1A mutant form of Dmc1 that bypasses the requirement for accessory protein2Mei5-Sae3 reveals independent activities of Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51 in Dmc13filament stability

4				
5		Short Title: A Dmc1 mutant that bypasses Mei5-Sae3		
6		4 0 40*		
7		Diedre Reitz ¹ , Jennifer Grubb ² , Douglas K. Bishop ^{1,2,*}		
8				
9	1.	Committee on Genetics, Genomics, and Systems Biology, University of Chicago,		
10		Chicago, Illinois, USA		
11				
12	2.	Department of Radiation and Cellular Oncology, Department of Molecular		
13		Genetics and Cell Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA		
14				
15	* Correspondence: dbishop@uchicago.edu			

16 Abstract

17 During meiosis, homologous recombination repairs programmed DNA double-stranded breaks 18 (DSBs). Meiotic recombination physically links the homologous chromosomes ("homologs"), creating the 19 tension between them that is required for their segregation. The central recombinase in this process is 20 Dmc1. Dmc1's activity is regulated by its accessory factors Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51. We use a gain-of-21 function dmc1 mutant, dmc1-E157D, that bypasses Mei5-Sae3 to gain insight into the role of this 22 accessory factor and its relationship to mitotic recombinase Rad51, which also functions as a Dmc1 23 accessory protein during meiosis. We find that Mei5-Sae3 has a role in filament formation and stability, 24 but not in the bias of recombination partner choice that favors homolog over sister chromatids. We also 25 provide evidence that Mei5-Sae3 promotes Dmc1 filament formation specifically on single-stranded DNA. 26 Analysis of meiotic recombination intermediates suggests that Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51 function 27 independently in promoting filament stability. In spite of its ability to load onto single-stranded DNA and 28 carry out recombination in the absence of Mei5-Sae3, recombination promoted by the Dmc1 mutant is 29 abnormal in that it forms foci in the absence of DNA breaks, displays unusually high levels of multi-30 chromatid and intersister (IS) joint molecules intermediates, as well as high levels of ectopic 31 recombination products. We use super-resolution microscopy to show that the mutant protein forms 32 longer foci than those formed by wild-type Dmc1 (Dmc1-WT). Our data support a model in which longer 33 filaments are more prone to engage in aberrant recombination events, suggesting that filaments lengths 34 are normally limited by a regulatory mechanism that functions to prevent recombination-mediated 35 genome rearrangements.

36

37 Author Summary

During meiosis, two rounds of division follow a single round of DNA replication to create the gametes for biparental reproduction. The first round of division requires that the homologous chromosomes become physically linked to one another to create the tension that is necessary for their segregation. This linkage is achieved through DNA recombination between the two homologous chromosomes, followed by resolution of the recombination intermediate into a crossover (CO). Central to this process is the meiosis-specific recombinase Dmc1, and its accessory factors, which provide

44 important regulatory functions to ensure that recombination is accurate, efficient, and occurs 45 predominantly between homologous chromosomes, and not sister chromatids. To gain insight into the 46 regulation of Dmc1 by its accessory factors, we mutated Dmc1 such that it was no longer dependent on 47 its accessory factor Mei5-Sae3. Our analysis reveals that Dmc1 accessory factors Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51 48 have independent roles in stabilizing Dmc1 filaments. Furthermore, we find that although Rad51 is 49 required for promoting recombination between homologous chromosomes, Mei5-Sae3 is not. Lastly, we 50 show that our Dmc1 mutant forms abnormally long filaments, and high levels of aberrant recombination 51 intermediates and products. These findings suggest that filaments are actively maintained at short lengths 52 to prevent deleterious genome rearrangements.

53

54 Introduction

55 Homologous recombination is a high-fidelity mechanism of repair of DNA double strand breaks 56 (DSBs), interstrand cross-links, and stalled or collapsed replication forks. In addition, during meiosis, most 57 eukaryotes rely on CO recombination to physically link the maternal and paternal chromosomes via 58 chiasmata, thereby making it possible for the meiosis I spindle to create the tension between homolog 59 pairs that is required for their reductional segregation [1]. The RecA homolog Dmc1 plays the central 60 catalytic role in meiotic recombination in budding yeast [2,3]. Following DSB formation and end resection, 61 Dmc1 forms a helical nucleoprotein filament on the single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) tracts created by the 62 resection machinery [4]. The nucleoprotein filament then searches the genome for a sequence of duplex 63 DNA that is homologous to the ssDNA onto which it is loaded [5]. This region of homology can be an 64 allelic site on one of the two homologous chromatids or on the sister chromatid. In addition, if a DSB is in 65 a region that is repeated at more than one chromosomal locus, this can result in ectopic recombination 66 between the two chromosomal loci [6-9]. Meiotic recombination normally favors the use of the 67 homologous chromosome rather than the sister, consistent with the biological requirement for 68 interhomolog (IH) COs for reductional segregation; this phenomenon is known as "IH bias" [10,11]. Once 69 a homologous tract of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) in found, strand exchange occurs to form a tract of 70 hybrid DNA, pairing the ssDNA with the complementary strand of the duplex. Hybrid DNA formation

displaces the opposite strand of the donor dsDNA, forming a displacement loop (D-loop) [12]. The repair
 process then uses the intact donor duplex DNA as a template to direct DNA repair synthesis.

73 Homologous recombination is highly regulated to ensure its accuracy and avoid potentially 74 deleterious consequences of the process. Two key steps in homologous recombination, nucleoprotein 75 filament formation and the initial invasion event, are reversible and therefore subject to this regulation 76 [13]. Nucleoprotein filament formation, or nucleation, involves recruitment of the strand exchange protein 77 to sites with tracts of ssDNA, nucleation of filament formation which involves displacement of the high 78 affinity ssDNA binding protein RPA, and filament elongation which is driven by cooperative interactions 79 between strand exchange protomers. A class of accessory proteins collectively referred to as "mediator" 80 proteins can act to promote the displacement of RPA for filament nucleation and/or to stabilize nascent 81 filaments, allowing them to elongate [14,15]. Mutants lacking one of these assembly proteins display 82 defects in formation of filaments on ssDNA that can be detected by immunostaining or other cytological 83 methods following DNA damaging treatment, or during the normal mejotic program. UvrD family 84 helicases, including UvrD in prokaryotes and Srs2 in budding yeast, antagonize recombination at this step 85 by disassembling ssDNA nucleoprotein filaments [16-19]. Though the strippase function of Srs2 with 86 respect to Rad51 filaments has been well documented, Srs2 does not disassemble Dmc1 filaments, and 87 in fact Dmc1 may inhibit Srs2 activity on ssDNA [20,21]. It is currently unknown whether there exists an 88 ssDNA "strippase" for Dmc1.

89 Under normal circumstances in vivo, RecA family proteins form nucleoprotein filaments that are 90 usually shorter than the resolution limit of conventional light microscopy (~200 nanometers). This is true 91 for RecA, and for both eukaryotic RecA homologs, Rad51 and Dmc1 [22-25]. Super-resolution 92 microscopy imaging of Dmc1 filaments formed during meiosis indicates that Dmc1 filaments are typically 93 about 120 nanometers long, a length that roughly corresponds to 100 nucleotides when taking into 94 account the fact that RecA family proteins stretch the DNA ~1.5 fold when assembled into a filament, and 95 the length added by antibody decoration [26,27]. Furthermore, in the exo1-D173A mutant, in which DNA 96 end resection is impaired during meiosis, joint molecules are formed at a level that is equivalent to wild-97 type, implying that short ssDNA tracts support normal meiotic recombination [28]. In addition, longer than 98 normal Dmc1 filaments accumulate in the absence of Mnd1, a Dmc1 accessory protein that is required for Dmc1 activity after the filament formation stage [26]. Taken together, these results suggest that while
RecA family proteins are competent to form long filaments, they are regulated such that they form
relatively short filaments *in vivo*, though the significance of this regulation and the factors that influence
filament length are not well understood.

103 RecA family recombinases are DNA-dependent ATPases, but their ATPase activity is not 104 required for filament formation or for stand exchange [29-32]. Instead, ATP binding changes the 105 conformation of the protein to a form that has high affinity for DNA, and is thus the active form [29,33]. 106 The ADP bound form of the protein has lower affinity for DNA than the ATP-bound form, and is inactive in 107 homology search and strand exchange. In prokaryotes, RecA ATP hydrolysis is required for filament 108 disassembly following strand exchange, or when the protein inappropriately assembles on dsDNA 109 [25,32]. In contrast to RecA, the eukaryotic recombinases Rad51 and Dmc1 display relatively weak 110 intrinsic ATPase activity and rely on Rad54 family ATP-dependent dsDNA translocases to promote their 111 dissociation [34-38]. Translocase driven dissociation is required to clear strand exchange proteins from D-112 loops to allow completion of recombination events [39]. Translocases also prevent accumulation of off-113 pathway complexes formed by filament nucleation on unbroken dsDNA [37,39-43]. The translocases may 114 be of particular importance in eukaryotes because, unlike RecA, in vitro single-molecule fluorescence 115 imaging showed that Rad51-ADP dissociation from dsDNA is inefficient and incomplete, suggesting that 116 the activity of the translocases is required even when Rad51 is in the ADP-bound form [44]. Moreover, 117 Rad54 was observed to have an effect on Rad51-K191R, a Rad51 mutant that is completely defective in 118 ATP hydrolysis, implying that the ATPase activity of Rad51 is not required for it to be removed from 119 dsDNA by Rad54 [45-47]. Finally, in the context of the nucleoprotein filament, the ATPase domain of one 120 protomer directly contacts the N-terminal binding domain of the adjacent protomer; this observation is 121 believed to be the structural basis for the observation that ATP-binding promotes protomer-protomer 122 cooperativity [48,49].

We are interested in understanding how accessory proteins regulate the activity of the meiotic RecA homolog Dmc1. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Dmc1's activity is regulated by at least five key accessory proteins including RPA, Mei5-Sae3, Hop2-Mnd1, Rad51, and the translocase Rdh54 (a.k.a. Tid1). RPA rapidly binds to tracks of ssDNA and serves to regulate interactions of Dmc1's other accessory proteins with ssDNA [50]. *In vivo*, Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51 are required for normal Dmc1
filament formation at tracts of RPA coated ssDNA [51-53]. Hop2-Mnd1 is required for strand exchange,
but not for filament nucleation or stability [54,55]. Rdh54 is a Rad54 family translocase implicated in
promoting dissociation of Dmc1 from dsDNA, as discussed above.

131 Budding yeast Mei5-Sae3 is a homolog of Schizosaccharomyces pombe and mammalian Sfr1-132 Swi5/MEI5-SWI5, with no known homolog in plants [56]. In budding yeast, Mei5-Sae3 is Dmc1-specific, 133 whereas in fission yeast Sfr1-Swi5 is an accessory factor to both Dmc1 and the mitotic eukaryotic RecA 134 homolog Rad51 [57]. In mammals, MEI5-SWI5 protein is reported to function with RAD51, but there is no 135 known interaction with DMC1, and an effort to detect DMC1 stimulatory activity in vitro vielded negative 136 results [58,59]. Biochemical studies have suggested several functions for Mei5-Sae3. First, studies using 137 fission yeast proteins have shown that Sfr1-Swi5 stimulates fission yeast Rad51, Rph51, and Dmc1 in 138 three-stranded DNA exchange reactions, and it helps Rph51 overcome the inhibitory effect of RPA [57]. 139 Studies using purified budding yeast Mei5-Sae3 and Dmc1 similarly concluded that Mei5-Sae3 promotes 140 Dmc1 loading onto RPA-coated ssDNA, and that it enhances Dmc1-mediated D-loop formation when 141 used alone, or in combination with Rad51 [3,50,60]. Haruta et al. also reported that Sfr1-Swi5 enhances 142 Rph51's ATPase activity; this result was subsequently clarified by work from Su et al. using purified Mus 143 musculus proteins [57,59]. Su et al. showed that SWI5-MEI5 stimulates RAD51 by promoting ADP 144 release, the step in ATP hydrolysis that is believed to be the slowest and thus rate-limiting [59,61]. 145 Enhancement of ADP release is thought to have a stabilizing effect on Rad51 filaments by maintaining 146 them in the ATP-bound state. In addition, later studies using a single-molecule fluorescence resonance 147 energy transfer, concluded that mouse SWI5-MEI5 promotes RAD51 nucleation by preventing 148 dissociation, effectively reducing the number of protomers required for a nucleation event from three to 149 two [62]. The same study also found that fission yeast Sfr1-Swi5 prevents Rhp51 disassembly, 150 suggesting a conserved role for this complex in stabilizing Rad51 filaments. 151 In vivo, Saccharomyces cerevisiae Dmc1 and Mei5-Sae3 are interdependent for focus formation,

and the foci formed by the two proteins co-localize with one another, and with other DSB-dependent
proteins such as Rad51 [52,53]. Moreover, Dmc1 and Mei5-Sae3 both depend on Rad51 for normal
meiotic focus formation; average focus staining intensity is lower in *rad51* mutants than in wild-type

155 [51,52]. Consistent with its requirement for Dmc1 focus formation, Mei5-Sae3 is required for Dmc1-156 mediated recombination in vivo: DSBs form normally in mei5 or sae3 mutants, but these intermediates 157 are not converted to D-loops [52,53,63]. Fission yeast Rph51 differs from Dmc1 in its dependency on 158 Sfr1-Swi5; while loss of Sfr1-Swi5 reduces recombination, recombination is only eliminated when both 159 Sfr1-Swi5 and fission yeast Rad55-Rad57 homologs, Rph55-Rdp57, are deleted [64]. Similarly, 160 knockdown of MEI5-SWI5 in human cells impairs RAD51 focus formation in response to ionizing radiation 161 and also reduces recombination [58]. In contrast, deletion of mouse Swi5 and Sfr1 does not reduce the 162 level of recombination when assayed with a direct-repeat reporter construct, but it does make cells more 163 sensitive to DNA damaging agents that require homologous recombination to repair, including ionizing 164 radiation, camptothecin, and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor [65]. It is not known whether 165 these differences in the requirement of SWI5-MEI5 by RAD51 in humans and mouse are due to 166 differences in the cell types used or true biological differences in the human and mouse RAD51 167 recombinases [58].

168 Rad51, the RecA homolog that catalyzes homology search and strand exchange during mitotic 169 recombination, is the second accessory protein that plays a role in forming normal Dmc1 filaments during 170 meiosis [51]. Although Rad51 is required for normal meiotic recombination, its strand exchange activity is 171 dispensable [3]. In fact, Rad51 strand exchange activity is inhibited during meiosis I by the meiosis-172 specific protein Hed1 [66.67]. In the absence of Rad51, Dmc1 foci have reduced staining intensity. 173 suggesting that filaments are defective [51,68]. Recombination still occurs in rad51 mutants, but it is mis-174 regulated such that D-loop formation occurs predominantly between sister chromatids, instead of 175 between homologous chromosomes [69]. In addition, CO formation is reduced, only a sub-population 176 progresses through meiotic divisions, and the spores formed are not viable [70]. In biochemical 177 reconstitution experiments, Rad51 alone can stimulate Dmc1-mediated D-loop formation, although 178 optimal levels of D-loop formation require both Rad51 and Mei5-Sae3 [3]. In spite of its importance as a 179 Dmc1 accessory factor, very little is known about the molecular mechanisms involved in Rad51's non-180 catalytic role in meiotic recombination. In particular, it is not known if the role of Rad51 in homolog bias is 181 a consequence of its role in promoting Dmc1 filament formation.

