- Focused screening reveals functional effects of microRNAs differentially
- 2 expressed in colorectal cancer
- 4 Danuta Sastre, PhD 1,2
- 5 João Baiochi, BSc ²

1

3

10

16

23 24

- 6 Ildercilio Mota de Souza Lima, PhD ²
- 7 Josiane Lilian dos Santos Schiavinato, PhD ²
- 8 Dimas Tadeu Covas, PhD ²
- 9 Rodrigo Alexandre Panepucci, PhD ^{2 *} rapane@gmail.com
- ¹ Department of Pathology, Stanford University
- 12 300 Pasteur Dr. Palo Alto, CA 94304. USA.
- ² Laboratory of Functional Biology (LFBio), Center for Cell-Based Therapy (CTC), Blood
- 14 Center of Ribeirão Preto
- 15 R. Ten. Catão Roxo, 2501. Ribeirão Preto, SP 14051-140. Brazil
- 17 *Correspondence:
- 18 <u>rapane@gmail.com</u>
- 19 Rodrigo Alexandre Panepucci, PhD.
- 20 Laboratory of Functional Biology (LFBio), Center for Cell-Based Therapy (CTC), Blood
- 21 Center of Ribeirão Preto
- 22 R. Ten. Catão Roxo, 2501. Ribeirão Preto, SP 14051-140. Brazil

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Abstract Background: Colorectal cancer (CRC) is still a leading cause of death worldwide. Recent studies have pointed to an important role of microRNAs carcinogenesis. In fact, several microRNAs have been described as aberrantly expressed in CRC tissues and in the serum of patients. More specifically, microRNAs with dual roles in both cancer and stem cell survival represent a potential source of novel molecular targets in CRC due to their described functions in normal and deregulated proliferation. However, the functional outcomes of microRNA aberrant expression still need to be explored at the cellular level. Here, we aimed to investigate the effects of microRNAs involved in the control of pluripotency of stem cells in the proliferation and cell death of a colorectal cancer cell line. Methods: We performed transfection of 31 microRNA mimics in HCT116 CRC cells. Cell proliferation and cell death were measured after 4 days of treatment using fluorescence staining in a high content screening platform. Total number of live and dead cells were automatically counted and analyzed. To reveal mRNA targets, we used an oligonucleotide microarray. Functional classification of targets was done using DAVID tool. Gene expression of potential mRNA targets was performed by qPCR. Results: Twenty microRNAs altered the proliferation of HCT116 cells in comparison to control. Three microRNAs significantly repressed cell proliferation and induced cell death simultaneously (miR-22-3p, miR-24-3p, and miR-101-3p). Interestingly, all antiproliferative microRNAs in our study had been previously described as poorly expressed in the CRC samples and were implicated in the disease. Microarray analysis

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

of miR-101-3p targets revealed Wnt and cancer as pathways regulated by this microRNA. Specific repression of anti-apoptotic isoform of MCL-1, a member of the BCL-2 family, was also identified as a possible mechanism for miR-101-3p antiproliferative/pro-apoptotic effect. **Conclusions:** microRNAs described as upregulated in CRC tend to induce proliferation in vitro, whereas microRNAs described as poorly expressed in CRC halt proliferation and induce cell death in vitro. Selective inhibition of anti-apoptotic MCL-1 contributes to anti-tumoral activity of miR-101-3p. **Keywords:** Colorectal Cancer, microRNAs, miR-101-3p, proliferation, cell death, MCL-1, miR-22-3p, miR-24-3p, pluripotency **Background** Colorectal cancer (CRC) is still the third most common cancer worldwide [1] despite recent advancements in screening and treatment. It is estimated that over 140,000 new cases of colon and rectal cancers were diagnosed in 2018 in the United States alone [2]. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small nucleic acids involved in the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression, and have been implicated in the pathogenesis and

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

prognosis of CRC [3-5]. miRNAs are usually encoded in the human genome as clusters. After nuclear processing, these molecules are exported to the cytoplasm and loaded into RNAinduced silencing complexes (RISC), directing them against binding sites in the 3'-UTR region of target mRNAs, based on the degree of complementarity. While a perfect match leads to mRNA cleavage, miRNAs with partial complementarity lead to translation blockade and/or mRNA degradation through multiple mechanisms [6]. In either case, miRNAs predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels [7]. Since a miRNA:mRNA perfect match is not required for miRNA silencing of its targets, one miRNA can affect the expression of hundreds of target transcripts. Hence, deregulation of a single miRNA can lead to global alterations in gene expression in a given cell [8]. Aberrant expression of miRNAs contributes to tumorigenesis mainly by two mechanisms: repression of tumor suppressor genes or loss of repression of oncogenes [9]. In the first case, miRNAs become overexpressed and downregulate the expression of tumor suppressor genes; in the latter case, miRNAs become downregulated themselves while their oncogene targets are overexpressed due to reduced posttranscriptional silencing. This abnormal miRNA profile can facilitate proliferation and survival of tumor cells in malignancies such as CRC [10]. miRNAs controlling pluripotency of embryonic stem cells have been associated with tumorigenesis in diverse cancers, including CRC [11-13]. In fact, cancer cells and pluripotent stem cells share the ability to proliferate rapidly and virtually indefinitely [14]. Strikingly, reprograming of somatic cells into induced pluripotent stem cells share many

similarities with the process of malignant transformation [15]. Therefore, miRNAs controlling stempess and differentiation of stem cells have potential to be used as targets for the study of uncontrolled proliferation in cancer. However, this has not yet been tested in the context of CRC, and functional data on the effects of these miRNAs in the survival of CRC cells is still lacking. We hypothesized that miRNAs involved in the control of pluripotency and differentiation of stem cells can alter the proliferation and survival of CRC cells. With that in mind, we have selected a panel of 31 miRNAs that have their expression modulated during the differentiation of embryonic stem cells [16]. We then set out to identify the effects of these miRNAs on the proliferation and cell death in a human cellular model of CRC. Importantly, most of the miRNAs in this panel have been described to be differentially expressed in CRC (Table 1). Here, we identified three miRNAs that suppressed proliferation of CRC cells while also inducing significant cell death. Microarray analysis of miR-101-3p targets revealed modulation of relevant cancer-related pathways. We also provide further evidence that loss of miR-101-3p expression in colorectal cancer can confer proliferative advantage to malignant cells.

Methods

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

Cell culture and miRNA transfection

Human CRC cell line HCT116 (ATCC® CCL-247™) was cultivated using DMEM highglucose supplemented with 10% FBS. Medium was changed every two days and cells

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

were passaged by enzymatic treatment with TrypLE (ThermoFisher, Cat. No. 12604021) when 90-100% confluent. Cells were subcultured at 1:6 ratio into new flasks. Synthetic miRNA mimics (pre-miRs) and an unspecific control (pre-miR control) were individually transfected into HCT116 cells by reverse transfection (Supplementary **Table 1)**. In summary, 50uL of culture medium containing 8x10³ cells was added to wells of 96-well plates pre-filled with a mixture composed of 0.15 uL Lipofectamine RNAiMax (ThermoFisher, Cat. No. 13778150) and miRNAs in 50uL serum-free culture medium (50nM final miRNA concentration). Medium was changed 24h posttransfection, and cells were kept in culture for 4 additional days for proliferation assay. For gene expression analysis, 8x10⁴ cells were seeded in 6-well plates 18-24h before miRNA transfection. Transfection protocol was adjusted for a final volume of 1 mL. Cells were collected 72 h post-transfection for RNA extraction, used for qPCR and microarray analyses. **Proliferation/Cell Death Assay** For proliferation assay, medium was removed after 4 days in culture and replaced by a 1.25 ug/mL solution of membrane-impermeant Propidium Iodide (PI) and 1uM of the membrane-permeant Hoechst 33342 (Hoechst) DNA stains, in final volume of 100 µL PBS. After an incubation period of 10 min, images were acquired by automated fluorescence microscopy using a High Content Screening platform (ImageXpress; Molecular Devices Inc.), under 10X objective. Excitation and emission channels used were 377/447 nm and 531/593 nm for PI and Hoechst, respectively. Nuclei of live cells

