eLIFE

Research Article

1	An exceptionally preserved euarthropod with											
2	unique feather-like appendages from the											
3	Chengjiang biota											
4	Dayou Zhai ^{1,2} , Mark Williams ^{2,3} , David J. Siveter ^{2,3} , Derek J.											
5	Siveter ^{2,4,5} , Thomas H.P. Harvey ^{2,3} , Robert S. Sansom ⁶ , Huijuan											
6	Mai ^{1,2} , Runqing Zhou ⁷ and Xianguang Hou ^{1,2*}											
7	¹ Yunnan Key Laboratory for Palaeobiology, Institute of Palaeontology,											
8	Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, 650500, China; ² MEC											
9	International Joint Laboratory for Palaeobiology and Palaeoenvironment,											
10	Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, 650500, China; ³ Centre for											
11	Palaeobiology Research, School of Geography, Geology and the											
12	Environment, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK; 4 Earth											
13	Collections, Oxford University Museum of Natural History, Parks Road,											
14	Oxford, OX1 3PW, UK; 5 Department of Earth Sciences, University of											
15	Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PR, UK; ⁶ School of Earth and											
16	Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, M13											
17	9PT, UK; ⁷ Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of											
18	Sciences, 19 Beituchengxi Road, Beijing 100029, China											
19	*For correspondence: xghou@ynu.edu.cn											
20												
21	Abstract Micro-CT scanning of the Cambrian euarthropod Chuandianella											
22	ovata reveals unprecedented three-dimensional soft-part details. It has an											

eLIFE

Research Article

23 elongate uniramous antennule and a short uniramous second appendage, 24 followed by ten homonomous biramous appendages, each comprising a 25 short paddle-shaped exopod and a unique feather-like endopod with at 26 least 27 podomeres each of which bears a long blade-like endite with a short terminal seta. Based on its carapace and previously known soft-part 27 28 anatomy C. ovata was compared with the Burgess Shale mandibulate euarthropod Waptia. However, Waptia has recently been shown to bear 29 30 specialized head appendages that are interpreted as a mandible and 31 maxillula, posterior to which are four appendages each with five-32 segmented endopods. In contrast, we interpret Chuandianella as an 33 'upper' stem-group euarthropod that possessed neither a differentiated 34 mandible nor a maxillula. Chuandianella further demonstrates that early 35 Cambrian 'upper' stem-group euarthropods were experimenting with a 36 range of different limb arrangements and morphologies.

- 37
- 38

39 Introduction

40 During the Cambrian Period bivalved arthropods formed a numerically abundant and widespread component of marine ecosystems in benthic, 41 42 nektobenthic and pelagic settings (Williams et al., 2007, 2015). They are among the most abundant animals in the Chengjiang Lagerstätte of China 43 44 (Zhao et al., 2012; Hou et al., 2017) and the North American Burgess Shale 45 Lagerstätte (Briggs et al., 1994). Many of these arthropods are species that 46 have been assigned to Bradoriida Raymond, 1935, a group common worldwide 47 and with very rare exceptions known only from their bivalved carapaces

eLIFE

Research Article

(*Williams et al., 2007*). Where they preserve soft-part anatomy in many cases conventional classifications based on carapace morphology break down. The markedly different arthropod body plans revealed beneath the bivalved carapace include stem euarthropods (*Zhai et al., 2019a*), and mandibulates (*Vannier et al., 2018*) including stem pan-crustaceans (*Zhai et al., 2019b*).

53 Recent Micro-CT scanning of fossil arthropods has in many cases 54 revolutionised our understanding of their soft-part anatomy. This has become 55 particularly apparent in the study of the Cambrian (Series 2, Stage 3) 56 Chengijang biota arthropods of China (e.g., Liu et al. 2020), which preserve 57 components of their original three-dimensional soft-part anatomy, including fine 58 details of appendages (e.g., Zhai et al., 2019a,b; Liu et al., 2020). Here we 59 describe newly micro-CT analysed specimens of the Chengjiang bivalved 60 arthropod Chuandianella ovata (Li, 1975), which show remarkably preserved 61 soft parts. We undertake a detailed morphological analysis of this new material 62 to assess the hypotheses of the possible affinity and lifestyle of this bivalved taxon, and its implications for understanding the diversity and evolution of early 63 64 euarthropods

65

66 **Results**

67 Systematic palaeontology

68 Phylum: Euarthropoda Lankester, 1904

69

70 Genus (Monotypic)

71 Chuandianella Hou and Bergström, 1991

72

eLIFE

Research Article

73 Generic and species diagnosis (amended after Hou and Bergström)

Bivalved euarthropod bearing a uniramous antennule consisting of at least ten podomeres; a short, uniramous second appendage with at least six podomeres; ten other, homonomous appendages, each comprising a short paddle-shaped exopod and a feather-like endopod bearing at least 27 podomeres each with a long blade-like endite bearing a terminal seta; and an abdomen comprising four apodous segments, plus a tailpiece with two elongate, flap-like caudal structures.

