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## ABSTRACT <br> Background and objectives

Mycobacterium bovis and Mycobacterium caprae are the two most important agents of tuberculosis (TB) in livestock and the most important causes of zoonotic TB in humans. However, little is known about the global population structure, phylogeography and evolutionary history of these pathogens.

## Methodology

We compiled a global collection of 3364 whole-genome sequences from $M$. bovis and $M$. caprae originating from 35 countries and inferred their phylogenetic relationships, geographic origins and age.

## Results

Our results resolve the phylogenetic relationship among the four previously defined clonal complexes of $M$. bovis, and another eight newly described here. Our inferences indicate that M. bovis emerged in East Africa likely between the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ century. While some M. bovis groups remained restricted to East- and West Africa, others have subsequently dispersed to different parts of the world.

## Conclusions and implications

Our results allow a better understanding of the global population structure of M. bovis and its evolutionary history. This knowledge can be used to define better molecular markers for epidemiological investigations in settings where whole genome sequencing cannot easily be implemented.

## BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Tuberculosis (TB) remains an important burden for global health and the economy [1]. TB is the number one cause of human death due to infection globally, with an estimated 10.0 million new cases and 1.6 million deaths occurring every year [1]. TB is caused by members of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC), which includes seven human-adapted lineages, and several animal-adapted ecotypes including M. bovis and M. caprae. Animal TB complicates the control of human TB due to the zoonotic transfer of TB bacilli from infected animals to exposed human populations through e.g. the consumption of unpasteurized milk or handling of contaminated meat [2]. M. bovis and M. caprae are the most important agents of TB in livestock and the most important agents of zoonotic TB in humans, causing at least 147 000 new human cases and 12500 deaths yearly [1, 3]. Zoonotic TB caused by M. bovis also poses a challenge for patient treatment, due to its natural resistance to pyrazinamide ( PZA ), one of the four first-line drugs used in the treatment of TB. In addition, TB in livestock accounts for an estimated loss of three billion US dollars per year [4]. In Africa its prevalence is highest in peri-urban dairy belts of larger cities and remains at a low levels of endemic stable transmission in rural areas [5], threatening also wildlife populations [6].
During the last few years, analyses of large globally representative collections of whole genome sequences (WGS) from the human-adapted MTBC lineages have enhanced our understanding of the global population structure, phylogeography and evolutionary history of these pathogens [7]. By contrast, little corresponding data exist for the various animal-adapted ecotypes of the MTBC such as M. bovis.

Current knowledge about global $M$. bovis populations stems mostly from spoligotyping [8, 9]. This method has been highly valuable for showing that $M$. bovis populations vary by geography, and defining strain families based on the presence or absence of spacers in the Direct Repeat region of the MTBC genome [8]. However, the discriminatory capacity of spoligotyping is limited since diversity is measured at a single locus prone to convergent evolution and phylogenetic distances cannot be reliably inferred [10].

In addition to spoligotyping, other markers such as genomic deletions [11-14] and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) [14], have given insights into the biogeography of $M$. bovis. These markers were used to define four major groups of genotypes within M. bovis, known as clonal complexes European 1 and 2 (Eu1, Eu2) and African 1 and 2 (Af1 and Af2) [11-14]. Bovine TB in West Africa and East Africa is caused to a large extent by clonal complexes Af1 and Af2, respectively [11, 12]. Bovine TB in Europe and in the Americas is caused by clonal complex Eu1, which affects mostly the British Islands and former trading
countries of the UK [13] while Eu2 is prevalent mostly in the Iberian Peninsula and Brazil [14].

More recently, studies based on WGS have brought deeper insights into the population dynamics of $M$. bovis and showed that unlike M. tuberculosis, wild animals act as M. bovis reservoirs in different regions of the world [15-18]. However, most studies using WGS have aimed at investigating local epidemics, and little is known about the global population structure and evolutionary history of M. bovis. Recently, we suggested a scenario for the evolution of the animal-adapted MTBC, in which we propose that $M$. caprae and M. bovis might have come out of Africa together with humans [19]. Here we gathered 3356 M. bovis and $M$. caprae WGS from the public domain, to which we added 8 . bovis sequences from strains isolated in East Africa. Our results provide a phylogenetic basis to better understand the global population structure of M. bovis. Moreover, they point to East Africa as the most likely origin of contemporary M. bovis.

