Multi-scale responses of scattering layers to environmental variability in Monterey Bay, California
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Abstract

A 38 kHz upward-facing echosounder was deployed on the seafloor at a depth of 875 m in Monterey Bay, CA, USA (36° 42.748’ N, 122° 11.214’ W) from 27 February 2009 to 18 August 2010. This 18-month record of acoustic backscatter was compared to oceanographic time series from a nearby data buoy to investigate the responses of animals in sound-scattering layers to oceanic variability at seasonal and sub-seasonal time scales. Pelagic animals, as measured by acoustic backscatter, moved higher in the water column and decreased in abundance during spring upwelling, attributed to avoidance of a shoaling oxycline and advection offshore. Seasonal changes were most evident in a non-migrating scattering layer near 500 m depth that disappeared in spring and reappeared in summer, building to a seasonal maximum in fall. At sub-seasonal time scales, similar responses were observed after individual upwelling events, though they were much weaker than the seasonal relationship. Correlations of acoustic backscatter with oceanographic vari-
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ability also differed with depth. Backscatter in the upper water column decreased immediately following upwelling, then increased approximately 20 days later. Similar correlations existed deeper in the water column, but at increasing lags, suggesting that near-surface productivity propagated down the water column at 10-15 m d$^{-1}$, consistent with sinking speeds of marine snow measured in Monterey Bay. Sub-seasonal variability in backscatter was best correlated with sea-surface height, suggesting that passive physical transport was most important at these time scales.
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1. **Introduction**

   Physical variability is a fundamental feature of the ocean’s pelagic habitat, and the responses of pelagic organisms to this variability play a large role in determining their distribution, abundance, and survival. As such, understanding the effects of physical change and variability on ocean life has long been recognized as a central challenge in oceanography and marine ecology. Physical variability can influence organisms at the individual or population level, and its effects can be direct (e.g. advection) or indirect (e.g production fertilized by upwelled nutrients).

   “Physical-biological coupling” has most often been studied in the plankton, whose abundance and distribution are closely tied to physical variability (Platt and Denman, 1975). However, because ocean currents are variable across a wide range of spatial and temporal scales, the division between
plankton and nekton (Haeckel, 1890) is somewhat arbitrary. Coupling to physical processes is thus expected to extend from zooplankton to micronekton: animals roughly 2-10 cm in length with swimming abilities in between those of drifting plankton and freely swimming nekton (Brodeur and Yamamura, 2005). These include krill, pelagic shrimps, small squids, and fishes such as myctophids.

Assemblages of micronekton are important constituents of deep scattering layers (DSLs, Dietz 1948; Barham 1956). DSLs are layers of elevated animal biomass, and consequently acoustic backscattering, which are found worldwide in the ocean’s mesopelagic zone, ≈ 200 to 1,000 m below the surface. Many undergo diel vertical migration (DVM) of several hundred meters to feed near the surface each night (Dietz, 1948; Hays, 2003). Micronekton, from both the meso- and epipelagic (0-200 m depth), are important food resources for a variety of larger fish, birds, and marine mammals and are important carriers of energy, both up the food chain and down the water column. Recent research suggests that the global biomass of small fishes in the DSL is on the order of 10^{10} metric tons, and that they could respire as much as 10% of primary productivity in the deep ocean (Kaartvedt et al., 2012; Irigoien et al., 2014). They are probably also influenced by physical variability, especially in dynamic environments.

The California Current is one such dynamic environment. As in other eastern-boundary systems, Ekman pumping driven by seasonal equatorward-flowing winds brings nutrient-rich water to the surface near the coast, fueling a highly productive ecosystem. Though limited in area, upwelling systems support a disproportionate amount of global fish landings (Pauly and Chris-
tensen, 1995), and attract large predators from great distances (Block et al., 2011). The seasonal cycle of upwelling and productivity in the California Current is generally consistent (Pennington and Chavez, 2000), but within any given year upwelling is irregular, supplying nutrients to the food web in episodic pulses. Upwelling is also spatially variable. Mesoscale (10s to 100s of km) squirts, jets, eddies, and coastal waves all introduce variability into the movement of water (Keister and Strub, 2008).

The effects of oceanic variability on micronekton are only now beginning to be understood. Responses of phytoplankton to environmental variability have been well studied at interannual (McGowan et al., 2003), seasonal (Bolin and Abbot, 1963; Service et al., 1998), and sub-seasonal time scales (Service et al., 1998; Legaard and Thomas, 2007). Environmental effects on zooplankton and micronekton have also been studied, though mostly at seasonal and longer time scales (e.g. Roesler and Chelton 1987; McGowan et al. 1996; Brinton and Townsend 2003; Rebstock 2003). Several recent studies have examined spatial relationships between physical features and micronekton distribution. These have regularly found changes in DSL structure and density associated with mesoscale eddies (Kloser et al., 2009; Godø et al., 2012; Fennell and Rose, 2015) and across frontal zones (Opdal et al., 2008; Irigoien et al., 2014; Boersch-Supan et al., 2015). Fewer studies have examined the temporal evolution of DSLs in relation to physical oceanography (Wang et al., 2014), and at sub-seasonal temporal scales, these effects are largely unknown.