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

(i.e. with intact membranes) were stained only by Hoechst, whereas nuclei of dead cells were stained by PI as well. Acquisition and analysis of images were performed using the platform's built-in software MetaXpress, using the Live/Dead Application Module. For each well of a 96-well plate, nine fields were acquired and all cells within this area were quantified. ANOVA test with Dunnett post-test was used to detect differences between cells in wells transfected with control and miRNA mimics, in terms of total number of Hoechst-positive cells (proliferation) and percentage of PI-positive cells (death). RNA extraction and RT-qPCR Total RNA was extracted from cells 72 h post-transfection using Trizol reagent (ThermoFisher, Cat. No. 15596018), following manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was generated by reverse transcription using 1 ug of RNA as starting material, using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (ThermoFisher, Cat. No. 4368814), following manufacturer's instructions. Real-time qPCR reactions were performed using SYBR Green PCR master mix (ThermoFisher, Cat. No. 4309155) and in-house primers (Supplementary Table 2) using 10ng of cDNA. Relative gene expression was calculated using the 2-DACT method. GAPDH was the normalizer housekeeping gene and Control was used as reference sample. All experiments were performed in 3 biological replicates. t-test was used to detect differences between treatments and control. Oligonucleotide Microarray and Bioinformatics Analyses Whole Human Genome Microarray Kit 4x44K (Agilent, Cat. No. G4112F) was used to detect mRNA expression levels in cells transfected with control and miR-101-3p

transfected HCT116 cells, following manufacturer's instructions. Differential expression of 41,000+ unique transcripts was analyzed using bioinformatics package Bioconductor (Gentleman, Carey et al. 2004). Results were normalized using LIMMA package [17]. Transcripts were considered differentially expressed when fold change was higher than 0.5 and p<0.05, using moderate T test. False Discovery Rate (FDR) test was used to adjust p values.

Predicted targets of miR-101-3p were obtained from the TargetScan database [18]. In order to carry a pathway enrichment analysis, we used the whole set of predicted targets that were also downregulated by miR-101-3p in our microarray analysis. This set of transcripts were analyzed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) [19], restricting the analysis to pathway data from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [20].

Results

microRNAs induce or halt proliferation of colorectal cancer cells

Several miRNAs have been reported to be differentially expressed in CRC tissue when compared to normal adjacent tissues, or between the serum of CRC patients and healthy controls. However, discrepant results are often found by different authors for several microRNAs (Table 1). Additionally, the functional outcomes of up- and downregulation of specific miRNAs in colorectal cancer cells remain to be fully evaluated.

To investigate the effects of miRNAs on the proliferation and survival of CRC cells, we performed a focused screen in HCT116 cell line. Cells were transfected with 31 synthetic miRNA duplexes mimics and cultured for 4 days. These so called pre-miR molecules are small, double-stranded RNA molecules designed to mimic endogenous mature miRNAs. Chemical modifications induce loading of the correct strand into RISC (Supplementary Table 1). Upon delivery via lipofection, one strand of the pre-miR molecule is loaded into RISC complexes, where it can modulate expression of target mRNAs, mimicking the effects of native miRNAs. Total number of live and dead cells was determined by fluorescence staining of transfected cells and imaging using a High content screening (HCS) platform. Image analysis of transfected cells allowed us to simultaneously identify miRNAs affecting cell proliferation and/or death of CRC cells (Figure 1; Additional File 1).

TABLE 1 HERE

Four days following transfection of miRNAs mimics on HCT116 cells, 16 of the miRNAs induced proliferation significantly (i.e. higher total cell counts, as compared to control), while only 4 repressed it. On the other hand, 8 miRNAs reduced cell death (i.e. lower percentage of dead cells, as compared to control), while 6 induced it.

Eight miRNAs described as upregulated in CRC tissues or serum samples induced significant increase in cell proliferation (miR-21-5p, -23a-3p, -27a-3p, -92a-3p, -181d-5p, -222-3p, -372-3p, and -373-3p), whereas only one CRC-upregulated miRNA inhibited

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

proliferation (miR-24-3p). Cancer and pluripotency related miRNAs belonging to clusters miR-106a~363, miR-17~92 and miR-302 induced significant increase in proliferation. Notably, mimics of miR-22-3p, miR-24-3p, and miR-101-3p, all described as CRCdownregulated miRNAs, simultaneously reduced cell proliferation and induced cell death, significantly, when compared to control. We decided to focus on miR-101-3p for further experiments due to described involvement of this miRNA in CRC. Figure 1: miRNAs differentially expressed in CRC modulate the proliferation of HCT116 cells. HCT116 were treated with 50nM of miRNA mimics for 4 days in 96-well plates. Total number of cells and number of dead cells were quantified by high-content screening analysis. Data is expressed as mean ± SD. Gray symbols indicate no significant differences. miR-101 downregulates signaling pathways controlling cell survival Transfection of miR-101-3p in HCT116 cells led to reduction of cell numbers and increased cell death (Figure 2a). To identify potential post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms mediating the observed functional effects of miR-101-3p, we performed a gene expression analysis using oligonucleotide microarray of HCT116 transfected with miR-101-3p mimics. A total of 4,826 transcripts were significantly downregulated by miR-101-3p (Figure 2b). In silico predictions of miR-101-3p targets from the TargetScan database [18] were crossed with our experimental data to identify

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232 233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

transcripts most likely to be directly regulated by miR-101-3p (Figure 2c). Twenty percent (198 out of 947) of miR-101-3p predicted targets were downregulated in HCT116 treated with miR-101-3p. Moreover, 47 of these targets had also been experimentally validated by diverse studies cataloged by miRTarBase [21] (Figure 2d). featuring Wnt and apoptosis-related genes. This subset of predicted target transcripts downregulated upon introduction of miR-101-3p in HCT116 CRC cells, and previously experimentally validated in independent studies, represent high-confidence targets (Additional File 2). Figure 2: Differential gene expression in HCT116 treated with miR-101-3p.

a) Representative fields of HCT116 treated with miR-101-3p for 4 days, showing increase in cell death and decrease of overall proliferation; b) Volcano plot showing downregulated (red) and upregulated (green) transcripts as a function of fold-change and P-value after 3 days of treatment; c) Experimentally downregulated targets are crossed with predicted and validated targets to identify high confidence targets; d) Functionally validated targets (miRTarBase) that were downregulated by miR-101-3p in microarray analysis.

Figure 3: Genes downregulated by miR-101-3p in HCT116.

a) Expression of potential direct and indirect targets of miR-101-3p in HCT116 cells relative to control; b) MCL-1 protein interaction network. Red circles indicate genes downregulated by miR-101-3p in microarray data. Data is expressed as mean \pm SD. N=3. * p<0.01, **p<0.001, *** p<0.0001

In order to extract information regarding cellular processes that could be post-transcriptionally modulated by miR-101-3p, we performed a pathway enrichment analysis using Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) [19] by entering the set of predicted miR-101-3p targets that were downregulated in our microarray (198 transcripts). Perhaps not surprisingly, "Pathways in Cancer" and "Wnt" had the highest enrichment of targets associated with miR-101-3p expression. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa and Goto 2000, Kanehisa, Furumichi et al. 2017) pathway data was used to organize genes lists according to function. (Table 2).

