Potential impact of sexual transmission of Ebola virus on the epidemic in West Africa
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Abstract

Ebola virus RNA can persist in seminal fluids of male convalescent patients after they recover from Ebola virus disease (EVD). We used a compartmental EVD transmission model, Monte Carlo simulations, and performed sensitivity analyses to assess the potential impact of sexual transmission on the epidemic dynamics. The rate of sexual transmission and the period during which convalescent men can transmit sexually both affect the number of excess EVD cases, while the latter also influences the duration of the epidemic. Assuming an average convalescent period of 3 months, and a per sex act transmission probability of 0.1%, we found that sexual transmission could extend the EVD epidemic in Sierra Leone by 123 days (95% CI: 110–137 days). These results show the importance of ongoing surveillance efforts in West Africa and call for a better understanding of the persistence and infectivity of Ebola virus RNA in convalescent patients.
Introduction

Recent reports suggesting the potential for sexual transmission of Ebola virus from convalescent survivors have raised a number of important questions regarding its impact on the final phase of the epidemic in West Africa [1,2]. Even once the worst hit countries of Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone are declared free of Ebola virus disease (EVD), rare cases may still arise from the large number of remaining survivors. Perhaps the most crucial element for bringing the epidemic to an end is maintaining vigilance in the community and preventing new chains of transmission. Historically occurring in sparsely-populated isolated communities, often killing the vast majority of victims [3], the unprecedented number of cases – and survivors – in densely populated urban areas presents novel challenges for bringing the outbreak to an end. Although sexual transmission of EVD is likely to be rare, it is important to investigate its potential impact on the transmission dynamics in general, and on the tail of the ongoing epidemic in particular.

Follow-up studies on survivors of the 1995 outbreak in the Democratic Republic of Congo [4] and the 2000 [5] and 2007 [6] outbreaks in Uganda have raised awareness of what is now being termed “post-Ebola syndrome” (post-Ebola sequelae) – debilitating illnesses from myalgia to uveitis – which can persist for at least 21 months after the onset of symptoms. Though the virus is no longer detected in the blood after symptoms disappear, active (replicating) virus has been documented in ocular fluid, rectal fluids, vaginal fluids, and semen [4,7]. Two recent reports indicate that this is also the case for survivors of the current (2013-2015) epidemic in West Africa, having documented
ongoing viremia in ocular [8] and seminal [1] fluids many months after falling ill. Importantly, molecular evidence from a woman who contracted EVD in Liberia after unprotected vaginal intercourse with a survivor nearly 6 months after his recovery from EVD supports the hypothesis that direct sexual transmission from asymptomatic convalescent survivors can and does occur [2]. Transmission to sexual partners was never confirmed in earlier outbreaks, but was suspected to have occurred in at least one instance [4]. Similarly, cases of sexual transmission of other hemorrhagic fever infections, notably by the closely related Marburg virus, have been suspected in the past [9,10].

Of particular epidemiological interest is the question of how long active Ebola virus in semen, vaginal, and rectal fluids of convalescent survivors poses a threat for sexual transmission. The previous studies identified active virus as late as 82 days after onset of symptoms in seminal fluid from one male patient; samples positive for viral RNA were identified as late as 29 days (rectal) and 33 days (vaginal) after onset of symptoms in one female patient of six sampled, and 101 days after onset of symptoms in seminal fluid from four out of five male patients [4,7]. The studies from the West African outbreak, showing viremia in semen 4-6 months after onset of symptoms in 65% of men tested (7-9 months in 26%) [1] and confirming occurrence of transmission from a survivor 179 days after onset of symptoms [2], suggest that sexual transmission from convalescent men may pose a threat for far longer than previously anticipated.

Sexual transmission of Ebola virus from convalescent survivors is likely a rare event, but researchers have warned that it is feasible and should be considered in epidemiological
models that are used to predict the trajectory of the outbreak [11]. To this end, we devised a novel mathematical model of EVD transmission and studied the epidemic dynamics in absence and presence of sexual transmission from convalescent men. We performed sensitivity analysis to understand the impact of key unknown parameters, such as the duration of the convalescent period and the transmission probability per sexual contact. We also performed Monte Carlo simulations to explore the impact of sexual transmission on the epidemic tail in Sierra Leone.

