bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/625533; this version posted May 3, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

## Sonogenetic modulation of cellular activities using an engineered auditory-sensing protein

3 Yao-Shen Huang<sup>1</sup>\*, Ching-Hsiang Fan<sup>2</sup>\*, Ning Hsu<sup>1</sup>\*, Chun-Yao Wu<sup>2</sup>, Chu-Yuan Chang<sup>1</sup>, Shi-

4 Rong Hong<sup>1</sup>, Ya-Chu Chang<sup>1</sup>, Anthony Yan-Tang Wu<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Vanessa Guo<sup>3</sup>, Yueh-Chen Chiang<sup>1</sup>,

5 Wei-Chia Hsu<sup>1</sup>, Nai-Hua Chiu<sup>2</sup>, Linyi Chen<sup>1,6</sup>, Charles Pin-Kuang Lai<sup>3,4,7</sup>, Chih-Kuang Yeh<sup>2</sup>,

6 Yu-Chun Lin<sup>1,6</sup>

7

- 8 <sup>1</sup>Institute of Molecular Medicine, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, 300, Taiwan
- 9 <sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering and Environmental Sciences, National Tsing Hua
- 10 University, Hsinchu, 300, Taiwan
- <sup>3</sup>Institute of Atomic and Molecular Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 106, Taiwan
- 12 <sup>4</sup>Chemical Biology and Molecular Biophysics Program, Taiwan International Graduate
- 13 Program, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 106, Taiwan
- <sup>5</sup>Department and Graduate Institute of Pharmacology, National Taiwan University, 106,

15 Taiwan

- <sup>6</sup>Department of Medical Science, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, 300, Taiwan
- <sup>7</sup>Genome and Systems Biology Degree Program, National Taiwan University and Academia
- 18 Sinica, Taipei, 106, Taiwan.
- 19
- 20 \*These authors contributed equally to this work
- 21 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to <u>ckyeh@mx.nthu.edu.tw</u>
- 22 and <u>ycl@life.nthu.edu.tw</u>
- 23

2	4
~	

#### Abstract

25 Biomolecules that respond to different external stimuli enable the remote control of genetically 26 modified cells. Chemogenetics and optogenetics, two tools that can control cellular activities 27 via synthetic chemicals or photons, respectively, have been widely used to elucidate underlying physiological processes. These methods are, however, very invasive, have poor penetrability, 28 29 or low spatiotemporal precision, attributes that hinder their use in therapeutic applications. We 30 report herein a sonogenetic approach that can manipulate target cell activities by focused 31 ultrasound stimulation. This system requires an ultrasound-responsive protein derived from an 32 engineered auditory-sensing protein prestin. Heterogeneous expression of mouse prestin containing two parallel amino acid substitutions, N7T and N308S, that frequently exist in 33 34 prestins from echolocating species endowed transfected mammalian cells with the ability to 35 sense ultrasound. An ultrasound pulse of low frequency and low pressure efficiently evoked 36 cellular calcium responses after transfecting with prestin(N7T, N308S). Moreover, pulsed 37 ultrasound can also non-invasively stimulate target neurons expressing prestin(N7T, N308S) 38 in deep regions of mice brains. Our study delineates how an engineered auditory-sensing 39 protein can cause mammalian cells to sense ultrasound stimulation. Moreover, owing to the great penetration of low-frequency ultrasound (~400 mm in depth), our sonogenetic tools will 40 serve as new strategies for non-invasive therapy in deep tissues of large animals like primates. 41

- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45

47

#### Introduction

Approaches that can non-invasively stimulate target cells buried in the deep tissues are 48 highly desirable for basic research and clinical therapy. Currently, different external stimuli 49 50 including photons, chemicals, radio waves, and magnetic fields have been used to stimulate target cells implanted with stimulus-responsive proteins or nanoparticles<sup>1-4</sup>. However, these 51 strategies suffer from several drawbacks including invasiveness, poor spatiotemporal precision, 52 53 or low penetration depth, which greatly hinder their potential use in clinical therapy. To overcome these long-standing problems, we aim to use focused ultrasound (FUS) as a stimulus 54 to remotely control cellular activities because it can non-invasively deliver acoustic energy to 55 deep tissues while retaining spatiotemporal coherence<sup>5</sup>. 56

57 Ultrasound waves have frequencies greater than those of sound waves that can be heard by humans (>20 kHz). Low-frequency ultrasound waves (<3.5 MHz) are easily transmitted 58 through tissues, including those of bones and brains<sup>6</sup>. Owing to its deep penetrability and 59 60 spatiotemporal resolution (a few cubic millimetres), ultrasound-based neuromodulation has been tested on cultured neuronal cells and in brains of various model organisms<sup>6–11</sup>. As 61 continuous ultrasound waves or pulsed ultrasound waves of high acoustic pressure are typically 62 needed to activate neurons, neuronal cells are likely to be weakly sensitive to ultrasound 63 stimulus<sup>8,12,13</sup>. To overcome this, gas-filled microbubbles (MBs) that vibrate upon ultrasound 64 65 excitation have been used as ultrasound amplifiers to enhance their mechanical effects on target cells<sup>14,15</sup>. Recently, lbsen and colleagues used MBs to transduce mechanical stimulation from 66 ultrasound waves to neuronal cells in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and induced behavioural output<sup>16</sup>. 67 The pore-forming cationic mechanotransduction ion channel TRP-4 may be involved in 68 transducing ultrasound stimulation onto MBs attached to C.  $elegans^{16}$ . Although this study 69

70 verified that ultrasound-mediated neuromodulation is possible, its further development faces 71 major roadblocks, i.e., MBs have a short lifespan *in vivo* (<5 min in the blood), and it is difficult to deliver MBs to extravascular tissues<sup>17</sup>. Compared with MBs, gas-filled protein 72 73 complexes, denoted as gas vesicles, are highly stable both *in vitro* and *in vivo* and efficiently 74 oscillate in response to ultrasound excitation. Different gas vesicle variants can serve as genetically encoded ultrasound contrast reagents to track target microbes or cells by ultrasound 75 76 imaging<sup>18,19</sup>. However, it is still challenging to express and assemble prokaryotic gas vesicles 77 in mammalian cells<sup>5</sup>. Recently, several groups implanted mechanosensitive ion channels, such 78 as Mscl and Piezo1, into in vitro cell culture systems and, with their use, successfully perturbed the cellular membrane potentials of target cells using ultrasound.<sup>20,21</sup> However, the ultrasound 79 80 frequencies used in those studies are too high (30 MHz and 43 MHz) to be applicable for in vivo use owing to their low penetrability (<5 mm). Therefore, to date, there has been no 81 82 sonogenetic system that uses low-frequency and low-pressure ultrasound to remotely control 83 activities of mammalian cells that have been genetically modified.