This study used a bottom-mounted echosounder in outer Monterey Bay, CA to monitor changes in acoustic backscatter over 18 months. This sam-
pling allowed us to characterize macrozooplankton and micronekton biomass through the entire water column at high temporal and spatial resolutions. We compared these acoustic backscatter measurements to coincident measurements of wind, temperature, and fluorescence as proxies of upwelling, and sea levels, to investigate possible links between sea-surface topography and animal biomass (e.g. Clarke and Dottori 2008). We also examined how these responses varied as a function of depth. We expected a lagged increase in backscatter following upwelling events, due to a combination of animal aggregation, somatic growth, and population increase. A similar response to increased productivity at the surface was expected from animals at depth, but delayed and damped when compared to the response of surface animals. Finally, we estimate the relative importance of different processes in generating the observed physical-biological relationships.

2. Methods

2.1. Study location

Monterey Bay is a large, open embayment in the central California coast. The Bay’s oceanographic seasons follow those of the California Current, with wind-driven upwelling in spring and early summer, a warm water “oceanic period” in the late summer and fall, and a winter downwelling or “Davidson current” period (Skogsberg et al., 1946; Pennington and Chavez, 2000). Point Año Nuevo, to the north of the Bay, is the source of a persistent upwelling plume that typically trails south across the mouth of the Bay (Rosenfeld et al. 1994, Figure 1). Mean circulation within the bay is counterclockwise, with enhanced productivity in the “upwelling shadows” near shore (Graham
Figure 1: Monterey Bay, showing location of the upward-facing echosounder (DEIMOS) and oceanographic data buoy (M1) used in this study, as well as a typical pattern of sea-surface temperature during the upwelling season (AVHRR 3-day composite, 1 May, 2010). A band of cold, upwelled water is located along the coast, with warmer water offshore and inside the Bay. The coldest waters are near Point Año Nuevo and Point Sur.

2.2. Acoustic Data

Animal density through the water column was estimated using a bottom-mounted echosounder. The Deep Echo Integrating Marine Observatory System (DEIMOS) is an acoustic package built around a 38 kHz scientific echosounder (Horne et al., 2010). It was deployed at 875 m depth from February 27, 2009 to August 18, 2010 at the Monterey Accelerated Research System (MARS), a cabled observatory node, located at 36°42.748’ N, 122°11.214’ W on Smooth Ridge, to the north of the Monterey Submarine Canyon. MARS is maintained and operated by the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute.
(MBARI), and provides continuous power and communications for scientific
instruments. Several multi-day gaps in the data were caused by electrical
interference, software crashes, and burrowing rodents (Urmy et al., 2012).
DEIMOS sampled continuously at 0.2 Hz with a 0.5 m vertical resolution
through the water column. DEIMOS was calibrated in situ using a standard
target (Foote et al., 1987) hung from a float above the transducer during the
final 7 weeks of the deployment.

We were not able to take direct samples to identify scattering organisms,
so acoustic volume and area backscattering coefficients ($s_v$ and $s_a$, and their
logarithmic forms $S_v$ and $S_a$, MacLennan et al. 2002) were used as proxies
of animal biomass. This is a reasonable assumption for both single species
(Foote, 1983) and mixed communities (Benoit-Bird and Au, 2002).

Acoustic data were processed using Echoview software (version 4.8, Myr-
ix Pty. Ltd. 2010). Background noise was estimated and subtracted using
methods described in De Robertis and Higginbottom (2007). A backscatter
threshold was applied to eliminate acoustic returns with volume-scattering
strengths below -90 dB, the approximate backscattering intensity generated
by one krill m$^{-3}$ at 38 kHz (Demer and Conti, 2003). All echograms were
visually inspected, and regions with external noise (e.g. ship or ROV noise)
were excluded from further analysis. Also excluded were regions within 7
m of the bottom, to eliminate targets in the acoustic near field, and within
10 m of the surface, to avoid integrating bubbles from breaking waves. The
mean depth of backscatter was measured using the acoustic center of mass
(CM, Urmy et al. 2012).
2.3. Oceanographic Data

Time series of wind velocity, sea-surface temperature (SST), and fluorescence, a proxy for chlorophyll (Kirk, 1994), were measured at MBARI’s M1 data buoy, located 15 km ENE of DEIMOS at 36°45’ N, 122°1.8’ W (Chavez et al. 1997, Figure 1). Service et al. (1998) found that wind velocity at M1 was highly correlated ($R^2 = 0.78$) with wind velocity at MBARI’s M2 mooring, 18 km WSW of DEIMOS. This correlation held during our study period ($R^2 = 0.73$), indicating that winds at M1 were representative of those at DEIMOS, located approximately midway between the M1 and M2 moorings. Daily satellite measurements of SST and log chlorophyll-a at DEIMOS and M1, from Level-3 AVHRR and MODIS-Aqua imagery, were also correlated ($R^2 = 0.86$ and 0.37), giving us confidence that SST and fluorescence measurements at M1 were representative of these values at the DEIMOS site.

