table 3).

Unspecific marker genes, to measure all *Bacteroides* in the sample and therefore total amount of fecal contamination, made around 0.2% of all 16S rRNA (2 x 10<sup>3</sup> gene copies/ml). Neither human- nor ruminant-specific fecal marker genes were detected in any of the samples (<LOQ). Out of the total number of 31 samples, pig-specific fecal markers could only be detected once, in the main inflow (Wilde Weißeritz) of Klingenberg Reservoir in September with a concentration of 4 x 10<sup>2</sup> genes/ml (Table 3).

#### 425 3.7. Microbial community

To compare the microbial community between the two reservoirs, as well as between times with high and low concentrations of coliform bacteria, 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was conducted. Therefore water samples were taken at two time points: with high and with low concentrations of coliform bacteria in the two reservoirs. For Klingenberg Reservoir this was June (low) and September 2018 (high), for Kleine Kinzig July (high) and December 2019 (low).

Overall 869,073 sequences from 31 water samples were demultiplexed. After checking for quality and chimeras 504,886 sequences were further analyzed. Altogether, 362 OTUs were observed within the water samples. Alpha diversity showed a richness of 225  $\pm$  6 OTUs per sample (Table S3). According to Shannon 72  $\pm$  4 effective OTUs per sample occurred. Adding more weight to abundant species, Simpson measures 39  $\pm$  3 effective OTUs per sample. Both, richness and diversity was slightly higher in Kleine Kinzig Reservoir than in Klingenberg Reservoir.

The OTUs could be assigned to 17 phyla (Fig. 5A). The three most abundant ones were *Actinobacteriota* (32.9%), *Proteobacteria* (31.2%) and *Bacteroidota* (20.4%). Fig. 5B gives an overview about the order assigned to these three phyla. The most abundant order was *Frankiales* (*Actinobacteraeota*) with the family *Sporichthyaceae* (25.9%). The most abundant order within *Proteobacteria* was *Burkholderiales* with the family *Comamonadaceae*. The order to which the coliform bacteria belong (*Enterobacterales*) was not very common with only 0.04%. By comparing the two reservoirs with Kruskal-Wallis Rank Sum Test it could be observed that *Bacteroidota* were significantly more abundant in Klingenberg than in Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (p < 0.001), whereas the *Planctomycetota* were significantly more abundant in the latter (p < 0.001) (data not shown).

448 Nonparametric multidimensional analyses of phylogenetic distances between the samples 449 revealed that clusters were built according to the reservoir (Fig. 5C). Nevertheless, no 450 significant differences could be observed between time points with high or with low numbers 451 of coliform bacteria.

#### 452 **3.8. Redundancy Analysis (RDA)**

453 To define parameters affecting the proliferation of coliform bacteria, RDA was conducted using 454 data from surface and raw water (Fig. 6). Permutation test for RDA revealed different factors 455 explaining the variance of coliform species in Klingenberg (Fig. 6A) as well as Kleine Kinzig 456 Reservoir (Fig. 6B), e.g. environmental factors (water temperature, oxygen, pH value and SAK) 457 as well as metals and nutrients (manganese, iron, TOC) and microbiological parameters 458 (TCC). Furthermore, some factors were only significant for the variation in one of the two 459 reservoirs: air temperature, chlorophyll, total phosphate, silicate, nitrate, HPC at 22 °C 460 (Klingenberg) and conductivity and turbidity (Kleine Kinzig). For both reservoirs, depth was no 461 significant factor for species composition.

Factors correlating positively with the proliferating coliform species were in both reservoirs the water temperature as well as manganese content. Both were additionally negatively correlated with SAK and TOC. Furthermore, *Enterobacter* spp. in Klingenberg Reservoir were correlated with total phosphate (positive) and oxygen content (negative). The occurrence of *Lelliottia* spp. in Kleine Kinzig Reservoir was negatively correlated with *Serratia* spp., iron, as well as TCC.

#### 467 **3.9. Other reservoirs**

In this study, the two genera *Enterobacter* and *Lelliottia* were identified as being present in drinking water reservoirs during times with high concentrations of coliform bacteria, thus, assumingly being capable to proliferate within these reservoirs, depending on the reservoir being investigated (see discussion below). Therefore, the question arose how the situation
was in other drinking water reservoirs and lakes, where mass proliferation of coliform bacteria
has been observed.

474 Water samples and isolates of coliform bacteria from different lakes and reservoirs in Germany 475 were analyzed. The results are summarized in Table 4. The phylogenetic analysis of the 476 isolated strains by MLSA is shown in Fig. 7. It turned out that Enterobacter asburiae could be 477 detected in several other reservoirs and lakes during times with high numbers of coliform 478 bacteria, like in Lake Constance, Rappbode Reservoir, located in the Harz Mountains in 479 Saxony-Anhalt, and Breitenbach Reservoir in North Rhine-Westphalia. Lelliottia amnigena 480 could be detected and identified in Söse Reservoir, located in the Harz Mountains in Lower 481 Saxony during mass proliferation.

#### 482 4. Discussion

# 483 4.1. Mass proliferation of coliform bacteria during the summer months is dominated by 484 *Enterobacter asburiae* and *Lelliottia* spp.

In this study, seasonal dynamics of coliform bacteria in two reservoirs in Germany were investigated. The two reservoirs were selected, as high numbers of coliform bacteria have been observed in previous years. Both reservoirs are similar in size, but apart from that are different and can be regarded as representative for typical mesotrophic (Klingenberg) and oligotrophic (Kleine Kinzig) drinking water reservoirs.

During summer months, numbers of coliform bacteria suddenly increased in both reservoirs and reached densities of 10<sup>3</sup> MPN/100 ml and more in the whole depth profile of the reservoir as well as the entire water body. Not only the number but also the species composition changed entirely. Diversity decreased to only one or two species of coliform bacteria. Therefore, we assume that this sudden increase of single or a few species can be considered as a mass proliferation of coliform bacteria or "coliform bloom" within the reservoir (see also further discussion below).

497 Depending on the reservoir E. asburiae, L. amnigena or L. aquatilis, respectively, were 498 dominating. Interestingly, closely related strains were found in different reservoirs all over 499 Germany (Fig. 7). They are well known to occur in water, e.g. L. amnigena (formerly 500 Enterobacter amnigenus) and L. aquatilis were first described as being isolated from water 501 samples (Izard et al., 1981; Kämpfer et al., 2008; Kämpfer et al., 2018). Enterobacter asburiae 502 belongs to the Enterobacter cloacae complex, a complex consisting of clinical as well as 503 environmental isolates, whose taxonomy remains complicated and differentiation is difficult 504 (Brady et al., 2013; Hoffmann and Roggenkamp, 2003; Mezzatesta et al., 2012). The species 505 was initially isolated from clinical material, however its clinical significance is still uncertain 506 since it also occurs in environmental samples like water (Brenner et al., 1986; Davin-Regli et 507 al., 2019; Kämpfer et al., 2008; Sanders and Sanders, 1997; Suzuki et al., 2018). Furthermore, 508 proliferation of *Enterobacter* spp. has been described in drinking water distribution systems 509 (Edberg et al., 1994).

#### 510 **4.2.** *Frankiales* and *Burkholderiales* dominate the microbial community

511 To see effects of proliferation events on the microbial community, 16S rRNA amplicon analysis 512 was conducted. The three most abundant phyla were Actinobacteriota, Bacteroidota and 513 Proteobacteria. All three phyla are well known to occur in drinking water samples as well as 514 freshwater habitats (Paruch et al., 2019; Perrin et al., 2019; Pinto et al., 2014; Rodriguez-R et 515 al., 2020; Tamames et al., 2010; Vaz-Moreira et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2013). Firmicutes, which 516 on the contrary are frequently measured in gastrointestinal microbiome and are reported as 517 one of the major human fecal bacteria in watershed, were not abundant (Paruch et al., 2019; 518 Unno et al., 2010).