84 Several mammalian species, including bats and cetaceans, use ultrasound to navigate 85 or communicate. The high-frequency auditory sensitivity and selectivity in echolocating mammals have been attributed to adaptive mechanical amplification in the outer hair cells 86 (OHCs) of their cochlea<sup>22</sup>. Prestin (also known as SCL26A5) is a transmembrane protein 87 88 residing in OHCs that drives their electromotility and seems to be involved in the ability to hear ultrasound<sup>23–25</sup>. Heterologous expression of prestin endows transfected mammalian cell 89 90 lines with several of the physiological hallmarks of OHCs, suggesting that prestin may inherently act as an electromechanical transducer<sup>26</sup>. Evolutionary analysis also suggests that 91 prestin is involved in ultrasound sensing of echolocating mammals<sup>23</sup>. The primary sequence of 92

93 prestin is largely conserved among various mammalian species, although several specific 94 amino acid substitutions that directly affect the electromotility capacity of prestin frequently 95 occur in prestins of sonar mammals but not in those of their non-sonar counterparts<sup>23,24</sup>. Thus 96 prestin probably enhances ultrasound sensitivity in mammals, although how it does so is still 97 unclear.

- 98
- 99

#### **Results and discussion**

100 Here we first examined the amino acid sequences of prestin from six non-echolocating species and eight echolocating species. Asn at positions 7 and 308 in prestins of non-101 102 echolocating species is frequently replaced with Thr and Ser, respectively, in echolocating 103 species (Fig. 1a). To test whether these apparently evolutionarily driven amino acid 104 substitutions are important to adaptive ultrasound sensing, two mutations N7T and/or N308S were introduced into mouse prestin (hereafter mPrestin). The constructs used for our study 105 106 were wild-type prestin (mPrestinWT); mPrestin mutants containing a single substitution, 107 mPrestin(N7T) and mPrestin(N308S); and a mutant containing two substitutions, mPrestin(N7T, N308S). Each of these constructs was tagged with the yellow fluorescent 108 protein Venus. Each construct was co-transfected with the calcium biosensor cyan fluorescence 109 protein (CFP)-R-GECO into the human HEK293T cell line. The calcium influx of transfected 110 111 cells was used as a readout in response to the mechanical stimulation of ultrasound wave. To simultaneously excite FUS and acquire real-time cell images, an ultrasound transducer 112 connected to a waveform generator and an amplifier was placed on top of the live-cell imaging 113 system. This system focuses ultrasound waves to a circle with a diameter of a few millimetres 114 115 over a monolayer of cultured cells (Extended Data Fig. 1). Using this ultrasound-imaging

system, we stimulated cells co-expressing CFP-R-GECO and Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) or 116 117 co-expressing CFP-RGECO and Venus with a short, low-frequency ultrasound pulse (0.5 MHz, all pulses consisted of 3-sec duration, 2000 cycles, 10 Hz, 0.5 MPa unless otherwise noted). 118 119 Live-cell imaging showed that a short ultrasound pulse of 0.5 MHz was sufficient to evoke 120 calcium influx in cells expressing Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S), but not in cells transfected 121 with Venus alone (Fig. 1b; Extended Data Video 1). Quantification of the calcium imaging data 122 indicated that FUS induced a  $351 \pm 20\%$  (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.) increase in the R-GECO fluorescence of Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-transfected cells (Fig. 1c, right panel). However, FUS only 123 slightly evoked the calcium response in cells that expressed Venus-mPrestinWT (Fig. 1c, 124 middle panel). Cells transfected with Venus alone did not respond to FUS stimulation (Fig. 1c, 125 126 left panel). These results indicated that heterogeneous expression of Venus-mPrestinWT 127 endowed the transfected cells with a weak ability to sense ultrasound. Substituting Thr at position 7 and Ser at position 308 in the Venus-tagged mPrestinWT substantially improved the 128 129 ultrasound-evoked calcium response of the transfected HEK293T cells.

130 To determine the optimal ultrasound frequency/frequencies for cell manipulation, we next comprehensively tested the calcium responses of cells expressing the various Venus-131 mPrestin constructs to different FUS frequencies between 80 kHz and 3.5 MHz (3-sec duration, 132 2000 cycles, 0.5 MPa; Fig. 2). Interestingly, cells individually expressing the WT and mutated 133 134 constructs were sensitive only to 0.5 MHz FUS (Fig. 2). The 80 kHz, 1 MHz, 2 MHz, and 3.5 MHz FUS were insufficient to evoke a calcium influx in the cells (Fig. 2). Upon stimulation 135 by 0.5 MHz FUS, the percentage of ultrasound-responsive cells was  $11.29 \pm 4.25$ -fold (mean 136  $\pm$  s.e.m.) greater for the Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) group compared with the control group 137 (p = 0.024; Fig. 2). Heterogeneous expression of Venus-mPrestinWT, Venus-mPrestin(N7T), 138

and Venus-mPrestin(N308S) only slightly increased the sensitivity of the transfected HEK293T
cells to 0.5 MHz FUS (*p* = 0.31, 0.51, and 0.25, respectively; Fig. 2). These results confirmed
that 0.5 MHz FUS efficiently evoked a calcium response in cells expressing mPrestin(N7T,
N308S) in a frequency-dependent manner.

143 In addition to prestin, Ibsen and colleagues demonstrated that the mechanosensitive ion channel, TRP-4, is required for ultrasound-mediated mechanical stimulation and can modify 144 145 animal behaviour in the presence of MBs<sup>16</sup>. To test whether TRP-4 can act as an ultrasoundresponsive protein, we transfected HEK293T cells with two members of the mammalian 146 TRPC4 family including human TRPC4 $\alpha$  (hTRPC4 $\alpha$ ) and mouse TRPC4 $\beta$  (mTRPC4 $\beta$ )<sup>27,28</sup>. 147 The calcium response of cells expressing hTRPC4 $\alpha$  or mTRPC4 $\beta$  tagged with CFP upon FUS 148 stimulation of different frequencies was examined and quantified. The percentage of 149 ultrasound-excitable cells in the in the mTRPC4 $\beta$ -CFP group was 3.29  $\pm$  0.94-fold (mean  $\pm$ 150 s.e.m.) greater than the control group upon stimulation with 0.5 MHz FUS (p = 0.044; 151 Extended Data Fig. 2). Ultrasound of 80 kHz, 1 MHz, 2 MHz, and 3.5 MHz was not sufficient 152 153 to induce a calcium response in cells expressing mTRPC4 $\beta$ -CFP. Thus, mTRPC4 $\beta$ -CFP is only weakly sensitive to 0.5 MHz FUS. Although its protein sequence is very similar to 154 that of mTRPC4 $\beta$ , hTRPC4 $\alpha$ -CFP did not respond to the low-frequency ultrasound 155 stimulation at all (Extended Data Fig. 2). Taken together, the comprehensive examination 156 of ultrasound sensing in cells transfected with different putative ultrasound-responsive 157 proteins shows that mPrestin(N7T, N308S) was the most responsive protein. 158

We next explored the possible molecular mechanisms that make the two evolutionarily conserved amino acid substitutions important for prestin-dependent ultrasound sensing. Targeting of prestin to the plasma membrane is required for its

function<sup>29</sup>. Confocal images of Venus-mPrestinWT and Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) in 162 living cells showed that Venus-mPrestinWT localised to the cytosol and to the plasma 163 membrane, whereas Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) localised exclusively to the plasma 164 membrane (Fig. 3a). Quantification of the relative intensities confirmed that 165 mPrestin(N7T, N308S) exhibited a significantly greater plasma membrane/cytosol 166 intensity ratio than did Venus-mPrestinWT (p = 0.003; Fig. 3b). We therefore 167 168 hypothesised that targeting mPrestin(N7T, N308S) to the plasma membrane is important 169 for its sensitivity to ultrasound. To assess this hypothesis, we introduced a point mutation (Y667Q) that causes prestin to mislocate to the Golgi apparatus into Venus-170 mPrestin(N7T, N308S). As expected, Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S, Y6670) accumulated 171 172 at the Golgi apparatus, and its plasma membrane/cytosol intensity ratio decreased 173 significantly (p = 1.02E-7; Fig. 3a, b). The mislocalisation of Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S, Y667Q) to the Golgi apparatus impaired its ultrasound-sensing ability (p = 0.032; Fig. 3c), 174 175 confirming that plasma-membrane targeting of Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) is required 176 for its response to ultrasound.

Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) was not evenly distributed in the plasma membrane 177 but was concentrated in punctate regions (Figs. 1b and 3a). HEK293T cells expressing 178 179 mPrestin(N7T, N308S) exhibit significantly higher number of puncta than cells expressing wild-type mPrestin (*p*=0.015; Extended Data Fig. 3a). Mislocation of 180 mPrestin(N7T, N308S, Y667Q) at Golgi reduces the number of puncta suggesting puncta 181 formation of mPrestin requires its plasma membrane targeting (p=0.032; Extended Data 182 Fig. 3a). Quantification data show the area of mPrestin(N7T, N308S) puncta is  $132 \pm 6.28$ 183  $nm^2$  (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.; Extended Data Fig. 3b). Prestin self-assembles into oligomers to form 184

bullet-shaped complexes in the plasma membrane<sup>30,31</sup>. To evaluate whether self-185 association of prestin occurred in these punctate regions, we used fluorescence 186 resonance energy transfer (FRET) to examine the oligomerisation of Venus- and CFP-187 tagged mPrestin constructs. A greater FRET efficiency was obtained in the punctate 188 regions of cells expressing mPrestin(N7T, N308S) as compared with cells transfected 189 with mPrestinWT (p = 0.025; Extended Data Fig. 3c, d), indicating that self-association of 190 191 mPrestin(N7T, N308S) but not mPrestinWT occurred in the punctate regions. 192 Immunofluorescence staining also showed that mPrestin(N7T, N308S) puncta associated with actin filaments and microtubules (Extended Data Fig. 3e). Next, ultrafast imaging of 193 cells transfected with Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) (imaging interval, 17 ms) was used 194 195 to observe the real-time behaviour of Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) puncta upon FUS 196 stimulation. Live-cell imaging and quantification showed that Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) puncta oscillated continuously for a few seconds after being exposed to pulsed 197 0.5 MHz FUS (Fig. 3d, e; Extended Data Video 2). Because several waves of calcium 198 199 responses were observed after a single FUS pulse in the Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-transfected cells (Fig. 1c, right), we hypothesised that a short pulse of FUS 200 201 induced sustained oscillation of Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S)–positive puncta that then 202 trigger the calcium response for a few seconds. To address this hypothesis, we found that cellular expression of Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S, V499G, Y501H), which prevents the 203 electromotility of prestin without affecting its localisation to the plasma membrane<sup>32</sup>, 204 blocked oscillation of the puncta upon FUS stimulation (Fig. 3d, e; Extended Data Video 205 2). Moreover, the lack of oscillation found for the Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S, V499G, 206 Y501H) puncta significantly attenuated the FUS-mediated calcium response (*p*=0.016; 207

Fig. 3c). Thus FUS-evoked calcium responses are highly dependent on the electromotilityand oscillation of prestin puncta in the plasma membrane.

We next determined in which cellular compartment the calcium is stored that is 210 211 released by Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) upon FUS stimulation. Addition of the calcium chelator ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid (EGTA) in the extracellular space completely 212 inhibited the calcium response in cells expressing Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) upon 213 214 ultrasound stimulation (p = 6.2E-7; Fig. 3f). However, depletion of the intracellular calcium store by thapsigargin did not significantly affect the ultrasound-mediated 215 calcium response (p = 0.16; Fig. 3f). Thus mPrestin(NT7, N308S) induced calcium influx 216 217 from the extracellular space instead of from the intracellular calcium pool after FUS 218 excitation. We speculate that replacement of Asn with Thr and Ser at positions 7 and 308, respectively, in mPrestin enhanced its localisation to the plasma membrane where its 219 oscillations promoted calcium influx from the extracellular pool. 220

Several mechanosensitive ion channels are activated by high-frequency 221 222 ultrasound<sup>20,21</sup>. We incubated gentamicin, а pharmaceutical inhibitor of mechanosensitive ion channels, with cells that expressed Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S)<sup>33</sup> 223 and found that this treatment did not significantly affect the calcium response upon 224 ultrasound excitation (p = 0.27; Fig. 3f). Thus gentamicin-sensitive ion channels were not 225 involved in the mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-mediated calcium response, which is consistent 226 with results from an ultrasound-inducible system driven by piezoelectric nanoparticles<sup>34</sup>. 227 Ultrasound excites neuronal cells by activating voltage-gated ion channels<sup>6</sup>. To examine 228 the possible involvement of voltage-gated ion channels in our system, cells expressing 229 Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) were incubated with tetrodotoxin (TTX), an inhibitor of 230

voltage-gated ion channels, and then stimulated with 0.5 MHz FUS. However, the percentage of ultrasound-excitable cells transfected with mPrestin(N7T, N308S) was not affected by TTX treatment, indicating that voltage-gated ion channels are not involved in the mPrestin-mediated pathway (p = 0.80; Fig. 3f).

To take advantage of the great sensitivity of Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) to 235 ultrasound stimulation, we next developed a sonogenetic system that would allow for 236 237 stimulating neurons (Fig. 4). Infection of primary cultured cortical neurons with a VenusmPrestin(N7T, N308S)-containing lentivirus led to the expression of Venus-238 mPrestin(N7T, N308S) on a neuronal membrane. Moreover, Venus-mPrestin(N7T, 239 N308S) also forms puncta on neuronal membrane (Fig. 4a). For the sonogenetic 240 241 stimulation of target neurons in deep brain, an adeno-associated virus (AAV) encoding Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) or Venus alone was injected into the VTA brain region. 242 Two weeks later, anesthetized mice were exposed to transcranial pulsed ultrasonic 243 excitation (0.5 MHz FUS, 0.5 MPa, 5 seconds; Fig. 4b). FUS-activated neurons were 244 245 mapped by imaging the expression of c-Fos (Fig. 4c). Neuronal excitation was triggered by a short pulsed FUS in Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-transfected mice (p = 8.64E-3, 246 Fig. 4c,d). Control mice with Venus alone expression showed no significant c-Fos 247 expression in VTA region (p = 0.08, Fig. 4c,d). These results demonstrated that 248 mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-mediated sonogenetics is a flexible and non-invasive approach 249 for sonogenetic control of neuronal activity. 250

In summary, we here have introduced two evolutionarily conserved amino acid substitutions N7T and N308S into mouse prestin which enhances its self-association as well as puncta formation in the plasma membrane. These mPrestin(N7T, N308S) puncta

highly associate with actin filaments and microtubules in cells. A short pulse of 0.5 MHz
FUS induces sustained oscillation of mPrestin(N7T, N308S) puncta with electromotility
and evokes several waves of calcium responses in transfected cells (Extended Data Fig.
The ultrahigh ultrasound sensitivity of mPrestin(N7T, N308S) allows for noninvasively stimulation of target neurons in deep mice brain by a short pulsed FUS.