METHODS

## Data collection

A total of 3929 M. bovis genomes were retrieved from EBI: 3834 BioSamples were registered on EBI with the taxon id 1775 (corresponding to "Mycobacterium tuberculosis variant bovis") and downloaded on the $11^{\text {th }}$ of March 2019 and 95 M. bovis genomes were registered under taxon id 1765 (corresponding to "Mycobacterium tuberculosis").

Of these, 457 were excluded because they were part of pre-publications releases from the Sanger Institute, 130 were excluded because they were registered as BCG - Bacille Calmette Guérin, the vaccine strain derived from $M$. bovis, one genome was excluded because it was wrongly classified as $M$. bovis, and three samples were excluded because they corresponded to RNA-seq libraries.

In addition, we added 81 publically available M. caprae genomes and eight previously unpublished sequences from M. bovis isolated in Ethiopia ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) and Burundi ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ). The sequencing data has been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (EMBL-EBI) under the study ID PRJEB33773.

From this total of 3427 genomes, 63 sequences were excluded because they did not meet our criteria for downstream analyses (average whole-genome coverage below 7, ratio of variable SNP to fixed SNP above 1), yielding a final dataset of 3364 genomes (Fig. S1, Table S1). Geographical origin of the isolates, date of isolation and host metadata was recovered from EBI (Table S1).

## Whole genome sequence analysis

All samples were subject to the same whole-genome sequencing analysis pipeline, as described in [20]. In brief, reads were trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.33 [21]. Only reads larger than 20 bp were kept for the downstream analysis. The software SeqPrep (https://github.com/jstjohn/SeqPrep) was used to identify and merge any overlapping pairedend reads. The resulting reads were aligned to the reconstructed ancestral sequence of the MTBC [22] using the MEM algorithm of BWA v0.7.13 [23]. Duplicated reads were marked using the MarkDuplicates module of Picard v2.9.1 (https://github.com/broadinstitute/picard). The RealignerTargetCreator and IndelRealigner modules of GATK v 3.4.0 were used to perform local realignment of reads around InDels [24]. Finally, SNPs were called with Samtools v1.2 mpileup [25] and VarScan v2.4.1 [26] using the following thresholds: minimum mapping quality of 20 , minimum base quality at a position of 20 , minimum read
depth at a position of 7 X , maximum strand bias for a position $90 \%$. SNPs were annotated using snpEff v4.1144 [27], using the M. tuberculosis H37Rv reference annotation (NC_000962.3) as the genome of M. bovis (AF2122/97) has no genes absent from H37Rv except for TbD 1 (contains mmpS6 and the $5^{\prime}$ region of $m m p L 6$ ) [28].

## In silico spoligotyping and previously defined clonal complexes

The spoligotype pattern of the 3364 genomes was determined in silico using Kvarq [29]. The results were submitted to the Mycobacterium bovis spoligotype database https://www.mbovis.org/ [30] and SB numbers obtained.
All 3364 genomes were screened in silico for the presence of molecular markers defining the previously described $M$. bovis clonal complexes; i.e. for the presence or absence of the deletions RDAf1, RDAf2, RDEu1 [11-13] and in the case of Eu2 [14] for the presence of SNP 3813236 G to A with respect to the H37Rv (NC_000962.3).

## Phylogenetic analyses

All phylogenetic trees were inferred with RAxML (v.8.2.12) using alignments containing only polymorphic sites and excluding the variable positions in drug resistance-related genes. Maximum likelihood phylogenies were computed using the general time-reversible model of sequence evolution (-m GTRCAT -V options), 1,000 rapid bootstrap inferences, followed by a thorough maximum-likelihood search performed through CIPRES [31]. All phylogenies were rooted using a M. africanum Lineage 6 genome from Ghana (SAMEA3359865).

