

1 **An RNA binding polymer specifies nematode sperm fate**

2
3 Scott Takeo Aoki¹, Douglas F. Porter¹, Aman Prasad¹, Marvin Wickens¹, Craig A. Bingman¹,
4 Judith Kimble^{1,2}

5
6 ¹ Department of Biochemistry and ² Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Wisconsin-
7 Madison, Madison, WI, USA,

8
9 For correspondence:

10 Judith Kimble
11 HHMI/Department of Biochemistry
12 University of Wisconsin-Madison
13 433 Babcock Drive
14 Madison, WI 53706-1544
15 Tel 608-262-6188
16 Fax 608-265-5820
17 jekimble@wisc.edu

18
19 Keywords: FOG-3, germ cell fate, RNA binding protein, protein polymer

20
21 Abbreviations: RNA, ribonucleic acid; DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid; FOG, feminization of
22 germline; UTR, untranslated region

23

24 **Abstract**

25 Metazoan germ cells develop as sperm or oocytes, depending on chromosomal sex, extrinsic
26 signaling from somatic tissue and intrinsic factors within the germ cells. Gamete fate regulatory
27 networks have been analyzed in nematodes, flies and mammals, but only in *C. elegans* have
28 terminal intrinsic regulators been identified, which include a Tob/BTG protein family member,
29 FOG-3. Canonical Tob/BTG proteins function as monomeric adaptor proteins that link RNA
30 binding proteins to deadenylases. To ask if FOG-3 functions similarly, we first determined its
31 crystal structure. FOG-3 harbors a classical Tob/BTG fold, but unlike other Tob/BTG proteins,
32 FOG-3 dimerizes and these FOG-3 dimers assemble into polymers. The importance of FOG-3
33 polymers to sperm fate specification was confirmed *in vivo* using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing to
34 create mutations designed to disrupt the polymer interface. The FOG-3 surface potential is
35 highly basic, suggesting binding to nucleic acid. We find that FOG-3 binds RNA directly with a
36 strong preference for 3'UTRs of oogenic mRNAs. Our results reveal a divergent but striking
37 molecular assembly for proteins with a Tob/BTG fold, make key advances in understanding the
38 mechanism of sperm fate specification and highlight the potential for undiscovered protein
39 polymers in biology.

41 **Introduction**

42 Metazoan germ cells differentiate as sperm or oocyte. The initial trigger is chromosomal sex, but
43 extrinsic and intrinsic cell fate regulators work subsequently to specify germ cell sex ^{reviewed in 1}.
44 Nematode, fly and mammalian germ cells assume a spermatogenic or oogenic fate in response
45 to sex-specific signaling from somatic tissues, and their response relies on intrinsic regulatory
46 factors ^{2,3}. Terminal regulators of the sperm/oocyte fate decision have been elusive in most
47 organisms, but have been found in the nematode *C. elegans* ^{4,5}. Whereas transcription factors
48 control cell fate in somatic tissues ^{e.g. 6,7}, post-transcriptional regulation has emerged as a major
49 mode of control in germ cells. For example, the nematode terminal regulators of germ cell sex
50 determination are conserved post-transcriptional regulators ⁸⁻¹¹, and RNA regulators also affect
51 germline sex determination in mammals ¹². A major challenge now is to elucidate the molecular
52 mechanism of these key germ cell fate regulators both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

53 An elaborate regulatory network drives the *C. elegans* sperm/oocyte fate decision ^{2,13}. Most
54 relevant to this work are the terminal sperm fate regulators FOG-3, a Tob/BTG protein (**Fig. 1a**)
55 ^{5,8}, and FOG-1, a cytoplasmic polyadenylation element binding protein (CPEB) ^{4,9,10}. Other
56 sperm/oocyte regulators act upstream of these two key regulators. Removal of either the *fog-1*
57 or *fog-3* gene sexually transforms the germline to produce oocytes rather than sperm, the Fog
58 (feminization of germline) phenotype ^{4,5}. CPEB and Tob/BTG family members also influence
59 mammalian germ cell development ¹⁴⁻¹⁶, but a role in the mammalian sperm/oocyte decision is
60 not yet known. Nonetheless, molecular insights into mammalian CPEB and Tob/BTG set the
61 stage for this work. Mammalian CPEB binds specific mRNAs, whereas mammalian Tob/BTG
62 binds CPEB and recruits deadenylases to shorten polyA tails and decrease stability of CPEB
63 target mRNAs ^{17,18}. Therefore, these conserved proteins work together to repress mRNA
64 translation ¹⁷. Similarly, *C. elegans* FOG-1/CPEB and FOG-3/Tob proteins bind each other *in*
65 *vitro* and co-immunoprecipitate from worms ¹¹. In addition, FOG-1/CPEB and FOG-3/Tob
66 associate with a set of common mRNAs and many of their putative targets belong to the
67 oogenesis program, a striking finding since these RNAs were identified in spermatogenic
68 germlines. These results support the model that FOG-1/CPEB and FOG-3/Tob work together in
69 a complex to repress oogenic mRNAs ¹¹.

70 A battery of *fog-1* and *fog-3* missense mutations, which sexually transform germ cells ^{4,5},
71 provides molecular insights into sperm specification. Most *fog-1* mutations map to the RNA
72 binding domain, which links RNA binding to the FOG-1 role in sperm fate specification ¹⁹.
73 Similarly, most *fog-3* mutations map to the Tob/BTG fold ⁸ (**Fig. 1b** and **Supplementary Fig. 1**),
74 which links this region to the FOG-3 role in sperm specification. However, few mutations map to

75 residues conserved with mammalian Tob/BTG proteins (**Supplementary Fig. 1**), and no
76 mutations map to residues corresponding to the deadenylase binding interface of human Tob²⁰.
77 We therefore considered the idea that FOG-3 might use a distinct molecular mechanism.

78 To gain insight into how FOG-3 regulates the sperm fate, we first determined its crystal
79 structure. This structure confirmed the presence of a Tob/BTG fold, but also revealed novel
80 features. Specifically, FOG-3 crystallized as a polymer of dimers. By contrast, canonical
81 Tob/BTG proteins are monomeric^{20,21}. We confirmed FOG-3 polymers with electron microscopy
82 and biochemistry, and demonstrated their biological significance with genetics. The surface
83 potential of the FOG-3 polymer was basic, which suggested RNA binding. Using FOG-3 iCLIP,
84 we confirmed FOG-3 binding and learned that it binds across 3'UTRs of mRNAs belonging to
85 the oogenic program. Together, our results from crystallography, genetics and molecular biology
86 show that FOG-3 functions as an RNA-binding polymer and that FOG-3 targets the 3'UTRs of
87 oogenic transcripts. Our analysis supports a new molecular mechanism for sperm fate
88 specification and highlights the idea that evolution can usurp a well conserved domain to form
89 novel polymers with only modest changes to its primary sequence and structural fold.

90 91 **RESULTS**

92 **FOG-3 is a divergent Tob/BTG protein**

93 FOG-3 is predicted to be a Tob/BTG protein. Like canonical members of this family, the FOG-3
94 primary sequence possesses a predicted N-terminal Tob/BTG domain and a disordered C-
95 terminal region (**Fig. 1b** and **Supplementary Fig. 1**)⁸. Comparison of FOG-3 sequences from
96 several *Caenorhabditid* species reveals further nematode-specific conservation that extends
97 ~20 amino acids past the predicted Tob/BTG fold. We used recombinant *C. elegans* FOG-3
98 protein to probe domain boundaries. Full-length recombinant FOG-3 (amino acids 1-263) was
99 unstable, but FOG-3(1-238) was expressed robustly (**Supplementary Fig. 2a,b**). Proteases
100 generated ~15 kDa protected fragments (**Supplementary Fig. 2b**), which by mass
101 spectrometry spanned residues 1-135 after trypsin and 1-142 after elastase cleavage. Both
102 fragments included the predicted Tob/BTG fold plus the nematode-specific extension (**Fig. 1b**).
103 FOG-3(1-137) exhibited a broad elution peak (**Supplementary Fig. 2a**) that could be attributed
104 to a partially unfolded peptide or several multimerization states. Thus, both sequence
105 conservation and *in vitro* analyses suggested that FOG-3 contains a single domain spanning the
106 canonical Tob/BTG fold and a nematode-specific extension.

