

# 1 ***Clostridium difficile* colonizes alternative nutrient** 2 **niches during infection across distinct murine gut** 3 **environments**

4 **Authors:** Matthew L. Jenior, Jhansi L. Leslie, Vincent B. Young, and Patrick D. Schloss\*

## 5 **Abstract**

6 *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) has grown to be the most prevalent cause of hospital  
7 acquired infection in the United States. Susceptibility to CDI is induced by recent  
8 antibiotic exposure, which is known to alter the structure of the gut microbiome and to  
9 affect the availability of growth nutrients in the gut. We hypothesized that *C. difficile* is a  
10 generalist that adapts its physiology to the nutrients available within the gut. We orally  
11 challenged C57BL/6 mice that previously received one of three antibiotics with *C.*  
12 *difficile* and demonstrated that it was able to colonize the cecum within 18 hours of  
13 infection. However, levels of both spore and toxin production, which are known to be  
14 affected by nutrient availability, varied between each antibiotic treatment group. To  
15 more closely investigate the specific responses of *C. difficile* as it colonized the cecum,  
16 we performed *in vivo* transcriptional analysis of *C. difficile* from cecal content of infected  
17 mice. This approach revealed variation in expression of genes that drive life-cycle  
18 switches as well as metabolic pathways associated with catabolizing a variety of carbon  
19 sources such as carbohydrates, amino acids, and amino sugars. To assess which  
20 substrates *C. difficile* was most likely exploiting in each antibiotic-perturbed microbiome,

21 we developed a novel metabolite scoring algorithm within the genome-scale bipartite  
22 metabolic network of *C. difficile* that incorporated both network topology and transcript  
23 abundance to infer the likelihood that a given metabolite was acquired from the  
24 environment. Applying this approach, we found that *C. difficile* indeed occupies  
25 alternative nutrient niches across each antibiotic-perturbed microbiome and that the  
26 highlighted metabolites support significant growth, *in vitro*. Results from this analysis  
27 support the hypothesis that consumption of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine and Stickland  
28 fermentation substrates are central components of *C. difficile*'s metabolic strategy and  
29 pathogenesis. This work has implications for elucidating specifics of the nutrient niche of  
30 *C. difficile* during infection and may lead to the discovery of targeted measures to  
31 prevent *C. difficile* colonization including potential pre- or probiotic therapies.

## 32 **Introduction**

33 Infection by the Gram-positive, spore-forming bacterium *Clostridium difficile* has  
34 increased in both prevalence and severity across numerous countries during the last  
35 decade<sup>1</sup>. In the United States, *C. difficile* was estimated to have caused >500,000  
36 infections and resulted in ~\$4.8 billion worth of acute care costs in 2014<sup>2</sup>. *C. difficile*  
37 infection (CDI) causes an array of toxin-mediated symptoms ranging from abdominal  
38 pain and diarrhea to the more life-threatening conditions pseudomembranous colitis  
39 and toxin megacolon. Prior treatment with antibiotics is the most common risk factor  
40 associated with susceptibility to CDI<sup>3</sup>. It has been shown that antibiotic therapy alters  
41 the structure and function of the gut microbiota making it susceptible to colonization by  
42 *C. difficile*<sup>4</sup>. This is referred to as colonization resistance, in which the gut microbiota  
43 inhibits the persistence or growth of a number of pathogenic bacteria. Colonization

44 resistance can be achieved by multiple mechanisms including competition for physical  
45 space or growth nutrients<sup>5</sup>.

46 Mouse models have been an effective tool for studying the mechanisms of colonization  
47 resistance. Use of distinct antibiotic classes to vary the structure of the microbiota which  
48 have been shown to result in susceptibility *C. difficile* colonization<sup>6-8</sup>. In this case, the  
49 antibiotics chosen significantly impact the structure and diversity of the cecal  
50 microbiome (Fig. S1a & S1b). It has been further demonstrated that at 18 hours after  
51 being introduced to a cefoperazone treated mouse, *C. difficile* reached its maximum  
52 vegetative cell density in the cecum<sup>9</sup>. This provided a single timepoint to measure the  
53 largest population of metabolically active *C. difficile*. Building upon these results, others  
54 have shown that many of these antibiotic classes also alter the gut metabolome,  
55 increasing the concentrations of known *C. difficile* growth substrates<sup>7,10-12</sup>. Taken  
56 together these results are a strong indication that the healthy gut microbiota inhibits the  
57 growth of *C. difficile* through limitation of substrates it needs to grow. The ability of an  
58 intact gut community to exclude *C. difficile* colonization is suggestive of the nutrient-  
59 niche hypothesis in which an organism must be able to utilize a subset of available  
60 resources better than all competitors to colonize the intestine<sup>13,14</sup>.

61 Based on its genome sequence and *in vitro* growth characteristics, *C. difficile* appears  
62 able to fill multiple nutrient niches. *C. difficile* has a relatively large and mosaic genome,  
63 it is amenable to a variety of growth substrates, and is able to colonize a diverse array  
64 of hosts suggesting that that it is a bacterial generalist<sup>15-17</sup>. The ability to metabolize a  
65 variety of substrates is important since these substrates affect the regulation of genes  
66 involved in *C. difficile*'s pathogenesis. For example, *in vitro* transcriptomic analysis

67 suggests that high concentrations of easily metabolized carbon sources, such as  
68 glucose or amino acids, inhibit toxin gene expression and sporulation<sup>18,19</sup>. These genes  
69 are regulated by DNA-binding sigma factors, such as the pleiotropic regulator *ccpA*,  
70 which are under the control of environmental nutrient concentrations, especially  
71 carbohydrates<sup>20,21</sup>. Downstream effects of this regulation likely have enormous impact  
72 on the lifestyle and metabolic strategy of *C. difficile* when colonizing across sensitive  
73 hosts.

74 Previous transcriptomic studies of *C. difficile* have mainly focused on transcription of  
75 virulence factors, *in vitro*<sup>22,23</sup>, with some work characterizing transcription during  
76 colonization of germfree mice<sup>24,25</sup>. More relevant to nutrient acquisition, *C. difficile* up-  
77 regulated several phosphotransferase systems (PTS) and ABC transporters in germfree  
78 mice, alluding to metabolic adaptation to nutrient availability *in vivo*<sup>25</sup>. Although these  
79 analyses are informative, they are either primarily directed toward the expression of  
80 virulence factors or lack the context of the gut microbiota which *C. difficile* must  
81 compete against for substrates. Metabolomic analyses have also been used to more  
82 directly assay changes in bacterial metabolism as they relate to CDI<sup>7,12</sup>; however, these  
83 methods cannot focus on *C. difficile*-specific metabolites and more closely resemble  
84 echoes of metabolism, not currently active processes. In contrast to these approaches,  
85 *in vivo C. difficile* transcriptomic analysis from specific pathogen free (SPF) animals may  
86 provide unique insight into its active metabolic pathways in a more realistic model of  
87 infection. Integrating transcriptomic data with genome-scale metabolic modeling has  
88 previously aided in identifying the most active aspects of an organism's metabolism and

89 which substrates are preferred by the organism<sup>26–28</sup>. Applying these methods to study  
90 *C. difficile* colonization would allow us to directly test the nutrient-niche hypothesis.  
91 Founded on the ability of *C. difficile* to grow on a diverse array of carbon sources and its  
92 ability to colonize a variety of communities, we hypothesized that it focuses its  
93 metabolism to fit the context of the community it is attempting to colonize. To test this  
94 hypothesis, we employed a mouse model of infection to compare the response of *C.*  
95 *difficile* to the gut environment caused by different classes of antibiotics. The antibiotics  
96 used in this study included streptomycin (Fig. 1a), cefoperazone (Fig. 1b), and  
97 clindamycin (Fig. 1c). These antibiotics differentially affect the structure of the gut  
98 microbiota<sup>8</sup>. Each has also been shown to alter the gut metabolome relative to  
99 untreated animals<sup>7,10,12</sup>. As such, we predicted that *C. difficile* would encounter a unique  
100 subset of nutrients and competitors in each environment, which would necessitate  
101 distinct adaptive responses. To determine whether *C. difficile* is a generalist and  
102 differentially responds to each condition, we assayed for differences in the amount of  
103 sporulation and toxin activity phenotypes and used metabolic models built using *C.*  
104 *difficile* expression data. In each of the three antibiotic conditions we challenged with *C.*  
105 *difficile*, as well as in monoassociated germfree mice, we observed that *C. difficile*  
106 adapted its nutrient utilization profile to colonize to high levels and express its virulence  
107 factors.

## 108 **Results**

### 109 **Insert Table 1 here**

110 **Levels of *C. difficile* sporulation and toxin activity vary between antibiotic-treated**  
111 **specific pathogen free and germfree mice.**

112 Due to the connection between metabolism, sporulation, and toxin production in *C.*  
113 *difficile*, we measured sporulation and toxin production at 18 hours post infection in  
114 each group. There was not a significant difference in the number of vegetative cells  
115 between any susceptible condition tested (Fig. 2a). All antibiotic treated (Table 1)  
116 specific pathogen free (SPF) and germfree (GF) animals were colonized to  $\sim 1 \times 10^8$   
117 colony forming units (c.f.u.) per gram of content, while untreated SPF mice maintained  
118 colonization resistance to *C. difficile*. Despite having the same number of vegetative *C.*  
119 *difficile* cells, large differences were detected in the density of *C. difficile* spores.  
120 Significantly more spores ( $P = 0.005, 0.008, 0.003$ ) were detected in ex-GF mice than in  
121 the antibiotic treated mice (Fig. 2b). The spore densities in both streptomycin and  
122 clindamycin-treated mice were also generally higher than that in cefoperazone-treated  
123 mice. There was significantly more toxin activity in ex-GF animals than any other  
124 colonized group (all  $P \leq 0.001$ ), but toxin titer also varied between antibiotic treatment  
125 groups (Fig. 2c). Although similar toxin activity was found in both the cefoperazone and  
126 clindamycin-treated groups, toxin titer was below the limit of detection in most  
127 streptomycin-treated animals. These results indicate that *C. difficile* was able to colonize  
128 different communities to a consistently high level, but that the density of spores and  
129 toxin titer varied by treatment.

