Extracellular peptidases in subsurface sediments of the White Oak River estuary, NC, suggest microbial community adaptation to oxidize degraded organic matter
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Abstract

Microbial communities inhabiting subsurface sediments contain abundant heterotrophs, which oxidize organic matter to obtain carbon and energy. Subsurface sediments contain very low concentrations of canonically bioavailable compounds, and it is not clear what fraction of sedimentary organic matter the community metabolizes. To gain a more mechanistic understanding of subsurface heterotrophy, we studied both the genetic potential encoded within metagenomes for extracellular peptidase production, and experimentally assayed the potential activities of a wide range of extracellular peptidases in sediments of the White Oak River estuary, NC. Deeply sequenced metagenomes revealed genes coding for at least 15 classes of extracellular peptidases. We observed enzyme-catalyzed hydrolysis of 11 different peptidase substrates in subsurface sediments. Potential activities ($V_{\text{max}}$) of extracellular peptidases decreased downcore, but cell-specific $V_{\text{max}}$ was relatively constant and similar to values observed in seawater phytoplankton blooms. Decreases in half-saturation constants and relative increases in activities of D-phenylalanyl aminopeptidase and ornithyl aminopeptidase with depth indicate a community of heterotrophs that is adapted to access degraded organic matter. These results suggest a subsurface heterotrophic community that converts degraded organic matter into a bioavailable form, rather than a surface-adapted community relying on ever-decreasing concentrations of more labile organic matter.
Introduction

Marine sediments are one of the largest microbial environments on earth (Kallmeyer et al. 2012). Many sedimentary microbes appear to be heterotrophs, slowly metabolizing organic matter (Jørgensen and Marshall, 2016; Biddle et al., 2006), but the mechanisms by which these heterotrophs access old, unreactive organic carbon remain poorly characterized.

In surface environments, where photosynthesis fuels carbon fixation, heterotrophic microorganisms gain energy from a combination of small molecules (<600-1000 Da), which can be taken up directly via general uptake porins (Benz and Bauer, 1988) and macromolecules, which must be broken down outside of the cell by extracellular enzymes. Because most freshly-produced organic matter is macromolecular and large molecules tend to be more bioavailable than small ones (Benner and Amon, 2015), the nature and activity of extracellular enzymes present in surface environments is a major control on the rate of microbial carbon oxidation in such environments.

It is not clear whether microbial extracellular enzymes play the same role in subsurface sediments. Extracellular peptidase activity has been identified in sapropels up to 389 cm below seafloor (cmbsf) in the eastern Mediterranean Sea (Coolen and Overmann, 2000; Coolen et al., 2002), in sediment from 600-630 cmbsf in Aarhus Bay sediments (Lloyd et al., 2013b) and in the interior of seafloor basalts at the Loihi seamount (Jacobson Meyers et al., 2014). However, the nature and relative importance of extracellular enzymes in subsurface environments remains poorly constrained because these studies examined few samples, and only limited enzymatic classes and sample numbers were assayed. It is possible that, like heterotrophs in surface environments, heterotrophs in subsurface environments mainly gain access to organic carbon via extracellular enzymes. On the other hand, some of the unique aspects of subsurface sediments suggest that extracellular enzymes might not be an effective strategy to obtain carbon or energy. First, subsurface sediments contain markedly fewer bioavailable compounds such as amino acids and sugars than do surface sediments (Burdige, 2007). Therefore, these compounds may be insufficiently abundant to be viable heterotrophic substrates. Second, in order for the production of extracellular enzymes to be part of a viable metabolic strategy, each enzyme must, over its lifetime, provide the cell with at
least as much carbon or energy as was required to synthesize the enzyme (Vetter et al., 1998; Allison, 2005; Schimel and Weintraub, 2003). In subsurface sediments, where metabolic rates may be orders of magnitude slower at the surface, enzyme lifetimes would need to be correspondingly longer to become ‘profitable’. Since enzyme lifetimes are finite, there must exist a community metabolic rate below which extracellular enzyme lifetimes are too short to become profitable. Unfortunately that limit is difficult to quantify because enzyme lifetimes in any environment are poorly constrained (e.g., Steen and Arnosti, 2011).

It is also possible that extracellular enzymes are not the primary mechanism by which heterotrophs access sedimentary organic matter. More exotic mechanisms, such as abiotic liberation by reactive species formed from radioactive decay of naturally-present radioisotopes (e.g. Blair et al., 2007) are conceivable, but have not been demonstrated. The goals of this work are to determine whether subsurface heterotrophic communities make substantial use of extracellular enzymes to access organic matter, and if so, to characterize the set of enzymes used.