Methods

Transmission model. We extended a SEIR (susceptible-exposed-infected-recovered) modeling framework, which has been extensively used to describe EVD transmission [12–14], by adding a component for sexual transmission from convalescent survivors who maintain active Ebola virus replication (Fig. 1). The resulting SEICR model has five states: susceptible, $S$, exposed, $E$, symptomatic and infectious, $I$, convalescent, $C$, fully recovered and immune, $R$, and dead, $D$. The model is represented by the following set of ordinary differential equations (ODEs):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= -\beta(t)SI - \beta_2 pC \frac{S}{N} \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \beta(t)SI + \beta_2 pC \frac{S}{N} - \sigma E \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \sigma E - \gamma I \\
\frac{dC}{dt} &= (1 - f)\gamma I - \alpha C \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \alpha C \\
\frac{dD}{dt} &= f\gamma I
\end{align*}
\]
where $N = S + E + I + C + R$ denotes the total population size. We assumed the non-sexual transmission rate, $\beta(t)$, to be initially constant ($\beta_0$) before it starts to decay exponentially due to the effect of control interventions and behavior change after time $\tau$:

$$\beta(t) = \beta_1 + (\beta_0 - \beta_1)e^{k(t-\tau)}$$

[12]. The sexual transmission parameter, $\beta_s$, can be described as the product of two parameters ($\beta_s = \eta q$) that we will consider separately: $\eta$ is the per sex act transmission probability of Ebola virus from convalescent men, and $q$ is the daily rate at which they engage in sexual intercourse. The number of convalescent individuals are scaled by $p$, which is the proportion of convalescent survivors who are sexually active men. $1/\sigma$ and $1/\gamma$ represent the average durations of incubation and symptomatic infection, respectively. $f$ is the case fatality rate. The average duration after which convalescent patients recover completely and shed no further replicating Ebola virus from their body is given by $1/\alpha$. We assumed that sexual transmission is frequency-dependent [15–17], i.e., the probability that the sexual partner of a convalescent man is susceptible is given by $S/N$.

**Model parameters.** The basic reproductive number, $R_0$, for the SEICR model can be calculated using the next-generation matrix method [18,19] and is given by

$$R_0 = \frac{\beta S_0}{\gamma} + \frac{(1-f)p \beta_s}{\alpha} ,$$

where $S_0$ is the initial number of susceptible individuals (see Supplementary Material).

When $\alpha$ goes to infinity or either $\beta_s = 0$ or $p = 0$, the equation reduces to the basic reproductive number in absence of sexual transmission: $R_{0,N} = \frac{\beta S_0}{\gamma}$. Thus, the second
term represents the contribution of sexual transmission from convalescent patients to the overall $R_0$: 

$$R_{0,C} = \frac{(1-f)p\beta_s}{\alpha}.$$ 

The model parameters were either based on published values from the literature or adjusted to provide a good description of the EVD epidemic in Sierra Leone (Table 1). We assumed that published estimates of $R_0$ do not include the contribution of sexual transmission, i.e., the transmission rate during the early phase of the outbreak was given by $\beta_0 = R_{0,N}\gamma/S_0$. We further assumed that the non-sexual reproductive number drops below unity in the presence of partially effective control interventions [20] and set $\beta_1 = 0.5\gamma/S_0$. We used the weekly incidence and cumulative case counts of EVD from Sierra Leone reported by the World Health Organization (WHO) Ebola Outbreak Situation Reports (including updates according to the patient database) [21] as a comparison to the model results.