## Obtaining a representative dataset of $M$. bovis genomes - Subsampling 1

Our phylogenetic reconstruction indicated that sequences belonging to clonal complex Eu1 and Eu2 were over-represented in the initial 3,364 genomes dataset, particularly from the USA, Mexico, New Zealand and the UK. To obtain a smaller dataset with a more even representation of the different phylogenetic groups, we pruned the 3364 genomes using the following criteria: 1) we removed all genomes with non-available country metadata ( $\mathrm{n}=739$ ), which resulted in 2625 genomes; 2) we used Treemmer v0.2 [20] with the option -RTL 99 to keep $99 \%$ of the original tree length and the option - $l m$ to include a list of taxa to protect from pruning. This list included all genomes belonging to clonal complexes Af1 and Af2, as well
as any genome belonging to any unclassified clade; 3) we visually identified monophyletic clades in which all taxa come from the same country and used Treemmer v0.2 [20], using options -lmc and -lm, to only keep a few representatives of each of these clades. To have representatives of the BCG clade, we kept 11 BCG genomes from [32]. This selection process rendered a dataset of 476 genomes.

## Ancestral reconstruction of geographic ranges - Subsampling 2

To infer the geographic origin of the ancestors of the main groups of M. bovis and M. caprae, we used the 476 genomes dataset (see subsampling 1) and excluded all BCG genomes and all M. bovis from human TB cases or from unknown hosts, which could be humans, if the strains were isolated in a low incidence TB country (Europe, North America, Oceania). This is justified by the fact that the majority of such cases correspond to immigrants from high incidence countries that were infected in their country of origin, i.e. country of isolation does not correspond to the native geographic range of the strain and is thus not informative for the geographic reconstruction. M. bovis from patients in high incidence countries were kept (Table S1). The resulting dataset was composed of 392 genomes.
For the ancestral reconstruction of geographic ranges, we used the geographic origin of the strains and the phylogenetic relationships of the 392 genomes. Geographic origin was treated as a discrete character to which 13 states, corresponding to UN-defined regions, were assigned. To select the best model of character evolution the function fitMk from the package phytools 0.6 .60 in R 3.5.0 [33] was used to obtain the likelihoods of the models ER, SYM and ARD [34]. A Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) was used to compare the different log-Likelihoods obtained. According to the former, the best fitting model was SYM, a model that allows states to transition at different rates in a non-reversible way, i.e. reverse and forward transitions share the same parameters (Table S2). The function make.simmap in phytools package 0.6.60 in R 3.5.0 [33, 35] was used to apply stochastic character mapping as implemented in SIMMAP [36] on the 392 phylogeny inferred from the best-scoring ML tree rooted on L6, using the SYM model with 100 replicates. We summarized the results of the 100 replicates using the function summary in phytools package 0.6 .60 in $R$ [33].

## Molecular Dating of M. bovis and M. caprae - Subsampling 3

For the molecular clock analyses we considered only genomes for which the date of isolation was known ( $\mathrm{n}=2,058$ ). We used a pipeline similar to that reported in [37]. We built SNPs alignments including variable positions with less than $10 \%$ of missing data. We added a Lineage 6 strain as outgroup (SAMEA3359865) and inferred the Maximum Likelihood tree as described above. Since the alignment contained only variable positions, we rescaled the branch lengths of the trees: rescaled_branch_length = ((branch_length * alignment_length) / (alignment_length + invariant_sites)). To evaluate the strength of the temporal signal we performed root-to-tip regression using the R package ape [38]. Additionally, we used the least square method implemented in LSD v0.3-beta [39] to estimate the molecular clock rate in the observed data and performed a date randomization test with 100 randomized datasets. To do this, we used the QPD algorithm and calculated the confidence interval (options -f 100 and s).

We also estimated the molecular clock rates using a Bayesian analysis. For this, we reduced the dataset to 300 strains with Treemmer v0.2 in the following way: we randomly subsampled strains, maintaining the outgroup and at least one representative of four small basal clades of the tree that would have disappeared with simple random subsampling strategy (Af2 clonal complex: G42133; Af1 clonal complex: G02538; PZA_sus_unknown1: G04143, G04145, G04147; M. caprae: G42152, G42153, G37371, G37372, G41838; Table S1).
We used jModelTest 2.1.10 v20160303 [40] to identify the best fitting nucleotide substitution model among 11 possible schemes, including unequal nucleotide frequencies (total models = 22, options -s 11 and -f ). We performed Bayesian inference with BEAST2 [41]. We corrected the xml file to specify the number of invariant sites as indicated here:
https://groups.google.com/forum/\#!topic/beast-users/QfBHMOqImFE, and used the tip sampling year as calibration.

