1   **Inhibition of a negative feedback for persistent epithelial cell–cell junction**

2   **contraction by p21-activated kinase 3**

3

4   **Authors:** Hiroyuki Uechi and Erina Kuranaga[*]

5

6   **Affiliations:** Laboratory for Histogenetic Dynamics, Graduate School of Life Sciences,

7   Tohoku University, 6-3, Aramaki-aza-aoba, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

8

9   [*]Corresponding author (erina.kuranaga.d1@tohoku.ac.jp)

10

11

12   **Abstract**

13   Actin-mediated mechanical forces are central drivers of cellular dynamics. They generate

14   protrusive and contractile dynamics, the latter of which are induced in concert with myosin II

15   bundled at the site of contraction. These dynamics emerge concomitantly in tissues and even

16   each cell; thus, the tight regulation of such bidirectional forces is important for proper cellular

17   deformation. Here, we show that contractile dynamics can eventually disturb cell–cell junction

18   contraction in the absence of p21-activated kinase 3 (Pak3). Upon Pak3 depletion, contractility

19   induces the formation of abnormal actin protrusions at the shortening junctions, which reduces

20   E-cadherin levels at adherens junctions. Such E-cadherin dilution dissociates myosin II from

21   the contracting junctions, leading to a reduction in junctional tensile forces. Overexpressing E-

22   cadherin restores the association of myosin II at the junctions and junction contraction. Our

23   results suggest that contractility both induces and perturbs junction contraction and that the

24   attenuation of such perturbations by Pak3 facilitates persistent junction shortening.

25

26

27   The cell collectives composing animal bodies sculpt tissue architectures through various

28   cellular behaviors such as cell division, deformation, rearrangement, and migration. The

29   cytoskeletal protein actin is the central protein that drives these cellular behaviors by generating

30   mechanical forces[1–7]. While actin generates protrusive forces by forming branched and bundled

31   structures, it also supplies contractile forces by forming bundled structures and loosely

32   organized networks in concert with non-muscle myosin II. Such bidirectional force generation

33   by actin can coexist in each cell and even at the same position within cells. During single-cell

34   migration, protrusive actin dynamics extend cells at the leading edge, while actomyosin (the

35   actin and myosin II complex) contraction causes retraction at the rear of the cell along the

36   migrating direction[8,9]. A recent study of *Drosophila* eye development demonstrated that

37   pulsatile extension by protrusive branched actin networks and counterbalancing actomyosin

38   contractility-mediated shortening of each cell–cell contact control cellular shape[10]. Thus, the

39   tight regulation of actin dynamics is important for proper force induction and the resultant

40   cellular dynamics.

41   Epithelial cell intercalation is one of the multicellular dynamics driven by the

42   contractile forces of actomyosin and contributes to directional tissue extension and

43   movement[11–13]. This process consists of the directional exchange of cellular positions within

44   cell collectives, which is driven by cell–cell junction remodeling (i.e., shortening of cell–cell

45   junctions and subsequent growth of new ones in new directions)[14]. During shortening, actin

46   and myosin II are highly enriched at the adherens junctions (AJs) of cell–cell junctions to form

47   contractile actomyosin bundles that then shorten the junctions[1,11,15–19]. The mechanisms

48   inducing contractile forces via actomyosin are well studied; however, it is still unknown

49   whether actomyosin-mediated contractions are negatively affected during cell–cell junction

50   shortening, and if so, how the shortening is sustained.

51   The rotation of *Drosophila* male genitalia is an example of epithelial cell

52   intercalation[20]. During metamorphosis, male genitalia located at the posterior end of the body

53   undergo 360° dextral rotation around the anterior–posterior axis (Fig. 1a)[21,22]. This rotation is

54   observed from 24 to 36 h after puparium formation (APF), and is composed of two movements

55   of epithelial cells: the initial 180° dextral movement of the genitalia along with the surrounding

56   epithelia, which is called the posterior compartment of the A8 segment (A8p), at the anterior

57   side of the genitalia, and the subsequent 180° dextral movement of the anterior compartment

58   of the A8 segment (A8a), the latter of which starts at 26 h APF[20–22]. During rotation, the A8a

59   cells frequently induce left–right polarized junction remodeling in relation to the anterior–

60   posterior axis in the confined space between the A8p epithelia and the A7 segment, which

4

61 results in unidirectional epithelial cell movement[20,23]. Consistent with other tissues, the

62 accumulation of actomyosin at the AJs of cell–cell junctions induces junction contraction in

63 this model. Down-regulation of contractile activity, such as via RNA interference (RNAi) of

64 myosin II regulatory light chain (MRLC), compromises the remodeling and hence results in

65 insufficient A8a cell movement, leading to incomplete rotation of the genitalia[20].

66 Here, we examined actin and myosin II dynamics in the A8a epithelia during the

67 rotation of *Drosophila* genitalia and revealed that, upon junction contraction, actin dynamics

68 at cell–cell junctions are compromised in p21-activated kinase 3 (Pak3) mutant flies. These

69 aberrant actin dynamics disturb the distribution of E-cadherin and myosin II at the junctions,

70 and thus eventually disrupt the junction contraction. These findings suggest that Pak3 blocks

71 the negative feedback of contractility and ensures persistent junction contraction and

72 rearrangement of epithelial cells.

73

74 **Results**

75 **Pak3 is required for A8a cell movement during the rotation of *Drosophila* genitalia.** To

76 understand the molecular basis underlying epithelial cell–cell junction remodeling, we

77 searched for genes involved in the movement of A8a cells. In the control flies, time-lapse

78   images showed that male genitalia underwent the 360° full rotation during metamorphosis, and

79   all male flies had the normal orientation of genitalia (Fig. 1a,b). We found that RNAi targeting

80   Pak3 in the A8a epithelia under the control of the *AbdB-Gal4* driver caused insufficient

81   movement of the A8a epithelia during rotation, while the genitalia still underwent the 180°

82   movement with respect to the A8a epithelia (Fig. 1a)[24,25]. In addition, depleting Pak3 induced

83   the misorientation of genitalia in approximately 60% of adult male flies, similar to that reported

84   for MRLC depletion (Fig. 1b)[20]. Co-expression of Dicer2, which augments RNAi efficiency[26],

85   with Pak3 RNAi increased the frequency of misorientation to > 90%, which was associated

86   with a decline in rotation angle, while the overexpression (OE) of Pak3 tagged with green

87   fluorescent protein (Pak3::GFP) restored the normal orientation, excluding the possibility of

88   an off-target effect of this Pak3 RNAi (Fig. 1b). These results indicate that Pak3 is involved in

89   epithelial tissue dynamics.

90   Immunostaining of fixed epithelia revealed that Pak3 was expressed in the A8a cells,

91   as indicated by its decreased signals in Pak3 RNAi cells that were clonally introduced into

92   wild-type tissues (Fig. 1c). Signals for the lateral membrane-associating protein Discs large

93   (Dlg)[27] at cell–cell boundaries were indistinguishable between wild-type cells and Pak3 RNAi

94   clones, suggesting that Pak3 RNAi does not compromise the integrity of epithelial cells (Fig.

95   1c).