81

82 Type species

- 83 Mononotella ovata Li, 1975
- 84 Note: The author's name "Li" is spelled "Lee" in some publications.
- 85

86 **Type horizon and locality**

- 87 Chiungchussu Formation, *Eoredlichia-Wutingaspis* trilobite biozone, Cambrian
- 88 Series 2, Stage 3. Chiungchussu, Kunming, Yunnan Province, China.
- 89

90 Species

- 91 Chuandianella ovata (Li, 1975).
- 92

93 Holotype

- 94 Repository given (Li, 1975) as the Institute of Southwestern Geosciences,
- 95 Chengdu, China (now known as The Chengdu Centre of the Geological Survey
- 96 of China). Collection number YN6303, specimen number YO10; designated
- 97 and figured Li 1975, pl. 3, fig. 16.

eLIFE

98

99 Key synonymy

- Mononotella ovata Lee, 1975, sp. nov., p. 65, pl. 3, figs 16, 17; Mononotella 100 101 viviosa Lee, 1975, sp. nov., p. 65, pl. 3, fig. 18; ?Mononotella marginia Lee, 1975, sp. nov., pl. 3, figs 19, 20; Chuandianella ovata (Li, 1975), Hou and 102 103 Bergström, 1997, p. 41, fig. 37 (g.v. for earlier synonymy); Chuandianella ovata 104 (Li, 1975), Liu and Shu, 2008, p. 358, text-figs 1-3; Chuandianella ovata (Lee, 105 1975), Hou et al., 2017, p. 238, figs 20.61, 20.62; Chuandianella ovata, Ou et 106 al., 2020, figs 1A,C,E,G,H,J, 2, 3. 107 108 **Species diagnosis**
- 109 As for the genus.
- 110

111 **Description**

The carapace is up to 1.45 cm long (Liu and Shu, 2008), 'bivalved' along a 112 113 median fold, but lacking an articulating hinge. Valves are strongly postplete in 114 lateral outline and lack lobation; they have a narrow incurved free margin 115 (Figures 1h, 2a,b, 4a). In some individuals (Figure 3e, j; Supplementary Figure 116 7a) the external carapace surface is finely pitted. The body is up to 3 cm long 117 (Ou et al., 2020) and consists of 18 segments (Figures 2b, 4). It is attached to 118 the carapace dorsally by at least the first four (possibly five) segments (Figure 119 1h). Pedunculate stalked eyes originate from the first (ocular; presumed protocerebral) segment and protrude beyond the anterior margin of the 120 121 carapace (e.g., Figure 3f,g; Supplementary Figures 4, 6). The eye has a dark-

eLIFE

Research Article

122 coloured central zone and a light-coloured outer zone, with a well-defined visual
123 surface (*Figure 3g*; *Supplementary Figure 6b*).

124 The antennule is uniramous, narrow and about 30% longer than the 125 carapace; it consists of at least 10 podomeres and gradually tapers distally (Figures 1a, 2b, 3a,i; Supplementary Figures 5a, 6a). The proximal podomere 126 127 is stouter than the rest and the more distal podomeres are longer; each podomere bears up to five short stiff setae on the adaxial surface (Figure 3b-d; 128 129 Supplementary Figure 5). The second appendage is uniramous, consisting of at 130 least six, gradually tapering podomeres; it is presumed to represent an 131 endopod (Figures 1d,e,f, 3k, 4; Supplementary 8b,c). Its terminal podomere is elongate. rod-like and apparently lacks a terminal claw (Figures 1f, 3k); it is 132 133 uncertain if it has setae or not (Supplementary Figure 8c). Posterior to the second appendage there are ten homonomous appendages. Each consists of a 134 135 short paddle-shaped exopod (Figures 1b,g; Supplementary Figure 1a,b, 2a,b, 136 7d) and a long endopod that is more robust proximally and gradually tapers 137 distally (Figure 1); evidence of the basipod is not apparent. The endopod bears 138 at least 27 podomeres, each with a long blade-like endite bearing a short 139 terminal seta (Figure 1c, i, 4; Supplementary Figures 2c, 3b, 5b), giving an overall feather-like morphology. The endites in some specimens are preserved 140 141 perpendicular to the axis of the endopod and parallel to each other (e.g., Figure 142 1c,h), but in other specimens they overlap each other (e.g., Figure 1) indicating 143 flexible movement and/or taphonomic displacement. The posterior part of the 144 trunk (= abdomen sensu Vannier et al., 2018 and Zhai et al., 2019b), which 145 consists of a tubular section of four sclerites and a tailpiece bearing two long, 146 blade-like structures, is apodous (Figures 1a,b, 2a,b). The carapace covers

eLIFE

Research Article

about the first nine segments of the body; the more posterior segments protrude posteriorly from the carapace via a gape (*Figure 2a,b*). Two specimens bear tiny sub-circular/ovoid objects, mostly 400–600 µm across and loosely scattered within the left valve (*Figures 1j*) or roughly arranged in multiple rows (*Supplementary Figure 9a*); they are interpreted as eggs. In the better-preserved specimen YKLP 16258 (*Supplementary Figure 9*) at least 48 eggs are associated with a single valve.