We used a relaxed lognormal clock model [42], the best fitting nucleotide substitution model according to the results of jModelTest (all criteria selected TVM as best model), and two different coalescent priors: constant population size and exponential population growth. We chose a $1 / \mathrm{x}$ prior for the population size $\left[0-10^{9}\right]$, a $1 / \mathrm{x}$ prior for the mean of the lognormal distribution of the clock rate $\left[10^{-10}-10^{-5}\right]$, and a normal $(0,1)$ prior for the standard deviation of the lognormal distribution of the clock rate [ 0 - infinity]. For the exponential growth rate prior, we used the standard Laplace distribution [-infinity - infinity]. For both analyses, we performed two runs andused Tracer 1.7.1 [43] to identify and exclude the burn-in, to evaluate convergence among runs and to calculate the estimated sample size (ESS). We stopped the
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## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Phylogenetic inference of $M$. bovis and M. caprae populations

The phylogenetic reconstruction of all $M$. bovis and $M$. caprae sequences obtained ( $\mathrm{n}=3364$ ) confirmed that these two ecotypes correspond to two monophyletic groups, despite infecting similar hosts $[44,45]$ (Fig. S1). The range of host species from which M. bovis was isolated is broad, confirming that $M$. bovis can cause infection in many different mammalian species (Table S1). Our compilation of M. caprae included genomes from Japan (isolated in Elephants from Borneo) [46], China (isolated in Primates, Table S1) and Peru (host information unavailable but possibly human [47]), suggesting that the host and geographic distribution of this species ranges well beyond Southern- and Central Europe [48, 49]. For all M. bovis, we determined in silico clonal complexes Eu1, Eu2, Af1 and Af2 and spoligotypes, and mapped them on the phylogenetic tree and onto a world map (Fig. 1, Fig. S2, Table S1). All previously described clonal complexes corresponded to monophyletic groups in our genome-based phylogeny (Fig. S2). The phylogenetic tree also revealed $M$. bovis representatives that did not fall into any of the previously described clonal complexes ( $\mathrm{n}=175$, $5.3 \%$, Fig. 1, Fig. S2, Table S1). These belonged to 8 monophyletic clades with unknown classification and to a few singleton branches (Fig. S2). The tree topology showed a strong bias towards closely related strains, in particular among Eu1, which reflects the different sampling and WGS efforts in the different geographic regions (Fig. 1, Fig. S2). Closely related genomes inform the local epidemiology but not the middle/long term evolutionary history of the strains and were thus excluded from further analysis (Subsampling 1 , see Methods).

Two deep divergence events in $M$. bovis populations were notorious: one giving rise to an unclassified lineage we named M. bovis PZA_sus_unknown1 (RD4 deleted as other M.bovis), which included 5 samples from Uganda (isolated from Bos taurus cattle, Table S1), 3 from Malawi (isolated from Humans, Table S1) and one isolated from an antelope in Germany (Table S1). These M. bovis isolates lacked the PncA H57D mutation that is responsible for the intrinsic pyrazinamide resistance of canonical M. bovis as reported previously [50] (Fig. 2). The second deep branching lineage included all other $M$. bovis strains descendent from an ancestor that acquired the PncA H57D mutation and therefore encompasses all previously described clonal complexes $[8,14]$ as well as the other previously unknown clades we describe here.

From the $M$. bovis PZA resistant ancestor strains, two main splits occurred; one split led to the ancestor of Af2 and its previously unclassified sister clade which contains the BCG vaccine which we call here unknown2 (Fig. 2). M. bovis strains with spoligotyping patterns similar to BCG have previously been referred to as "BCG-like". However, our genome-based phylogeny shows that BCG-like spoligotyping patterns have little discriminatory power both due to convergent evolution leading to homoplasy in the Direct Repeat locus of the MTBC [10] or due to common ancestry (Table S3). The other split led to the ancestor, from which all remaining M. bovis strains evolved, i.e. Af1, Eu2 and Eur1 as well as other groups (Fig. 2). Interestingly, Af1 does not share a MRCA with Af2 but with Eu1 and Eu2 as well as with another unclassified group, which we called unknown3 (Fig. 2). Clonal complexes Eu1 and Eu2, despite being more closely related to each other than to Af1, are not monophyletic. Eu2 is more closely related to other clades, which we have called here unknown4 and 5, than to Eu1 (Fig. 2). Eu1 in turn shares a common ancestor with three other clades unknown6, 7 and 8 (Fig. 2).