**Deterministic model and sensitivity analysis.** We solved the system of ODEs numerically using the function *ode* from the *deSolve* package in the R software environment for statistical computing [22]. We compared the following response variables of the model: the epidemic peak number of exposed, $E$, acute, $I$, and convalescents, $C$, cases; the cumulative number of EVD cases, deaths, and recoveries; the date at the epidemic peak; the daily and cumulative incidence of sexual transmission; and the date at which the last symptomatic case either died or entered into convalescence (“day of last case”). We defined the day of last case as the time when the number of symptomatic and infectious individuals, $I$, dropped below 0.5. We considered the
following parameters for the sensitivity analysis: the per sex act transmission probability
of Ebola virus from convalescent men ($\eta$), the proportion of convalescent survivors who
are sexually active men ($p$), the rate at which they engage in sexual intercourse ($q$), and
the rate at which convalescent patients recover completely and shed no further replicating
Ebola virus from their body ($\alpha$). The sensitivity of the response variables to changes in $R_0$
was explored simultaneously as a comparison. We generated 1000 parameter
combinations from the uniform ranges, log-transformed [0.5x – 2x] for the parameter
values for $\eta$, $p$, $q$, and $\alpha$, given in Table 1 via Latin hypercube sampling using the
Huntington and Lyrintzis correlation correction method (function lhs from R package
pse) [23]. We then calculated partial rank correlation coefficients (PRCCs) using 50
bootstrap replicates [24].

Monte Carlo simulations. We performed stochastic simulations of the SEICR model
with and without sexual transmission using Gillespie’s algorithm [25]. We specifically
investigated the following response variables from the simulations: the cumulative
number of EVD cases, the size and date of the epidemic’s peak incidence (daily number
of new symptomatic infections), and the date of last case (last day that symptomatic
infections, $I$, fell below 1). Summary statistics were based on the results of 1000
simulation runs for each transmission scenario. We calculated the average of the peak and
total cumulative number of EVD cases by including all simulations runs, i.e., also the
simulations that rapidly go extinct. In contrast, the average dates of the epidemic peak
and last case were based on the simulated epidemic trajectories over which 100 or more
cases were accumulated.
Results

**Contribution of sexual transmission to overall R₀.** Using the baseline parameter values from Table 1, the reproductive number of a convalescent infection, $R_{0,C}$, is 0.0024. This corresponds to only 0.12% of the overall $R_0$ of 2.0224. Increasing the convalescent period from 3 to 6 months, the contribution of $R_{0,C}$ (0.0051) to the overall $R_0$ rises to 0.25%. The equation for $R_{0,C}$ (see Methods) illustrates that doubling the per sex act transmission probability has the same impact as doubling the convalescent period. It is important to note that the relative contribution of sexual transmission to the overall reproductive number rises as the effective reproductive number drops during the epidemic due to the effects of control interventions (see Supplementary Material, Fig. S1).

**Effect of sexual transmission parameters on epidemic dynamics.** Simulating the deterministic transmission model using the baseline parameter values from Table 1 resulted in a good description of the EVD epidemic in Sierra Leone (see Supplementary Material, Fig. S2). The two key unknown parameters of sexual transmission are the per sex act transmission probability, $\eta$, and the rate at which convalescent survivors fully recover, $\alpha$. The duration of the convalescent period has the biggest impact on the peak number of convalescent individuals, while $\eta$ does not (compare Fig. 2a and Fig. 3a). Both parameters have extremely small effects on the peak number of infected or exposed patients (Fig. 2a and Fig. 3a). The total number of recovered individuals is reached more slowly the longer the convalescent period (Fig. 2b), which is not an effect caused by $\eta$ (Fig. 3b). While the convalescent periods ($1/\alpha = [0 – 9$ months]) and the low values of $\eta$
we explored create very few extra cases (Fig. 2b and Fig. 3b),
sensitivity analyses revealed that a higher per sex act transmission probability, a higher
proportion of sexually active convalescent individuals, \( p \), or a higher frequency of sex
acts, \( q \), could have larger impacts on the total number of cases than would proportional
increases in the convalescent period (see Supplementary Material, Fig. S3a). Sensitivity
analyses also revealed that these sexual transmission parameters could produce a small
delay on the epidemic peak, more so than by changes in the convalescent period (see
Supplementary Material, Fig. S3b).