We investigated genes for extracellular enzymes and the activities of corresponding enzymes in sediments of the White Oak River, NC by estimating the presence of peptidase families in available metagenomic sequencing data and complementing this by measuring the hydrolysis rates of eleven potential peptidase substrates. This site was chosen because the porewater geochemistry and microbiology of these sediments has been well-characterized (Martens and Goldhaber, 1978; Kelley et al., 1990; Baker et al., 2015; Lazar et al., 2016; Lloyd et al., 2011) and because they contain abundant Bathyarchaeota and Marine Benthic Group D archaea, which appear to be capable of metabolizing detrital organic matter (Kubo et al., 2012; Lloyd et al., 2013b; Meng et al., 2014). We focused on peptidases because protein degradation appears to be an important metabolism for some subsurface archaea (Lloyd et al., 2013b) and because peptidases were more active than other enzymes in similar environments (Coolen and Overmann, 2000; Jacobson Meyers et al., 2014). Because environmental samples contain a wide range of distinct peptidases at variable activities (Obayashi and Suzuki, 2005; Steen and Arnosti, 2013) we measured the hydrolysis of eleven different substrates that may be hydrolyzed by structurally and genetically diverse extracellular peptidases. By
measuring potential activities (i.e., the capacity of the enzyme to catalyze hydrolysis if substrate concentrations were not limiting) and substrate affinities of microbial extracellular enzymes, we illuminated some of the mechanisms by which subsurface heterotrophic communities access organic carbon.

**Materials and Methods**

**STUDY SITE**

Samples were collected from Station H in the White Oak River Estuary, 34° 44.490’ N, 77° 07.44’ W, first described by Gruebel and Martens (1984). The White Oak River Estuary occupies a drowned river valley in the coastal plain of North Carolina. Station H is characterized by salinity in the range of 10 to 28 and water depth on the order of 2 m. The flux of ΣCO₂ across the sediment-water interface was 0.46 ± 0.02 mmol m⁻² hr⁻¹ (measured in May of 1987), primarily due to organic carbon oxidation via sulfate reduction, and the sediment accumulation rate averages 0.3 cm yr⁻¹ (Kelley et al., 1990). Total organic carbon content is approximately 5%. For this study, push cores of 40-85 cm were collected from Station H by swimmers on May 28, 2013, June 14, 2014, and October 22, 2014. In 2013, cores were transported to the nearby Institute of Marine Sciences (University of North Carolina) at Morehead City, where they were sectioned and processed for enzyme activities, porewater geochemistry, and cell counts within 6 hours of sample collection. Porewater sulfate in 2013 was depleted by 43.5 cm, and methane peaked at 79.5 cm (Fig S1). In 2014, cores were transported on the day of sampling to the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, stored at 4 °C, and processed for enzyme activities the following day. Samples for metagenomic analysis were collected separately in October 2010 from three sites (sites 1, 2, and 3, as previously described by Baker et al (2015)), all of which are within 550 m of Station H.

**METAGENOMIC ANALYSIS**

To resolve the taxonomic distribution of extracellular peptidases we searched a pre-existing White Oak River *de novo* assembled and binned metagenomic dataset (Baker *et al.*, 2015) for genes that were assigned extracellular peptidase functions. These assignments were based on best matches to extracellular peptidases in KEGG, pfam, and
NCBI-nr (non-redundant) databases using the IMG annotation pipeline (Markowitz et al., 2014). Genes were additionally screened for signal peptidase motifs using the following programs: PrediSI setting the organism group to gram-negative bacteria (Hiller et al., 2004), PRED-Signal trained on archaea (Bagos et al., 2009), the standalone version of PSORT v.3.0 trained against archaea (Yu et al., 2010), and SignalIP 4.1 using gram-negative bacteria as organism group (Petersen et al., 2011). All programs were used with default settings if not stated otherwise. Results are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

In total, binned genomes from three different depth zones of White Oak River sediments were examined. The sulfate-rich zone (SRZ) genomes were obtained from sites 2 and 3 core sections 8-12 and 8-10 cm, respectively. The sulfate-methane transitions zone (SMTZ) genomes were recovered from site 2 and 3 and depths of 30-32 cm and 24-28 cm. The methane-rich zone (MRZ) was from site 1 and 52-54 cm. Many of these genes were binned to Bacteria (Baker et al. 2015) and Archaea community members (Baker et al., 2016; Lazar et al., 2016; Seitz et al., 2016). Taxonomic assignments of peptidases identified in the community were based on this binning information. However, since not all of the peptidases were binned, we used top matches to NCBI to identify the unbinned genes. The majority of the Archaea present in the shallow (8-12 cm) sulfate-rich zone were confidently binned, thus were used to determine the relative contributions of archaeal extracellular peptidases (Fig 1b). A smaller proportion of the bacterial peptidase genes were (68% of SRZ, 24% of SMTZ, and 27% of MRZ) confidently binned, therefore, classification was based on top BLAST hits to NCBI. These classifications were then refined using the bin assignments.