Ekman transport of water offshore, an estimate of wind-driven upwelling, was calculated from wind measurements at M1 following Bakun (1973). Offshore Ekman transport was estimated as $M_E = \tau_a / f$, where $\tau_a$ is the alongshore component of the wind stress and $f$ is the Coriolis acceleration, equal to $8.326 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ at latitude 36°45’ N. Wind stress was calculated as $\tau = \rho C_d |\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u}$ is wind velocity, $\rho$ is the density of air (assumed constant at 1.22 kg m$^{-3}$), and $C_d$ is a non-dimensional drag coefficient, taken to be 0.0013 (Bakun, 1973; Schwing et al., 1996). Alongshore wind stress was defined as the component parallel to 150°, with positive stresses towards the southeast. Sea-surface height (SSH) was measured by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) tide gauge in Monterey (http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/geo.shtml?location=monterey). SSH was
corrected for the reverse-barometer effect (Chelton and Enfield, 1986) and low-pass filtered with a 25-hour moving average to remove the effects of diurnal and semidiurnal tides.

2.4. Analysis

Prior to analysis, values in all time series were averaged into one-day bins. For the acoustic data, these averages used only values within four hours of local noon (i.e. 08:00-16:00) to avoid “blurring” by diel vertical migration. Oceanographic series were averaged over the full 24 hour period.

Correlations between environmental and acoustic variables at the seasonal scale were quantified by calculating Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients between the respective time series. We also quantified the seasonal cycles by fitting composite sinusoids with periods of 12 and 6 months to the data by least squares. This allowed us to estimate the amplitudes and timings of these cycles, even though only 18 months of acoustic data were available. All further analyses used the residuals from these model fits.

We calculated the correlation between oceanographic and acoustic series at time lags from 0 to 90 days—i.e., the cross-correlation function (CCF). We used only the half of the CCF where the oceanographic variable led the acoustic variable, since we were interested in the influence of oceanography on micronekton. This is consistent with “bottom-up” forcing, from physics to primary production and consumption, usually assumed to operate through lower trophic levels (cf. Micheli et al. 1999). Assuming an uncorrelated white-noise null hypothesis, CCFs were considered significant if their absolute value was greater than $z_{0.975}/\sqrt{n}$, where $z_{0.975}$ is the 0.975 quantile of the standard normal distribution and $n$ is the number of observations.
in each time series (Brockwell and Davis, 2002). We calculated CCFs of
temperature and fluorescence with wind stress to check the time lag of phy-
toplankton blooms behind upwelling events. We then calculated the lagged
correlation of upwelling wind stress, temperature, fluorescence, and sea level
with depth-integrated backscatter ($S_a$) and the CM. We also calculated the
lagged correlation of backscatter, at each depth in the water column, with
the oceanographic series.

To test the predictive power of the bio-physical relationships, we built
a statistical model for backscatter in the top 300 m of the water column,
representing prey available to surface-diving and epipelagic predators. We
regressed $S_a$, integrated from 0-300 m, on the values of the four environmen-
tal variables at their best-correlated lag below 30 days, selecting significant
covariates using a backwards-deletion procedure. An autoregressive (AR)
model accounted for autocorrelation in the residuals. We computed the cor-
corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc, Hurvich and Tsai 1989) for AR
models using 0 to 15 AR terms, selecting the model with the lowest score.
This procedure optimizes the tradeoff between a model’s goodness-of-fit and
the number of parameters estimated (Akaike, 1974). Parameters were fit by
maximizing the likelihood, using a state-space representation of the AR pro-
cess to handle the missing values (Jones, 1980). Residuals were tested for
difference from white noise at the 0.05 level using the Ljung-Box test (Ljung
and Box, 1978). All analyses were run in R (R Development Core Team,
2014).
3. Results

3.1. Seasonal cycles

Weather and oceanography followed a clear annual cycle (Figure 2, Table 1). Northwesterly winds and offshore Ekman transport were strongest in early spring, co-occurring with low SSH and high fluorescence. The SSH anomaly was lowest in April and May. SST and SSH had the strongest seasonal patterns, with the seasonal models accounting for 39% and 50% of their overall variabilities (Table 1). Upwelling and fluorescence were more episodic, with only 13% and 14% of their variabilities explained by the seasonal models (Table 1).
Table 1: Summary of sinusoidal models fit to environmental and acoustic time series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>High (date)</th>
<th>Low (date)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upwelling</td>
<td>kg (m s)$^{-1}$</td>
<td>341.27</td>
<td>703.3 Apr 30</td>
<td>-97.5 Jan 11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>13.2 Aug 24</td>
<td>10.3 May 7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.8 Sep 18</td>
<td>0.2 Jan 16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSH</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.1 Jan 5</td>
<td>-0.1 May 2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>-296.99</td>
<td>-175.8 May 12</td>
<td>-428.7 Sep 15</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa</td>
<td>dB</td>
<td>-40.26</td>
<td>-37.9 Sep 6</td>
<td>-44.8 May 3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The acoustic variables also had distinct seasonal cycles. Depth-integrated backscatter was lowest in May, coinciding with the coolest temperatures and highest fluorescence. It was highest in the fall and winter, with the seasonal model peaking in September at -37.5 dB, while the highest overall $S_a$ values came during a short spike to -34.7 dB over several days in January 2010. During its spring minima, backscatter moved up in the water column, with its CM near 155 m depth. Backscatter was deepest in the water column in September, centered near 420 m. This change in the CM was due to the formation of a deep, thick, mostly non-migratory scattering layer between 400 and 700 m depth (Figure 2). The seasonal model for $S_a$ accounted for 71% of its variability, and the seasonal model for the CM accounted for 74% (Table 1).