107 We pursued the FOG-3 crystal structure to gain insight into this putative Tob/BTG protein.
108 Residues 1-137 gave good yields, but solubility and stability remained issues at high
109 concentration. We improved solubility by retaining the histidine tag and mutating non-conserved
110 residues to amino acids in related FOG-3s (H47N and C117A) (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). Initial
111 crystallization trials proved unfruitful. Thinking that a cofactor was missing, we performed a
112 thermal folding assay²² with various additives (Online Methods). Magnesium and sulfate
113 improved protein thermostability (**Supplementary Fig. 2c**) so we reasoned that they might aid
114 stability during crystallization. FOG-3(1-137, H47N C117A) crystallized in the presence of
115 magnesium sulfate, and these crystals provided a full data set to 2.03 Å (RCSB PDB ID: 5TD6,
116 **Supplementary Table 1**). Phase information was obtained using a human Tob structure
117 (Online Methods).

118 The FOG-3 crystal structure confirmed the predicted Tob/BTG fold and revealed additional
119 features. Each asymmetric unit contained two FOG-3 subunits, FOG-3A and FOG-3B (**Fig.**
120 **1b,c**). For FOG-3A, we could model residues 1-123, missing the last 14 residues, and for FOG-
121 3B we could model nearly the entire peptide chain (1-136) (**Fig. 1b,c**). The structural alignment
122 was excellent between FOG-3 (both A and B) and a previously determined human Tob1
123 structure (RMSD 1.062-1.084 Å, **Fig. 1d**)²⁰, confirming a Tob/BTG fold in FOG-3. However,
124 unlike other Tob/BTG structures, the FOG-3 structure extended past the classic Tob/BTG fold
125 as a linker-helix extension (**Fig. 1c,d**). Details of the structure supported the idea that the two

126 FOG-3 subunits in the ASU represent a *bona fide* dimer. The buried surface area between the
127 two FOG-3 subunits was large (1034.2 Å²) and the interface intricate (**Supplementary Fig.**
128 **2d,e**). The linker-helix extension of FOG-3B folded around FOG-3A (**Fig. 1c**), making several
129 hydrogen bonds (**Supplementary Fig. 2d-g**), and the Tob/BTG folds contacted each other at
130 their N-terminal helices, with a hydrogen bond and arginine planar stacking between conserved
131 residues (**Fig. 1e,f**). Dimerization could also explain the elution profile of recombinant FOG-3
132 (**Supplementary Fig. 2a**). Thus, the crystal structure supported authenticity of the FOG-3
133 dimer.

134 **FOG-3 forms a biologically relevant dimer**

135 To ask if the FOG-3 dimer is significant biologically, we analyzed the sites of eight missense
136 mutations that eliminate the ability of FOG-3 to specify sperm fate (**Fig. 1b** and **Supplementary**
137 **Fig. 1**)⁸. Our structure included all missense sites (**Fig. 1e,g** and **Supplementary Fig. 1**).
138 Three mutations (P21L, R56Q, P94S) alter residues conserved across Tob/BTG folds, and five
139 others change residues conserved only in FOG-3 and its nematode paralogs (**Fig. 1b,g** and
140 **Supplementary Fig. 1**). The three Tob/BTG fold mutations include two prolines located
141 between helices and an arginine making a hydrogen bond characteristic of Tob/BTG folds
142 (**Supplementary Fig. 2f,h**)^{20,21}. Because of their locations and contacts, we speculate that
143 these residues are crucial to protein folding. Among the other mutations, three (E7K, R14K,
144 G33K) map to the Tob/BTG fold (**Fig. 1f,g**) and two (P125L, A132T) map to the nematode-
145 specific linker-helix extension (**Fig. 1g** and **Supplementary Fig. 2f,i**). Two Tob/BTG fold
146 missense residues (E7K, R14K) belong to the cluster mediating FOG-3 dimerization (**Fig. 1e**)
147 and the third (G33K) maps to a central helix, where a bulky lysine residue could disrupt
148 dimerization via steric hindrance (**Supplementary Fig. 2f,h**). The two mutations outside the Tob
149 fold (P125L, A132T) map to the base and internal face of the linker-helix extension in FOG-3B
150 (**Supplementary Fig. 2f,i**), highlighting the importance of this extension to FOG-3 function. We
151 conclude from the structure together with the sites of key missense mutants that FOG-3 likely
152 dimerizes *in vivo* and that dimerization is critical for FOG-3 function.
153

154 **Polymerization of FOG-3 dimers**

155 The mapping of two FOG-3 missense mutations to the nematode-specific extension suggested
156 the importance of this linker-helix for sperm fate specification. Such an extension seemed an
157 unusual strategy for dimerization, because the linker-helix interaction is asymmetrical (**Fig. 1c**).
158 We therefore wondered if the linker-helix could be used instead for additional dimer-dimer
159 interactions. To explore this possibility, we extended the crystal symmetry to visualize FOG-3
160 dimer-dimer interactions in the structure and found the linker-helix extension of each dimer
161 tucked neatly into a cleft in its adjacent FOG-3 dimer (**Fig. 2a**). Each dimer was rotated 180°
162 relative to its neighbor in a continuous pattern to form a polymer within the crystal (**Fig. 2b**). The
163 polymer employs two FOG-3 dimers to complete a 360° turn (**Fig. 2a,b**). Details of the structure
164 suggested that the dimer-dimer interface was authentic. Its surface area was 1112.5 Å²
165 (**Supplementary Fig. 2j**), a value similar to that between the dimer subunits, and the interface
166 included 10 hydrogen bonds and four salt bridges (**Supplementary Fig. 2j-l**), with many
167 residues conserved among nematode FOG-3 paralogs (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). For example,
168 the linker-helix of FOG-3B made two salt bridges with the R14 stacking arginines of the adjacent
169 dimer (**Fig. 2c** and **Supplementary Fig. 2l**). The conservation of these interacting residues
170 implies that FOG-3 polymerization is conserved among *Caenorhabditis* species.
171

172 We sought to confirm FOG-3 polymerization by complementary *in vitro* methods. The FOG-3
173 sizing column elution profile gave no hint of polymerization, but polymerization might require a
174 high protein concentration that is not achieved when diluted over the column. Indeed, negative-
175 stain electron microscopy (EM) revealed rods of FOG-3 polymers when recombinant FOG-3(1-
176 137, H47N C117A) was assayed at high concentration (**Fig. 2d**). The appearance of FOG-3

177 rods was sporadic, making EM an unreliable way to assay polymerization. We turned instead to
178 biochemistry, and used the crystal structure to design lysine mutations at non-conserved
179 residues that could facilitate intra- and inter-dimer crosslinking with the addition of a chemical
180 (**Supplementary Fig. 3a-c**). This FOG-3 “lysine mutant,” which harbored four residues mutated
181 to lysine, could be purified (**Supplementary Fig. 3d,e**) and robustly crosslinked as dimers when
182 incubated with a chemical crosslinker (**Supplementary Fig. 3f**). At higher concentrations of the
183 chemical crosslinker, larger species formed, which we attributed to polymer formation
184 (**Supplementary Fig. 3f**). We next tested crosslinking with that FOG-3 lysine mutant that also
185 harbored a missense mutation (R14K) postulated to impede dimerization. Attempts to crosslink
186 this R14K mutant generated very little dimer and no multimer signal (**Supplementary Fig. 3f**).
187 These results support our structural model that certain FOG-3 missense mutants disrupt
188 dimerization.

189 No previously identified FOG-3 missense mutants disrupted the dimer-dimer interface
190 specifically. P125L and A132T highlighted the importance of the linker-helix extension for FOG-
191 3 function, but their wild-type residues were not implicated specifically in polymerization. The
192 two R14s of one dimer made contacts with the neighboring dimer, but they were also associated
193 with planar stacking for dimerization (**Fig. 1e**). Thus, we lacked a mutation that disrupts
194 polymerization specifically. The FOG-3 structure revealed a glutamate (E126) on the solvent
195 exposed surface of the linker-helix that contacts the neighboring dimer (**Fig. 2c**). We reasoned
196 that changing E126 to a positively charged amino acid (E126K) would disrupt polymerization.
197 Indeed, chemical crosslinking of a FOG-3 lysine mutant with an E126K mutation had little effect
198 on dimer crosslinking, but prevented formation of higher ordered multimer species
199 (**Supplementary Fig. 3f**). We conclude that FOG-3 dimer-dimer contacts facilitate
200 polymerization. To test the importance of polymerization to sperm fate specification *in vivo*, we
201 used CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing^{23, see Online Methods} to introduce the E126K change in the
202 endogenous *fog-3* gene. Animals homozygous for either of two independent E126K alleles were
203 unable to make sperm and instead had a fully penetrant Fog phenotype (**Fig. 2e,f**). Therefore,
204 FOG-3 is likely to function as a polymer to promote sperm fate.
205

206 **FOG-3 binds RNA directly across 3'UTRs of oogenic-associated transcripts**

207 Our crystal structure challenged the idea that nematode FOG-3 regulates its target RNAs via
208 the mechanism elucidated for mammalian Tob/BTG proteins. Canonical Tob/BTGs function as
209 monomeric adapters to recruit deadenylases to RNA binding proteins and their target mRNAs
210²⁴. However, several structural features suggested that FOG-3 might bind RNA directly rather
211 than serving as an adapter between proteins. The electrostatic surface potential of the FOG-3
212 polymer is highly basic (**Fig. 3a,b**) and conserved aromatic residues (F91 and F98) are
213 accessible at the surface with potential for base-stacking to nucleic acid (**Fig. 3c** and
214 **Supplementary Fig. 1**). Moreover, a sulfate co-crystallized with FOG-3 between adjacent
215 polymers (**Fig. 3a,c**), which appeared to balance the positive charge of conserved cationic
216 residues R22 and R23 (**Fig. 3c** and **Supplementary Fig. 1**). We speculate that this sulfate may
217 mark where FOG-3 binds the phosphate backbone of nucleic acid.