182 One approach to studying the role of accessory proteins is to assume that the activity of the 183 enzyme has evolved to depend on that accessory factor. In this view, beneficial regulation of an enzyme's 184 activity is selected for at the expense of the enzyme's intrinsic activity. If such an evolutionary process is 185 responsible for a particular regulatory mechanism, it should be possible to mutate the core enzyme to 186 eliminate the "built-in" defect, rendering the mutant protein capable of catalyzing its reaction in the 187 absence of the accessory protein. Comparison of the activities of the mutant and wild-type proteins with 188 and without the accessory protein can then provide mechanistic insight into the processes that accessory 189 protein normally regulates.

190 We applied this approach to Dmc1 in an attempt to further elucidate the mechanisms through 191 which Mei5-Sae3 influence's Dmc1 activity. We identified a gain-of-function Dmc1 mutant whose activity 192 is independent of Mei5-Sae3. Characterization of this Dmc1 mutant provides new insight into the 193 mechanism of action of Mei5-Sae3 in vivo, and also sheds light on the functional relationship between 194 Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51. Furthermore, characterization of this gain-of-function version of Dmc1 reveals that 195 it forms longer than normal filaments and displays higher than normal levels of IS, ectopic, and multi-196 chromatid recombination. We interpret these observations in the context of recent studies showing that a 197 single strand exchange filament can simultaneously engage more than one dsDNA molecule.

198

199 Results

200 In order to better understand the function of Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51 in Dmc1-mediated HR, we 201 sought to identify a *DMC1* allele that would bypass the requirement for one of these accessory factors. 202 Analysis of Dmc1-mediated recombination in the absence of an accessory factor would then allow us to 203 identify regulatory features that depend on the accessory protein by comparison to the wild-type process. 204 To this end, we constructed two *dmc1* mutants based on two previously characterized gain-of-function 205 mutations in Dmc1 homologs, RecA-E96D and Rad51-I345T [32,71,72]. Sequence alignments indicated 206 that the amino acid residues altered in these mutants are conserved allowing us to construct 207 corresponding mutant forms of Dmc1; for RecA-E96D the corresponding mutant is Dmc1-E157D and for 208 Rad51-I134T the corresponding mutant is Dmc1-I282T.

209 To assess whether either of these Dmc1 mutants would bypass Mei5-Sae3 and/or Rad51, we 210 constructed diploid yeast lacking either Mei5 or Rad51 with the corresponding Dmc1 mutation, and 211 assessed sporulation efficiency and spore viability alongside DMC1⁺ mei5 and DMC1⁺ rad51 controls. In 212 a mei5 strain, tetrads are formed very inefficiently, whereas in a rad51 mutant, tetrads are formed, but 213 almost all spores within them are dead [52,53,70]. We found that dmc1-E157D bypasses Mei5-Sae3 with 214 respect to sporulation and spore viability (Table 1). The spore viabilities of dmc1-E157D, dmc1-E157D 215 mei5, and dmc1-E157D sae3 are nearly identical to one another (57.6%, 50.3%, and 57.0% respectively), 216 suggesting that Dmc1-E157D function is largely independent of Mei5-Sae3. In contrast, dmc1-E157D 217 does not bypass the requirement for rad51 with respect to spore viability (0.0% in rad51 versus 0.74% in 218 dmc1-E157D rad51).

219 Spore viability data from the dmc1-E157D/DMC1 heterozygote and dmc1-E157D/DMC1 mei5/" 220 heterozygote strains suggests that Dmc1-E157D is co-dominant with wild-type Dmc1 in the presence of 221 Mei5 (85.6%), but fully dominant to wild-type Dmc1 in the absence of Mei5 (58.8% in dmc1-E157D/DMC1 222 mei5/" versus 50.3% in dmc1-E157D mei5). In contrast to dmc1-E157D, we did not detect phenotypic 223 suppression in *dmc1-l282T* mutants, either with respect to prophase arrest in a *mei5* mutant background, 224 or with respect to spore viability in a rad51 background. Importantly, the Dmc1-E157D mutation does not 225 result in increased expression or stability of the protein as assayed by Western blotting of meiotic yeast 226 whole cell extracts, thus ruling out a trivial explanation for Dmc1-E157D's bypass of the mei5 and sae3 227 mutations (Supplemental Figure 1).

228 Dmc1-E157D forms meiotic immunostaining foci in the absence of Mei5 and Rad51

We next performed immunofluorescence staining of spread meiotic nuclei to examine Dmc1 focus formation in the *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5* strains. As shown previously, meiotic Dmc1-WT focus formation is severely defective in *mei5* mutant cells, but *dmc1-E157D* forms bright Dmc1 foci in the *mei5* mutant background (Figures 1a,b) [52,53]. Notably, Dmc1 foci accumulate to higher levels and persist for longer in *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5* when compared to wild-type.

One model suggests that Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51 cooperate to promote Dmc1 filament formation.
 Because *dmc1-E157D* bypasses *mei5*, we reasoned that if this model is correct, *dmc1-E157D* might also
 bypass the defect seen for formation of brightly-staining Dmc1 foci in *rad51* cells, even it does not

suppress the spore viability defect observed in these cells. To test this, we constructed *dmc1-E157D rad51* and *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* strains, and looked for Dmc1 focus formation in spread meiotic
nuclei. In contrast to a *rad51* single mutant, in which Dmc1-WT staining intensity is reduced, the Dmc1
foci observed in *dmc1-E157D rad51* and *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* nuclei were brighter and more
numerous than those in wild-type (Figures 1c,d) [51,68]. We conclude that *dmc1-E157D* bypasses the
role of Rad51 with respect to forming brightly staining Dmc1 foci.

243 *dmc1-E157D* forms immunostaining foci in the absence of DSBs

244 Because the Dmc1-E157D mutant is modeled after RecA-E96D, which has been shown to form 245 foci on undamaged DNA, we wanted to ask whether the same was true of the corresponding Dmc1 246 mutant [25]. To determine whether any of the foci that we observed in the *dmc1-E157D* background 247 resulted from binding to chromosomes independent of DSBs, we introduced the spo11 mutation into our 248 *dmc1-E157D* strains to block DSB formation. Spo11 is the catalytic subunit of a meiosis-specific complex 249 that induces DSBs at the outset of meiosis [73]. Immunostaining of spread meiotic nuclei for Dmc1 and 250 RPA revealed that in contrast to the spo11 single mutant, which typically forms few if any Dmc1 foci, 251 nearly all spo11 dmc1-E157D nuclei contained numerous Dmc1 foci (Figures 1e,f) [41]. RPA serves as a 252 marker for DSB-associated tracts of ssDNA in mid-to-late prophase I. RPA foci are detected early in 253 prophase in spo11 mutants owing to the role of RPA in pre-meiotic DNA replication, but then disappear 4 254 hours after induction of meiosis [74]. We found that at 4 hours, the majority of nuclei lacking RPA foci 255 contained Dmc1 foci in spo11 dmc1-E157D and spo11 dmc1-E157D mei5 (100% and 96% of nuclei 256 lacking RPA had Dmc1 foci, respectively) (Figure 1e). We conclude that Dmc1-E157D forms DSB-257 independent foci, suggesting that a substantial fraction of the foci observed in SPO11⁺ dmc1-E157D cells 258 represent off-pathway structures formed by binding unbroken chromosomal loci. 259 dmc1-E157D bypasses Mei5, but not Rad51, with respect to meiotic CO formation 260 To examine whether Dmc1-E157D is competent to carry out recombination in the absence of 261 Mei5, we performed 1D gel electrophoresis, followed by Southern blotting, to detect DNA double strand 262 breaks and formation of CO recombination products at the well-characterized recombination hotspot

HIS4::LEU2 [75]. 1D gel electrophoresis at HIS4::LEU2 can be used to detect DSB intermediates and IH

264 CO products. In addition, the same gels detect products that result from ectopic recombination between

the *HIS4::LEU2* locus and the native *LEU2* locus, which are separated by ~23 kilobases on chromosome
III [9,76]. As shown previously, DSBs accumulate and CO formation is very limited in *DMC1⁺ mei5*(Figures 2a,b) [52,53]. In contrast, although *dmc1-E157D mei5* cells accumulate DSBs, these
intermediates are resolved by 24 hours, at which point CO formation is equivalent to wild-type (Figures
2a,b). In addition, whereas only 8.7% of *DMC1⁺ mei5* cells progress through a meiotic division, 50.0% of *dmc1-E157D mei5* cells progress, a level nearly equivalent to *dmc1-E157D* (58.4%) (Figure 2b). This
shows that Dmc1-E157D bypasses the normal requirement for Mei5 during meiotic recombination.

272 Interestingly, ectopic recombination is elevated ~3.5-fold in *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5* relative
273 to wild-type.

274 Because Dmc1-E157D also bypasses Rad51 with respect to forming brightly staining foci, we 275 wanted to ask whether it similarly bypasses Rad51 for CO formation and DSB resolution at HIS4::LEU2. 276 Previous studies of rad51 mutants showed that DSBs accumulate and undergo more extensive resection 277 than wild-type [70]. In addition, the final level of COs that form in rad51 was reported to be 5-fold lower 278 than wild-type, and ectopic recombination is \sim 1.6-fold higher at 10 hours in sporulation medium [70,77]. 279 We confirmed these phenotypes for the rad51 single mutant (Figures 2a,b). Consistent with the failure of 280 dmc1-E157D to rescue the low spore viability phenotype of rad51, we found that dmc1-E157D rad51 281 accumulates hyper-resected DSBs (Figure 2a). Surprisingly, dmc1-E157D rad51 makes fewer COs than 282 rad51, implying that the dmc1-E157D rad51 double mutant is more defective than either the dmc1-E157D 283 single mutant or the rad51 single mutant. Meiotic progression data similarly indicates that dmc1-E157D is 284 more defective than both dmc1-E157D and rad51; only 24.8% of dmc1-E157D rad51 cells execute at 285 least one meiotic division, compared to 58.4% and 54.5% of dmc1-E157D cells and rad51 cells 286 respectively (Figure 2b). Additionally, very little ectopic recombination is detected in *dmc1-E157D rad51*, 287 possibly reflecting the fact that there is less recombination overall, or a change in the pattern of formation 288 of joint molecules (JMs) or how they are resolved. Overall our results indicate that dmc1-E157D does not 289 bypass rad51 with respect to resolution of meiotic DSBs.

The *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* triple mutant was similar to the *dmc1-E157D rad51* double, with the triple displaying slightly more pronounced defects final CO levels (Figure 2a,b). We also found that the efficiency of the first meiotic division is somewhat reduced in the *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* mutant (11.3%) compared to the *dmc1-E157D rad51* (24.8%) mutant (Figure 2b). These results indicate that
 recombination in *dmc1-E157D* displays a strong dependence on Rad51, but no dependence on Mei5,
 unless Rad51 is absent.

Introduction of the *spo11* mutation into the *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5* backgrounds
rescued the meiotic progression defects observed for *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5*(Supplemental Figure 2). Thus the meiotic progression defects observed in the *dmc1-E157D* background
are DSB-dependent. This finding suggests that although there are numerous DSB-independent Dmc1 foci

in these strains, these Dmc1-dsDNA complexes do not dramatically interfere with chromosome

301 segregation.

302 Dmc1-mediated meiotic recombination is independent of Mei5-Sae3 in *dmc1-E157D*

303 We next sought to further characterize Dmc1-E157D-mediated recombination in the absence of 304 Mei5 by 2D gel electrophoresis and Southern blotting. Using this method, an array of JM recombination 305 intermediates can be detected at the HIS4::LEU2 locus, including single-end invasions (SEIs), IS-double 306 Holliday junctions (IS-dHJs), IH-double Holliday junctions (IH-dHJs), and multi-chromatid joint molecules 307 (mcJMs) [78]. Representative 2D gel images are shown for each strain in Figure 3a. As expected, JM 308 formation is severely compromised in mei5 (Figures 3a,b). In contrast, in dmc1-E157D mei5, JM 309 formation is efficient, with IH-dHJ levels equivalent to those in wild-type. IS-dHJs, however, are increased 310 ~3-fold, reducing the IH-dHJ/IS-dHJ ratio from 5.0 in wild-type to ~1.5 in *dmc1-E157D* (Figure 3b). *dmc1-*311 E157D mei5 phenocopies dmc1-E157D, which also has increased IS-dHJs and a reduced IH/IS ratio of 312 ~1.6. SEIs are observed at the same levels in *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5* mutants as in wild-313 type (Figure 3b). Like IS-dHJs, mcJMs are increased relative to wild-type in both dmc1-E157D and dmc1-314 E157D mei5 (3.0-fold and 2.7-fold respectively). The similar array of JMs observed in dmc1-E157D and 315 dmc1-E157D mei5 cells further indicates that Dmc1-E157D-mediated recombination occurs 316 independently of Mei5-Sae3. Although a decrease in the IH/IS ratio can be interpreted as a defect in the 317 mechanism of IH bias, this case is unusual in that the decreased ratio results from increased IS-dHJs, 318 with no reciprocal decrease in IH-dHJS. The fact that the level of IH-dHJs in *dmc1-E157D mei5* cells is 319 equivalent to that in wild-type suggests that the mechanism of homolog bias is intact in this mutant, and 320 reveals that Mei5-Sae3 is not required for IH bias. The data also suggest that the *dmc1-E157D* mutant is

a hyper-recombinant mutant, displaying higher than normal levels of IS-dHJs and mcJMs, as well as

322 increased ectopic COs.