130 ***C. difficile* adapts the expression of genes for virulence and key sigma factors**  
131 **that are under the control of environmental nutrient concentrations.** To more  
132 closely investigate the responses of *C. difficile* to colonizing distinct susceptible gut  
133 environments, we performed whole transcriptome analysis of *C. difficile* during infection  
134 of the antibiotic treatment models. We then narrowed our analysis to focus on genes

135 that control or code for functions that have been linked to nutrient concentrations in the  
136 intestines during CDI. After observing differences in spore load, we first examined  
137 transcription of the most highly expressed genes in the *C. difficile* sporulation  
138 pathway<sup>29-32</sup> (Fig. 3a). Across the four conditions where *C. difficile* colonized, we  
139 observed transcriptional profiles consistent with observed spore levels (Fig. 2b). The  
140 mice treated with cefoperazone had the lowest spore density and had the highest level  
141 of expression for the anti-sigma factors *spoVG* and *spoVS*. The products of these genes  
142 are involved in suppressing expression of genes found later in the sporulation  
143 pathway<sup>33</sup>. Streptomycin-treated mice had the next highest density of spores and the  
144 highest expression of genes associated with sporulation activation (*spoIIAB/spoIIE*), but  
145 they also had relatively high levels of expression of *sspA* and *sspB*, which are genes  
146 that code for effectors that protect DNA from damage during dormancy. Next, in mice  
147 treated with clindamycin, *C. difficile* expressed genes associated with late stages of  
148 sporulation, including those for spore coat components (*cdeC*, *cotD*, and *cotJB2*), spore  
149 formation (*spoIVA*, *spoVB*, and *spoVFB*), and *sspA* and *sspB*. Finally, GF mice  
150 harbored the highest density of spores and those *C. difficile* primarily expressed the  
151 dormancy genes linked with the latest stages sporulation. Together these data  
152 demonstrate that *C. difficile* differentially expressed genes associated with sporulation  
153 that corresponded to the presence of spores in the cecum.

154 Expression of genes for quorum sensing and pathogenicity have been linked to  
155 changes in the nutrients that can be found in the environment of *C. difficile*. Both the *agr*  
156 locus and *luxS* gene are thought to be associated with inducing the expression of *C.*  
157 *difficile* virulence in several strains<sup>34,35</sup>. Considering the link between quorum sensing

158 genes and toxin production, we expected the expression of genes for quorum sensing  
159 and toxin production and toxin titer to be concordant. Based on this model, we expected  
160 GF mice to have the highest levels of expression of genes for toxin production (Fig. 3b)  
161 and quorum sensing (Fig. 3c); however, these transcripts were not found in the GF  
162 mice. We also observed the highest level of expression for quorum sensing genes in  
163 cefoperazone-treated mice, but *tcdA* expression in these animals was not the highest  
164 among the different treatment groups. Interestingly, the levels of expression for genes  
165 associated with toxin production did not match the toxin titers observed in the animals.  
166 These results suggest that the relationship between toxin titer and the expression of  
167 genes for toxin production is even more complex than current models indicate.

168 We next focused on the regulators of metabolic pathways. Sigma factors are master  
169 regulators and a subset have been shown to integrate signals from intra- and  
170 extracellular nutrient concentrations<sup>20,21,31,36</sup>. The transcription of the global repressor  
171 *codY* is responsive to intracellular concentrations of *C. difficile* energy sources<sup>37</sup>.  
172 Highest transcription for this gene was found in cefoperazone-treated and GF mice (Fig.  
173 3d). The regulation networks of CodY and CcpA are highly interconnected, with the  
174 expression of *ccpA* specifically linked to local concentration of rapidly metabolizable  
175 carbon sources<sup>38</sup>. Cefoperazone-treated mice also exhibited increased transcription of  
176 *ccpA*, but the GF condition did not follow the same pattern. CcpA acts directly on *spo0A*  
177 (Fig. 3d), which positively regulates initiation of the sporulation pathway in *C. difficile*.  
178 Transcripts for *spo0A* were highly abundant in all conditions tested except for  
179 clindamycin-treated mice, where it was still moderately detectable. The sig-family of  
180 sigma factors is under the control of *spo0A* and regulate different stages of sporulation.

181 The genes from this family with the highest total transcription (*sigA1*, *sigF*, *sigG*, *sigH*,  
182 and *sigK*) each demonstrated a unique pattern of expression between conditions. These  
183 results indicate that complete expression of sporulation likely integrates multiple levels  
184 of signaling and is more complex than a single metabolic switch. Both CcpA and Spo0A  
185 also control pathogenicity by regulating toxin production (Fig. 3d). We found expression  
186 of the toxin negative regulator *tcdC* in all of the antibiotic-treated groups, but no  
187 detectable transcripts for the positive toxin A/B regulator *tcdR* were seen in any  
188 treatment. In addition to its effects on sporulation and virulence, CcpA also regulates the  
189 expression of other sigma factors that generally mediate distinct forms of *C. difficile*  
190 metabolism as needed. These targets include *rex* (general fermentation regulator) and  
191 *prdR* (Stickland fermentation regulator) (Fig. 3d). Although the expression of both has  
192 been shown to be linked to environmental proline concentrations, *rex* integrates  
193 additional signals from the intracellular NADH/NAD<sup>+</sup> ratio to also control carbohydrate  
194 fermentation. Low-level transcription of *prdR* was found across all conditions, however  
195 *C. difficile* expression the *rex* gene highly in both cefoperazone-treated and GF mice.  
196 Combined, the variable expression of these sigma factors support the hypothesis that  
197 *C. difficile* adapts expression metabolism to fit its needs between colonized  
198 environments.

199 **Gene sets from multiple *C. difficile* metabolic pathways are differentially**  
200 **expressed between colonized environments.** In the context of similar colonization  
201 between antibiotic-treated animals, differential expression of global metabolic control  
202 mechanisms that are under the control of specific nutrient concentrations suggests that  
203 *C. difficile* adapts to each environment when in competition with the resident microbiota.

204 To test this further, we quantified the total expression for all KEGG annotated genes in  
205 the *C. difficile* genome (Fig. S2a). We then focused on general differences in  
206 carbohydrate (Fig. S2b) and amino acid (Fig. S2c) metabolism in order to assess for  
207 apparent differences in the utilization of carbon sources by *C. difficile* across  
208 environments. However aside from overall lower expression of most gene families in GF  
209 mice, no other clear trends were evident at this broad level of analysis so we moved  
210 toward a more fine-scale resolution of annotation and focused on specific gene sets  
211 known to contribute to certain forms of *C. difficile* metabolism (Table S1). Also, to more  
212 effectively compare between colonized states, we calculated the percentage of total  
213 expression between antibiotic-treated conditions for each gene (Fig. 4). We then  
214 identified the condition in which each gene was most highly transcribed and adjusted  
215 the size of the corresponding point relative to the largest transcript abundance (Fig. 4a).  
216 This demonstrated that genes involved in amino acid catabolism had the greatest  
217 amount of expression overall, relative to other gene sets. This category includes those  
218 enzymes involved in Stickland fermentation (*arg*, *fdh*, *grd*, and *prd* loci) as well as  
219 several general peptidases (*pep* family). These results indicated that catabolizing  
220 environmental amino acids may be important for the growth of *C. difficile* during  
221 infection.

222 To more clearly identify associations of gene sets with each condition, we also  
223 analyzed each set separately. First, we found that the expression of genes associated  
224 with amino acid catabolism were expressed at nearly consistent levels across the  
225 conditions (Fig. 4b). This was in agreement with the high level of overall expression  
226 associated with these genes. Additionally, genes for the metabolism of the host-derived

227 amino sugars N-acetylglucosamine and N-acetylmannosamine were also expressed at  
228 consistent levels across each treatment group (*glm*, *nan*, *mur*, and *acd* loci) (Fig. 4c).  
229 Along similar lines with related molecules, a number of genes for certain  
230 monosaccharides entering (*gal*, *man*, *pmi*, and *tag* loci) and leading through glycolysis  
231 (*fba*, *fbp*, *gap*, and *pfk*), as well as catabolism of the polysaccharides trehalose and  
232 cellibiose (*treA* and *celG*) were expressed relatively evenly between each condition (Fig.  
233 4d & 4e). Combined, these findings suggest that catabolism of amino acids and specific  
234 carbohydrates are likely core components of the *C. difficile* nutritional strategy during  
235 infection.