218 We tested whether FOG-3 binds directly to RNA in sperm-fated nematode germ cells. FOG-
219 3 immunoprecipitation (IP) enriched for radiolabelled RNA after UV crosslinking (**Fig. 3d**,
220 **Supplementary Fig. 4a-c**), a treatment that creates covalent bonds between proteins and RNA
221²⁵. The strong radiolabelled signal versus control provided evidence that FOG-3 binds RNA
222 directly. We then used iCLIP²⁶ to identify RNAs crosslinked to FOG-3 (**Supplementary Fig. 4c-
223 f**) and to learn the location of where it binds within those RNAs. After normalization to a negative
224 control (see Online Methods), FOG-3 enriched for 955 mRNA targets and 38 non-coding RNAs
225 (**Fig. 3e**, **Supplementary Table 2** and **Supplementary Table 3**). Remarkably, ~94% of the
226 mRNA targets belonged to the oogenesis program (**Fig. 3f** and **Supplementary Fig. 6g**),
227 despite their immunoprecipitation from sperm-fated nematode germ cells. The RNA targets

228 identified by iCLIP overlapped with an earlier list of FOG-3 targets, identified by microarray
229 (**Supplementary Fig. 6g,h**, p value < 10^{-208}), but iCLIP significantly increased enrichment for
230 oogenic RNAs and decreased spermatogenic RNAs (**Supplementary Fig. 6g,h**). Because
231 iCLIP is more stringent than probe-based microarray methods for identifying targets²⁷, we
232 suggest that iCLIP improved the signal-to-noise ratio of RNAs that immunoprecipitated with
233 FOG-3 from these sperm-fated germ cells. We conclude that FOG-3 binds directly to targets
234 that belong largely to the oogenesis program.

235 We mapped the sites of FOG-3 binding within its targets. A majority of its binding sites
236 mapped to 3'UTRs (**Fig. 4a,b** and **Supplementary Fig. 4i,j**). Few peaks were observed in the
237 5'UTR and coding regions (**Fig. 4a,b**), implying that FOG-3 binding is largely restricted to
238 3'UTRs. If FOG-3 binds RNA as a polymer, it should leave an extensive footprint. Consistent
239 with this idea, 624 of 955 protein-coding genes (65.3%) had two or more sequence peaks that
240 spanned 3'UTRs (**Fig. 4c,d** and **Supplementary Fig. 4i-k**). This binding pattern is reminiscent
241 of 3'UTR multi-site RNA binding proteins, like HuR²⁸. Gaps between peaks might signify
242 authentic absences of FOG-3 binding or they might represent preferred sites of enzymatic
243 digestion during iCLIP (see Methods). We sought motifs enriched near FOG-3 binding sites and
244 found enrichment of a CUCAC motif (**Supplementary Fig. 4l**, p value < 1.8×10^{-229}). CUCA is
245 part of the GLD-1/STAR signature motif²⁹. GLD-1 regulates germline sex determination, but it
246 can promote either the sperm or oocyte fate³⁰. We conclude that FOG-3 binds across 3'UTRs
247 of its target mRNAs and suggest that it specifies the sperm fate by repressing mRNAs in the
248 oogenic program.

249 250 **DISCUSSION**

251 Regulation of gene expression lies at the heart of cell fate specification. Here we connect a
252 poorly understood cell fate decision, specification of the sperm fate, with an unexpected RNA-
253 binding regulatory polymer. We find that the FOG-3 protein can assemble as a polymer *in vitro*,
254 that its polymerization is critical for sperm fate specification *in vivo* and that FOG-3 binds a
255 battery of oogenic mRNAs directly, preferentially at multiple sites throughout their 3'UTRs.
256 These advances into understanding the molecular basis of the sperm fate decision were made
257 possible by solving the crystal structure of a key regulatory protein that then could be queried
258 with missense mutations and CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing to test the importance of structural
259 features. Our findings support a model in which the FOG-3 polymer binds across 3'UTRs to
260 regulate the sperm fate (**Fig. 4e**). Our model further suggests that mRNAs may wrap around the
261 FOG-3 polymer, an idea based on speculation that the positions of co-crystallized sulfates may
262 be potential mRNA phosphate backbone binding sites. By this reasoning, the FOG-3 polymer
263 would package its target mRNAs (**Fig. 4e**). This model is reminiscent of viral assembly proteins
264 that form helical polymers to package RNA genomes³¹. Another example is Bicaudal-C (*bicc1*),
265 which multimerizes via its SAM domain to regulate RNA localization and translational silencing
266³². In our study, recombinant FOG-3 alone was not sufficient to robustly form polymers at low
267 protein concentrations (**Supplementary Fig. 2a**). Like viral assembly proteins and other nucleic
268 acid binding polymers³³, FOG-3 may require an mRNA scaffold to form collaborative filaments.

269 Our analysis of FOG-3 mRNA targets greatly improves our understanding of how FOG-3
270 regulates sperm fate specification. First, a previous study seeking FOG-3 associated mRNAs
271 used an older technology with microarrays¹¹ and did not find as convincing an enrichment for
272 oogenic mRNAs (**Supplementary Fig. 6g,h**). Our use of iCLIP demonstrates that virtually all
273 (~94%) FOG-3 targets belong to the oogenic program (**Fig. 3f**), even though spermatogenic
274 germ cells were used to find the FOG-3 targets. Second, it was not known prior to this work that
275 FOG-3 is itself an RNA-binding protein and therefore it could not be known where it binds. Here
276 we demonstrate that FOG-3 not only binds directly to RNA but it binds to 3'UTRs of its target
277 mRNAs. A common 3'UTR regulatory mechanism involves recruitment of RNA-modifying
278 enzymes to regulate mRNA expression^{34,35}. Indeed, mammalian BTG/Tob proteins regulate

279 their targets in this way^{17,18}. Our discovery of FOG-3 polymerization suggests a different
280 mechanism. FOG-3 lacks conservation at sites corresponding to the mammalian
281 Tob/deadenylase binding interface²⁰, arguing against FOG-3 recruitment of a deadenylase. We
282 suggest that instead FOG-3 binds as a polymer across 3'UTRs to either preclude other 3'UTR
283 protein binding or to localize its targets for repression. We do not yet know if FOG-3 provides
284 RNA binding specificity or relies on another RNA-binding protein to seed its polymerization (**Fig.**
285 **4e**). If the seed is critical, the most likely candidate is FOG-1/CPEB, which binds FOG-3 and
286 associates with a set of common oogenic mRNAs¹¹. Another candidate might be the GLD-1
287 STAR/Quaking RNA binding protein, an idea based on the enrichment in FOG-3 target 3'UTRs
288 for the core GLD-1 RNA binding motif²⁹ (**Supplementary Fig. 6i**). Because GLD-1 influences
289 both sperm³⁶ and oocyte specification³⁰, it might seed FOG-3 polymers to drive the sperm fate
290 or compete with FOG-3 binding to drive the oocyte fate. A third possibility is that FOG-3 self-
291 assembles on its RNA targets via either sequence or RNA secondary structure motifs that we
292 could not detect.

