Histone H1 limits DNA methylation in *Neurospora crassa*
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ABSTRACT Histone H1 variants, known as linker histones, are essential chromatin components in higher eukaryotes, yet compared to the core histones relatively little is known about their in vivo functions. The filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa* encodes a single H1 protein that is not essential for viability. To investigate the role of *N. crassa* H1, we constructed a functional FLAG-tagged H1 fusion protein and performed genomic and molecular analyses. Cell fractionation experiments showed that H1-FLAG is a chromatin binding protein. Chromatin-immunoprecipitation combined with sequencing (ChIP-seq) revealed that H1-3XFLAG is globally enriched throughout the genome with a subtle preference for promoters of expressed genes. In mammals, the stochiometry of H1 impacts nucleosome repeat length. To determine if H1 impacts nucleosome occupancy or nucleosome positioning in *N. crassa*, we performed Micrococcal nuclease digestion in wildtype and the ∆hH1 strain followed by sequencing (MNase-seq). Deletion of hH1 did not significantly impact nucleosome positioning or nucleosome occupancy. Analysis of DNA methylation by whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (MethylC-seq) revealed a modest but global increase in DNA methylation in the ∆hH1 mutant.

Together, these data suggest that H1 acts as a non-specific chromatin binding protein that can limit accessibility of the DNA methylation machinery in *N. crassa*.
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INTRODUCTION

In eukaryotes, packaging of genomic DNA into chromatin is essential for genome function. The most basic unit of the chromatin fiber is the nucleosome core particle (NCP), made up of ∼146 bp of DNA wrapped around a core of histones H3, H4, H2A and H2B (Kornberg and Thomas 1974; Olins and Olins 1974; Luger et al. 1997). In addition to the core histones many organisms encode one or more H1 proteins, also known as linker histones. H1 proteins are evolutionarily unrelated to the core histones and are characterized by a central winged helix domain, or globular domain, flanked by unstructured N- and C-termini (Cerf et al. 1993; Ramakrishnan et al. 1993; Kasinsky et al. 2001). Early studies showed that animal H1 proteins bind outside of the NCP (Baldwin et al. 1975; Shaw et al. 1976) and can protect an additional 20bp of DNA from nuclease digestion (Whitlock and Simpson 1976; Noll and Kornberg 1977). Subsequent studies revealed that H1 binds near the NCP dyad axis and can interact with DNA as it enters and exits the NCP (recently reviewed in Bednar et al. 2015). Although the interactions between H1 and the NCP have been extensively investigated, H1’s roles in the cell remain poorly understood.

In vivo studies of mammalian H1 are complicated by the existence of 11 H1 variants that appear to be partially redundant (Pan and Fan 2015). Deletion of single H1 variants failed to produce significant phenotypes in mice (Fan et al. 2001), but mice lacking three H1 variants are inviable (Fan et al. 2003) and triple-knockout embryonic stem cells (ESCs) are unable to differentiate (Zhang et al. 2012a). These and other data suggest that animal H1 variants cooperate to perform critical functions, influencing gene regulation (Fan et al. 2003b; Li et al. 2012b; Zhang et al. 2012b), establishment and/or maintenance of chromatin modification patterns (Li et al. 2012b; Zhang et al. 2012a; Yang et al. 2013; Lu et al. 2013) and formation of higher order chromatin structures (Fan et al. 2005b; Geeven et al. 2015).
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Less is known about the functions of H1 in other groups of organisms, but genetic studies have been carried out in a handful of microbial model systems. H1 is not essential for viability in the single-celled Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Patterson et al. 1998) or Tetrahymena thermophila (Shen et al. 1995). Similarly, H1-deficient mutants are viable in several filamentous fungi including Neurospora crassa (Folco et al. 2003), Aspergillus nidulans (Ramón et al. 2000), and Ascomobus immersus (Barra et al. 2000). The yeast H1 homolog Hho1p suppresses homologous recombination (Downs et al. 2003; Li et al. 2008), impacts ribosomal RNA processing (Levy et al. 2008), and influences chromatin compaction during stationary phase (Schäfer et al. 2008). In T. thermophila, H1 is required for normal chromatin compaction in macronuclei and influences expression of a small number of genes (Shen et al. 1995; SHEN and GOROVSKY 1996). It is important to note that both yeast Hho1p and T. thermophila H1 have atypical protein structures. The yeast protein contains two globular domains, whereas the T. thermophila protein lacks a globular domain altogether. Thus, it is not clear if these proteins are functionally analogous to H1 in other organisms.