96

97   **Pak3 is required for cell–cell junction shortening.** To examine the role of Pak3 in cellular

98   dynamics, we monitored the dynamics of cell–cell junctions labeled with GFP-tagged E-

99   cadherin (E-Cad::GFP), an adhesive AJ component, during A8a cell movement[28,29]. In the

100   control epithelia, we observed that cell–cell junctions undergo shortening, form four-way

101   vertices, and subsequently grow in other directions, consistent with a previous report (Fig. 1d;

102   Supplementary Movie 1)[20]. In the Pak3-depleted A8a cells, junctions frequently failed to

103   complete shortening; that is, they did not shorten sufficiently to form four-way vertices and

104   instead went back to the original direction (Fig. 1d; Supplementary Movie 2). To quantify these

105   defective junction dynamics, we categorized the junctions in A8a cells according to their

106   dynamics as follows: junctions that completed shortening and grew in other directions

107   (remodeling), junctions that completed shortening, but failed to grow in other directions after

108   forming four-way vertices (growth defect), junctions that shortened sufficiently to reach 1.5

109   μm in length, but failed to form four-way vertices (shortening defect), and junctions that did

110   not shorten to less than 1.5 μm in length (no remodeling) (Fig. 1e). Then, the populations of

111   junctions in each category were examined. In the control cells, approximately 55% of junctions

7

112   underwent remodeling, and few junctions showed defects during a 4-h period following the

113   initiation of A8a cell movement (from 26 to 30 h APF, Fig. 1f). By contrast, in Pak3 RNAi

114   cells, the frequency of junction remodeling was decreased to < 10%, and instead, the number

115   of shortening junctions that failed to form four-way vertices (categorized as a shortening

116   defect) was significantly increased (Fig. 1f). Similar propensities were observed in the A8a

117   cells of a Pak3 hypomorphic mutant ($Pak3^{d02472}$)[30], although the decline in remodeling

118   frequency was not substantial compared to that in Pak3 RNAi cells (Fig. 1f). Meanwhile, the

119   frequency of the growth defect was not altered in these Pak3 mutants (Fig. 1f). These results

120   suggest that Pak3 is required for junction shortening during cell intercalation.

121

122   **Pak3 suppresses aberrant actin dynamics in response to junction contraction.** Junction

123   shortening is driven by the contractile forces of actomyosin accumulating at the AJs of

124   junctions, and Pak protein families are known to regulate actin dynamics[5,31–33]. These findings

125   and our observations led us to speculate that Pak3 has roles in actin dynamics during junction

126   shortening in the A8a epithelia. To test this possibility, we performed time-lapse imaging of

127   GFP-tagged Lifeact (Lifeact::GFP), which labels F-actin[34]. In the control A8a cells, actin was

128   distributed predominantly at cell–cell junctions (Fig. 2a; Supplementary Movie 3). Magnified

8

129   images showed that, whereas the majority of Lifeact::GFP signals were localized along

130   junctions, actin frequently generated small protrusions arising from the junctions (Fig. 2b). The

131   maximum size of these actin-positive protrusions was 1.1 ± 0.34 μm in height (perpendicular

132   to the junctions) and 2.1 ± 1.1 μm in width (parallel to the junctions) (Fig. 2c). These

133   observations suggest that actin not only merely forms into bundled structures along cell–cell

134   junctions but also generates small protrusive structures in the A8a cells undergoing junction

135   remodeling. In Pak3 RNAi cells, actin also localized to cell–cell junctions, but generated

136   significantly larger protrusive structures, compared to those in the control cells (Fig. 2a–c;

137   Supplementary Movie 4). Similar actin-positive structures were also observed in *Pak3^{d02472}*

138   flies with another actin-labeling probe, GFP-tagged actin-binding domain of utrophin

139   (UtrABD::GFP) (Fig. 2d)[35,36]. To semi-quantify these actin dynamics in Pak3 RNAi cells, we

140   defined a "large" actin protrusion as a Lifeact-positive cluster at junctions that was greater than

141   1.5 μm in height and greater than 3 μm in width in a planar section; both lengths approximately

142   exceeded the mean + 1 standard deviation (S.D.) of each length of the actin protrusions in the

143   control cells, respectively (Fig. 2c,e). We then examined the frequency of the appearance of

144   such large protrusions at each junction (Fig. 2f). While greater than 95% of cell–cell junctions

145   in the control cells did not generate large protrusions, these structures emerged at almost all

9

146   junctions at least once per hour in Pak3 RNAi cells (Fig. 2f). Again, overexpressing Pak3

147   partially alleviated the formation of large protrusions: although these structures were still

148   observed in approximately 50% of junctions, they were absent from greater than 40% of

149   junctions, and only a few junctions formed large protrusions more than three times per hour

150   (Fig. 2f). These data suggest that Pak3 suppresses the formation of aberrant actin protrusions

151   in the A8a cells.

152   Branched actin networks induce the formation of protrusive actin structures and are

153   generated by the Arp2/3 complex, which is stimulated by the WAVE regulatory complex

154   (WRC)[1,3]. To determine whether this pathway is involved in the formation of large protrusions,

155   we used RNAi targeting Abi, a component of the WRC, in Pak3-depleted cells[37,38]. Depletion

156   of Abi suppressed the generation of large actin protrusions, although it did not completely

157   restore actin dynamics (Fig. 2f). This suggests that the aberrant actin protrusions are in part

158   composed of branched actin-networks.

159   To further characterize these aberrant actin protrusions in Pak3 RNAi cells, we

160   explored the correlation between their emergence and junction dynamics. In Pak3-depleted

161   cells, junctions failed to undergo remodeling (Fig. 1f), but instead underwent repeated

162   shrinkage and extension (Fig. 2g). We found that the generation of large protrusions was

163   initiated frequently when the junctions were shortening rather than when they were extending

164   (Fig. 2g). Approximately 80% of the large actin protrusions emerged at shortening junctions

165   (Fig. 2h). These observations raise the possibility that junction contraction sensitizes cells to

166   the formation of large protrusions. To evaluate this possibility, we depleted MRLC or Rock,

167   an upstream activator of myosin II[1,5]. Depletion of these factors suppressed the emergence of

168   large protrusions in Pak3 RNAi cells (Fig. 2f). Collectively, these results suggest that Pak3

169   suppresses the formation of branched network-containing actin protrusions at cell–cell

170   junctions upon contraction of the junctions.

171

172   **Pak3 retains myosin II cables and tension at cell–cell junctions.** During the emergence of

173   aberrant actin protrusions, the junctions failed to continue shortening and instead re-extended

174   (Fig. 2g), implying that while large protrusions are induced upon junction contraction, they in

175   turn perturb contraction. To understand how junction contraction is compromised, we

176   examined the dynamics of myosin II. Time-lapse imaging of GFP-tagged MRLC

177   (MRLC::GFP) showed that the majority of MRLC::GFP signals were observed as a single

178   cable at cell–cell junctions (Fig. 3a). In the control cells, the MRLC::GFP signals still remained

179   as a single cable at the initiation of junction shortening; however, when the junction lengths

11

180   were decreased, the MRLC::GFP signals split into two distinct cables at the shortening

181   junctions (Fig. 3a, arrowheads). In Pak3-depleted cells, we found that the MRLC::GFP cables

182   had already split before the junctions had shortened sufficiently (Fig. 3a, arrowheads).

183   Measurement of the lengths of junctions when MRLC::GFP cables split revealed that depleting

184   Pak3 significantly increased their length from 1.3 ± 0.41 to 2.2 ± 0.72 μm (Fig. 3b). Since E-

185   Cad::GFP signals did not segregate at shortening junctions (Fig. 1d), this observation suggests

186   that myosin II is dissociated from shortening cell–cell junctions.