**Enzyme Assays**

Enzyme assays were performed using different protocols in 2013 versus 2014. In 2013, enzyme assays were performed according to a protocol similar to the one described in Lloyd et al (2013). Cores were sectioned into 3 cm intervals. The following intervals were selected for enzyme assays: 0-3 cm, 3-6 cm, 27-30 cm, 57-60 cm, and 81-83 cm. Each section was homogenized, and approximately 0.5 ml wet sediment was transferred into separate 5 ml amber glass serum vials, which had been pre-weighed and preloaded with 4 ml anoxic artificial seawater (Sigma Sea Salts, salinity = 15, pH=7.5) Samples were weighed again to determine the precise mass of wet sediment added, and then an
appropriate quantity of 20 mM peptidase substrate stock dissolved in DMSO was added, up to 90 µL, for final substrate concentrations of 0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 200, or 300 µM. Triplicate incubations with 400 µM Arg-AMC, Gly-AMC, Leu-AMC and Gly-Gly-Arg-AMC were also created, but these were omitted for Ala-Ala-Phe-AMC and Boc-Phe-Val-Arg-AMC because the latter two substrates are considerably more expensive than the first four substrates. Each serum vial was vortexed, briefly gassed with N₂ to remove oxygen introduced with the sample, and approximately 1.3 ml slurry was immediately removed, transferred to a microcentrifuge tube, and placed on ice to quench the reaction. The precise time of quenching was recorded. This was centrifuged at 10,000 × g within approximately 15 minutes. The supernatant was transferred to a methacrylate cuvette and fluorescence was measured with a Turner Biosystems TBS-380 fluorescence detector set to “UV” (λ_ex=365-395 nm, λ_em=465-485 nm). Samples were then incubated at 16 °C, approximately the in situ temperature, and the sampling procedure was repeated after approximately 3 hours. The rate of fluorescence production was calculated as the increase in fluorescence for each sample divided by the elapsed time between sample quenching. Killed controls were made using homogenized, autoclaved sediments from 35-45 cmbsf. However, we note that autoclaving does not destroy sediment enzymes because sorption to mineral surfaces stabilizes enzyme structure, vastly increasing their ability to maintain a functional conformation at high temperatures (Stursova and Sinsabaugh, 2008; Carter et al., 2007; Schmidt, 2016). We therefore used the autoclaved samples as a qualitative control for the null hypothesis that enzymes were responsible for none of the observed substrate hydrolysis, rather than as a quantitative method to distinguish enzymatic substrate hydrolysis from potential abiotic effects. In some sediments, a large fraction of fluorophore can sorb to particles, requiring a correction to observed fluorescence (Coolen et al., 2002; Coolen and Overmann, 2000), but we observed negligible sorption of fluorophore to the White Oak River sediments.

In 2014, enzymes were assayed using a protocol based on the approach of Bell et al. (2013), which was designed for soil enzyme assays. In this approach, peptidase substrates were mixed with sediment-buffer slurries in 2-mL wells of a deep-well plate. These plates were periodically centrifuged and 250 µL aliquots of supernatant were transferred into a black 96-well microplate. Fluorescence was read using a BioTek...
Cytation 3 microplate reader ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 360$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 440$ nm). Results from this method proved considerably noisier than the single-cuvette method used in 2013, so kinetic parameters ($V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_m$) were not calculated for these data. Nevertheless, results were qualitatively similar to those from 2013, and we have reported $V_{\text{max}}$ from 2014 as $v_0$ measured at 400 µM substrate concentration, which was saturating. In June 2014, the following substrates were assayed: AAF-AMC, Arg-AMC, Boc-VPR-AMC, D-Phe-AMC, Gly-AMC, Leu-AMC, L-Phe-AMC, Orn-AMC, Z-Phe-Arg-AMC, and Z-Phe-Val-Arg-AMC. In October 2014, L-Phe-AMC, D-Phe-AMC, and Orn-AMC were assayed according to the same protocol in 3-cm core sections at 1.5, 4.5, 7.5, 10.5, 19.5, 22.5, 25.5, 28.5, 34.5, 37.5, 40.5, 43.5, 49.5, 52.5, 58.5, and 61.5 cmbsf. Substrate details are given in Table S2.