The number of sexual transmission events expected from the baseline scenario (\( \eta = 0.001 \)
and \( 1/ \alpha = 3 \) months) is 31.5, the majority of which will occur around the peak of the
epidemic (Fig. 2c and Fig. 3c) and thus likely go undetected. Doubling either \( \eta \) or \( 1/ \alpha \)
results in almost equal increases in the incidence and cumulative number of sexual
transmission events (Fig. 2c, 2d and Fig. 3c, 3d), with either leading to roughly double
the number of sexually transmitted cases over the course of the whole epidemic (> 60
cases). It should be noted that the total number of cases increases more than by simply the
number of sexual transmission events, because each sexual transmission event results in a
new potential cluster of non-sexual transmission. The day of last case is affected more by
the convalescent period than the per sex act transmission probability (represented by
vertical lines in Fig. 2a and Fig. 3a), a result confirmed by the sensitivity analysis (see
Supplementary Material, Fig. S3a). The tail of the epidemic will depend on a small
number of events that are likely to be affected by stochastic processes, thus we used
Monte Carlo simulations to explore this behaviour.
Impact of sexual transmission on the epidemic tail. We performed stochastic simulations of the EVD transmission model to investigate the epidemic dynamics when the number of new cases becomes small, i.e., during the tail of the epidemic. Comparing model simulations while assuming a convalescent period of 3 months to those without sexual transmission confirmed the deterministic results that sexual transmission from convalescent survivors does not lead to a significant increase in the cumulative number of infected cases (non-STI: 11,086 +/- 599 cases; STI: 11,517 +/- 622 cases; Wilcoxon rank sum test: \( W = 493156.5, p = 0.59; \) Supplementary Material, Fig. S3), nor the size (non-STI: 86 +/- 4.4 new cases per day; STI: 89 +/- 4.6 new cases per day; \( W = 494255, p = 0.65 \)) or timing (non-STI: day 200 +/- 0.7; STI: day 199 +/- 0.6; \( t = 1.1681, df = 991.14, p = 0.24 \)) of the epidemic peak incidence (Fig. 4a, 4b, 4c). Strikingly, this conservative period of potential sexual transmission, which has recently been shown to extend well beyond 3 months in at least 65% of patients \([1]\), extended the average date on which the last active case could be detected by nearly four months (non-STI: 497 +/- 2.9 days; STI: 619 +/- 6.0 days; difference: 123 days [95% CI: 110-137 days], \( t = -18.5, df = 730.36, p < 0.0001; \) Fig. 4d, 4e, 4g, 4h). The width of the tail (s.d. = 135 days) was such that 18.5% of the 508 simulated epidemics that accumulated at least 100 cases still experienced symptomatic individuals 730 days (two years) after the start of the epidemic (Fig. 4h). When the convalescent period was extended from 3 months to 6 months, the projected length of the epidemic increased to a mean of 1102 days (+/- 14.9), with 89.0% of the 489 sustained epidemics taking over two years to end (Fig. 4i). Importantly, there is
greater variance in the tail of the epidemic when sexual transmission is considered, and this uncertainty grows with the length of the convalescent period (Fig. 4g, 4h, 4i).

**Discussion**

Our study shows that sexual transmission of Ebola virus from convalescent men could have a profound effect on the duration of the epidemic in Sierra Leone. Using a mathematical transmission model, we found that an average duration of the convalescent period of 3 months, and a per sex act transmission probability of 0.1% could extend the EVD epidemic in Sierra Leone by 123 days (95% CI: 110–137 days). Such a scenario would be consistent with the occurrence of a small number of sexual transmission events during the end-phase of the epidemic. So far, the reported cases of sexual transmission of EVD remain rare [1,2]. Hence, the per sex act transmission probability of Ebola virus from male convalescent survivors is unlikely to be higher than 0.1%, but might well be below this value. Our sensitivity analysis indicates that the duration of the EVD epidemic is heavily influenced by the period during which convalescent men can transmit sexually, calling for a better understanding of the persistence and duration of infectivity of Ebola virus RNA in convalescent patients.

To our knowledge, this is the first study using mathematical modeling to assess the potential impact of sexual transmission of Ebola virus on the epidemic in West Africa. We extended an accepted modeling framework that has been widely used to describe the epidemic trajectories of EVD outbreaks and epidemics [12–14]. Our baseline model provides a good description of the EVD epidemic in Sierra Leone and we performed
sensitivity analysis to account for the considerable uncertainty in key unknown parameters regarding sexual transmission. Given that chance will play a crucial role in sexual transmission of Ebola virus, we used stochastic simulations to explore the expected variability in the tail of the epidemic. In the absence of a better understanding of sexual transmission of EVD, mathematical modeling currently remains the only tool to explore its potential impact on the epidemic trajectory.