The filamentous fungi N. crassa, A. nidulans, and A. immersus encode H1 proteins with a canonical tripartite structure, raising the possibility that these genetic systems can be used to gain insights into H1 function in plants and animals. In N. crassa, an hH1-deficient strain displayed reduced growth and H1 was required for repression of the cfp gene in the presence of ethanol (Folco et al. 2003). In A. immersus, H1 gene silencing led to increased nucleosome accessibility and increased DNA methylation (Barra et al. 2000). In contrast, deletion of hhoA in A. nidulans failed to produce a phenotype (Ramón et al. 2000). In general, the functions of H1 in filamentous fungi remain poorly understood. Moreover, it is not clear if H1 plays similar roles in fungal and animal cells. In the present study, we utilized molecular and genomic approaches to investigate the functions of H1 in the model fungus N. crassa. We confirmed that N. crassa H1 is a chromatin component in vivo, and we found that H1 is not a major determinant of nucleosome positioning, nucleosome repeat length, or nucleosome occupancy. We report that an H1 fusion protein exhibits enhanced enrichment at nucleosome-free regions in a ChIP-seq assay and that loss of H1 causes a global increase in DNA methylation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains, Growth Media, and Molecular Analyses**

All Neurospora strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary File 1. Knockout strains of hH1 were generated by the Neurospora gene knockout consortium (Colot et al. 2006) and obtained from the Fungal Genetics Stock Center (McCluskey et al. 2010). Neurospora cultures were grown at 32°C in Vogel’s minimal medium (VMM) + 1.5% sucrose (Davis et al. 1970). Crosses were performed on modified synthetic cross medium at 25°C (Davis et al. 1970). For plating assays, Neurospora conidia were plated on VMM with 2.0% sorbose, 0.5% fructose, and 0.5% glucose. When relevant, plates included 200 µg/mL hygromycin or 400 µg/mL basta (Pall 1993). Neurospora transformation (Margolin et al. 1997), DNA isolation (Pomraining et al. 2009), protein isolation and Western blotting (Honda and Selker 2008) were performed as previously described. 3X-FLAG knock-in constructs were made by introduction of linear DNA fragments constructed by overlapping PCR using described plasmid vectors (Honda and Selker 2009) and N. crassass fragments generated using the following primers: H1 CDS FP 5’T- GAG TTC CAC GGT ATE GAT AAG CTT AT ATE CAC CGA CAA CAT GTT CGA CTC - 3’T; H1 CDS RP 5’T- CCT CCG CCT CCG CCG CCT CCG CCT GCC TTC TCG GCA GCG GCC TC - 3’T; H1 UTR FP 5’T- TGC TAT ACG AAG TTA TCG ATC CGA GCT CGA CTC GTT CCT TTT GGA TGA T - 3’T; H1 UTR RP 5’T- ACC GCG GTG GCG GCC GCT CTA GAA CTA GTT CAT CAA ACC AAA TTC TCG G - 3’T. To separate soluble nuclear proteins from the chromatin fraction, cultures were grown overnight and cells were collected, ground in liquid nitrogen, and resuspended in 1 mL of low salt extraction buffer (50mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, plus protease inhibitor tablets (cat # 11836153001; Roche, Indianapolis, IN)). Extracts were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm and the supernatant containing soluble proteins was saved. The pellet was resuspended in 1mL of high salt extraction buffer (50mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 600mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, plus protease inhibitor tablets (cat # 11836153001; Roche, Indianapolis, IN)) and subjected to sonication. Extracts were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm in a microfuge and the supernatant was saved as the chromatin fraction. Both fractions were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-FLAG antibodies (cat # F1804; Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-H3 antibodies (cat # 06-755 Millipore).

**DNA Sequencing and Data Analysis**

ChIP-seq For chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments, 5 x10⁶ conidia/ml were inoculated into 50 mL of VMM and incubated at 32°C for 5 hours. Cells were harvested and ChIP was performed as previously described (Sasaki et al. 2014) using anti-FLAG antibodies (cat # F1804; Sigma-Aldrich) or antibodies to the unphosphorylated C-terminal repeat of RNA polymerase II (8WG16; cat# MMS-126R; Covance). Two biological replicates were performed for each experiment. Libraries were prepared using the TrueSeq ChIP sample prep kit (Illumina, cat # IP-202-1012) according to manufacture instructions with the following modification. Library amplification was performed using only 4 cycles of PCR to reduce biased enrichment of GC-rich DNA (Ji et al. 2014). Libraries were sequenced at the University of Georgia Genomics Facility on an Illumina NexSeq500 instrument. Reads were aligned to version 12 of the N. crassa genome (Refseq Accession # GCF_000182925.2; Galagan et al. (2003)) using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignner (BWA version 0.7.10) (Li and Durbin 2009). To determine if H1-FLAG was enriched over background, coverage was normalized to mitochondrial DNA as follows. We used BEDtools (version 2.25.0) ‘coverage’ to calculate read coverage for 1000bp windows across the genome (Quinlan and Hall 2010). We then used BEDtools ‘map’ to calculate the median coverage for mitochondrial DNA. The coverage for each 1000 base pair window was then divided by the median coverage for mitochondrial DNA. As a positive control, data from a previously published ChIP experiment for methylated lysine-9 of H3 was analyzed (Accession #SRX550120; Sasaki et al. 2014).