**GEOCHEMICAL AND MICROBIOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS**

Sediment porosity was measured by mass after drying at 80 °C, according to the equation

$$\phi = \frac{m_w/\rho_w}{m_w/\rho_w + m_d - S \times m_w/1000 \rho_{ds}}$$

Here, $m_w$ represents mass lost after drying, $\rho_w$ represents the density of pure water, $m_d$ represents the mass of the dry sediment, $S$ represents salinity in g kg$^{-1}$, and $\rho_{ds}$ represents the density of dry sediment (assumed to be 2.5 g cm$^{-3}$). Porewater sulfate concentrations were measured using a Dionex Ion Chromatograph (Sunnyvale, CA) in porewater that was separated by centrifugation in 15 ml centrifuge tubes at 5000 $\times$ g for 5 minutes, filtered at 0.2 µm, and acidified with 10% HCl. Methane was measured using 3 ml sediment subsamples that were collected from a cutoff syringe, entering through the side of a core section, immediately after core extrusion. Subsamples were deposited immediately in a 20 ml serum vial containing 1 ml, 0.1 M KOH. These were immediately stoppered and shaken to mix sediment with KOH. Methane was later measured by injecting 500 µl of bottle headspace into a GC-FID (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) using a headspace equilibrium method (Lapham et al., 2008).
CELL ENUMERATION

Cells were enumerated by direct microscopic counts. One mL of sediment was placed in a 2-mL screw-cap tube with 500 µl of 3% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), in which it was incubated overnight before being centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3000 × g. The supernatant was removed and replaced with 500 µl of PBS, vortexed briefly and centrifuged again at 3000 × g. The supernatant was subsequently removed and replaced with a 1:1 PBS:ethanol solution. Sediments were then sonicated at 20% power for 40 seconds to disaggregates cells from sediments and diluted 40-fold into PBS prior to filtration onto a 0.2 µm polycarbonate filter (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and mounted onto a slide. Cells were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and enumerated by direct counts using a Leica Epifluorescence Microscope.

GEOCHEMICAL MODELING

Organic carbon remineralization rates as a function of depth were estimated by applying a multi-component reaction-transport model to depth distributions of sulfate and methane concentration. The model is based on equations described in Boudreau (1996) and includes only sulfate reduction and methane production due to lack of data regarding oxic and suboxic processes. Thus the model is limited to depths greater than 4.5 cm where sulfate reduction and methane production are the dominant processes, and bioirrigation and bioturbation may be assumed to be negligible. The organic matter remineralization rate is parameterized using the multi-G model first proposed by Jørgensen (1978); a two-component model was sufficient to accurately simulate the sulfate and methane data. For solutes, the upper boundary conditions were measured values at 4.5 cm while the lower boundary conditions (200 cm) were set to zero-gradient. The flux of reactive organic carbon to 4.5 cm was calculated from the sulfate flux across the 4.5 cm horizon and an estimate of methane burial below the lower boundary (the methane flux at the upper boundary was observed to be zero), with an assumed oxidation state of reactive carbon of -0.7. The model contains four adjustable parameters that are set to capture the major details of measured sulfate and methane data: first-order rate
constants for both fractions of the reactive carbon pool; the partitioning factor for both
fractions, and the rate constant for methane oxidation.

Results and Discussion

A total of 3739 genes encoding extracellular peptidases were identified among
metagenomes from the three depth zones examined, including 685 from SRZ, 1994 from
SMTZ, and 1060 from MRZ. Of the genes encoding for peptidases, 0-71% (depending on
algorithm and sediment depth) contained a signal peptide and are likely secreted by the
SEC-dependent transport system. Among the genes with signal peptidases, zinc
carboxypeptidases, peptidases of class C25 and genes of the clostripain family are most
likely to be secreted (Table S3). We note that alternative secretion pathways exist,
including bacterial lysis and Sec-independent secretion systems (Bendtsen et al., 2005),
although the importance of these systems has not to our knowledge been assessed. At all
three depths, peptidases of class C25, belonging to the gingipain family, were the most
abundant extracellular peptidases (Fig 1a). Gingipains are endopeptidases (i.e., enzymes
that cleave proteins mid-chain rather than from the N- or C-termini) with strong
specificity for the residue arginine on the N-terminal side of the scissile bond (Rawlings
and Barrett, 1999). Bathyarchaeota, which are abundant in sediments of the White Oak
River, use extracellular gingipain (among other peptidases) to degrade detrital protein-
like organic matter (Kubo et al., 2012; Lloyd et al., 2013b). Genes annotated as encoding
extracellular methionine aminopeptidases and zinc carboxypeptidases were also
abundant. The composition of protein families was generally consistent with depth, but
genes for clostripain (another endopeptidase with preference for Arg N-terminal to the
scissile bond) and S24 peptidases (a regulatory peptidase involved in the SOS stress
response) were slightly enriched in the SMTZ.