154

155 **Discussion**

156 Chuandianella ovata is not a waptiid

157 Based on carapace morphology C. ovata was originally (Li, 1975) assigned to 158 the Cambrian bradoriid Mononotella (for which, see Siveter and Williams, 1997) and was subsequently designated (Hou and Bergström, 1991) as the type 159 160 species of Chuandianella. Chen et al. (1996) and Chen (2004) opined that C. 161 ovata is a waptiid, related to the Burgess Shale Waptia fieldensis Walcott, 162 1912. Hou and Bergström, (1997) tentatively included Chuandianella in the 163 Family Waptiidae Walcott, 1912, though noted it had 'not yet been studied in 164 detail'. Both taxa have carapaces with a postplete outline lacking lobes and nodes, a dorsal median fold without an articulating hinge, a trunk with four 165 166 apodous segments and a tailpiece with two caudal structures (Chen et al., 1996: Hou and Bergström, 1997; Chen, 2004). With some exceptions (Liu and 167 168 Shu, 2004, 2008; Hou et al. 2017) a possible affinity with waptilds has 169 persisted, as in the study of the bivalved Pauloterminus spinodorsalis from the 170 Cambrian of Greenland (Taylor, 2002) and in Vannier et al. (2018) who 171 considered Chuandianella to be a mandibulate euarthropod.

eLIFE

Research Article

172 C. ovata differs in morphology from both P. spinodorsalis and W. 173 fieldensis. The biramous trunk appendages of P. spinodorsalis, each with a 174 short feather-like endopod and a long, paddle-shaped exopod, distinguish it 175 from C. ovata, whilst P. spinodorsalis also possesses a longer carapace (9.1 -46.3 mm; Taylor, 2002) compared with that of C. ovata (5.15 - 13.09 mm; see 176 177 Table 1). Waptia fieldensis is interpreted as possessing a specialised mandible 178 and maxillula, whilst the four post-maxillular appendages are also specialized 179 with 5-segmented endopods (Vannier et al., 2018). These important and 180 diagnostic features, together with the longer carapace of W. fieldensis (in 181 adults, 10.99 - 24.54 mm; Vannier et al., 2018), distinguish it from C. ovata.

182 The morphology of Chuandianella does not support its assignment to 183 crown-group Mandibulata, not least because of the absence of head segments 184 bearing mandibles and maxillulae (see Scholtz and Edgecombe 2006). Here 185 we interpret Chuandianella as an 'upper' stem-group euarthropod (sensu 186 Ortega-Hernández, 2016) based on its possession of a deutocerebral first appendage pair, a multi-segmented head region with, in this case, two pairs of 187 188 differentiated post-ocular limbs, complete arthropodization, including post-oral 189 biramous limbs. We cannot determine the presence of a posterior facing mouth 190 beneath a hypostome/labrum complex.

191

192 The feather-like endopods of *C. ovata* are unique

Previous studies of *C. ovata* (e.g., *Liu and Shu, 2008*) failed to identify the paddle-shaped exopods of its trunk limbs, and the feather-like endopods (*Figures 1c,j; 2a*) were mistakenly interpreted as exopods. The extremely long blade-like endopodal endites of *C. ovata* are the only ones of their kind known

eLIFE

Research Article

197 in a Cambrian bivalved euarthropod and further demonstrate that early, 'upper' 198 stem-group euarthropods were experimenting with a wide array of different limb 199 arrangements and morphologies (Zhai et al., 2019a). Possible comparable 200 structures occur in W. fieldensis: six pairs of annulate post-cephalothoracic 201 seemingly single-branched appendages fringed with long lamellae (Vannier et 202 al., 2018) were interpreted as possible endopods or, more likely, basipods of a unique morphology within Euarthropoda (Vannier et al., 2018). The morphology 203 204 of the endopods in C. ovata also bear comparison with those of the bradoriid 205 Kunmingella douvillei (Mansuy, 1912), though in the latter the endopodal 206 endites are less numerous (see Zhai et al., 2019a), are cylindrical rather than 207 blade-like, and are significantly shorter. Without a full understanding of their 3-D 208 morphology, the endopods of Kunmingella were initially misinterpreted as 209 exopods (Hou et al., 1996, fig. 5).