The Hypergeometric Optimization of Motif EnRichment (HOMER version 4.7.2) (Heinz et al. 2010) software package (annotatePeaks.pl module) was used to generate metaplots and heatmaps of enrichment data (using the -hist and -ghist option, respectively). We first created a custom HOMER genome annotation for Neurospora using a fasta file and a GTF file (Supplementary File 2) containing the version 12 genome assemblies and annotations, respectively (Galagan et al. 2003). All plots were centered on transcriptional start sites or transcriptional termination sites and a window size of 10 bp was specified for all histograms (-hist 10). HOMER was also used to construct metaplots of expression-ranked gene groups using the -list option.

**MNase digestion** For Micrococcal nuclease (MNase) experiments, 5 x10⁶ conidia/mL were inoculated into 50 mL of VMM and incubated at 32°C for 5 hours. The cell suspension was transferred...
to a 50mL conical and centrifuged at 1000 x g for five minutes to pellet germinated conidia. Cell pellets were washed with 10mL Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) (Sambrook et al. 1989) and then resuspended in 10mL of PBS containing 1% formaldehyde. The cell suspension was transferred to a 125mL flask and incubated with gentle shaking for 30 minutes at room temperature before the crosslinking agent was quenched by addition of 500μL 2.5M Glycine. The cell suspension was transferred to a 50mL conical tube and germinating conidia were pelleted by centrifugation for 5 minutes at 1000 x g. Cells were washed once in 40mL of PBS and resuspended in 1mL of ice-cold PBS. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 5 minutes at 5000 x g and each cell pellet was resuspended in NPS buffer with Calcium Chloride (50mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 140mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl2, 1mM CaCl2, 1% Triton-X 100, 0.1% Deoxycholate, 0.5mM Spermidine, 1mM PMSE plus Roche EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets [catalog #05892791001]). Cells were then lysed by gentle sonication using a Branson sonicator with micro tip (Output 2.0, Duty Cycle 80%; 30 1-second pulses). The chromatin fraction was pelleted by centrifugation for 5 minutes at 14,000 x g. The supernatant was discarded and each pellet was resuspended in 1mL of NPS buffer and transferred to a 15mL conical tube. NPS buffer with Calcium Chloride was added to raise the volume to 6mL and the chromatin sample was mixed by pipetting. 700 μL aliquots were transferred to 1.5 mL tubes and 2 units of Micrococcal nuclease (cat #2910A; Clonetech) were added to each tube. Individual samples were incubated at 37° for 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 90, or 120 minutes. MNase digestions were stopped by addition of 15μL 0.5M EDTA and 25 μL 4M NaCl and samples were incubated overnight at 65° to reverse cross-links. 6μL RNase A (10mg/ml; Fisher Scientific, cat # BP2529250) was added and samples were incubated for 2 hours at 50°. 6μL of 10% SDS and 10μL Proteinase K (10mg/ml; Fisher Scientific, cat # BP1700) was added and samples were incubated for 2 hours at 65°. The digested DNA was isolated by phenol-chloroform extraction and precipitated overnight at -20° in ethanol and sodium acetate (Sambrook et al. 1989). Digested DNA was resolved by gel electrophoresis to confirm that digestion was successful.

**MNase-seq** We constructed sequencing libraries from mononucleosomes generated by 20 or 60 minute MNase digestion. We first performed a gel extraction (Qiagen, cat #28706) of mononucleosomal DNA (~150bp) and constructed libraries using an Illumina TruSeq Sample preparation kit (Illumina) according to manufacture instructions. 50bp paired-end sequencing reads were generated on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument at the Oregon State University genomics core facility. Due to a technical problem during the sequencing run, only 44 bp of sequence were obtained for the read 2 sequence. Sequences were mapped to the *N. crassa* genome sequence using bowtie2 (version 2.2.3) (Langmead and Salzberg 2012). To analyze the size distributions in wildtype and the *hH1* strain, the Picard software package (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard) was used to remove duplicate reads and determine insert size metrics (using 'CollectInsertSizeMetrics'). HOMER was used to create metaplots of MNase data as described above. In all cases, a window size of 10bp was used (-hist 10). Metaplots depict only plus strand reads (using the ‘-strand +’ option) and thus peaks indicate the left edge of nucleosomes.