519 Actinobacteriota are Gram-positive bacteria distributed in aquatic as well as terrestrial 520 ecosystems and constitute one of the largest bacterial phyla (Barka et al., 2016). They have a 521 mycelial lifestyle and an extensive secondary metabolism. Frankiales are known to dominate 522 Actinobacteriota in water samples (Pinel et al., 2020). Frankia is a nitrogen-fixing 523 actinobacterium and is associated with plants (Barka et al., 2016; Rosenberg et al., 2014). 524 Actinobacteriota have previously been described as small bacteria with LNA-content (Proctor 525 et al., 2018). This is consistent with the high numbers of LNA-content bacteria detected during 526 this study amounting to about 75% (Table 3).

527 Proteobacteria have been reported to be the most abundant bacteria in epilimnic waters, 528 especially Burkolderiales dominate in less productive reservoirs like oligotrophic and 529 mesotrophic ones (Llirós et al., 2014). They can be associated with cyanobacteria or particles 530 and have been correlated to DOC and nitrate concentration (Llirós et al., 2014). 531 Burkholderiales are a diverse order, belonging to the  $\beta$ -Proteobacteria, including strictly 532 aerobic facultative anaerobic chemoorganotrophs, and obligate and facultative 533 chemolithothrophs, nitrogen-fixing organisms, as well as plant, animal and human pathogens

(Garrity et al., 2005). The family most abundant during this study was the chemoorganotrophic
or facultative chemolithothrophic *Comamonadaceae*, where isolates have been obtained from
various sources including soil, water and environment (Garrity et al., 2005; Pinto et al., 2014;
Tamames et al., 2010).

Within the *Proteobacteria* it was expected to detect the order *Enterobacterales* frequently, especially during times with high concentrations of coliform bacteria. Nevertheless, this was not the case. They only had a frequency of 0.04%. By comparing the maximum concentration of coliform bacteria (2.4 x 10<sup>4</sup> MPN/100 ml) with the TCC and 16S rRNA genes, even these high concentrations of coliform bacteria make only around 0.02% of the total bacterial cells. Therefore it is not surprising, that no significant differences of the microbial community could be found between samples with high and low coliform bacteria numbers.

Instead, significant differences in the bacterial community could be found regarding the two reservoirs. *Bacteroidota* were significantly more abundant in Klingenberg, whereas *Planctomycetota* were significantly more abundant in Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (p < 0.001). This is consistent to results shown by Llirós et al. (2014) where the origin of the samples had the greatest effect on differences in the bacterial community composition, compared to season and water layer.

#### 4.3. Proliferation as an autochthonous process in the reservoir

552 As fecal contamination of raw water is a major challenge for drinking water suppliers 553 (Gunnarsdottir et al., 2020), an extremely important question for them as well as for health 554 authorities is whether these observed high numbers of coliform bacteria are related to fecal 555 contamination, which would possibly implicate health risks. To address this question, FIB 556 E. coli and enterococci were monitored during the entire sampling period, as coliform bacteria 557 do not permit correlation on fecal influence. Furthermore, the inflow into the reservoir was 558 compared with the reservoir water. Additionally, the reservoir water was tested for fecal MST 559 marker genes.

560 In Germany, drinking water reservoirs are surrounded by a drinking water protection zone in 561 order to minimize hazards within the catchment area (see e.g. WHO, 2016). In addition, pre-562 reservoirs serve as a barrier, e.g. to reduce the direct introduction of storm water into the main 563 reservoir, which can be detected by elevated numbers of microbial indicator parameters 564 (Balzer et al., 2010; Kistemann et al., 2002). In contrast to coliform bacteria and HPC, the FIB 565 did not reach high numbers during the time of mass proliferation, even more they were not 566 detectable in the majority of the samples. Most of the enterococci were identified as 567 Enterococcus rotai, a species known to occur mainly in invertebrates like mosquitoes (Hügler 568 et al., 2014; Sedláček et al., 2013). In general, enterococci do not only occur in feces, but also 569 in the environment (see Byappanahalli et al., 2012 and references therein). The concentration 570 of *E. coli* – the bacterium proposed to be the best indicator for fecal contamination from human 571 as well as livestock or wildlife sources (Edberg et al., 2000; Farnleitner et al., 2010) - was even 572 lower than enterococci. RDA revealed no correlation between the proliferating coliform species 573 and the measurement of FIB (Fig 6). Thus, the high numbers of coliform bacteria in the 574 reservoirs were not accompanied with increased numbers of FIB. Therefore, it can be assumed 575 that coliform growth is not due to fecal contamination.

576 The analysis of the Klingenberg inflow confirms this assumption. Klingenberg Reservoir (raw 577 water and surface water) was compared with its main inflow (Wilde Weißeritz) (Fig. 8, Table 578 S1). In 2018, the year with the mass proliferation of coliform bacteria, it could be observed that 579 numbers of all three indicators were usually at least 10 times higher in the inflow than in the 580 reservoir. Only during the summer months, i.e. during the mass proliferation, the numbers of 581 coliform bacteria reached higher maxima in the reservoir than in the inflow. However, the fecal 582 indicators *E. coli* and enterococci were significantly higher in the inflow ( $p \le 0.05$ ). 583 Furthermore, FIB were below detection limit (1 per 100 ml) in many samples in the reservoir, 584 whereas in the inflow these bacteria were detectable in all samples. This shows that the 585 reservoir and its inflow were significantly different. This and the fact that *E. asburiae* was not 586 detected in the main inflow during the sampling supports the conclusion that mass proliferation 587 of coliform bacteria is an autochthonous process within the reservoir.

588 For MST, specific Bacteroidales genes were tested during this study. These bacteria are one 589 of the most abundant bacterial groups in the intestine of warm-blooded animals (Hagedorn et 590 al., 2011). Therefore, quantification of *Bacteroides* 16S rRNA is a reliable method to estimate 591 fecal contamination within water samples as well as the fecal origin, due to their co-evolution 592 with specific hosts (Ahmed et al., 2016). During this study, unspecific Bacteroides 16S rRNA 593 made around 0.2% of all 16S rRNA (2 x 10<sup>3</sup> gene copies/ml). These numbers are relative low, 594 as 1 g of feces in 1 L of water contains approximately 10<sup>10</sup> gene copies of unspecific 595 Bacteroides markers, which normally makes about one third of the fecal bacteria (Layton et 596 al., 2006). Human- and ruminant-specific markers could not be detected. Furthermore, only 597 one sample, namely the main inflow into the Klingenberg Reservoir, had a low fecal burden of 598 pigs (4 x 10<sup>2</sup> gene copies/ml) in September. As this sampling spot is surrounded by forest, it is 599 possible that the influence is caused by wild pigs, as they are also detected with this primer 600 set (Lamendella et al., 2013). As this was the only sample with an influence from pig feces, it 601 can be concluded that fecal contaminations in the inflow did not reach the reservoir. Fecal 602 contamination results in an increase of Bacteroides markers, together with FIB (Stange and 603 Tiehm, 2020). Furthermore, *Bacteroidales* are usually two to three orders of magnitude more 604 abundant in human and animal intestine than coliform bacteria (Hagedorn et al., 2011). This 605 was not the case in the reservoir waters. Thus, quantification of MST marker genes further 606 suggested that the increase of coliform bacteria in the reservoirs did not correspond with fecal 607 contaminations.

Together with the fact that the proliferating coliform species are rarely found in clinical material (Sanders and Sanders, 1997), and no *Firmicutes* could be detected in the 16S rRNA amplicon analysis of the reservoir waters, the aforementioned results indicate, that the growth of coliform bacteria within the reservoir is an autochthonous effect and is not due to fecal contamination.