Our results raised a fundamental question: why are mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-259 260 transfected cells sensitive only to ultrasound of 0.5 MHz? Because we used a short, low-261 frequency, and low-pressure ultrasound pulse of constant acoustic power (0.5 MPa), it is unlikely that any unexpected thermal and/or mechanical effects were present that would 262 restrict the frequency to 0.5 MHz. We therefore assume that a frequency of 0.5 MHz is 263 264 simply optimal for stimulation of cells. Cell membranes are able to absorb ultrasound waves and transient cavitation effect occurs in their intramembrane spaces upon 265 ultrasound stimulation<sup>35</sup>. According to simulation and experimental data, 0.25~0.5 MHz 266 267 are the optimal frequencies of ultrasound for inducing intramembrane cavitation as well as bio-piezoelectric perturbation<sup>7,36</sup>. Because prestin acts as a piezoelectric amplifier to 268 enhance the electromotile response in OHCs and mammalian cell lines<sup>25,26</sup>, we suggest 269 that the ultrasound-induced intramembrane bio-piezoelectric perturbation may be 270 amplified by mPrestin(N7T, N308S) that then trigger the observed calcium influx. 271 272 Ultrasound of 80 kHz, which is the peak frequency used by most sonar species<sup>23</sup>, did not efficiently induce a calcium response in Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-transfected cells 273 (Fig. 2), which suggested that the mechanism(s) of how sonar-responsive species hear 274 ultrasound by auditory organs may not be the same as in our system. 275

276

Similar to photon-responsive-proteins and fluorescent proteins, which absorb

distinct wavelengths of light and allow for multiplex imaging and optogenetics, 277 mPrestin(N7T, N308S) specifically responds to 0.5 MHz FUS, suggesting that a multiple-278 279 frequency system using ultrasound of 1–15 MHz can be developed to non-invasively 280 diagnose regions of abnormal tissues and simultaneously manipulate cellular activities with 0.5 MHz FUS. Moreover, because 0.5 MHz FUS waves cannot be delivered through 281 the air and are rarely used by sonar species, the natural background level for this 282 283 frequency is expected to be low. Previously developed simulations and experimental data suggest that ultrasound wavelengths of  $\sim 0.60-0.70$  MHz would be optimal for 284 transcranial transmission and brain absorption<sup>37,38</sup>, supporting that our sonogenetic 285 system is a promising tool for the rapeutic applications involving the brain. Indeed, our *in* 286 287 *vivo* results showed that 0.5 MHz FUS efficiently accesses to the deep brain regions like VTA and stimulates target neurons expressing Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) (Fig. 4c,d). 288

To our knowledge, this mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-based sonogenetic approach is the 289 290 first system that enables the use of low-frequency ultrasound to efficiently manipulate 291 molecular activities in mammalian cells that are genetically modified. Although heterogeneous expression of mPrestin(N7T, N308S) significantly enhanced the 292 ultrasound sensitivity of HEK293T cells (p = 0.0046, 10.18  $\pm$  2.90% for the Venus-293 294 mPrestin(N7T, N308S) group;  $1.33 \pm 0.42\%$  for the Venus-alone group; combined data shown in Figs. 1d and 2f), the percentage of ultrasound-excitable cells in our system 295 needs improvement. A more detailed understanding of how mPrestin(N7T, N308S) sense 296 and amplify ultrasound waves is needed to engineer different prestin variants that are 297 more sensitive to ultrasound. With ongoing development, engineered ultrasound-298 responsive proteins and sonogenetic systems should become versatile and powerful 299

tools for non-invasively and precisely manipulating activities of genetically modified cells.

301

#### **302 Online Methods**

#### 303 Cell culture, chemical reagents, DNA constructs, and transfection

Human HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; 304 Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 5 U/ml penicillin, and 50 µg/ml 305 306 streptomycin (Gibco). The following Venus- or CFP-tagged mPrestin mutant genes were generated by site-directed mutagenesis: N7T, N308S, Y667O, V449G, and Y501H. To 307 construct the pLenti-hSvn1-Venus and pLenti-hSvn1-Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S), O5® 308 309 High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) and HiFi® assembly kit (New England 310 Biolabs) were used. The hSyn1-Venus and hSyn1-Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) inserts were PCR amplified from hSyn1-Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) construct. The pLenti-backbone and 311 the insert with a molar ratio of 1:2 (backbone:fragment) were HiFi® assembled to acquire the 312 corresponding lentiviral vectors. For DNA transfection, LT-1 (Mirus) was used according to 313 314 the manufacturer's protocol. For inhibitor experiments, gentamicin (200 µM, 20 min, Sigma), TTX (500 nM, 20 min, Abcam), EGTA (5 mM, 20 min, Sigma), and thapsigargin (100 nM, 315 316 30min, Sigma) were used. Before ultrasound excitation, HEK 293T cells were incubated with one of the various inhibitors or 0.1% (v/v) DMSO dissolved in DMEM (Gibco) at 37°C. 317 318 Calcium-free, serum-free medium (Gibco) was used in the EGTA experiment.

319

#### 320 Live-cell imaging

321 Transfected cells were seeded into a Lab-Tek eight-well chambers (Thermo Scientific)
322 coated with poly-D-lysine (P6407, Sigma-Aldrich) or onto 25-mm cover glasses in six-well

culture plates (SPL Life Science) that were similarly coated. Live-cell imaging was conducted 323 using a Nikon T1 inverted fluorescence microscope (Nikon) with a  $20 \times$  or  $60 \times$  oil objective 324 (Nikon), a DS-Qi2 CMOS camera (Nikon), and Nikon element AR software (Nikon). The 325 cells were held under a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 37°C in an environmental chamber (Live Cell 326 Instrument). The distribution of Venus-mPrestinWT, Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S), 327 Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S, Y667Q), and Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S, V499G, Y501H) 328 329 in HEK293T cells was observed using a Nikon A1 confocal system with a 100× oil 330 objective (Nikon). Multiple z-stack images (0.3 µm between stacks; 15 stacks) were acquired and processed with Huygens deconvolution (Scientific Volume Imaging), and 331 the maximum intensity projections of the images were generated by Nikon element AR 332 333 software. The plasma membrane/cytosol intensity ratios of the Venus-mPrestin constructs were analysed by Nikon element AR software. Ultrafast imaging was acquired 334 under a Nikon A1 confocal system with a Resonant scanner (Nikon) and 100× objective 335 336 (Nikon).

337

#### 338 Immunofluorescence staining

HEK293T cells transfected with Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) were seeded on poly-Dlysine-coated Lab-Tek eight-well chambers (Thermo Scientific). Transfected cells were fixed
with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) at room temperature for 15 min
and subsequently permeabilized by 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich). After incubation of
blocking solution (PBS with 2% bovine serum albumin) for 30 min at room temperature, cells
were stained with phalloidin Alexa Fluor 594 (1:100 dilution; Thermo Scientific, A12381) or
anti-α-tubulin antibody (1:1000 dilution; Sigma-Aldrich, T6199) for 1 h at room temperature.

Goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 594 (1:1000 dilution; Thermo Scientific, R37121) wereincubated with cells for 1 h at room temperature.

348

#### 349 *In vitro* FUS stimulation

FUS stimulation (acoustic power, 0.5 MPa; 2000 cycles; pulse repetition frequency, 10 350 Hz; and 3-sec duration) was applied using a single-element FUS transducer (Panametrics). The 351 352 ultrasound transducer was driven by a function generator (AFG3251, Tektronix) and a radio-353 frequency power amplifier (80 kHz FUS: 150A100B, AR; 0.5 MHz, 1 MHz, 2 MHz, 3.5 MHz FUS: 325LA, Electronics & Innovation)) to transmit the ultrasound pulses. A water cone filled 354 with degassed water was attached to the ultrasound transducer assembly, after which the 355 356 surface of the cone was submerged into the culture-dish medium. To record the calcium influx 357 in a cell in real time, the ultrasound transducer was confocally positioned with the objective of 358 the microscope. The transducer was calibrated in the free field in degassed water using a 359 calibrated ultrasound power meter (Model UPM-DP-1AV, Ohmic Instruments Inc.).

360

#### 361 Lentivirus Production

5 h prior to transfection, culture medium of HEK293T cells grown to a confluency of 60% was
replaced with 10 ml DMEM supplemented with GlutaMAX (Gibco, Taipei, Taiwan) and 10%
FBS (Hyclone, Taipei, Taiwan) containing 25 μM chloroquine diphosphate (Tokyo Chemical
Industry, Taipei, Taiwan). HEK293T cells were co-transfected with 1.3 pmol psPAX2 (gift
from Didier Trono; Addgene plasmid # 12260), 0.72 pmol pMD2.G (gift from Didier Trono;
Addgene plasmid # 12259), and 1.64 pmol transfer plasmids (pLenti-hSyn1-Venus or pLentihSyn1-Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S)) by PEI (Alfa Aesar; 1 mg/ml polyethylenimine, linear,

MW25,000) transfection. The ratio of DNA:PEI was 1:3 diluted in 1 ml of OptiMEM (Gibco).
18 h post-transfection, viral medium was replaced with 15 ml DMEM supplemented with
GlutaMAX and 10% FBS. 48 h post-transfection, viral medium was harvested, stored at 4°C
and replaced with 15 ml DMEM supplemented with GlutaMAX and 10% FBS. 72 h posttransfection, viral medium was pooled with the 48 h harvest, and centrifuged at 500 x g for 10
min at 4°C. The viral supernatant was filtered through 0.45 µm PES filter (Pall, Taipei, Taiwan),
snap frozen with liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C.

376

#### 377 Primary neuronal culture and lentivirus transduction

Sprague-Dawley rats were purchased from BioLASCO Taiwan Co., Ltd. Primary cortical 378 379 neurons were dissociated from dissected cortices of rat embryos (embryonic day 18, E18) and then seeded on poly-L-lysine (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO) -coated bottom-glass dishes (1.2 x 10<sup>6</sup> 380 cells per dish). On day in vitro 0 (DIV0), primary neurons were cultured in Minimum Essential 381 382 Medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen), 383 5% horse serum (Invitrogen), and 0.5 mg/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen) under 5% CO2 condition. Culture medium was changed to Neurobasal® medium (Gibco, Grand Island, 384 NY) containing 25 µM glutamate (Sigma), 2% B-27<sup>™</sup> supplement (Invitrogen), 0.5 mM L-385 Glutamine (Invitrogen), and 50 units/ml Antibiotic-Antimycotic (AA) (Invitrogen) on DIV1. 386 387 10 μM Cytosine-β-D-arabinofuranoside (AraC) (Invitrogen) was added to neurons on DIV2 to inhibit proliferation of glial cells. On DIV3, medium was changed to Neurobasal<sup>®</sup> medium 388 containing 2% B-27<sup>TM</sup> supplement, 0.5 mM L-Glutamine and 50 units/ml AA. On DIV6, 389 conditional medium was harvested and half-replaced with fresh Neurobasal/Glutamine culture 390 medium. Neurons were infected with hSyn1-Venus or hSyn1-Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-391

containing lentivirus on DIV7. After overnight incubation at 37°C, the virus-containing
medium was replaced with conditional culture medium mixed with equal volume of fresh
medium. For further maintenance, the medium was half-changed with fresh Neurobasal/
Glutamine culture medium every 2 days. After lentivirus infection for 7 days, neurons were
imaged by a Nikon T1 inverted fluorescence microscope (Nikon).

397

#### 398 Adeno associated virusViral Delivery

The Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) or Venus alone-containing adeno-associated virus (AAV) were packaged by NTU CVT-LS-AAV core. A total of 1  $\mu$ L of AAV encoding VenusmPrestin(N7T, N308S) or Venus alone were transcranial injected into the left ventral tegmental area (VTA; bregma: - 3 mm, left: 0.5 mm, depth: 4.2 mm). During the experiment, the animal was anesthetized with 2% isoflurane gas and immobilized on a stereotactic frame. After AAV injection for 2 weeks, the mice were simulated by FUS.

405

#### 406 *In vivo* sonogenetic stimulation of VTA

The AAV transfected mice were randomly divided into four groups: (1) AAV encoding 407 Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) + 0.5 MHz FUS stimulation group; (2) AAV encoding Venus-408 mPrestin(N7T, N308S) without FUS group(n=3); (3) AAV encoding Venus alone + 0.5 MHz 409 410 FUS stimulation group (n=3); and (4) AAV encoding Venus alone without ultrasound group(n=3). The 0.5 MHz sonication was applied transcranially at the left brain with the 411 412 acoustic pressure of 0.5 MPa, 2,000 cycles, and 10 Hz of pulse repetition frequency, sonication duration of 5 sec and one sonication sites. During the experiment, the animal was anesthetized 413 414 with 2% isoflurane gas and immobilized on a stereotactic frame.

415

#### 416 Immunohistochemistry staining (IHC)

The successful stimulation of mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-transfected cells was verified by c-417 Fos IHC staining.<sup>39</sup> The brains of mice were removed were sacrificed at 90 min after 0.5 MHz 418 419 FUS stimulation. The brains were then sliced into 15-um sections and incubated into 5% goat serum and PBS for 1 h to block the endogenous proteins. The sections were then incubated in 420 421 primary rabbit anti-c-Fos antibody (1:1000; SYSY) in antibody diluent for overnight. The 422 sections were then incubated for 1 h in Dylight 594 conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:500; GeneTex) in antibody diluent followed by several washes in PBS. The cellular nuclei 423 were labelled by DAPI. Finally, the slides were coverslipped with fluorescent mounting 424 425 medium and stored flat in the dark at -20°C. The successful transfection of pPrestin was confirmed by the expression of Venus fluorescence protein. 426

We analyzed the overlap between Venus tagged proteins (Venus alone or VenusmPrestin(N7T, N308S) and c-Fos by calculating the number of Venus positive cells and Venus/c-Fos double positive cells in different animal groups. Means and s.e.m. were calculated across animals, and all statistics were done across animals.