**RNA-seq** For RNA-seq experiments, 5x10⁶ conidia/mL were inoculated into 50mL of VMM containing 2% glucose and grown for 5 hours at 32°. RNA isolation was performed as described (Bell-pedersen et al. 1996; Schwerdtfeger and Linden 2001) and strand-specific RNA-seq libraries were prepared from 5 μg total RNA. Ribosomal RNAs were depleted using the yeast Ribo-zero kit (cat # MRZY1324 Epicentre) and RNA libraries were generated with the Illumina Stranded RNA-seq kit (cat # RS-122-2101). Reads were aligned to version 12 of the *N. crassa* genome sequence using TopHat (Trapnell et al. 2009) and expression levels (FPKM) were determined using Cufflinks (Trapnell et al. 2012).

**MethylC-seq** For DNA methylation analysis, conidia were inoculated into 5 mL of VMM and cultures were grown for 48 hours. Genomic DNA was isolated using described procedures (Pommring et al. 2009). MethylC-seq libraries were prepared as previously described (Urich et al. 2015). Illumina sequencing was performed at the University of Georgia Genomics Facility using an Illumina NextSeq 500 instrument. Sequencing reads were trimmed for adapters, preprocessed to remove low quality reads and aligned to the *N. crassa* version 12 genome assembly (Galagan et al. 2003) as described in Schmitz et al. (2013). Mitochondria sequence (which is fully unmethylated) was used as a control to calculate the sodium bisulfite reaction non-conversion rate of unmodified cytosines. Only cytosine sites with a minimum coverage (set as 3) were allowed for subsequent analysis. Binomial test coupled with Benjamini-Hochberg correction was adopted to determine the methylation status of each cytosine. Identification of DMRs (Differentially Methylated Regions) was performed as described (Schultz et al. 2015). Methylated regions in wild type were defined previously (Basenko et al. 2016). For metaplots, both upstream and downstream regions were divided into 20 bins each of 50bp in length for a total 1kb in each direction. Methylated regions were separated every 5%, for a total of 20 bins. Weighted methylation levels were computed for each bin as described previously (Schultz et al. 2012).

**Data Availability**

All strains are listed in Supplementary File 1 and available upon request. All sequencing data have been deposited into the NCBI SRA/GEO databases. ChiP-seq, MNase-Seq, and RNA-seq data generated during this study have been deposited under accession #GSE78157 (reviewer link: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=uvqzukkwhtgxfof&acc=GSE78157). Control data from a previously published ChiP-seq experiment for methylation of *H3* lysine-9 was deposited under accession #SRX550120 (Sasaki et al. 2014). MethylC-seq data are deposited under accession #GSE76982 (this study; reviewer link: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=edunyawudnqntwr&acc=GSE76982) and #GSE70518 (Basenko et al. 2016).

**RESULTS**

**Construction of an H1-3XFLAG fusion protein**

To investigate the role of H1 in *N. crassa* cells, we constructed an epitope-tagged version of the protein by introducing coding sequence for a 3X-FLAG tag at the 3’ end of the native *hH1* locus (Figure 1A). Primary transformants were crossed to obtain a homokaryon that was analyzed further. To confirm that the H1-3XFLAG fusion protein is functional, we first compared the growth rate of the *hH1::hH1-3xflag-hph* strain to wildtype and to an *hH1* deletion strain obtained from the Neurospora gene knockout consortium (Colot et al. 2006). The *ΔhH1* strain displayed a reduced growth rate, as reported previously for an *H1* loss-of-function allele generated by repeat-induced point mutation (Folco et al. 2003). The *hH1::hH1-3xflag-hph* grew similar to wildtype (Figure 1B and...
Figure 1 H1-3XFLAG is functional and binds to chromatin. (A) A cartoon illustrating the strategy for introducing a 3x-flag sequence into the 3’ end of the native hH1 locus by homologous recombination. (B) The linear growth rate of the indicated strains was measured using ‘race tubes’ for three replicates of each strain. The direction of growth is left to right. (C) Quantification of the linear growth rate data from panel B as distance (y-axis; cm) versus time (x-axis; hours). (D) The soluble (Sol) and the chromatin fractions (Chr) were isolated from wildtype and the hH1−3xflag strain and both fractions were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-FLAG and anti-H3 antibodies, as indicated. MW indicates the positions and sizes in kiloDaltons of a prestained protein ladder.

C). We also asked if the H1-3XFLAG protein associates with chromatin. We isolated soluble and chromatin-containing fractions (see Materials and Methods) and performed Western blot analyses using anti-FLAG and anti-H3 antibodies. Western blot probed with an anti-FLAG antibody revealed a single band. The apparent molecular weight was larger than expected based on amino acid sequence prediction, but the apparent size was consistent with previous analysis of N. crassa purified by extraction with perchloric acid (Folco et al. 2003). We detected H1-3XFLAG in both soluble and chromatin fractions with higher levels of H1-3XFLAG observed in the chromatin fraction (Figure 1D). As expected, H3 was exclusively detected in the chromatin fraction. Together, these data demonstrate that the H1-3XFLAG construct is functional and that N. crassa H1 is a component of chromatin.