187   To examine the interplay between the large actin protrusions and myosin II cables in

188   Pak3 RNAi cells, we next observed myosin II and actin dynamics simultaneously using

189   MRLC::GFP and Lifeact tagged with red fluorescent protein (Lifeact::Ruby)[34]. We categorized

190   the aberrant actin and myosin II dynamics into the following three groups and examined their

191   proportions: splitting of myosin II cables, generation of large actin protrusions, and both (Fig.

192   3c–e). At junctions greater than 3 μm in length, which roughly exceed the mean + 1 S.D. of

193   the length with split myosin II cables in Pak3-depleted cells (Fig. 3b), large protrusive

194   structures were still generated in the absence of myosin II splitting, suggesting that the

195   dissociation of myosin II from cell–cell junctions is not located upstream from the formation

196   of aberrant actin protrusions (Fig. 3d). In contrast, at junctions less than 3 μm in length (or

12

197   shortening junctions), most of the splitting cables were observed concomitantly with large actin

198   protrusions (Fig. 3e). This observation raises the possibility that the emergence of large actin

199   protrusions triggers the segregation of myosin II at shortening junctions. To test this possibility,

200   we depleted Abi in Pak3 RNAi cells. This manipulation reduced the length at which the

201   junctions showed split myosin II cables, suggesting that myosin II dissociation is associated

202   with the generation of aberrant actin protrusions (Fig. 3f,g).

203   We hypothesized that the failure of junction contraction observed in Pak3-depleted

204   cells was attributed to the dissociation of myosin II from shortening junctions and the

205   consequent reduction in tension at the junctions. To evaluate tension at junctions, we cut the

206   junctions by ablation with a 365-nm laser and measured the displacement of their vertices,

207   which reflects junctional tension (Fig. 3h,i)[39,40]. This showed that the initial speed of

208   displacement upon laser ablation was decreased in Pak3 RNAi cells (Fig. 3i). Collectively,

209   these results suggest that Pak3 ensures junction contraction by retaining myosin II attachment

210   and tension at cell–cell junctions.

211

212   **Dilution of E-cadherin at cell–cell junctions mediates myosin II dissociation.** To clarify

213   how the large actin protrusions and consequent segregation of myosin II at shortening junctions

214   are connected, we again explored the distribution of E-cadherin, since it is a central component

215   of the cadherin–catenin core complex that acts as a scaffold for actomyosin at AJs[29,41].

216   Magnified time-lapse images of E-Cad::GFP in the control cells revealed that while the

217   majority of E-Cad::GFP signals were localized along cell–cell junctions, they frequently

218   formed small protrusions arising from the junctions (Fig. 4a, arrowheads; Supplementary

219   Movie 5). These protrusions were positive for E-Cad::GFP and Lifeact::Ruby, suggesting that

220   E-cadherin is associated with actin protrusions (Fig. 4b). We also found that E-Cad::GFP levels

221   were sometimes decreased locally at the base of these protrusions on the junctions (Fig. 4a,

222   broken line). These observations suggest that actin protrusions can reduce the local levels of

223   junctional E-cadherin. The junctions in Pak3-depleted cells also generated E-Cad::GFP-

224   positive protrusions, but they were larger in size (Fig. 4a, arrowheads; Supplementary Movie

225   6). In addition, the local regions associated with E-Cad::GFP dilution on junctions were

226   extended (Fig. 4a, broken lines). These observations suggest that Pak3 depletion enhances the

227   reduction in E-cadherin levels at cell–cell junctions.

228   We then asked whether this dilution of E-cadherin is involved in the formation of

229   aberrant actin protrusions and/or myosin II segregation. To this end, we upregulated E-cadherin

230   levels in the Pak3-depleted A8a cells and examined if this manipulation suppressed these

14

231   dynamics. A comparison of E-Cad::GFP intensities at the local regions with and without actin

232   protrusions (Int$_{w/}$ and Int$_{w/o}$, respectively) within each junction in Pak3-depleted cells revealed

233   that the levels of endogenous E-cadherin tagged with GFP (knock-in, KI) were significantly

234   reduced to approximately 80% in the presence of actin protrusions (Fig. 4c–e). Overexpressing

235   E-Cad::GFP under the control of the ubiquitin promoter, which slightly increased E-cadherin

236   protein levels[42], attenuated the local reduction in E-Cad::GFP levels upon the formation of

237   actin protrusions (Fig. 4c,e). However, this manipulation did not attenuate the generation of

238   large actin protrusions, indicating that E-cadherin dilution is located downstream from the

239   formation of actin protrusions (Fig. 4f).

240   We next induced the overexpression of intact E-cadherin[43]. This manipulation

241   suppressed the dissociation of myosin II from cell–cell junctions in Pak3 RNAi cells, which

242   was indicated by a reduction in the length of junctions with split MRLC::GFP cables, similar

243   to the effect of Abi RNAi (Figs. 3f,g and 4g,h). Taken together, these results suggest that the

244   dilution of E-cadherin at cell–cell junctions induced by actin protrusions results in the inability

245   of Pak3-depleted cells to retain myosin II at the junctions.

246

247   **E-cadherin maintains tissue dynamics.** We finally explored whether overexpressing E-

15

248   cadherin also restored tissue dynamics in Pak3 RNAi flies. E-Cad::GFP overexpression

249   partially restored the junction-remodeling frequency in Pak3-depleted cells and slightly

250   decreased the frequency of the shortening defect (Fig. 5a). In addition, this manipulation

251   decreased the number of adult males with abnormal orientations of genitalia (Figs. 1b and 5b).

252   These results suggest that the E-cadherin-mediated association of myosin II at junctions is

253   required for completing junction shortening, leading to proper cell intercalation and epithelial

254   cell movement.

255

256   **Discussion**

257   During cell–cell junction shortening, actin and myosin II accumulate at junctions, and the

258   resultant actomyosin bundles generate contractile forces[5,44]. In contrast, previous reports

259   demonstrated that myosin II contractility potentiates actin unbundling and depolymerization in

260   vitro and in vivo[45,46], which could compromise contraction. It has also been recently shown

261   that cell–cell contacts concomitantly induce both myosin II-driven contraction and protrusive

262   branched actin-mediated extension[10]. Therefore, it would be reasonable to suppose that there

263   is a mechanism to maintain contractility for persistent cell–cell junction shortening. In this

264   study, we found that, in the absence of Pak3, aberrant actin dynamics at junctions disturb

265 junction contraction during their shortening. On the basis of our results, we suppose the

266 following scenario in cells lacking Pak3 (Fig. 5c): (i) upon contraction of cell–cell junctions,

267 actin dynamics at the junctions are altered to generate abnormally large protrusions; (ii) these

268 aberrant protrusions cause the dilution of E-cadherin at the junctions; (iii) the decrease in E-

269 cadherin levels weakens the association of myosin II cables at the junctions; and (iv) the

270 dissociation of myosin II cables causes insufficient transmission of their contractility to the

271 junctions, leading to a decrease in junctional tension and incomplete junction shortening. In

272 the normal condition (in the presence of Pak3), Pak3 blocks excess formation of actin

273 protrusions, keeps E-cadherin and myosin II localized at junctions, and thus ensures persistent

274 junction contraction, which is necessary for completing cell–cell junction remodeling and the

275 resultant tissue movement (Fig. 5c).