236 Aside from those gene sets that were equally expressed across conditions, there were  
237 also large scale differences in expression of certain pathways between groups of mice.  
238 We chose to assess sugar transport systems have been associated with adaptive  
239 expression of phosphotransferase systems (PTS) and ABC transporters with many  
240 known differences in substrate specificities<sup>25</sup>. Among the genes classified as PTS  
241 transporters (Fig. 4f) were overrepresented in both clindamycin and streptomycin-  
242 treated mice, while ABC sugar transporters (Fig. 4g) were overrepresented in the  
243 cefoparazone-treated mice. The most stark differences were seen in transcription for  
244 genes involved in sugar alcohol catabolism (Fig. 4h). Expression of these genes was  
245 entirely absent from clindamycin-treated mice and expression of genes for mannitol  
246 utilization (*mtl* operon) were overrepresented in cefoparazone-treated mice and  
247 expression of genes for sorbitol utilization (*srl* operon) were overrepresented in  
248 streptomycin-treated mice. Concordant patterns also emerged in genes associated with  
249 fermentation end steps (Fig. 4i) and polysaccharide degradation (Fig. 4e). Short chain

250 fatty acids (SCFAs) and alcohols are the end products of both carbohydrate and amino  
251 acid fermentation in *C. difficile* through separate pathways with shared terminal steps.  
252 Transcripts for genes involved in *C. difficile* butyrate/butanol metabolism (*ptb*, *buk1*,  
253 *cat2*, and *adhE*) were more abundant in clindamycin-treated mice (Fig. 4i). Additionally,  
254 alpha/beta-galactosidase genes (*aglB* and *bglA*) were also overrepresented in  
255 clindamycin-treated mice (Fig. 4e). Together these patterns suggested that  
256 polysaccharide fermentation occurred this condition. More subtle differences were seen  
257 in those gene associated with glycolysis (Fig. 4d). This category includes genes for not  
258 only the steps of glycolysis, but also several genes that mediate entry points of  
259 monosaccharides to glycolysis. Transcripts for several genes in this group (*eno*, *gapA*,  
260 *gpml*, *tpi*, and *pyk*) were overrepresented in cefoparazone-treated mice, however *fruK*  
261 was overrepresented in streptomycin-treated mice which catalyzes the committed step  
262 of glycolysis. Overall, these results support the hypothesis that *C. difficile* is able to  
263 adapt its metabolism to fit the nutrient availability across different susceptible  
264 environments.

265 **Structure of genome-scale bipartite metabolic model underscores known**  
266 **bacterial metabolism.** To further investigate which metabolites were differentially  
267 utilized between conditions, we represented the metabolic network of *C. difficile* as a  
268 directed bipartite graph using the genome annotation. Enzymes and metabolites were  
269 represented by nodes and their interaction by the edge between the nodes (Fig. 5a). To  
270 validate our metabolic network, we calculated betweenness centrality (BC) and overall  
271 closeness centralization index (OCCI) for all enzyme and metabolite nodes in the  
272 bipartite metabolic network of *C. difficile* generated for this study (Table S2). In

273 biological terms, BC reflects the amount of influence a given hub has on the overall flow  
274 of metabolism through the network<sup>39</sup> and OCCI indicates those enzymes and substrates  
275 that are the most central components of the organism's metabolism<sup>40</sup>. For both  
276 enzymes and substrates, the 18 of top 20 nodes with the highest BC values were  
277 involved in glycolysis, fermentation, and amino acid synthesis. In agreement, almost all  
278 nodes with the largest OCCI values were involved in glycolysis and amino acid  
279 synthesis as well. Enzymes that scored highly in both metrics included pyruvate kinase,  
280 aspartate aminotransferase, and formate C-acetyltransferase while substrates  
281 consistently scoring most highly were pyruvate, acetyl-CoA, D-glyceraldehyde 3-  
282 phosphate. This indicated to us that the topology of the network reflects established  
283 bacterial physiology.

284 **Metabolite importance algorithm reveals adaptive nutritional strategies of *C.***  
285 ***difficile* during infection across distinct environments.** Moving beyond a strictly  
286 topological analysis of the *C. difficile* metabolic network, we sought to utilize  
287 transcriptomic data to infer which metabolites *C. difficile* is most likely to obtain from its  
288 environment in each condition. To accomplish this we mapped normalized transcript  
289 abundances to the enzyme nodes in the network. Due to the coupling of transcription  
290 and translation in bacteria, we were able to use this information as a proxy for enzyme  
291 levels. The importance of each metabolite was measured as the log-transformed  
292 difference between the average transcript levels of enzymes that use the metabolite as  
293 a substrate and those that generate it as a product (Fig. 5b). A metabolite with a high  
294 importance score is most likely obtained from the environment because the expression  
295 of genes for enzymes that produce the metabolite are low. Then, using a Monte Carlo-

296 style simulation, we generated a random transcript abundance distribution for each  
297 enzyme node to then calculate new metabolite importance scores for each iteration. We  
298 then created a confidence interval of scores for each metabolite that would likely result  
299 from random noise<sup>41</sup>. This provided a standard of comparison for actual importance  
300 scores from single timepoint measurements, and ultimately allow for computing the  
301 significance level that a given score has a high probability of being excluded from its  
302 associated null hypothesis score distribution.

303 Applying these methods to the *C. difficile* transcriptomic data collected from the *in vivo*  
304 CDI models, we sought to assess differential patterns of metabolite importance. We first  
305 ranked the importance scores to identify the most important metabolites for each  
306 treatment group (Table S3). To identify the core metabolites that are essential to *C.*  
307 *difficile* in any condition, we compared the highest 50 scoring, significant metabolites  
308 from each treatment group ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 6a). The host derived amino sugar N-acetyl-  
309 D-glucosamine was found to be consistently important. Components of the Stickland  
310 fermentation pathway were also found to be important to *C. difficile* in all conditions  
311 tested including proline, 3-hydroxybutanoyl-CoA, formate, and some selenium-  
312 containing compounds<sup>42-44</sup>. This indicated that these metabolites may be an integral  
313 component of the nutrient niche for *C. difficile* in any infection condition. Additionally,  
314 acetate was found to be important in all conditions, but was just below the significance  
315 cutoff in GF mice (Table S3). It has been shown that *C. difficile* metabolizes acetate for  
316 use in glycolysis<sup>45</sup>. We directly tested the relative concentration of acetate in  
317 cefoperazone-treated *C. difficile*-infected mice versus mock-infected mice. We found  
318 that *C. difficile* colonization led to a significant decrease in the levels of acetate (Fig. S4)

319 suggesting that *C. difficile* was utilizing acetate in the cecum. These findings provided  
320 validation for our metabolite importance algorithm as well as supporting known  
321 elements of *C. difficile* metabolism.

322 Returning to our hypothesis that *C. difficile* adapts its metabolism to fit the surrounding  
323 community, we identified those metabolites that were uniquely important to each  
324 condition in which *C. difficile* colonized. We cross-referenced the top 25 positively  
325 scoring, significant substrates ( $P < 0.05$ ) between treatment groups to uncover the most  
326 important patterns of nutrient utilization by *C. difficile* in each (Fig. 6b). Each group of  
327 metabolites contained at least one known carbohydrate growth substrate of *C.*  
328 *difficile*<sup>7,46</sup>. This included close analogs of D-fructose, mannitol, N-acetylneuraminic  
329 acid, and salicin. Furthermore, in GF mice where no other competitors are present, our  
330 model indicated that *C. difficile* was more likely to acquire several amino acids (lysine,  
331 leucine, and isoleucine) from the environment instead of expending energy to produce  
332 them itself. These data support the hypothesis that *C. difficile* may exploit alternative  
333 nutrient sources between the susceptible environments it colonizes.

334 **Carbon sources sources predicted to be important using network-based**  
335 **approach support *C. difficile* differential growth *in vitro*.** To validate the biological  
336 relevance of substrates identified as uniquely important to *C. difficile* metabolism  
337 through our network-based analysis, we tested whether *C. difficile* was able to utilize  
338 each substrate for *in vitro* growth (Fig. 6c). This was performed using a modified defined  
339 *C. difficile* minimal media<sup>7</sup>, supplemented individually with the selected carbohydrates  
340 implicated by high importance scores. Because *C. difficile* is auxotrophic for several  
341 amino acids it was necessary to include amino acids in the minimal media; however,

342 since it can use amino acids for growth through Stickland fermentation the most  
343 effective negative control was growth in media lacking carbohydrates but containing  
344 amino acids (Max OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.212).

345 N-acetyl-D-glucosamine important to *C. difficile* in each condition tested (Fig. 6b). When  
346 tested for improved growth, significantly more growth (Max OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.774) was  
347 observed compared to no carbohydrate (+ amino acids) controls ( $P < 0.001$ ). This  
348 provided evidence that N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, derived from the host mucus layer,  
349 may be a central component of the *C. difficile* nutritional niche during infection.

350 Trehalose was also shown to be important in each condition and supported *C. difficile*  
351 significant growth ( $P < 0.001$ ; Max OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.559), but was more likely provided by the  
352 diet than from the host. Furthermore, at least one carbohydrate highlighted as distinctly  
353 more important in each of the antibiotic treatment groups provided high levels of *C.*  
354 *difficile* growth relative to control wells ( $P < 0.001$ ). This included D-fructose  
355 (streptomycin; Max OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.671), mannitol (cefoperazone; Max OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.464), salicin  
356 (clindamycin; Max OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.869), and N-acetylneuraminic acid (GF; Max OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.439).

357 Because it was not possible to test aminofructose directly, we instead chose to test  
358 fructose, an immediate breakdown byproduct of aminofructose catabolism. We also  
359 tested both starch and acetate for the ability to support *C. difficile* growth *in vitro*, but  
360 neither should any improvement over no carbohydrate control (Fig. S5). Maximum  
361 growth rate analysis for each carbohydrate also indicated potential hierarchy of growth  
362 nutrient preference (Table S4). The progression is as follows: D-fructose (slope =  
363 0.089), N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (slope = 0.085), salicin (slope = 0.077), mannitol (slope  
364 = 0.044) / trehalose (slope = 0.044), and finally N-acetylneuraminic acid (slope = 0.024).

365 This suggested that *C. difficile* was most well-suited to metabolize the nutrient source  
366 that is most likely to be present in all susceptible mouse ceca.

## 367 **Discussion**

368 Collectively, our results support the hypothesis that *C. difficile* can adapt its metabolism  
369 to the available niche landscape across susceptible gut environments and give insight  
370 to the adaptive strategies that *C. difficile* can use to colonize diverse human microbiota.  
371 Data from both our *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments demonstrate the plasticity of *C.*  
372 *difficile* to effectively change its metabolism to utilize alternative resources for growth.  
373 This may be the result of increased concentration of particular metabolites as a  
374 consequence of concordant decreases in the population of one or more competitors for  
375 those resources. These preliminary conclusions are further supported by previous mass  
376 spectrometry-based efforts analyzing the metabolome from mouse intestinal content  
377 treated under similar conditions to those used in the current study. These investigations  
378 revealed that several of the substrates predicted to be used by *C. difficile* in a given  
379 condition through metabolic modeling (Fig. 6a & 6b), are increased in the  
380 gastrointestinal tract of mice in the corresponding treatment group. One recent study  
381 found that cefoperazone treatment resulted in a 553-fold increase in mannitol  
382 concentration in the cecum of mice prior to *C. difficile* colonization<sup>7</sup>. Similar trends have  
383 also been demonstrated in streptomycin-treated conventional and GF mice<sup>10,47</sup>.  
384 Together these results provide evidence that our network-based approach accurately  
385 predicts which metabolites *C. difficile* adapts its metabolic strategy towards, most likely  
386 due to changes in availability.