H1 is moderately enriched in promoters and depleted from coding sequences of actively expressed genes

To determine the genome wide distribution of H1-3XFLAG, we performed chromatin-immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq). Inspection of the H1-3XFLAG enrichment data in a genome browser revealed a relatively uniform distribution for all seven chromosomes. Given that high levels of H1-3XFLAG were detected in the chromatin fraction by Western blotting, we reasoned that the uniform enrichment pattern observed for H1-3XFLAG might reflect global binding of H1 across the genome. To determine if this was the case, we normalized read counts obtained for each 1000 base pair window in the nuclear genome to the median read count obtained for all 1000 base pair windows covering the mitochondrial genome. This allowed us to calculate enrichment over background because mitochondrial DNA should not be enriched by immunoprecipitation of a nuclear protein. Data for all 1000 base pair windows on Linkage Group VII demonstrate that H1 is a general chromatin architectural protein in N. crassa (Figure 2). ChIP-seq experiments using anti-FLAG antibodies led to global enrichment of chromosomal DNA from the hH1-3xflag
strain but not from a wildtype negative control strain. As a positive control, we normalized read counts obtained in a previously published ChIP-seq experiment performed with antibodies to H3 methylated at lysine-9 (Sasaki et al. 2014). This confirmed that normalization to mitochondrial DNA is an effective method for quantifying enrichment over background.

We did not detect prominent peaks that are typical of transcription factors or previously analyzed histone modifications such as H3K4me2 or H3K9me3 (Lewis et al. 2009). However, we did detect a subtle enrichment of H1 in the promoters of many genes along with a corresponding depletion of H1-3XFLAG within many coding sequences. To determine if this pattern occurred broadly across the *N. crassa* chromatin landscape, we created metaplots to analyze the average H1 distribution across the transcriptional start sites (TSS) or transcriptional termination sites (TTS) of all *N. crassa* genes (Figure 3A).

On average, H1-3XFLAG was enriched upstream of the TSS and was depleted from gene bodies. Similar enrichment patterns were observed for both biological replicates, but not in a negative control experiment in which we used anti-FLAG antibodies to perform ChIP-seq in a wildtype strain (no FLAG-tagged protein). We next asked if H1-3XFLAG enrichment was correlated with the level of transcription. We binned Neurospora genes into five groups based on expression level and constructed metaplots to visualize the average H1-3XFLAG distribution pattern for each group. The level of enrichment in gene promoters was positively correlated with the level of transcription (Figure 3B). Similarly, depletion of H1-FLAG from coding regions was correlated with the level of transcription. To explore this further, we performed ChIP-seq for RNA polymerase II and constructed heatmaps of H1-FLAG and RNA polymerase II occupancy across all Neurospora genes ordered by expression level (Figure 3C,D). These data further support the idea that H1-3XFLAG enrichment is highest in the promoters and lowest in the coding sequences of highly expressed genes.

**H1 is not a major determinant of nucleosome positioning in *N. crassa***

Given that H1-3XFLAG displayed a subtle preference for promoters of actively expressed genes, we hypothesized that H1 may impact chromatin structure at promoters. Like many eukaryotes, *N. crassa* promoters are characterized by nucleosome free regions (NFRs) upstream of the transcription start site (TSS) followed by well positioned +1 nucleosomes (Sancar et al. 2015). To determine

---

**Table 1 Paired-end read insert size**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Digest Time</th>
<th>Mean Insert Size ± standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wildtype replicate 1</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>139.9 ± 23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildtype replicate 2</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>144.7 ± 25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔhH1 replicate 1</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>144.1 ± 22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔhH1 replicate 2</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>139.6 ± 22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildtype replicate 1</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>127.8 ± 33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildtype replicate 2</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>126.5 ± 28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔhH1 replicate 1</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>129.8 ± 33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔhH1 replicate 2</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>125.6 ± 24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 H1-3XFLAG is depleted from gene bodies and modestly enriched in promoters of expressed genes. (A) Metaplots depict the average ChIP-seq enrichment pattern across all *N. crassa* genes for two replicate FLAG ChIP-seq experiments performed with the *hh1-3xflag-hph* strain and a wildtype negative control strain (no FLAG-tagged protein). Metaplots are centered on the transcriptional start (TSS; left) or the transcriptional termination site (TTS; right). (B) All *N. crassa* genes were ranked by expression level and split into quintile groups. Quintile 1 (Q1) corresponds to genes with the highest expression level, whereas Q5 corresponds to genes with the lowest expression level. The metaplot depicts the H1-3XFLAG enrichment pattern for each expression group across the TSS or TTS. (C) Heatmaps show the distribution of H1-3XFLAG across all *N. crassa* genes centered on the TSS (left) or TTS (right). Genes are ordered by expression level from highest to lowest. (D) Heatmaps show the distribution of RNA polymerase II across all *N. crassa* genes centered on the TSS (left) or TTS (right). Genes are ordered as in C. Data in B-D are from replicate one.
We next performed gel extractions to isolate the DNA band corresponding to mononucleosomes from samples total for each strain and paired-end sequencing was performed. We observed no differences in the average length of the mononucleosomal fragments in wildtype and ΔhH1 (Table 1). As expected, longer digestion times produced shorter DNA fragments (compare 20 minute to 60 minute digestion times).