323 *dmc1-E157D rad51* exhibits a profound IH bias defect and a reduction in JM formation

324 We next examined Dmc1-E157D-mediated recombination in the absence of Rad51 using 2D gel 325 electrophoresis. In a rad51 mutant, Dmc1 carries out recombination, but there is a profound IH bias 326 defect, and most recombination occurs between sisters [69]. The IH-dHJ/IS-dHJ ratio in rad51 is 0.4 and 327 the same ratio is displayed by dmc1-E157D rad51 (Figures 4a,b). The defect in the IH/IS ratio is the result 328 of increased IS-dHJs and decreased in IH-dHJs. The profound defect in IH bias in dmc1-E157D rad51 329 contrasts with the dmc1-E157D single mutant, in which the IH-dHJ/IS-dHJ ratio is ~1.6. We conclude that 330 rad51 is epistatic to dmc1-E157D with respect to its impact on partner choice. The impact of a rad51 331 mutation on the IH/IS ratio in *dmc1-E157D* cells further supports the view that the mechanism of homolog 332 bias is intact in dmc1-E157D mei5 cells and therefore the conclusion that Mei5-Sae3 is not required for 333 homolog bias. The levels of IS-dHJs, IH-dHJs, SEIs, and mcJMs are all reduced roughly 2-fold in dmc1-334 E157D rad51 relative to rad51 (Figure 4b); thus, the hyper-recombinant phenotype of dmc1-E157D cells 335 is Rad51-dependent. These findings are also consistent with the observation that CO levels in *dmc1*-336 E157D are reduced about 2-fold by the rad51 mutation (see Figure 2b). 337 JM formation is absent in triple mutant *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* 338 We also analyzed the *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* triple mutant by 2D gel electrophoresis. 339 Surprisingly, while both dmc1-E157D mei5 and dmc1-E157D rad51 formed readily detectably levels of 340 JMs (Figures 3a,c), and Dmc1 foci were detected in *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* spread meiotic nuclei

341 (Figure 1c,d), no JMs were detected in the triple mutant (Figure 3c,d). Because *rad51* strains are

342 genetically unstable, we constructed an independent *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* diploid and repeated this

343 experiment to ensure that our original strain had not picked up an additional mutation that suppressed the

344 formation of JMs. Meiotic JMs were also undetectable in the duplicate *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* strain

- 345 (Supplemental Figures 3a,b). These 2D gel analyses are consistent with our findings that only ~10% of
- 346 cells progress through a meiotic division in *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51*, and that there is hyper-resection
- and limited CO formation in this strain (Figures 2a,b). We conclude that recombination is further
- 348 compromised in the triple mutant *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* than in either of the double mutants. These

results provide additional evidence that although Dmc1-E157D's activity is essentially Mei5-Sae3 independent in $RAD51^+$ cells, Mei5-Sae3 can promote limited Dmc1-E157D activity when Rad51 is absent.

352 The defects associated with *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5* are independent of Rad51's

353 catalytic activity

354 One possible explanation for the results we obtained from our JM analysis is that the dmc1-355 E157D mutation changes the behavior of Dmc1 in a manner that activates the strand exchange activity of 356 Rad51. This possibility is emphasized by previous results suggesting that Dmc1 itself inhibits Rad51's 357 strand exchange activity [79,80]. Normally, Rad51's strand exchange activity is repressed by Dmc1 and 358 by the meiosis-specific Rad51 inhibitor Hed1 [3,66]. However, it was important to determine if Rad51's 359 strand exchange activity plays a greater role in promoting recombination in *dmc1-E157D* cells than in 360 wild-type [80]. To test this, we crossed the rad51-II3A mutation into our dmc1-E157D strains. The three 361 alanine substitutions coded by rad51-II3A eliminate DNA binding site II, the secondary, low affinity DNA 362 binding site required for homology searching. Rad51-II3A forms filaments, but lacks the ability to catalyze 363 D-loop formation [3]. The results indicate that the rad51-II3A mutation does not alter the efficiency of JM 364 formation in the *dmc1-E157D* mutant (Supplemental Figures 4a,b). This observation indicates that Dmc1, 365 not Rad51, promotes the majority of homology search and strand exchange in *dmc1-E157D* cells, as is 366 the case in wild-type cells. Thus, the hyper-recombinant phenotype observed in *dmc1-E157D* results from 367 increased Dmc1 activity rather than activation of Rad51's activity. On the other hand, rad51-II3A causes a 368 greater reduction in spore viability in a dmc1-E157D background than in a wild-type background (Table 1, 369 17.0% and 82.9%, respectively, p < 0.01). The modest reduction in viability seen in rad51-II3A single 370 mutants was previously interpreted to suggest that Rad51's strand exchange is only required at a small 371 subset of the roughly 200 DSB sites where Dmc1-dependent DSB repair fails [3]. In the context of this 372 interpretation, the data presented here can be explained if the fraction of attempted recombination events 373 that require Rad51's strand exchange activity, although still small, is higher in *dmc1-E157D* than that in 374 wild-type.

375 Meiotic two-hybrid analysis indicates that direct Rad51-Dmc1 interaction is independent of Mei5

376 The results presented in Figure 3 show that Rad51 can impact Dmc1's activity in the absence of 377 Mei5-Sae3. To determine if Rad51's influence on Dmc1 can be explained by direct interaction of the two 378 proteins, we carried out meiotic two-hybrid analysis. A previous two-hybrid study in budding yeast using 379 the conventional mitotic method detected a low level of direct interaction between Rad51 and Dmc1, 380 although the authors of that study did not ascribe significance to the interaction because it was much 381 weaker than that observed for homotypic Rad51-Rad51 and Dmc1-Dmc1 interactions [81]. We wished to 382 determine if Mei5-Sae3 enhanced the interaction between the two proteins and therefore used the meiotic 383 two-hybrid method to test the interaction in a cell type that expresses the accessory protein. As in the 384 previous study, the level of interaction observed for Rad51-Dmc1 was much lower than that in the Rad51-385 Rad51 and Dmc1-Dmc1 homotypic controls, but nonetheless reproducibly higher than the background 386 level observed in empty vector controls (Supplemental Figure 5). Importantly an equivalent two-hybrid 387 signal was detected in a mei5 null background as in a wild-type background indicating that, in this system, 388 Rad51-Dmc1 interaction is independent of Mei5-Sae3.

389 Super-resolution imaging of *dmc1-E157D* mutants reveals abnormalities in Dmc1 and RPA foci

390 Because Dmc1-E157D forms foci at high density, we expected that the wide-field microscopy 391 method was not resolving closely spaced foci. Therefore, in order to obtain more accurate focus 392 measurements, we re-examined chromosome spreads using STED microscopy, which improves the 393 resolution limit from around 200 nanometers (nm) to under 50 nm (see Methods Section, Supplemental 394 Figure 6a). For each strain, we imaged at least 13 randomly selected RPA-positive nuclei. The average 395 number of RPA foci detected was lowest in wild-type (70.0 \pm 22.2 foci). All other strains displayed higher 396 average focus counts including rad51 (140.5 ± 44.9 foci), dmc1-E157D (111.5 ± 28.8 foci), dmc1-E157D 397 mei5 (130.8 ± 21.2 foci), dmc1-E157D rad51 (132.0 ± 21.7 foci), and dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51 (131.3 ± 398 38.6 foci) (Figure 4b). We also measured focus lengths (Figure 4c), and found that wild-type RPA foci are 399 the shortest (76.8 ± 27.0 nm), while rad51, dmc1-E157D rad51, and dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51 foci are all 400 significantly longer (134.0 ± 70.4 nm, 136.0 ± 77.8 nm, 130.8 ± 63.8 nm respectively; p < 0.01, Wilcoxon 401 test), but not significantly different from one another (pairwise p = 0.53, 0.60, and 0.94, respectively). The 402 fact that RPA foci are longer in these strains is unsurprising given that we observed hyper-resection in all 403 of these strains by one-dimensional gel electrophoresis (Figure 2a). dmc1-E157D and dmc1-E157D mei5

404 mutant RPA foci are significantly different from both wild-type and *rad51* mutants (107.4 \pm 49.5 nm, 97.7 \pm 405 39.6 nm respectively; p < 0.01), being an intermediate average length between the two.

406 The average number of Dmc1 foci per nucleus was similar in wild-type and rad51 single mutants 407 (26.9 ± 17.7 foci and 23.3 ± 12.8 foci, respectively, Figure 4b). All *dmc1-E157D* strains displayed higher 408 than normal focus counts including dmc1-E157D (114.0 ± 21.0 foci), dmc1-E157D mei5 (119.2 ± 25.6 409 foci), dmc1-E157D rad51 (105.3 ± 27.0 foci), and dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51 (106.8 ± 23.8 foci) (Figure 410 4b). This result is expected given that Dmc1-E157D forms numerous brightly staining foci in the absence 411 of DSBs, whereas wild-type Dmc1 does not (Figure 1e). We also measured the lengths of these Dmc1 412 foci, and found that Dmc1 foci are significantly shorter in rad51 (82.5 ± 30.0 nm, p < 0.01, Wilcoxon test) 413 than wild-type $(97.1 \pm 38.8 \text{ nm})$ (Figure 4c), consistent with previous wide-field microscopy analyses [68]. 414 Dmc1 foci are longer in all dmc1-E157D strains, including dmc1-E157D (134.1 ± 61.5 nm, p < 0.01), 415 *dmc1-E157D mei5* (147.1 ± 66.4 nm, p < 0.01), *dmc1-E157D rad51* (161.9 ± 78.9, p < 0.01), and *dmc1-*

416 *E157D mei5 rad51* (143.3 ± 64.7 nm, p < 0.01) relative to wild-type (Figure 4c).

417 Although measurements of Dmc1 focus lengths shows that Dmc1-E157D makes longer than 418 normal filaments overall, the fact that the protein likely forms high levels of off-pathway foci in addition to 419 forming foci at sites of recombination raises the possibility that the long filaments observed might only be 420 off-pathway forms, with no appreciable change in the average length of recombinogenic filaments. 421 Furthermore, the fraction of recombinogenic foci could differ in different strains. For example, off-pathway 422 Dmc1 foci a larger fraction of the total in *dmc1-E157D* strains than in wild-type and *rad51*. To provide 423 evidence that recombinogenic foci are longer on average, we examined the lengths of Dmc1 foci that 424 colocalized with RPA. Given that all of the mutants have more RPA foci and some have more Dmc1 foci 425 (Figure 4b), the level of fortuitous colocalization is expected to be higher in the mutants than in wild-type. 426 We therefore estimated the frequency of fortuitous colocalization in all strains by a previously described 427 method [74]. This method may yield an overestimate because the most focus dense region of each 428 nucleus was used in the analysis. We eliminated any nuclei from our analysis if the level colocalization 429 observed in the experimental image did not exceed the estimated frequency of fortuitous colocalization by 430 more than 5%. Because both RPA and Dmc1 foci are more numerous in *dmc1-E157D rad51* and *dmc1-*431 E157D mei5 rad51 (Figure 4b), and because both RPA and Dmc1 foci are on average larger in these

432 strains (Figure 4c), the density of foci is much higher, and we were unable to identify a subset of Dmc1 433 foci in these strains that unambiguously colocalize with RPA (90.2% experimental and 91.4% fortuitous 434 colocalization in dmc1-E157D rad51; 81.1% true and 80.0% fortuitous colocalization in dmc1-E157D mei5 435 rad51). In contrast, 10/13 nuclei wild-type nuclei (35.5% experimental and 18.8% fortuitous), 10/13 dmc1-436 E157D nuclei (70.1% experimental and 58.6% fortuitous colocalization), and 6/13 dmc1-E157D mei5 437 nuclei (69.1% experimental and 57.1% fortuitous colocalization) met our criteria for analysis, indicating 438 that the RPA-colocalization provides a meaningful criterion to identify a subset of Dmc1 foci enriched for 439 recombinogenic as opposed to off-pathway structures. The average contour length of Dmc1 filaments 440 that colocalized with RPA was 118.9 ± 40.0 nm in wild-type, or ~100 nucleotides, similar to the 441 corresponding value obtained using dSTORM, a different super-resolution light microscopy method [26]. 442 The average focus length for RPA colocalizing Dmc1 foci in *dmc1-E157D* was significantly longer than in 443 wild-type (149.5 ± 66.8 nm, or ~ 160 nucleotides, Figure 4d, p<0.01, Wilcoxon test), and different from the 444 total Dmc1 foci lengths in *dmc1-E157D* cells (134.1 ± 61.5 nm, Figure 4c). The average focus length for 445 RPA colocalizing Dmc1 foci in *dmc1-E157D mei5* was also significantly longer than in wild-type (168.0 ± 446 66.4 nm, or ~190 nucleotides, p <0.01), and different from the total Dmc1 foci lengths in that background 447 (147.1 ± 66.4 nm, Figure 4c). This finding indicates that not only does Dmc1-E157D make longer foci 448 overall, in *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5*, where we observe the hyper-recombinant phenotype, 449 Dmc1 filaments associated with RPA are significantly longer than wild-type.

450 Rhd54 promotes meiotic progression in *dmc1-E157D* cells

451 The cytological results presented above suggest that Dmc1-E157D is more likely than Dmc1-WT 452 to form off-pathway filaments on dsDNA. DSB-independent foci are only easily detected for Dmc1-WT 453 when Rdh54, the key translocase involved in disassembling them, is absent [41]. This observation 454 suggested that Dmc1-E157D might be more resistant to dsDNA dissociation by Rdh54. To determine 455 whether Rdh54 was active in *dmc1-E157D* mutants, we constructed the *dmc1-E157D* rdh54 double 456 mutant. If Rdh54 is inefficient at promoting Dmc1-E157D dissociation from dsDNA, loss of Rdh54 in the 457 dmc1-E157D background should be inconsequential. Instead, we find that although both dmc1-E157D 458 and rdh54 single mutants progress through meiosis to form tetrads in which roughly 50% of spores are

459 viable, the *dmc1-E157D rdh54* double mutant arrested in prophase and failed to form spores (Table 1;

460 Supplemental Figure 7). Thus, Rdh54 is active in *dmc1-E157D* cells.

461 Mei5-Sae3 is not required for the DSB-independent foci formed by Dmc1-WT protein in the

462 absence of Rdh54

463 Dmc1-E157D differs from Dmc1-WT in that it forms high levels of off-pathway foci and does so 464 independently of Mei5-Sae3. This suggests that although the mutant bypasses the requirement for Mei5-465 Sae3 with respect for forming recombinogenic foci, it might not fully recapitulate Mei5-Sae3 function 466 because Mei5-Sae3's has only been shown to display DSB-dependent foci; it was not known if Mei5-467 Sae3 is also required for the off-pathway Dmc1 complexes that accumulate when disassembly of dsDNA 468 bound structures is blocked by an rdh54 mutation. Therefore, to determine if Mei5-Sae3 is normally 469 required for Dmc1 to form nascent complexes on dsDNA in vivo, we compared Dmc1 focus formation in 470 spo11 rdh54 mei5 to that in the spo11 rdh54 double mutant; a spo11 single mutant served as negative 471 control. The controls generated the expected results with spo11 rdh54 nuclei displaying an average of 472 37±14 Dmc1 foci/nucleus and spo11 nuclei an average of only 3±4 foci/nucleus (Supplemental Figure 8). 473 The spo11 rdh54 mei5 triple mutant displayed an average of 37±13 foci, like the positive control, 474 indicating that focus formation in spo11 rdh54 is Mei5 independent. Thus, a key component of Mei5-Sae3 475 function appears to be specific to promoting filaments on ssDNA. Dmc1-E157D appears to bypass the 476 requirement for Mei5-Sae3 for filament formation on ssDNA, but does so without displaying the ssDNA-

477 specific function normally provided by Mei5-Sae3.