387 In addition to uncovering adaptive strategies of *C. difficile*, our method is also able to  
388 identify consistent trends in metabolism across environments. The findings that N-  
389 acetyl-D-glucosamine and Stickland fermentations substrates were consistently among  
390 the highest scoring shared metabolite among all tested conditions strongly indicates that  
391 these metabolites are central to the nutritional strategy of *C. difficile* and may be utilized  
392 in and condition in which they are available. The metabolism of both substrate types  
393 provides not only carbon and energy to *C. difficile*, but are also a source for nitrogen  
394 which is a limited resource in the mammalian lower GI tract<sup>48</sup>. Apart from exploring  
395 differential patterns in known metabolism, our modeling approach also allowed for the  
396 identification of emergent properties for the metabolic strategy of *C. difficile* during  
397 infection. One interesting result is the appearance of CO<sub>2</sub>, an apparent metabolic end  
398 product, in the list of shared important metabolites (Fig. 6a). While this may be a  
399 shortcoming of the annotation, one group has posited that *C. difficile* may actually be  
400 autotrophic under certain conditions and could explain the appearance of CO<sub>2</sub> in Fig.  
401 6a<sup>49</sup>. Furthermore, oxygen appears to be significantly important in clindamycin-treated  
402 mice (Fig. 6b). Reactive oxygen species could be introduced to the gut through  
403 antibiotic-induced stress on host mitochondria<sup>50</sup>. Despite the fact that *C. difficile* is  
404 considered to be a strict anaerobe, it does possess the functionality to deal with  
405 oxidative stress<sup>51</sup>. What this highlights is that our method does not only identify growth  
406 substrates, it also reports any metabolites that is very likely being removed from the  
407 environment.

408 While our results are consistent with previously published work on the metabolism of *C.*  
409 *difficile*, there are potential limitations of this approach. Ultimately, the metabolite

410 importance calculation is dependent on correct and existing gene annotation. In this  
411 regard it has been shown that the pathway annotations in KEGG are robust to missing  
412 elements<sup>52</sup>, however this does not completely eliminate the possibility for this type of  
413 error. Due to the topology of the metabolic network, we were also unable to integrate  
414 stoichiometry for each reaction which may effect rates of consumption or production. In  
415 addition to computational limitations, our network-based approach simplifies several  
416 aspects of bacterial metabolism. First, the importance algorithm operates under the  
417 assumption that all detectable transcript is translated to effector protein. While this is not  
418 completely accurate, since bacterial transcription and translation are physically coupled,  
419 we were comfortable using normalized levels of transcription to infer approximate  
420 amount of translation. Second, the metabolite importance scores do not account for the  
421 amount of each metabolite that is actually available. Finally, the importance algorithm  
422 only consider the transcription of those enzyme nodes immediately adjacent to the  
423 metabolite node of interest. Although this does not negate any observations made in the  
424 current study, it may be beneficial to incorporate the importance of other local  
425 metabolites or subnetworks into the final score of each metabolite. In spite of these  
426 assumptions, the method outlined here supports known elements of *C. difficile* biology  
427 and future studies could employ metabolomic analysis to confirm the predictions made  
428 here.

429 Based on the evidence presented, our results support the hypothesis that *C. difficile* is a  
430 metabolic generalist and is able to catabolize alternative carbon sources across  
431 susceptible gut environments. This may be due to an inability to outcompete a collection  
432 of metabolic specialists in an intact community, and separate classes on antibiotics

433 differentially eliminate these populations and allow for *C. difficile* colonization. This  
434 concept may also potentially explain the success rate of fecal microbial transplant  
435 (FMT), in that wholesale installation of a diverse range of specialized metabolic  
436 strategies is enough to outcompete *C. difficile* from the majority of perturbed gut  
437 environments. Furthermore, our metabolic network platform may also prove informative  
438 for generating hypotheses through reverse ecology that could ultimately lead to  
439 uncovering new interaction between species that ultimately impact host health<sup>53</sup>. In  
440 conclusion, *C. difficile* is able to optimize its nutritional strategy for each colonized gut  
441 environment. Our results implicate that further considerations are needed when  
442 attempting to design targeted prebiotic and probiotic therapies for the prevention or  
443 elimination of *C. difficile* from the human gut.

## 444 **Methods**

445 **Animal care and antibiotic administration** Adapted from the previously described  
446 model<sup>54</sup>, six-to-eight week-old SPF C57BL/6 mice were obtained from a single breeding  
447 colony maintained at the University of Michigan for all experiments. Six-to-eight week-  
448 old GF C57BL/6 mice were obtained from a single breeding colony maintained at the  
449 University of Michigan and fed Laboratory Rodent Diet 5001 from LabDiet for all  
450 experiments. All animal protocols were approved by the University Committee on Use  
451 and Care of Animals at the University of Michigan and carried out in accordance with  
452 the approved guidelines. Specified SPF animals were administered one of three  
453 antibiotics; cefoperazone, streptomycin, or clindamycin (Table 1). Cefoperazone (0.5  
454 mg/ml) and streptomycin (5.0 mg/ml) were administered in distilled drinking water *ad*  
455 *libitum* for 5 days with 2 days recovery with untreated distilled drinking water prior to

456 infection. Clindamycin (10 mg/kg) was given via intraperitoneal injection 24 hours before  
457 time of infection.

458 ***C. difficile* infection and necropsy** *C. difficile* strain 630 spores were prepared from a  
459 single large batch whose concentration was determined a week prior to challenge for all  
460 experiments. On the day of challenge,  $1 \times 10^3$  *C. difficile* spores were administered to  
461 mice via oral gavage in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) vehicle. Subsequent  
462 quantitative plating for c.f.u. was performed to ensure correct dosage. Infection negative  
463 control animals were given an oral garage of 100  $\mu$ l PBS at the same time as those  
464 mice administered *C. difficile* spores. 18 hours following infection, mice were euthanized  
465 by carbon dioxide asphyxiation. Necropsy was then performed and cecal content was  
466 split into three small aliquots ( $\sim 100$   $\mu$ l). Two were flash frozen immediately for later DNA  
467 extraction and toxin titer analysis respectively. The third aliquot was quickly moved to an  
468 anaerobic chamber for c.f.u. quantification. The remaining content in the ceca ( $\sim 1$  ml)  
469 was emptied into a stainless steel mortar in a dry ice/ethanol bath using 1 ml of sterile  
470 PBS. This process was repeated for each mouse within a treatment group to pool  
471 content into a single large sample (9 mice across 3 cages) to compensate for cage  
472 effects as much as possible while maximizing sequencing depth. The content was then  
473 finely ground and stored at  $-80^\circ$  C for subsequent RNA extraction.

474 ***C. difficile* cultivation and quantification** Cecal samples were weighed and serially  
475 diluted under anaerobic conditions (6% H<sub>2</sub>, 20% CO<sub>2</sub>, 74% N<sub>2</sub>) with anaerobic PBS.  
476 Differential plating was performed to quantify both *C. difficile* spores and vegetative cells  
477 by plating diluted samles on CCFAE plates (fructose agar plus cycloserine, cefoxitin,  
478 and erythromycin) at  $37^\circ$  C for 24 hours under anaerobic conditions<sup>55</sup>. It is important to

479 note that the germination agent taurocholate was omitted from these plates in order to  
480 only quantify vegetative cells. In parallel, undiluted samples were heated at 60° C for 30  
481 minutes to eliminate vegetative cells and leave only spores<sup>56</sup>. These samples were  
482 serially diluted under anaerobic conditions in anaerobic PBS and plated on CCFAE with  
483 taurocholate at 37° C for 24 hours. Plating was simultaneously done for heated samples  
484 on CCFAE to ensure all vegetative cells had been eliminated.

485 **C. difficile toxin titer assay** To quantify the titer of toxin in the cecum, a Vero cell  
486 rounding assay was performed<sup>57</sup>. Briefly, filtered-sterilized cecal content was serially  
487 diluted 1:5 in PBS. As a control for toxin-mediated cell rounding the cecal content was  
488 diluted a further 1:2 by the addition of an equal volume of goat anti-toxin serum (T5000;  
489 TechLab). Vero cells were grown to a confluent monolayer in DMEM (Dulbecco's  
490 Modified Eagle's medium), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum  
491 and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. The cells then were transferred to a conical tube and  
492 centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 5 minutes to pellet the cells. The old media was removed  
493 and the cells were re-suspended in fresh media to a final concentration of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells  
494 per 90 $\mu$ L. 90 $\mu$ L of the cell suspension were seeded in each well of a 96-well plate and  
495 incubated at 37° C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> humidified incubator for 4 hours. Following the  
496 incubation, cecal samples were added to the Vero cells and the plate was incubated  
497 overnight at 37° C. Plates were viewed after 24 hours at 10x magnification for cell  
498 rounding. The cytotoxic titer was defined as the log<sub>10</sub> transformed reciprocal of the  
499 highest dilution that produced rounding in 80% of the cells. A more detailed protocol  
500 with product information can be found at:

501 [https://github.com/jlleslie/Intraspecific\\_Compensation/blob/master/methods/Verocell\\_Toxin](https://github.com/jlleslie/Intraspecific_Compensation/blob/master/methods/Verocell_Toxin)  
502 `Activity_Assay.Rmd`

503 **16S rRNA gene sequencing** DNA was extracted from approximately 50 mg of cecal  
504 content from each mouse using the PowerSoil-htp 96 Well Soil DNA isolation kit (MO  
505 BIO Laboratories) and an epMotion 5075 automated pipetting system (Eppendorf). The  
506 V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified using custom barcoded primers  
507 and sequenced as described previously using an Illumina MiSeq sequencer<sup>58</sup>. All 63  
508 samples were sequenced on a single sequencing run.