210

211 The bivalved carapace is an unreliable indicator of affinity

212 Previous studies have clearly demonstrated that the taxonomic assignment of 213 early Palaeozoic ostracod crustaceans may be flawed if based on the 214 morphology of their bivalved carapace alone (Siveter et al., 2012, 2018). 215 Similarly, analysis of three bivalved arthropods referred to the Bradoriida has 216 demonstrated that their carapace morphology when considered alone is an 217 unreliable basis for classification: the carapace houses markedly different softbodies that include both stem-euarthropods and mandibulate-like euarthropods 218 219 (Zhai et al., 2019a). The data presented here on the soft-part anatomy of C. 220 ovata provides further evidence that carapace morphology alone is a poor 221 indicator of the affinity of bivalved euarthropods and that the diversity of

eLIFE

Research Article

222 morphologies seen in bivalved fossil euarthropods is greater than previously 223 appreciated.

224

225 Mode of life of C. ovata

The morphology of the biramous appendages of *C. ovata* is not compatible with 226 227 ambulatory activity on the seabed. The feather-like endopods and welldeveloped tail fan of C. ovata may have aided swimming/propulsion and 228 229 manoeuvrability (Figures 1c, j, 4, 5; see also Liu and Shu, 2008). A possible 230 nektonic lifestyle is also supported by its occurrence: C. ovata is relatively 231 common and is widespread in the Cambrian of southwest China (Hou et al., 232 2017). It is known from similar Cambrian stratigraphical levels (Series 2, Stage 233 3) in Sichuan, Guizhou and southern Shaanxi provinces (although only material from Yunnan has yielded soft-part anatomy). C. ovata may have used its long 234 feather-like endopodal endites for filter-feeding, capturing small-sized organic 235 236 material. Its long setate antennules presumably had a sensory function, perhaps to detect predators, food or monitor environmental conditions. The 237 diminutive second appendage may have functioned like the main ramus of the 238 239 first maxillula of living crustaceans such as ostracods (Meisch, 2000) to support 240 food manipulation other than mastication. The stalked eves are well developed, 241 protrude beyond the carapace and their preservation in various orientations 242 suggests that they were mobile to provide multi-directional vision (Figures 1a, 2a,b, 3a,f,i; see also Supplementary Figures 4,6a). The radius of curvature of 243 the eye is greater laterally than frontally, suggesting better resolution of the 244 lateral field (Strausfeld, 2015). That C. ovata occurs in supposed coprolites in 245

eLIFE

Research Article

the Chengjiang biota (*Chen and Zhou, 1997; Vannier and Chen, 2005*)
indicates that it was a prey or carrion item.

The bivalved carapace of C. ovata apparently functioned not only for 248 249 protection of soft parts but also apparently as a surface for the attachment of its eggs. Ou et al. (2020) reported egg-bearing specimens of C. ovata and 250 251 compared possible reproductive modes of C. ovata and W. fieldensis (the latter 252 reported by Caron and Vannier, 2016) based on the size, number and 253 morphology of eggs. They determined that the eggs of *C. ovata* were smaller 254 (0.5 mm versus 2.0 mm in diameter) than those of W. fieldensis but each 255 individual animal carried significantly more eggs (≤ 100 versus ≤ 26 per clutch) 256 than W. fieldensis, implying different reproductive strategies (Ou et al., 2020). 257 Our observations on our egg-bearing specimens of C. ovata (Figure 1i; Supplementary Figure 9) generally confirm the size, number and position of 258 259 eggs as indicated by Ou et al. (2020). Since C. ovata is morphologically distinct 260 from W. fieldensis differences in brooding strategies between these taxa are 261 not surprising. Sexual dimorphism has been suggested for C. ovata, by which 262 the valves of supposed males are larger, with a greater height to length ratio, 263 and have a pitted rather than smooth surface (Liu and Shu, 2008). As only one of the two egg-bearing specimens in our material has pitted valves (Figure 3) 264 265 ornament should not be regarded as a possible dimorphic character. We have 266 been unable to replicate the observation (Liu and Shu, 2008) that female and 267 male reproductive systems are preserved in some specimens.

268

269 Conclusions

eLIFE

Research Article

Micro-CT scanning of the stem group euarthropod *Chuandianella ovata* from the Cambrian Chengjiang Lagerstätte reveals unprecedented details of its softpart anatomy. Notably, *C. ovata* possessed differentiated first and second appendages, and a further ten homonomous appendages each with a short paddle-shaped exopod and a feather-like endopod of at least 27 podomeres. This morphology clearly differentiates *Chuandianella* from the Cambrian mandibulate euarthropod *Waptia*, to which it has been consistently compared.

277 The feather-like endopods of *C. ovata* attest to the wide diversity of limb 278 arrangements and morphologies developed by early Cambrian, 'upper' stem-279 group euarthropods. Together with the well-developed tail fan of C. ovata, 280 these may have facilitated a nektonic lifestyle, a notion that is also supported by 281 the widespread occurrence of C. ovata in the Cambrian of southwest China. Its well-developed stalked eyes would have provided multi-directional vision for 282 283 various uses including detection of predators. That C. ovata occurs in supposed 284 coprolites in the Chengjiang biota also indicates that it was a prey or carrion 285 item.