#### 612 **4.4. Parameters affecting the proliferation of coliform bacteria**

613 As fecal contamination is not the reason for the proliferation of coliform bacteria in drinking 614 water reservoirs, the question arises what other factors possibly influence this process? Further questions are: Where, i.e. in which water depth or layer does the proliferation of coliform bacteria start? And how can these bacteria spread throughout the entire water body of the reservoirs? Therefore, RDA was conducted, to define parameters affecting the proliferation of coliform bacteria (Fig. 6).

619 For both proliferating species, *Enterobacter* spp. as well as *Lelliottia* spp., temperature was 620 one of the mayor factors correlating with their occurrence, with Lelliottia proliferating earlier in 621 summer compared to *Enterobacter*. One reason for that could be a lower growth temperature, 622 since Lelliottia spp. have been shown to propagate even at lower temperatures than 623 Enterobacter spp. (Izard et al., 1981; Leclerc et al., 2001). The connection of seasonal 624 variability of coliform bacteria and temperature in surface waters, river and soil has been 625 reported in several cases before (Cho et al., 2016; Hong et al., 2010; Jeon et al., 2019; 626 LeChevallier et al., 1996). Higher water temperatures as well as water scarcity are predicted 627 to increase in many regions of the world due to climate change (Bates et al., 2008; Dokulil et 628 al., 2006; Mosley, 2015). In the last 40 years, water temperature in lakes has increased around 629 0.1 to 1.5 °C (Bates et al., 2008). Furthermore, stratification period has lengthened (Bates et 630 al., 2008). This affects many physicochemical as well as biological parameters (Delpla et al., 631 2009), and we anticipate that the mass proliferation of coliform bacteria occurs even more 632 frequently in future.

633 But not only water temperature, also oxygen content as well as nutrients and metals are 634 important for bacterial growth. RDA also revealed oxygen and manganese as factors 635 explaining the variance of coliform species in the reservoirs. As a consequence of stratification 636 in reservoirs, oxygen consumption in the hypolimnion can lead to the solution of metals and 637 nutrients from the sediment. Highest release of manganese from sediments into the water 638 occurs in summer, when reservoirs are stratified (Munger et al., 2019). As facultative anaerobic 639 bacteria coliform bacteria may have an advantage compared to other bacteria in this 640 environment. Furthermore, in Klingenberg Reservoir correlation with total phosphate was 641 found. Enterobacter spp. are known as plant growth promoting bacteria (Andrés-Barrao et al.,

642 2017; Oh et al., 2018; Taghavi et al., 2010) capable of phosphate mobilization (Gyaneshwar
643 et al., 1999; Mezzatesta et al., 2012; Vazquez et al., 2000). This could explain the correlation
644 of coliform bacteria and total phosphate.

645 Where does the mass proliferation begin? It is conceivable that mass proliferation could start 646 near the thermocline, as inactivation due to UV-light is lower in this region (Davies-Colley et 647 al., 1994). Additionally, particles together with associated bacteria settle down, as well as 648 nutrients form an upward flux (Davis et al., 2005). It is therefore possible that this layer is 649 enriched with particles of dead algae, which can serve as nutrients for heterotrophic bacteria 650 like the coliform bacteria (Cole, 1982; Kouzuma and Watanabe, 2015; McFeters et al., 1978), 651 thus leading to increased concentrations of bacteria near the thermocline (Davis et al., 2005; 652 McDonough et al., 1986).

653 Another open question is how the coliform bacteria manage to colonize the entire reservoir in 654 all depth layers, even when the reservoir is stratified. Due to drinking water production, raw 655 water is extracted from the hypolimnion of the reservoirs. This withdrawal influences both 656 volume as well as temperature of the hypolimnion (Çalışkan and Elçi, 2009; Casamitjana et 657 al., 2003; Mi et al., 2019; Weber et al., 2017). As the hypolimnion warms up, thermal stability 658 decreases. Additionally, withdrawal can produce buoyancy forces and the withdrawal layer 659 may even intersect the thermocline (Çalışkan and Elçi, 2009; Fischer et al., 1979). This could 660 explain how the bacteria may overcome the thermocline and occur in all depth layers at least 661 in smaller reservoirs. Furthermore, *E. asburiae* is reported as a guorum sensing bacterium, 662 capable to communicate with surrounding bacteria (Lau et al., 2013; Lau et al., 2020). 663 However, the adaption of these bacteria to their productivity-poor environment is not yet 664 solved. These habitats pose a challenge for bacteria, especially when it comes not only to 665 survive but also to proliferation (Kundu et al., 2020). Therefore, further research is needed, to 666 understand the exact place of the origin of proliferation, the ways the bacteria distribute in the 667 water column and the adaptions of these bacteria to their environment.

668 The mass proliferation in the two reservoirs seems to be caused by different factors, as not 669 only RDA, but also the time of mass proliferation determines. In Klingenberg Reservoir it is 670 starting much later, in the end of summer, compared to Kleine Kinzig Reservoir in early 671 summer season. Furthermore, Lelliottia spp. is detectable in both reservoirs during the whole 672 year, with low numbers between January and May. Enterobacter, however, was not present in 673 the reservoir, only when it comes to mass proliferation. Furthermore, highest numbers in 674 Klingenberg Reservoir have been observed in raw water depth, in Kleine Kinzig Reservoir, 675 however, the opposite was the case and highest numbers were measured in the surface layer. 676 Differences between the two reservoirs were observed regarding temperature, as well as 677 nutrient content. Especially in Klingenberg, nitrate, silicate and TOC are higher. Taken 678 together, this leads to the conclusion that both effects are influenced by different factors. Water 679 temperature might be one of the leading causes of mass proliferation of coliform bacteria. Yet, 680 additional factors seem to be important (e.g. manganese, oxygen, phosphate) that have to be 681 investigated in further research.

#### 682 **4.5. Implications for drinking water treatment**

683 Climate change leads to enhanced water temperatures and extreme water events. So 684 droughts, heavy rain events and flooding are expected to happen more frequently. Thus, it is 685 anticipated, that mass proliferations of coliform bacteria in drinking water reservoirs also occur 686 more often in the near future. At some reservoirs, these events are observed almost on a yearly 687 basis. This challenges drinking water treatment. Currently, water treatment plants treating 688 reservoir water mainly use conventional treatment techniques like flocculation and filtration, 689 followed by disinfection. Even with a fully functional treatment technology, it is conceivable that 690 coliform bacteria might overcome drinking water treatment in times with high densities of more 691 than 10<sup>4</sup> bacteria per 100 ml in raw water. If coliform bacteria reach drinking water distribution 692 systems, they might proliferate in biofilm or in sediments within the system (Camper, 1993; 693 Edberg et al., 1994; LeChevallier et al., 1996). This could lead to the exceeding of limit values 694 (e.g. according to EU drinking water directive, coliform bacteria should not be detectable in

695 100 ml), often followed by countermeasures like additional disinfection measures or even696 boiling advices.

Thus, it is essential to increase our knowledge about coliform bacteria, especially with regard to strains capable to propagate in drinking water reservoirs and potentially also in drinking water systems under certain conditions. Genome analyses in combination with specific growth experiments are conceivable to answer open questions with regard to the hygienic relevance of these bacteria and their adaptations to oligotrophic environments. Furthermore, monitoring of proliferation events on a high spatial and temporal resolution could give further insights and answer remaining questions.