In SRZ, Bacteria accounted for 61% of genes for extracellular peptidases for
which a lineage could be assigned, increasing to 69% in MRZ (Fig 1b). Archaeal
peptidases decreased from 39% in SRZ to 30% in MRZ, while Eukaryota accounted for
<1.5% at all depths. Consistent with this distribution, bacteria make up ca. 40-60% of
cells in White Oak River sediments as determined by CARD-FISH counts, with no
pronounced depth trend (Lloyd et al., 2013a). Since the majority of the archaeal
peptidases in the SRZ were assigned to genomic bins, we were able to accurately classify them. Interestingly, over half of the archaeal SRZ peptidases belong to marine benthic group D (MBG-D) genomic bins (Fig 1c)(Lazar et al. in review). Additionally, a large portion of the peptidases in the SRZ belong to newly described Archaea belonging to the Asgard superphylum (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al.) including Lokiarchaeota (Spang et al., 2015) and Thorarchaeota (Seitz et al., 2016). Little is known about the ecological roles of these novel Archaea. It has recently been shown that they contain metabolic pathways for the degradation of proteins and acetogenesis (Seitz et al., 2016). Sources of bacterial peptidases varied less with depth than sources of archaeal peptidases, with Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Firmicutes among the dominant phyla (Fig 1d). 13-20% of extracellular peptidase genes belonged to bacteria but could not be assigned a phylum, and 19-22 phyla contributed extracellular peptidases at each depth. Deltaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria dominated the SRZ community at the White Oak River (Baker et al., 2015), and accordingly the largest portion of the extracellular peptidases also belong to them. These phylogenetic groups decrease with depth in the SMTZ and MRZ, however, Deltaproteobacteria contribute a large portion of extracellular peptidases downcore. Although these groups are commonly thought to rely on sulfur and nitrogen respiration, these genomes were shown to contain metabolic pathways for the degradation and fermentation of organic carbon (Baker et al., 2015). Additionally, several phyla thought to be involved in fermentation of detrital carbon including Clostridia, Bacteroidetes, Planctomycetes, and the candidate phyla radiation (CPR) constitute larger portions of the peptidases in the SMTZ and MRZ.

Bioinformatic tools are a powerful way to investigate the potential of microbial communities to oxidize complex organic molecules, but these tools do not provide information about the expression level of genes or the in situ activities of gene products and annotation algorithms often fail to identify the precise function of hydrolases, particularly in deeply-branching lineages (e.g., Michalska et al., 2015). Thus, we measured the potential activities of a wide range of extracellular peptidases in the WOR sediments. In 2013 (when the assay protocol used was more sensitive), all six peptidase substrates tested were hydrolyzed faster in untreated sediments than in autoclaved
controls (Fig 2, Fig S2). Kinetics of substrate hydrolysis were consistent with the Michaelis-Menten rate law,
\[ v_0 = \frac{V_{\text{max}} + [S]}{K_m + [S]}, \]
which is characteristic of purified hydrolases as well as mixtures of isofunctional enzymes in environmental samples (Steen et al., 2015; Sinsabaugh et al., 2014). Together, these lines of evidence show that the observed substrate hydrolysis was due to extracellular peptidases rather than abiotic factors. Combining data from all three sampling dates, unambiguous hydrolysis of eleven different peptidase substrates was observed.

The diverse substrates used in this study were apparently cleaved by a wide variety of peptidases, including aminopeptidases (peptidases that cleave an N-terminal amino acid from a protein) and endopeptidases (peptidases that cleave internal peptide bonds). Peptide bonds adjacent to a diverse set of amino acid residues were cleaved, including glycine (the smallest amino acid), phenylalanine (among the largest amino acids), arginine (positively charged at porewater pH) and leucine (uncharged, hydrophobic). Individual extracellular peptidases can often accept a fairly broad range of substrates, and sometimes a substrate may primarily be hydrolyzed by an enzyme that exhibits maximal activity towards a different substrate. For instance, in pelagic samples from Bogue Sound, an estuary in North Carolina, the substrate Leu-AMC, which is putatively a substrate for leucine aminopeptidase, was hydrolyzed more by arginine aminopeptidase than by leucine aminopeptidase (Steen et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the large diversity of substrates that were hydrolyzed in this study suggests a diverse set of peptidases, as has previously been observed in pelagic samples (Obayashi and Suzuki, 2008, 2005; Steen and Arnosti, 2013).