276   This scenario proposes a possible negative feedback mechanism (Fig. 5c, green

277 arrows); the contractile forces of myosin II at junctions drive junction shortening, but they can

278 oppositely perturb shortening by concomitantly altering actin dynamics, such as inducing the

279 formation of WRC-dependent branched actin networks. Since Pak proteins are reported to

280 regulate actin dynamics[47,48], Pak3 is a candidate for counteracting such undesirable actin

281 dynamics, although its precise molecular mechanism is still unclear. Considering that Pak

17

282   proteins and the WRC are activated by Rho GTPases[47,49,50], it is possible that the presence of

283   Pak3 sequesters these GTPases from the branching network-forming machineries. In addition,

284   since Pak proteins are involved in a diverse array of biological events and have various

285   substrates[31,51–54], the identification of Pak3 substrates in this context will further deepen our

286   understanding of how cells accomplish persistent junctional dynamics.

287

288   **Methods**

289   **Fly genetics.** The following *Drosophila* stocks were used: *w^1118^, hs-flp, Act>CD2>Gal4, UAS-*

290   *mCD8::mCherry, UAS-Lifeact::GFP, UAS-Lifeact::Ruby, His2Av::mRFP, UAS-Dicer2, UAS-*

291   *MRLC* (*sqh*) *RNAi* (JF01103), and *UAS-Abi RNAi* (HMC03190) (Bloomington Drosophila

292   Stock Center); *UAS-MRLC RNAi* (7916) and *UAS-Rock RNAi* (3793) (Vienna Drosophila

293   Resource Center); *UAS-Pak3 RNAi* (14895R-1) (National Institute of Genetics, Japan); *UAS-*

294   *E-cadherin* and *Ubi-E-cadherin::GFP* (Drosophila Genomics and Genetic Resources); *UAS-*

295   *Pak3::GFP* (a gift from S. Hayashi); *AbdB-Gal4^LDN^* (ref. 24); *Pak3^d02472^* (ref. 30); *E-*

296   *Cad::GFP*[28]; *sqh^AX3^;sqh-sqh::GFP* (MRLC::GFP)[55]; *sqh-UtrABD::GFP*[36]; and *UAS-*

297   *Histone2B (H2B)::ECFP*[56]. The flies were raised, and all experiments were performed at 25°C.

298   Somatic RNAi clones were induced using the FLP/FRT technique[57] in white pupae (at 0 h

18

299   APF) by heat shock (37°C for 15 min).

300   **Antibodies.** Antibodies against Dlg (4F3; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) and

301   Pak3[58] were used.

302   **Immunohistochemistry.** Pupae were dissected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in

303   phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min at room temperature (RT) and permeabilized with

304   0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS (PBT). The permeabilized samples were incubated in PBT with 5%

305   donkey serum (blocking buffer) for 30 min at RT, incubated with primary antibodies in

306   blocking buffer overnight at 4°C, washed with PBT, incubated in blocking buffer for 30 min

307   at RT, and incubated with secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-mouse IgG; Life

308   Technologies) in blocking buffer for 2 h at RT. The samples were washed with PBT and

309   mounted with 70% glycerol in PBS. Fluorescence microscopy images were captured on a TCS

310   SP8 with a 63× numerical aperture (NA) 1.3 glycerol objective (Leica). Images are maximum

311   intensity projections of serial optical sections taken at a 0.5-μm z step size.

312   **Live imaging.** Pupae were prepared as described previously[21]. Time-lapse imaging of flies was

313   performed using an SP8 confocal microscope with 63× NA 1.3 glycerol and 20× NA 0.75 dry

314   objectives (Leica), except for the images in Figs. 1D and 3A and Movies S1 and S2, which

315   were obtained using an inverted microscope with a 60× NA 1.3 silicone oil objective

19

316   (Olympus) equipped with a spinning-disc confocal unit (CSU-W1; Yokogawa) and a Zyla 4.2

317   PLUS sCMOS camera (Andor). All images are maximum intensity projections at the level of

318   the AJs taken at a 1-μm z step size, except for images showing rotation of the genitalia (Fig.

319   1A), which are maximum intensity projections of the posterior end of flies taken at a 5-μm z

320   step size. Time-lapse images were acquired at 10-s, 20-s, 2-min, or 10-min intervals.

321   **Laser ablation.** Laser ablation was performed with a 365-nm MicroPoint laser (Andor). To

322   cut junctions, a 365-nm laser pulse was applied for 1 iteration to the point at the middle of the

323   targeted junctions at the level of AJs, which was determined by maximum E-Cad::GFP

324   intensity.

325   **Tracking of junction dynamics.** Junction dynamics were analyzed manually with Fiji

326   software. Projected time-lapse images were used. In the A8a epithelia, junctions were tracked

327   from the initiation of movement (26 h APF) to the time when the genitalia angles were greater

328   than 90° (30 h APF)[21]. Junctions that were remodeled at least once were categorized as

329   "remodeling." Junctions that failed to resolve four-way vertices were categorized as "growth

330   defect." Junctions that remodeled, but then immediately retracted the new junctions and re-

331   formed in the original direction were also categorized as "growth defect." Junctions that

332   shortened to less than 1.5 μm in length, but failed to form four-way vertices were categorized

333   as "shortening defect." "No remodeling" included junctions that did not shorten to less than

334   1.5 μm in length.

335   **Quantification of junction length, fluorescence intensity, and actin dynamics.** Cell–cell

336   junction length was determined as the distance between vertices, except for when split

337   MRLC::GFP cables emerged, which was determined as the distance between myosin II cables

338   in cells surrounding the shortening junctions when the myosin II cables in cells forming the

339   shortening junctions first segregated. The mean fluorescence intensity of E-Cad::GFP at a

340   region on the junctions was measured using a line along the junctions. The frequency of the

341   emergence of large actin protrusions was counted at each junction within 1 h.

342   **Statistical analysis.** All statistical analyses were performed using R. To assess significance,

343   the following tests were used: two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's

344   test for comparing the percentage of remodeling junctions; two-way ANOVA followed by

345   Dunnett's test for comparing junction length with split myosin II cables among Pak3 RNAi

346   cells; unpaired two-tailed t-test for comparing two samples; one-sample t-test for the

347   significance of the $\text{Int}_{w/}/\text{Int}_{w/o}$ ratio with test values of 1; and unpaired two-tailed Mann-

348   Whitney U-test followed by Bonferroni's test for comparing the frequency of the appearance

349   of actin diffusive structures.

21

350

Acknowledgements

352 We thank S. Hayashi for providing the *UAS-Pak3::GFP* fly strain; N.T. Sherwood for

353 providing the *Pak3^{d02472}* fly strain; T. Lecuit for providing the *sqh-UtrABD::GFP* fly strain; N.

354 Harden for providing the anti-Pak3 antibodies; E. Maekawa, A. Isomura and Y. Umegaki, S.

355 Sato, K. Takahashi and A. Kuranaga for supporting the experiments; and members of the S.

356 Hayashi, T. Nishimura, S. K. Yoo, Y.-C. Wang, and all member of our laboratory for

357 discussions. We are grateful to "ThinkSCIENCE" for language proofreading of the manuscript.

363

364

Author contributions

366 H.U. conceived the study, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the

22

367   manuscript. E.K. conceived the study, analyzed the data, and revised the manuscript.

368

Competing interests

370   The authors declare no competing interests.

371

Materials and correspondence

373   Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be

374   fulfilled by the corresponding author, Erina Kuranaga (erina.kuranaga.d1@tohoku.ac.jp).