TABLE 2 HERE

Next, we analyzed the expression of several putative miR-101-3p direct and indirect targets in HCT116 cells (**Figure 3a**). First, we analyzed the expression of several oncogenes and cell cycle related transcripts. Levels of tumor suppressors Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog (*PTEN*) and Cyclin Dependent Kinase Inhibitor 1C (*CDKN1C*) did not differ significantly from control treated cells. However, miR-101-3p expression inhibited v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (*MYC*) mRNA, which can help explain, at least partially, the observed halt in cell proliferation of transfected HCT116 cells. Similarly, we observed repression of Enhancer of Zeste 2 Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 Subunit (*EZH2*), which is a predicted target of miR-101-3p that

has been linked to oncogenesis in CRC [22, 23]. Analysis of canonical Wnt pathway genes reveled upregulation of ß-catenin mRNA (*CTNNB1*) whereas Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3 Beta (*GSK3B*) and Adenomatous Polyposis Coli (*APC*) remained unaltered, in spite of both *GSK3B* and *APC* being predicted targets of miR-101-3p.

We found that Myeloid cell leukemia-1 (*MCL1*), a member of the BCL-2 family of tumor suppressors and a predicted target of miR-101-3p, was downregulated in our microarray data. *MCL1* gene encoded three isoforms: one long, anti-apoptotic MCL-1L, and two shorter pro-apoptotic MCL-1S and MCL-1ES [24]. Downregulation of *MCL1* mRNA observed in the microarray was confirmed by qPCR. Interestingly, only the anti-apoptotic isoform of MCL-1 was downregulated by miR-101-3p (MCL-1L, Figure 3a). Additional apoptosis-related genes that closely interact with MCL-1 were also downregulated by miR-101-3p in our microarray data (Figure 3b).

Discussion

In the present study, we evaluated the effects of 31 miRNAs on the proliferation and survival of a colorectal cancer cell line. Twenty miRNA mimics significantly altered HCT116 total cell numbers compared to control. Mimics of miR-22-3p, miR-24-3p, and miR-101-3p significantly reduced cell proliferation whilst inducing significant cell death when compared to control.

Differential expression of miRNAs is a common feature of many malignancies.

Upregulation of oncomiRs and, conversely, downregulation of tumor suppressor

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

miRNAs is believed to play a role in the proliferation and survival of cancer cells [9, 25]. Perhaps not surprisingly, around 30-50% of miRNAs are located at instable, cancerassociated genomic regions, and fragile sites [26, 27], which contributes to their aberrant expression profiles, miRNAs controlling pluripotency and differentiation of stem cells have been shown to be involved in tumorigenic processes and cancer stem cell derivation [28]. The panel of miRNAs tested in the present study represent miRNAs downregulated or upregulated during the differentiation of embryonic stem cells [16]. It is hypothesized that during the differentiation process downregulated miRNAs are involved in the maintenance of stemness properties, whereas miRNAs upregulated are involved in the induction of differentiation. More importantly, the miRNAs tested also represent the most frequently reported as differentially expressed miRNAs in CRC (Table 1). Zhu and colleagues identified 38 miRNAs differentially expressed in tumor tissues of CRC patients [29]. Among the 30 miRNAs found upregulated in that study, miR-21-5p, miR-20b-5p, miR-106a-5p, miR-92a-3p and miR-17-3p were also included in our study and, except for miR-18b, they all stimulated cell proliferation of HCT116 cells in our screening, albeit only significantly for miR-21-5p and miR-92-3p. On the other hand, miRNAs that significantly reduced cell proliferation and induced cell death in our study had previously been described as poorly expressed in CRC samples: miR-22-3p [30], miR-24-3p [31], and miR-101-3p [32]. Ng et al. also detected differential expression of several miRNAs tested in our screening [33]. Among the concordantly upregulated miRNAs in serum and CRC biopsies in that study, miR-92-3p and miR-222-3p were

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

also tested in our screening and induced significant increase in proliferation of HCT116 cells. miRNAs can be expressed from polycistronic clusters wherein several miRNAs stem from the same primary transcript [34]. In this study, we investigated miRNAs belonging to cluster miR-17~92 (miR-17-3p, -18a-5p, -19a-3p, -19b-3p, -20a-5p, -92a-3p), cluster miR-106a~363 (miR-18b-5p, -20b-5p, -106a-5p, -363-3p) and cluster miR-302 (miR-302a-3p, -302a-5p, -302b-3p, -302b-5p, -302c-3p, -302d-3p). These clusters are abundantly expressed in pluripotent stem cells and are involved in stemness maintenance [35] while also being associated with deregulated proliferation and malignancies [36, 37]. Overall, the cluster miR-17~92 induced proliferation in our screening. This cluster is located at chromosome 13q31, one of the regions associated with CRC progression. Previous work has demonstrated that gain of the region containing this cluster leads to increased expression of the corresponding miRNAs in CRC tumor samples [37]. Taken together, the proliferation profile observed in our study points to a proliferative advantage for augmented expression of cluster miR-17~92 in CRC. The pluripotency-associated cluster miR-302 induced marked proliferation of HCT116 cells in our study. Overexpression of this cluster is sufficient to reprogram somatic cells to pluripotency [38]. However, it has been suggested that although these miRNAs activate a pluripotency program in the target cells, they do so while also protecting cells from malignant transformation [39]. Previous work corroborating this idea has suggested that overexpression of miR-302 cluster actually can rescue malignant cells

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

by reducing their proliferative profile and invasiveness [40]. A contrasting study indicates that overexpression of miR-302 cluster in cancer cells actually leads to a more invasive and undifferentiated cancer state [28]. Although our data supports the latter hypothesis, more studies in CRC models will be needed to address the contextdependent functions of miR-302 cluster in this malignancy. miR-101-3p is one of the miRNAs downregulated during the differentiation of embryonic stem cells [16]. It markedly reduced cell proliferation and promoted cell death in our screening. Similar to our results, Chen and colleagues also demonstrated that overexpression of miR-101-3p in CRC in vitro models (HT-29 and RKO colon cancer cell lines) reduced proliferation and viability and simultaneously sensitized cells to 5-FU inhibition [41]. In fact, re-expression of miR-101-3p has been associated to in vitro sensitization of CRC cells to chemotherapy where miR-101-3p overexpression led to enhanced activity of paclitaxel and doxorubicin in HT-29 cells [42]. miR-101-3p has been found to act as a tumor suppressor in several malignancies, such as liver [43], glioblastoma [44], breast [45], endometrial [46], and colorectal [47]. Downregulation of this miRNA is so frequently found in solid tumors that some authors propose to use miR-101-3p expression as prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target [48-51], miR-101-3p expression is commonly found downregulated in comparison to healthy adjacent tissues and, in some instances, its expression can predict poor prognosis and overall survival in CRC [32, 41, 52]. Epigenetic factors play an important role in CRC pathogenesis and progression [53]. Here we have shown that miR-101-3p significantly repressed expression of EZH2, a

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

member of the Polycomb Repressor Complex 2 (PCR2) which catalyzes methylation of lysine 27 of histone H3 (H3K27me3). This complex modifies the chromatin structure to favor a proliferative program by bypassing the Ink4a/Arf-pRb-p53 pathway [54]. EZH2 promotes proliferation of CRC cells, and its silencing by siRNA leads to reduced cancer cell survival [22]. Recent data has suggested the existence of a negative feedback loop between EZH2 and miR-101-3p. Treatment of CRC cells with an anti-cancer substance named methyl jasmonate led to apoptosis and inhibited expression of EZH2 while upregulated miR-101-3p expression [55]. Furthermore, EZH2 has been linked to epigenetic inactivation of WNT5A, a proposed tumor suppressor, during TGF-\(\mathbb{G}\)-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in an in vitro model of CRC [56]. EZH2 might also be involved in CRC chemotherapeutic efficacy. EZH2 repression increased the efficiency of EGFR inhibitors in vitro [23]. Similarly, Yamamoto and colleagues have shown that EZH2 expression was associated with survival in CRC patients undergoing anti-EGFR therapy [57]. Hypermethylation has been associated with CRC pathogenesis in several studies [58-60] [reviewed in [61]]. Aberrant hypermethylation phenotype of tumor suppressor genes by DNMT3a activity has been reversed by expression of miR-101-3p in a model of lung cancer, where DNMT3 repression led to promoter hypomethylation and re-expression of tumor suppressor CDH1 [62]. Perhaps not surprisingly, our microarray data revealed downregulation of both DNMT3a and DNMT3b in HCT116 cells treated with miR-101-3p mimics. Similarly, Toyota and colleagues demonstrated that miR-34b and miR-34c were epigenetically silenced in HCT116 cells, and its expression could be rescued by