Bulk enzyme activities ($V_{\text{max}}$ values) decreased with increasing depth (Fig 3a). However, no trend was evident in $V_{\text{max}}$ values expressed per cell (Fig 3b), and $V_{\text{max}}$ expressed relative to bulk organic carbon oxidation rate increased downcore by nearly two orders of magnitude (Figs 3c-d). $V_{\text{max}}$ per cell was approximately 100-200 amol cell$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$ throughout the core, comparable to previous measurements made in a surface
sediments (2–100 amol cell$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$) and surface seawater (mostly less than 100 amol cell$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$, but with some measurements up to 10 nmol cell$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$) (Vetter and Deming, 1994). Modeled organic carbon oxidation due to sulfate reduction and methane production decreased from 16.2 µmol C l wet sediment$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$ at 4.5 cm (the top of the model domain) to 0.0312 µmol C l wet sediment$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$ at 82.5 cm, a decrease of a factor of 519 (or a factor of 1650, relative to the extrapolated organic carbon oxidation rate of 51.4 µmol C l wet sediment$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$ at 1.5 cm, an estimate which should be considered a lower bound for reasons described in the methods section). The sum of $V_{\text{max}}$ of all peptidases measured in 2013 decreased from 94.7 µmol g sed$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$ at 1.5 cm to 12.8 µmol g sed$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$ at 82.5 cm depth, a decrease of a factor of 7.4. The ratio of summed peptidase $V_{\text{max}}$ to organic carbon oxidation rate correspondingly increased from 1.8 to 410. The absolute value of that ratio is sensitive to the precise set of enzyme included in the sum, but the trend is clear: as sediment depth increased, the potential activity of extracellular peptidases increased faster than the actual rate of organic carbon oxidation. $V_{\text{max}}$ is a rough proxy for the concentration of enzymes in an environment, so an increase in $V_{\text{max}}$ relative to carbon oxidation rate suggests that subsurface microbial communities produced similar quantities of enzyme per cell as surface communities, but those enzymes returned less bioavailable organic matter, presumably due to lower substrate concentrations.

In the sense of bulk activities, therefore, subsurface heterotrophic communities in WOR seem to be similar their surface counterparts in terms of reliance on extracellular enzymes to access organic matter, although metabolisms are slower in the subsurface. However, there are indications from enzyme kinetics and activities of specific enzymes that subsurface communities are specialized for their environment. Peptidase $K_m$ values decreased with increasing depth (Fig 4, ANCOVA, F(5, 22)=4.44, p < 0.05). When substrate concentrations are considerably less than $K_m$, as they likely are in subsurface sediments, in situ substrate hydrolysis rates are controlled more by $K_m$ than by $V_{\text{max}}$ (Steen and Ziervogel, 2012; Cornish-Bowden, 2012), so decreasing $K_m$ values may be an adaptive response to low bioavailable substrate concentrations in subsurface sediments (Sinsabaugh et al., 2014).
The trends in $K_m$ warrant some discussion of how $K_m$ values are measured and interpreted. As with all environmental enzyme assays, our measurements relied on measuring the rate of reaction of an artificial substrate that was added to the sample. The sample also contained some quantity of natural substrate (i.e., proteins or peptides). This naturally-present substrate can be viewed as a competitive inhibitor of the added artificial substrate (Cornish-Bowden, 2012). As a consequence, the $K_m$ we measure ($K_{m,\text{app}}$) is actually the sum of the true enzyme $K_m$ plus the concentration of in situ enzyme substrate ([S$_{is}$]); $K_{m,\text{app}} = K_m + [S_{is}]$. It is therefore possible that the observed decrease in $K_{m,\text{app}}$ actually reflects a change in the concentration of natural peptidase substrates with depth, rather than a change in substrate affinity of peptidases. [S$_{is}$] is extremely difficult to measure (or even to define precisely) because it reflects the sum of the concentrations of all of the individual molecules which can act as substrate for a given peptidase, modified by the degree to which the peptidase is capable of accessing each substrate. [S$_{is}$] almost certainly decreased downcore, since a decrease in the concentration of enzymatically-hydrolyzable, protein-like organic matter is a diagnostic feature of aged organic matter (Amon et al., 2001; Dauwe et al., 1999). However, it is very unlikely that [S$_{is}$] could have decreased enough to cause more than a negligible fraction of the observed decreases in $K_m$, which were ranged from 110 µM (GGR-AMC) to 990 µM (AAF-AMC). Sediments of Aarhus Bay, which are similar to those of the White Oak River in terms of organic matter content and grain size, are characterized by porewater dissolved combined amino acid concentrations in the range of 50-150 µM L$^{-1}$ (Pedersen et al., 2001). However, only a fraction of total dissolved protein-like material can act as substrate for any given peptidase. Only 10-40% of total combined amino acids in sediments are accessible to added peptidases (Dauwe et al., 1999), and a smaller fraction may be available to the specific peptidases measured in this study. Furthermore, $K_m$ values were measured in a 9:1 buffer:sample slurry, so [S$_{is}$] in the slurry would be one-tenth of in situ [S$_{is}$]. By multiplying assumed porewater substrate concentrations (from Aarhus Bay) by the fraction that is enzymatically hydrolysable and dividing by 10 to account for dilution in the slurry, we estimate that changes in [S$_{is}$] could account for, at most, a 0.5-6 µM decrease in $K_{m,\text{app}}$. The remainder of the 110-990 µM decrease must be due to changes in
the true substrate affinity $K_m$, indicating that subsurface enzymes hydrolyze low
concentrations of protein considerably more efficiently than surface enzymes.