The seasonal cycle of backscatter was out of phase with that of upwelling and primary production (Table 1). The correlation coefficient of $S_a$ with SST was -0.64; with SSH it was 0.73 (Figure 3). The CM was negatively correlated with SST ($r = -0.6$) and SSH ($r = -0.48$). All other correlation coefficients were less than 0.3 (Figure 3).

3.2. Sub-seasonal dynamics

At sub-seasonal time scales, fluorescence was negatively correlated with SST, with the highest correlation found at a lag of 3 days (Figure 4). Fluorescence displayed a characteristic scale of variability between 10 and 20 days, representing the average time between upwelling events and corresponding phytoplankton blooms. Sea level also showed semi-periodic fluctuations with a period of approximately 20 days. These fluctuations were correlated ($\rho = -0.45$) with alongshore wind stress at lags of 1 day (Figure 4).
Figure 3: Pairwise relationships between oceanographic and acoustic time series at the seasonal time scale. Oceanographic variables, in columns from left to right, are upwelling Ekman transport, sea surface temperature (SST), chlorophyll fluorescence, and sea-surface height (SSH). Acoustic variables, in rows, are total depth-integrated backscatter ($S_a$) and the acoustic center of mass (CM). Points show daily values of the raw time series, with Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficient ($r$) displayed in upper-right corner. Curves show the modeled seasonal cycles for each pair of variables. The curves’ color indicates day of the year, starting with black on 1 January and ending with white on 31 December. Because these models are sinusoidal, they must form loops, ending where they started. The closer a loop is to a straight line, the closer the cycles are to being perfectly in phase.
The density of pelagic animals was also related to oceanographic variability at sub-seasonal time scales. Total backscatter had weak but significant negative correlations with indicators of upwelling (alongshore wind stress, below-average SST and above-average fluorescence) at lags less than 20 days (Figure 5). The strongest relationship between upwelling variables and animal distribution was found in the CM, which was negatively correlated with SST at all lags, with its minimum ($\rho = -0.23$) at 14-15-day lags. The CM was also negatively correlated with fluorescence at lags between 0 and 18 days, though not as strongly as with temperature. Taken together, these correlations indicate that the total animal abundance decreased slightly over one to three weeks following upwelling events, while moving up in the water column.

Of the environmental series examined, backscatter was best correlated
Figure 5: Cross-correlations between acoustic and environmental series. Plots show lagged correlation of total backscatter ($S_a$, top row) and its mean location in the water column (the center of mass CM, bottom row) with alongshore wind stress, sea-surface temperature (SST), Fluorescence, and sea-surface height (SSH). Dotted lines show significance at the 0.05 level for a white-noise null hypothesis.
with sea level. Cross-correlation of total backscatter with sea level was highest ($\rho = 0.31$) at a 0-day lag. The center of mass was significantly negatively correlated with sea level at lags from 0 to 38 days. Together, these correlations indicate that above-average sea levels were associated with increased backscatter deeper in the water column.

The correlation of backscatter to environmental variability also varied as a function of depth (Figure 6). Backscatter through the water column was negatively correlated with alongshore wind stress, indicating decreases in animal density immediately following upwelling winds (Figure 6A). At 40-75 day lags, the CCF became positive around 300 and 600 m, indicating that backscatter increased at these depths.

The effects of temperature on backscatter depended more on depth than on time lag. Backscatter above 200 m was negatively correlated with surface temperature at lags from two to almost 90 days. Conversely, backscatter at all depths below about 500 m was negatively correlated with temperature at all lags (Figure 6B). These results agreed with those for the CM, and indicate that decreases in surface temperature were associated with a long-lasting increase in animal density in the upper water column, and a corresponding decrease in density in the mesopelagic zone.

Backscatter was negatively correlated with fluorescence at lags from 0-20 days through most of the water column above 600 m, indicating a decrease in animal density following phytoplankton blooms (Figure 6C). The correlation became positive at 20-25 day lags near the surface. Below 600 m, this relationship appeared to be reversed. At increasing lags, positive correlations appeared at deeper depths, in an apparent propagation down the
Figure 6: Correlations between environmental series and acoustic backscatter, as a function of lag (x-axis) and depth (y-axis). Red represents positive correlation, while blue represents negative correlation. Black contours enclose areas significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level, assuming a white-noise null hypothesis. Sub-figures show correlations between backscatter and A) alongshore (i.e., upwelling) wind stress, B) sea-surface temperature, C) surface fluorescence, and D) sea-surface height.

water column to about 300 m at a 60 day lag behind fluorescence. A similar downward movement of the fluorescence signal was apparent over approximately the same range of temporal lags, but deeper, beginning near 350 m and descending to about 550 m depth (Figure 6C).