375

REFERENCES

377   1.   Lecuit, T., Lenne, P.-F. & Munro, E. Force Generation, Transmission, and Integration

378   during Cell and Tissue Morphogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* (2011).

379   doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100109-104027

380   2.   Murrell, M., Oakes, P. W., Lenz, M. & Gardel, M. L. Forcing cells into shape: The

381   mechanics of actomyosin contractility. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* (2015).

382   doi:10.1038/nrm4012

383   3.   Krause, M. & Gautreau, A. Steering cell migration: Lamellipodium dynamics and the

384   regulation of directional persistence. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* (2014).

385   doi:10.1038/nrm3861

386   4.   Heisenberg, C.-P. & Bellaïche, Y. Forces in Tissue Morphogenesis and Patterning.

387   *Cell* **153**, 948–962 (2013).

388   5.   Levayer, R. & Lecuit, T. Biomechanical regulation of contractility: Spatial control and

389   dynamics. *Trends in Cell Biology* **22**, 61–81 (2012).

390   6.   Huse, M. Mechanical forces in the immune system. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **17**, 679–690

391   (2017).

392   7.   Pinheiro, D. & Bellaïche, Y. Mechanical Force-Driven Adherens Junction Remodeling

393   and Epithelial Dynamics. *Dev. Cell* **47**, 3–19 (2018).

394   8.   Mayor, R. & Etienne-Manneville, S. The front and rear of collective cell migration.

395   *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **17**, 97–109 (2016).

396   9.   Ladoux, B., Mège, R. M. & Trepat, X. Front-Rear Polarization by Mechanical Cues:

397   From Single Cells to Tissues. *Trends in Cell Biology* (2016).

398   doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2016.02.002

399   10. Del Signore, S. J., Cilla, R. & Hatini, V. The WAVE Regulatory Complex and

400   Branched F-Actin Counterbalance Contractile Force to Control Cell Shape and

24

401   Packing in the Drosophila Eye. *Dev. Cell* **44**, 471-483.e4 (2018).

402   11.   Walck-Shannon, E. & Hardin, J. Cell intercalation from top to bottom. *Nat. Rev. Mol.*

403   *Cell Biol.* **15**, 34–48 (2014).

404   12.   Guillot, C. & Lecuit, T. Mechanics of epithelial tissue homeostasis and

405   morphogenesis. *Science* **340**, 1185–1189 (2013).

406   13.   Irvine, K. D. & Wieschaus, E. Cell intercalation suring Drosophila germband

407   extension and its regulaton by pair00rule segmentation genes. *Development* **120**, 827–

408   841 (1994).

409   14.   Guirao, B. & Bellaïche, Y. Biomechanics of cell rearrangements in Drosophila.

410   *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **48**, 113–124 (2017).

411   15.   Bertet, C., Sulak, L. & Lecuit, T. Myosin-dependent junction remodelling controls

412   planar cell intercalation and axis elongation. *Nature* **429**, 667–71 (2004).

413   16.   Martin, A. C. Pulsation and stabilization: Contractile forces that underlie

414   morphogenesis. *Developmental Biology* (2010). doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.10.031

415   17.   Fernandez-Gonzalez, R., Simoes, S. de M., Röper, J.-C., Eaton, S. & Zallen, J. A.

416   Myosin II Dynamics Are Regulated by Tension in Intercalating Cells. *Dev. Cell* **17**,

417   736–743 (2009).

418   18.   Simões, S. de M. *et al.* Rho-Kinase Directs Bazooka/Par-3 Planar Polarity during

419   Drosophila Axis Elongation. *Dev. Cell* **19**, 377–388 (2010).

420   19.   Zallen, J. A. & Wieschaus, E. Patterned Gene Expression Directs Bipolar Planar

421   Polarity in Drosophila. *Dev. Cell* **6**, 343–355 (2004).

422   20.   Sato, K. *et al.* Left–right asymmetric cell intercalation drives directional collective cell

423   movement in epithelial morphogenesis. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 10074 (2015).

424   21.   Kuranaga, E. *et al.* Apoptosis controls the speed of looping morphogenesis in

425   Drosophila male terminalia. *Development* **138**, 1493–1499 (2011).

426   22.   Suzanne, M. *et al.* Coupling of apoptosis and L/R patterning controls stepwise organ

427   looping. *Curr. Biol.* **20**, 1773–1778 (2010).

428   23.   Sato, K., Hiraiwa, T. & Shibata, T. Cell Chirality Induces Collective Cell Migration in

429   Epithelial Sheets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, (2015).

430   24.   de Navas, L., Foronda, D., Suzanne, M. & Sánchez-Herrero, E. A simple and efficient

431   method to identify replacements of P-lacZ by P-Gal4 lines allows obtaining Gal4

432   insertions in the bithorax complex of Drosophila. *Mech. Dev.* **123**, 860–867 (2006).

433   25.   Brand, A. H. & Perrimon, N. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates

434   and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* **118**, 401–415 (1993).

435   26.   Dietzl, G. *et al.* A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene

436   inactivation in Drosophila. *Nature* **448**, 151–156 (2007).

437   27.   Macara, I. G. Parsing the polarity code. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*

438   (2004). doi:10.1038/nrm1332

439   28.   Huang, J., Zhou, W., Dong, W., Watson, A. M. & Hong, Y. From the Cover: Directed,

440   efficient, and versatile modifications of the Drosophila genome by genomic

441   engineering. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 8284–9 (2009).

442   29.   Takeichi, M. Dynamic contacts: Rearranging adherens junctions to drive epithelial

443   remodelling. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **15**, 397–410 (2014).

444   30.   Ozdowski, E. F., Gayle, S., Bao, H., Zhang, B. & Sherwood, N. T. Loss of Drosophila

445   melanogaster p21-activated kinase 3 suppresses defects in synapse structure and

446   function caused by spastin mutations. *Genetics* (2011).

447   doi:10.1534/genetics.111.130831

448   31.   Bokoch, G. M. Biology of the p21-Activated Kinases. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* (2003).

449   doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.72.121801.161742

450   32.   Hofmann, C. The genetics of Pak. *J. Cell Sci.* (2004). doi:10.1242/jcs.01392

451   33.   Mentzel, B. & Raabe, T. Phylogenetic and structural analysis of the Drosophila

27

452   melanogaster p21-activated kinase DmPAK3. *Gene* (2005).

453   doi:10.1016/j.gene.2004.12.030

454   34.   Riedl, J. *et al.* Lifeact: A versatile marker to visualize F-actin. *Nat. Methods* (2008).

455   doi:10.1038/nmeth.1220

456   35.   Burkel, B. M., Von Dassow, G. & Bement, W. M. Versatile fluorescent probes for

457   actin filaments based on the actin-binding domain of utrophin. *Cell Motil.*

458   *Cytoskeleton* (2007). doi:10.1002/cm.20226

459   36.   Rauzi, M., Lenne, P.-F. & Lecuit, T. Planar polarized actomyosin contractile flows

460   control epithelial junction remodelling. *Nature* **468**, 1110–1114 (2010).

461   37.   Eden, S., Rohatgi, R., Podtelejnikov, A. V., Mann, M. & Kirschner, M. W. Mechanism

462   of regulation of WAVE1-induced actin nucleation by Rac1 and Nck. *Nature* (2002).