The suite of specific peptidases that are active in deeper sediments also seems to
reflect adaptation to more degraded organic matter. In order to assess the degree to which
sedimentary extracellular peptidases target more recalcitrant organic matter, rather than
the extremely small pool of relatively labile organic matter, in 2014 we compared
potential activities of D-phenylalanyl aminopeptidase (D-PheAP) and ornithine
aminopeptidase (OrnAP) to those of L-phenylalanyl aminopeptidase (L-PheAP). D-
phenylalanine and ornithine are both markers for degraded organic matter. Most amino
acids are biosynthesized as L-stereoisomers. D-stereoisomers in sedimentary organic
matter can be produced via abiotic racemization of biomass (Bada and Schroeder, 1975;
Steen et al., 2013) or by bacterial reprocessing of phytoplankton-derived OM (Pedersen
et al., 2001; Kaiser and Benner, 2008; Lomstein et al., 2006). Ornithine is non-
proteinogenic amino acid, which does not exist in high concentration in fresh biomass,
but which can be produced in sediments via deamination of arginine and therefore
indicates OM degradation (Hare, 1968; Lee and Cronin, 1984). L-phenylalanine is among
the most recalcitrant amino acids (Dauwe and Middelburg, 1998) but is more labile than
D-phenylalanine or ornithine, so we take L-PheAP activity as a marker of the
community’s ability to access relatively fresh OM.

D-PheAP:L-PheAP and OrnAP:L-PheAP ratios increased significantly with depth
(Fig 5, D-PheAP:L-Phe-AP: p<0.01, $r^2=0.35$, n=16; OrnAP:L-PheAP: $p < 0.05$, $r^2=0.26$,
n=16), indicating that subsurface communities expressed peptidases that release amino
acids from relatively recalcitrant organic matter. These results do not indicate the actual
flux of organic matter to communities: $V_{max}$ reflects a potential rate at saturating substrate
concentrations, not an in situ rate. Nevertheless, these results suggest that deeper
heterotrophic communities seek to access the larger pool of more recalcitrant organic
matter rather than the smaller pool of more labile organic matter.

The absence of a major trend in cell-specific extracellular peptidase activities with
depth in the White Oak River indicates that subsurface heterotrophic microbial
communities rely on extracellular enzymes to access organic carbon to a similar degree
as communities in rapidly-changing environments such as surface seawater. However, the
nature of the enzymes, as reflected by their substrate affinities, the specific distribution of enzyme activities, and the distribution of peptidase genes, changes with depth. These changes are consistent with community-level adaptation to consuming degraded, relatively recalcitrant organic matter. Further analysis of the mechanisms by which subsurface heterotrophs access organic matter may yield continued insights into how heterotrophic microorganisms live in low-energy environments such as subsurface sediments.
Figures