509 **Sequence curation** The 16S rRNA gene sequences were curated using the mothur  
510 software package (v1.36), as described previously<sup>58</sup>. In short, paired-end reads were  
511 merged into contigs, screened for quality, aligned to SILVA 16S rRNA sequence  
512 database, and screened for chimeras. Sequences were classified using a naive  
513 Bayesian classifier trained against a 16S rRNA gene training set provided by the  
514 Ribosomal Database Project (RDP)<sup>59</sup>. Curated sequences were clustered into  
515 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using a 97% similarity cutoff with the average  
516 neighbor clustering algorithm. The number of sequences in each sample was rarefied to  
517 2,500 per sample to minimize the effects of uneven sampling.

518 **RNA extraction, shotgun library preparation, and sequencing** To generate enough  
519 mRNA biomass contributed by *C. difficile*, we pooled cecal content from all mouse  
520 replicates into a single large isolation for each treatment group. Pooling was performed  
521 in a sterile stainless steel mortar resting in dry ice and a small amount of 100% ethanol.  
522 After all content for the given group was added, the sample was ground with a sterile  
523 pestle to a fine powder and scraped into a sterile 50 ml polypropylene conical tube.

524 Samples were stored at -80° C until the time of extraction. Immediately before RNA  
525 extraction, 3 ml of lysis buffer (2% SDS, 16 mM EDTA and 200 mM NaCl) contained in  
526 a 50 ml polypropylene conical tube was first heated for 5 minutes in a boiling water  
527 bath<sup>60</sup>. The hot lysis buffer was added to the frozen and ground cecal content. The  
528 mixture was boiled with periodic vortexing for another 5 minutes. After boiling, an equal  
529 volume of 37° C acid phenol/chloroform was added to the cecal content lysate and  
530 incubated at 37° C for 10 minutes with periodic vortexing. The mixture was the  
531 centrifuged at 2,500 x g at 4° C for 15 minutes. The aqueous phase was then  
532 transferred to a sterile tube and an equal volume of acid phenol/chloroform was added.  
533 This mixture was vortexed and centrifuged at 2,500 x g at 4° for 5 minutes. The process  
534 was repeated until aqueous phase was clear. The last extraction was performed with  
535 chloroform/isoamyl alcohol to remove acid phenol. An equal volume of isopropanol was  
536 added and the extracted nucleic acid was incubated overnight at -20° C. The following  
537 day the sample was centrifuged at 12000 x g at 4° C for 45 minutes. The pellet was  
538 washed with 0° C 100% ethanol and resuspended in 200 µl of RNase-free water.  
539 Following the manufacturer's protocol, samples were then treated with 2 µl of Turbo  
540 DNase for 30 minutes at 37° C. RNA samples were retrieved using the Zymo Quick-  
541 RNA MiniPrep according the manufacturer's protocol. Completion of the reaction was  
542 assessed using PCR for the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (Kozich, 2013). Quality  
543 and integrity of RNA was assessed using the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano kit for total  
544 prokaryotic RNA. The Ribo-Zero Gold rRNA Removal Kit Epidemiology was then used  
545 to deplete prokaryotic and eukaryotic rRNA from the samples according the  
546 manufacturer's protocol. Prior to library construction, quality and integrity as measured

547 again using the Agilent RNA 6000 Pico Kit. Stranded RNA-Seq libraries were made  
548 constructed with the TruSeq Total RNA Library Preparation Kit v2, both using the  
549 manufacturer's protocol. The Agilent DNA High Sensitivity Kit was used to measure  
550 concentration and fragment size distribution before sequencing. High-throughput  
551 sequencing was performed by the University of Michigan Sequencing Core in Ann  
552 Arbor, MI. For all groups, sequencing was repeated across 4 lanes of an Illumina HiSeq  
553 2500 using the 2x50 bp chemistry.

554 **Sequence curation, read mapping, and normalization.** Raw transcript sequencing  
555 read curation was performed in a two step process. Residual 5' and 3' Illumina adapter  
556 sequences were trimmed using CutAdapt<sup>35</sup> on a per library basis. Reads were quality  
557 trimmed using Sickle (Joshi, 2011) on the default settings. An average of ~300,000,000  
558 total reads (both paired and orphaned) remained after quality trimming. Mapping was  
559 accomplished using Bowtie2<sup>61</sup> and the default stringent settings. ~1,600,000 reads in  
560 sample each mapped to the annotated nucleotide gene sequences of *PeptoClostridium*  
561 *difficile* str. 630 from the KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes<sup>62</sup>. Optical  
562 and PCR duplicates were then removed using Picard MarkDuplicates  
563 (<http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/>), leaving ~150,000 reads per sample for final  
564 analysis. The remaining mappings were converted to idxstats format using Samtools<sup>63</sup>  
565 and the read counts per gene were tabulated. Discordant pair mappings were discarded  
566 and counts were then normalized to read length and gene length to give a per base  
567 report of gene coverage. Unless indicated otherwise, each collection of reads was then  
568 1000-fold iteratively subsampled to 90% of the lowest sequence total within each  
569 analysis, and a median expression value for each gene was calculated.

570 **Reaction Annotation & Bipartite Network Construction.** The metabolism of *C.*  
571 *difficile* str. 630 was represented as a directed bipartite graph with both enzymes and  
572 metabolites as nodes. Briefly, models were semi-automatically constructed using KEGG  
573 ortholog (KO) gene annotations to which transcripts had been mapped. Reactions that  
574 each KEGG ortholog mediate were extracted from `ko_reaction.list` located in  
575 `/kegg/genes/ko/`. KOs that do not mediate simple biochemical reactions (ex. mediate  
576 interactions of macromolecules) were omitted. Metabolites linked to each reaction were  
577 retrieved from `reaction_mapformula.lst` file located in `/kegg/ligand/reaction/` from the  
578 KEGG release. Those reactions that did not have annotations for the chemical  
579 compounds the interact with are discarded. Metabolites were then associated with each  
580 enzyme and the directionality and reversibility of each biochemical conversion was also  
581 saved. This process was repeated for all enzymes in the given bacterial genome, with  
582 each enzyme and metabolite node only appearing once. The resulting data structure  
583 was an associative array of enzymes associated with lists of both categories of  
584 substrates (input and output), which could then be represented as a bipartite network.  
585 The final metabolic network of *C. difficile* str. 630 contained a total of 1205 individual  
586 nodes (447 enzymes and 758 substrates) with 2135 directed edges. Transcriptomic  
587 mapping data was then re-associated with the respective enzyme nodes prior to  
588 substrate importance calculations. Betweenness-centrality and overall closeness  
589 centralization indices were calculated using the `igraph` R package found at  
590 <http://igraph.org/r/>.

591 **Metabolite Importance Calculation.** The substrate importance algorithm (Fig. 5a)  
592 favors metabolites that are more likely acquired from the environment (not produced

593 within the network), and will award them a higher score (Fig. 6b & 6c). The presumption  
594 of our approach was that enzymes that were more highly transcribed were more likely to  
595 utilize the substrates they act on due to coupled bacterial transcription and translation. If  
596 a compound was more likely to be produced, the more negative the resulting score  
597 would be. To calculate the importance of a given metabolite ( $m$ ), we used rarefied  
598 transcript abundances mapped to respective enzyme nodes. This was represented by  $t_o$   
599 and  $t_i$  to designate if an enzyme created or utilized  $m$ . The first step was to calculate the  
600 average expression of enzymes for reactions that either created a given metabolite (i) or  
601 consumed that metabolite (ii). For each direction, the sum of transcripts for enzymes  
602 connecting to a metabolite were divided by the number of contributing edges ( $e_o$  or  $e_i$ ) to  
603 normalize for highly connected metabolite nodes. Next the raw metabolite importance  
604 score was calculated by subtracting the creation value from the consumption value to  
605 weight for metabolites that are likely acquired exogenously. The difference was  $\log_2$   
606 transformed for comparability between scores of individual metabolites. This resulted in  
607 a final value that reflected the likelihood a metabolite was acquired from the  
608 environment. Untransformed scores that already equaled to 0 were ignored and negative  
609 values were accounted for by transformation of the absolute value then multiplied by -1.  
610 These methods have been written into a single python workflow, along with supporting  
611 reference files, and is presented as bigSMALL (Bacterial Genome-Scale Metabolic  
612 models for Applied reverse ecology) available in a public Github repository at  
613 <https://github.com/mjenior/bigsmall>.

614 **Transcriptome Bootstrapping and Probability Distribution Comparison.** As  
615 sequencing replicates of *in vivo* transcriptomes was not feasible, we applied a Monte

616 Carlo style simulation to distinguish calculated metabolite importances due to distinct  
617 transcriptional patterns for the environment measured from those metabolites that were  
618 constitutively important. We employed a 10,000-fold bootstrapping approach of  
619 randomly reassigning transcript abundance for enzyme nodes and recalculating  
620 metabolite importances. This approach was chosen over fitting a simulated  
621 transcriptome to a negative binomial distribution because it created a more relevant  
622 standard of comparison for lower coverage sequencing efforts. Using this method, each  
623 substrate node accumulated a random probability distribution of importance scores  
624 which were then used to calculate the median and confidence interval in order to  
625 ultimately generate a p-value for each metabolite. This was a superior approach to  
626 switch randomization since the connections of the network itself was created through  
627 natural selection and any large-scale alterations would yield biologically uninformative  
628 comparisons<sup>64</sup>. These calculations are also included within the standard bigSMALL  
629 workflow presented above.