We next asked if H1 impacted the occupancy and/or positioning of nucleosomes in promoters or gene coding sequences. We created metaplots to analyze the average nucleosome distribution across all N. crassa genes (Figure 4C). In this case, only plus strand reads were plotted to generate peaks corresponding to the edge of each nucleosome. The average gene profile revealed a characteristic nucleosome free region upstream of the TSS, as reported previously for N. crassa (Sancar et al. 2015). The average nucleosome position profiles were similar in wildtype and ΔhH1. Because H1 was most enriched in the promoters of highly expressed genes, we next plotted average MNase-seq enrichment profiles for genes grouped by expression level. Although we detected a subtle increase in the size of the NFR from the most expressed genes in the two 60 minute digestion samples, this difference was not ap-

---

**Figure 4** H1 is not a major determinant of nucleosome size or positioning in Neurospora. (A) Wildtype or (B) ΔhH1 nuclei were isolated and treated with Micrococcal nuclease (MNase) for the indicated times (minutes). DNA was purified, resolved on an agarose gel, and visualized by staining with Ethidium Bromide. Wildtype and ΔhH1 display similar digestion kinetics. (C) Metaplots depict the average sequencing depth on the + strand across all N. crassa genes obtained by sequencing mononucleosomal DNA from a 60 minute MNase digest. Plots show data from two biological replicates each for wildtype and ΔhH1. (D - H) All N. crassa genes were ranked by expression level and split into quintile groups, ranging from highest expression (Quintile 1) to lowest expression (Quintile 5). Metaplots depict the average sequencing coverage on the + strand for each group. Plots show data from two biological replicates each for wildtype and ΔhH1. The legend in the top right panel corresponds to plots (C - H). For all plots, the coverage of + strand reads from an H1-3XFLAG ChIP-seq experiment is shown as a dashed line.
parent in the samples subjected to a 20 minute MNase digestion (Figure S1). The difference was also not apparent in a third independent replicate subjected to single-end Illumina sequencing (Figure S1). Thus, we conclude that H1 is not a major determinant of nucleosome positioning or occupancy in Neurospora. Because it appeared that enrichment of H1-3XFLAG was inversely correlated with nucleosome occupancy, we plotted plus strand reads from replicate one of the H1-3XFLAG ChiP-seq experiment described above to allow direct comparison of nucleosome occupancy and H1-3XFLAG enrichment (Figure 4C - H). This confirmed that the highest levels of H1 enrichment correspond to sites with the lowest nucleosome occupancy, raising the possibility that H1-3XFLAG binds to DNA that is free of nucleosomes as well as to nucleosomal DNA in *N. crassa*.

**ΔH1** exhibits increased DNA methylation in *N. crassa* heterochromatin domains

H1 impacts DNA methylation levels in animals (Fan et al. 2005a; Zhang et al. 2012a), plants (Wierzbicki and Jerzmanowski 2005; Zemach et al. 2013; Rea et al. 2012), and the fungus *Ascomobius immersus* (Barra et al. 2000). It was previously reported that H1 did not impact DNA methylation in *N. crassa* (Folco et al. 2003); however, Folco and colleagues analyzed only a single methylated region. It remained possible that H1 impacts DNA methylation in a region-specific manner or alternatively, that H1 has subtle impact on DNA methylation that may have been overlooked. We performed MethylC-seq to analyze DNA methylation across the genome in *N. crassa* (Mayor et al. 2015), suggesting that these H1 variants may play distinct localization compared to other histones and is not excluded from promoters like the other somatic H1 variants (Izzo et al. 2013). Similarly, the more divergent H1.X variant was recently found to exhibit H1.1 distinct localization compared to other histones and is not excluded from promoters like the other somatic H1 variants (Izzo et al. 2013). Additionally, work to define the specific roles of linker histones in fungal and animals systems is needed to determine their specific functions at open chromatin.