293 Polymerization appears to be a unique feature of FOG-3-related Tob/BTG proteins. The
294 critical residues at the dimer interface are not conserved in mammalian Tob/BTG proteins
295 (**Supplementary Fig. 1**) and these mammalian homologs show no evidence of dimerization or
296 polymerization^{20,21}. Because only a few Tob/BTG proteins have been biochemically
297 characterized, FOG-3 might yet have a mammalian counterpart. However, given the sequence
298 differences between nematode and mammalian Tob/BTG proteins, we favor instead the idea
299 that an existing protein fold was adapted during evolution to generate a polymer. That evolution
300 from monomer to polymer required the generation of inter-subunit and inter-dimer interacting
301 surfaces. In the case of FOG-3, the inter-dimer interface was created by adding a linker-helix
302 extension that fits into the cleft of its neighbor, adding a binding surface to one side of the dimer.
303 This extension provides directionality for polymer assembly. Intriguingly, other nucleic acid
304 binding polymers use a similar strategy. The RNA-binding proteins that package the genomes of
305 RNA viruses possess a core folded domain plus a C-terminal linker-helix or linker- β -sheet
306 extension to drive multimerization³¹. Similarly, adenovirus E4-ORF3 oncoprotein forms a
307 polymer with its central core dimer and C-terminal linker- β sheet extension³⁷. Our discovery of
308 the FOG-3 polymer was surprising given models for mammalian Tob/BTG proteins, and
309 provides an example of how protein domains can form covert assemblies for novel roles in RNA
310 regulation and cell fate.

311

312 **METHODS**

313 Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

314 *Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version*
315 *of the paper.*

316

317 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

318 The authors thank R. Massey for electron microscopy image acquisition; M. Cox for equipment;
319 A. Helsley-Marchbanks for help preparing the manuscript; L. Vanderploeg for help with the
320 figures; and members of the Kimble and Wickens labs for helpful discussions. Use of the
321 Advanced Photon Source was supported by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-
322 06CH11357. Use of the LS-CAT Sector 21 was supported by the Michigan Economic
323 Development Corporation and the Michigan Technology Tri-Corridor (Grant 085P1000817).
324 GM/CA@APS has been funded in whole or in part with Federal funds from the National Cancer
325 Institute (ACB-12002) and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (AGM-12006).
326 STA was supported by NIH grants F32HD071692 and K99HD081208. CAB was supported by
327 NIH grants GM094584, GM094622 and GM098248. MW was supported by NIH grant
328 GM50942. JK is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

329 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

330 STA designed and performed experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the paper; DFP and AP
331 designed and performed experiments and analyzed the data; CAB acquired and analyzed data;
332 MW analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript; JK analyzed the data and wrote the paper.

333 COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

334 The authors declare no competing financial interests.
335

336 REFERENCES

- 337 1. Lesch, B.J. & Page, D.C. Genetics of germ cell development. *Nat Rev Genet* **13**, 781-94
338 (2012).
- 339 2. Ellis, R. & Schedl, T. Sex determination in the germ line. in *WormBook* (ed. Community,
340 T.C.e.R.) (WormBook, 2007).
- 341 3. Murray, S.M., Yang, S.Y. & Van Doren, M. Germ cell sex determination: a collaboration
342 between soma and germline. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **22**, 722-9 (2010).
- 343 4. Barton, M.K. & Kimble, J. *fog-1*, a regulatory gene required for specification of
344 spermatogenesis in the germ line of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **125**, 29-39
345 (1990).
- 346 5. Ellis, R.E. & Kimble, J. The *fog-3* gene and regulation of cell fate in the germ line of
347 *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **139**, 561-577 (1995).
- 348 6. Weintraub, H. The MyoD family and myogenesis: redundancy, networks, and thresholds.
349 *Cell* **75**, 1241-4 (1993).
- 350 7. Johnson, A.D. Molecular mechanisms of cell-type determination in budding yeast. *Curr*
351 *Opin Genet Dev* **5**, 552-8 (1995).
- 352 8. Chen, P.-J., Singal, A., Kimble, J. & Ellis, R.E. A novel member of the Tob family of
353 proteins controls sexual fate in *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ cells. *Dev Biol* **217**, 77-90
354 (2000).
- 355 9. Jin, S.-W., Kimble, J. & Ellis, R.E. Regulation of cell fate in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by a
356 novel cytoplasmic polyadenylation element binding protein. *Developmental Biology* **229**,
357 537-553 (2001).
- 358 10. Luitjens, C., Gallegos, M., Kraemer, B., Kimble, J. & Wickens, M. CPEB proteins control
359 two key steps in spermatogenesis in *C. elegans*. *Genes & Development* **14**, 2596-2609
360 (2000).
- 361 11. Noble, D.C. et al. Genomic analyses of sperm fate regulator targets reveal a common
362 set of oogenic mRNAs in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **202**, 221-34 (2016).
- 363 12. Suzuki, A. & Saga, Y. Nanos2 suppresses meiosis and promotes male germ cell
364 differentiation. *Genes Dev* **22**, 430-5 (2008).
- 365 13. Kimble, J. & Crittenden, S.L. Controls of germline stem cells, entry into meiosis, and the
366 sperm/oocyte decision in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Annual Review of Cell and*
367 *Developmental Biology* **23**, 405-433 (2007).
- 368 14. Tay, J. & Richter, J.D. Germ cell differentiation and synaptonemal complex formation are
369 disrupted in CPEB knockout mice. *Dev Cell* **1**, 201-13 (2001).
- 370 15. Pasternak, M., Pfender, S., Santhanam, B. & Schuh, M. The BTG4 and CAF1 complex
371 prevents the spontaneous activation of eggs by deadenylating maternal mRNAs. *Open*
372 *Biol* **6**(2016).
- 373 16. Yu, C. et al. BTG4 is a meiotic cell cycle-coupled maternal-zygotic-transition licensing
374 factor in oocytes. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **23**, 387-94 (2016).
- 375 17. Hosoda, N. et al. Anti-proliferative protein Tob negatively regulates CPEB3 target by
376 recruiting Caf1 deadenylase. *The EMBO Journal* **30**, 1311-23 (2011).

- 377 18. Ogami, K., Hosoda, N., Funakoshi, Y. & Hoshino, S. Antiproliferative protein Tob directly
378 regulates c-myc proto-oncogene expression through cytoplasmic polyadenylation
379 element-binding protein CPEB. *Oncogene* **33**, 55-64 (2014).
- 380 19. Jin, S.W. et al. In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, the RNA-binding domains of the cytoplasmic
381 polyadenylation element binding protein FOG-1 are needed to regulate germ cell fates.
382 *Genetics* **159**, 1617-30 (2001).
- 383 20. Horiuchi, M. et al. Structural basis for the antiproliferative activity of the Tob-hCaf1
384 complex. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **284**, 13244-55 (2009).
- 385 21. Yang, X. et al. Crystal structures of human BTG2 and mouse TIS21 involved in
386 suppression of CAF1 deadenylase activity. *Nucleic Acids Research* **36**, 6872-81 (2008).
- 387 22. Ericsson, U.B., Hallberg, B.M., Detitta, G.T., Dekker, N. & Nordlund, P. Thermofluor-
388 based high-throughput stability optimization of proteins for structural studies. *Anal*
389 *Biochem* **357**, 289-98 (2006).
- 390 23. Arribere, J.A. et al. Efficient marker-free recovery of custom genetic modifications with
391 CRISPR/Cas9 in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **198**, 837-46 (2014).
- 392 24. Winkler, G.S. The mammalian anti-proliferative BTG/Tob protein family. *J Cell Physiol*
393 **222**, 66-72 (2010).
- 394 25. Darnell, R.B. HITS-CLIP: panoramic views of protein-RNA regulation in living cells. *Wiley*
395 *Interdiscip Rev RNA* **1**, 266-86 (2010).
- 396 26. Huppertz, I. et al. iCLIP: protein-RNA interactions at nucleotide resolution. *Methods* **65**,
397 274-87 (2014).
- 398 27. Wang, Z., Gerstein, M. & Snyder, M. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics.
399 *Nat Rev Genet* **10**, 57-63 (2009).
- 400 28. Lebedeva, S. et al. Transcriptome-wide analysis of regulatory interactions of the RNA-
401 binding protein HuR. *Mol Cell* **43**, 340-52 (2011).
- 402 29. Ryder, S.P., Frater, L.A., Abramovitz, D.L., Goodwin, E.B. & Williamson, J.R. RNA target
403 specificity of the STAR/GSG domain post-transcriptional regulatory protein GLD-1.
404 *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **11**, 20-8 (2004).
- 405 30. Kim, K.W. et al. Antagonism between GLD-2 binding partners controls gamete sex.
406 *Developmental Cell* **16**, 723-33 (2009).
- 407 31. Reguera, J., Cusack, S. & Kolakofsky, D. Segmented negative strand RNA virus
408 nucleoprotein structure. *Curr Opin Virol* **5**, 7-15 (2014).
- 409 32. Rothe, B. et al. Bicc1 polymerization regulates the localization and silencing of bound
410 mRNA. *Mol Cell Biol* **35**, 3339-53 (2015).
- 411 33. Ghosal, D. & Lowe, J. Collaborative protein filaments. *EMBO J* **34**, 2312-20 (2015).
- 412 34. Kong, J. & Lasko, P. Translational control in cellular and developmental processes. *Nat*
413 *Rev Genet* **13**, 383-94 (2012).
- 414 35. Schoenberg, D.R. & Maquat, L.E. Regulation of cytoplasmic mRNA decay. *Nat Rev*
415 *Genet* **13**, 246-59 (2012).
- 416 36. Francis, R., Barton, M.K., Kimble, J. & Schedl, T. *gld-1*, a tumor suppressor gene
417 required for oocyte development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **139**, 579-606
418 (1995).
- 419 37. Ou, H.D. et al. A structural basis for the assembly and functions of a viral polymer that
420 inactivates multiple tumor suppressors. *Cell* **151**, 304-19 (2012).
- 421 38. Di Tommaso, P. et al. T-Coffee: a web server for the multiple sequence alignment of
422 protein and RNA sequences using structural information and homology extension.
423 *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, W13-7 (2011).
- 424 39. Bailey, T.L., Johnson, J., Grant, C.E. & Noble, W.S. The MEME Suite. *Nucleic Acids Res*
425 **43**, W39-49 (2015).
- 426
- 427