630 **Measuring *in vivo* concentrations of acetate.** Cecal contents were flash frozen in  
631 liquid nitrogen at the time of necropsy and subjected to short chain fatty acid  
632 quantification analysis using GC-MS (gas chromatography–mass spectrometry) as  
633 described in the targeted metabolomics section of Theriot et al., 2014. All assays were  
634 performed at the Michigan Regional Comprehensive Metabolomics Resource Core in  
635 Ann Arbor, MI.

636 **Anaerobic *in vitro* *C. difficile* growth curves.** The carbon-free variation of *C. difficile*  
637 Basal Defined Medium (NCMM) was prepared as previously described<sup>7</sup>. Individual  
638 carbohydrate sources were added at a final concentration of 5 mg/mL and pair-wise

639 carbohydrate combinations were added at 2.5 mg/mL each (5 mg/mL total). A solution  
640 of the required amino acids was made separately and added when noted at identical  
641 concentrations to the same study. 245  $\mu$ l of final media mixes were added to a 96-well  
642 sterile clear-bottom plate. A rich media growth control was also included, consisting of  
643 liquid Brain-Heart Infusion + 0.5% cysteine. All culturing and growth measurement were  
644 performed anaerobically in a Coy Type B Vinyl Anaerobic Chamber (3.0% H<sub>2</sub>, 5.0% CO<sub>2</sub>,  
645 92.0% N<sub>2</sub>, 0.0% O<sub>2</sub>). *C. difficile* str. 630 was grown for 14 hours at 37° C in 3 ml BHI +  
646 0.5% cysteine. Cultures were then centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 minutes and resulting  
647 pellets were then washed twice with sterile, anaerobic 1 × phosphate-buffered saline  
648 (PBS). Washed pellets were resuspended in 3 ml more PBS and 5  $\mu$ l of prepped culture  
649 was added to each growth well of the plate containing aliquoted media. The plate was  
650 then placed in a Tecan Sunrise plate reader, heated to 37° C. Plates were incubated for  
651 24 hours with automatic optical density readings at 600 nm taken every 30 minutes.  
652 OD<sub>600</sub> values were normalized to readings from wells containing sterile media of the  
653 same type at equal time of incubation. Growth rates and other curve metrics were  
654 determined by differentiation analysis of the measured OD<sub>600</sub> over time in R to obtain  
655 the slope at each time point.

656 **Statistical methods.** All statistical analyses were performed using R (v.3.2.0).  
657 Significant differences between community structure of treatment groups from 16S  
658 rRNA gene sequencing were determined with AMOVA in the mothur software package.  
659 Significant differences of Inv. Simpson diversity, CFU, toxin titer, and acetate  
660 concentration were determined by Wilcoxon rank-abundance test with Holm-Bonferroni  
661 correction. Significant differences for growth curves compared to no carbohydrate

662 control (+ amino acids) were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Holm-Bonferroni  
663 correction. Significance for metabolite importance scores was determined as described  
664 above.

## 665 **Acknowledgements**

666 The authors would like to acknowledge members of the University of Michigan  
667 Germfree Mouse Center, Sequencing Core, and Metabolomics Core for their assistance  
668 in experimental design, execution, and data collection. This work was supported by  
669 funding from the National Institutes of Health to PDS (R01GM099514, P30DK034933,  
670 U19AI09087, and U01AI124255), VBY (P30DK034933, U19AI09087, and  
671 U01AI124255), and a Translational Research Education Certificate grant to JLL  
672 (MICHR; UL1TR000433). Pooled and quality trimmed transcriptomic read data and  
673 experiment metadata are available through the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA;  
674 PRJNA354635). Data processing steps for beginning from raw sequence data to the  
675 final manuscript are hosted at  
676 [http://www.github.com/SchlossLab/Jenior\\_Modeling\\_NatMicro\\_2016](http://www.github.com/SchlossLab/Jenior_Modeling_NatMicro_2016).

## 677 **Author Information**

678 **Affiliations Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan,**  
679 **Ann Arbor, Michigan.** Matthew L. Jenior, Jhansi L. Leslie, & Patrick D. Schloss Ph.D.  
680 **Department of Internal Medicine & Infectious Diseases, University of Michigan**  
681 **Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan.** Vincent B. Young M.D. Ph.D.

682 **Contributions** M.L.J. conceived, designed and performed experiments, analyzed data,  
683 and drafted the manuscript. J.L.L. performed experiments and analyzed data. V.B.Y.  
684 contributed to the manuscript. P.D.S. interpreted data and contributed the manuscript.

685 **Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interest.

686 **Corresponding author** Correspondence to Patrick D. Schloss

Antibiotic	Class	Target	Activity	Administration	Dosage
Cefoperazone	Cephalosporin (3rd generation)	Primarily Gram-positive bacteria, with increased activity against Gram-negative bacteria	Irreversibly crosslink bacterial transpeptidases to peptidoglycan and prevents cell wall synthesis	Drinking water Ad libitum	0.5 mg/ml
Streptomycin	Aminoglycoside	Active against most Gram-negative aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacilli	Protein synthesis inhibitor through binding the 30S portion of the 70S ribosomal subunit	Drinking water Ad libitum	5.0 mg/ml
Clindamycin	Lincosamide	Primarily active against Gram-positive bacteria, most anaerobic bacteria, and some mycoplasma	Protein synthesis inhibition through binding to the 23s portion of the 50S ribosomal subunit	Intraperitoneal injection	10 mg/kg body weight

687  
688

**Table 1 | Antibiotics used during *C. difficile* infection models.**

## 689 **Figure Legends**

### 690 **Figure 1 | Experimental time lines for mouse model pretreatments and *C. difficile***

691 **infection.** 9 wild-type C57BL/6 mice across 3 cages were included in each treatment  
692 group. **(a)** Streptomycin or **(b)** cefoperazone administered *ad libitum* in drinking water  
693 for 5 days with 2 days recovery with untreated drinking water before infection, **(c)** a  
694 single clindamycin intraperitoneal injection one day prior to infection, or **(d)** no antibiotic  
695 pretreatment (for both SPF control and GF mice). If no antibiotics were administered in  
696 the drinking water, mice were given untreated drinking water for the duration of the  
697 experiment beginning 7 days prior to infection. At the time of infection, mice were  
698 challenged with  $1 \times 10^3$  *C. difficile* str. 630 spores at the time of infection. Sacrifice and  
699 necropsy was done 18 hours post-challenge and cecal content was then collected.

### 700 **Figure 2 | *C. difficile* sporulation and toxin activity quantification after 18 hours of**

701 **infection.** **(a)** Vegetative *C. difficile* c.f.u. per gram of cecal content. No significant  
702 differences were observed in between any group colonized by *C. difficile*. **(b)** *C. difficile*  
703 spore c.f.u. per gram of cecal content. Significantly more spores were detectable in GF  
704 mice compared to any of the antibiotic-treated SPF groups ( $P < 0.05$ ). **(c)** Toxin titer  
705 from cecal content measured by activity in Vero cell rounding assay. GF mice also  
706 displayed significantly more toxin activity than all other groups ( $P < 0.05$ ). Untreated  
707 mice in a,b,c had no detectable *C. difficile* or toxin activity and were significantly  
708 different from all other groups in each assay ( $P < 0.01$ ). Median values are shown for  
709 each group with significant differences calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test with the  
710 Holm-Bonferroni correction. Dotted lines denote the limit of detection for both assays,  
711 and undetectable points are shown just below the limit of detection for clarity.

712 **Figure 3 | Select *C. difficile* gene set expression compared between treatment**

713 **group.** Relative abundances of *C. difficile* transcript for specific genes of interest,

714 rarefied to 90% of the total number of reads within each colonized condition shown. **(a)**

715 Transcription for select genes from the *C. difficile* sporulation pathway with the greatest

716 variation in expression between the conditions tested. **(b)** Relative abundances of

717 transcript for genes that encode effector proteins from the *C. difficile* pathogenicity

718 locus. **(c)** Transcript abundances for genes associated with quorum sensing in *C.*

719 *difficile*. **(d)** Transcript relative abundance of select sigma factors which expression or

720 activity is influenced by environmental metabolite concentrations. Asterisks (\*) indicate

721 genes from which transcript was undetectable.

722 **Figure 4 | *C. difficile* expression of gene sets for carbon metabolism pathways**

723 **across antibiotic pretreatments. (a)** Ternary plot indicating the relative abundance of

724 transcripts for all *C. difficile* str. 630 genes across the three colonized antibiotic-treated

725 conditions (gray points). Raw transcript abundances were iteratively rarefied and the

726 median expression of each gene was calculated (~24x coverage). Each point

727 represents a unique gene from the annotated genome of *C. difficile* str. 630 with

728 position reflecting the ratio of transcription for that gene in all three antibiotic

729 pretreatments. Transcripts for genes that are over-represented in a single condition are

730 placed more proximal to the corner associated with that treatment group. Points placed

731 near the center are equally expressed across all of the conditions measured at 18 hours

732 post-infection. Points are colored based on inclusion in specific carbon metabolic

733 pathways, and point sizes within groups of interest were determined based on the

734 highest expression value for each gene from a single condition. **(b – i)** Groups from (a)

735 are shown individually, without abundance information, for ease of comparison. Genes  
736 included in each group with normalized transcript abundances can be found in Table  
737 S1, and refer to Fig. S3 for additional explanation of figure interpretation.