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

treatment with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine, a DNA demethylation agent. Moreover, they showed that CpG methylation of miR-34b/c was a common feature of different CRC lines [63]. Although authors did not investigate the methylation levels of miR-101-3p locus, CpG methylation represents a possible mechanism for repression of other miRNAs in CRC. Our microarray data helps shed light on the involvement of Wnt pathway in CRC. Inhibition of Wnt pathway results in reduced proliferation in several cancers, including CRC [64]. We found B-catenin mRNA, the main mediator of canonical Wnt pathway, to be overexpressed in HCT116 cells in response to miR-101-3p mimics. However, overexpression of CTNNB1 as measured by qPCR could reflect the mutated status of this gene in HCT116 cell line. Mouradov and colleagues performed an extensive wholeexome sequencing and SNP microarray analysis of 70 CRC cell lines, which revealed CTNNB1 mutated status of several of them, including HCT116 [65]. It is interesting to speculate that miR-101-3p may interfere with the non-canonical Wnt pathway, given that genes downregulated in our microarray most likely reflect this hypothesis (CXXC4. CAMK2G, FZD4, FZD6, NLK, PLCB1, RAC1). For instance, expression of Nemo-like kinase (NLK) has been demonstrated to be necessary for cell cycle progression in CRC in vitro [66]. In addition to inhibiting cell proliferation, miR-101-3p also remarkably induced cell death in treated cells. Several pathways have been implicated in the induction of apoptosis by miR-101-3p in different cancer cell models [67-69]. Microarray analysis provided some clues on what genes can be modulated in order to warrant such effect on cell survival.

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

MCL-1 is a member of the BCL-2 superfamily of apoptosis regulators, and it is one of the most frequently amplified genes in cancers [70]. MCL-1 gene encodes three isoforms: the long, anti-apoptotic MCL-1L, and two shorter pro-apoptotic MCL-1S and MCL-1ES [24]. MCL-1 amplification accounts for resistance to the BCL-2/BCL-xL inhibitor ABT-737 (Chen et al., 2007, Keuling et al., 2009, van Delft et al., 2006). MCL-1 associates with mitochondrial membrane-associated proteins, Bak and Bax, preventing them from heterodimerizing with apoptotic members of the BCL-2 family to promote apoptosis cascade [71]. More strikingly, a recent study has demonstrated that degradation of MCL-1 is necessary for effective therapeutics against CRC [72]. Similarly, inhibition of MCL-1 by miR-101-3p has been implicated in the apoptosisinducing effect of anti-cancer drug doxorubicin in hepatocellular carcinoma [73]. A similar inhibitory mechanism between miR-101-3p and MCL-1 has been reported for endometrial cancer as well [46]. The specific inhibition of only the anti-apoptotic MCL-1 isoform in our study highlights a novel mechanism by which miR-101-3p can induce apoptosis and cell death in our screening, and points to a possible therapeutic target for oligonucleotide-based therapies. Overall, the cell proliferation profile observed in our model of CRC points to an interesting tendency: miRNAs overexpressed in CRC augment cell proliferation and, conversely, miRNAs poorly expressed in CRC reduce cell proliferation and survival. Additionally, microRNAs characteristic of pluripotent stem cells tend to confer a proliferative advantage to CRC cells. This phenomenon suggests the existence of potential functional advantages of the differential expression of miRNAs observed in

colorectal cancer. Since selective pressure within tumor tissue favors accumulation of genetic alterations that support survival [74], it is tempting to speculate that miRNAs consistently described as downregulated in CRC could have been selectively repressed due to their effects on proliferation, such as seen in our study.

Conclusions

Taken together, the results provide additional evidence of functional outcomes resulting from differential expression of miRNAs in CRC. Additional studies will be necessary to elucidate the mechanisms by which miRNAs differentially expressed in CRC promote these effects on proliferation, and the present study points to interesting miRNAs to pursuit. Additionally, miR-101-3p appears to target multiple transcripts that act synergistically to promote cell death and halt proliferation of CRC cells in vitro, mainly by targeting Wnt pathway. More specifically, we provide novel evidence linking inhibition of MCL-1 by miR-101-3p as a potential mechanism for antitumoral activity of this miRNA.

Additional Files

- Additional File 1: miRNA screening data and statistical analyses (.xlsx)
- 442 Additional File 2: Microarray data and statistical analyses (.xlsx)

List of abbreviations

445 5-FU, 5-fluouracil 446 BCL-2, B-cell lymphoma-2 447 CRC, colorectal cancer 448 DAVID, Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery 449 EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor 450 EZH2, Enhancer of Zeste 2 Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 Subunit 451 KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes 452 MCL-1, Myeloid cell leukemia-1 453 NLK, Nemo-like kinase 454 miRNA, microRNAs 455 miR, microRNA 456 RISC, RNA-Induced Silencing Complex 457 458 459 **Declarations** 460 461 Ethics approval and consent to participate 462 Not applicable 463 **Consent for publication** 464 Not applicable 465 466 Availability of data and material

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available under Additional Files. **Competing interests** Authors declare no conflicts of interest. **Funding** This work was supported by the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development, CNPq (Fellowship Process No. 142491/2011-0), and by the São Paulo Research Foundation, FAPESP/Center for Cell-Based Therapy, CTC (Grant No. 2013/08135-2). **Authors' contributions** DS designed and performed experiments, data analyses and wrote manuscript; JB performed microarray analyses; IMSL and JLSS performed experiments; DTC provided study materials; RAP conceptualized screening approaches and supervised experiments. All authors read and approved final manuscript. **Acknowledgements** Authors thank Dr. Joao Farias Guerreiro, MS. Amelia Araujo, Elizabete Audino and Claudia Magnani for their support in the execution of this project. Authors also thank Joey Owen and Erika Paulson for revising the final manuscript.

References

489 490

- International Agency for Research on Cancer. World Health Organization.
 Colorectal Cancer Fact Sheet, 2018.
- http://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/cancers/10_8_9-Colorectum-fact-sheet.pdf. Accessed 21 Jan 2019.
- 495 2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018; 496 68:7-30.
- 497 3. Guo Y, Bao Y, Yang W: Regulatory miRNAs in Colorectal Carcinogenesis and 498 Metastasis. Int J Mol Sci. 2017; 18.
- 499 4. Zheng Q, Chen C, Guan H, Kang W, Yu C: Prognostic role of microRNAs in human gastrointestinal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
 501 Oncotarget. 2017; 8:46611-23.
- 502 5. Orang AV, Barzegari A: MicroRNAs in colorectal cancer: from diagnosis to targeted therapy. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2014; 15:6989-99.
- 504 6. Jonas S, Izaurralde E: Towards a molecular understanding of microRNA-505 mediated gene silencing. Nat Rev Genet. 2015; 16:421-33.
- 506 7. Guo H, Ingolia NT, Weissman JS, Bartel DP: Mammalian microRNAs 507 predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels. Nature. 2010; 466:835-40.
- 508 8. Subramanyam D, Blelloch R: From microRNAs to targets: pathway discovery in cell fate transitions. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2011; 21:498-503.
- 510 9. Svoronos AA, Engelman DM, Slack FJ: OncomiR or Tumor Suppressor? The Duplicity of MicroRNAs in Cancer. Cancer Res. 2016; 76:3666-70.
- 512 10. Bonfrate L, Altomare DF, Di Lena M, Travaglio E, Rotelli MT, De Luca A,
 513 Portincasa P: MicroRNA in colorectal cancer: new perspectives for diagnosis,
 514 prognosis and treatment. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis. 2013; 22:311-20.
- 515 11. Mens MMJ, Ghanbari M: Cell Cycle Regulation of Stem Cells by MicroRNAs. 516 Stem Cell Rev. 2018; 14:309-22.
- 517 12. Caruso S, Bazan V, Rolfo C, Insalaco L, Fanale D, Bronte G, Corsini LR, Rizzo S, Cicero G, Russo A: MicroRNAs in colorectal cancer stem cells: new regulators of cancer stemness? Oncogenesis. 2012; 1:e32.
- 520 13. Sun X, Liu J, Xu C, Tang SC, Ren H: The insights of Let-7 miRNAs in oncogenesis and stem cell potency. J Cell Mol Med. 2016; 20:1779-88.
- 522 14. Feitelson MA, Arzumanyan A, Kulathinal RJ, Blain SW, Holcombe RF, Mahajna 523 J, Marino M, Martinez-Chantar ML, Nawroth R, Sanchez-Garcia I *et al*: Sustained 524 proliferation in cancer: Mechanisms and novel therapeutic targets. Semin Cancer 525 Biol. 2015; 35 Suppl:S25-S54.
- 15. Iglesias JM, Gumuzio J, Martin AG: Linking Pluripotency Reprogramming and Cancer. Stem Cells Transl Med. 2017; 6:335-9.
- 528 16. Stadler B, Ivanovska I, Mehta K, Song S, Nelson A, Tan Y, Mathieu J, Darby C, 529 Blau CA, Ware C *et al*: Characterization of microRNAs involved in embryonic stem cell states. Stem Cells Dev. 2010; 19:935-50.