428 **Figure Captions:**

429 **Figure 1** FOG-3 is a divergent Tob/BTG protein. (a) FOG-3 specifies the sperm fate. Adult XX
430 and XO animals make oocytes and sperm, respectively. XX animals are essentially females but
431 make sperm transiently as larvae. FOG-3/Tob is a terminal regulator of the sperm fate and
432 essential for sperm fate specification in both XX larvae and XO males. (b) Summary diagram of
433 FOG-3. Residues 1-137 are a stable domain (data in **Supplementary Fig. 2**). Predicted
434 Tob/BTG fold is N-terminal (grey); linker-helix forms in the nematode-specific part (worm
435 specific) of the domain (see **Fig. 1c-e**). Above, vertical lines mark sites of missense mutations
436 (also see **Supplementary Fig. 1**): green, residues conserved in all paralogs; cyan, residues
437 conserved only in nematode paralogs; magenta, missense mutation generated in this study.
438 Below, horizontal bars show extents of subunits in crystal dimer, termed FOG-3A (light blue)
439 and FOG-3B (dark blue). (c) Asymmetric unit of FOG-3 crystal structure (RCSB PDB ID: 5TD6).
440 FOG-3A (light blue) and FOG-3B (dark blue) co-crystallized with a sulfate (orange). Arrows
441 highlight the nematode-specific linker-helix extension. (d) FOG-3 and a human Tob/BTG
442 structures. RMSD of human Tob1 (orange, PDB ID: 2Z15) compared to FOG-3A and B was
443 1.062 Å and 1.086 Å, respectively. Arrows again highlight the linker-helix extension. (e) Location
444 of FOG-3 missense mutants in crystal structure. Color scheme matches that in **b**. Red box
445 outlines region enlarged in **f**. (f) Dimer contacts at the Tob/BTG domain interface. Side chains
446 from FOG-3A (light blue) and FOG-3B (dark blue). See text and **Supplementary Fig. 2** for
447 further details. (g) Summary of FOG-3 missense mutations.

448
449 **Figure 2** FOG-3 assembles into a polymer. (a) Crystal packing of the FOG-3 dimer. FOG-3A
450 and FOG-3B in the asymmetric unit are represented in light and dark blue, respectively. Each
451 dimer buries the helix extension in FOG-3B into the adjacent dimer. The helix extension (red
452 box) is enlarged in **c**. (b) Model of FOG-3 polymer, as observed in the crystal. Image generated
453 by extending the crystal symmetry. Subunits colored as in **a**. (c) Packing of the helix extension
454 of one FOG-3 subunit into the adjacent dimer. Amino acids in subunits colored as in **(a)**, except
455 for red residues from helix extension of the adjacent dimer. (d) Negative stain electron
456 microscopy of recombinant FOG-3 1-137. Note the presence of long rods. (e) Mutation of a key
457 residue in the helix extension sexually transforms the germline (Fog phenotype). Two identical,
458 but independently generated CRISPR-Cas9 alleles (*q847* and *q849*) mutated glutamate 126 to
459 a lysine (E126K). Alleles were placed over a GFP-expressing balancer (*nT1*). Green
460 (heterozygous) and non-green (homozygous) L4 worms were singled and analyzed 3-4 days
461 later for fertility and the Fog phenotype. (f) Representative DIC images of adults heterozygous
462 or homozygous for the E126K mutation. Note the embryos in the heterozygous worms and the
463 oocyte stacking in the homozygous worms.

464
465 **Figure 3** FOG-3 binds directly to mRNAs associated with oogenesis. (a) Model of FOG-3
466 polymer with three dimers; subunits colored as in Figure 1. Region in red box is enlarged in **c**.
467 (b) Electrostatic surface potential of polymer modeled in **a**. Blue, basic; red, acidic. (c) The
468 bound sulfate (red arrow) is adjacent to the open face of the β -sheet. Amino acids in subunits
469 colored as in **a**. Arginines coordinating sulfate are labeled. Surface of the β -sheet exposes
470 conserved aromatic amino acids, F91 and F98. (d) FOG-3 crosslinks with RNA *in vivo*. Worms
471 expressing a rescuing, epitope-tagged FOG-3 transgene were UV-crosslinked (+) or mock
472 treated (-), and FOG-3 immunoprecipitated. Bound sample 5' radiolabeled and run on SDS-
473 PAGE. (e) FOG-3 iCLIP enriches for mRNAs. (f) Most FOG-3 bound mRNAs belong to the
474 oogenesis program, which includes RNA expressed either only in oogenic germlines (light pink)
475 or in both oogenic and spermatogenic germlines (dark pink), as categorized previously¹¹. See
476 text, Online Methods and **Supplementary Fig. 4** for further details.

477

478 **Figure 4** FOG-3 binds 3'UTRs *in vivo*. (a) Distribution of FOG-3 iCLIP sequence reads within
479 mRNAs. Transcript lengths normalized for 5'UTRs, Coding Sequences (CDS) and 3'UTRs (50,
480 1000 and 200 nucleotides, respectively) are reported as arbitrary units (AU). (b) Percentages of
481 reads in mRNA regions. (c) Many FOG-3 targets possess multiple binding peaks, defined as
482 described (see Online Methods). For top mRNA targets, binding sites span their 3'UTR, as
483 shown in d. (d) Examples of FOG-3 binding peaks across 3'UTRs. X-axis, 3'UTR with coding
484 region in pink and 3'end marked by red line; y-axis, number of mapped reads. Peaks are
485 marked by black dots; their heights correspond to number of mapped reads. (e) Model. FOG-3
486 polymer binds across 3'UTRs and promotes the sperm fate by repressing mRNAs in the
487 oogenic program. FOG-3 may find its specific target mRNAs by interacting with another RNA
488 binding protein. Further discussion in the main text.
489
490

491 **Supplementary Figure 1: Alignment of FOG-3 ortholog sequences.**

492 Amino acid sequence alignment of FOG-3 orthologs, including human Tob and BTG proteins.
493 Nematode orthologs include FOG-3 from *C. elegans* (*Ce*), *C. briggsae* (*Cb*), *C. brenneri* (*Cbr*),
494 *C. remanei* (*Cr*), and *C. japonica* (*Cj*). Alignment by T-coffee³⁸. Conservation noted by identity
495 (*) plus high (:) or moderate (.) similarity. Missense alleles are labeled with their amino acid
496 changes^{8; this work}; allele colors mark conservation among most orthologs (green), conservation
497 among most nematode orthologs (blue) and a mutation generated in this study (magenta).
498 Boundary of the canonical Tob/BTG fold is marked with a dashed line; extents of dimer subunits
499 are shown below, including subunit A (light blue) and subunit B (dark blue). Amino acids
500 highlighted in red, orange and blue indicate inter-dimer contacts, dimer-dimer contacts, and
501 both, respectively; these contacts include both hydrogen bonds and salt bridges. Amino acids in
502 grey are found at the solvent accessible face of the beta sheet and those in cyan are the side
503 chains coordinating the sulfate.