478

479 Discussion

480 The mechanism of Mei5-Sae3-mediated Dmc1 filament formation

Dmc1-E157D was designed to mimic RecA-E96D. The RecA-E96D mutation shortens the length of a critical amino acid side chain in the ATPase active site, increasing the distance between the water molecule that acts as the nucleophile for hydrolysis, and the activating carboxylate [71]. The mutation dramatically reduces that rate of ATP hydrolysis thereby maintaining RecA in the ATP-bound form, which is active for DNA binding, homology search, and strand exchange. Due to the high sequence conservation of this site, Dmc1-E157D is very likely to be defective in ATPase activity, like RecA-E96D. 487 Assuming this prediction is correct, our results provide in vivo support for the conclusion of Chi and 488 colleagues that Swi5-Sfr1 acts to stabilize Rad51 filaments by promoting ADP release, thereby 489 maintaining the filament in the active, ATP-bound form [59]; a mutation designed to favor the ATP bound 490 form of Dmc1 bypasses the normal requirement for Mei5-Sae3. On the other hand, the regulatory defects 491 observed in Dmc1-E157D suggest that the function of Mei5-Sae3-mediated regulation involves more than 492 overall enhancement of Dmc1 filament stability, because the Dmc1-E157D mutant displays abnormally 493 high levels of spo11-independent Dmc1-E157D binding to chromosomes (Figure 1e). This finding 494 suggests that stabilizing the ATP-bound form of Dmc1 alone is insufficient to account for the mechanism 495 of Mei5-Sae3 function. Supporting this view, we find that although Mei5-Sae3 is required for cytologically 496 detectable Dmc1 focus formation at sites of DSBs in wild-type cells, it is not required to observe the off-497 pathway dsDNA-bound foci formed on dsDNA by Dmc1-WT in Rdh54 deficient cells (Supplemental 498 Figure 8). This interpretation is consistent with prior observation of direct binding of Mei5-Sae3 to the 499 ssDNA-specific binding protein RPA as well as the ability of Mei5-Sae3 to enhance Dmc1 activity in the 500 presence of RPA [50,57,60]. Thus, Mei5-Sae3 appears to combine the ability to enhance Dmc1 filament 501 stability with the ability to specifically promote filament formation on ssDNA rather than dsDNA. 502 The ability of Dmc1-E157D to form functional filaments on ssDNA in vivo in the absence of Mei5-503 Sae3, and to do so by a mechanism involving filament stabilization, opens the possibility that 504 recruitment/nucleation of Dmc1 filaments on RPA coated ssDNA in normal cells is independent of Mei5-505 Sae3. Given that Mei5-Sae3 binds directly to both Dmc1 and RPA [52,53,60], we continue to favor 506 models in which Mei5-Sae3 plays a role in recruitment/nucleation of Dmc1 filaments. We note, however, 507 that Dmc1 could be recruited to sites of DSBs through its interactions with RPA [50], and that nucleation, 508 but not filament elongation, could be Mei5-Sae3 independent. Dmc1 nucleation events might be 509 undetected in the absence of Mei5-Sae3 because the resulting filaments never elongate to lengths 510 sufficient to reach the threshold of cytological detection. It is also possible that Rad51 is normally partially 511 responsible for Dmc1 recruitment/nucleation, in addition to its roles in filament stabilization and homolog 512 bias. These considerations highlight the need for further studies on the mechanism of Dmc1 513 recruitment/nucleation on RPA coated ssDNA tracts in vivo.

514 The role of Rad51 in Dmc1 filament dynamics

515 The absence of foci observed in mei5, sae3, and mei5 sae3 mutants, and the dimmer foci 516 observed in rad51 mutants, indicates that normal Dmc1 nucleoprotein filament formation involves both 517 proteins. The fact that recombination and DSB-dependent focus formation in rad51 yeast depends on 518 Mei5-Sae3 suggests that Mei5-Sae3 is epistatic to Rad51. Furthermore, formation of brightly staining 519 Mei5-Sae3 foci depends on Rad51, as does formation of brightly staining Dmc1 foci [52,68]. These 520 dependency relationships raised the possibility that Rad51's ability to influence Dmc1 filaments might 521 require a direct interaction between Rad51 and Mei5-Sae3 [82]. However, the data presented here 522 indicate that Rad51 promotes formation of functional Dmc1 filaments on ssDNA independently of Mei5-523 Sae3, thus Rad51's normal influence on Dmc1 filament dynamics does not require, and may not involve, 524 Mei5-Sae3 binding to Rad51.

525 Our data clearly demonstrate that dmc1-E157D functions independent of Mei5-Sae3, yet the 526 mutant is more dependent on Rad51 than the wild-type protein. Whereas dmc1-E157D mei5 forms COs 527 at a level nearly equivalent to wild-type, *dmc1-E157D rad51* suffers a dramatic reduction in CO formation, 528 and experiences hyper-resection (Figures 2b,4c). In addition, 2D gel electrophoresis shows that JM 529 formation in dmc1-E157D mei5 is equivalent to dmc1-E157D, while the JMs formed in the dmc1-E157D 530 rad51 background are significantly reduced relative to dmc1-E157D, and show an IH bias defect like the 531 rad51 single mutant (Figures 3b, 3d). Thus, a mutation that alleviates the need for one accessory factor, 532 Mei5-Sae3, makes Dmc1 more dependent on a second accessory factor, Rad51. This finding provides 533 further evidence that Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51 functions are not interdependent with respect to enhancing 534 the formation of functional Dmc1 filaments. If this were the case, a mutation that bypasses the 535 requirement for one factor would also bypass the requirement for the second factor. This model accounts 536 for the partial dependency of Mei5-Sae3 foci on Rad51; the reduction of Mei5-Sae3 focus intensity 537 observed in rad51 mutants is expected if Dmc1 filaments are bound along their lengths by Mei5-Sae3, 538 and loss of Rad51 results in shorter Dmc1 filaments.

Rad51 is likely to impact Dmc1 filament dynamics by direct interaction. Although a previous study
did not ascribe significance to the low level of interaction detected between budding yeast Rad51 and
Dmc1 [81], two-hybrid studies in other organisms detected significant levels of Rad51-Dmc1 interaction,
albeit at low levels compared to homotypic interactions [83-85]. Budding yeast Rad51 binds Dmc1 directly

543 when pure proteins are mixed [50], consistent with similar observations in other organisms [83-85]. Using 544 the meiotic two-hybrid method, we were able to detect Rad51-Dmc1 interaction during meiotic prophase 545 of budding yeast, and to show that this interaction does not depend on Mei5-Sae3. These findings 546 provide additional evidence that Rad51 and Mei5-Sae3 influence Dmc1 DNA binding dynamics 547 independently. The finding that Rad51-Dmc1 interaction occurs, but is weaker than homotypic 548 interactions, is consistent with a single molecule study that showed mixtures of Rad51 and Dmc1 form 549 predominantly homo-filaments on DNA [21], and with prior cytological studies that showed the foci formed 550 by Rad51 and Dmc1 lie adjacent to one another rather than being perfectly colocalized [51,81,86]. Finally, 551 we note that direct interaction between the two proteins can account for the observation that Rad51 can 552 stimulate Dmc1-mediated D-loop formation in the absence of other proteins [3].

553 How might Mei5-Sae3 and Rad51 promote filament stability by independent mechanisms? There 554 are at least two basic mechanisms that could contribute to filament stability. First, an accessory protein 555 could promote the high-affinity ssDNA binding form. Second, if a strand exchange protein is normally 556 subject to enzymatically-driven disassembly, an accessory protein might act by specifically blocking the 557 activity of that enzyme. Mei5-Sae3's role in filament stabilization in vivo almost certainly involves direct 558 enhancement of DNA binding activity during nucleation and/or elongation, as is the case for Mei5-Sae3 559 homolog Sfr1-Swi5 [62]. Rad51 might also enhance binding directly, by reducing the off-rate of protomers 560 from filaments. For example, a Rad51 monomer bound to the end of a Dmc1 filament might drastically 561 reduce the off-rate of the adjacent Dmc1 protomer with a strong overall effect on filament stability, given 562 that disassembly of filaments is expected to occur from filament ends [87].

563 Alternatively, Rad51 may block a mechanism that actively dissociates Dmc1 filaments. Although 564 no active assembly mechanism has been identified for Dmc1 filaments, active disassembly could involve 565 a helicase mechanism, similar to that mediated by UvrD and Srs2 [16-19]. One observation that appears 566 to be at odds with the idea that Rad51 functions by blocking an Srs2-like mechanism is that Rad51 can 567 stimulate Dmc1's D-loop activity in a purified system that does not include an ssDNA-specific helicase. 568 However, it is possible that the *in vitro* activity of Rad51 in stimulating Dmc1 does not fully recapitulate the 569 in vivo function of the protein. This possibility is emphasized by previous work on the Rad51 accessory 570 protein Rad55-Rad57. Both subunits of the Rad55-Rad57 heterodimer are structurally similar to Rad51.

571 Rad55-Rad57 stimulates Rad51 activity *in vitro*, but *in vivo* it functions to limit the Rad51 strippase activity
572 of Srs2 [88,89]. Thus, Rad51's impact on Dmc1 activity *in vitro* might similarly not fully represent its *in vivo*573 role in promoting stable Dmc1 filaments.

574 A model invoking inhibition of Dmc1-ssDNA filament disassembly can account for the fact that 575 *dmc1-E157D rad51* forms fewer JMs relative to *DMC1⁺ rad51* (Figure 3d). Like Dmc1-E157D, the Rad51 576 ATPase mutant Rad51-K191R is defective in recruitment to DSB-associated tracts of ssDNA in vivo. The 577 DNA binding defect of Rad51-K191R is partially suppressed by deletion of SRS2 or by overexpression of 578 RAD54 [45,46]. These findings suggest that the recruitment defect displayed by Rad51-K191R results 579 from a combination of the protein's DNA binding defect, increased off-pathway dsDNA binding, and active 580 disassembly of the Rad51-K191R filaments that do form at DSB-associated tracts of ssDNA [47]. 581 If Dmc1-E157D filaments form more slowly than wild-type filaments as a result of increased off-

582 pathway binding and thus a decreased pool of free Dmc1 protomers, Dmc1-E157D filaments may be 583 acutely sensitive to disassembly and/or end dissociation, thus both models can explain Dmc1-E157D's 584 increased dependency on Rad51. In addition, these models can account for the more severe phenotype 585 of the dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51 triple mutant compared to the dmc1-E157D rad51 double mutant as a 586 consequence of Mei5-Sae3 having a limited ability to block dissociation, or being able to promote fast 587 reassembly. Such an activity of Mei5-Sae3 might be inconsequential for Dmc1-E157D-DNA binding 588 dynamics in vivo when Rad51 is present, explaining why the phenotypes of dmc1-E157D and dmc1-589 E157D mei5 are nearly identical.

590 Mei5-Sae3 is not required for IH bias

591 The results presented here also reveal for the first time that although both Rad51 and Mei5-Sae3 592 promote the formation of stable Dmc1 filaments, Mei5-Sae3 differs from Rad51 in that Mei5-Sae3 is not 593 required for homolog bias while Rad51's function is. This conclusion could not have been arrived at 594 based on earlier observations because recombination is blocked prior to formation of joint molecules in 595 mei5 DMC1⁺ and sae3 DMC1⁺ cells; bypass of the requirement for Mei5-Sae3 for formation of functional 596 filaments allowed us to assess the role of Mei5-Sae3 during choice of recombination partner at the D-loop 597 formation stage. Previous work showed that Rad51 and Dmc1 are both required for homolog bias 598 [69,80]. The results here show that the cooperation between Rad51 and Dmc1 required for IH bias

involves a Rad51-dependent mechanism that is independent of Mei5-Sae3. This interpretation is
consistent with the fact that, in other species, homologs of Mei5-Sae3 regulate Rad51 activity, suggesting
that the Mei5-Sae3 family of accessory proteins solves a problem common to both Rad51 and Dmc1, and
not unique to meiotic recombination.

603 Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments have shown that cells lacking both Rdh54 and 604 Rad54 fail to recruit Dmc1 to DSB hotspots as a consequence of sequestration caused by off pathway 605 DNA binding. The failure to recruit Dmc1 to tracts of ssDNA accounts for the hyper-resection seen in 606 rad54 rdh54 double mutants [41,90]. Given that Dmc1-E157D forms foci in the absence of DSBs, and that 607 it is modeled on RecA-E96D, which displays a lower than normal off-rate for dsDNA binding, one might 608 expect that Dmc1-E157D is less efficiently removed from dsDNA by Rdh54 (and Rad54). Surprisingly, we 609 find no evidence for a decrease in CO formation or for hyper-resection in *dmc1-E157D* (Figures 2a,b). 610 Moreover, there is no accumulation of SEIs, which might be expected if Rdh54 were unable to remove 611 Dmc1 from the 3' end of the heteroduplex DNA to allow for recombination-associated DNA synthesis 612 (Figures 3a,b). We also find that the high spore viability and meiotic progression observed in *dmc1*-613 E157D mutants is strongly dependent of Rdh54, indicating that Rdh54 is active in *dmc1-E157D* mutants 614 (Table 1, Supplemental Figure 4). Thus, although Dmc1-E157D forms more off-pathway filaments than 615 Dmc1-WT, Rdh54 appears to be capable of dissociating them.

616 Dmc1-E157D forms abnormally long filaments and is hyper-recombinant for certain recombination 617 events

618 Although levels of IH CO intermediates and products are similar to those in wild-type. dmc1-619 E157D and dmc1-E157D mei5 display higher than normal levels of certain types of recombination 620 intermediates and products including IS-dHJs, mcJMs, and ectopic COs. For simplicity, we will refer to 621 these unusual types of recombination events collectively as "aberrant," but we emphasize that all three 622 types are observed at low levels in wild-type. IS-dHJs, mcJMs, and ectopic COs are all elevated about 3-623 fold in *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5* cells (Figures 2b,3b,3d). The combination of aberrant 624 recombination phenotypes observed in *dmc1-E157D* cells is reminiscent of that reported for sgs1, top3, 625 and rmi1 mutants during meiosis [91-93]. Sgs1, Top3, and Rmi1 have been shown to form a complex, 626 STR, that disassembles D-loops [94-96]. In addition, during mitotic recombination, STR was shown to

627 have a role in disassembling aberrant invasion events in which a single Rad51 filament invades two or 628 more donor molecules ("multi-invasions", or MIs) [97]. This role of STR in MI disassembly was proposed 629 to account for at least some of the phenotypes observed in the absence of Sqs1, Top3, or Rmi1 during 630 meiosis [93]. In this context, maturation of a MI into a mcJM, followed by resolution of the MI, can account 631 for the increase in mcJMs, IS-dHJs, and ectopic recombination observed in these mutants [98]. Further 632 evidence that MIs account for the meiotic STR mutant phenotypes is the fact that both MIs and JMs in the 633 sgs1, top3, or rmi1 mutant backgrounds are highly dependent on structure-selective nucleases Mus81-634 Mms4, Slx1-Slx4, and Yen1 [92,93,97,99-101].