# 704 **5. Conclusion**

- In our monitoring of drinking water reservoirs, we detected seasonal differences in
   numbers of coliform bacteria.
- During summer months, the maximum density of coliform bacteria reached values of more than 10<sup>4</sup> bacteria per 100 ml in these oligotrophic environments, not only in the surface but also in raw water (used for drinking water production). This represents an increase of 4 orders of magnitude compared to the winter season.
- At this time, only one or two strains of coliform bacteria dominated the entire water body
   of the reservoir, belonging to the genera *Lelliottia* or *Enterobacter*. Closely related
   strains were found in different reservoirs all over Germany.
- Our study demonstrates that proliferation was not due to fecal contamination but is an
   autochthonic process within the water column of the reservoirs.
- We conclude that this sudden increase of single species can be considered as a mass
   proliferation of coliform bacteria or "coliform bloom" within the reservoir.
- Microbial community was dominated by *Actinobacteriota*, *Bacteroidota* and
   *Proteobacteria* and did not change significantly during periods of mass proliferation.
   *Enterobacterales* only make around 0.04% of the community.
- RDA revealed correlation of proliferating coliform species with increased water
   temperatures, lower oxygen content as well as nutrients and metals (phosphate,
   manganese).

# 724 Declarations of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# 727 Acknowledgment

728 This research was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF 729 grant no. 02WGR1426A-G, project TRUST) and the German Technical and Scientific 730 Association for Gas and Water (DVGW grants no. W 201720 and W 201823). We thank 731 Margret Sommer and Gerhard Biwer from Kleine Kinzig Reservoir, as well as Thilo Hegewald 732 from Klingenberg Reservoir for providing samples and access to the reservoirs. We further 733 thank the water suppliers Fernwasserversorgung Elbaue-Ostharz, Harzwasserwerke, 734 Stadtwerk am See and Wasserverband Siegen-Wittgenstein for providing water samples. 735 Furthermore, we thank Monika Bösl, Lydia Grabner, Luisa Telpl and Carolin Schweikart (TZW 736 Karlsruhe), as well as Jana Angermann, Annett Schröter, Claudia Kupfer and Susann 737 Bromberger (TZW Dresden) for laboratory support at TZW.

#### 738 References

- Ahmed W, Hughes B, Harwood V. Current status of marker genes of *Bacteroides* and related
- taxa for identifying sewage pollution in environmental waters. Water 2016;8(6):231.
- Akima H, Gebhardt A. akima: Interpolation of irregularly and regularly spaced data, 2016.
- 742 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=akima.
- 743 Andrés-Barrao C, Lafi FF, Alam I, Zélicourt A de, Eida AA, Bokhari A et al. Complete Genome
- Sequence Analysis of *Enterobacter* sp. SA187, a Plant Multi-Stress Tolerance Promoting
   Endophytic Bacterium. Front. Microbiol. 2017;8:2023.
- Ashbolt NJ, Grabow WOK, Snozzi M. Indicators of microbial water quality. In: World Health
- 747 Organization (WHO), editor. Water quality: Guidelines, standards and health: Assessment
- of risk and risk management for water-related infectious disease. London: IWA Publishing;
- 749 2001. p. 289–315.
- Balzer M, Witt N, Flemming HC, Wingender J. Faecal indicator bacteria in river biofilms. Water
  Sci. Technol. Water Supply 2010;61(5):1105–11.
- Barka EA, Vatsa P, Sanchez L, Gaveau-Vaillant N, Jacquard C, Meier-Kolthoff JP et al.
  Taxonomy, physiology, and natural products of *Actinobacteria*. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.
  2016;80(1):1–43.
- Bates B, Kundzewicz ZW, Wu S, Burkett V, Doell P, Gwary D et al. Climate change and Water:
- 756 Technical paper of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva, Switzerland:
  757 IPCC Secretariat; 2008.
- Borcard D, Gillet F, Legendre P. Numerical Ecology with R. Cham: Springer International
  Publishing; 2018.
- Brady C, Cleenwerck I, Venter S, Coutinho T, Vos P de. Taxonomic evaluation of the genus *Enterobacter* based on multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA): proposal to reclassify *E. nimipressuralis* and *E. amnigenus* into *Lelliottia* gen. nov. as *Lelliottia nimipressuralis*comb. nov. and *Lelliottia amnigena* comb. nov., respectively, *E. gergoviae* and *E. pyrinus*into *Pluralibacter* gen. nov. as *Pluralibacter* gergoviae comb. nov. and *Pluralibacter* pyrinus
  comb. nov., respectively, *E. cowanii*, *E. radicincitans*, *E. oryzae* and *E. arachidis* into

766 Kosakonia gen. nov. as Kosakonia cowanii comb. nov., Kosakonia radicincitans comb.

767 nov., *Kosakonia oryzae* comb. nov. and *Kosakonia arachidis* comb. nov., respectively, and

- 768 E. turicensis, E. helveticus and E. pulveris into Cronobacter as Cronobacter zurichensis
- nom. nov., Cronobacter helveticus comb. nov. and Cronobacter pulveris comb. nov.,
- respectively, and emended description of the genera *Enterobacter* and *Cronobacter*. Syst.
- 771 Appl. Microbiol. 2013;36(5):309–19.
- Brenner DJ, McWhorter AC, Kai A, Steigerwalt AG, Farmer JJ. Enterobacter asburiae sp. nov.,
- a new species found in clinical specimens, and reassignment of *Erwinia dissolvens* and
- *Erwinia nimipressuralis* to the genus Enterobacter as *Enterobacter dissolvens* comb. nov.
- and *Enterobacter nimipressuralis* comb. nov. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1986;23(6):1114–20.
- Byappanahalli MN, Nevers MB, Korajkic A, Staley ZR, Harwood VJ. Enterococci in the
  environment. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 2012;76(4):685–706.
- Çalışkan A, Elçi Ş. Effects of selective withdrawal on hydrodynamics of a stratified reservoir.
  Water Resour. Manag. 2009;23(7):1257–73.
- 780 Camper AK. Coliform regrowth and biofilm accumulation in drinking water systems: a review.
- In: Geesey, G.G., Z. Lewandowski, H.-C. Flemming, editor. Biofouling and Biocorrosion in
  Industrial Water Systems: Lewis Publishers; 1993. p. 91–105.
- Casamitjana X, Serra T, Colomer J, Baserba C, Pérez-Losada J. Effects of the water
  withdrawal in the stratification patterns of a reservoir. Hydrobiologia 2003;504(1-3):21–8.
- 785 Chen J. GUniFrac: Generalized UniFrac Distances, 2018. https://CRAN.R786 project.org/package=GUniFrac.
- Cho KH, Pachepsky YA, Kim M, Pyo J, Park M-H, Kim YM et al. Modeling seasonal variability
  of fecal coliform in natural surface waters using the modified SWAT. J. Hydrol.
  2016;535:377–85.
- Cole JJ. Interactions between bacteria and algae in aquatic ecosystems. Annu. Rev. Ecol.
  Syst. 1982;13(1):291–314.

- Davies-Colley RJ, Bell RG, Donnison AM. Sunlight inactivation of enterococci and fecal
  coliforms in sewage effluent diluted in seawater. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
  1994;60(6):2049–58.
- Davin-Regli A, Lavigne J-P, Pagès J-M. *Enterobacter* spp.: Update on taxonomy, clinical
   aspects, and emerging antimicrobial resistance. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2019;32(4).
- 797 Davis K, Anderson MA, Yates MV. Distribution of indicator bacteria in Canyon Lake, California.
- 798 Water Res. 2005;39(7):1277–88.
- Delpla I, Jung A-V, Baures E, Clement M, Thomas O. Impacts of climate change on surface
  water quality in relation to drinking water production. Environ. Int. 2009;35(8):1225–33.
- Boutscher Wetterdienst (DWD). Jahrbuch 2018 des Deutschen Wetterdienstes. Offenbach;
  2018.
- Dokulil MT, Jagsch A, George GD, Anneville O, Jankowski T, Wahl B et al. Twenty years of
  spatially coherent deepwater warming in lakes across Europe related to the North Atlantic
  Oscillation. Limnol. Oceanogr. 2006;51(6):2787–93.
- Boray S, Dufour A. The ade4 Package: Implementing the Duality Diagram for Ecologists. J.
  Stat. Softw. 2007;22(4):1–20.
- Drinking Water Ordinance. Ordinance on the quality of water intended for human consumption
  (Trinkwasserverordnung TrinkwV 2001): TrinkwV; 2001.
- Edberg SC, Patterson JE, Smith DB. Differentiation of distribution systems, source water, and
  clinical coliforms by DNA analysis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1994;32(1):139–42.
- Edberg SC, Rice EW, Karlin RJ, Allen MJ. *Escherichia coli*: the best biological drinking water
  indicator for public health protection. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2000;88:106S–116S.
- Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics
  2010;26(19):2460–1.
- 816 Edgar RC. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat.
  817 Methods 2013;10(10):996–8.
- 818 European Community. Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water
- intended for human consumption: European Community Drinking Water Directive; 1998.