504
505 **Supplementary Figure 2: FOG-3 biochemical characterization, crystal structure protein**
506 **contacts and missense mutants.**

507 (a) Size exclusion chromatography elution profile of recombinant FOG-3 protein. Red, amino
508 acids 1-238 with its histidine tag; blue, amino acids 1-137 with histidine tag. A280
509 milliabsorbance units, mAU. (b) Mapping a FOG-3 Tob/BTG-containing domain. FOG-3 1-238
510 was incubated with either trypsin or elastase, and samples were collected over time. Incubation
511 with either protease produced a cleavage product of ~15 kDa. Protein incubated without
512 protease labeled as "0." (c) Thermal folding assay reveals domain stabilization with magnesium
513 and sulfate. See methods and text for further details. (d) Interacting residues between FOG-3
514 subunits. Pink, residues making hydrogen bonds; yellow, other contacting residues, based on
515 distance. (e) Table of subunit-subunit hydrogen bonds. (f) FOG-3 dimer with boxed regions
516 enlarged in **g-i**. (g-i) Residues from FOG-3A are colored light blue and those from FOG-3B are
517 dark blue. (g) Inter-subunit contacts made with linker-helix extension in FOG-3B. (h) Sites of
518 *fog-3* missense mutants conserved across most FOG-3 orthologs, including human Tob and
519 BTG proteins. (i) Sites of *fog-3* missense mutants in linker-helix extension, including one
520 generated in this study (magenta). (j) Interacting residues between FOG-3 dimers reveal a
521 dimer-dimer footprint. Salt bridges, hydrogen bonds, and contacting residues, deduced from
522 proximity, are labeled in magenta, pink, and yellow, respectively. (k) Table of dimer-dimer
523 hydrogen bonds. (l) Table of dimer-dimer salt bridges.

524
525 **Supplementary Figure 3: Chemical crosslinking traps FOG-3 polymers *in vitro*.**

526 (a-c) Lysine mutagenesis of recombinant FOG-3 generates sites permitting intra- and inter-
527 dimer crosslinks. Location of lysine mutations in the context of two FOG-3 dimers (a), which are
528 enlarged in b and c. Red box, lysine mutations R22K and I112K (pink) facilitate an intra-dimer
529 crosslink. Orange box, lysine mutations L64K and R82K (gold) facilitate an inter-dimer crosslink.
530 (d) Coomassie stained gel of purified FOG-3 recombinant proteins. Left, "wild-type" (WT*) FOG-
531 3 (1-140, H47N C117A) with lysine mutations; middle, missense mutant R14K predicted to
532 abrogate dimer formation; right, missense mutant E126K predicted to abrogate polymer
533 formation. All proteins (10 µg each) ran at ~15 kDa (large arrow). In addition, a minor ~25 kDa
534 contaminant was observed (small arrow), which was also seen after crosslinking (see f). (e)
535 Size exclusion chromatography elution profile of WT*, R14K, and E126K recombinant FOG-3
536 (80 µg). A280 milli-absorbance units, mAU. (f) Coomassie stained gel of recombinant protein
537 incubated with increasing amounts of BS3 crosslinker. "-" represents no BS3 included.

538
539 **Supplementary Figure 4: iCLIP supplement.**

540 (a) Diagram of FOG-3::FLAG transgene, adapted from¹¹. (b) Immunoblot of FOG-3
541 immunoprecipitation samples, visualized with αFLAG antibody. (c) Diagram of FOG-3 iCLIP

542 protocol, adapted from ¹¹. **(d)** Gel analysis of samples used for iCLIP. Each sample was
543 immunoprecipitated with (+) or without (-) α FLAG antibody, radiolabeled and run on the SDS-
544 PAGE gel. The region above the expected size for FOG-3::FLAG (red bracket) was used for
545 iCLIP processing and sequencing. Samples include four biological replicates and their paired
546 controls. **(e)** FOG-3 iCLIP reads and cluster (regions of overlapping reads) statistics. Unique
547 mapped reads (*middle column*) were determined by mapping with STAR, filtering out
548 multimapping reads and low confidence alignments, and collapsing duplicate reads (see Online
549 Methods). The fraction of unique mapped reads that mapped to rRNA is given as a percentage.
550 The number of significant clusters at FDR 1% (right column) is highly dependent on FOG-3
551 purification. See Online Methods for further details. **(f)** Targets (black) identified for separate
552 FOG-3 replicates. **(g)** Comparison of FOG-3 targets identified using FOG-3 iCLIP versus FOG-3
553 RIP-chip (microarray). Targets belonging to the oogenic program include mRNAs found only in
554 oogenic germlines as well as mRNAs found in both oogenic and spermatogenic germlines, as
555 described ¹¹. **(h)** Venn diagram of mRNA target overlap between FOG-3 iCLIP (this study) and
556 FOG-3 RIP-chip (FOG-3 IP with microarray analysis of associated RNAs) ¹¹. **(i,j)** FOG-3 binding
557 sites are represented on a heat map, from no signal (white) to strong signal (red). Only genes
558 with annotated 3'UTRs of at least 50 nt were included. **(i)** FOG-3 binding sites are at the 3' end
559 of mRNAs, which are arranged by predicted nucleotide (nt) length from 5' to 3'. Dashed line
560 marks the 5' end. Note prevalence of FOG-3 binding sites at the 3' ends of transcripts. **(j)**
561 Binding sites occur throughout 3'UTRs, which are arranged by predicted 3'UTR nucleotide (nt)
562 length from stop codon (dashed line) to 3' end. **(k)** FOG-3 iCLIP footprint on target transcripts.
563 Coverage includes transcript regions above two reads deep. **(l)** Motif analysis of iCLIP clusters.
564 Analysis and image generated by MEME ³⁹, except T was replaced by U.
565

Materials and Methods

Biochemistry and Crystallography

Protein expression and purification. Full length FOG-3 (1-263) was amplified from *C. elegans* N2 cDNA with primers that included a six-histidine tag, stop codon and 12 nucleotides suitable for annealing with ligation independent cloning (LIC¹). Mixed stage N2 cDNA was generated by reverse transcription (SuperScript® II Reverse Transcriptase, Thermo Fisher) with oligo-dT (Ambion). The FOG-3 PCR product was cloned into a pET21a (EMD Millipore) bacterial expression plasmid by LIC. From this plasmid, histidine-tagged FOG-3 (1-238) and FOG-3 (1-137 H47N, C117A) was amplified by PCR and inserted into pET21a by Gibson cloning². Expression plasmids were transformed into Rosetta™2(DE3) cells (EMD Millipore), grown in LB (MP Biomedicals) for 5 hours at 37°C until A600 = ~0.8. The culture was then induced with 0.1 mM IPTG (MP Biomedicals), and grown at 16°C for 16-20 hours prior to collection, centrifugation, washing and freezing in liquid nitrogen. Cells were defrosted on ice and reconstituted in lysis buffer (20 mM NaPO₄ pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol) with cOmplete protease inhibitors (Roche). Cells were lysed with a French Press, centrifuged (3220 x g and 10000 x g) to remove unlysed cells and precipitate, and incubated with Nickel-NTA beads (Thermo Scientific) for 2 hours at 4°C with rocking. Beads were washed with lysis buffer and eluted with an imidazole step gradient (imidazole at 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 250 mM) in elution buffer (20 mM NaPO₄ pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol). Protein used for biochemical experiments was dialyzed in FOG-3 buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM (tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine) (TCEP)), while protein for crystallization was dialyzed in crystallization buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 100 mM MgSO₄, 0.5 mM TCEP). Samples were concentrated with Amicon Ultra-4 3000 MW concentrators (EMD Millipore) and run on a Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare). Recombinant protein was again concentrated with Amicon Ultra-4 3000 MW concentrators and protein concentration estimated by A280.

FOG-3 protease cleavage. Recombinant, full length FOG-3 with a C-terminal histidine tag was incubated with trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich) and elastase (Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature prior to SDS-PAGE. The gel was stained with Coomassie to visualize cleavage products. Sample was also cleaved with trypsin or elastase for 45 minutes at room temperature (~20°C) and submitted for mass spectrometry (University of Wisconsin-Madison Biotechnology Center). The mass spectrometry fragment that most closely matched the SDS-PAGE band mapped to residues 1-135 for trypsin and 1-142 for elastase. Sequence alignments showed conservation up until residue 137, and thus we focused our structural efforts on this fragment.