635 Two possibilities account for why dmc1-E157D and dmc1-E157D mei5 are phenotypically similar 636 to STR mutants. Dmc1-E157D may form the same number of aberrant intermediates as wild-type, but 637 STR-mediated disassembly could be rendered less efficient as a consequence of enhanced binding 638 activity of Dmc1-E157D compared to Dmc1-WT. Arguing against this possibility is the fact that there is no 639 increase in SEIs in *dmc1-E157D* and *dmc1-E157D mei5* cells compared to wild-type (Figures 3b, 3d). 640 which is expected if the mutant protein prevents nascent D-loop disruption. Moreover, we showed that 641 Rdh54 promotes meiotic progression in *dmc1-E157D* (Table 1, Supplemental Figure 7), implying that 642 Rdh54 is competent to remove Dmc1-E157D from dsDNA.

643 An alternative model to account for the defects associated with dmc1-E157D and dmc1-E157D 644 mei5 is that Dmc1-E157D makes more aberrant D-loops than Dmc1-WT. In this model, STR, and possibly 645 other helicases, disassemble aberrant D-loops as normal, but the mutant protein generates more MIs 646 than Dmc1-WT. The two regions of homology engaged in such MI events could be on one sister and one 647 homolog, or on both of the homologs, likely engaging one template at the allelic site, and one at the 648 ectopic site. The formation of the MIs can account for the increased mcJMs, while processing of MIs to 649 yield fully repaired chromatids can explain the increases in IS-dHJs and ectopic COs [98]. Drawing on the 650 "intersegmental contact sampling" model of homology search [102], we propose Dmc1-E157D makes 651 more MIs as a consequence of making longer filaments (Figure 5). The intersegmental contact sampling 652 model maintains that a filament has a polyvalent interaction surface capable of simultaneously searching 653 multiple, non-contiguous DNA regions for homology [102]. Longer filaments are able to search duplex 654 DNA more efficiently, as a consequence of being able to engage in a greater number of simultaneous

655 interactions. We have demonstrated that Dmc1-E157D forms longer filaments in vivo (Figure 4c). We 656 posit that because filaments are longer, Dmc1-E157D engages in a higher number of simultaneous 657 searching interactions that results in more frequent homology-dependent engagement of two different 658 regions of homology by a single filament. In addition, though these aberrant recombination events are 659 increased in *dmc1-E157D*, they also make up a substantial fraction of the recombination events observed 660 in wild-type [91,97]. Consistent with this finding, 14% of wild-type Dmc1 foci that colocalized with RPA or 661 ~1.2 foci/nucleus were longer than 149 nanometers in length, the average length of Dmc1-E157D foci 662 that colocalize with RPA in *dmc1-E157D* (Figure 4d). This finding suggests that although most foci are 663 much shorter than 149 nanometers in wild-type, long filaments do occasionally form. Supporting the 664 proposal that longer than normal filaments are responsible for higher than normal levels of MIs, previous 665 work showed that (1) if longer ssDNA substrates are used, there is a higher incidence of MI formation 666 [103]; and (2) Rad55-Rad57 promotes both longer Rad51 filaments and the formation of MIs [89,98].

667 The aberrant event hyper-recombinant phenotype displayed by Dmc1-E157D is Rad51-668 dependent. The mechanism responsible for Rad51's role in promoting the aberrant hyper-recombinant 669 activity of Dmc1-E157D remains to be determined. Analysis of RPA co-localized foci provided evidence 670 that Dmc1-E157D forms longer filaments on ssDNA in otherwise wild-type cells and in mei5 single 671 mutants. The mutant protein also forms long filaments on dsDNA, given that long filaments are observed 672 in spo11 mutants. Because both RPA and Dmc1 foci counts are increased in dmc1-E157D rad51 and 673 dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51 mutants (Figure 4b), and because both RPA and Dmc1 foci are also larger in 674 these mutants (Figure 4c), it was not possible to identify a sub-population that we could be confident was 675 enriched for ssDNA bound structures in these mutants. As a result, it is unclear if the dependency of 676 Dmc1-E157D's hyper-recombinant phenotype on Rad51 reflects a requirement for Rad51 in forming long 677 Dmc1 filaments on ssDNA, or if Rad51 plays some other role in promoting the high level of aberrant 678 recombination events observed in Dmc1-E157D. It is clear, however, that Rad51's homology search and 679 strand exchange activities are not required for the aberrant hyper-recombinant phenotype observed in 680 *dmc1-E157D* cells because the *rad51-II3A* mutation had no impact on the phenotype. 681

We speculate that the lengths of RecA-family strand exchange filaments are limited by regulatory
 mechanisms that evolved to prevent homology-dependent translocations and other genome

683 rearrangements. Limiting filament lengths may limit the ability of filaments to simultaneously engage more 684 than one homologous target sequence. In this regard, it is relevant that the single molecule study that 685 provided evidence for intersegmental transfer did not detect any homology-dependent target engagement 686 with the shortest ssDNA substrate examined, which was 162 nucleotides in length [102]. However, in 687 vivo, Dmc1 filaments are typically ~100 nucleotides in wild-type cells (Figure 4c) [26]. Thus, it is possible 688 that the cost of MIs to genome stability has limited the length of strand exchange filaments such that 689 intersegmental searching is limited or prevented in vivo. Alternatively, homology search may proceed by 690 an intersegmental contact sampling mechanism, but filament lengths may nonetheless be limited to avoid 691 genome-destabilizing MIs. 692 693 **Materials and Methods**

694 Yeast Strains

695 The yeast strains used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 1. All yeast strains are696 isogenic derivatives of strain SK-1.

697 To construct the *dmc1* point mutants, DKB plasmid pNRB628 containing the *DMC1* open reading 698 frame, a 701 base pair upstream homology arm, the TEF1 promoter, the natMX4 open reading frame, the 699 ADH1 terminator, and a 40 base pair downstream homology arm, was modified by Gibson assembly to 700 include the desired point mutations. dmc1::LEU2-URA3-KAN haploid yeast (DKB129, DKB130) were 701 transformed with a linear PCR fragment containing the homology arms, the mutated dmc1 open reading 702 frame, and the *natMX4* (for resistance to nourseothricin sulfate, or cloNAT) selectable marker. Yeast were 703 outgrown in 5 milliliters liquid YPDA for 4.5 hours at 30°C in a culture rotator, then plated on selective 704 media and allowed to grow at 30°C for 3 days. After 3 days, colonies were struck out on the selective 705 media and on 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA), which selects against URA3⁺ yeast and therefore identifies 706 clones that have lost the dmc1::LEU2-URA3-KAN allele. Those colonies that grew on the cloNAT media 707 and did not grow on the 5-FOA plates were tested to confirm proper targeting by polymerase chain 708 reaction, and then confirmed via sequencing.

709 Meiotic Time Courses

- 710 Yeast cultures were induced to undergo synchronous meiosis as described previously [51].
- 711 Appropriate samples were collected at time points indicated in figures.

712 Spore viability

- 713 Spore viability was determined by tetrad dissection as the percent of spores that germinate and
- form a colony on a YPDA plate relative to the number expected if all dissected spores had lived.
- 715 Preparation and staining of spread yeast nuclei
- 716 Surface-spreading and immunostaining of meiotic yeast chromosomes on glass slides was
- performed as described previously [104]. Primary antibodies were used at the following dilutions: purified
- 718 anti-goat Dmc1 bleed #4 DKB antibody #192 (1:800), anti-rabbit Rad51 bleed #2 DKB antibody #159
- 719 (1:1000), anti-rabbit RFA2 (1:1000), and anti-rabbit Hop2 bleed #3 DKB antibody #143 (1:1000).
- 720 Secondary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:1000 and included: Alexa Fluor 488 chicken anti-goat
- 721 (Invitrogen by ThermoFisher Scientific), Alexa Fluor 594 donkey anti-rabbit (Invitrogen by ThermoFisher
- 722 Scientific), Alexa Fluor 594 donkey anti-goat (Invitrogen by ThermoFisher Scientific) and Alexa Fluor 488
- donkey anti-rabbit (Invitrogen by ThermoFisher Scientific). Images were collected on a Zeiss Axiovision
- 4.6 wide-field fluorescence microscope at 100X magnification. The same imaging parameters were used
- for all samples.

726 Wide-field microscopy analysis

For each strain, 50 or more adjacent and randomly selected nuclei were imaged. A field of nuclei was chosen for analysis based on the DAPI staining pattern. Nuclei were scored as focus positive if there were 3 or more immunostaining foci in a given nucleus. Due to focus crowding in wide-field images, it was not possible to generate reliable focus counts using automated methods. Therefore, focus counts were determined by eye for the experiments reported in Supplemental Figure 8.

732 One-dimensional gel electrophoresis

One-dimensional gel electrophoresis at the *HIS4:LEU2* meiotic hotspot was performed as follows.
15 milliliter sporulation media samples were collected at time points indicated from meiotic cultures.
Sodium azide was added to a final concentration of 0.1%. Cells were spun down at 3000 rpm in tabletop
clinical centrifuges for 5 minutes, then the supernatant was removed and the pellet was frozen at -20°C.
DNA was then purified as described previously [105]. Approximately 2 micrograms DNA per sample was

- then digested with Xhol restriction enzyme (New England BioLabs) and processed as described
- previously [105]. Samples were then run on a 0.6% agarose gel at 2V/cm for 24 hours, followed by
- 740 Southern blotting as described previously [78].
- 741 Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis
- 742 Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis at the *HIS4:LEU2* meiotic hotspot was performed as
- 743 previously described [3].

744 Meiotic two-hybrid analysis

745 Analysis of Rad51-Dmc1 interaction in meiotic cells was performed using the meiotic two-hybrid 746 method [106]. DNA binding domain constructs were transformed into MATa haploid strains DKB6431 747 $(MEI5^{+})$ and DKB6429 (mei5) and activation domain constructs were transformed into MATa haploid 748 strains DKB6430 (*MEI5*⁺) and DKB6428 (*mei5*). Independent transformants were mated to generate the 749 diploid strains used for meiotic two hybrid experiments. 5 ml cultures were grown for 72 hours in synthetic 750 tryptophan leucine dropout media to maintain 2 plasmids and then transferred to YPD medium at 751 OD600=0.2, and then grown for two generations before being transferred to SPS medium overnight, after 752 which sporulation was induced on SPM-1/5COM medium. Recipes for media are as described previously 753 [51]. Samples were prepared for β -galactosidase assays after 6 hours and 18 hours. The plasmids used 754 for the two-hybrid studies were derived from pGAD-C1 [107] for activation domain fusions, and from 755 pCA1 a gift from Scott Keeney [106] for DNA binding domain fusions. Note that this system uses E. coli 756 *lexA* as DNA binding domain for hybrid constructs in combination with a *lex-op::lacZ* reporter construct 757 [106]. Plasmid designations and the markers carried by the plasmids were as follows: 758 Dmc1BD=pNRB729 2µ, TRP1, P_{DMC1}-DMC1-lexA, ampR, ori; Dmc1AD=pNRB271 2µ, LEU2, P_{ADH}-759 GAL4-AD::DMC1, ampR, ori; Rad51BD=pNRB727 2µ, TRP1, P_{DMC1}-lexA-Rad51, ampR, ori; 760 Rad51AD=pNRB688 2μ, LEU2, P_{ADH}-GAL4-AD::RAD51, ampR, ori; ΔBD=pNRB728 2μ, TRP1, P_{DMC1}-761 lexA, ampR, ori; and $\Delta AD = pNRB267 2\mu$, LEU2, P_{ADH} -GAL4, ampR, ori. Plasmid sequences are available 762 on request. 763 Immunofluorescence imaging by stimulation depletion (STED) microscopy

Spreads were stained using a protocol described previously [104] with the following modifications.
 Spreads were dipped in 0.2% Photo-Flo (Kodak) for 30 seconds, the excess was tapped off, and then the

766 slides were washed in 1X TBS for 5 minutes. Spreads were then blocked with 300µL 3% BSA in 1X TBS. 767 Following blocking, spreads were incubated with anti-goat Dmc1 (1:800) and anti-Rabbit RPA (1:1000) for 768 ≥16 hours at 4°C. Slides were then washed in 1X TBS + 0.05% Triton X-100 for 5 minutes with gentle 769 rocking 7 times. Spreads were incubated with fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor 770 594 donkey anti-goat and Alex Fluor 488 donkey anti-rabbit (1:1000) (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 2 hours 771 at 4°C, followed by washes as described. Slides were allowed to dry completely in fume hood, then 35µL 772 Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Inc.) was added, a coverslip was placed atop the slide, and the 773 coverslip was sealed with nail polish.

Imaging was conducted on a Leica SP8 3D, 3-color Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) Laser
Scanning Confocal Microscope at the University of Chicago Integrated Light Microscopy Core Facility.
The same imaging parameters were used for all strains. Images were deconvolved using Huygens
software and applying the same settings for each image. Resolution is reported based on measurements
taken from deconvolved images.

779 STED microscopy analysis

780 To quantitate the number of foci in each nucleus, the image channels were separated, and each 781 channel image was converted to a binary image in ImageJ. The "Analyze Particles" function was used to 782 obtain information regarding the number of foci in an image, the coordinates of the center of each focus, 783 and the major length of each focus. The same settings were used to analyze all images. Colocalization 784 between Dmc1 and RPA was scored in R using the coordinates given by ImageJ to calculate the distance 785 between a given Dmc1 focus and all RPA foci in the nucleus. A Dmc1 focus was scored as colocalizing 786 with a RPA focus if the nearest RPA focus was less than the length of that Dmc1 focus plus a preset RPA 787 value that was calculated for each strain. The RPA value was calculated based on one half of the 788 average length of all RPA foci in that sample plus one half of two standard deviations of that RPA length. 789 This means that if a given Dmc1 focus is sitting side-by-side with an RPA focus, the distance between it 790 and the center of the nearest RPA focus can be the length of that Dmc1 focus plus one half the average 791 length of all RPA foci in that strain background, plus one half of two standard deviations of the RPA focus 792 lengths. This calculation attempts to take into account the fact that both RPA foci and Dmc1 focus lengths

vary from sample to sample. Plots and statistical tests were carried out in R using the ggplot and ggpubr

794 packages.

795 Meiotic whole cell lysate, SDS-PAGE, and Western blotting

796 4 milliliters of meiotic culture was collected at the appropriate time point. Tricholoroacetic acid 797 was added to a final concentration of 10% weight/volume. Samples were placed in a 60°C water bath for 798 5 minutes, then placed on ice for 5 minutes. Next, samples were spun down at 3000 rotations per minute 799 in a low-speed centrifuge, the supernatant removed by aspiration, and pellet then washed in ddH_2O . The 800 pellet was then re-suspended in 1X-SDS-PAGE (60 mM TrisHCI pH 6.8, 0.05% SDS, 100 mM DTT, 5% 801 alycerol) buffer supplemented with 50 mM sodium PIPES pH 7.5 to the appropriate concentration 802 according to the optical density of cells in the sample. The samples were then boiled for 10 minutes, spun 803 down, and pellets stored at -20°C. 804 A 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel was prepared, and 30 microliters of each sample was run at 805 120V for 1.5 hours alongside 20 nanograms purified Dmc1 protein. Samples were then transferred to 806 Merck Millipore Limited Immobilon-P Transfer Membrane for 16 hours at 50V at 4°C. The membrane was 807 then blotted using anti-goat Dmc1 (1:1000) primary antibody and an anti-goat HRP-conjugated secondary

808 antibody (1:1000).