738 **Figure 5 | Genome-scale bipartite metabolic modeling results using the**  
739 **transcriptome of *C. difficile* str. 630 in each colonized environment. (a)** Largest  
740 component from the bipartite genome-scale metabolic model of *C. difficile* str. 630. The  
741 complete network contains 447 enzymes and 758 metabolites, with 2135 directed  
742 edges. Size of enzyme nodes is relative to the number of normalized reads mapped to  
743 the corresponding gene. The sizes shown reflect the transcriptome of *C. difficile* str. 630  
744 during infection of cefoperazone-treated mice after 18 hours of infection. Below the  
745 representative network is the metabolite importance algorithm separated into 3  
746 components; (i) relative transcription of reactions consuming a metabolite, (ii) relative  
747 transcription of reactions consuming a metabolite, and (iii) difference of consumption  
748 and creation of the given metabolite. **(b)** The expanded window displays an example of  
749 a single metabolite importance calculation based on local enzyme gene transcription.  
750 White values in the red nodes represent the number of normalized transcript reads  
751 mapping to the gene sequence for each enzyme node. Average expression of input and  
752 output reactions surrounding metabolite **m** are calculated at then the difference of these  
753 values found to get the relative importance of **m**. Log<sub>2</sub> transformation is then performed  
754 for uniform comparison between metabolites.

755 **Figure 6 | Results from network-based metabolite importance calculation and *in***  
756 ***vitro* growth with important carbohydrates.** Prior to importance calculation, transcript  
757 abundances for each condition were evenly rarefied for even comparison across

758 colonized environments (~18x coverage). **(a)** Median shared significant metabolites  
759 among the 50 highest scoring metabolites from each condition ( $P < 0.05$ ). Median  
760 importance scores and pooled random distribution were recalculated per metabolite  
761 using the scores from each condition tested. **(b)** Distinctly important significant  
762 metabolites from each treatment group ( $P < 0.05$ ). The top 25 scoring metabolites from  
763 each group was cross-referenced against each other group resulting in metabolites that  
764 are differentially important between environments. **(c)** *in vitro* growth curves validating  
765 identified growth nutrients from network analysis. One metabolite that is consistently  
766 important to *C. difficile* and at least one metabolite indicated as distinctly important from  
767 each group supported growth significantly more ( $P < 0.001$ ) than no carbohydrate  
768 control (+ amino acids, gray line). Only those carbon sources that significantly improved  
769 *C. difficile* growth over control are displayed (remainder are located in Table S4).  
770 Significant differences were calculated using 2-Way ANOVA with Holm-Bonferroni  
771 correction.

772 **Supplementary Figure 1 | Analysis of bacterial community structure resulting**  
773 **from antibiotic treatment.** Results from 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing from  
774 bacterial communities of cecal content in both mock-infected and *C. difficile* 630-  
775 infected animals 18 hours post-infection across pretreatment models. **(a)** Non-metric  
776 multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination based on Theta<sub>YC</sub> distances for the gut  
777 microbiome of all conventionally-raised mice used in these experiments (n = 63). All  
778 treatment groups are significantly different from all other groups by AMOVA ( $P < 0.001$ ).  
779 **(b)** Inverse Simpson diversity for each cecal community from the mice in (a). Cecal

780 communities from mice not treated with any antibiotics are significantly more diverse  
781 than any antibiotic-treated condition by Wilcoxon test ( $P < 0.001$ ).

782 **Supplementary Figure 2 | Expression of specific KEGG gene families.** Abundances  
783 of normalized transcriptomic reads from *C. difficile* str. 630 in each tested condition. **(a)**  
784 All KEGG families. **(b)** Those sub-families within Carbohydrate metabolism. **(c)** Sub-  
785 families within Amino acid metabolism.

786 **Supplementary Figure 3 | Additional explanation for Figure 4 interpretation.**

787 Relative abundance of transcription for *C. difficile* 630 genes during infection across the  
788 3 antibiotic pretreatment models used during this study. Points that are located closer to  
789 a corner are more highly transcribed in the condition associated with that corner  
790 compared to the others. As this shows a 3-dimensional data set in 2 dimensions, there  
791 is an amount of distortion proximal to each corner. Simply put for points that are nearer  
792 to an edge, a greater percentage of their total transcription was contributed by *C.*  
793 *difficile* colonizing those mice. **(a)** This point represents the transcription for a gene that  
794 is overrepresented in cefoperazone-treated mice. **(b)** This point represents a gene in  
795 which transcripts are equally detectable in all 3 conditions. **(c)** Transcripts for this gene  
796 are only underrepresented in only cefoperazone-treated mice, and are equally  
797 detectable in clindamycin and streptomycin-treated animals.

798 **Supplementary Figure 4 | *in vivo* acetate concentrations with GC-MS analysis**  
799 **from cefoperazone-treated mouse cecal content.** 2 groups of 5 mice each were  
800 pretreated with cefoperazone as outlined Fig. 1b. A single cage was infected with *C.*  
801 *difficile* in the same fashion as other experiments described here. Animals were

802 necropsied at 18 hours post-infection and cecal content was flash frozen for later GC-  
803 MS analysis. Significance was determined using Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

804 **Supplementary Figure 5 | Additional growth curves for additional carbon sources**  
805 **and controls.** Significant metabolites from network analysis that did not provide  
806 improved growth over no carbohydrate (+ amino acids) control. Also included is the  
807 negative control of minimal media with no amino acids as well as *C. difficile* growth in  
808 standard Brain-Heart Infusion broth.

809 **Supplementary Table 1 | Sets of genes included in Figure 4 with normalized**  
810 **abundances and citations.**

811 **Supplementary Table 2 | Topology metrics for enzyme and metabolite nodes in**  
812 **the *C. difficile* str. 630 metabolic network.**

813 **Supplementary Table 3 | All metabolites with significant important scores for *C.***  
814 ***difficile* in each colonized condition.**

815 **Supplementary Table 4 | Growth curve analysis for each tested carbon source.**

## 816 **References**

817 1. Lessa, F. C., Gould, C. V. & McDonald, L. C. Current status of *Clostridium difficile*  
818 infection epidemiology. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the*  
819 *Infectious Diseases Society of America* **55 Suppl 2**, S65–70 (2012).

820 2. Lessa, F. C. *et al.* Burden of *Clostridium difficile* Infection in the United States. *The*  
821 *New England journal of medicine* **372**, 825–834 (2015).

- 822 3. Leffler, D. A. & Lamont, J. T. *Clostridium difficile* Infection. *New England Journal of*  
823 *Medicine* **372**, 1539–1548 (2015).
- 824 4. Young, V. B. & Schmidt, T. M. Antibiotic-Associated Diarrhea Accompanied by Large-  
825 Scale Alterations in the Composition of the Fecal Microbiota. *Journal of Clinical*  
826 *Microbiology* **42**, 1203–1206 (2004).
- 827 5. Lawley, T. D. & Walker, A. W. Intestinal colonization resistance. *Immunology* **138**, 1–  
828 11 (2013).
- 829 6. Chen, X. *et al.* A Mouse Model of *Clostridium difficile*-Associated Disease.  
830 *Gastroenterology* **135**, 1984–1992 (2008).
- 831 7. Theriot, C. M. *et al.* Antibiotic-induced shifts in the mouse gut microbiome and  
832 metabolome increase susceptibility to *Clostridium difficile* infection. (2014).  
833 doi:10.1038/ncomms4114.Antibiotic-induced
- 834 8. Schubert, A. M., Sinani, H. & Schloss, P. D. Antibiotic-induced alterations of the  
835 murine gut microbiota and subsequent effects on colonization resistance against  
836 *Clostridium difficile*. *mBio* **6**, (2015).
- 837 9. Koenigs knecht, M. J. *et al.* Dynamics and establishment of *Clostridium difficile*  
838 infection in the murine gastrointestinal tract. *Infection and Immunity* **83**, 934–941 (2015).
- 839 10. Antunes, L. C. M. *et al.* Effect of antibiotic treatment on the intestinal metabolome.  
840 *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **55**, 1494–1503 (2011).

- 841 11. Ferreyra, J. A. *et al.* Gut microbiota-produced succinate promotes *Clostridium*  
842 *difficile* infection after antibiotic treatment or motility disturbance. *Cell Host and Microbe*  
843 **16**, 770–777 (2014).
- 844 12. Jump, R. L. P. *et al.* Metabolomics analysis identifies intestinal microbiota-derived  
845 biomarkers of colonization resistance in clindamycin-treated mice. *PLoS ONE* **9**, (2014).
- 846 13. Freter, R., Brickner, H., Botney, M., Cleven, D. & Aranki, A. Mechanisms that control  
847 bacterial populations in continuous-flow culture models of mouse large intestinal flora.  
848 *Infection and Immunity* **39**, 676–685 (1983).
- 849 14. Wilson, K. H. & Perini, F. Role of competition for nutrients in suppression of  
850 *Clostridium difficile* by the colonic microflora. *Infection and Immunity* **56**, 2610–2614  
851 (1988).
- 852 15. Sebahia, M. *et al.* The multidrug-resistant human pathogen *Clostridium difficile* has  
853 a highly mobile, mosaic genome. *Nature genetics* **38**, 779–786 (2006).
- 854 16. Tracy, B. P., Jones, S. W., Fast, A. G., Indurthi, D. C. & Papoutsakis, E. T.  
855 Clostridia: The importance of their exceptional substrate and metabolite diversity for  
856 biofuel and biorefinery applications. **23**, 364–381 (2012).
- 857 17. Songer, J. G. & Anderson, M. A. *Clostridium difficile*: An important pathogen of food  
858 animals. **12**, 1–4 (2006).
- 859 18. Neumann-Schaal, M., Hofmann, J. D., Will, S. E. & Schomburg, D. Time-resolved  
860 amino acid uptake of *Clostridium difficile* 630 Delta-erm and concomitant fermentation