286

287 Material and methods.

New specimens of *C. ovata* were collected from the Yu'anshan Member, Chiungchussu Formation, *Eoredlichia-Wutingaspis* trilobite biozone, Cambrian Series 2, Stage 3, Yunnan Province (see *Hou et al., 2017*), at Mafang, Ercaicun and Jianshan in Haikou, Kunming (*Supplementary Table 1*). Fourteen specimens which revealed appendage morphology in high fidelity were selected for detailed study. Specimens are mainly housed in the Yunnan Key

eLIFE

Research Article

Laboratory for Palaeobiology (YKLP), Yunnan University, Kunming, or in the Yunnan Geological Survey (Hz-f-4-777, He-f-6-4-294).

296 Fossil structures exposed on the surface of the rock slabs were imaged 297 with a Nikon D3X camera with an Af-S VR105 macro lens (Figure 3) and a 298 Keyence VHX6000 stereo-microscope (photographs in all other figures). Fossil 299 structures hidden within the slabs (Figures 1, 2) were revealed using a Zeiss Xradia 520 Versa X-ray Microscope. Scanning pixel size ranged from 3.4 to 300 301 26.8 µm, depending on the size of the scanned region and the slab. The digital 302 data from each specimen, in the form of a series of one to a few thousand TIFF 303 images representing cross-sections through different parts of the slab, were 304 processed with Drishti software (Versions 2.4) to generate 3-D models of the 305 fossils.

306

307 Acknowledgements

308 This study was supported by NSFC grants 41861134032 and 41902011, the Key Research Program of the Institute of Geology & 309 310 Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IGGCAS-201905), and Yunnan 311 Provincial Research Grant YNWR-QNBJ-2019-295. M.W. thanks the 312 Leverhulme Trust for a Research Fellowship (RF-2018-275\4). We thank Mr. Xiaodong Wang for making the artistic reconstruction of Chuandianella used in 313 314 Figure 5. The Jianshan Subsidiary of the Yunnan Phosphate Chemical Group 315 Co. Ltd. provided invaluable help facilitating field work. The Yunnan Geological 316 Survey granted access to two of the specimens.

317

318 Additional files

eLIFE

Research Article

319 Supplementary files

320 Supplementary Table 1. Dimensions of specimens of *Chuandianella ovata*321 investigated in this study.

322

Supplementary Figure 1. Chuandianella ovata, YKLP 16216. (A) Microscope 323 324 image of specimen on rock slab. Scale bar = 0.8 mm. (B) Micro-CT stereopair image. Scale bar = 2.0 mm. Abbreviations as for Figures 1-3. Italics indicate a 325 326 right-side appendage. Note: The soft parts of this specimen are taphonomically 327 dislocated and are flipped vertically, so that this figure shows the dorsal views 328 of the carapace and the ventral views of the trunk and appendages, with the 329 left appendages associated with the right valve while the right appendages are 330 associated with the left valve (cf. Figure 1b,g).

331

Supplementary Figure 2. *Chuandianella ovata*, YKLP 16215a. (A) Microscope image of specimen on rock slab, dorsal view. Note that the posterior part of the trunk is missing. Scale bar = 3.3 mm. (B,C) Micro-CT images of anterior part, stereo-pairs (anterior end to the left). Scale bar = 3.1 mm. (B) Dorsal view. (C) Ventral view. Abbreviations as for Figures 1-3. Italics indicate a right-side appendage.

338

Supplementary Figure 3. *Chuandianella ovata*, YKLP 16217 (for microscope
image of this specimen see *Figure 3a-e*), stereo-pairs of micro-CT images.
Scale bar = 5.0 mm. (A) Dorsal view of anterior part. (B) Ventral view of
anterior part. Abbreviation additional to Figures 1-3: cz, central zone of the eye
with dark coloration. Italics indicate a right-side appendage.

eLIFE

Research Article

344

Supplementary Figure 4. *Chuandianella ovata*, YKLP 16218, microscope
images (for micro-CT images of this specimen see *Figure 1a, c*). Scale bar =
1.0 mm. (A) YKLP 16218a, dorsal view of anterior part. (B) YKLP 16218b,
ventral view of anterior part; posterior part is buried in sediment. Abbreviations
as for Figures 1-3. Italics indicate a right-side appendage.

350

Supplementary Figure 5. *Chuandianella ovata*, He-f-6-4-294. (A) Microscope
image, oblique-right view; the posterior part of the trunk is missing. Scale bar =
1.0 mm. (B) Stereo-pair of micro-CT image, details of anterior part of specimen,
oblique-left view (viewed from underside of slab). Scale bar = 1.2 mm. (C)
Details of setae on left a1 (white rectangle in A). Scale bar = 0.5 mm.
Abbreviations as for Figures 1-3. Italics indicate a right-side appendage.