- 531 17. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK: limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies.
 533 Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43:e47.
- Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP: Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. Cell. 2005; 120:15-20.
- 537 19. Dennis G, Jr., Sherman BT, Hosack DA, Yang J, Gao W, Lane HC, Lempicki RA: 538 DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery. 539 Genome Biol. 2003; 4:P3.
- 540 20. Kanehisa M, Goto S: KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic 541 Acids Res. 2000; 28:27-30.
- 542 21. Chou CH, Shrestha S, Yang CD, Chang NW, Lin YL, Liao KW, Huang WC, Sun TH, Tu SJ, Lee WH *et al*: miRTarBase update 2018: a resource for experimentally validated microRNA-target interactions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018; 46:D296-D302.
- 546 22. Fussbroich B, Wagener N, Macher-Goeppinger S, Benner A, Falth M, Sultmann 547 H, Holzer A, Hoppe-Seyler K, Hoppe-Seyler F: EZH2 depletion blocks the 548 proliferation of colon cancer cells. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e21651.
- 549 23. Katona BW, Liu Y, Ma A, Jin J, Hua X: EZH2 inhibition enhances the efficacy of an EGFR inhibitor in suppressing colon cancer cells. Cancer Biol Ther. 2014; 551 15:1677-87.
- 552 24. Kim JH, Sim SH, Ha HJ, Ko JJ, Lee K, Bae J: MCL-1ES, a novel variant of MCL-553 1, associates with MCL-1L and induces mitochondrial cell death. FEBS Lett. 554 2009; 583:2758-64.
- 555 25. Esquela-Kerscher A, Slack FJ: Oncomirs microRNAs with a role in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006; 6:259-69.
- 557 26. Calin GA, Sevignani C, Dumitru CD, Hyslop T, Noch E, Yendamuri S, Shimizu M, Rattan S, Bullrich F, Negrini M *et al*: Human microRNA genes are frequently located at fragile sites and genomic regions involved in cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:2999-3004.
- 561 27. Lagana A, Russo F, Sismeiro C, Giugno R, Pulvirenti A, Ferro A: Variability in the 562 incidence of miRNAs and genes in fragile sites and the role of repeats and CpG 563 islands in the distribution of genetic material. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e11166.
- 564 28. Lin SL, Chang DC, Chang-Lin S, Lin CH, Wu DT, Chen DT, Ying SY: Mir-302 565 reprograms human skin cancer cells into a pluripotent ES-cell-like state. RNA. 566 2008; 14:2115-24.
- Zhu J, Chen L, Zou L, Yang P, Wu R, Mao Y, Zhou H, Li R, Wang K, Wang W et
 MiR-20b, -21, and -130b inhibit PTEN expression resulting in B7-H1 over-expression in advanced colorectal cancer. Hum Immunol. 2014; 75:348-53.
- 570 30. Li B, Song Y, Liu TJ, Cui YB, Jiang Y, Xie ZS, Xie SL: miRNA-22 suppresses colon cancer cell migration and invasion by inhibiting the expression of T-cell lymphoma invasion and metastasis 1 and matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9.
- 573 Oncol Rep. 2013; 29:1932-8.

- 574 31. Fang Z, Tang J, Bai Y, Lin H, You H, Jin H, Lin L, You P, Li J, Dai Z *et al*: Plasma levels of microRNA-24, microRNA-320a, and microRNA-423-5p are potential biomarkers for colorectal carcinoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2015; 34:86.
- 577 32. Strillacci A, Griffoni C, Sansone P, Paterini P, Piazzi G, Lazzarini G, Spisni E, Pantaleo MA, Biasco G, Tomasi V: MiR-101 downregulation is involved in cyclooxygenase-2 overexpression in human colon cancer cells. Exp Cell Res. 2009; 315:1439-47.
- Ng EK, Chong WW, Jin H, Lam EK, Shin VY, Yu J, Poon TC, Ng SS, Sung JJ:
 Differential expression of microRNAs in plasma of patients with colorectal cancer:
 a potential marker for colorectal cancer screening. Gut. 2009; 58:1375-81.
- 584 34. Lee Y, Jeon K, Lee JT, Kim S, Kim VN: MicroRNA maturation: stepwise processing and subcellular localization. EMBO J. 2002; 21:4663-70.
- 586 35. Gao Z, Zhu X, Dou Y: The miR-302/367 cluster: a comprehensive update on its evolution and functions. Open Biol. 2015; 5:150138.
- 588 36. Khuu C, Utheim TP, Sehic A: The Three Paralogous MicroRNA Clusters in Development and Disease, miR-17-92, miR-106a-363, and miR-106b-25. Scientifica (Cairo). 2016; 2016:1379643.
- 591 37. Diosdado B, van de Wiel MA, Terhaar Sive Droste JS, Mongera S, Postma C, 592 Meijerink WJ, Carvalho B, Meijer GA: MiR-17-92 cluster is associated with 13q 593 gain and c-myc expression during colorectal adenoma to adenocarcinoma 594 progression. Br J Cancer. 2009; 101:707-14.
- 595 38. Anokye-Danso F, Trivedi CM, Juhr D, Gupta M, Cui Z, Tian Y, Zhang Y, Yang W, Gruber PJ, Epstein JA *et al*: Highly efficient miRNA-mediated reprogramming of mouse and human somatic cells to pluripotency. Cell Stem Cell. 2011; 8:376-88.
- 598 39. Duong VH, Padron E, Al Ali NH, Lancet JE, Hall J, Kwok B, Zhang L, Epling-599 Burnette PK, List AF, Komrokji RS: The prognostic value of circulating 600 myeloblasts in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Ann Hematol. 2018; 601 97:247-54.
- 40. Maadi H, Moshtaghian A, Taha MF, Mowla SJ, Kazeroonian A, Haass NK, Javeri
 A: Multimodal tumor suppression by miR-302 cluster in melanoma and colon
 cancer. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2016; 81:121-32.
- 605 41. Chen LG, Xia YJ, Cui Y: Upregulation of miR-101 enhances the cytotoxic effect of anticancer drugs through inhibition of colon cancer cell proliferation. Oncol Rep. 2017; 38:100-8.
- 608 42. Chen MB, Yang L, Lu PH, Fu XL, Zhang Y, Zhu YQ, Tian Y: MicroRNA-101 609 down-regulates sphingosine kinase 1 in colorectal cancer cells. Biochem Biophys 610 Res Commun. 2015; 463:954-60.
- Shen Q, Bae HJ, Eun JW, Kim HS, Park SJ, Shin WC, Lee EK, Park S, Park WS,
 Lee JY *et al*: MiR-101 functions as a tumor suppressor by directly targeting
 nemo-like kinase in liver cancer. Cancer Lett. 2014; 344:204-11.
- 44. Yao YL, Ma J, Wang P, Xue YX, Li Z, Zhao LN, Li ZQ, Feng TD, Liu YH: miR-101 acts as a tumor suppressor by targeting Kruppel-like factor 6 in glioblastoma stem cells. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2015; 21:40-51.