Fig 1:
(a) Frequency of genes for various classes of extracellular peptidases, relative to all genes for extracellular peptidases; (b) sources of extracellular peptidases by domain and depth, and sources of (c) Archaeal and (d) Bacterial peptidases by phylum and depth.
Fig 2: Peptidase saturation curves collected in 2013, showing Michealis-Menten kinetics consistent with enzymatic rather than abiotic substrate hydrolysis. Open triangles indicate autoclaved controls.
Fig 3: Peptidase activities measured in 2013 compared to microbial abundance and activity. Panel A: summed $V_{max}$ of the six peptidases measured in 2013, expressed relative to the value at 1.5 cm. Error bars represent standard error of the estimate of the rate of fluorophore production. B: Summed $V_{max}$ relative to cell abundance. Error bars represent error propagated from error of $V_{max}$ and standard deviation of cell counts. C: Modeled organic carbon oxidation rate. D: Summed $V_{max}$ relative to organic carbon oxidation rate. Error bars represent standard error of the estimate of the rate of fluorophore production.
Fig 4: Estimated $K_m$ values as a function of sediment depth. Colored lines indicate linear regressions for individual substrates, while the black line and shaded area represent a regression for all substrates taken together.
Fig 5: Left panel: Ratio of D-phenylalanyl aminopeptidase activity to L-phenylalanyl aminopeptidase activity versus depth. Right panel: Ratio of ornithyl aminopeptidase activity to L-phenylalanyl aminopeptidase activity. The shaded band indicates the 95% confidence interval of the fitted values.
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Table S1: substrates and enzymes. All amino acids are in the L stereoconformation unless otherwise noted. AMC stands for 7-amido-4-methylcoumarin. N-(carboxybenzyloxy-)(Z-) and tert-butyl (Boc) are blocking groups which prevent hydrolysis by aminopeptidases due to steric hindrance. Enzymes assayed are listed as “putative” because the enzyme listed shows maximal activity towards the listed substrate, but it is possible (and in some cases very likely) that other enzymes also hydrolyze the listed substrate. None of the peptidases in the E.C. database preferentially hydrolyze N-terminal glycine, ornithine, or phenylalanine, so no E.C. numbers are listed for Gly-AMC, Orn-AMC or L-Phe-AMC, although many peptidases likely exhibit secondary activity towards those residues.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Putative enzyme assayed</th>
<th>E.C. number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ala-Ala-Phe-AMC</td>
<td>AAF-AMC</td>
<td>subtilisin</td>
<td>3.4.21.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg-AMC</td>
<td>Arg-AMC</td>
<td>Aminopeptidase B</td>
<td>3.4.11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly-AMC</td>
<td>Gly-AMC</td>
<td>Peptidases that preferentially hydrolyze N-terminal Gly</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly-Gly-Arg-AMC</td>
<td>Gly-Gly-Arg-AMC</td>
<td>trypsin</td>
<td>3.4.21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu-AMC</td>
<td>Leu-AMC</td>
<td>leucyl aminopeptidase</td>
<td>3.4.11.1, 3.4.11.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ornithine-AMC</td>
<td>Orn-AMC</td>
<td>Peptidases that preferentially hydrolyze N-terminal Orn</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Phe-AMC</td>
<td>D-Phe-AMC</td>
<td>D-stereospecific aminopeptidase</td>
<td>3.4.11.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Phe</td>
<td>L-Phe-AMC</td>
<td>Peptidases that preferentially hydrolyze N-terminal Phe</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Phe-Arg-AMC</td>
<td>Z-FR-AMC</td>
<td>gingipain R</td>
<td>3.4.22.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Phe-Val-Arg-AMC</td>
<td>Z-FVR-AMC</td>
<td>clostripain</td>
<td>3.4.22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boc-Val-Pro-Arg-AMC</td>
<td>Boc-VPR-AMC</td>
<td>trypsin</td>
<td>3.4.21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2: Sources for metagenomic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>IMG Genome ID</th>
<th>Genome size</th>
<th>Gene count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Oak River</td>
<td>3300001751</td>
<td>8247658995</td>
<td>43518175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Oak River</td>
<td>3300001753</td>
<td>10609117402</td>
<td>52065549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Oak River</td>
<td>3300001706</td>
<td>7116721444</td>
<td>34096044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Oak River</td>
<td>3300002053</td>
<td>799007063</td>
<td>857807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S3: called signal peptidases by gene, depth, and prediction algorithm.
Fig. S1: Cell abundance and porewater methane and sulfate concentrations.
Fig S2a: Full saturation curves from 2013, using the Quantifluor ST fluorescence detector.
Fig S2b: Saturation curves from 2014, taken using the microplate reader method.

Fig S3: Km values as a function of depth. Error bars represent the standard error of the nonlinear least squares estimate of K_m.