463   doi:10.1038/nature00859

464   38.   Chen, B. *et al.* The WAVE regulatory complex links diverse receptors to the actin

465   cytoskeleton. *Cell* **156**, 195–207 (2014).

466   39.   Rauzi, M., Verant, P., Lecuit, T. & Lenne, P.-F. Nature and anisotropy of cortical

467   forces orienting Drosophila tissue morphogenesis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **10**, 1401–1410

468   (2008).

469   40.   Umetsu, D. *et al.* Local Increases in Mechanical Tension Shape Compartment

470   Boundaries by Biasing Cell Intercalations. *Curr. Biol.* **24**, 1798–1805 (2014).

471   41.   Lecuit, T. & Yap, A. S. E-cadherin junctions as active mechanical integrators in tissue

472   dynamics. *Nature Cell Biology* **17**, 533–539 (2015).

473   42.   Oda, H. & Tsukita, S. Real-time imaging of cell-cell adherens junctions reveals that

474   Drosophila mesoderm invagination begins with two phases of apical constriction of

475   cells. *J. Cell Sci.* **114**, 493–501 (2001).

476   43.   Oda, H. & Tsukita, S. Nonchordate Classic Cadherins Have a Structurally and

477   Functionally Unique Domain That Is Absent from Chordate Classic Cadherins. *Dev.*

478   *Biol.* **216**, 406–422 (1999).

479   44.   Martin, A. C. & Goldstein, B. Apical constriction: themes and variations on a cellular

480   mechanism driving morphogenesis. *Development* (2014). doi:10.1242/dev.102228

481   45.   Medeiros, N. A., Burnette, D. T. & Forscher, P. Myosin II functions in actin-bundle

482   turnover in neuronal growth cones. *Nat. Cell Biol.* (2006). doi:10.1038/ncb1367

483   46.   Haviv, L., Gillo, D., Backouche, F. & Bernheim-Groswasser, A. A Cytoskeletal

484   Demolition Worker: Myosin II Acts as an Actin Depolymerization Agent. *J. Mol. Biol.*

485   (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2007.09.066

29

486   47.   Ridley, A. J. Rho GTPases and actin dynamics in membrane protrusions and vesicle

487   trafficking. *Trends in Cell Biology* (2006). doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2006.08.006

488   48.   Dráber, P., Sulimenko, V. & Dráberová, E. Cytoskeleton in mast cell signaling.

489   *Frontiers in Immunology* (2012). doi:10.3389/fimmu.2012.00130

490   49.   Heasman, S. J. & Ridley, A. J. Mammalian Rho GTPases: new insights into their

491   functions from in vivo studies. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**, 690–701 (2008).

492   50.   Ridley, A. J. Rho GTPases and cell migration. *J. Cell Sci.* (2001).

493   51.   Radu, M., Semenova, G., Kosoff, R. & Chernoff, J. PAK signalling during the

494   development and progression of cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer* (2014).

495   doi:10.1038/nrc3645

496   52.   Duan, R. *et al.* Group I PAKs function downstream of Rac to promote podosome

497   invasion during myoblast fusion in vivo. *J. Cell Biol.* (2012).

498   doi:10.1083/jcb.201204065

499   53.   Kamiyama, D. *et al.* Specification of Dendritogenesis Site in Drosophila aCC

500   Motoneuron by Membrane Enrichment of Pak1 through Dscam1. *Dev. Cell* (2015).

501   doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2015.09.007

502   54.   Chiang, Y. -t. A. & Jin, T. p21-Activated protein kinases and their emerging roles in

503   glucose homeostasis. *AJP Endocrinol. Metab.* (2014).

504   doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00506.2013

505   55.   Royou, A., Sullivan, W. & Karess, R. Cortical recruitment of nonmuscle myosin II in

506   early syncytial Drosophila embryos: Its role in nuclear axial expansion and its

507   regulation by Cdc2 activity. *J. Cell Biol.* **158**, 127–137 (2002).

508   56.   Koto, A., Kuranaga, E. & Miura, M. Temporal regulation of Drosophila IAP1

509   determines caspase functions in sensory organ development. *J. Cell Biol.* **187**, 219–

510   231 (2009).

511   57.   Xu, T. & Rubin, G. M. Analysis of genetic mosaics in developing and adult

512   Drosophila tissues. *Development* **117**, 1223–1237 (1993).

513   58.   Bahri, S. *et al.* The leading edge during dorsal closure as a model for epithelial

514   plasticity: Pak is required for recruitment of the Scribble complex and septate junction

515   formation. *Development* (2010). doi:10.1242/dev.045088

516

517

518   **Figure Legends**

519   **Figure 1 | Pak3 is required for cell–cell junction shortening during epithelial junction**

31

520 **remodeling.** (**a**) Representative time-lapse images of male genitalia observed from the

521 posterior end during rotation. The ventral side is located at the top. Nuclei in the A8a cells and

522 the whole body are depicted in green and purple, respectively. Yellow and white arrows

523 indicate the movements of genitalia and the A8a epithelia, respectively. Scale bar, 100 μm. (**b**)

524 Left, schema for the categorization of genitalia angles. Yellow arrow indicates genitalia

525 movement. Gray indicates the 360° rotation of genitalia (normal orientation). Right,

526 percentages of male adult flies with the indicated genitalia angles are shown. Parentheses

527 indicate the number of males examined. (**c**) A8a epithelia at 28 h APF immunostained for Pak3

528 and Dlg. Pak3 RNAi cells are indicated with mCherry signals. Scale bar, 10 μm. Broken lines

529 indicate the edges of Pak3 RNAi clones. (**d**) Representative time-lapse images of E-Cad::GFP

530 at remodeling junctions. Stars and triangles indicate cells forming the shortening junctions.

531 Orange, blue, and green arrowheads indicate shortening junctions, four-way vertices, and

532 growing junctions, respectively. Scale bar, 5 μm. (**e**) Schema representing the categorization

533 of junction dynamics. (**f**) Mean ± S.D. of the percentages of junctions with the categorized

534 dynamics. Bar colors correspond to (e). Parentheses indicate the number of examined junctions

535 from 3 pupae per genotype. *P*-values by Tukey's test. Genotypes: (**a**)

536 *+/Y;His2Av::mRFP/+;AbdB-Gal4,*   *UAS-H2B::ECFP/+*   (Control)   and

32

537   *+/Y;His2Av::mRFP/+;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi*; (**b**) *+/Y;;AbdB-*

538   *Gal4/+* (Control), *+/Y;;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-MRLC RNAi*, *+/Y;;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3 RNAi*,

539   *+/Y;UAS-Dicer2/+;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3   RNAi*,   and   *+/Y;;AbdB-Gal4,   UAS-*

540   *Pak3::GFP/UAS-Pak3   RNAi*;   (**c**)   *hs-flp/Y;E-Cad::GFP;Act>CD2>Gal4,   UAS-*

541   *mCD8::mCherry/UAS-Pak3   RNAi*;   (**d**   and   **f**)   *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4,   UAS-*

542   *H2B::ECFP/+* (Control), *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi*,

543   and *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP;Pak3^{d02472}*.

544   **Figure 2 | Pak3 depletion enhances the formation of large actin protrusions.** (**a**) Images of

545   actin labeled with Lifeact::GFP in the A8a cells. Arrowheads indicate some actin protrusions.

546   Scale bar, 10 μm. (**b**) Magnified time-lapse images of Lifeact::GFP at cell–cell junctions. Scale

547   bar, 3 μm. (**c**) Mean ± S.D. of the width and height of actin protrusion. Parentheses indicate

548   the number of examined protrusions from 5 pupae per genotype. *P*-values by unpaired *t*-test.