Exner M, Behringer K, Wernicke F, Dautzenberg W. Erfahrungen mit Coliformen-Befunden in
einem Trinkwasser-Talsperrensystem in Nordrhein-Westfalen. In: Arbeitsgemeinschaft
Trinkwassertalsperren e.V. (ATT). Coliformen-Befunde gemäß Trinkwasserversordnung
2001: Bewertung und Maßnahmen: Siegburg. München: Kommissionsverlag Oldenbourg
Industrieverlag GmbH; 2005.

825 Ezekiel M. Methods of Correlation Analysis. Wiley, New York 1930.

826 Farnleitner AH, Ryzinska-Paier G, Reischer GH, Burtscher MM, Knetsch S, Kirschner AKT et

al. *Escherichia coli* and enterococci are sensitive and reliable indicators for human,
livestock and wildlife faecal pollution in alpine mountainous water resources. J. Appl.
Microbiol. 2010;109(5):1599–608.

Fischer HB, List JE, Koh CR, Imberger J, Brooks NH. Mixing in inland and coastal waters.
Burlington: Elsevier Science; 1979.

832 Freier K, Feuerpfeil I, Hummel A, Schulze H, Buder G. Erfahrungen mit Coliformen-Befunden

an Trinkwassertalsperren in Sachsen. In: Arbeitsgemeinschaft Trinkwassertalsperren e.V.

(ATT). Coliformen-Befunde gemäß Trinkwasserversordnung 2001: Bewertung und
Maßnahmen: Siegburg. München: Kommissionsverlag Oldenbourg Industrieverlag GmbH;
2005.

Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Class II. Betaproteobacteria class. nov. In: Brenner DJ, Krieg
 NR, Staley JT, editors. Bergey's Manual<sup>®</sup> of Systematic Bacteriology. Boston, MA:
 Springer; 2005.

Gunnarsdottir MJ, Gardarsson SM, Figueras MJ, Puigdomènech C, Juárez R, Saucedo G et
al. Water safety plan enhancements with improved drinking water quality detection
techniques. Sci. Total Environ. 2020;698:134185.

Gyaneshwar P, Parekh LJ, Archana G, Poole PS, Collins MD, Hutson RA et al. Involvement

of a phosphate starvation inducible glucose dehydrogenase in soil phosphate solubilization

by Enterobacter asburiae. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 1999;171(2):223–9.

846 Hagedorn C, Blanch AR, Harwood VJ. Microbial source tracking: Methods, applications, and

case studies. New York: Springer; 2011.

- 848 Hammes F, Berney M, Wang Y, Vital M, Köster O, Egli T. Flow-cytometric total bacterial cell
- counts as a descriptive microbiological parameter for drinking water treatment processes.
  Water Res. 2008;42(1-2):269–77.
- 851 Hoffmann H, Roggenkamp A. Population genetics of the nomenspecies *Enterobacter cloacae*.
- Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2003;69(9):5306–18.
- 853 Hong H, Qiu J, Liang Y. Environmental factors influencing the distribution of total and fecal
- coliform bacteria in six water storage reservoirs in the Pearl River Delta Region, China. J.
  Environ. Sci. 2010;22(5):663–8.
- Hügler M, Petzoldt H, Nitsche R, Hambsch B, Korth A. Mosquitoes as a source for enterococci
  in drinking water samples. IWA World Water Congress & Exhibition 2014 2014.
- Ihaka R, Gentleman R. R: A language for data analysis and graphics. J. Comput. Graph. Stat.
  1996;5(3):299–314.
- 860 International Organization for Standardization (ISO). Water quality Detection and 861 enumeration of intestinal enterococci - Part 2: Membrane filtration method, 2000:1–7.
- 862 International Organization for Standardization (ISO). Water quality Enumeration of
- *Escherichia coli* and coliform bacteria Part 2: Most probable number method, 2012:1–45.
  Izard D, Gavini F, Trinel PA, Leclerc H. Deoxyribonucleic acid relatedness between *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Enterobacter amnigenus* sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.
  1981;31(1):35–42.
- Jeon DJ, Ligaray M, Kim M, Kim G, Lee G, Pachepsky YA et al. Evaluating the influence of
  climate change on the fate and transport of fecal coliform bacteria using the modified SWAT
  model. Sci. Total Environ. 2019;658:753–62.
- 870 Jost L. Entropy and diversity. Oikos 2006;113(2):363–75.
- Jost L. Partitioning diversity into independent alpha and beta components. Ecology
  2007;88(10):2427–39.
- Kämpfer P, Glaeser SP, Packroff G, Behringer K, Exner M, Chakraborty T et al. *Lelliottia aquatilis* sp. nov., isolated from drinking water. Int. J. Sys. Evol. Microbiol.
  2018;68(8):2454–61.

- Kämpfer P, Nienhüser A, Packroff G, Wernicke F, Mehling A, Nixdorf K et al. Molecular
  identification of coliform bacteria isolated from drinking water reservoirs with traditional
  methods and the Colilert-18 system. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 2008;211(3-4):374–84.
- Kassambara A. ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots, 2020. https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/ggpubr/index.html.
- 881 Kirschner AKT, Reischer GH, Jakwerth S, Savio D, Ixenmaier S, Toth E et al. Multiparametric
- 882 monitoring of microbial faecal pollution reveals the dominance of human contamination 883 along the whole Danube River. Water Res. 2017;124:543–55.
- Kistemann T, Classen T, Koch C, Dangendorf F, Fischeder R, Gebel J et al. Microbial load of
  drinking water reservoir tributaries during extreme rainfall and runoff. Appl. Environ.
  Microbiol. 2002;68(5):2188–97.
- Kouzuma A, Watanabe K. Exploring the potential of algae/bacteria interactions. Curr. Opin.
  Biotechnol. 2015;33:125–9.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0
  for bigger datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2016;33(7):1870–4.
- Kundu K, Weber N, Griebler C, Elsner M. Phenotypic heterogeneity as key factor for growth
  and survival under oligotrophic conditions. Environ. Microbiol. 2020.
- Lagkouvardos I, Fischer S, Kumar N, Clavel T. Rhea: A transparent and modular R pipeline
  for microbial profiling based on 16S rRNA gene amplicons. PeerJ 2017;5:e2836.
- Lagkouvardos I, Joseph D, Kapfhammer M, Giritli S, Horn M, Haller D et al. IMNGS: A
  comprehensive open resource of processed 16S rRNA microbial profiles for ecology and
  diversity studies. Sci. Rep. 2016;6:33721.
- Lamendella R, Li KC, Oerther D, Santo Domingo JW. Molecular diversity of *Bacteroidales* in
  fecal and environmental samples and swine-associated subpopulations. Appl. Environ.
  Microbiol. 2013;79(3):816–24.
- Lau YY, How KY, Yin W-F, Chan K-G. Functional characterization of quorum sensing LuxRtype transcriptional regulator, EasR in Enterobacter asburiae strain L1. PeerJ
  2020;8:e10068.