Protein folding assay. The protein folding assay used followed published protocols³. Briefly, recombinant FOG-3 (1-137) with histidine tag was incubated with 90x concentrated SYPRO orange (5000x stock, Invitrogen) in FOG-3 buffer. 18 μl of the protein-dye mix was mixed with 2 μl Additive Screen (Hampton Research) and heated in a 7500 Real-Time PCR System thermocycler (Applied Biosystems) at 0.1°C/s from 20°C to 70°C while monitoring A405. SYPRO orange dye bound to unfolded protein. Thus, FOG-3 unfolded at a certain temperature, allowing dye binding and increasing A405 absorbance. The additive was judged as enhancing thermostability based upon the shift in the melting curve to the right, or requiring higher temperatures for signal. This assay was performed twice with similar results.

Crystallization, data collection, structure determination, and refinement. Crystallization conditions were screened with sitting drop trays set up by the Mosquito (TTP Labtech). We obtained crystals using recombinant FOG-3 (1-137 H48N, C117A) with an intact histidine tag and incubating our trays at 4°C. After 3 weeks, rhomboid crystals were observed in conditions A

(0.1 M sodium citrate pH 5.6, 10% (vol/vol) isopropanol, 10% (wt/vol) PEG 4000) and B (0.1 M magnesium acetate, 0.1 M sodium citrate pH 5.6, 8% (wt/vol) PEG 10000). UV scanning with a UVEX-M (280 nm excitation, 350 nm emission; JANSI) identified these to be protein crystals. Both conditions were reproducible, but we were able to collect complete datasets from the crystals grown directly from the condition B screening trays. Phasing was accomplished with molecular replacement using Phaser⁴ and a human Tob homolog (PDB ID: 2Z15) as a starting model. Model building and refinement were done in Phenix⁵ and Coot⁶. Water molecules were first modeled by Phenix before checked manually. Three densities were too big to be water molecules. We could model one of the densities with sulfate. Two densities were observed in the solvent-accessible area adjacent to residues 52-56 in both copies in the ASU. Density is observed at FoFc contour levels past 6 sigma. We attempted modeling of acetate (too small) and citrate (too large), both present in the crystallization conditions, but the fit was unsatisfactory. Thus, the final uploaded model does not account for these two large densities. Coordinates and reflection data are available at RCSB (PDB ID: 5TD6).

Negative stain electron microscopy. Samples were negative stained with Nano-W (Nanoprobes, Yaphank, NY) using the two-step method. A 2 µl droplet of samples was placed on a Pioloform (Ted Pella) coated 300 mesh Cu Thin-Bar grid (EMS, Hatfield, PA), coating side down. The excess was wicked with filter paper and allowed to barely dry. A 2 µl droplet of Nano-W applied, wicked again with clean new filter paper, and allowed to dry. The sample was viewed on a Philips CM120 transmission electron microscope at 80 kV and documented with a SIS (Olympus / Soft Imaging Systems) MegaView III digital camera.

Molecular Genetics

Worm maintenance. *C. elegans* were maintained as described previously⁷. Strains used: N2 Bristol

JK2739: *hT2[qIs48](I;III)/lin-6(e1466)dpy-5(e61)*

JK4871: *fog-3(q520) I; qSi41[fog-3::3xFLAG] II*

JK5437: *fog-3(q847) I/hT2[qIs48](I;III)*

JK5439: *fog-3(q849) I/hT2[qIs48](I;III)*

Strains are available at the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (cbs.umn.edu/cgc/home) or upon request.

***C. elegans* CRISPR.** CRISPR of *fog-3* was achieved using a *dpy-10* roller co-injection strategy⁸. Briefly, an sgRNA construct containing the U6 promoter and sgRNA scaffold from pDD162⁹ along with the targeting sequence caatcagtccccgagtacg (pJK1910) and ggttctgaccacgtactcg (pJK1925) were cloned into the *Xma*I site of pUC19 using one step isothermal DNA assembly. The repair template was a 99 nt ssDNA oligo (ataaaaaatactttaaatttcattttccagctaccaatcagtc-cccAagtaTgtTgtcCgaaccgctgcaatccgcgcgagccttgctcgaatcttg, IDT) that inserted an E126K mutation and removed an *Ava*I restriction site. Injections were performed in young N2 hermaphrodite *C. elegans*, using *fog-3* sgRNA plasmids, *dpy-10* sgRNA plasmid, *fog-3* E126K repair template, and Cas9 plasmid as described⁸, and F1 rollers were screened for the desired mutation by PCR and *Ava*I digest. Two alleles, *q847* and *q849*, were recovered from separate injected animals and therefore represent independent editing events. We verified the *fog-3* mutations by Sanger sequencing. Homozygous mutants had a Fog phenotype and thus could only produce oocytes. These worms were outcrossed twice with N2 before crossing with JK2739 containing balancer *hT2[qIs48](I;III)*.

Fertility and Fog phenotype. Heterozygous and homozygous *fog-3(q847)*, or *fog-3(q849)*, were singled onto plates as L4 larvae. After 3 and 4 days, worms were scored for the presence

of L1 larvae and Fog phenotype. Four *q847* homozygous worms ruptured and died by day 3, and thus could not be properly scored.

iCLIP

In vivo crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (iCLIP) was carried out essentially as described¹⁰, with modifications to worm growth, crosslinking, lysis and RNase digestion described here.

Nematode culture and UV crosslinking for iCLIP. *C. elegans* strain JK4871 L1 larvae were obtained by bleaching and synchronizing by standard methods¹¹. Larvae were plated onto 10 cm OP50 plates (~50,000 per plate) and propagated at 20°C for ~40-46 hours until most of the worms were at the early L4 stage when FOG-3 expression is greatest. Worms were washed with M9 (42.3 mM Na₂HPO₄, 22 mM KH₂PO₄, 85.6 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgSO₄), pooled into 250,000 worm samples, and placed on a 10 cm NGM agarose plate. Liquid was removed from the plate as much as possible. Animals were irradiated two times sequentially at 254 nm with 0.9999 J/cm² in a XL-1000 UV Crosslinker (Spectrolinker). Non-crosslinked samples were incubated at room temperature as a negative control for the radiolabeled gel (Fig 3). For the iCLIP negative control, we performed the pulldown of crosslinked JK4871 worm lysate with beads alone (no antibody). Worms were rinsed from the plates with cold M9, washed once, and transferred to a 2 mL Eppendorf tube. The pellet was washed again in freezing buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10% (wt/vol) glycerol, 0.05% (vol/vol) tween 20) and frozen with liquid nitrogen. Pellets were stored at -80°C until use.

Lysis and RNA digestion. *C. elegans* pellets were thawed by adding ice cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1% Pierce NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, Roche cComplete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, Ambion ANTI-RNase) and incubated for 20 minutes at 4°C with rocking. The thawed pellets were centrifuged at 1,000 x *g*, 4 °C for 1 minute and washed 3 times with ice cold lysis buffer. Lysis buffer was added to the pellet along with a 5 mm stainless steel ball (Retsch). Lysis was performed in the cold room using a 400 MM mill mixer (Retsch). Lysis was completed after three 10 minute cycles at a setting of 30 Hz, with four-minute freeze-thaws after the first and second cycles. Freeze-thaws were performed by immersion in liquid nitrogen for 1 minute, then returning to liquid state by immersion in room temperature water for 4 minutes. Worm lysis was confirmed by observing a small aliquot of final lysate on a dissection scope. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation for 15 minutes at 16,100 x *g*, 4°C. Protein concentration of the cleared lysate was determined with the Direct Detect spectrometer (EMD Millipore). Our pellets containing 250,000 worms yielded ~12 mg/mL of total protein, and we used 10 mg total protein per biological replicate. Double RNase digestion of protein-RNA complexes was performed as previously described¹². For the first digestion, which occurred immediately after lysis and just prior to immunoprecipitation, guanosine specific RNase T1 (ThermoFisher) was added to the cleared lysate at a final concentration of 1 Unit/μL. The sample was incubated in a Thermomixer for 15 minutes at 22 °C, 1100 rpm and then cooled on ice for 5 minutes.

Protein G Dynabeads (Life Technologies) were aliquoted to a fresh RNase-free roundbottom tube (USA Scientific). The tube was placed on a Dynal magnet (Invitrogen), the existing buffer was removed, and M2 FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) added at 20 μg antibody to 3 mg Protein G dynabeads in PBS-T (PBS pH 7.2 (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄), 0.02% Tween-20). The beads plus antibody solution was incubated at room temperature on a rotator for 45 minutes. The tube was again placed on the magnet, the antibody solution removed, and the cleared lysate was added. Immunoprecipitation was carried out overnight at 4 °C. As a negative control, we performed the pulldown of crosslinked JK4871 worm lysate with beads alone (no antibody).