549   (**d**) Images of actin labeled with UtrABD::GFP in the A8a cells. Arrowheads indicate some

550   actin protrusions. Scale bar, 10 μm. (**e**) Schema of large actin (magenta) protrusions at a

551   boundary (black). (**f**) Percentages of junctions generating large actin protrusions for the

552   indicated number of times per hour are shown. Parentheses indicate the number of examined

553   junctions from 4–5 pupae per genotype. *P*-values by Mann-Whitney *U*-test. (**g**) Graph showing

33

554   the length of a representative junction that repeats shortening and re-extension. The timings

555   when large actin protrusions emerge are shown in magenta. (**h**) Mean ± S.D. of the timing of

556   the onset of large actin protrusion formation. Parenthesis indicates the number of protrusions

557   from 15 junctions of 3 pupae. Genotypes: (**a–c**) *+/Y;UAS-Lifeact::GFP/+;AbdB-Gal4/+*

558   (Control)   and   *+/Y;UAS-Lifeact::GFP/+;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3   RNAi;* (**d**)   *+/Y;sqh-*

559   *UtrABD::GFP/+*   (Control)   and   *+/Y;sqh-UtrABD::GFP/+;Pak3^{d02472};* (**f**)   *+/Y;UAS-*

560   *Lifeact::GFP/+;AbdB-Gal4/+*   (Control),   *+/Y;UAS-Lifeact::GFP/+;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3*

561   *RNAi, +/Y;UAS-Lifeact::GFP/+;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-Pak3::GFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi, +/Y;UAS-*

562   *Lifeact::GFP/UAS-Abi   RNAi;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3   RNAi,   +/Y;UAS-Lifeact::GFP/UAS-*

563   *MRLC   RNAi;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3   RNAi,   and   +/Y;UAS-Lifeact::GFP/UAS-Rock*

564   *RNAi;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3 RNAi;* (**g** and **h**) *+/Y;UAS-Lifeact::GFP/+;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-*

565   *Pak3 RNAi.*

566   **Figure 3 | Pak3 depletion causes the dissociation of myosin II and reduces tension at**

567   **junctions.** (**a**) Time-lapse images of MRLC::GFP at shortening junctions. Stars and triangles

568   indicate cells forming the shortening junctions. Orange arrowheads indicate splitting myosin

569   II cables. Scale bar, 3 μm. (**b**) Mean ± S.D. of the lengths of junctions with split myosin II

570   cables; 30 junctions from 3 (Control) and 4 (Pak3 RNAi) pupae were examined. *P*-value by

34

571   unpaired t-test. (**c**) Representative images of cell–cell junctions with split myosin II cables,

572   large actin protrusions, and both (from the left). Scale bar, 5 μm. (**d** and **e**) Mean ± S.D. of the

573   percentages of aberrant actin and myosin II dynamics as categorized in (c) at cell–cell junctions

574   larger than (d) and smaller than (e) 3 μm. Parentheses indicate the number of examined

575   junctions from 3 pupae. (**f**) Representative images of split MRLC::GFP cables (orange

576   arrowheads) at junctions. Scale bar, 5 μm. (**g**) Mean ± S.D. of the lengths of junctions with

577   split myosin II cables. Parentheses indicate the number of examined junctions from 5 (Pak3

578   RNAi) and 6 (Pak3 and Abi RNAis) pupae. P-value by Dunnett's test. (**h**) Kymographs of cell–

579   cell junctions labeled with E-Cad::GFP and ablated with a 365-nm laser at $t = 0$. (**i**) Mean ±

580   S.D. of the initial speed of vertex displacement after ablation; 32 junctions from 9 (Control)

581   and 8 (Pak3 RNAi) pupae were examined. P-value by unpaired t-test. Genotypes: (**a** and **b**)

582   *MRLC^{AX3}/Y;MRLC-MRLC::GFP;AbdB-Gal4,*   *UAS-H2B::ECFP/+*   (Control)   and

583   *MRLC^{AX3}/Y;MRLC-MRLC::GFP;AbdB-Gal4,   UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3   RNAi;* (**c–e**)

584   *MRLC^{AX3}/Y;MRLC-MRLC::GFP/UAS-Lifeact::Ruby;AbdB-Gal4,   UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-*

585   *Pak3 RNAi;* (**f** and **g**) *MRLC^{AX3}/Y;MRLC-MRLC::GFP/+;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-*

586   *Pak3   RNAi   and   MRLC^{AX3}/Y;MRLC-MRLC::GFP/UAS-Abi   RNAi;AbdB-Gal4,   UAS-*

587   *H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi;* (**h** and **i**) *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/+*

35

588   (Control) and *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi*.

589   **Figure 4 | Reduction of E-cadherin levels at junctions induces myosin II dissociation. (a)**

590   Magnified time-lapse images of E-Cad::GFP at junctions. Arrowheads indicate E-Cad::GFP-

591   positive protrusions, and broken lines indicate the local decrease in E-Cad::GFP levels at the

592   bases of the protrusions. Scale bar, 3 μm. (**b** and **c**) Images of junctions with E-Cad::GFP and

593   Lifeact::Ruby in control (b) and Pak3 RNAi (c) cells. Arrowheads indicate E-Cad::GFP and

594   Lifeact::Ruby double-positive protrusions. Scale bar, 2 (b) and 3 (c) μm. (**d**) Schema of E-

595   Cad::GFP intensities at local areas on the junctions with ($Int_{w/}$) and without ($Int_{w/o}$) large actin

596   (magenta) protrusions. (**e**) Mean ± S.D. of the ratio of $Int_{w/}$ and $Int_{w/o}$ per junction. Parentheses

597   indicate the number of examined junctions from 4 (Pak3 RNAi) and 3 (Pak3 RNAi and E-

598   Cad::GFP overexpression) pupae. *P*-value by unpaired *t*-test. (**f**) Percentages of junctions

599   forming large actin protrusions for the indicated number of times per hour are shown.

600   Parentheses indicate the number of examined junctions from 4 pupae per genotype. *P*-values

601   by Mann-Whitney *U*-test. (**g**) Representative images of split MRLC::GFP cables (orange

602   arrowheads) at junctions. Scale bar, 5 μm. (**h**) Mean ± S.D. of the lengths of junctions with

603   split myosin II cables. The Pak3 RNAi data (left) are the same as in Fig. 3g. Parenthesis

604   indicates the number of examined junctions from 6 pupae. *P*-value by Dunnett's test.