## The temporal and geographic origin of M. bovis

Our reconstruction of ancestral geographical ranges points to East Africa as the most likely origin for the ancestor of all M. bovis (Fig. 2, Fig. S2). This result is probably driven by the fact that the most basal group of contemporary M. bovis (PZA_sus_unknownl) has an exclusively East African distribution. For M. caprae, the sampling was too small and biased (Table S1) and no conclusions can be confidently drawn. We performed tip-dating calibration using the isolation dates of the strains with both Bayesian methods and LSD (see methods). Both the tip-to-root regression and the randomization tests performed indicated a temporal signal in the data (Fig. S4). The common ancestor of M. bovis was estimated to have evolved in the year 710 AD ( $95 \%$ HPD: 398-985) by Bayesian analysis and in the year 388 AD by LSD (Fig. 3, TreeS1.txt, TreeS2.txt). Together, these estimates suggest that M. bovis has emerged in East Africa sometime during the period spanning the $4^{\text {th }}$ to the $10^{\text {th }}$ century AD (Fig. 3). There are archaeological findings of approximately 2,000 years old M. bovis in South Siberia that are difficult to reconcile with these results [51]. Our findings are also at odds with the archaeological finding of RD9/RD4-deleted MTBC from human bones in Central Germany from the period between 5400 and 4800 BC [52]. The tip-dating calibration provided accurate results for the emergency of BCG strains (TreeS2.txt), indicating that the
method can reliably infer divergence times at least for events occurring in the last 100 years. However, extrapolating the clock rate to date older divergence events should be done with caution [37].

## Insights into the detailed population structure of M. bovis around the world

Understanding the evolutionary history of the $M$. bovis populations requires understanding their geographic distribution at a continental scale. Our WGS data set has limited geographical resolution due to both oversampling and undersampling of certain regions of the world, partial unavailability of associated metadata and an origin in foreign-born TB patients from Western countries. To get more insights into the geographical ranges of the different $M$. bovis clades, we used the spoligotype patterns inferred from the WGS data and searched for references describing the prevalence of those in different regions of the world (Table S3). Patterns SB0120 and SB0134, known as "BCG-like" and reported to be relatively prevalent [9], as well as SB0944, are phylogenetically uninformative; SB0120 is present in several clades probably by common ancestry given that it contains the spacers deleted in most other spoligotypes and SB0134 and SB0944 have evolved independently in two different M. bovis populations (Fig. 2, Table S3).

Our results suggest that the most basal group of all contemporary M. bovis, PZA_sus_unknown1, is restricted to East Africa. The same holds true for Af2, which is in accordance to previous reports [8, 12]. Our findings further suggest that the geographical distribution of the Af2 sister clade unknown2 includes East Africa (Eritrea, Ethiopia), but also Southern Europe (Spain and France). Informative spoligotypes of the unknown2 clade suggest that it also circulates in North-Africa (Fig. 2, Table S3). Of note, the original strain, from which all BCG vaccine strains were derived, was isolated in France [53]. Our inferences suggest that a common ancestor of Af2 and unknown2 evolved in East Africa, and while Af2 remained geographically restricted, its sister clade unknown2 has subsequently dispersed (Fig. 2).

All remaining M. bovis descended from a common ancestor, for which the geographical origin was impossible to infer reliably with our data. However, the tree topology showed that from this ancestor several clades evolved that are worldwide important causes of bovine TB today (i.e., the clonal complexes Eu1, Eu2, Af1 and Af2; Fig.2). The ancestors of these clades have most likely evolved during a period of around 500 years between the $11^{\text {th }}$ and $16^{\text {th }}$ centuries AD (Fig. 3).