Following immunoprecipitation, the beads were washed as described¹⁰, with minor modifications. We performed washes in the cold room (~4 °C) with two wash buffers: a high-salt wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Pierce NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) and PNK buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.2% Tween-20). The second RNase T1 digestion was then performed on the washed beads at a final concentration of 100 Units/μL in PNK buffer. Samples were incubated in a Thermomixer for 15 minutes at 22 °C shaking at 1100 rpm, cooled on ice for 5 minutes, and then processed through the remaining iCLIP protocol as described¹⁰. We confirmed immunoprecipitation of FOG-3 from experimental versus negative control samples by immunoblot with an M2 FLAG antibody (**Supplementary Fig. 4b**). We confirmed that 3xFLAG-FOG-3 crosslinked to RNA by visualizing 5' radioactively labeled RNA bound to the FOG-3 protein when antibody was present on the beads (**Fig. 3d** and **Supplementary Fig. 4d**).


Single-end sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 (University of Wisconsin Biotechnology Center). The cDNA library of each replicate was prepared with a unique “Rclip” reverse transcription primer (as in Huppertz, et al.¹⁰), which contained a partially randomized sequence (i.e., a “barcode”). The constant portion of the barcode enabled each read to be identified by replicate and allowed for replicate multiplexing. The randomized portion of the barcode allowed for computational filtering of artifacts from individual reads caused by PCR amplification of the cDNAs, such as read duplication. After high-throughput sequencing, the barcode sequence preceded the cDNA sequence and thus could be easily identified and removed prior to read mapping.


iCLIP sequence analysis. Reads (**Supplementary Table 2**, Tab 1, column B) were aligned to the WS235 genome using STAR¹³ and previously described parameters¹⁴, except for the parameter `--alignEndsType Local` (mismatches at the ends of reads are tolerated). Multi-mapping reads were removed, and high-confidence mappings were selected as those with alignment scores of at least 20 (**Supplementary Table 2**, Tab 1, column C). PCR duplicates were collapsed to unique reads (**Supplementary Table 2**, Tab 1, column E) using the method described in Weyn-Vanhentenryck, et al.¹⁵. Reads were assigned to genes using HTSeq¹⁶. CIMS (crosslinking induced mutation sites) and CITS (crosslink induced truncation sites) analyses were performed as described previously¹⁵, except we did not require CIMS to reproduce between replicates, and are included in **Supplementary Table 3**, tab 3. For peak analysis, “clusters” were defined as regions of overlapping reads. Using the reads indicated in **Supplementary Table 2**, tab 1 (column E), all reads within a gene had their position randomized 1000 times to empirically determine a cluster p value as the odds of having a cluster with the given maximum read depth from randomized read positions. This is similar to the local Poisson method¹⁷, as the Poisson approximates of read scrambling. A Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) correction for multiple hypothesis testing was then applied at 1% FDR, resulting in the cluster numbers in **Supplementary Table 2** (Tab 2, column F). Finally, only overlapping clusters called independently as significant in at least 2 of the 4 replicates were retained as reproducible clusters, resulting in the cluster numbers in **Supplementary Table 2** (Tab 2, column G). Final clusters for FOG-3 and control samples are given **Supplementary Table 3**. While this is a simple method that does not account for background RNA abundance, it resulted in only 6 clusters for the negative control samples, suggesting it is effective at removing background in our datasets. We define peaks as all maxima at least 5 reads deep and at least 5% of the highest peak in the given gene; we counted neighboring peaks as distinct only if signal dropped to 50% or less of the lower peak maxima. Our definition of peaks differs from our definition of clusters, which are regions of continuous read coverage that pass the 1% FDR threshold. Clusters extend until iCLIP coverage drops to zero, thereby containing any number of distinct signal concentrations, and motivating our separate definitions of peaks and clusters.


To compare our results with previous FOG-3 RIP results¹⁸, we calculated overlap with the top 722 FOG-3 targets, and evaluated significance by Fisher's exact test. To determine whether FOG-3 targets were associated with oogenesis, spermatogenesis, or mitosis, we used the method described previously¹⁸, with significance evaluated by Fisher's exact test. Figures depicting iCLIP results (**Supplementary Fig. 4i,j**) were generated using Matplotlib¹⁹, and python scripts available at <https://github.com/dfporter>. Raw sequence files of all replicates are available through Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO accession GSE76521).


References


1. Aslanidis, C. & de Jong, P.J. Ligation-independent cloning of PCR products (LIC-PCR). *Nucleic Acids Res* **18**, 6069-74 (1990).
2. Gibson, D.G. Synthesis of DNA fragments in yeast by one-step assembly of overlapping oligonucleotides. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**, 6984-90 (2009).
3. Ericsson, U.B., Hallberg, B.M., Detitta, G.T., Dekker, N. & Nordlund, P. Thermofluor-based high-throughput stability optimization of proteins for structural studies. *Anal Biochem* **357**, 289-98 (2006).
4. McCoy, A.J. et al. Phaser crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* **40**, 658-674 (2007).
5. Adams, P.D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 213-21 (2010).
6. Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **60**, 2126-32 (2004).
7. Brenner, S. The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **77**, 71-94 (1974).
8. Arribere, J.A. et al. Efficient marker-free recovery of custom genetic modifications with CRISPR/Cas9 in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **198**, 837-46 (2014).
9. Dickinson, D.J., Ward, J.D., Reiner, D.J. & Goldstein, B. Engineering the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome using Cas9-triggered homologous recombination. *Nat Methods* **10**, 1028-34 (2013).
10. Huppertz, I. et al. iCLIP: protein-RNA interactions at nucleotide resolution. *Methods* **65**, 274-87 (2014).
11. Stiernagle, T. Maintenance of *C. elegans*. in *WormBook* (ed. Community, T.C.e.R.) (WormBook, 2006).
12. Spitzer, J. et al. PAR-CLIP (Photoactivatable Ribonucleoside-Enhanced Crosslinking and Immunoprecipitation): a step-by-step protocol to the transcriptome-wide identification of binding sites of RNA-binding proteins. *Methods Enzymol* **539**, 113-61 (2014).
13. Dobin, A. et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15-21 (2013).
14. Kassuhn, W., Ohler, U. & Drewe, P. Cseq-Simulator: A data simulator for CLIP-Seq experiments. *Pac Symp Biocomput* **21**, 433-44 (2016).
15. Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S.M. et al. HITS-CLIP and integrative modeling define the Rbfox splicing-regulatory network linked to brain development and autism. *Cell Rep* **6**, 1139-52 (2014).
16. Anders, S., Pyl, P.T. & Huber, W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166-9 (2015).
17. Zisoulis, D.G. et al. Comprehensive discovery of endogenous Argonaute binding sites in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **17**, 173-9 (2010).
18. Noble, D.C. et al. Genomic analyses of sperm fate regulator targets reveal a common set of oogenic mRNAs in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **202**, 221-34 (2016).
19. Hunter, J.D. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Computing In Science & Engineering* **9**, 90-95 (2007).




















Supplementary Table 1: Data collection and refinement statistics.

	<i>C. elegans</i> FOG-3
PDB ID	5TD6
Wavelength (Å...)	0.9537
Resolution range (Å...)	29.22 - 2.034 (2.106 - 2.034)
Space group	P 31 2 1
Unit cell	64.992 64.992 133.57 90 90 120
Total reflections	147208 (14689)
Unique reflections	21617 (2091)
Multiplicity	6.8 (7.0)
Completeness (%)	99.79 (98.18)
Mean I/sigma(I)	24.12 (2.56)
Wilson B-factor	39.54
R-merge	0.0498 (0.7383)
R-meas	0.05399
CC1/2	1 (0.789)
CC*	1 (0.939)
Reflections used for R-free	1993
R-work	0.1769 (0.2255)
R-free	0.2285 (0.2886)
Number of non-hydrogen atoms	2210
macromolecules	2067
ligands	5
water	138

Protein residues	260
RMS(bonds)	0.009
RMS(angles)	1.10
Ramachandran favored (%)	98
Ramachandran allowed (%)	2
Ramachandran outliers (%)	0
Clashscore	3.89
Average B-factor	46.60
macromolecules	46.70
ligands	32.50
solvent	45.50

Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.