For the regression model of micronekton in the upper 300 m of the water column, wind stress at a 5-day lag and sea level at a 0-day lag were significant ($p < 0.05$) predictors of backscatter above 300 m. The AICc procedure selected a model including these covariates and seven past values of backscatter
Table 2: Parameter estimates, standard errors, and units for model of backscatter (dB re. 1 m m⁻²) in the upper 300 m of the water column: $\alpha_{\text{wind}}^{(5)}$ is the regression coefficient for wind stress at a 5-day lag, $\alpha_{\text{SSH}}^{(0)}$ is the regression coefficient for sea-surface height at a 0-day lag, and $\phi^{(h)}$ is the autoregressive coefficient at lag $h$ (in days).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{wind}}^{(5)}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{SSH}}^{(0)}$</th>
<th>$\phi^{(1)}$</th>
<th>$\phi^{(2)}$</th>
<th>$\phi^{(3)}$</th>
<th>$\phi^{(4)}$</th>
<th>$\phi^{(5)}$</th>
<th>$\phi^{(6)}$</th>
<th>$\phi^{(7)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>7.336</td>
<td>-8.170</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Error</td>
<td>2.036</td>
<td>1.447</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>dB m⁻¹</td>
<td>dB m⁻¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Table 2). The model’s one-step-ahead prediction errors were uncorrelated (all Ljung-Box p-values > 0.8), with an error variance of 1.57 (dB re. 1 m² m⁻²)². In the simple linear regression with no autoregressive component, wind and sea level explained 8% of the variability. The addition of seven autoregressive terms improved the $R^2$ value to 0.53.

4. Discussion

4.1. Identity and biology of scattering species

Though it is not possible to determine species composition from single-frequency acoustic data without direct sampling, we can use knowledge of the backscattering properties of common zooplankton and fish (Stanton et al., 1996; Horne and Clay, 1998) and literature on the California Current (Barham, 1956; Kalish et al., 1986) to attribute most of the observed backscatter to mesopelagic micronekton.

Micronekton in the California Current are dominated by a relatively small number of species, including krill (Euphausia pacifica and Thysanoessa
spinifera), Sergestes similis, a panaeid shrimp, and myctophid fishes (*Diphys theta, Stenobrachius leucopsarus, and Tarletonbeania crenularis*) (Phillips et al., 2009). All of these animals have been associated with sound-scattering layers (Barham, 1956; Kalish et al., 1986). Larger nekton are present as well, including macrourids (Yeh and Drazen, 2011), Pacific hake (*Merluccius productus*) and, in recent years, Humboldt squid (*Dosidicus gigas*, see Field et al. 2007), though the relative contribution of these species to overall biomass and backscatter is likely to be small compared to the smaller but much more abundant micronekton species. When the acoustic threshold on 30 randomly-selected echograms was raised from -90 dB (the level used in our analysis) to -58.5 dB (the level used for fisheries surveys of Pacific hake *Mallotus villosus*, Wilson and Guttormsen 1997), an average of 77% of the backscatter was eliminated. This difference suggests that the majority of backscatter is attributable to smaller animals.

Dense surface aggregations were sometimes present, especially from February through April, probably representing surface-schooling krill (*Euphausia pacifica* or *Thysanoessa spinifera*, Smith and Adams 1988), sardine (*Sardinops sagax*), or anchovy (*Engraulis mordax*, Cailliet et al. 1979). These aggregations make substantial contributions to water column biomass on the temporal scale of minutes as they pass through the acoustic beam, but do not affect trends at scales of days or longer.

Visual surveys from ROVs have shown that a substantial portion of Monterey Bay’s mesopelagic fauna is gelatinous (Robison, 2004; Robison et al., 2010). Though often considered weak acoustic targets relative to swimbladder-dered fish, gelatinous animals may in fact make substantial contributions to
backscatter (Colombo et al., 2003). The physonect siphonophore *Namomia bijuga* may be particularly important, since it is both abundant in Monterey Bay and possesses a gas-filled pneumatophore (Stanton et al., 1998; Warren, 2001). *Namomia*’s seasonal cycle matches that of backscatter quite well, peaking in summer between 200 and 600 m depth (Robison et al., 1998). Siphonophores have long been recognized as potential contributors to DSLs (Barham, 1963), but they are probably even worse-represented in net catches than mesopelagic fish (Hamner et al., 1975; Kaartvedt et al., 2012).

### 4.2. Seasonal cycles

The environmental time series showed typical seasonal cycles for Monterey Bay and the California Current. Northwesterly winds were highest in spring, along with the surface expression of cooler water and spikes in fluorescence, indicating upwelling and blooms of phytoplankton. Sea surface height at the coast was also lowest in spring, likely associated with upwelling-favorable winds pushing the surface layer offshore and lower the sea level near the coast.