431

#### 432 Acknowledgments

433 We thank Dr. Jian Zuo (St. Jude Children's Research Hospital) for the Venus-mouse 434 PrestinWT construct; Dr. Insuk So (Seoul National University College of Medicine) for 435 hTRPC4α-CFP and mTRPC4β-CFP constructs; and Dr. Takanari Inoue (Johns Hopkins 436 University School of Medicine) for the CFP-R-GECO, R-GECO, mCherry-CAAX, and 437 mCherry-Giantin constructs. Dr. Takananri Inoue (Johns Hopkins University School of

438 Medicine), Dr. Tsung-Han Kuo (National Tsing Hua University), Dr. Hau-Jie Yau (National Taiwan University) for critical reading of the manuscript. This study was supported in part by 439 440 the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan (MOST grant numbers 105-2221-441 E-007-055 and 105-2119-M-182-001 to C.K.Y. 104-2320-B-007-005-MY2, and 106-2320-B-007-004-MY3 to C.P.L. 104-2311-B-007-001, 105-2628-B-007-001-MY3, 107-2628-B-007-442 001, and 108-2636-B-007-003 to Y.C.L.). Additional funding consisted of a grant from the 443 444 Program for Translational Innovation of Biopharmaceutical Development-Technology 445 Supporting Platform Axis (grant number 107-0210-01-19-04) to Y.C.L., start-up funding from the National Tsing Hua University to Y.C.L., a grant from Academia Sinica Innovative 446 447 Materials and Analysis Technology Exploration (i-MATE) Program (grant number AS-448 iMATE-107-33) to C.P.L., and a grant from National Health Research Institutes (grant number NHRI-EX108-10813NI) to L.C. 449

450

#### 451 Author Contributions

452 Y.S.H., C.H.F., and N.H. contributed equally to this work. Y.S.H., C.H.F., C.K.Y., and Yu-Chun Lin designed the experiments. C.H.F. and C.Y.W. programmed the ultrasound system, under 453 the supervision of C.K.Y., Y.C.Chang, S.R.H., Y.C.L., W.C.H., and C.Y.C. performed the cell 454 biology experiments. Y.S.H., C.H.F., N.H., Y.C.Chang, Y.C.Chiang, and W.C.H. quantified the 455 imaging results. Y.S.H., S.R.H., Yen-Cheng Lin, and Yu-Chun Lin generated the DNA 456 constructs. A.Y.W. designed and cloned the lentiviral plasmid, V.G. packaged the lentiviruses, 457 C.P.L. supervised the molecular cloning and lentiviral production processes. C.Y.C. prepared 458 the primary cultured cortical neurons under the supervision of L.C. C.H.F. performed the 459 460 animal experiments. Y.S.H., C.H.F., C.K.Y., and Yu-Chun Lin wrote the paper.

461		
462	Com	peting interests
463	The p	patents of mPresin(N7T, N308S) and relative sonogenetic tools are pending.
464		
465	Supp	olementary information
466	Supp	lementary Information is available in the online version of the paper.
467		
468	Refe	rences
469	1.	Yizhar, O., Fenno, L. E., Davidson, T. J., Mogri, M. & Deisseroth, K. Optogenetics in
470		Neural Systems. <i>Neuron</i> <b>71</b> , 9–34 (2011).
471	2.	Sternson, S. M. & Roth, B. L. Chemogenetic Tools to Interrogate Brain Functions.
472		Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 387–407 (2014). doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-071013-014048
473	3.	Qin, S. et al. A magnetic protein biocompass. Nat. Mater. 15, 217–226 (2015).
474	4.	Stanley, S. A., Sauer, J., Kane, R. S., Dordick, J. S. & Friedman, J. M. Remote
475		regulation of glucose homeostasis in mice using genetically encoded nanoparticles.
476		Nat. Med. 21, 92–98 (2015).
477	5.	Maresca, D. et al. Biomolecular Ultrasound and Sonogenetics. Annu. Rev. Chem.
478		Biomol. Eng. 9, annurev-chembioeng-060817-084034 (2018).
479	6.	Tyler, W. J. et al. Remote excitation of neuronal circuits using low-intensity, low-
480		frequency ultrasound. PLoS One 3, (2008).
481	7.	King, R. L., Brown, J. R., Newsome, W. T. & Pauly, K. B. Effective parameters for
482		ultrasound-induced in vivo neurostimulation. Ultrasound Med. Biol. 39, 312-331
483		(2013).

484	8.	Tufail, Y. et al. Transcranial Pulsed Ultrasound Stimulates Intact Brain Circuits.
485		<i>Neuron</i> <b>66,</b> 681–694 (2010).
486	9.	Tufail, Y., Yoshihiro, A., Pati, S., Li, M. M. & Tyler, W. J. Ultrasonic
487		neuromodulation by brain stimulation with transcranial ultrasound. Nat. Protoc. 6,
488		1453–1470 (2011).
489	10.	Yoo, S. S. et al. Focused ultrasound modulates region-specific brain activity.
490		Neuroimage 56, 1267–1275 (2011).
491	11.	Menz, M. D., Oralkan, O., Khuri-Yakub, P. T. & Baccus, S. a. Precise neural
492		stimulation in the retina using focused ultrasound. J. Neurosci. 33, 4550–4560 (2013).
493	12.	Tsui, P. H., Wang, S. H. & Huang, C. C. In vitro effects of ultrasound with different
494		energies on the conduction properties of neural tissue. Ultrasonics 43, 560–565 (2005).
495	13.	Foley, J. L. J., Little, J. W. J. J. W. & Vaezy, S. Image-guided high-intensity focused
496		ultrasound for conduction block of peripheral nerves. Ann. Biomed. Eng. 35, 109-119
497		(2007).
498	14.	Meijering, B. D. M. et al. Ultrasound and microbubble-targeted delivery of
499		macromolecules is regulated by induction of endocytosis and pore formation. Circ.
500		<i>Res.</i> <b>104,</b> 679–687 (2009).
501	15.	Fan, Z., Liu, H., Mayer, M. & Deng, C. X. Spatiotemporally controlled single cell
502		sonoporation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 16486–16491 (2012).
503	16.	Ibsen, S., Tong, A., Schutt, C., Esener, S. & Chalasani, S. H. Sonogenetics is a non-
504		invasive approach to activating neurons in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat. Commun. 6,
505		1–12 (2015).
506	17.	Dynamic, W. B. et al. Targeted Microbubbles for Imaging Tumor Angiogenesis :

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/625533; this version posted May 3, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