357

Supplementary Figure 6. *Chuandianella ovata*, Hz-f-4-777. (A) Microscope
image, dorsal view; the left and posterior parts of the specimen are missing.
Scale bar = 1.8 mm. (B) Details of left eye. Scale bar = 0.7 mm. (C) Stereo-pair
of micro-CT image, ventral view of anterior part. Scale bar = 1.0 mm.
Abbreviations as for Figures 1-3. Italics indicate a right-side appendage.

363

Supplementary Figure 7. *Chuandianella ovata*, YKLP 16256. (A) Microscope image, showing pits on left valve. Scale bar = 0.4 mm. (B) Microscope image, dorsal view. Scale bar = 0.9 mm. (C,D) Stereo-pairs of micro-CT images. The posterior part of the body is reflexed so that it emerges from the anterior end of

eLIFE

Research Article

368	the carapace. Scale bar = 2.0 mm. (C) Dorsal view. (D) Ventral view.										
369	Abbreviations as for Figures 1-3. Italics indicate a right-side appendage.										
370											
371	Supplementary Figure 8. Chuandianella ovata, YKLP 16257. (A) Microscope										
372	image, dorsal view. Scale bar = 2.3 mm. (B,C) Stereo-pairs of micro-CT										
373	images. (B) Dorsal view. Scale bar = 5.0 mm. (C) Ventral view of the anterior										
374	part (white rectangle in B), showing a2. Scale bar = 1.5 mm. Abbreviation										
375	additional to Figures 1-3: uf, unidentified fossil. Italics indicate a right-side										
376	appendage.										
377											
378	Supplementary Figure 9. Chuandianella ovata, YKLP 16258, an egg-bearing										
379	specimen, microscope images. (A) Overview, oblique-right view. Scale bar =										
380	2.0 mm. (B) Details of eggs (white rectangle in A). Scale bar = 1.4 mm.										
381	Abbreviations as for Figures 1-3. Italics indicate a right-side appendage.										
382											
383	Data availability										
384	Computed tomography data will be available on Dryad upon acceptance by the										

385 journal.

386

387 **References**

Briggs DEG, Erwin DH, Collier FJ. 1994. *The Fossils of the Burgess Shale*.
Washington, USA and London, UK: Smithsonian Institution Press. 238pp.

Caron J-B, Vannier J. 2016. Waptia and the diversification of brood care in
early arthropods. Current Biology 26: 69–74. DOI:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.006

eLIFE

Research Article

- 393 Chen JY. 2004. The Dawn of Animal World. Nanjing, China: Jiangsu Science
- and Technology Press. 366pp. (In Chinese).
- 395 Chen JY, Zhou GQ. 1997. Biology of the Chengjiang fauna. Bulletin of the
 396 National Museum of Natural Science 10: 11–106.
- 397 Chen JY, Zhou GQ, Zhu MY, Yeh KY. 1996. The Chengjiang Biota A Unique
- Window of the Cambrian Explosion. Taiwan, China: The National Museum
 of Natural Science. 185–186. (In Chinese).
- Hou XG, Bergström J. 1991. The arthropods of the Lower Cambrian
 Chengjiang fauna, with relationships and evolutionary significance. *In*:
 Simonetta AM Conway Morris S (Eds). *The Early Evolution of Metazoa and the Significance of Problematic Taxa*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University
 Press. 179–187.
- Hou XG, Bergström J. 1997. Arthropods of the Lower Cambrian Chengjiang
 fauna, southwest China. *Fossils and Strata* 45: 1–116.

407 Hou XG, Siveter DJ, Williams M, Walossek D, Bergström J. 1996. Appendages
408 of the arthropod *Kunmingella* from the Early Cambrian of China: its bearing

409 on the systematic position of the Bradoriida and the fossil record of the

- 410 Ostracoda. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B
- 411 **351**: 1131–1145. DOI:
- 412 https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rstb.1996.0098

Hou XG, Siveter David J, Siveter Derek J, Aldridge RJ, Cong PY, Gabbott SE,
Ma XY, Purnell M, Williams M. 2017. *The Cambrian Fossils of Chengjiang, China: the Flowering of Early Animal Life*. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
316pp.

eLIFE

Research Article

417	Lankester I	ER.	1904.	The structure	and	classification	of	Arthropod	la. G	Quarterly	
-----	-------------	-----	-------	---------------	-----	----------------	----	-----------	-------	-----------	--