At the same time, the acoustic center of mass moved up in the water column and overall backscatter decreased. This cycle agrees with previous measurements from an ADCP on the M1 mooring (Croll et al., 2005), and appears similar to recent measurements from the monsoon-driven upwelling system in the Arabian Sea (Wang et al., 2014). The upward movement of the CM may be explained by avoidance of a shoaling oxygen minimum zone (OMZ). An oxygen minimum zone is found between approximately 500 and 1000 m depth in Monterey Bay, and is associated with a decrease in animal density and changes in species assemblages (Lynn et al., 1982; Robison et al., 2003).
Globally, DSLs are found higher in the water column where dissolved oxygen is lower (Klevj et al., 2016). The OMZ in eastern boundary currents rises during upwelling, changing the distribution of micronekton and fish (Escribano et al., 2000; Chan et al., 2008; Bertrand et al., 2011). The decrease in overall backscatter may be attributed to offshore transport of animals in the upper water column.

Alternatively, these changes in the distribution of animals could be due to seasonal cycles of reproduction and population dynamics. The winter backscatter peak also appeared to agree with annual cycles of common micronekton in the California Current. *Euphausia pacifica*, the dominant krill species, spawn mostly in the spring, with adult abundance peaking in fall (Marinovic et al., 2002). Market squid (*Loligo opalescens*) are most abundant in Monterey Bay from April through July (Fields, 1965). *Sergestes similis* reproduce year-round with a springtime peak, and are most abundant over the continental slope during winter (Pearcy and Forss, 1969; Omori and Gluck, 1979). Myctophids in southern California were most abundant in winter (Paxton, 1967). With the exception of squid, these animals’ life-histories are consistent with the observed seasonal changes in backscatter, supporting our attribution of most of this acoustic energy to micronekton.

### 4.3. Sub-seasonal dynamics

#### 4.3.1. Backscatter and sea level

At sub-seasonal time scales, sea surface height had the strongest and most immediate correlation with acoustic backscatter. This correlation was present through the water column, and suggests that advection is the most important physical processes affecting the density of micronekton on time
scales of days to weeks.

Variation in coastal sea level is caused by several different processes, including atmospheric pressure, wind-driven upwelling, and coastally-trapped waves (Chelton and Enfield, 1986). Changes in atmospheric pressure force a static response in sea-surface height, which rises approximately 1 cm per 1 mbar drop in air pressure (the “inverted barometer” effect, Chelton and Enfield 1986). As described above, wind also affects sea level by pulling the surface layer away or pushing it towards the coast. Together, these two factors (measured at M1) explain 63% of the variability in our de-seasonalized sea level data.

Nearshore sea levels also vary with the passage of coastally trapped Kelvin waves, which propagate northward along the California coast (Enfield and Allen, 1980; Lyman and Johnson, 2008). Marinovic et al. (2002) found evidence that Kelvin waves moved southern zooplankton species into Monterey Bay during the 1997-1998 El Niño. Clarke and Dottori (2008) also found that aggregate zooplankton biomass in the southern California Current was correlated with sea level at San Diego with a two-month lag. They attributed this correlation to enhanced upwelling, primary production, and zooplankton population growth behind the waves, where sea level is lowest and the thermocline is shallowest.

In contrast to Clarke and Dottori’s (2008) results, the correlations observed in this study occurred at a scale of days to weeks, with no lag. The near-immediate response of micronekton to sea level change, the consistency of this response through the water column, and the days-to-weeks-scale correlation all suggest passive aggregation by fluid motion. This result aligns with...
the analysis in Urmy et al. (2012), which showed that the power spectrum of
the backscatter time series from DEIMOS was similar to that expected for
the velocity of a turbulent fluid.

A dramatic example of an immediate response occurred from 16-31 January
2010, when SSH and backscatter both spiked to their 18-month highs
within the same 3-day period (Figure 2, Figure 7). On January 18, 19, and
20, strong southeasterly winds caused onshore Ekman transport (Figure 7B).
Combined with low low atmospheric pressure (986.6 mbar, measured at M1)
this transport led to the highest sea level of the 18-month series, increasing
from 0.1 to 0.5 m above normal (Figure 7C). Shortly before the peak SSH on
21 January, depth-integrated backscatter began to rise, increasing four-fold
from its minimum on 20 January (Figure 7D). This increase was driven by an
abrupt thickening of the deep scattering layer centered around 400 m depth
(Figure 7A). SSH declined over the next two days, rising slightly again on
25-26 January with another, weaker, southeasterly wind event. Backscatter
fell on 24 January, then spiked again to its 18-month high ($0.46 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$)
on 25 January before declining back to its previous level ($1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$) over
the next five days.