- Wang R, Wang HB, Hao CJ, Cui Y, Han XC, Hu Y, Li FF, Xia HF, Ma X: MiR-101 is involved in human breast carcinogenesis by targeting Stathmin1. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e46173.
- 46. Konno Y, Dong P, Xiong Y, Suzuki F, Lu J, Cai M, Watari H, Mitamura T, Hosaka
 M, Hanley SJ *et al*: MicroRNA-101 targets EZH2, MCL-1 and FOS to suppress
 proliferation, invasion and stem cell-like phenotype of aggressive endometrial
 cancer cells. Oncotarget. 2014; 5:6049-62.
- 47. Strillacci A, Valerii MC, Sansone P, Caggiano C, Sgromo A, Vittori L, Fiorentino
 M, Poggioli G, Rizzello F, Campieri M *et al*: Loss of miR-101 expression
 promotes Wnt/beta-catenin signalling pathway activation and malignancy in colon
 cancer cells. J Pathol. 2013; 229:379-89.
- 48. Ma X, Bai J, Xie G, Liu Y, Shuai X, Tao K: Prognostic significance of microRNA-101 in solid tumor: A meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2017; 12:e0180173.
- 630 49. Hu J, Wu C, Zhao X, Liu C: The prognostic value of decreased miR-101 in various cancers: a meta-analysis of 12 studies. Onco Targets Ther. 2017; 10:3709-18.
- 633 50. Li CY, Pang YY, Yang H, Li J, Lu HX, Wang HL, Mo WJ, Huang LS, Feng ZB, Chen G: Identification of miR-101-3p targets and functional features based on bioinformatics, meta-analysis and experimental verification in hepatocellular carcinoma. Am J Transl Res. 2017; 9:2088-105.
- 51. Slattery ML, Herrick JS, Pellatt DF, Mullany LE, Stevens JR, Wolff E, Hoffman
 638 MD, Wolff RK, Samowitz W: Site-specific associations between miRNA
 639 expression and survival in colorectal cancer cases. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:60193 640 205.
- Chandramouli A, Onyeagucha BC, Mercado-Pimentel ME, Stankova L, Shahin
 NA, LaFleur BJ, Heimark RL, Bhattacharyya AK, Nelson MA: MicroRNA-101
 (miR-101) post-transcriptionally regulates the expression of EP4 receptor in
 colon cancers. Cancer Biol Ther. 2012; 13:175-83.
- Hinoue T, Weisenberger DJ, Lange CP, Shen H, Byun HM, Van Den Berg D,
 Malik S, Pan F, Noushmehr H, van Dijk CM *et al*: Genome-scale analysis of
 aberrant DNA methylation in colorectal cancer. Genome Res. 2012; 22:271-82.
- Fiunti A, Rossi A, Cerutti A, Albert M, Jammula S, Scelfo A, Cedrone L, Fragola
 G, Olsson L, Koseki H *et al*: Polycomb proteins control proliferation and
 transformation independently of cell cycle checkpoints by regulating DNA
 replication. Nat Commun. 2014; 5:3649.
- 652 55. Peng Z, Zhang Y: Methyl jasmonate induces the apoptosis of human colorectal cancer cells via downregulation of EZH2 expression by microRNA101. Mol Med Rep. 2017; 15:957-62.
- 56. Tao J, Shi L, Huang L, Shi H, Chen H, Wang Y, Wang T: EZH2 is involved in silencing of WNT5A during epithelial-mesenchymal transition of colon cancer cell line. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2017; 143:2211-9.
- 57. Yamamoto I, Nosho K, Kanno S, Igarashi H, Kurihara H, Ishigami K, Ishiguro K, Mitsuhashi K, Maruyama R, Koide H *et al*: EZH2 expression is a prognostic

- biomarker in patients with colorectal cancer treated with anti-EGFR therapeutics.
 Oncotarget. 2017; 8:17810-8.
- Guo Y, Wang M, Jia X, Zhu H, Zhi Y, Yuan L: Wnt signaling pathway upregulates
 DNMT1 to trigger NHERF1 promoter hypermethylation in colon cancer. Oncol
 Rep. 2018; 40:1165-73.
- 59. Lin RK, Hung WY, Huang YF, Chang YJ, Lin CH, Chen WY, Chiu SF, Chang SC,
 Tsai SF: Hypermethylation of BEND5 contributes to cell proliferation and is a
 prognostic marker of colorectal cancer. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:113431-43.
- 668 60. Islam F, Gopalan V, Pillai S, Lu CT, Kasem K, Lam AK: Promoter 669 hypermethylation inactivate tumor suppressor FAM134B and is associated with 670 poor prognosis in colorectal cancer. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2018; 671 57:240-51.
- 672 61. Ashktorab H, Brim H: DNA Methylation and Colorectal Cancer. Curr Colorectal Cancer Rep. 2014; 10:425-30.
- 674 62. Yan F, Shen N, Pang J, Xie D, Deng B, Molina JR, Yang P, Liu S: Restoration of miR-101 suppresses lung tumorigenesis through inhibition of DNMT3a-dependent DNA methylation. Cell Death Dis. 2014; 5:e1413.
- 677 63. Toyota M, Suzuki H, Sasaki Y, Maruyama R, Imai K, Shinomura Y, Tokino T: 678 Epigenetic silencing of microRNA-34b/c and B-cell translocation gene 4 is 679 associated with CpG island methylation in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 2008; 680 68:4123-32.
- 681 64. Kahlert UD, Suwala AK, Koch K, Natsumeda M, Orr BA, Hayashi M, Maciaczyk
 682 J, Eberhart CG: Pharmacologic Wnt Inhibition Reduces Proliferation, Survival,
 683 and Clonogenicity of Glioblastoma Cells. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2015;
 684 74:889-900.
- 685 65. Mouradov D, Sloggett C, Jorissen RN, Love CG, Li S, Burgess AW, Arango D, Strausberg RL, Buchanan D, Wormald S *et al*: Colorectal cancer cell lines are representative models of the main molecular subtypes of primary cancer. Cancer Res. 2014; 74:3238-47.
- 689 66. Li SZ, Zeng F, Li J, Shu QP, Zhang HH, Xu J, Ren JW, Zhang XD, Song XM, Du RL: Nemo-like kinase (NLK) primes colorectal cancer progression by releasing the E2F1 complex from HDAC1. Cancer Lett. 2018; 431:43-53.
- 692 67. Wu RS, Qiu EH, Zhu JJ, Wang JR, Lin HL: MiR-101 promotes nasopharyngeal 693 carcinoma cell apoptosis through inhibiting Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK signaling 694 pathway. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2018; 22:150-7.
- 695 68. Nikoonahad Lotfabadi N, Mohseni Kouchesfahani H, Sheikhha MH, Kalantar SM: 696 In vitro transfection of anti-tumor miR-101 induces BIM, a pro-apoptotic protein, 697 expression in acute myeloid leukemia (AML). EXCLI J. 2017; 16:1257-67.
- 698 69. Zhang S, Wang M, Li Q, Zhu P: MiR-101 reduces cell proliferation and invasion 699 and enhances apoptosis in endometrial cancer via regulating PI3K/Akt/mTOR. 700 Cancer Biomark. 2017; 21:179-86.
- 70. Beroukhim R, Mermel CH, Porter D, Wei G, Raychaudhuri S, Donovan J, Barretina J, Boehm JS, Dobson J, Urashima M *et al*: The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across human cancers. Nature. 2010; 463:899-905.