Our study provides a preliminary picture of the potential epidemiological consequences of sexual transmission of Ebola virus on the epidemic in West Africa. Consequently, there are a number of limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. First, we limited our analysis to sexual transmission from convalescent men who remain infectious through the presence of Ebola virus in their semen. However, our modeling framework could be easily extended to account for transmission by other routes, e.g., through infectious vaginal secretions from female survivors. Second, we assumed a homogeneously mixing population to describe both non-sexual and sexual transmission of EVD. Spatial structure might play an important role in the spread of EVD [26] as close contact with infected bodily fluids is typically required even for non-sexual transmission. In particular, close contact limited to family or community members during the convalescence period, often accompanied by decreased activity levels due to post-Ebola sequelae, may help limit the chances of sexual transmission. Third, asymptomatic infection may occur [27], and is predicted to lower epidemic projections due to increased levels of immunity in the population [28]. Fourth, the model does not incorporate potential heterogeneity in sexual behavior [15], and we assumed that all sexually active
male survivors have the same average numbers of sex acts per month. The assumed frequency of sex acts (8.3 sex acts per month) came from a study of heterosexual couples in sub-Saharan Africa [29], and is on the high end of estimates gathered from studies of other populations (e.g., [29–31], but see the wide range in individual frequencies in [32]); the sexual activity of convalescent Sierra-Leonean EVD survivors has not been reported.

Fifth, EVD is known to exhibit superspreading characteristics [33,34], and we did not include this in our model. Superspreading events could lead to explosive regrowth of the epidemic after the occurrence of a new case through sexual transmission [33]. On the other hand, 72% of infected individuals in transmission chains in Guinea did not generate further cases [33], suggesting that some cases of sexual transmission of EVD might not be detected at all. Sixth, we assumed a single compartment for convalescent survivors with an exponentially distributed period of convalescence. Recent data indicates that the time during which convalescent men remain positive for Ebola virus RNA could be better described by a unimodal distribution [1]. Seventh, it remains to be determined whether the virus found in semen of male convalescent survivors is replication competent, and the time window during which these men remain infectious could be shorter than the duration they remain positive for Ebola virus RNA. Finally, like other negative-sense single-stranded RNA ((-ssRNA) viruses [35], the species currently circulating in West Africa has been estimated to have high substitution rates [36–38]. This rapid evolution detected throughout the current outbreak zone suggests that within- or between-host adaptation of the virus leading to prolonged persistence in the seminal fluids is possible.
Awareness of the potential for sexual transmission has led to WHO issuing recommendations that ask convalescent men to abstain from sexual activity as much as possible and to use condoms for up to 6 months after the onset of symptoms [39]. Condom use and social awareness of the risks of sexual transmission during convalescence should have an impact on the per sex act transmission probability ($\eta$) and the frequency of sex acts ($q$), respectively. Our results show that condom use should reduce the number of sporadic sexual transmission events during the tail of the epidemic and after discharge of all remaining symptomatic individuals. However, the time during which the public health community must stay vigilant is not reduced because these interventions will not affect the time during which convalescent survivors can shed infectious virus ($1/\alpha$). This is especially poignant since adherence to these recommendations will never be 100%. Better knowledge about the rate at which convalescent survivors recover completely and shed no further replicating Ebola virus from their body is thus urgently needed to plan continued surveillance efforts.