- Lau YY, Sulaiman J, Chen JW, Yin W-F, Chan K-G. Quorum sensing activity of *Enterobacter asburiae* isolated from lettuce leaves. Sensors 2013;13(10):14189–99.
- 906 Layton A, McKay L, Williams D, Garrett V, Gentry R, Sayler G. Development of Bacteroides
- 907 16S rRNA gene TaqMan-based real-time PCR assays for estimation of total, human, and
  908 bovine fecal pollution in water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2006;72(6):4214–24.
- LeChevallier MW, Welch NJ, Smith DB. Full-scale studies of factors related to coliform
  regrowth in drinking water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1996;62(7):2201–11.
- Leclerc H, Mossel DA, Edberg SC, Struijk CB. Advances in the bacteriology of the coliform
  group: their suitability as markers of microbial water safety. Ann. Rev. Microbiol.
  2001;55:201–34.
- Llirós M, Inceoğlu Ö, García-Armisen T, Anzil A, Leporcq B, Pigneur L-M et al. Bacterial
  community composition in three freshwater reservoirs of different alkalinity and trophic
  status. PloS one 2014;9(12):e116145.
- Magnuson, Robertson, Benson, Wynne, Livingstone, Arai et al. Historical trends in lake and
  river ice cover in the northern hemisphere. Science 2000;289(5485):1743–6.
- McDonough RJ, Sanders RW, Porter KG, Kirchman DL. Depth distribution of bacterial
  production in a stratified lake with an anoxic hypolimnion. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
  1986;52(5):992–1000.
- McFeters GA, Stuart SA, Olson SB. Growth of Heterotrophic Bacteria and Algal Extracellular
  Products in Oligotrophic Waters. Applied Environmental and Public Health Microbiology
  1978.
- 925 Mezzatesta ML, Gona F, Stefani S. *Enterobacter cloacae* complex: clinical impact and 926 emerging antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol. 2012;7(7):887–902.
- Mi C, Sadeghian A, Lindenschmidt K-E, Rinke K. Variable withdrawal elevations as a
  management tool to counter the effects of climate warming in Germany's largest drinking
  water reservoir. Environ Sci Eur 2019;31(1):2283.
- Mosley LM. Drought impacts on the water quality of freshwater systems; review and
  integration. Earth-Sci. Rev. 2015;140:203–14.

- 932 Munger ZW, Carey CC, Gerling AB, Doubek JP, Hamre KD, McClure RP et al. Oxygenation
- and hydrologic controls on iron and manganese mass budgets in a drinking-water reservoir.
  Lake and Reservoir Management 2019;35(3):277–91.
- 935 Octavia S, Lan R. The Family Enterobacteriaceae. In: Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S,
- 936 Stackebrandt E, Thompson F, editors. The Prokaryotes. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2014.
  937 p. 225–286.
- Oh M, Han JW, Lee C, Choi GJ, Kim H. Nematicidal and Plant Growth-Promoting Activity of
  Enterobacter asburiae HK169: Genome Analysis Provides Insight into Its Biological
  Activities. Journal of microbiology and biotechnology 2018;28(6):968–75.

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D et al. vegan: Community
Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-6; 2019.

- Packroff G, Clasen J. Eine Massenentwicklung von Enterobacter asburiae im Wasser der
  Wahnbachtalsperre im September 2003. In: Arbeitsgemeinschaft Trinkwassertalsperren
  e.V. (ATT). Coliformen-Befunde gemäß Trinkwasserversordnung 2001: Bewertung und
  Maßnahmen: Siegburg. München: Kommissionsverlag Oldenbourg Industrieverlag GmbH;
  2005.
- Paruch L, Paruch AM, Eiken HG, Sørheim R. Faecal pollution affects abundance and diversity
  of aquatic microbial community in anthropo-zoogenically influenced lotic ecosystems. Sci.
  Rep. 2019;9(1):19469.
- 951 Perrin Y, Bouchon D, Delafont V, Moulin L, Héchard Y. Microbiome of drinking water: A full952 scale spatio-temporal study to monitor water quality in the Paris distribution system. Water
  953 Res. 2019;149:375–85.
- Pinel ISM, Moed DH, Vrouwenvelder JS, van Loosdrecht MCM. Bacterial community dynamics
  and disinfection impact in cooling water systems. Water Res. 2020;172:115505.
- Pinto AJ, Schroeder J, Lunn M, Sloan W, Raskin L. Spatial-temporal survey and occupancyabundance modeling to predict bacterial community dynamics in the drinking water
  microbiome. mBio 2014;5(3):e01135-14.

- Prest EI, Hammes F, Kötzsch S, van Loosdrecht MCM, Vrouwenvelder JS. Monitoring
  microbiological changes in drinking water systems using a fast and reproducible flow
  cytometric method. Water Res. 2013;47(19):7131–42.
- 962 Proctor CR, Besmer MD, Langenegger T, Beck K, Walser J-C, Ackermann M et al.
  963 Phylogenetic clustering of small low nucleic acid-content bacteria across diverse
  964 freshwater ecosystems. ISME J. 2018;12(5):1344–59.
- 965 Pruesse E, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. SINA: Accurate high-throughput multiple sequence
  966 alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. Bioinformatics 2012;28(14):1823–9.
- 967 R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R
  968 Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2019.
- 969 Rodriguez-R LM, Tsementzi D, Luo C, Konstantinidis KT. Iterative subtractive binning of
- 970 freshwater chronoseries metagenomes identifies over 400 novel species and their ecologic
- 971 preferences. Environ. Microbiol. 2020.
- 972 Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F. Actinobacteria. In:
- 973 Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F, editors. The Prokaryotes.
- 974 Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2014.
- Sanders WE, Sanders CC. *Enterobacter* spp.: pathogens poised to flourish at the turn of the
  century. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 1997;10(2):220–41.
- 977 Sedláček I, Holochová P, Mašlaňová I, Kosina M, Spröer C, Bryndová H et al. *Enterococcus* 978 *ureilyticus* sp. nov. and *Enterococcus rotai* sp. nov., two urease-producing enterococci from
- 979 the environment. Int. J. Sys. Evol. Microbiol. 2013;63(2):502–10.
- 980 Stange C, Tiehm A. Occurrence of antibiotic resistance genes and microbial source tracking
- 981 markers in the water of a karst spring in Germany. Sci. Total Environ. 2020:140529.
- Stange C, Yin D, Xu T, Guo X, Schäfer C, Tiehm A. Distribution of clinically relevant antibiotic
  resistance genes in Lake Tai, China. Sci. Total Environ. 2019;655:337–46.
- 984 Statistisches Bundesamt. Öffentliche Wasserversorgung und öffentliche
- Abwasserentsorgung: Öffentliche Wasserversorgung; 2018. Fachserie 19 Reihe 2.1.1.

- 986 Stevens M, Ashbolt NJ, Cunliffe D. Review of coliforms as microbial indicators of drinking water
- 987 qualitiy: Recommendations to change the use of coliforms as microbial indicators of
  988 drinking water quality. Canberra: Australian Government National Health and Medical
- 989 Research Council (NHMRC); 2003.
- 990 Suzuki Y, Niina K, Matsuwaki T, Nukazawa K, Iguchi A. Bacterial flora analysis of coliforms in
- sewage, river water, and ground water using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. J. Environ.
- 992 Sci. Health A 2018;53(2):160–73.
- Taghavi S, van der Lelie D, Hoffman A, Zhang Y-B, Walla MD, Vangronsveld J et al. Genome
  sequence of the plant growth promoting endophytic bacterium Enterobacter sp. 638. PLoS
  genetics 2010;6(5):e1000943.
- Tamames J, Abellán JJ, Pignatelli M, Camacho A, Moya A. Environmental distribution of
  prokaryotic taxa. BMC Microbiol. 2010;10:85.
- 998 Unno T, Jang J, Han D, Kim JH, Sadowsky MJ, Kim O-S et al. Use of barcoded pyrosequencing
  999 and shared OTUs to determine sources of fecal bacteria in watersheds. Environ. Sci.
  1000 Technol. 2010;44(20):7777–82.
- 1001 Vaz-Moreira I, Nunes OC, Manaia CM. Bacterial diversity and antibiotic resistance in water
  1002 habitats: searching the links with the human microbiome. FEMS Microbiol. Rev.
  1003 2014;38(4):761–78.
- Vazquez P, Holguin G, Puente ME, Lopez-Cortes A, Bashan Y. Phosphate-solubilizing
   microorganisms associated with the rhizosphere of mangroves in a semiarid coastal
   lagoon. Biology and Fertility of Soils 2000;30(5-6):460–8.
- 1007 Wasserchemische Gesellschaft, Deutsches Institut für Normung e.V. Deutsche
  1008 Einheitsverfahren zur Wasser-, Abwasser- und Schlammuntersuchung. 1st ed. Germany:
  1009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA; Beuth Verlag GmbH; 2020.
- Weber M, Rinke K, Hipsey MR, Boehrer B. Optimizing withdrawal from drinking water
  reservoirs to reduce downstream temperature pollution and reservoir hypoxia. J. Environ.
  Manage. 2017;197:96–105.
- 1013 Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. 1st ed. New York: Springer; 2016.