810 Acknowledgments

- 811 We thank Wolf-Dietrich Heyer for helpful discussions and suggestions. Thanks to Akira Shinohara 812 for the gift of the anti-rabbit RFA2 antibody, and Scott Keeney for the gift of the meiotic two-hybrid strains.
- 813 We are grateful to Vytas Bindokas and Christine Labno for assistance with STED microscopy. Melissa
- 814 Castiglione constructed the strains and one of the plasmids used in the two-hybrid analysis. This work
- 815 was supported by NIGMS grant GM50936 and NCATS grant 1UL1TR002389-01 to DKB. DFR was partly
- 816 supported by the NIH Genetics & Regulation Training Grant (T32 GM07197).
- 817

818 Author Contributions

DFR and DKB jointly conceived the project and planned the experiments. DFR collected and
analyzed the data shown in Figures 1-5, Supplemental Figures 1-4 and 6. JTG collected and analyzed
the data for Supplemental Figure 5. DKB collected and analyzed the data for Supplemental Figures 7 and
BFR wrote the original manuscript. DFR and DKB jointly revised the manuscript. DKB was responsible
for funding acquisition and project administration.

825 References

- 8261.Hunter N. Meiotic Recombination: The Essence of Heredity. Cold Spring Harb Perspect827Biol. Cold Spring Harbor Lab; 2015 Oct 28;7(12):a016618–35.
- Bishop DK, Park D, Xu L, Kleckner N. *DMC1*: a meiosis-specific yeast homolog of E. coli
 recA required for recombination, synaptonemal complex formation, and cell cycle
 progression. Cell. 1992 May 1;69(3):439–56.
- 8313.Cloud V, Chan Y-L, Grubb J, Budke B, Bishop DK. Rad51 is an accessory factor for Dmc1-832mediated joint molecule formation during meiosis. Science. 2012 Sep 7;337(6099):1222–5.
- 833 4. Symington LS. Mechanism and regulation of DNA end resection in eukaryotes. Critical
 834 Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2016 Apr 20;51(3):195–212.
- 8355.Bell JC, Kowalczykowski SC. RecA: Regulation and Mechanism of a Molecular Search836Engine. Trends Biochem Sci. 2016 Jun;41(6):491–507.
- 8376.Jinks-Robertson S, Petes TD. High-frequency meiotic gene conversion between repeated838genes on nonhomologous chromosomes in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1985839May;82(10):3350–4.
- 840 7. Lichten M, Borts RH, Haber JE. Meiotic gene conversion and crossing over between
 841 dispersed homologous sequences occurs frequently in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.
 842 Genetics. 1987 Feb;115(2):233–46.
- 843 8. Goldman AS, Lichten M. The efficiency of meiotic recombination between dispersed
 844 sequences in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* depends upon their chromosomal location.
 845 Genetics. 1996 Sep;144(1):43–55.
- 8469.Grushcow JM, Holzen TM, Park KJ, Weinert T, Lichten M, Bishop DK. Saccharomyces847cerevisiae checkpoint genes MEC1, RAD17 and RAD24 are required for normal meiotic848recombination partner choice. Genetics. 1999 Oct;153(2):607–20.
- 84910.Schwacha A, Kleckner N. Identification of joint molecules that form frequently between850homologs but rarely between sister chromatids during yeast meiosis. Cell. 1994 Jan85114;76(1):51–63.
- 85211.Brown MS, Bishop DK. DNA Strand Exchange and RecA Homologs in Meiosis. Cold Spring853Harb Perspect Biol. 2015 Jan 5;7(1):a016659–31.
- 85412.Wright WD, Shah SS, Heyer W-D. Homologous recombination and the repair of DNA855double-strand breaks. J Biol Chem. 2018 Jul 6;293(27):10524–35.
- 85613.Heyer W-D. Regulation of recombination and genomic maintenance. Cold Spring Harb857Perspect Biol. 2015 Aug 3;7(8):a016501.
- Krejci L, Altmannova V, Spirek M, Zhao X. Homologous recombination and its regulation.
 Nucleic Acids Res. 2012 Jul;40(13):5795–818.
- 86015.Kowalczykowski SC. An Overview of the Molecular Mechanisms of Recombinational DNA861Repair. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2015 Nov 2;7(11).
- 86216.Krejci L, Van Komen S, Li Y, Villemain J, Reddy MS, Klein H, et al. DNA helicase Srs2863disrupts the Rad51 presynaptic filament. Nature. 2003 May 15;423(6937):305–9.

- 864 17. Veaute X, Jeusset J, Soustelle C, Kowalczykowski SC, Le Cam E, Fabre F. The Srs2
 865 helicase prevents recombination by disrupting Rad51 nucleoprotein filaments. Nature. 2003
 866 May 15;423(6937):309–12.
- 867 18. Veaute X, Delmas S, Selva M, Jeusset J, Le Cam E, Matic I, et al. UvrD helicase, unlike
 868 Rep helicase, dismantles RecA nucleoprotein filaments in *Escherichia coli*. EMBO J. 2005
 869 Jan 12;24(1):180–9.
- 87019.Petrova V, Chen SH, Molzberger ET, Tomko E, Chitteni-Pattu S, Jia H, et al. Active871displacement of RecA filaments by UvrD translocase activity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015 Apr87230;43(8):4133–49.
- 87320.Sasanuma H, Furihata Y, Shinohara M, Shinohara A. Remodeling of the Rad51 DNA874strand-exchange protein by the Srs2 helicase. Genetics. 2013 Aug;194(4):859–72.
- 21. Crickard JB, Kaniecki K, Kwon Y, Sung P, Greene EC. Meiosis-specific recombinase Dmc1
 is a potent inhibitor of the Srs2 antirecombinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018 Oct
 23;115(43):E10041–8.
- 878 22. Bishop DK, Ear U, Bhattacharyya A, Calderone C, Beckett M, Weichselbaum RR, et al.
 879 Xrcc3 is required for assembly of Rad51 complexes *in vivo*. Journal of Biological Chemistry.
 880 1998 Aug 21;273(34):21482–8.
- 881 23. Gasior SL, Olivares H, Ear U, Hari DM, Weichselbaum R, Bishop DK. Assembly of RecA882 like recombinases: distinct roles for mediator proteins in mitosis and meiosis. Proc Natl
 883 Acad Sci USA. 2001 Jul 17;98(15):8411–8.
- 884 24. Haaf T, Golub EI, Reddy G, Radding CM, Ward DC. Nuclear foci of mammalian Rad51
 885 recombination protein in somatic cells after DNA damage and its localization in
 886 synaptonemal complexes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1995 Mar 14;92(6):2298–302.
- 887 25. Gataulin DV, Carey JN, Li J, Shah P, Grubb JT, Bishop DK. The ATPase activity of *E. coli*888 RecA prevents accumulation of toxic complexes formed by erroneous binding to
 889 undamaged double stranded DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018 Oct 12;46(18):9510–23.
- 89026.Brown MS, Grubb J, Zhang A, Rust MJ, Bishop DK. Small Rad51 and Dmc1 Complexes891Often Co-occupy Both Ends of a Meiotic DNA Double Strand Break. PLoS Genet. 2015892Dec;11(12):e1005653.
- 89327.Egelman EH, Stasiak A. Structure of helical RecA-DNA complexes. Complexes formed in
the presence of ATP-gamma-S or ATP. J Mol Biol. 1986 Oct 20;191(4):677–97.
- 895
89628.Zakharyevich K, Ma Y, Tang S, Hwang PY-H, Boiteux S, Hunter N. Temporally and
biochemically distinct activities of Exo1 during meiosis: double-strand-break resection and
resolution of double-Holliday junctions. Molecular Cell. 2010 Dec 22;40(6):1001–15.
- 89829.Menetski JP, Bear DG, Kowalczykowski SC. Stable DNA heteroduplex formation catalyzed899by the *Escherichia coli* RecA protein in the absence of ATP hydrolysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci900USA. 1990 Jan;87(1):21–5.
- 90130.Rosselli W, Stasiak A. Energetics of RecA-mediated recombination reactions. Without ATP902hydrolysis RecA can mediate polar strand exchange but is unable to recycle. J Mol Biol.9031990 Nov 20;216(2):335–52.
- 31. Sung P, Stratton SA. Yeast Rad51 recombinase mediates polar DNA strand exchange in

905 the absence of ATP hydrolysis. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1996 Nov 906 8;271(45):27983-6. 907 32. Campbell MJ, Davis RW. Toxic mutations in the recA gene of E. coli prevent proper 908 chromosome segregation. J Mol Biol. 1999 Feb 19;286(2):417-35. 909 33. Stasiak A, Egelman EH. Visualization of recombination intermediates. Kucherlapati R, Smith 910 GR, editors. Genetic Recombination. Washington: American Society for Microbiology; 1988. 911 p. 265-308. 912 34. Sung P. Catalysis of ATP-dependent homologous DNA pairing and strand exchange by 913 yeast RAD51 protein. Science. 1994 Aug 26;265(5176):1241-3. 914 35. Zaitseva EM, Zaitsev EN, Kowalczykowski SC. The DNA binding properties of 915 Saccharomyces cerevisiae Rad51 protein. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1999 Jan 916 29;274(5):2907-15. 917 36. Hong EL, Shinohara A, Bishop DK. Saccharomyces cerevisiae Dmc1 protein promotes 918 renaturation of single-strand DNA (ssDNA) and assimilation of ssDNA into homologous 919 super-coiled duplex DNA. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2001 Nov 9:276(45):41906–12. 920 37. Solinger JA, Kijanitsa K, Hever W-D, Rad54, a Swi2/Snf2-like recombinational repair 921 protein, disassembles Rad51:dsDNA filaments. Molecular Cell. 2002 Nov;10(5):1175-88. 922 Sheridan SD, Yu X, Roth R, Heuser JE, Sehorn MG, Sung P, et al. A comparative analysis 38. 923 of Dmc1 and Rad51 nucleoprotein filaments. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008 Jul;36(12):4057-66. 924 39. Li X, Hever W-D. RAD54 controls access to the invading 3'-OH end after RAD51-mediated 925 DNA strand invasion in homologous recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic 926 Acids Res. 2009 Feb;37(2):638-46. 927 40. Chi P, Kwon Y, Seong C, Epshtein A, Lam I, Sung P, et al. Yeast recombination factor 928 Rdh54 functionally interacts with the Rad51 recombinase and catalyzes Rad51 removal 929 from DNA. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2006 Sep 8;281(36):26268-79. 930 41. Holzen TM, Shah PP, Olivares HA, Bishop DK. Tid1/Rdh54 promotes dissociation of Dmc1 931 from nonrecombinogenic sites on meiotic chromatin. Genes Dev. 2006 Sep 932 15;20(18):2593-604. 933 42. Shah PP, Zheng X, Epshtein A, Carey JN, Bishop DK, Klein HL. Swi2/Snf2-related 934 translocases prevent accumulation of toxic Rad51 complexes during mitotic growth. 935 Molecular Cell. 2010 Sep 24;39(6):862-72. 936 43. Mason JM, Dusad K, Wright WD, Grubb J, Budke B, Heyer W-D, et al. RAD54 family 937 translocases counter genotoxic effects of RAD51 in human tumor cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 938 2015 Mar 31;43(6):3180-96. 939 44. Hilario J, Amitani I, Baskin RJ, Kowalczykowski SC. Direct imaging of human Rad51 940 nucleoprotein dynamics on individual DNA molecules. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009 Jan 941 13;106(2):361-8. 942 45. Morgan EA, Shah N, Symington LS. The requirement for ATP hydrolysis by Saccharomyces 943 cerevisiae Rad51 is bypassed by mating-type heterozygosity or RAD54 in high copy. Mol 944 Cell Biol. 2002 Sep;22(18):6336-43.

- 94546.Fung CW, Fortin GS, Peterson SE, Symington LS. The rad51-K191R ATPase-defective946mutant is impaired for presynaptic filament formation. Mol Cell Biol. 2006 Dec;26(24):9544–94754.
- 94847.Li X, Zhang X-P, Solinger JA, Kiianitsa K, Yu X, Egelman EH, et al. Rad51 and Rad54949ATPase activities are both required to modulate Rad51-dsDNA filament dynamics. Nucleic950Acids Res. 2007;35(12):4124–40.
- 95148.Conway AB, Lynch TW, Zhang Y, Fortin GS, Fung CW, Symington LS, et al. Crystal
structure of a Rad51 filament. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2004 Aug;11(8):791–6.
- 95349.Galkin VE, Wu Y, Zhang X-P, Qian X, He Y, Yu X, et al. The Rad51/RadA N-terminal954domain activates nucleoprotein filament ATPase activity. Structure. 2006 Jun;14(6):983–92.
- 95550.Chan Y-L, Zhang A, Weissman BP, Bishop DK. RPA resolves conflicting activities of956accessory proteins during reconstitution of Dmc1-mediated meiotic recombination. Nucleic957Acids Res. 2019 Jan 25;47(2):747–61.
- 95851.Bishop DK. RecA homologs Dmc1 and Rad51 interact to form multiple nuclear complexes959prior to meiotic chromosome synapsis. Cell. 1994 Dec 16;79(6):1081–92.
- 96052.Hayase A, Takagi M, Miyazaki T, Oshiumi H, Shinohara M, Shinohara A. A Protein Complex961Containing Mei5 and Sae3 Promotes the Assembly of the Meiosis-Specific RecA Homolog962Dmc1. Cell. 2004 Dec;119(7):927–40.
- 96353.Tsubouchi H, Roeder GS. The budding yeast Mei5 and Sae3 proteins act together with
Dmc1 during meiotic recombination. Genetics. 2004 Nov;168(3):1219–30.
- 96554.Zierhut C, Berlinger M, Rupp C, Shinohara A, Klein F. Mnd1 Is Required for Meiotic966Interhomolog Repair. Current Biology. 2004 May;14(9):752–62.
- 96755.Henry JM, Camahort R, Rice DA, Florens L, Swanson SK, Washburn MP, et al. Mnd1/Hop2968facilitates Dmc1-dependent interhomolog crossover formation in meiosis of budding yeast.969Mol Cell Biol. 2006 Apr;26(8):2913–23.
- 97056.Argunhan B, Murayama Y, Iwasaki H. The differentiated and conserved roles of Swi5-Sfr1 in
homologous recombination. FEBS Lett. 2017 May 8;591(14):2035–47.
- 97257.Haruta N, Kurokawa Y, Murayama Y, Akamatsu Y, Unzai S, Tsutsui Y, et al. The Swi5-Sfr1973complex stimulates Rhp51/Rad51- and Dmc1-mediated DNA strand exchange *in vitro*. Nat974Struct Mol Biol. 2006 Sep;13(9):823–30.
- 97558.Yuan J, Chen J. The role of the human SWI5-MEI5 complex in homologous recombination976repair. J Biol Chem. 2011 Mar 18;286(11):9888–93.
- 97759.Su G-C, Chung C-I, Liao C-Y, Lin S-W, Tsai C-T, Huang T, et al. Enhancement of ADP978release from the RAD51 presynaptic filament by the SWI5-SFR1 complex. Nucleic Acids979Res. 2014 Jan;42(1):349–58.
- 98060.Ferrari SR, Grubb J, Bishop DK. The Mei5-Sae3 Protein Complex Mediates Dmc1 Activity in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2009 Apr 24;284(18):11766–
70.98270.
- 98361.Bugreev DV, Mazin AV. Ca2+ activates human homologous recombination protein Rad51 by
modulating its ATPase activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004 Jul 6;101(27):9988–93.