36

605 Genotypes: (**a**) *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/+* (Control) and *+/Y;E-*

606 *Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi*; (**b**) *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP/UAS-*

607 *LifeAct::Ruby;AbdB-Gal4/+;* (**c** and **e**) *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP/UAS-Lifeact::Ruby;AbdB-*

608 *Gal4/UAS-Pak3 RNAi* and *+/Y;Ubi-E-Cad::GFP/UAS-Lifeact::Ruby;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3*

609 *RNAi*; (**f**) *+/Y; UAS-Lifeact::Ruby/+;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3 RNAi* and *+/Y; UAS-*

610 *Lifeact::Ruby/Ubi-E-Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3 RNAi*; (**g** and **h**) *MRLC^{AX3}/Y;MRLC-*

611 *MRLC::GFP/UAS-E-cadherin;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi.*

612 **Figure 5 | E-cadherin overexpression rescues tissue dynamics.** (**a**) Mean ± S.D. of the

613 percentages of junctions with the categorized dynamics. Bar colors correspond to Fig. 1e. The

614 Pak3 RNAi data (left) are the same as in Fig. 1f. Parenthesis indicates the number of examined

615 junctions from 3 pupae per genotype. *P*-values by Tukey's test. (**b**) Percentages of male adult

616 flies with genitalia angles indicated in Fig. 1b are shown. Parenthesis indicates the number of

617 males examined. (**c**) Schema of Pak3-dependent junction contraction. (i)–(iv) indicate the

618 negative feedback mechanism (green arrows, described in the Discussion section). Genotypes:

619 (**a**) *+/Y;Ubi-E-Cad::GFP/E-Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi*; (**b**)

620 *+/Y;Ubi-E-Cad::GFP/+;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi.*

621 **Supplementary Movie 1.** Dynamics of cell–cell junctions during the movement of control

622   A8a cells. E-cadherin was visualized with E-Cad::GFP. Scale bar, 10 μm. Genotype, *+/Y;E-*

623   *Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/+.*

624   **Supplementary Movie 2.** Dynamics of cell–cell junctions during the movement of Pak3 RNAi

625   A8a cells. E-cadherin was visualized with E-Cad::GFP. Scale bar, 10 μm. Genotype, *+/Y;E-*

626   *Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3 RNAi.*

627   **Supplementary Movie 3.** Dynamics of actin at cell–cell junctions in the control A8a cells.

628   Actin was visualized with Lifeact::GFP. Scale bar, 10 μm. Genotype, *+/Y;UAS-*

629   *Lifeact::GFP;AbdB-Gal4/+.*

630   **Supplementary Movie 4.** Dynamics of actin at cell–cell junctions in the Pak3 RNAi A8a cells.

631   Actin was visualized with Lifeact::GFP. Scale bar, 10 μm. Genotype, *+/Y;UAS-*

632   *Lifeact::GFP;AbdB-Gal4/UAS-Pak3 RNAi.*

633   **Supplementary Movie 5.** Dynamics of E-Cad::GFP at cell–cell junctions in the control A8a

634   cells. Scale bar, 3 μm. Genotype, *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/+.*

635   **Supplementary Movie 6.** Dynamics of E-Cad::GFP at cell–cell junctions in Pak3 RNAi A8a

636   cells. Scale bar, 3 μm. Genotype, *+/Y;E-Cad::GFP;AbdB-Gal4, UAS-H2B::ECFP/UAS-Pak3*

637   *RNAi.*

638

a

h APF: 24 27 30 33 36

Nuclei in whole bodies /A8a

Control

Pak3 RNAi

b

360°

% males with indicated genital angles

(100) (81) (110) (56) (68)

Control
MRLC RNAi
Pak3 RNAi
Pak3 RNAi + Dicer2
Pak3 RNAi + Pak3 OE

c

Pak3

mCherry

Dlg

mCherry

d

E-Cad::GFP

Control

0:00:00 0:11:20 0:12:20 0:22:00

Pak3 RNAi

0:00:00 0:15:00 0:16:20 0:22:00

e

Remodeling

Growth defect

Shortening defect (< 1.5 μm)

No remodeling (> 1.5 μm)

f

% junctions with indicated dynamics

$P = 0.0046$

$P = 3.8 \times 10^{-6}$

$P = 9.1 \times 10^{-5}$

$P = 3.3 \times 10^{-5}$

$P = 0.97$

$P = 0.92$

Control (280)   Pak3 RNAi (289)   Pak3^{d02472} (362)

Figure 1

a Lifeact::GFP

Control   *Pak3* RNAi

c
●: Control (26)
●: *Pak3* RNAi (36)

$P = 6.6 \times 10^{-11}$

$P = 1.0 \times 10^{-14}$

d UtrABD::GFP

Control   *Pak3*^{d02472}

e
> 3 μm
>1.5 μm

b Lifeact::GFP

0:00 0:20 0:40 1:00 1:20 1:40 2:00 2:20 2:40 3:00 3:20 3:40 4:00 4:20 4:40 5:00

Control

Pak3 RNAi

f
$P < 9.9 \times 10^{-15}$
$P < 9.9 \times 10^{-15}$
$P < 9.9 \times 10^{-15}$
$P < 9.9 \times 10^{-15}$
$P = 2.8 \times 10^{-10}$

% junctions that generate indicated times of large protrusive structures per hour

(183) (190) (222) (359) (260) (273)

Control – *Pak3* OE *Abi* RNAi *MRLC* RNAi *Rock* RNAi

Pak3 RNAi

≥4
3
2
1
0

g
Junction length (μm)
Time (min)

h
% large protrusive structures

(50)

□ At extending junctions
■ At shortening junctions

Figure 2

a MRLC::GFP

Control
00:00 08:40 15:50 28:20 34:20

Pak3 RNAi
00:00 03:00 07:40 18:40 27:40

b $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$

Length with split MRLC::GFP cables (μm)

Control
Pak3 RNAi

c Split cables / Protrusive structure / Both

MRLC::GFP
Lifeact::Ruby

d % of indicated actin and/or myosin II dynamics

Split cables / Protrusive structure / Both

Boundaries, > 3 μm (130)

e % of indicated actin and/or myosin II dynamics

Split cables / Protrusive structure / Both

Boundaries, < 3 μm (63)

f *Pak3* RNAi

MRLC::GFP
Abi RNAi

g $P = 0.0015$

Length with split MRLC::GFP cables (μm)

(16) (19)

– *Abi* RNAi

Pak3 RNAi

h
Control / *Pak3* RNAi

–4
0
8
time (sec)

i $P = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$

Initial speed (μm/sec)

Control
Pak3 RNAi

Figure 3

a E-Cad::GFP

Control
00:00 03:50 09:30 14:30

Pak3 RNAi
00:00 00:40 03:40 07:40

b Control

E-Cad::GFP

Lifeact::Ruby

Merge

c *Pak3* RNAi

E-Cad::GFP: KI   OE

E-Cad
::GFP

Lifeact
::Ruby

Merge

d

$Int_{w/o}$ $Int_{w/}$

e

$P = 5.0 \times 10^{-6}$
$P = 0.86$

(31) (25)

Ratio ($Int_{w/}$ / $Int_{w/o}$)

E-Cad::GFP   KI   OE
Pak3 RNAi

f

$P = 0.79$

(193) (188)

% junctions that generate indicated times of large protrusive structures per hour

≥4
3
2
1
0

E-Cad::GFP
OE
Pak3 RNAi

g *Pak3* RNAi
E-Cadherin OE

MRLC::GFP

h

$P = 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$

(28)

Length with split MRLC::GFP cables (µm)

–
E-Cadherin OE
Pak3 RNAi

Figure 4

a

% junctions with indicated dynamics (y-axis: 0 to 70)

P = 0.94
P = 0.0028
P = 0.020

E-Cad::GFP OE (282)

Pak3 RNAi

b

% males with indicated genital angles (y-axis: 0 to 100)

(86)

E-Cad::GFP OE

Pak3 RNAi

c

Pak3

Actin   Bundling structure → Large protrusion

E-Cadherin   Retained at junctions   Diluted at junctions

Myosin II   Attached at junctions   Dissociated from junctions

(i)   (ii)   (iii)   (iv)

Junction contraction

Cell–cell junction shortening

Figure 5