- 418 Journal of Microscopical Science 47: 523–582. DOI:
 419 10.2143/CILL.22.3.2002686
- 420 Lee (=Li) YW. 1975. On the Cambrian ostracodes with new material from
- 421 Sichuan, Yunnan and Shaanxi, China. *Professional Papers on Stratigraphy*
- 422 and Palaeontology 2: 37–72. Beijing, China: Geological Publishing House.
- 423 (In Chinese).
- Liu HQ, Shu DG. 2004. New information on *Chuandianella* from the Lower
 Cambrian Chengjiang Fauna, Yunnan, China. *Journal of Northwest University* 34: 453–456. (In Chinese, with English summary).
- 427 Liu HQ, Shu DG. 2008. *Chuandianella ovata* from Lower Cambrian Chengjiang
- 428 biota. Acta Palaeontologica Sinica **47**: 352–361.
- Liu Y, Ortega-Hernández J, Chen H, Mai H, Zhai D, Hou X. 2020. Computed
 tomography sheds new light on the affinities of the enigmatic euarthropod
 Jianshania furcatus from the early Cambrian Chengjiang biota. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 20: 62. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-020-01625-
- 433 4
- 434 Mansuy H. 1912. Pt. 2, Paléontologie. In: Deprat J, Mansuy H. *Etude*435 géologique du Yun-Nan oriental. Mémoires du service géologquie de
 436 l'Indochine, 1, 146 pp, 7 pls.
- 437 Meisch C. 2000. Freshwater Ostracoda of Western and Central Europe. In:
 438 Schwoerbel J, Zwick P (Eds). *Süßwasserfauna von Mitteleuropa 8/3*.
 439 Heidelberg, Berlin, Germany: Spektrum Akademischer Verlag. 522 pp.
- 440 Ortega-Hernández J. 2016. Making sense of 'lower' and 'upper' stem-group
 441 Euarthropoda, with comments on the strict use of the name Arthropoda von

eLIFE

Research Article

442 Siebold, 1848. *Biological Reviews* 91: 255–273. DOI:
443 https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12168

444 **Ou Q**, Vannier J, Yang X, Chen A, Mai H, Shu D, Han J, Fu D, Wang R, Mayer

445 G. 2020. Evolutionary trade-off in reproduction of Cambrian arthropods.

446 Science Advances 6: eaaz3376. DOI:

447 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz3376

448 Raymond PE. 1935. *Leanchoilia* and other Mid-Cambrian Arthropoda. *Bulletin*449 of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard University **76**: 205–230.

450 **Scholtz G,** Edgecombe, G. 2006. The evolution of arthropod heads: 451 reconciling morphological, developmental and palaeontological evidence.

452 Development Genes and Evolution **216**: 395-414.

453 Siveter David J, Williams M. 1997. Cambrian bradoriid and phosphatocopid
454 arthropods of North America. Special Papers in Palaeontology 57: 1–69.

455 **Siveter David J**, Briggs DEG, Siveter Derek J, Sutton MD, Joomun SC. 2012.

456 A Silurian myodocope with preserved soft-parts: cautioning the

457 interpretation of the shell-based ostracod record. *Proceedings the Royal*

458 Society B 280: 20122664. DOI: http://dx.do.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.2664

459 **Siveter David J**, Briggs DEG, Siveter Derek J, Sutton MD. 2018. A well-460 preserved respiratory system in a Silurian ostracod. *Biology Letters* **14**:

461 20180464. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.20180464

462 Strausfeld NJ, Ma XY, Edgecombe GD, Fortey RA, Land MF, Liu Y, Cong P,
463 Hou XG. 2016. Arthropod eyes: the early Cambrian fossil record and
464 divergent evolution of visual systems. *Arthropod Structure & Development*465 45: 152–172. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asd.2015.07.005

eLIFE

Research Article

- 466 Taylor R. 2002. A new bivalved arthropod from the early Cambrian Sirius
 467 Passet fauna, North Greenland. *Palaeontology* 45: 97–123. DOI:
 468 https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-4983.00229
- 469 **Vannier J**, Chen JY. 2005. Early Cambrian food chain: new evidence from
- 470 fossil aggregates in the Moatianshan Shale Biota, SW China. *Palaios* **20**: 3–
- 471 26. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2110/palo.2003.p03-40
- 472 Vannier J, Aria C, Taylor RS, Caron JB. 2018. Waptia fieldensis Walcott, a
- 473 mandibulate arthropod from the middle Cambrian Burgess Shale. Royal
- 474 Society Open Science **5**: 172206. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.172206
- 475 Walcott CD. 1912. Middle Cambrian Branchiopoda, Malacostraca, Trilobita
- 476 and Merostomata. Cambrian Geology and Paleontology II. Smithsonian
- 477 Miscellaneous Collections **57**: 145-288.
- Williams M, Siveter DJ. 1998. British Cambrian and Tremadoc Bradoriid and
 Phosphatocopid arthropods. *Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society, London* 152: 1–49, 1–6 pls.
- 481 **Williams M**, Siveter DJ, Popov LE, Vannier JMC. 2007. Biogeography and 482 affinities of the bradoriid arthropods: cosmopolitan microbenthos of the 483 Cambrian seas. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* **248**:
- 484 202–232. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.12.004
- 485 **Williams M**, Vandenbroucke T, Perrier VP, Siveter DJ & Servais T. 2015. A 486 link in the chain of the Cambrian zooplankton: bradoriid arthropods invade
- 487 the water column. *Geological Magazine* **152**: 923-934. DOI: 923-934.
- 488 doi:10.1017/S0016756815000059
- Zhai D, Williams M, Siveter David J, Harvey THP, Sansom RS, Gabbott SE,
 Siveter Derek J, Ma X, Zhou R, Liu Y, Hou X. 2019a. Variation in