- 704 71. Thomas LW, Lam C, Edwards SW: Mcl-1; the molecular regulation of protein function. FEBS Lett. 2010; 584:2981-9.
- 706
 72. Tong J, Wang P, Tan S, Chen D, Nikolovska-Coleska Z, Zou F, Yu J, Zhang L:
 707
 708
 Mcl-1 Degradation Is Required for Targeted Therapeutics to Eradicate Colon
 708
 Cancer Cells. Cancer Res. 2017; 77:2512-21.
- 73. He H, Tian W, Chen H, Deng Y: MicroRNA-101 sensitizes hepatocellular carcinoma cells to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis via targeting Mcl-1. Mol Med Rep. 2016; 13:1923-9.
- 712 74. Ovens K, Naugler C: Preliminary evidence of different selection pressures on cancer cells as compared to normal tissues. Theor Biol Med Model. 2012; 9:44.
- 714 75. Luo H, Zou J, Dong Z, Zeng Q, Wu D, Liu L: Up-regulated miR-17 promotes cell proliferation, tumour growth and cell cycle progression by targeting the RND3 tumour suppressor gene in colorectal carcinoma. Biochem J. 2012; 442:311-21.
- 717 76. Zekri AR, Youssef AS, Lotfy MM, Gabr R, Ahmed OS, Nassar A, Hussein N,
 718 Omran D, Medhat E, Eid S *et al*: Circulating Serum miRNAs as Diagnostic
 719 Markers for Colorectal Cancer. PLoS One. 2016; 11:e0154130.
- 77. Ng EK, Tsang WP, Ng SS, Jin HC, Yu J, Li JJ, Rocken C, Ebert MP, Kwok TT, Sung JJ: MicroRNA-143 targets DNA methyltransferases 3A in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer. 2009; 101:699-706.
- 723 78. Zhang GJ, Zhou T, Liu ZL, Tian HP, Xia SS: Plasma miR-200c and miR-18a as potential biomarkers for the detection of colorectal carcinoma. Mol Clin Oncol. 2013; 1:379-84.
- 79. Brunet Vega A, Pericay C, Moya I, Ferrer A, Dotor E, Pisa A, Casalots A, Serra-Aracil X, Oliva JC, Ruiz A *et al*: microRNA expression profile in stage III colorectal cancer: circulating miR-18a and miR-29a as promising biomarkers. Oncol Rep. 2013; 30:320-6.
- 730 80. Arndt GM, Dossey L, Cullen LM, Lai A, Druker R, Eisbacher M, Zhang C, Tran N, Fan H, Retzlaff K *et al*: Characterization of global microRNA expression reveals oncogenic potential of miR-145 in metastatic colorectal cancer. BMC Cancer. 2009; 9:374.
- Li Y, Chen M, Liu J, Li L, Yang X, Zhao J, Wu M, Ye M: Upregulation of
 MicroRNA 18b Contributes to the Development of Colorectal Cancer by Inhibiting
 CDKN2B. Mol Cell Biol. 2017; 37.
- 737 82. Wang YX, Zhang XY, Zhang BF, Yang CQ, Chen XM, Gao HJ: Initial study of microRNA expression profiles of colonic cancer without lymph node metastasis. J Dig Dis. 2010; 11:50-4.
- Zhu M, Huang Z, Zhu D, Zhou X, Shan X, Qi LW, Wu L, Cheng W, Zhu J, Zhang
 L et al: A panel of microRNA signature in serum for colorectal cancer diagnosis.
 Oncotarget. 2017; 8:17081-91.
- 743 84. Sarver AL, French AJ, Borralho PM, Thayanithy V, Oberg AL, Silverstein KA, Morlan BW, Riska SM, Boardman LA, Cunningham JM *et al*: Human colon
- cancer profiles show differential microRNA expression depending on mismatch
- repair status and are characteristic of undifferentiated proliferative states. BMC Cancer. 2009; 9:401.

- Schee K, Lorenz S, Worren MM, Gunther CC, Holden M, Hovig E, Fodstad O,
 Meza-Zepeda LA, Flatmark K: Deep Sequencing the MicroRNA Transcriptome in
 Colorectal Cancer. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e66165.
- 751 86. Liu Y, Chen X, Cheng R, Yang F, Yu M, Wang C, Cui S, Hong Y, Liang H, Liu M
 752 et al: The Jun/miR-22/HuR regulatory axis contributes to tumourigenesis in colorectal cancer. Mol Cancer. 2018; 17:11.
- 754 87. Zhang G, Xia S, Tian H, Liu Z, Zhou T: Clinical significance of miR-22 expression in patients with colorectal cancer. Med Oncol. 2012; 29:3108-12.
- 756 88. Deng YH, Deng ZH, Hao H, Wu XL, Gao H, Tang SH, Tang H: MicroRNA-23a 757 promotes colorectal cancer cell survival by targeting PDK4. Exp Cell Res. 2018; 758 373:171-9.
- 759 89. Ogata-Kawata H, Izumiya M, Kurioka D, Honma Y, Yamada Y, Furuta K, Gunji T, Ohta H, Okamoto H, Sonoda H *et al*: Circulating exosomal microRNAs as biomarkers of colon cancer. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e92921.
- 762 90. Liang J, Tang J, Shi H, Li H, Zhen T, Duan J, Kang L, Zhang F, Dong Y, Han A:
 763 miR-27a-3p targeting RXRalpha promotes colorectal cancer progression by
 764 activating Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:82991-3008.
- 91. Bao Y, Chen Z, Guo Y, Feng Y, Li Z, Han W, Wang J, Zhao W, Jiao Y, Li K *et al*:
 Tumor suppressor microRNA-27a in colorectal carcinogenesis and progression by targeting SGPP1 and Smad2. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e105991.
- Wang B, Li W, Liu H, Yang L, Liao Q, Cui S, Wang H, Zhao L: miR-29b
 suppresses tumor growth and metastasis in colorectal cancer via downregulating
 Tiam1 expression and inhibiting epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Cell Death
 Dis. 2014; 5:e1335.
- 93. Schepeler T, Reinert JT, Ostenfeld MS, Christensen LL, Silahtaroglu AN,
 pyrskjot L, Wiuf C, Sorensen FJ, Kruhoffer M, Laurberg S *et al*: Diagnostic and
 prognostic microRNAs in stage II colon cancer. Cancer Res. 2008; 68:6416-24.
- He D, Yue Z, Li G, Chen L, Feng H, Sun J: Low Serum Levels of miR-101 Are
 Associated with Poor Prognosis of Colorectal Cancer Patients After Curative
 Resection. Med Sci Monit. 2018; 24:7475-81.
- 778 95. Chai J, Wang S, Han D, Dong W, Xie C, Guo H: MicroRNA-455 inhibits 779 proliferation and invasion of colorectal cancer by targeting RAF proto-oncogene 780 serine/threonine-protein kinase. Tumour Biol. 2015; 36:1313-21.
- 781 96. Michael MZ, SM OC, van Holst Pellekaan NG, Young GP, James RJ: Reduced accumulation of specific microRNAs in colorectal neoplasia. Mol Cancer Res. 2003; 1:882-91.
- 784 97. Ozcan O, Kara M, Yumrutas O, Bozgeyik E, Bozgeyik I, Celik OI: MTUS1 and its targeting miRNAs in colorectal carcinoma: significant associations. Tumour Biol. 2016; 37:6637-45.
- 787 98. Wei ZJ, Tao ML, Zhang W, Han GD, Zhu ZC, Miao ZG, Li JY, Qiao ZB: Up-788 regulation of microRNA-302a inhibited the proliferation and invasion of colorectal 789 cancer cells by regulation of the MAPK and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways. Int J 790 Clin Exp Pathol. 2015; 8:4481-91.