Several mechanisms could be proposed to explain the correlation be-
tween SSH and backscatter. Onshore Ekman transport could collect zoo-
plankton and micronekton in the surface layers against the coast, but would
not extend to the mesopelagic zone, where sea level and micronekton were
also correlated. Downwelling resulting from onshore Ekman transport could
perhaps carry animals from the surface to deeper water, but Ekman up-
welling/downwelling velocities in the California Current are on the order of
Figure 7: Hourly wind, sea level, and backscatter from 16-30 January, 2010. A) Echogram, showing volume backscattering strength (color) as a function of depth (y-axis) and time (x-axis). B) Wind direction and alongshore wind stress, as in Figure 2. C) Low-pass filtered Monterey sea level. D) Depth-integrated backscatter, approximately proportional to water column biomass. Strong southeasterly winds from 18-20 January precede a rise in sea level and total backscatter the next day.
10 m d$^{-1}$ (Huyer, 1983; Münchow, 2000), and do not explain the sudden thickening of a biological layer centered at 400 m depth. Alongshore advection of a pre-existing aggregation is another possibility. Flagg et al. (1994), in a long-term acoustic Doppler current profiler deployment in the Mid-Atlantic Bight, observed similar abrupt (i.e. day-scale) increases in backscatter associated with reversals in alongshore currents.

Offshore, mesoscale eddies and jets can aggregate zooplankton (Huntley et al., 2000) and alter deep scattering layers (Kloser et al., 2009; Godø et al., 2012; Fennell and Rose, 2015). Both Godø et al. (2012) and Fennell and Rose (2015) found the DSL thickened under anticyclonic eddies, where the SSH is anomalously high and the isopycnals are deflected downwards. Anticyclonic eddies are associated with downwelling and low primary production, suggesting the thickened DSL is due to physical aggregation. Indeed, boundaries of the DSL appear to closely track the isopycnals, further suggesting passive transport (Godø et al., 2012).

Echograms of thickened DSLs under anticyclonic eddies (Godø et al., 2012; Fennell and Rose, 2015) appear qualitatively similar to Figure 7. While we did not measure hydrographic profiles to accompany our SSH data, isostatic balance requires a downward deflection in the isopycnals accompanying an upward deflection of the sea surface. If an anticyclonic eddy were to impinge on the shelf, it could potentially bring zooplankton and micronekton with it. A coastally-trapped Kelvin wave would have similar effects, since it it is, in a sense, a geostrophic eddy with a coastline running through its center (Gill and Clarke, 1974; Wang and Mooers, 1976).
4.3.2. Backscatter and upwelling

The response of micronekton to upwelling events was less pronounced than the response to sea level, but still measurable. At time lags less than one month following low SST, backscatter decreased in the mesopelagic and increased in the epipelagic, while decreasing slightly overall. This is consistent with upward animal movement, to avoid the shoaling of the OMZ, followed by transport offshore with the surface layer. An alternate possibility is that increased primary production near the surface shaded the water column below. Similar changes in downwelling irradiance can induce dramatic changes in the distribution of zooplankton (Frank and Widder, 2002).

The negative correlation between backscatter and fluorescence at lags less than 20 days is related to correlations of backscatter with sea level and temperature, since upwelling events that precede phytoplankton blooms are also associated with decreases in sea level and temperature. Decreased micronekton abundances following phytoplankton blooms are interpreted as a product of these physical processes, rather than a biological response to phytoplankton production. We cannot explain the positive correlation between backscatter and fluorescence below 600 m (Figure 6C) from 0-20 day lags. However, $S_v$ at these depths was 20-40 dB (i.e., 2-4 orders of magnitude) lower than in the main scattering layers, so the biological significance of these correlations is expected to be minor.

At time lags greater than 20 days, there appeared to be a depth-dependent response of micronekton to increased productivity at the surface. Positive correlations of backscatter with fluorescence peaked at the surface at approximately 20-day lags, and propagated downward to 300 m within another
20-30 days, translating to a speed of 10-15 m d\(^{-1}\). This rate is faster than sinking phytoplankton (up to 1.69 m d\(^{-1}\), Bienfang 1980), and slower than the fecal pellets of krill (126-862 m d\(^{-1}\), Fowler and Small 1972), midwater fish (1028 m d\(^{-1}\), Robison and Bailey 1981), or larvacean houses (800 m d\(^{-1}\), Robison et al. 2005). It is slower than sinking aggregates at the end of the North Atlantic spring bloom (75 m d\(^{-1}\), Briggs et al. 2011), but agrees fairly well with sinking rates of marine snow measured in Monterey Bay (16.29 to 25.46 m d\(^{-1}\) depending on particle size, Pilskaln et al. 1998).

The timing of these depth-dependent cross-correlations is suggestive of a near-surface pulse of secondary production in zooplankton following upwelling events, which then propagates down the water column as marine aggregates. Detritus and marine snow are weak scatterers, but not acoustically invisible. Sinking pulses of krill fecal pellets can be resolved at 200 kHz (Røstad and Kaartvedt, 2013), and it is possible that some aggregates contain gas bubbles, which would make them “visible” at 38 kHz (Opdal et al., 2008). Sinking aggregates can also support dense communities of zooplankton with benthic-like morphology and feeding behavior (Steinberg et al., 1994), which would also increase their acoustic cross-section. Alternatively, free-swimming animals could track pulses of sinking detritus down the water column, since these particles represent a valuable food resource in the deep ocean (McClain, 2010).