The EVD epidemic in Sierra Leone was declared to be over on 7 November 2015 [40]. Our modeling results show that sporadic events of sexual transmission of Ebola virus – potentially leading to small transmission clusters – could continue to occur in the months to come. Sexual transmission of EVD is likely to be rare, but recent events such as the suspected infection of a 16-year-old girl through sexual transmission in Makeni, Sierra Leone, during September 2015 exemplify the continued risks [41]. In addition, the considerable uncertainty around the infectivity of convalescent men who test positive for Ebola virus RNA, and the duration of this period, asks us to remain vigilant. We must
monitor and quantify this phenomena in order to help us better prepare health networks, and to provide convalescent survivors with sound advice that balances protection of the community with the harm that could come from unnecessary stigmatization [42–44]. As more data about the convalescent survivors of EVD becomes available, this and future mathematical modeling studies will help to better understand the potential epidemiological consequences of sexual transmission on the EVD epidemic in West Africa.
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Table 1: Model parameters describing EVD transmission in Sierra Leone. The indicated parameter ranges are used for the sensitivity analysis (partial rank correlation coefficients, PRCC) only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value (Range)</th>
<th>Comments and References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic reproductive number</td>
<td>$R_{0,N}$</td>
<td>Non-sexual transmission only [45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.26 – 2.53)</td>
<td>Range explores estimates from [13] and [46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of onset of symptoms in index case</td>
<td>April 6, 2014</td>
<td>WHO epidemic day 92, epidemic week 14 [47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate at which transmission rate decays</td>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>Results in a good description of the EVD epidemic in Sierra Leone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>We assume that control measures were not effectively deployed country-wide until three months following the first detected case ($R_{0,N}$ estimates began to fall in August 2014 [45]).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time at which transmission rate starts to decay</td>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>We assume that control measures were not effectively deployed country-wide until three months following the first detected case ($R_{0,N}$ estimates began to fall in August 2014 [45]).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial population size</td>
<td>$N_0$</td>
<td>Based on 2014 estimate [48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.316$x10^6$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation period</td>
<td>$1/\sigma$</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case fatality rate</td>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious period</td>
<td>$1/\gamma$</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual transmission probability (per coital act)</td>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>Roughly based on sexual transmission probability of HIV per coital act from infected men [49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>8.27 coital acts per month [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0005 – 0.002)</td>
<td>3 months after onset of symptoms [4,39] and assuming an infectious period of 7.41 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of sex acts</td>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>8.27 coital acts per month [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.272 d$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.136 – 0.544)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of convalescent survivors who are infectious and sexually active</td>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Of 47.4% male survivors, 73.1% are aged 15-45 [45].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.1725-0.694)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate at which convalescent survivors recover completely</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Of 47.4% male survivors, 73.1% are aged 15-45 [45].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/83.84 d$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1/168 – 1/42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Schematic illustration of EVD transmission model including sexual transmission from convalescent patients. The elements in black form the base model without sexual transmission [12–14]. The red elements (convalescent individuals and additional transmission term) were added to account for sexual transmission.
Figure 2. Effect of convalescent period on EVD epidemics. The average duration of the convalescent period ($1/\alpha$) is varied between 3 and 9 months. (a, b): Epidemic trajectories in presence (broken lines) and absence of sexual transmission (solid lines). Vertical lines mark the day of last symptomatic case. (c) Daily incidence of sexual transmission. (d) Cumulative number of sexual transmission events. Note that the vertical axes vary across panels.

Sensitivity to convalescent recovery period
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Note: The vertical axes vary across panels.
**Figure 3. Effect of per sex act transmission probability on EVD epidemics.** The per sex act transmission probability ($\eta$) is varied between 0.05% and 0.2%. (a, b): Epidemic trajectories in presence (broken lines) and absence of sexual transmission (solid lines). Vertical lines mark the day of last symptomatic case. (c) Daily incidence of sexual transmission. (d) Cumulative number of sexual transmission events. Note that the vertical axes vary across panels.

Sensitivity to sexual transmission probability
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(b) 

(c) 

(d)
Figure 4. Impact of convalescent period on the tail of the EVD epidemic. Monte Carlo simulations of the weekly incidence of new cases (a, b, c) and the sporadic occurrence of sexual transmission events at the epidemic tail (d, e, f), assuming no sexual transmission (a, d), and sexual transmission with a 3 (b, e) and 6 months (c, f) convalescent period. Thin red lines show the result of 200 simulated trajectories, with corresponding mean (thick blue line) and standard errors (thin blue lines). Black dots denote incident cases in Sierra Leone as reported by the WHO [21]. The thick red lines in (d), (e), and (f) highlight a single representative trajectory, and the dark red dots along the horizontal axis indicate a sexual transmission event. Histograms of the day of the last EVD case from 1,000 simulated epidemics in absence of sexual transmission (g) and for a convalescent period of 3 (h) and 6 (i) months.