- 1014 World Health Organization (WHO). Protecting surface water for health: Identifying, assessing
- and managing drinking-water quality risks in surface-water catchments. Geneva,
  Switzerland: WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data; 2016.
- 1017 World Health Organization (WHO). Guidelines for drinking-water quality: fourth edition 1018 incorporating the first addendum. 4th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017.
- 1019 Zeileis A, Grothendieck G. zoo: S3 Infrastructure for Regular and Irregular Time Series. J. Stat.
- 1020 Softw. 2005;14(6).
- 1021 Zeng D-N, Fan Z-Y, Chi L, Wang X, Qu W-D, Quan Z-X. Analysis of the bacterial communities
- 1022 associated with different drinking water treatment processes. World J. Microbiol. Biotech.
- 1023 2013;29(9):1573–84.

# 1025 Highlights

- Coliform bacteria proliferate in drinking water reservoirs *to values above* 10<sup>4</sup> per 100 ml
- The genera Lelliottia and Enterobacter can form these "coliform blooms"
- Mass proliferation is an autochthonic process, not related to fecal contaminations
- It is related to water temperature and appears mainly in summer
- It is expected to occur more often in future due to climate change

# 1031 Graphical abstract



# 1033 Figures



1035 **Fig. 1:** Physical-chemical parameters in the Klingenberg (R1) and Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (R2)

- 1036 in 2018 and 2019. The graph shows the measured values for temperature, pH and O2 in the
- 1037 depth profile of the two reservoirs over time.



**Fig. 2:** Microbiological parameters in Klingenberg (R1) and Kleine Kinzig (R2) reservoirs in 2018 and 2019. The investigated volume was 100 ml for coliform bacteria, *Escherichia coli* and enterococci and 1 ml for HPC at 22 °C and 36 °C and the total cell count (TCC).



Fig. 3: Number and genera of the coliform bacteria in the Klingenberg (R1) and Kleine Kinzig
Reservoir (R2) in 2018 and 2019. "Others" include the genera: *Dickeya, Hafnia, Klebsiella, Kluyvera, Kosakonia, Leclercia, Moellerella, Morganella, Pantoea, Plesiomonas, Proteus, Providencia, Rahnella, Raoultella* and Yersinia.





- 1048 **Fig. 4:** Quantification and identification of coliform bacteria at the Klingenberg Reservoir during
- 1049 sampling campaign in June (A) and September 2018 (B).



1050

**Fig. 5:** Analysis of the microbial community in the Klingenberg (R1) and Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (R2). Samples marked with \* represent inflows (A). Detailed presentation of the three most abundant phyla *Actinobacteriota*, *Bacteroidota* and *Proteobacteria* (B). The *Enterobacterales* are highlighted with the black arrow. Two-dimensional nonparametric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of the two reservoirs based on generalized UniFrac distances (C).



1057

**Fig. 6:** Redundancy Analysis to reveal relationship between physicochemical parameters and coliform bacteria in Klingenberg Reservoir (A) and Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (B). DE = depth, PR = precipitation, WS = wind speed, AT = air temperature, WT = water temperature, pH = pH-value, OXY = oxygen, CON = conductivity at 25 °C, TUR = turbidity, SAK = SAK 254 nm, CHL = chlorophyll a, TOC = total organic carbon, NO = nitrate, SI = silicate, TP = total phosphate, MN = manganese, FE = iron. Statistical significance is represented by \* (p < 0.05), \*\* (p < 0.01) and \*\*\* (p < 0.001).



0,050

1065

Fig. 7: Phylogenetic analysis of *Enterobacter* and *Lelliottia* spp. from different drinking water
reservoirs and Lake Constance. Maximum likelihood tree based on the genes *atpD*, *gyrB*, *infB*and *rpoB* (MLSA-PCR) with 1000 bootstraps. As an outgroup *Xenorhabdus nematophila*AN6/1 was used. The reference bar represents a difference of the sequences of 5%.



**Fig. 8:** Differences in coliform bacteria, Escherichia coli and enterococci in the Klingenberg Reservoir compared to its inflow. Q2: Apr – June 2018, Q3: July – Sep 2018, Q4: Oct – Dec 2019, Q1: Jan – Mar 2019. Black line represents the detection limit of 1. For graphical illustration, values below detection limit (<1) were set to 0.99. For testing significant differences between the mean of inflow and reservoir samples the Mann-Whitney-U-test was performed (\*:  $p \le 0.05$ , \*\*:  $p \le 0.01$ ).

# 1077 Tables

1078

- 1079 Table 1: General description of the Klingenberg Reservoir and Kleine Kinzig Reservoir
- 1080 analyzed during this study.

	Klingenberg Reservoir (R1)	Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (R2)			
State	Saxony	Baden-Württemberg			
Location	Eastern Ore Mountains	Black Forest			
	(Erzgebirge)				
River basin	Elbe	Rhine			
Altitude	390 m ASL	605 m ASL			
Ground level	359.7 m ASL	544 m ASL			
Withdrawal points	360.2 - 385 m ASL	544 - 599.3 m ASL			
Raw water	360.2 m ASL	564 - 564 m ASL			
Surface water	385 m ASL	589.3 - 599.3 m ASL			
Depth (Average)	15 m	22 m			
Depth (Maximum)	33 m	65 m			
Water surface	116 ha	56 ha			
Capacity	16 Mio m³	13 Mio m <sup>3</sup>			
Raw water delivery	1000 l/s	200 l/s			
Retention time	120 d	190 d			
Catchment area	90 km <sup>2</sup> (forest and agriculture)	18 km² (mainly forest)			
Ecology	mesotrophic	oligotrophic			
Geology	gneiss	fretted sandstone			