- 98562.Lu C-H, Yeh H-Y, Su G-C, Ito K, Kurokawa Y, Iwasaki H, et al. Swi5-Sfr1 stimulates Rad51986recombinase filament assembly by modulating Rad51 dissociation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.9872018 Oct 23;115(43):E10059–68.
- 98863.McKee AH, Kleckner N. Mutations in Saccharomyces cerevisiae that block meiotic prophase989chromosome metabolism and confer cell cycle arrest at pachytene identify two new meiosis-990specific genes SAE1 and SAE3. Genetics. 1997 Jul;146(3):817–34.
- 99164.Akamatsu Y, Tsutsui Y, Morishita T, Siddique MSP, Kurokawa Y, Ikeguchi M, et al. Fission992yeast Swi5/Sfr1 and Rhp55/Rhp57 differentially regulate Rhp51-dependent recombination993outcomes. EMBO J. 2007 Mar 7;26(5):1352–62.
- 99465.Akamatsu Y, Jasin M. Role for the mammalian Swi5-Sfr1 complex in DNA strand break995repair through homologous recombination. PLoS Genet. 2010 Oct 14;6(10):e1001160.
- 99666.Tsubouchi H, Roeder GS. Budding yeast Hed1 down-regulates the mitotic recombination997machinery when meiotic recombination is impaired. Genes Dev. 2006 Jul 1;20(13):1766–75.
- 99867.Busygina V, Sehorn MG, Shi IY, Tsubouchi H, Roeder GS, Sung P. Hed1 regulates Rad51-999mediated recombination via a novel mechanism. Genes Dev. 2008 Mar 15;22(6):786–95.
- 100068.Shinohara A, Gasior S, Ogawa T, Kleckner N, Bishop DK. Saccharomyces cerevisiae recA1001homologues RAD51 and DMC1 have both distinct and overlapping roles in meiotic1002recombination. Genes Cells. 1997 Oct;2(10):615–29.
- 100369.Schwacha A, Kleckner N. Interhomolog bias during meiotic recombination: meiotic functions1004promote a highly differentiated interhomolog-only pathway. Cell. 1997 Sep 19;90(6):1123–100535.
- 100670.Shinohara A, Ogawa H, Ogawa T. Rad51 protein involved in repair and recombination in S.1007cerevisiae is a RecA-like protein. Cell. 1992 May 1;69(3):457–70.
- 100871.Campbell MJ, Davis RW. On the *in vivo* function of the RecA ATPase. J Mol Biol. 1999 Feb100919;286(2):437-45.
- 101072.Fortin GS, Symington LS. Mutations in yeast Rad51 that partially bypass the requirement for1011Rad55 and Rad57 in DNA repair by increasing the stability of Rad51-DNA complexes.1012EMBO J. 2002 Jun 17;21(12):3160–70.
- 101373.Keeney S, Giroux CN, Kleckner N. Meiosis-specific DNA double-strand breaks are
catalyzed by Spo11, a member of a widely conserved protein family. Cell. 1997 Feb
7;88(3):375–84.
- 101674.Gasior SL, Wong AK, Kora Y, Shinohara A, Bishop DK. Rad52 associates with RPA and
functions with Rad55 and Rad57 to assemble meiotic recombination complexes. Genes1018Dev. Cold Spring Harbor Lab; 1998 Jul 15;12(14):2208–21.
- 101975.Hunter N, Kleckner N. The single-end invasion: an asymmetric intermediate at the double-1020strand break to double-Holliday junction transition of meiotic recombination. Cell. 2001 Jul102113;106(1):59–70.
- 102276.Cao L, Alani E, Kleckner N. A pathway for generation and processing of double-strand1023breaks during meiotic recombination in S. cerevisiae. Cell. 1990 Jun 15;61(6):1089–101.
- 1024 77. Shinohara M, Shinohara A. Multiple pathways suppress non-allelic homologous

1025 recombination during meiosis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(4):e63144. 1026 78. Oh SD, Jessop L, Lao JP, Allers T, Lichten M, Hunter N. Stabilization and electrophoretic 1027 analysis of meiotic recombination intermediates in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Methods Mol 1028 Biol. 2009;557(Chapter 14):209-34. 1029 Hong S, Sung Y, Yu M, Lee M, Kleckner N, Kim KP. The Logic and Mechanism of 79. 1030 Homologous Recombination Partner Choice. Molecular Cell. 2013 Aug 22;51(4):440-53. 1031 80. Lao JP, Cloud V, Huang C-C, Grubb J, Thacker D, Lee C-Y, et al. Meiotic Crossover Control 1032 by Concerted Action of Rad51-Dmc1 in Homolog Template Bias and Robust Homeostatic 1033 Regulation. PLoS Genet. 2013 Dec 19;9(12):e1003978. 1034 81. Dresser ME, Ewing DJ, Conrad MN, Dominguez AM, Barstead R, Jiang H, et al. DMC1 1035 functions in a Saccharomyces cerevisiae meiotic pathway that is largely independent of the 1036 RAD51 pathway. Genetics. 1997 Oct;147(2):533-44. 1037 82. Bishop DK. Rad51, the lead in mitotic recombinational DNA repair, plays a supporting role 1038 in budding yeast meiosis. Cell Cycle. 2012 Nov 15;11(22):4105-6. 1039 Masson JY, Davies AA, Hajibagheri N, Van Dyck E, Benson FE, Stasiak AZ, et al. The 83. 1040 meiosis-specific recombinase hDmc1 forms ring structures and interacts with hRad51. 1041 EMBO J. 1999 Nov 15;18(22):6552-60. 1042 Tarsounas M, Morita T, Pearlman RE, Moens PB. RAD51 and DMC1 form mixed 84. 1043 complexes associated with mouse meiotic chromosome cores and synaptonemal 1044 complexes. J Cell Biol. 1999 Oct 18;147(2):207-20. 1045 85. Siaud N, Dray E, Gy I, Gérard E, Takvorian N, Doutriaux M-P. Brca2 is involved in meiosis 1046 in Arabidopsis thaliana as suggested by its interaction with Dmc1. EMBO J. 2004 Mar 1047 24;23(6):1392-401. 1048 86. Shinohara M, Gasior SL, Bishop DK, Shinohara A. Tid1/Rdh54 promotes colocalization of 1049 Rad51 and Dmc1 during meiotic recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000 Sep 1050 26;97(20):10814-9. 1051 87. Shan Q. Bork JM, Webb BL, Inman RB, Cox MM, RecA protein filaments: end-dependent 1052 dissociation from ssDNA and stabilization by RecO and RecR proteins. J Mol Biol. 1997 Feb 1053 7:265(5):519-40. 1054 88. Sung P. Yeast Rad55 and Rad57 proteins form a heterodimer that functions with replication 1055 protein A to promote DNA strand exchange by Rad51 recombinase. Genes Dev. 1997 May 1056 1;11(9):1111–21. 1057 Liu J, Renault L, Veaute X, Fabre F, Stahlberg H, Heyer W-D. Rad51 paralogs Rad55-89. 1058 Rad57 balance the anti-recombinase Srs2 in Rad51 filament formation. Nature. 2011 Oct 1059 23;479(7372):245-8. 1060 90. Shinohara M. Shita-Yamaguchi E. Buerstedde JM. Shinagawa H. Ogawa H. Shinohara A. 1061 Characterization of the roles of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae RAD54 gene and a 1062 homologue of RAD54, RDH54/TID1, in mitosis and meiosis. Genetics. 1997 1063 Dec;147(4):1545-56. 1064 Oh SD, Lao JP, Hwang PY-H, Taylor AF, Smith GR, Hunter N. BLM ortholog, Sgs1, 91. 1065 prevents aberrant crossing-over by suppressing formation of multi-chromatid joint

1066 molecules. Cell. 2007 Jul 27;130(2):259–72.

- 106792.Kaur H, De Muyt A, Lichten M. Top3-Rmi1 DNA single-strand decatenase is integral to the1068formation and resolution of meiotic recombination intermediates. Molecular Cell. 2015 Feb106919;57(4):583–94.
- 107093.Tang S, Wu MKY, Zhang R, Hunter N. Pervasive and essential roles of the Top3-Rmi11071decatenase orchestrate recombination and facilitate chromosome segregation in meiosis.1072Molecular Cell. 2015 Feb 19;57(4):607–21.
- 107394.Cejka P, Plank JL, Bachrati CZ, Hickson ID, Kowalczykowski SC. Rmi1 stimulates1074decatenation of double Holliday junctions during dissolution by Sgs1-Top3. Nat Struct Mol1075Biol. 2010 Nov;17(11):1377–82.
- 107695.Fasching CL, Cejka P, Kowalczykowski SC, Heyer W-D. Top3-Rmi1 dissolve Rad51-1077mediated D-loops by a topoisomerase-based mechanism. Molecular Cell. 2015 Feb107819;57(4):595–606.
- 107996.Piazza A, Shah SS, Wright WD, Gore SK, Koszul R, Heyer W-D. Dynamic Processing of1080Displacement Loops during Recombinational DNA Repair. Molecular Cell. 2019 Mar108121;73(6):1255–1266.e4.
- 108297.Piazza A, Wright WD, Heyer W-D. Multi-invasions Are Recombination Byproducts that1083Induce Chromosomal Rearrangements. Cell. 2017 Aug 10;170(4):760–773.e15.
- 108498.Piazza A, Heyer W-D. Multi-Invasion-Induced Rearrangements as a Pathway for1085Physiological and Pathological Recombination. Bioessays. 2018 May;40(5):e1700249.
- 108699.Oh SD, Lao JP, Taylor AF, Smith GR, Hunter N. RecQ helicase, Sgs1, and XPF family1087endonuclease, Mus81-Mms4, resolve aberrant joint molecules during meiotic1088recombination. Molecular Cell. 2008 Aug 8;31(3):324–36.
- 1089100.Jessop L, Lichten M. Mus81/Mms4 endonuclease and Sgs1 helicase collaborate to ensure1090proper recombination intermediate metabolism during meiosis. Molecular Cell. 2008 Aug10918;31(3):313–23.
- 1092
1093101.De Muyt A, Jessop L, Kolar E, Sourirajan A, Chen J, Dayani Y, et al. BLM helicase ortholog
Sgs1 is a central regulator of meiotic recombination intermediate metabolism. Molecular
Cell. 2012 Apr 13;46(1):43–53.
- 1095102.Forget AL, Kowalczykowski SC. Single-molecule imaging of DNA pairing by RecA reveals a
three-dimensional homology search. Nature. 2012 Feb 5;482(7385):423–7.
- 1097103.Wright WD, Heyer W-D. Rad54 functions as a heteroduplex DNA pump modulated by its1098DNA substrates and Rad51 during D-loop formation. Molecular Cell. 2014 Feb 6;53(3):420–109932.
- 1100104.Grubb J, Brown MS, Bishop DK. Surface Spreading and Immunostaining of Yeast1101Chromosomes. J Vis Exp. 2015 Aug 9;(102):e53081.
- 1102105.Lao JP, Tang S, Hunter N. Native/Denaturing two-dimensional DNA electrophoresis and its
application to the analysis of recombination intermediates. Methods Mol Biol. 2013;1054(Pt
2):105–20.
- 1105 106. Arora C, Kee K, Maleki S, Keeney S. Antiviral protein Ski8 is a direct partner of Spo11 in

- 1106meiotic DNA break formation, independent of its cytoplasmic role in RNA metabolism.1107Molecular Cell. 2004 Feb 27;13(4):549–59.
- 1108107.James P, Halladay J, Craig EA. Genomic Libraries and a Host Strain Designed for Highly1109Efficient Two-Hybrid Selection in Yeast. Genetics. 1996 Dec;144(4):1425–36.

1111 Figure Captions

- 1112
- **Table 1.** Spore viabilities for strains in study. p-values are reported for z-scores.

1114 Comparison for single mutants is to wild-type. Comparison for double mutants is to each of the single
1115 mutants; the largest p-value is reported. Comparison for heterozygotes is to homozygotes. N.A. = not
1116 applicable; for samples that do not meet the success/failure condition for z-scores and wild-type to itself.
1117 Strains used in experiments in the order in which they appear in table, top to bottom: DKB3698,
1118 DKB6320, DKB3710, DKB3689, DKB2526, DKB6342, DKB6299, DKB6300, DKB6539, DKB6540.

- 1119 DKB6393, DKB6400, DKB6583, DKB6412, DKB6413, DKB6525, DKB6619, DKB6406, DKB6407.
- 1120
- **Figure 1.** *dmc1-E157D* bypasses *mei5*, *rad51* with respect to focus formation.

(a, c) Representative widefield microscopy imaging of spread meiotic nuclei are shown for each strain.
Scale bars represent 1 µm. (b, d) Quantitation. Nuclei were scored as focus positive if they contained three or more foci of a given type. Dmc1 (green), Rad51 or RPA (red). (e) Quantitation of *spo11* strains and controls at 4 hours. Strains used in experiments in the order in which they appear in figure, top to bottom: DKB3698, DKB6320, DKB6342, DKB6300, DKB3710, DKB6393, DKB6412.

- 1127
- **Figure 2.** *dmc1-E157D* bypasses *mei5* but not *rad51* with respect to CO formation.

(a) 1D Xhol gels at the *HIS4::LEU2* hotspot from meiotic time course experiments (b) Quantitation of 1D gels shown in (a); black – wild-type, light blue – *mei5*, purple – *rad51*, red – *dmc1-E157D*, gray – *dmc1-E157D mei5*, green – *dmc1-E157D rad51*, yellow – *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* and meiotic progression data for each strain. For each time point, ≥50 cells were scored. Strains used in experiments in the order

1133 in which they appear in figure, right to left: DKB3698, DKB6320, DKB3710, DKB6342, DKB6300,

- 1134 DKB6393, DKB6412.
- 1135

Figure 3. Recombination in *dmc1-E157D* is abnormal and dependent on Rad51, with little affect of Mei5-Sae3.