507		Assessment of Purpose : Methods : Results : Conclusion : 249, (2008).
508	18.	Lakshmanan, A. et al. Molecular Engineering of Acoustic Protein Nanostructures. ACS
509		Nano 10, 7314–7322 (2016).
510	19.	Bourdeau, R. et al. Acoustic reporter genes for non-invasive imaging of microbes in
511		mammalian hosts. Nat. Publ. Gr. 553, 86–90 (2018).
512	20.	Prieto, M. L., Firouzi, K., Khuri-Yakub, B. T. & Maduke, M. Activation of Piezo1 but
513		Not NaV1.2 Channels by Ultrasound at 43 MHz. Ultrasound Med. Biol. 44, 1217-
514		1232 (2018).
515	21.	Ye, J. et al. [ASAP] Ultrasonic Control of Neural Activity through Activation of the
516		Mechanosensitive Channel MscL. Nano Lett. (2018).
517		doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b00935
518	22.	Fettiplace, R. & Hackney, C. M. The sensory and motor roles of auditory hair cells.
519		<i>Nat. Rev. Neurosci.</i> <b>7,</b> 19–29 (2006).
520	23.	Rossiter, S. J., Zhang, S. & Liu, Y. Prestin and high frequency hearing in mammals.
521		Commun. Integr. Biol. (2011). doi:10.4161/cib.4.2.14647
522	24.	Liu, Z., Qi, FY., Zhou, X., Ren, HQ. & Shi, P. Parallel Sites Implicate Functional
523		Convergence of the Hearing Gene Prestin among Echolocating Mammals. Mol. Biol.
524		<i>Evol.</i> <b>31,</b> 2415–2424 (2014).
525	25.	Dallos, P. & Fakler, B. Prestin, a new type of motor protein. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.
526		<b>3,</b> 104–111 (2002).
527	26.	Ludwig, J. et al. Reciprocal electromechanical properties of rat prestin: the motor
528		molecule from rat outer hair cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98, 4178-4183
529		(2001).

530	27.	Song, H. B., Jun, H. O., Kim, J. H., Fruttiger, M. & Kim, J. H. Suppression of transient
531		receptor potential canonical channel 4 inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor-
532		induced retinal neovascularization. Cell Calcium 57, 101–108 (2015).
533	28.	Myeong, J., Kwak, M., Hong, C., Jeon, J. H. & So, I. Identification of a membrane-
534		targeting domain of the transient receptor potential canonical (TRPC)4 channel
535		unrelated to its formation of a tetrameric structure. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 34990–35002
536		(2014).
537	29.	Zhang, Y., Moeini-Naghani, I., Bai, J., Santos-Sacchi, J. & Navaratnam, D. S.
538		Tyrosine motifs are required for prestin basolateral membrane targeting. Biol. Open
539		197–205 (2015). doi:10.1242/bio.201410629
540	30.	Greeson, J. N., Organ, L. E., Pereira, F. a. & Raphael, R. M. Assessment of prestin
541		self-association using fluorescence resonance energy transfer. Brain Res. 1091, 140-
542		150 (2006).
543	31.	Mio, K. et al. The motor protein prestin is a bullet-shaped molecule with inner cavities.
544		J. Biol. Chem. 283, 1137–1145 (2008).
545	32.	Zheng, J. et al. The C-terminus of prestin influences nonlinear capacitance and plasma
546		membrane targeting. J. Cell Sci. 118, 2987–2996 (2005).
547	33.	Jacques-Fricke, B. T. Ca2+ Influx through Mechanosensitive Channels Inhibits
548		Neurite Outgrowth in Opposition to Other Influx Pathways and Release from
549		Intracellular Stores. J. Neurosci. 26, 5656–5664 (2006).
550	34.	Marino, A. et al. Piezoelectric Nanoparticle-Assisted Wireless Neuronal Stimulation.
551		ACS Nano 9, 7678–7689 (2015).
552	35.	Krasovitski, B., Frenkel, V., Shoham, S. & Kimmel, E. Intramembrane cavitation as a

553		unifying mechanism for ultrasound-induced bioeffects. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108,			
554		3258–3263 (2011).			
555	36.	36. Plaksin, M., Shoham, S. & Kimmel, E. Intramembrane cavitation as a predictive bio			
556		piezoelectric mechanism for ultrasonic brain stimulation. <i>Phys. Rev. X</i> <b>4</b> , 1–10 (2014).			
557	37.	White, P. J., Clement, G. T. & Hynynen, K. Local frequency dependence in			
558		transcranial ultrasound transmission. AIP Conf. Proc. 829, 256-260 (2006).			
559	38.	Hayner, M. & Hynynen, K. Numerical analysis of ultrasonic transmission and			
560		absorption of oblique plane waves through the human skull. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 110,			
561		3319–3330 (2001).			
562					
563	Figur	re legends			
564	Figure 1   mPrestin carrying the N7T and N308S mutations functions as an ultrasound-				
565	responsive protein. (a) Sequence alignment of prestins from six non-echolocating and eight				
566	echolocating species showing that N7T and N308S substitutions frequently occurred in the				
567	echolocating species. Positions 7 and 308 are boxed with the residues located at those position				
568	highli	ghted in red. (b) Excitation of 0.5 MHz FUS evokes calcium responses in cells expressing			
569	Venus	s-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) but not in control cells expressing Venus alone. Cells were co-			
570	transf	ected with the calcium biosensor CFP-R-GECO. The intensity of the R-GECO			
571	fluore	escence in the cells was monitored by live-cell imaging. Scale bar, 10 µm. (c) Time course			

572 of R-GECO fluorescence intensity in cells expressing the indicated constructs before and after 573 the 0.5 MHz FUS as described above. ATP treatment (10  $\mu$ M) served as a positive control to 574 show that the cells could exhibit intracellular calcium flux. Data were collected for 7–36 575 independent experiments, with *n* = 250 cells per experiment. 576

Figure 2 | mPrestin(N7T, N308S) enabled an ultrasound-evoked calcium response in a 577 frequency-specific manner. HEK293T cells transfected with one of the indicated DNA 578 579 constructs were bathed in PBS and stimulated with ultrasound of different frequencies (3-sec 580 duration, 2000 cycles, 0.5 MPa). Data are presented as the relative percentage of cells in each group (expressed as fold-probability) that were excitable by ultrasound after normalisation to 581 582 that of cells expressing only Venus that were stimulated at the same frequency. The absolute 583 number of cells in each group was 998, 556, 686, 739, 780, 3111, 438, 277, 691, 1484, 1515, 408, 472, 785, 771, 1735, 856, 1571, 1085, 520, 1470, 1050, 1250, 1199, and 605 cells from 584 585 left to right. Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. for 7–36 independent experiments. *P*-values 586 > 0.05 are not shown.

587

Figure 3 | mPrestin(N7T, N308S) puncta oscillate upon FUS stimulation and trigger 588 calcium influx from extracellular pool. (a) Representative confocal images of HEK293T 589 590 cells expressing Venus-mPrestinWT, Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S), mCherry-CAAX (a plasma membrane marker), or mCherry-Giantin (a Golgi marker). For each field, the maximum 591 z-projection was created from 15 stacks, each separated by 0.3  $\mu$ m. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m (b) 592 Quantification of the plasma membrane/cytosol ratio for the indicated mPrestin constructs. 593 Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. for three independent experiments; n = 22, 26, and 61594 cells from left to right. (c) HEK293T cells expressing the indicated constructs were stimulated 595 with 0.5 MHz FUS (3-sec duration, 2000 cycles, 0.5 MPa). Data are presented as in Figure 2. 596 The absolute number of cells in each group was 3111, 438, 1484, 532, and 430 cells from left 597 598 to right. The data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. for 6–36 independent experiments. (d) Video

frames showing the structural dynamics of mPrestin-positive puncta in cells that had or had not 599 been stimulated with 0.5 MHz FUS. The boundaries of the punctate regions are outlined in 600 white. Scale bar, 0.2 µm. (e) Area measurements of mPrestin-positive puncta with or without 601 602 FUS stimulation. (f) HEK293T cells expressing Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) were incubated with EGTA (5 mM, 20 min), thapsigargin (100 nM, 30 min), gentamycin (200 µM, 20 min), or 603 TTX (500 nM, 20 min) in DMEM and were stimulated with 0.5 MHz FUS (3-sec duration, 604 605 2000 cycles, 0.5 MPa); 0.1% DMSO served as the control. Data are presented as in Figure 1b. 606 The absolute number of cells in each group was 1642, 1238, 1826, 1996, 566, and 772 from 607 left to right. Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. for 6–12 independent experiments. 608