eLIFE

Research Article

- 491 appendages in early Cambrian bradoriids reveals a wide range of body
 492 plans in stem euarthropods. *Communications Biology* 2: 329. DOI:
 493 https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0573-5
- 494 Zhai D, Ortega-Hernández J, Wolfe JM, Hou X, Cao C, Liu Y. 2019b. Three-
- dimensionally preserved appendages in an early Cambrian Stem-Group
- 496 pancrustacean. *Current Biology* **29**: 171–177. DOI:
- 497 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.060
- Zhao F, Hu S, Caron JB, Zhu M, Yin Z, Lu M. 2012. Spatial variation in the
 diversity and composition of the Lower Cambrian (Series 2, Stage 3)
 Chengjiang Biota, Southwest China. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
- 501Palaeoecology**346–347**:54–56.DOI:
- 502 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2012.05.021



503

eLIFE

Research Article

504 Figure 1. Micro-CT images of Chuandianella ovata. (A,C-F) YKLP 16218. (A) Ventral view. Scale bar = 5.0 mm. (C) Endopod of 6^{th} appendage showing 505 endites. Scale bar = 1.6 mm. (D) Ventral view (white rectangle in A), showing 506 507 details of second appendage (a2, green). Scale bar = 2.0 mm. (E) Same as D but with a2 not coloured. Scale bar = 2.0 mm. (F) Close-up view of 2^{nd} 508 509 appendages (rotated by 90 degrees with anterior to upper position), with 510 podomeres numbered. Scale bar = 1.4 mm. (B,G) YKLP 16216. (B) Taphonomically deformed specimen, showing ventral view of the carapace and 511 512 dorsal view of the soft parts (cf. **Supplementary Figure 1**). Scale bar = 3.8 513 mm. (G) Dorsal view of part of right side of the body, showing exopods (blue) 514 and endopods (green). Scale bar = 1.4 mm. (H) YKLP 16238, left lateral view, 515 showing endopods and exopods of trunk appendages. Scale bar = 4.9 mm. (I,J) YKLP 16239. (I) Ventral view, showing circa 20 eggs within the left valve. 516 Scale bar = 4.3 mm. (J) Endopods of 3^{rd} and 5^{th} (?) appendages showing long 517 518 blade-like endites each with a terminal seta. Proximally in this image two 519 endopods overlap, giving the false impression of setae along the lateral margins of the endites. Scale bar = 3.4 mm. All panels are stereo-pairs. 520 521 Abbreviations: a1, antennule; a2, second appendage; a3-a12, biramous 522 appendages; ab1-4, abdominal segments 1 to 4; an, anus; asc, anterior 523 sclerite; cs, caudal structure; eq, eqq; en, endopod; es, eye stalk; et, endite; 524 ex, exopod; ey, stalked eyes; lv, left valve; rv, right valve; se, seta; tp, tailpiece; tr, trunk. Italics indicate a right-side appendage. 525

526

eLIFE

Research Article



527

528 **Figure 2**. Photographs of *Chuandianella ovata*, showing overall morphology 529 and segmentation of the body. (A) RCCBYU 10272, right lateral view. Scale

530 bar = 3.4 mm. (B) YKLP 13967a, left lateral view. Scale bar = 5.0 mm.

531 Abbreviations additional to fig. 1: s1-s12, head and thoracic segments. s1 is

- the eye-bearing segment/anterior sclerite; the position of segments s1 and s2
- 533 is difficult to infer. Italics indicate a right-side appendage.
- 534

Research Article



535

ab'

eLIFE



eLIFE

Research Article

- 549 so that it appears to emerge from anterior end of carapace. Scale bar = 8.8
- 550 mm. (J) Pits on carapace surface. Scale bar = 0.9 mm. Abbreviations
- additional to figs 1, 2: app, appendage; vs, visual surface. Italics indicate a
- 552 right-side appendage.
- 553



- 555 **Figure 4**. Reconstruction of *Chuandianella ovata*. Upper: dorsal view. Lower:
- 556 ventral view. Right middle: isolated appendages a1-a3. Not to scale.
- 557 Abbreviations as in figs 1-3.

558

eLIFE

Research Article



- 559
- 560 **Figure 5**. Reconstruction of *Chuandianella ovata in vivo*.
- 561