The most basal clade within this group is unknown3, which contained 25 genomes mostly from human studies (Table S2). The in silico derived spoligotypes suggest that the geographical spread of unknown 3 ranges from Western Asia to Eastern Europe, but also includes East Africa (Fig. 2, Table S3). The next split in our phylogeny corresponds to Af1, which has been characterized extensively using the deletion RDAf1 and spoligotyping, and shown to be most prevalent in countries from West- and Central Africa [11]. Here we could only compile nine Af1 genomes, of which five originated in Ghana [54], and the remaining had either a European or an unknown origin. The small diversity of Af1 spoligotyping patterns found in our WGS dataset [11], indicates strong undersampling (Fig. 2, Table S3). Nevertheless, it was possible to estimate the divergence of the Af1 clade from the remaining M. bovis to a period ranging from the year 1003 to 1361 AD (Fig. 3), making it unlikely that Af1 was originally brought to West Africa by Europeans [55].

The next split comprises clades unknown4, unknown5 and Eu2. Clade unknown4 was composed of 33 genomes with little geographic information and for which the most common spoligotyping pattern was the uninformative $\operatorname{SB0120(n=19).~Additional~unknown4~}$ spoligotyping patterns indicate that strains belonging to this clade circulate in Southern Europe, Northern and Eastern Africa (Fig. 2, Table S3), supporting dispersion events between Africa and Southern Europe. Clade unknown5 comprised only 9 genomes isolated mostly from Zambian cattle. Its corresponding spoligotyping pattern is also SB0120, limiting further geographical inferences.
In contrast to the strains from clades unknown 4 and unknown5, among the 323 Eu 2 genomes, no genomes of East African origin were found, and Africa was only represented by nine South African genomes [56]. By far, most Eu2 were isolated in the Americas. Previous studies have shown that Eu2 dominates in Southern Europe, particularly in the Iberian Peninsula [14], thus possibly the source of Eu2 in the Americas. There were no representatives of Eu2 from the Iberian Peninsula in our dataset. However, our molecular dating analysis revealed that the common ancestor of Eu2 evolved during the period 1459 to 1662 AD (Fig. 3), which would be compatible with an introduction from Europe into the Americas.

Clonal complex Eu1, unknown6, unknow7 and unknown8, form a sister group to the previously described. Eu1 has previously been characterized based on the RDEu1 deletion
and spoligotyping, showing that it is highly prevalent in regions of the world that were former trading partners of the UK $[8,13]$. That geographic range is well represented in our dataset including many genomes from the UK ( $\mathrm{n}=215$ ) and Ireland ( $\mathrm{n}=45$ ) (Table S2). The latter were very closely related, suggesting that there was probably fixation of just a few genotypes in this region as previously proposed [8]. In contrast, most branching events within Eu1 correspond to M. bovis isolated in North- and Central America as well as New Zealand, resulting from the expansion of clonal families not seen in the British Islands. Consequently, most of the genetic diversity of Eu1 exists outside of its putative region of origin. Our molecular dating is compatible with this view, indicating that the ancestor of Eu1 is likely to have emerged between the year 1294 to 1541 AD (Fig. 3), with several Eu1 sub-clades evolving in the last 200-300 years (TreeS1.txt, TreeS2.txt).
The closest relative to Eu1 is a genome from Ethiopia (unknown8) with the spoligotyping pattern SB1476, commonly found in Ethiopia [12]. Unknown6 comprised seven genomes from North America (Fig. 2, Table S2, Table S3), whereas unknown7 included eight genomes, four of which were isolated in Western Europe and another four without country of origin available. Spoligotyping patterns indicate that identical strains are common in Southern Europe, Northern and Eastern Africa expanding the geographic range of unknown7.

## CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

We screened the public repositories and compiled 3,364 genome sequences of M. bovis and M. caprae from 35 countries. Although this dataset mostly represents local epidemics, it provides novel insights into the phylogeography of M. bovis and M. caprae. Our wholegenome based phylogeny showed that although certain spoligotypes show specific associations with monophyletic groups, prevalent patterns such as the so-called "BCG-like" should not be used to infer phylogenetic relatedness. Moreover, our data extend the previously known phylogenetic diversity of $M$. bovis by eight previously uncharacterized clades in addition to the four clonal complexes described previously. Among those, unknown $l$ shares a common ancestor with the rest of $M$. bovis, has an exclusively East African distribution and does not share the PncA mutation H57D, conferring intrinsic resistance to PZA. We provide here a global phylogenetic framework that can be further exploited to find better molecular markers for settings where WGS cannot be easily implemented.
Our further inferences suggest that $M$. bovis evolved in East Africa between the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ century. While some M. bovis groups remained restricted to East Africa, others have dispersed to different parts of the world. The contemporary geographic distribution of $M$.
bovis clades suggest that East- and North Africa, Southern Europe and Western Asia have played an important role in shaping the population structure of these pathogens. However, these regions were underrepresented in our dataset. Thus, more $M$. bovis genomes from these regions are necessary to generate a more complete picture, particularly given the central role of the latter in the history of cattle domestication [57].
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## FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 - Geographic distribution of the M. bovis samples used in this study according to isolation country. The circles correspond to pie charts and are coloured according to clonal complexes.

Figure 2 - Maximum likelihood phylogeny of 476 of the 3,364 genomes included in this study (redundant genomes were removed), and inferred from 22,492 variable positions. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per polymorphic site. The phylogeny is rooted on a M. tuberculosis Lineage 6 genome from Ghana (not shown) and bootstrap values are shown for the most important splits. The coloured bars on the side of the phylogeny show the different clonal complexes. Other "unknown" monophyletic clades are coloured in black and additionally the branches of the eight clades are coloured to show their phylogenetic position more precisely. The pie charts mapped on the tree represent the summary posterior probabilities (from 100 runs) of the reconstructed ancestral geographic states and are coloured according to geographical UN region. Inferred spoligotype patterns from WGS described in M. bovis spoligotype database [30] are indicated for the unknown clades.

Figure 3 - The inferred age of main monophyletic clades according to LSD and Beast dating analyses. The confidence intervals reported correspond to the BEAST analysis. The Beast analysis was based on 300 genomes and the LSD analysis was based on 2058 genomes (see methods section for subsampling strategy). Only one genome from the Af1 clonal complex was included in the dating analyses and therefore the dates reported correspond to the node where Af1 diverged.

## Supporting material

Figure S1 - Flow chart showing the selection of genomes.

Figure S2 - Maximum likelihood phylogeny of all 3,364 genomes, based on 45,981 variable positions. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per polymorphic site. The phylogeny is rooted on a M. tuberculosis Lineage 6 genome from Ghana. The outer ring indicates the geographical region from which the strains were isolated. The four clonal complexes are highlighted on the tree. Branches corresponding to BCG genomes are coloured in grey and the PncA mutation H57D is indicated by a yellow star.

Figure S3 - Phylogeographic reconstruction of M. bovis and M. caprae, inferred from 392 genomes. Thirteen UN-defined geographic regions were assigned to the discrete character geographic origin, and mapped onto the phylogeny. Pie charts at internal nodes represent the summary posterior probabilities (from 100 runs) of the reconstructed ancestral geographic states and are coloured according to geographical UN region

Figure $\mathbf{S 4}$ - A) Tip-to-root regression and B) Date randomization tests (DTR). Significance levels the clock rate estimate for the observed data does not overlap; 1) with the range of estimates obtained from the randomized sets (simple test); 2) with the confidence intervals of the estimates obtained from the randomized sets (intermediate test) and 3) the confidence interval of the clock rate estimate for the observed data does not overlap with the confidence intervals of the estimates obtained from the randomized sets (stringent test).

Table S1 - List of genomes included in this study along with metadata used for the analyses.

Table S2 - Comparison of models for discrete character evolution using likelihood ratio tests.

Table S3 - Spoligotype patterns determined in silico for different clonal complex groups with reference to other studies.

TreeS1.txt - The maximum clade credibility tree inferred using Bayesian evolutionary analysis in BEAST2 [41] based on 300 genomes. Tips labels are present in Table S1. Ages in years before present and correspondent $95 \%$ High Posterior Density (HPD) can be visualized as node_heights and height_95\%_HPD respectively, in FigTree [58].

668

671 FigTree [58].

TreeS2.txt - Least-squares dating using LSD [39] based on 2058 genomes. Tips labels are present in Table S1. Ages in years before present can be visualized as Node heights in
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