Higher methylation levels can result when individual cytosines are methylated at a higher frequency in a population of nuclei or when new cytosines become methylated (or a combination of both). To determine which of these possibilities was the case in H1-deficient strains, we determined the number of methylated cytosines in wildtype and ΔhH1 using a binomial test in combination with multiple testing correction (see Materials and Methods). The number of methylated cytosines increased by ~25% in the ΔH1 strain. We next compared methylation frequency at cytosines that were scored as methylated in both strains. This revealed that shared sites are methylated at higher frequency in nuclei from the ΔhH1 strain. Thus, loss of H1 leads to a subtle, but global increase in DNA methylation at relics of repeat-induced point mutation.

**DISCUSSION**

We applied genomic and molecular methods to investigate H1 in the model fungus *N. crassa*. We first confirmed that Neurospora H1 is a chromatin component by constructing a functional epitope-tagged H1 fusion protein and analyzing its localization in cell fractionation and ChiP-seq experiments. This revealed that H1 is globally enriched throughout the genome, occupying both heterochromatic and euchromatic regions. Surprisingly, we observed the highest enrichment of H1-3XFLAG in promoters of expressed genes. Although the overall amplitude of enrichment was low, preferential enrichment of H1-3XFLAG was clearly correlated with expression level. These results may indicate that H1 plays different roles in fungi and animals. In mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs), H1c and H1d are depleted from strong promoters and enhancers (Cao et al. 2013). It should be noted that H1 protein levels are significantly reduced in ESCs compared to differentiated cells (Fan et al. 2003), which might explain why H1c and H1d are not detected at ESC promoters. This seems unlikely, however, as ChiP-seq analysis of H1b from ESCs and from differentiated cells revealed that this protein was similarly enriched at repressed genes and depleted from active promoters (Li et al. 2012a). On the other hand, given that mammals encode multiple H1 variants it is possible that certain H1 variants will bind preferentially to nucleosome-depleted promoter regions, similar to the case for Neurospora H1. Indeed, analysis of H1 variants by DamID showed that H1.1 exhibits a distinct localization compared to other histones and is not excluded from promoters like the other somatic H1 variants (Izzo et al. 2013). One possibility is that *N. crassa* H1 functions more like animal HMG (High Mobility group) proteins. The chromatin architectural protein HMGD1 is enriched in active chromatin, for example (Nalabothula et al. 2014), similar to the results obtained for H1-3XFLAG here. It is important to note that we can not rule out the possibility that the subtle promoter enrichment observed for H1-FLAG is an experimental artifact. This could be the case if the basic H1 protein interacts non-specifically with DNA sequences originating from open chromatin during the ChiP procedure. It was shown that certain highly expressed loci in yeast are prone to artifactual enrichment in ChiP-chip experiments for reasons that are not understood (Teytelman et al. 2013). However, we do not think this is the case here because the non-specific enrichment patterns observed in yeast are qualitatively different than the enrichment patterns we observe for H1-3XFLAG. Thus, it is likely that the patterns observed here reflect the in vivo occupancy of H1. It will be interesting to determine if promoter regions interact with a sub-population of H1 in which specific residues are post-translationally modified. It will also be interesting to determine
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**Figure S1**
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Figure 5 Increased DNA methylation is observed at most N. crassa heterochromatin domains in H1-deficient strains. (A) The DNA methylation level (weighted DNA methylation level (%); see Materials and Methods) is shown for 10 Kb windows across N. crassa Linkage Group VII for wildtype, the ΔhH1 mutant, and the Δdim-2 strain, which lacks all DNA methylation. (B-D) The metaplots show the average DNA methylation level across all previously identified wildtype methylated domains: (B) the wildtype mat A strain and the ΔhH1 strain; (C) the wildtype mat a strain and the ΔhH1 strain; and (D) the wildtype mat A strain and the hH1RIP2 strain. Data for at least two independent biological replicates of each strain are shown. (E) More cytosines are methylated in ΔhH1. The plot shows the total number of methylated cytosines (see Materials and Methods) identified in each wildtype and ΔhH1 replicate. (F) The level of methylation at individual cytosines is higher in ΔhH1. The percentage of total shared methylated sites (y-axis) versus the level of methylation at individual cytosines (x-axis) is shown.

if depletion of H1 from coding sequences of expressed genes depends on post-translational modification. This seems likely given that H1 proteins in plants and animals are extensively modified, much like the core histones (Harshman et al. 2013; Bednar et al. 2015; Annalisa and Robert 2015; Koltinski et al. 2016). Moreover, it was shown that phosphorylation of H1 was linked to transcription by RNA polymerase I and II in humans (Zheng et al. 2010). An important goal for future studies will be to determine if N. crassa H1 is post-translationally modified and to determine if different forms of H1 exhibit distinct localization and/or distinct functions.