**Table 2:** Meteorological and physicochemical parameters of the two reservoirs Klingenberg and Kleine Kinzig.

Meteorological data					Physicochemical data											
	PR	WS	AT	WT	PH	ΟΧΥ	CON	TUR	SAK	CHL	тос	NO	SI	TP	MN	FE
	l/m³	m/s	°C	°C		mg/l	μS/cm	FNU	m <sup>-1</sup>	µg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l
Klingenberg Reservoir (R1)																
2018	536.0	1.0±0.5	9.2±8.2	9.2±5.0	7.2±0.3	10.4±1.9	146.0±2.6	0.5±0.4	6.8±0.9	3.5±2.0	3.5±0.2	13.1±2.5	7.0±0.5	0.025±0.005	0.015±0.023	0.010±0.004
Surface	-	-	-	11.4±6.4	7.5±0.2	10.7±1.5	145.7±2.4	0.5±0.2	6.6±1.0	4.6±1.7	3.6±0.3	12.8±2.4	6.8±0.5	0.026±0.007	0.009±0.009	0.010±0.004
Raw	-	-	-	8.0±4.1	7.0±0.3	10.0±2.3	146.6±2.8	1.0±1.2	6.9±1.0	2.9±2.3	3.4±0.2	13.2±2.5	7.1±0.5	0.025±0.005	0.029±0.049	0.012±0.004
2019	690.4	1.0±0.5	9.3±7.4	8.9±4.8	7.1±0.3	10.9±2.2	157.8±7.9	1.0±0.4	6.7±0.5	7.1±5.4	3.5±0.2	14.5±3.0	5.9±0.5	0.021±0.004	0.022±0.038	0.013±0.006
Surface	-	-	-	11.6±6.2	7.4±0.2	11.5±1.9	154.9±6.5	1.0±0.5	6.6±0.6	8.6±5.8	3.6±0.3	13.9±2.8	5.6±0.5	0.021±0.004	0.011±0.007	0.012±0.007
Raw	-	-	-	7.5±3.5	6.9±0.3	10.0±2.6	161.2±7.7	1.2±0.6	6.8±0.5	6.2±5.0	3.5±0.2	15.0±3.1	6.2±0.4	0.023±0.004	0.060±0.107	0.015±0.007
Kleine K	inzig Res	servoir (R2	2)													
2018	1454.0	2.5±0.7	9.7±7.8	6.6±3.7	6.8±0.3	9.2±2.7	40.6±3.2	1.3±2.2	8.1±2.7	6.7±2.1	2.2±0.2	1.5±0.5	1.4±0.4	0.034±0.018	0.142±0.262	0.188±0.244
Surface	-	-	-	10.6±5.8	7.2±0.5	10.7±1.5	41.5±2.9	1.2±0.6	6.0±3.0	6.7±2.1	2.1±0.4	0.6±0.5	0.8±0.3	-	0.019±0.016	0.041±0.020
Raw	-	-	-	4.9±0.6	6.6±0.1	9.2±2.2	39.2±1.9	1.2±2.3	8.6±1.8	-	2.2±0.1	1.9±0.1	1.6±0.1	0.024±0.003	0.084±0.079	0.136±0.045
2019	1940.6	2.4±0.7	9.2±7.1	6.7±3.2	6.7±0.3	8.6±1.6	39.8±4.2	0.6±0.4	7.9±1.8	5.0±4.8	2.4±0.2	1.5±0.4	1.5±0.1	0.017±0.004	0.026±0.041	0.046±0.030
Surface	-	-	-	9.5±5.2	6.9±0.3	9.7±1.2	39.8±4.4	0.6±0.3	7.0±2.6	6.4±3.9	2.2±0.2	1.1±0.6	1.4±0.2	-	0.011±0.009	0.027±0.016
Raw	-	-	-	5.4±1.2	6.7±0.2	8.4±1.4	39.6±4.3	0.5±0.4	8.4±1.3	-	2.5±0.1	1.7±0.1	1.5±0.1	0.017±0.002	0.020±0.019	0.042±0.009
	-															
Differen	ces betw	een the tw	o reservoi	rs (Mann-W	hitney-U-te	est)										
Surface	***	***	NC	NS	***	***	***	NS	NS	NS	***	***	***	-	**	***
Raw			NS	***	***	***	***	***	***	-	***	***	***	**	***	***

Values show means and standard derivation, except for precipitation that shows sum of daily precipitation. PR = precipitation, WS = wind speed, AT = air temperature, WT = water temperature, pH = pH-value, OXY = oxygen, CON = conductivity at 25 °C, TUR = turbidity, SAK = SAK 254 nm, CHL = chlorophyll a, TOC = total organic carbon, NO = nitrate, SI = silicate, TP = total phosphate, MN = total manganese, FE = dissolved iron. Statistical significance is represented by \* (p < 0.05), \*\* (p < 0.01) and \*\*\* (p < 0.001). NS means no significance.

1084 **Table 3:** Mean values and standard deviation of total cell count (TCC), and gene copies of 16S rRNA genes and microbial source tracking markers

1085 genes (in 1 mL)

		Klingenberg F	Reservoir (R1)	Kleine Kinzig Reservoir (R2)			
		low	high	low	high		
number of samples		15	5	5	6		
date		June 2018	September 2018	December 2019	July 2019		
тсс	counts/ml	7.4 x 10 <sup>5</sup> ± 6.1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	8.2 x 10 <sup>5</sup> ± 1.2 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	5.4 x 10 <sup>5</sup> ± 1.1 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	5.7 x 10 <sup>5</sup> ± 3.4 x 10 <sup>5</sup>		
LNA	%	37.2%	31.8%	15.5%	12.9%		
16S rRNA	GC/ml	1.3 x 10 <sup>6</sup> ± 9.8 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	$1.3 \times 10^6 \pm 1.5 \times 10^5$	6.3 x 10 <sup>5</sup> ± 5.3 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	$5.9 \times 10^5 \pm 1.7 \times 10^5$		
unspecific	GC/ml	1.1 x 10 <sup>2</sup> ± 5.2 x 10 <sup>1</sup>	$2.0 \times 10^3 \pm 6.5 \times 10^2$	2.8 x 10 <sup>3</sup> ± 3.6 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	$3.8 \times 10^3 \pm 1.5 \times 10^3$		
human-specific	GC/ml	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>		
ruminant-specific	GC/ml	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>		
pig-specific	GC/ml	<loq< th=""><th>4.0 x 10<sup>2</sup>*</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	4.0 x 10 <sup>2</sup> *	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>		

\* only measured in one sample, therefore no standard error

**Table 4:** Description of additional drinking water reservoirs and lakes with mass proliferation of coliform bacteria studied.

	Lake Constance	Rappbode Reservoir	Breitenbach Reservoir	Söse Reservoir		
Description of surface water						
State	Germany, Austria, Switzerland	Saxony-Anhalt	North Rhine-Westphalia	Lower Saxony		
Location	Foothills of the Alps	Harz Mountains	Rothaargebirge	Harz Mountains		
River basin	Rhine	Elbe	Rhine	Weser		
Altitude	395 m ASL	423 m ASL	370 m ASL	326 m ASL		
Depth (Average)	90 m	29 m 14 m		21 m		
Depth (Maximum)	251 m	89 m 35 m		49 m		
Water surface	53,000 ha	390 ha 58 ha		124 ha		
Capacity	48 Mrd m <sup>3</sup>	110 Mio m <sup>3</sup>	8 Mio m³	25 Mio m³		
Raw water delivery	5500 l/s	2900 l/s	190 l/s	550 l/s		
Catchment area	11,500 km²	115 km² (mainly forest)	12 km² (mainly forest)	49 km²		
Ecology	oligotrophic	mesotrophic	oligo-/mesotrophic	oligotrophic		
Geology	moraines	granite	shale, graywacke	shale, granite		
Proliferation of coliform bacteria						
Method	Colilert	Colilert	Colilert	CCA		
Concentration (Maximum)	>200 MPN/100 ml (R, S)	>2420 MPN/100 ml (S)	7940 MPN/100 ml (R)	>200 CFU/100 ml (R)		
Date (Maximum)	27.08./16.09.2015 (R, S)	01./09.08.2018 (S)	18.09.2019 (R)	22.07.2019 (R)		
Concentration (Sampling)	172 MPN/100 ml (R)	>2420 MPN/100 ml (S), 1986 MPN/100 ml (R)	326 MPN/100 ml (R)	264 CFU/100 ml (R)		
Date (Sampling)	02.11.2015 (R)	09.08.2018 (S), 28.08.2018 (R)	21.10.2019 (R)	30.10.2019 (R)		
Identification (Sampling)	Enterobacter asburiae	Enterobacter asburiae	Enterobacter asburiae	Lelliottia amnigena		

S: Surface water; R: Raw water