4.3.3. Strength of sub-seasonal coupling

While statistically significant relationships were detected between environmental and acoustic time series at sub-seasonal time scales, none of these relationships were particularly strong. The maximum correlation coef-
ficient (between sea level and backscatter) was only 0.31, and in the lagged-regression model, far more variance was explained by autocorrelation than by any of the explanatory variables. Several aspects of the study’s design may have masked physical-biological relationships. DEIMOS and M1 were separated by approximately 15 km, and even though physical measurements were correlated across this distance, the separation between the two sensors could have obscured relationships. Similarly, DEIMOS’s acoustic beam spanned only 115 m horizontally at its widest point, which might not be an appropriate scale to observe the biological-physical coupling (cf. Schneider and Piatt 1986).

The response of micronekton to upwelling and other environmental variability could simply be weak at sub-seasonal time scales. Population dynamics, somatic growth, and kinematics (i.e., passive or active aggregation of animals in productive areas) could all link environmental variability to changes in biomass and backscatter. While there is evidence that some micronekton cue reproduction on upwelling events (Dorman et al., 2005), the productivity-population relationship is not expected to be strong. Mid-trophic-level micronekton must wait for smaller zooplankton to increase their populations first. In effect, each successive trophic level acts as a low-pass filter, smoothing out variability at scales shorter than its generation time.

Somatic growth could also account for increases in backscatter. As an example, Moku et al. (2001) estimated growth rates for juvenile *Diaphus theta*, the most common myctophid in DSLs in the California Current (Barham, 1956; Kalish et al., 1986). Combined with a target-strength/length relationship from Yasuma et al. (2003), we can predict target strength (dB re. 1 m$^2$)
as a function of age in days (t) as \( TS = 11.83 \log_{10}(0.354 + 0.0129t) - 63.53 \).

This equation implies that cohort of juveniles could increase its scattering cross-section 36% in one month. On the other hand, some mesopelagic fishes have swim bladders that deflate or fill with wax esters as they reach adulthood, leading to decreases in target strength (Yasuma et al., 2010). In any case, somatic growth is not expected to respond quickly to individual pulses of food.

Aggregation through active swimming also seems unlikely. Though myctophids can swim up to 8 cm s\(^{-1}\), translating to 6.9 km d\(^{-1}\) (Kaartvedt et al., 2009), they have little reason to travel horizontally. Consider an average \emph{Diaphus theta} weighing 0.4 g (Sassa et al., 2002), which must eat 1-6% of its weight in food each day (Kosenok et al., 2006; Moku et al., 2000). If, during several hours of nighttime foraging at the surface, it captures a single medium-sized krill (10-40 mg for \emph{Euphausia pacifica}, Wilson et al. 2009), its energy needs will be met. Directed horizontal swimming is therefore probably not energetically favorable for vertically migrating micronekton. Indeed, most myctophids tracked in a deep fjord drifted passively at depth (Kaartvedt et al., 2009).

5. Conclusion

Our results illustrate a complex relationship between the variability of animal biomass density and variability in their environment. Biomass density at any location varies as animals swim, drift with currents, grow, interact with other species, and increase or decline in population. Quantifying the relative importance of these processes depends on the spatial and temporal
scales over which they occur, as well as measurement resolution (Horne and Schneider, 1994).

The seasonal cycle of backscatter was negatively correlated and almost exactly out of phase with that of upwelling. These changes were mostly due to a deep, non-migrating scattering layer centered near 500 m depth, which disappeared during the spring upwelling season and thickened to its maximum during the fall and winter oceanic period.

Correlations between oceanography and the distribution of animals were present at sub-seasonal time scales, though they were weaker than those observed at seasonal scales. During upwelling events, the overall abundance of animals decreased and moved upwards, consistent with vertical swimming to avoid the shoaling OMZ and movement offshore with the Ekman layer. Approximately three weeks after upwelling events, backscatter increased in the surface layer, suggesting reproduction of small or medium-sized zooplankton. The upwelling signal then appeared to propagate down the water column at rates similar to those measured for sinking marine aggregates. The strongest physical-biological correlations at short time scales appeared to be driven by passive aggregation of micronekton by fluid motion.

Variability in animal density influenced by physical processes is distributed across a wide range of temporal scales. High-resolution, temporally-indexed observations of animal density allow variability in animal densities to be measured and compared to other biological and physical processes at temporal scales not possible when sampled using mobile platforms. Ultimately, stationary acoustic instruments could be used to augment fisheries and ecosystem assessments by adding independent, temporal indices of population abun-
dance, flux, and variance.

Analyses used here were correlative and linear simplifications of a complex, dynamic pelagic ecosystem. More realistic dynamic models for the changing distribution of animals, explicitly based on physics and biology, would ultimately be more appropriate and informative. While we currently lack the detailed knowledge necessary to build such models, acoustic observing systems like DEIMOS can identify patterns in the underwater “landscape” and highlight complementary measurements necessary to interpret the acoustic records. In the present work, we have attempted to start this process.
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