We found here that deletion of hH1 from N. crassa did not substantially alter global MNase accessibility or nucleosome positioning. Moreover, H1 did not impact the size of protected DNA fragments produced by MNase treatment or the distance between adjacent nucleosomes in genes. These results point to clear differences in how H1 interacts with chromatin in N. crassa and in animals. Indeed, H1 depletion caused increased MNase accessibility, altered nucleosome spacing lengths, and reduced chromatin compaction in H1 triple-knockout ESCs (Fan et al. 2005b). Our results could indicate that N. crassa H1 does not bind to the linker...
DNA and the dyad axis of the NCP as demonstrated for animal H1 (Bednar et al. 2015). Another possibility is that N. crassa H1 is more dynamic than H1 in higher eukaryotes. FRAP studies revealed that mammalian H1 variants exist in high-mobility and low-mobility pools and that the half-life of fluorescence recovery after H1-GFP bleaching was significantly shorter than for the core histones (Mistelli et al. 2000; Lever et al. 2000). Interactions between H1 and the NCP may be even more transient in N. crassa such that H1 does not interfere with MNase digestion even though it interacts with the same region of the nucleosome protected by animal H1.

We found increased DNA methylation in H1-deficient cells of N. crassa. H1 effects DNA methylation in both A. thaliana and animal cells, but the relationship between H1 and DNA methylation is different in these systems. In animals, H1 variants promote repressive modifications, including DNA methylation in mammals (Yang et al. 2013) and H3K9me2 in both mammals and Drosophila (Li et al. 2012a; Lu et al. 2013). The observation that H1-deficient cells exhibit hypermethylation demonstrates that N. crassa H1 is not required to promote DNA methylation or H3K9 methylation, which directs DNA methylation in Neurospora (Tamaru and Selker 2001). Similar hypermethylation was reported in A. immersus (Barra et al. 2000). Moreover, the DNA methylation phenotypes of N. crassa and A. immersus are reminiscent of A. thaliana H1 depletion lines, where a global increase in DNA methylation was observed in heterochromatin domains along with loss of DNA methylation in euchromatic transposition sequences (Wierzbicki and Jerzmanowski 2005; Zemach et al. 2013). These results indicate that H1 can limit DNA methylation in plants and fungi. Indeed, depletion of A. thaliana H1 rescued the reduced DNA methylation phenotype of ddm1 plants, leading to the conclusion that DDM1 promotes DNA methylation by removal of H1. This may indicate that H1 evolved a new function to promote heterochromatic modifications in the animal lineage.

It is possible that N. crassa H1 limits access of the DNA methyltransferase DIM-2 or the H3K9 MTase DIM-3kMT1. A recent study showed that binding of H1 to the NCP limited the dynamics and modifiability of the H3 tail in vitro (Stützer et al. 2016), consistent with this possibility. In addition, increased accessibility of the DIM-2 DNA methyltransferase was linked to hypermethylation in the N. crassa histone deacetylase-1-deficient strain (Honda et al. 2012). On the other hand, in A. thaliana H1 was required for imprinting of the MEDEA locus, which involves active removal of methyl cytosine bases by DNA glycosylases (Rea et al. 2012). A mechanism for DNA demethylation has not been described in N. crassa, but it is possible that H1 promotes removal of methylated cytosines in heterochromatin domains. Additional studies are needed to understand exactly how H1 impacts DNA methylation levels in N. crassa. Overall, this work adds to the diverse set of phenotypes that have been reported following depletion of H1 in plants, animals, and fungi. Future work to investigate H1 in fungal systems is likely to yield new insights into the evolution and the functions of this important group of proteins.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We would like to thank Cameron Prybol for technical contributions to the project. This work was funded by a grant from the American Cancer Society to ZAL (RSG-14-184-01-DMC), a grant from the National Institutes of Health to RJS (R00GM100000), and a grant to XZ from the National Science Foundation (#0960425).

**LITERATURE CITED**

Annalisa, I. and S. Robert, 2015 The role of linker histone h1 modifications in the regulation of gene expression and chromatin dynamics. Biochimica et biophysica acta.


Fan, Y., A. Sirotkin, R. G. Russell, J. Ayala, and A. I. Skoulitchi, 2001 Individual somatic h1 subtypes are dispensable for mouse development even in mice lacking the h1(0) replacement subtype. Molecular and cellular biology 21: 7933–43.


Ramón, a., M. I. Muro-Pastor, C. Scacciochcio, and R. Gonzalez, 2000 Deletion of the unique gene encoding a typical histone h1 has no apparent phenotype in aspergillus nidulans. Molecular microbiology 35: 223–33.


Yang, S.-M., B. J. Kim, L. Norwood Toro, and A. I. Skoulitch, 2013 H1 linker histone promotes epigenetic silencing by regulating both dna methylation and histone h3 methylation. Proceedings Of The National Academy Of Sciences (Of The United States Of America) 110: 1708–1713.