- 861 product and toxin formation. *BMC Microbiology* 281 (2015). doi:10.1186/s12866-015-  
862 0614-2
- 863 19. Nawrocki, K. L., Edwards, A. N., Daou, N., Bouillaut, L. & McBride, S. M. CodY-  
864 dependent regulation of sporulation in *Clostridium difficile*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **198**,  
865 2113–2130 (2016).
- 866 20. Antunes, A. *et al.* Global transcriptional control by glucose and carbon regulator  
867 CcpA in *Clostridium difficile*. **40**, 10701–10718 (2012).
- 868 21. Bouillaut, L., Dubois, T., Sonenshein, A. L. & Dupuy, B. Integration of metabolism  
869 and virulence in *Clostridium difficile*. *Research in Microbiology* **166**, 375–383 (2015).
- 870 22. Matamouros, S., England, P. & Dupuy, B. *Clostridium difficile* toxin expression is  
871 inhibited by the novel regulator TcdC. *Molecular Microbiology* **64**, 1274–1288 (2007).
- 872 23. Antunes, A., Martin-Verstraete, I. & Dupuy, B. CcpA-mediated repression of  
873 *Clostridium difficile* toxin gene expression. *Molecular Microbiology* **79**, 882–899 (2011).
- 874 24. Janoir, C. *et al.* Adaptive strategies and pathogenesis of *Clostridium difficile* from *in*  
875 *vivo* transcriptomics. *Infection and Immunity* **81**, 3757–3769 (2013).
- 876 25. Kansau, I. *et al.* Deciphering adaptation strategies of the epidemic *Clostridium*  
877 *difficile* 027 strain during infection through *in vivo* transcriptional analysis. *PLoS ONE*  
878 **11**, (2016).
- 879 26. Patil, K. R. & Nielsen, J. Uncovering transcriptional regulation of metabolism by  
880 using metabolic network topology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of the*  
881 *Sciences of the United States of America* **102**, 2685–2689 (2005).

- 882 27. Borenstein, E., Kupiec, M., Feldman, M. W. & Ruppin, E. Large-scale reconstruction  
883 and phylogenetic analysis of metabolic environments. *Proceedings of the National*  
884 *Academy of Sciences* **105**, 14482–14487 (2008).
- 885 28. Suthers, P. F. *et al.* Genome-scale metabolic reconstruction Of mycoplasma  
886 genitalium, iPS189. *PLoS Computational Biology* **5**, (2009).
- 887 29. Putnam, E. E., Nock, A. M., Lawley, T. D. & Shen, A. SpoIVA and sipl are  
888 *Clostridium difficile* spore morphogenetic proteins. *Journal of Bacteriology* **195**, 1214–  
889 1225 (2013).
- 890 30. Underwood, S. *et al.* Characterization of the sporulation initiation pathway of  
891 *Clostridium difficile* and its role in toxin production. *Journal of Bacteriology* **191**, 7296–  
892 7305 (2009).
- 893 31. Fimlaid, K. A. *et al.* Global Analysis of the Sporulation Pathway of *Clostridium*  
894 *difficile*. *PLoS Genetics* **9**, (2013).
- 895 32. Saujet, L., Pereira, F. C., Henriques, A. O. & Martin-Verstraete, I. The regulatory  
896 network controlling spore formation in *Clostridium difficile*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*  
897 **358**, 1–10 (2014).
- 898 33. Matsuno, K. & Sonenshein, A. L. Role of SpoVG in asymmetric septation in *Bacillus*  
899 *subtilis*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **181**, 3392–3401 (1999).
- 900 34. Lee, A. S. Y. & Song, K. P. LuxS/autoinducer-2 quorum sensing molecule regulates  
901 transcriptional virulence gene expression in *Clostridium difficile*. *Biochemical and*  
902 *Biophysical Research Communications* **335**, 659–666 (2005).

- 903 35. Martin, M. J. *et al.* The *agr* locus regulates virulence and colonization genes in  
904 *Clostridium difficile* 027. *Journal of Bacteriology* **195**, 3672–3681 (2013).
- 905 36. Donnelly, M. L., Fimlaid, K. A. & Shen, A. Characterization of *Clostridium difficile*  
906 spores lacking either SpoVAC or DPA Synthetase. *Journal of Bacteriology* JB.00986–15  
907 (2016). doi:10.1128/JB.00986-15
- 908 37. Dineen, S. S., Villapakkam, A. C., Nordman, J. T. & Sonenshein, A. L. Repression  
909 of *Clostridium difficile* toxin gene expression by CodY. *Molecular Microbiology* **66**, 206–  
910 219 (2007).
- 911 38. Martin-Verstraete, I., Peltier, J. & Dupuy, B. The regulatory networks that control  
912 *Clostridium difficile* toxin synthesis. **8**, (2016).
- 913 39. Potapov, A. P., Voss, N., Sasse, N. & Wingender, E. Topology of mammalian  
914 transcription networks. *Genome informatics. International Conference on Genome*  
915 *Informatics* **16**, 270–278 (2005).
- 916 40. Ma, H. W. & Zeng, A. P. The connectivity structure, giant strong component and  
917 centrality of metabolic networks. *Bioinformatics* **19**, 1423–1430 (2003).
- 918 41. McGill, R., Tukey, J. W. & Larsen, W. a. Variations of Box Plots. *The American*  
919 *Statistician* **32**, 12–16 (1978).
- 920 42. Aboulnaga, E. H. *et al.* Effect of an oxygen-tolerant bifurcating butyryl coenzyme a  
921 dehydrogenase/electron-transferring flavoprotein complex from *Clostridium difficile* on  
922 butyrate production in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **195**, 3704–3713 (2013).

- 923 43. Fonknechten, N. *et al.* *Clostridium sticklandii*, a specialist in amino acid  
924 degradation:revisiting its metabolism through its genome sequence. *BMC genomics* **11**,  
925 555 (2010).
- 926 44. Jackson, S., Calos, M., Myers, A. & Self, W. T. Analysis of proline reduction in the  
927 nosocomial pathogen *Clostridium difficile*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **188**, 8487–8495  
928 (2006).
- 929 45. Karlsson, S., Burman, L. G. & Åkerlund, T. Induction of toxins in *Clostridium difficile*  
930 is associated with dramatic changes of its metabolism. *Microbiology* **154**, 3430–3436  
931 (2008).
- 932 46. Ng, K. M. *et al.* Microbiota-liberated host sugars facilitate post-antibiotic expansion  
933 of enteric pathogens. *Nature* **502**, 96–9 (2013).
- 934 47. Matsumoto, M. *et al.* Impact of intestinal microbiota on intestinal luminal  
935 metabolome. *Scientific reports* **2**, 233 (2012).
- 936 48. Fuller, M. F. & Reeds, P. J. Nitrogen cycling in the gut. *Annual review of nutrition* **18**,  
937 385–411 (1998).
- 938 49. Köpke, M., Straub, M. & Dürre, P. *Clostridium difficile* Is an Autotrophic Bacterial  
939 Pathogen. *PLoS ONE* **8**, (2013).
- 940 50. Li, C. H., Cheng, Y. W., Liao, P. L., Yang, Y. T. & Kang, J. J. Chloramphenicol  
941 causes mitochondrial stress, decreases ATP biosynthesis, induces matrix  
942 metalloproteinase-13 expression, and solid-tumor cell invasion. *Toxicological Sciences*  
943 **116**, 140–150 (2010).

- 944 51. Emerson, J. E., Stabler, R. A., Wren, B. W. & Fairweather, N. F. Microarray analysis  
945 of the transcriptional responses of *Clostridium difficile* to environmental and antibiotic  
946 stress. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **57**, 757–764 (2008).
- 947 52. Green, M. L. & Karp, P. D. The outcomes of pathway database computations  
948 depend on pathway ontology. *Nucleic Acids Research* **34**, 3687–3697 (2006).
- 949 53. Levy, R. & Borenstein, E. Reverse Ecology: from systems to environments and  
950 back. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology* **751**, 329–345 (2012).
- 951 54. Theriot, C. M. *et al.* Cefoperazone-treated mice as an experimental platform to  
952 assess differential virulence of *Clostridium difficile* strains. *Gut microbes* **2**, 326–334  
953 (2011).
- 954 55. Wilson, K. H., Kennedy, M. J. & Fekety, F. R. Use of sodium taurocholate to  
955 enhance spore recovery on a medium selective for *Clostridium difficile*. *Journal of*  
956 *Clinical Microbiology* **15**, 443–446 (1982).
- 957 56. Sorg, J. a. & Sonenshein, A. L. Inhibiting the initiation of *Clostridium difficile* spore  
958 germination using analogs of chenodeoxycholic acid, a bile acid. *Journal of Bacteriology*  
959 **192**, 4983–4990 (2010).
- 960 57. Leslie, J. L. *et al.* Persistence and toxin production by *Clostridium difficile* within  
961 human intestinal organoids result in disruption of epithelial paracellular barrier function.  
962 *Infection and Immunity* **83**, 138–145 (2015).
- 963 58. Kozich, J. (. of M. & Schloss, P. 16S Sequencing with the Illumina MiSeq Personal  
964 Sequencer. *University of Michigan Health System SOP 3.1*, 1–16 (2013).

- 965 59. Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M. & Cole, J. R. Naive Bayesian classifier for  
966 rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Applied and*  
967 *Environmental Microbiology* **73**, 5261–5267 (2007).
- 968 60. Lopez-Medina, E., Neubauer, M. M., Pier, G. B. & Koh, A. Y. RNA isolation of  
969 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonizing the murine gastrointestinal tract. *Journal of*  
970 *visualized experiments : JoVE* 6–9 (2011). doi:10.3791/3293
- 971 61. Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-  
972 efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol* 1–10  
973 (2009). doi:gb-2009-10-3-r25 [pii]r10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25
- 974 62. Ogata, H. *et al.* KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. **27**, 29–34  
975 (1999).
- 976 63. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**,  
977 2078–2079 (2009).
- 978 64. Basler, G., Ebenhöf, O., Selbig, J. & Nikoloski, Z. Mass-balanced randomization of  
979 metabolic networks. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1397–1403 (2011).

□ Untreated water

▼ *C. difficile* gavage

▼ Euthanize & Necropsy