1138 (a) 2D gels at the HIS4::LEU2 hotspot from meiotic time course experiments. Representative images 1139 were chosen according to the time at which total JMs peaks for each sample (wild-type, 6 hours; mei5, 8 1140 hours; dmc1-E157D, 8 hours; dmc1-E157D mei5, 7 hours) (b) 2D gel quantitation; black - wild-type, light 1141 blue – mei5A, red – dmc1-E157D, gray – dmc1-E157D mei5. (c) 2D gels. Representative images were 1142 chosen according to the time at which total JMs peaks for each sample (rad51, 6 hours; dmc1-E157D, 6 1143 hours; dmc1-E157D rad51, 7.5 hours; dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51, 6 hours) (d) 2D gel quantitation; black -1144 wild-type, light purple - rad51, red - dmc1-E157D, green - dmc1-E157D rad51, yellow - dmc1-E157D 1145 *mei5 rad51*. Strains used in experiments in the order in which they appear in figure, right to left and top to 1146 bottom: DKB3698, DKB6320, DKB6342, DKB6300, DKB3710, DKB6393, DKB6412.

- **Figure 4.** Super-resolution imaging shows abnormalities in RPA, Dmc1 foci in mutants.
- (a) Representative STED microscopy imaging of spread meiotic nuclei are shown for each strain. Scale
- bars represent 1 µm; scale bars in inset represent 0.1 µm. For *dmc1-E157D mei5*, time point was taken at

5 hours in sporulation media; for all other strains, time point was taken at 4.5 hours. (b) Quantitation of

foci counts for Dmc1, RPA, is shown for each strain. For each strain, 13 randomly selected nuclei were quantitated. (c) Quantitation of RPA and Dmc1 foci lengths is shown for each strain. (d) Quantitation of

1154 Dmc1 foci lengths colocalizing with RPA is shown for the strains indicated. Strains used in this

experiment in the order in which they appear in figure, top to bottom: DKB3698, DKB3710, DKB6342,

1156 DKB6300, DKB6393, DKB6412.

1157

Figure 5. Model for regulation of filament length *in vivo*.

1159

1160 **Supplemental Figure 1.** *DMC1* expression for wild-type, *dmc1-E157D*.

1161 Left column, W. blot against Dmc1 for 5μL sample prepared from meiotic yeast cultures at 6 hours as described in Methods Section for each strain. Control column is 20 ng purified Dmc1 protein that was run in parallel with sample and used to quantitate blots. Sample concentration is estimated concentration in comparison to 20 ng purified Dmc1 protein. Similar results were obtained from an independent meiotic time course. Strains used in this experiment in the order in which they appear in figure, top to bottom:

1166 DKB3698, DKB6342.

1167

Supplemental Figure 2. *spo11* suppresses the meiotic progression defect associated with *dmc1-E157D*.

1169 Meiotic progression data for strains indicated. For each time point, \geq 50 cells were scored. Strains used in 1170 this experiment in the order in which they appear in figure, top to bottom: DKB3698, DKB2123, DKB6342,

1171 DKB6419, DKB6425.

1172

Supplemental Figure 3. An independently derived diploid strain (DKB6413) corresponding to the *dmc1*-*E157D mei5 rad51* genotype gives the same result as shown in Figure 3.

1175 Wild-type and *dmc1-E157D* samples were prepared in parallel as controls. (a) 2D gels gels at the

1176 *HIS4::LEU2* hotspot from meiotic time course experiments. Representative images were chosen

according to the time at which total JMs peaks for each sample. From left to right: wild-type (5h), *dmc1*-

1178 *E157D* (6h), *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51* (7h). (b) 2D gel quantitation; black – wild-type, red – *dmc1-E157D*,

1179 yellow – *dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51*. Strains used in this experiment in the order in which they appear in

- figure, right to left: DKB3698, DKB6342, DKB6413.
- 1181
- **Supplemental Figure 4.** The defects associated with *dmc1-E157D rad51* are independent of Rad51's catalytic activity.
- (a) 2D gels gels at the *HIS4::LEU2* hotspot from meiotic time course experiments. Representative images

1185 were chosen according to the time at which total JMs peaks for each sample. From left to right: wild-type

(6h), rad51-II3A (6h), dmc1-E157D (6h), dmc1-E157D rad51-II3A (6h). (b) 2D gel quantitation; black –

1187 wild-type, gray – rad51-II3A, red – dmc1-E157D, dark blue – dmc1-E157D rad51-II3A. Strains used in this

experiment in the order in which they appear in figure, right to left: DKB3698, DKB3689, DKB6342,

1189 DKB6400.

Supplemental Figure 5. Meiotic two-hybrid analysis detects a weak interaction between Rad51 and Dmc1 that is independent of Mei5.

(a) All interactions examined are plotted. (b) Subset of the same data shown in (a) to facilitate comparison of measurements of the Rad51-Dmc1 interaction with empty vector controls. ΔBD and ΔAD represent the empty vectors. Strains used in this experiment: DKB6501, DKB6503, DKB6508, DKB6509, DKB6513, DKB6515.

- 1197
- **Supplemental Figure 6.** Super-resolution imaging resolves closely spaced foci, but elongated foci still form in *spo11 dmc1-E157D*.
- (a) Spread meiotic nuclei prepared from a *dmc1-E157D mei5* 5 hour sample imaged using confocal and
 STED microscopy methods. (b) STED imaging of a *spo11 dmc1-E157D* spread meiotic nuclei at 5 hours.
 For both, scale bar represents 1 micrometer. Red, RPA, green, Dmc1. Strains used in this experiment:
 DKB630, DKB6419.
- 1204
- 1205 **Supplemental Figure 7.** *dmc1-E157D rdh54* is more defective in meiotic progression than either of the single mutants, *dmc1-E157D* and *rdh54*.
- Meiotic progression data for strains indicated. For each time point, ≥100 cells were scored. Strains used
 in this experiment in the order in which they appear in figure, top to bottom: DKB2526, DKB6342,
 DKB6583.
- 1210
- 1211 **Supplemental Figure 8.** DSB-independent Dmc1-WT focus formation does not require Mei5.
- Samples were collected 4 hours after induction of meiosis in liquid medium and immuno-stained for Dmc1and Hop2. Because Hop2 staining is Spo11 independent and specific for meiotic prophase, random

1214 prophase nuclei were selected on the basis of being Hop2 positive and then imaged for Dmc1 staining.

1215 50 nuclei were examined for each sample with 3 representative nuclei shown for each of the three strains

- examined. Images were generated by wide-field microscopy using the same camera settings for all
- strains. Strains used in this experiment in the order in which they appear in figure, top to bottom:
- 1218 DKB2524, DKB2523, and DKB6571.
- 1219
- **Supplemental Table 1.** Yeast strains used in this study.
- 1221

Strain	n (tetrads)	Spore viability (%)	p-value (z-score)
wild-type	153	98.4	N.A.
mei5	no tetrads formed	N.A.	N.A.
rad51	160	0.0	N.A.
rad51-II3A	76	82.9	p < 0.01
rdh54	40	91.9	p < 0.01
dmc1-E157D	215	57.6	p < 0.01
dmc1-E157D mei5	253	50.3	p < 0.01
dmc1-E157D sae3	39	57.0	not significant
dmc1-E157D rad51	136	0.74	N.A.
dmc1-E157D rad51- II3A	88	17.0	p < 0.01
dmc1-E157D rdh54	no tetrads formed	N.A.	N.A.
dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51	no tetrads formed	N.A.	N.A.
DMC1/dmc1-E157D	160	85.6	p < 0.01
DMC1/dmc1-E157D mei5/"	160	58.8	p < 0.01



mei5



0.5%

0.0%

time (hrs)

0

4

8

12 16 20 24

0%

0 4 8 12 16 20 24

5%

0%

4

0

8 12 16 20 24

5%

0%

0

4

8

12 16 20 24



9 time (hrs)

7.5

6

7.5

9

6

3

4.5

3

4.5

3

6

4.5

0.0% 7.5 9 4.5 3

6 7.5







Short filaments

Long filaments



homology search within filament is limited



homology search within filament is wide-ranging



filament invades a single donor

filament may invade multiple donors





wild-type spo11 dmc1-E157D spo11 dmc1-E157D spo11 dmc1-E157D mei5













В





rdh54 dmc1-E157D dmc1-E157D rdh54



spo11 rdh54

spo11 rdh54 mei5

Name	Strain	Genotype
wild-type	DKB3698	ho::hisG/", leu2::hisG/", ura3(ΔSma-Pst)/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3
dmc1-E157D	DKB6342	lys2/", ho::hisG/ho::LYS2, leu2::hisG, ura3/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3, dmc1-E157D- natMX4/"
DMC1/dmc1-E157D	DKB6619	lys2/LYS2, ho::hisG/", leu2::hisG/", ura3/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/", dmc1-E157D-natMX4/DMC1-WT
mei5	DKB6320	ho::hisG/", leu2::hisG/", ura3/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3, mei5::KANMX/"
rad51	DKB3710	ho::hisG or LYS2/", ura3/", leu2::hisG/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3, rad51::hisG/"
rad51-II3A	DKB3689	ho::hisG/", leu2::hisG/", ura3(ΔSma-Pst)/",HIS4-X::LEU2-(BamHl; +ori)-ura3/his4X::LEU2-(NgoMlV; +or)-URA3, RAD51- R188A, K361A, K371A-KANMX6/"
rdh54	DKB2526	ho::LYS2/", lys2/", leu2::hisG/", his4-X::LEU2/", trp1::hisG/", tid1::LEU2/"
spo11	DKB2123	ho::LYS2/", lys2/", leu2::hisG/", ura3/", his4-X/his4B, spo11::hisG-URA3-hisG/"
spo11	DKB2524	ho::LYS2/", lys2/", leu2::hisG/", trp1::hisG/", ura3/", his4X::LEU2/", spo11::hisG-URA3-hisG/"
dmc1-E157D mei5	DKB6299	ho::LYS2/ho::hisG, ura3/", leu2::hisG/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3, dmc1-E157D- natMX4/", mei5::kanMX/"
dmc1-E157D mei5	DKB6300	ho::LYS2/ho::hisG, ura3/", leu2::hisG/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3, dmc1-E157D- natMX4/", mei5::kanMX/"
DMC1/dmc1-E157D mei5	DKB6406	ho::hisG, leu2::hisG, ura3, his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3/HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori), mei5::KanMX/", dmc1-E157D- natMX4/DMC1-WT
DMC1/dmc1-E157D mei5	DKB6407	ho::hisG, leu2::hisG, ura3, his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3/HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori), mei5::KanMX/", dmc1-E157D- natMX4/DMC1-WT
dmc1-E157D rad51	DKB6393	lys2 or LYS2/", ho::hisG or ho::LYS2/", ura3/", leu2::hisG/", arg4-nsp/ARG4, his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)URA3/HIS4::LEU2- (BamHI; +ori), dmc1-E157D-natMX4/", rad51::hisG/"
dmc1-E157D rad51- II3A	DKB6400	ho::hisG/", leu2::hisG/", ura3/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA, RAD51-R188A, K361A, K371- A-KANMX6/", dmc1-E157D-natMX4/"
dmc1-E157D rdh54	DKB6583	ho::LYS2/", lys2/", leu2::hisG/", his4-X::LEU2/", TRP1/trp1::hisG, tid1::LEU2/", dmc1-E157D-natMX4/"
dmc1-E157D sae3	DKB6539	lys2/", ho::LYS2/", leu2 or LEU2/", ura3/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3, dmc1-E157D- natMX4/", sae3::hisG-URA3-hisG/"
dmc1-E157D sae3	DKB6540	lys2/", ho::LYS2/", leu2 or LEU2/", ura3/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3, dmc1-E157D- natMX4/", sae3::hisG-URA3-hisG/"
spo11 dmc1-E157D	DKB6419	ho::hisG or ho::LYS2/ho::hisG, LYS2 or lys2/lys2, leu2::hisG/", ura3/", his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3/HIS4::LEU2- (BamHl; +ori), dmc1-E157D-natMX4/", spo11::hisG-URA3-hisG/"
spo11 rdh54	DKB2523	ho::LYS2/", lys2/", ura3/", leu2::hisG/", his4-X::LEU2/", trp1::hisG/", tid1::LEU2/", spo11::hisG-URA3-hisG/"
dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51	DKB6412	lys2 or LYS2/", ho::hisG or ho::LYS2/", ura3/", leu2::hisG/", arg4-nsp or ARG4/ARG4, HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4- X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori), rad51::hisG/", dmc1-E157D-natMX4/", mei5::KANMX/"
dmc1-E157D mei5 rad51	DKB6413	lys2 or LYS2/", ho::hisG or ho::LYS2/", ura3/", leu2::hisG/", arg4-nsp/ARG4, HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2- (NgoMIV; +ori), rad51::hisG/", dmc1-E157D-natMX4/", mei5::KANMX/"
spo11 dmc1-E157D mei5	DKB6425	ho::hisG or ho::LYS2/", lys2 or LYS2, leu2::hisG/", ura3/", HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI; +ori)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV; +ori)-URA3, dmc1-E157D-natMX4/", spo11::hisG-URA3-hisG/", mei5::KANMX/"
spo11 mei5 rdh54	DKB6571	ho::LYS2/", lys2/", ura3/", leu2::hisG/", his4-X::LEU2/", trp1::hisG/", tid1::LEU2/", spo11::hisG-URA3-hisG/", mei5::KANMX/"
two-hybrid strain	DKB6501	lys2/", ho::LYS2/", URA3/", leu2:hisG/", his4-X/HIS4, trp1::hisG/", arg4-nsp or ARG4/", dmc1::ARG4/", rad51::hisG/", ndt80::kanMX/", LexA(op)-lacZ::URA3/" +pNRB729 +pNRB271
two-hybrid strain	DKB6503	lys2/", ho::LYS2/", URA3/", leu2:hisG/", his4-X/HIS4, trp1::hisG/", arg4-nsp or ARG4/", dmc1::ARG4/", rad51::hisG/", ndt80::kanMX/", LexA(op)-lacZ::URA3/" +pNRB727 +pNRB688
two-hybrid strain	DKB6508	lys2/", ho::LYS2/", URA3/", leu2:hisG/", HIS4/his4-X, trp1::hisG/", arg4-nsp or ARG4/", dmc1::ARG4/", mei5∆::kanMX/", rad51::hisG/", ndt80::kanMX/", LexA(op)-lac2:URA3/" +pNRB727 +pNRB271
two-hybrid strain	DKB6509	lys2/", ho::LYS2/", URA3/", leu2:hisG/", his4-X/HIS4, trp1::hisG/", arg4-nsp or ARG4/", dmc1::ARG4/", rad51::hisG/", ndt80::kanMX/", LexA(op)-lacZ::URA3/" +pNRB727 +pNRB271
two-hybrid strain	DKB6513	lys2/", ho::LYS2/", URA3/", leu2:hisG/", his4-X/HIS4, trp1::hisG/", arg4-nsp or ARG4/", dmc1::ARG4/", rad51::hisG/", ndt80::kanMX/", LexA(op)-lacZ::URA3/" +pNRB728 +pNRB271
two-hybrid strain	DKB6515	lys2/", ho::LYS2/", URA3/", leu2:hisG/", his4-X/HIS4, trp1::hisG/", arg4-nsp or ARG4/", dmc1::ARG4/", rad51::hisG/", ndt80::kanMX/", LexA(op)-lacZ::URA3/" +pNRB727 +pNRB267