609 Figure 4 | Transcranial FUS stimulation of neuron in mice brain via mPrestin(NT, NS) expression. (a) A representative image of primary cultured cortical neurons expressing Venus-610 611 mPrestin(N7T, N308S). The maximum z-projection was created from 15 stacks, each separated 612 by 0.3 µm. Scale bar, 20 µm. (b) In vivo experimental scheme for transcranial FUS stimulation 613 of the VTA in anesthetized mice. (c) Representative images of mice brain sections with different conditions. Extensive FUS-driven c-Fos (red) expression was detected in cells 614 expressing Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) after FUS stimulation. Arrows indicate c-615 Fos+Venus+ cells. Scale bar, 100 µm. (d) Percentage of c-Fos-positive neurons expressing 616 617 Venus alone or Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) with or without FUS stimulation. Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. for 6~9 different sections from 4 mice per condition. 618

619

Extended Data Figure 1 | Our computer-controlled live-cell imaging and ultrasoundexposure system. An ultrasound transducer connected to an amplifier and waveform generator

622 was placed in the medium of a culture dish containing a monolayer of cells for FUS excitation.

623 The behaviour of cells upon FUS stimulation in real time was observed through an inverted624 microscope.

625

#### 626 Extended Data Figure 2 | mTRPC4β enabled a weak ultrasound-evoked calcium response

in a frequency-specific manner. HEK293 cells transfected with one of the indicated DNA 627 628 constructs were bathed in PBS and stimulated with ultrasound of different frequencies (3-sec 629 duration, 2000 cycles, 0.5 MPa). Data are presented as the relative number of cells in each group (expressed as fold-probability) that were excitable by ultrasound after normalisation to 630 that of cells expressing only Venus that were stimulated at the same frequency. The absolute 631 632 number of cells in each group was 1209, 768, 889, 1634, 1665, 1736, 1054, 1035, 1116, 1012, 1000, 960, 1168, 857, and 909 cells from left to right. Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. for 633 7–17 independent experiments. *P* values > 0.05 are not shown. 634

635

636 Extended Data Figure 3 | Characterization of mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-positive puncta. (a) The average number of mPrestin-positive puncta in cells expressing the indicated constructs. 637 The number of cells counted in each group are 7, 3, and 3 cells from 3 independent experiments. 638 Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. (b) Size distribution of mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-positive 639 640 puncta. n = 101 puncta from five cells expressing mPrestin(N7T, N308S). (c) HEK293 cells transfected with the indicated DNA constructs were imaged by fluorescence resonance energy 641 transfer (FRET). Scale bars, 10 µm. (d) Quantification of the FRET/CFP ratios for cells 642 expressing the indicated DNA constructs. The numbers of cells were 25 (mPrestinWT) and 21 643 644 (mPrestin(N7T, N308S). Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. for two independent experiments.

645 (e) HEK293T cells expressing Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) were processed for 646 immunofluorescence with phalloidin (actin filaments) or anti- $\alpha$ -tubulin antibody 647 (microtubules). For each field, a maximal z projection was created form 15 stacks separated by 648 0.3 µm. Scale bar= 10 µm.

649

Extended Data Figure 4 | The working model of mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-mediated calcium
influx upon ultrasound stimulation. Two evolutionarily conserved mutants N7T and N308S
enhance self-assembly of mPrestin in the punctate regions of plasma membrane where they
associate with actin filaments and microtubules. The mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-positive puncta
with electromotility are oscillated upon 0.5 MHz FUS stimulation (3-sec duration, 2000 cycles,
0.5 MPa) which triggers the influx of calcium from extracellular space.

656

Extended Data Video 1 | mPrestin(N7T, N308S) enables ultrasound-evoked calcium response. Excitation of 0.5 MHz FUS evokes calcium response in cells expressing VenusmPrestin(N7T, N308S) but not in control cells (Venus alone). The cells co-transfected with a calcium biosensor, CFP-R-GECO, and Venus alone or Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S), were excited by 0.5 MHz pulsed FUS (3 sec duration, 2000 cycles, 0.5 MPa). The intensity of R-GECO in cells was monitored by live-cell imaging. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m.

663

# Extended Data Video 2 | mPrestin(N7T, N308S)-positive puncta oscillated upon FUS stimulation. HEK293T cells were transfected with Venus-mPrestin(N7T, N308S) or VenusmPrestin(N7T, N308S, V499G, Y501H). Video showing the structural dynamics of mPrestin-

667 positive puncta in cells that had or had not been stimulated with 0.5 MHz FUS. The boundaries

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/625533; this version posted May 3, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

668 of the punctate regions are outlined in white. Scale bar =  $0.2 \mu m$ .

a	Homo sapiens	1 MDHAEENEILAATQRYYVER20 301 TGISAGFNLKESYNVDVVG3	19
	Mus musculus	1MDHAEENEIPAETQRYYVER20 301 TGISAGFNLHESYSVDVVG3	19
Non- echolocating	Pteropus vampyurs	1MDHAEENEILAATQRYYVER20	19
	Balaenoptera acutorostrata	1MDHAEENEILAAAQRYYVER20 301 TGISAGFNLNESYNVDVVG3	19
species	Eonycteris spelaea	1MDHAEENEILAATQRYYVER20 301 TGISAGFNLHESYNVDVVG3	19
	Rousettus leschenaultia	1MDHAEENEILAATQRYYVER20 301 TGI SAGFNLHESYNVDVVG3	19
	Phocoena phocoena	1MDHVEESEILAATQRYYVER20	19
	Megaderma spasma	1MDHAEETEILAATQKYYVER20 ········ 301 TGISAGFSLHESYNVDVVG3	19
	Megaderma lyra	1MDHAEETEILAATQKYYVER20 301 TGISAGFSLHESYNVDVVG3	19
Echolocating species	Hyperoodon ampullatus	1MDHVEETEILAATQRYYVER20 301 TGISAGFNLHESYNVDVVG3	19
	Ziphius cavirostris	1MDHVEETEILAATQRYYVER20	19
	Miniopterus fuliginosus	1MDHAEETEILAATQRYCVDR20 ······· 301 TGI SAGFSLHESYNVDVVG3	19
	Tursiops truncatus	1MDHVEETEILAATQRYYVER20 301 TGISAGFSLHESYNVDVVG3	19
	Myotis ricketti	1MDHAEETEILAAAQKYYVDR20 ········ 301 TGISAGFSLHESYNVDVVG3	19

b