791 99. Zhang Y, Li M, Ding Y, Fan Z, Zhang J, Zhang H, Jiang B, Zhu Y: Serum
 792 MicroRNA profile in patients with colon adenomas or cancer. BMC Med
 793 Genomics. 2017; 10:23.

- 100. Dong J, Geng J, Tan W: MiR-363-3p suppresses tumor growth and metastasis of colorectal cancer via targeting SphK2. Biomed Pharmacother. 2018; 105:922-31.
- 101. Eyking A, Reis H, Frank M, Gerken G, Schmid KW, Cario E: MiR-205 and MiR-373 Are Associated with Aggressive Human Mucinous Colorectal Cancer. PLoS One. 2016; 11:e0156871.

Table 1: miRNAs differentially expressed in CRC and their function in embryonic stem cells (ESC).

microRNA	Expression in CRC	Tissue	Reference	Function in ESC [16]
hsa-miR-17-3p	Up	Tumor tissue	[75]	Pluripotency
	Up	Serum	[76]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[77]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[29]	
hsa-miR-18a-5p	Up	Plasma	[78]	Pluripotency
	Up	Fixed tumor tissue	[79]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[77]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[29]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[80]	
hsa-miR-18b-5p	Up	Tumor tissue	[81]	Pluripotency
	Up	Tumor tissue	[82]	
hsa-miR-19a-3p	Up	Serum	[83]	Pluripotency
	Up	Serum	[76]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[82]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[80]	
hsa-miR-19b-3p	Up	Tumor tissue	[80]	Pluripotency
	Up	Serum	[76]	
hsa-miR-20a-5p	Up	Tumor tissue	[77]	Pluripotency
	Up	Serum	[76]	

	Up	Fixed tumor tissue	[79]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[80]	
hsa-miR-20b-5p	Down	Tumor tissue	[84]	Pluripotency
	Up	Tumor tissue	[29]	
hsa-miR-21-5p	Up	Serum	[83]	Differentiation
	Up	Tumor tissue	[29]	
	Up	Fixed tumor tissue	[79]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[80]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[85]	
hsa-miR-22-3p	Down	Tumor tissue	[86]	Differentiation
	Down	Tumor tissue	[87]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[85]	
hsa-miR-23a-3p	Up	Tumor tissue	[88]	Differentiation
	Up	Tumor tissue	[89]	
hsa-miR-24-3p	Up	Serum	[76]	Differentiation
	Down	Plasma	[31]	
hsa-miR-27a-3p	Up	Tumor tissue	[90]	Differentiation
	Down	Tumor tissue	[91]	
hsa-miR-29a-3p	Up	Tumor tissue	[80]	Differentiation
	Up	Fixed tumor tissue	[79]	
hsa-miR-29b	Down	Tumor tissue	[92]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[80]	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-30a-5p	Down	Tumor tissue	[80]	Differentiation
	Down	Tumor tissue	[84]	
hsa-miR-92a-3p	Up	Tumor tissue	[77]	
	Up	Plasma	[77]	Dhurinatanay
	Up	Tumor tissue	[29]	Pluripotency
	Up	Fixed tumor tissue	[79]	
hsa-miR-101-3p	Down	Tumor tissue	[93]	Pluripotency
	Down	Serum	[94]	
	Down	Tumor tissue	[95]	
hsa-miR-106a-5p	Up	Tumor tissue	[77]	Pluripotency
	Up	Tumor tissue	[29]	
	Up	Tumor tissue	[80]	
hsa-miR-145-5p	Down	Tumor tissue	[96]	Differentiation
	Down	Tumor tissue	[29]	
	Down	Tumor tissue	[80]	
hsa-miR-181d-5p	Up	Tumor tissue	[89]	Differentiation

	Up	Fixed tumor tissue	[97]	
hsa-miR-222-3p	Up	Tumor tissue	[77]	Differentiation
	Up	Plasma	[77]	
hsa-miR-302a-3p	Down	CRC cell lines	[98]	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-302a-5p	Unknown	-	-	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-302b-3p	Unknown	-	-	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-302b-5p	Unknown	-	-	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-302c-3p	Down	Plasma	[99]	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-302d-3p	Unknown	-	-	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-363-3p	Down	Tumor tissue	[100]	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-371a-3p	Unknown	-	-	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-372-3p	Up	Fixed tumor tissue	[97]	Pluripotency
hsa-miR-373-3p	Up	Fixed tumor tissue	[97]	Pluripotency
	Up	Fixed tumor tissue	[101]	

CRC, colorectal cancer; ESC, embryonic stem cells.

805

806 807

808

Table 2: KEGG signaling pathways modulated by predicted miR-101-3p targets downregulated experimentally in HCT116 cells.

Pathway and Genes	Target Count	%	P- Value	Benjamini
Wnt signaling pathway CXXC4, CAMK2G, FZD4, FZD6, NLK, PLCB1,	7	3,6	2,1E-3	2,7E-1
RAC1 Melanogenesis	6	3,1	2,7E-3	1,8E-1
GNAI3, ADCY6, CAMK2G, FZD4, FZD6, PLCB1				
Pathways in cancer CEBPA, GNAI3, ADCY6, FZD4, FZD6, ITGA3, PAX8, PLCB1, RAC1, RXRB, TCEB1	11	5,7	4,3E-3	1,9E-1
Sphingolipid signaling pathway GNAI3, CERS2, CERS6, PLCB1, RAC1, SGPL1	6	3,1	6,0E-3	2,0E-1
Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis MGRN1, TCEB1, UBE2A, UBE2D1, UBE2D3, UBE2Q1	6	3,1	1,0E-2	2,6E-1
Phosphatidylinositol signaling system	5	2,6	1,5E-2	3,1E-1

CDS2.	MTMR2.	PIP5K1C.	PLCB1.	TMEM55A

809

Transcriptional misregulation in cancer CEBPA, DOT1L, PAX8, RXRB, SLC45A3, MYCN	6	3,1	2,3E-2	3,9E-1
Gastric acid secretion GNAI3, ADCY6, CAMK2G, PLCB1	4	2,1	3,3E-2	4,7E-1
cAMP signaling pathway GNAI3, SOX9, ADCY6, CAMK2G, PDE4A, RAC1	6	3,1	4,2E-2	5,1E-1
Proteoglycans in cancer GAB1, CAMK2G, CAV3, FZD4, FZD6, RAC1	6	3,1	4,4E-2	4,9E-1
Insulin secretion ADCY6, CAMK2G, PLCB1, KCNN3	4	2,1	4,9E-2	4,9E-1
Gap junction GNAI3, ADCY6, GJA1, PLCB1	4	2,1	5,3E-2	4,9E-1
Circadian entrainment GNAI3, ADCY6, CAMK2G, PLCB1	4	2,1	6,4E-2	5,3E-1
Inflammatory mediator regulation of TRP channels ASIC1, ADCY6, CAMK2G, PLCB1	4	2,1	6,9E-2	5,3E-1
Sphingolipid metabolism CERS2, CERS6, SGPL1	3	1,6	7,6E-2	5,4E-1
Cholinergic synapse GNAI3, ADCY6, CAMK2G, PLCB1	4	2,1	9,2E-2	5,9E-1

1 Focused screening reveals functional effects of microRNAs differentially

expressed in colorectal cancer




Figure 1: miRNAs differentially expressed in CRC modulate the proliferation of HCT116 cells.

HCT116 were treated with 50nM of miRNA mimics for 4 days in 96-well plates. Total number of cells and number of dead cells were quantified by high-content screening analysis. Data is expressed as mean \pm SD. Gray symbols indicate no significant differences.




Figure 2: Differential gene expression in HCT116 treated with miR-101-3p.

a) Representative fields of HCT116 treated with miR-101-3p for 4 days, showing increase in cell death and decrease of overall proliferation; b) Volcano plot showing downregulated (red) and upregulated (green) transcripts as a function of fold-change and P-value after 3 days of treatment; c) Experimentally downregulated targets are crossed with predicted and validated targets to identify high confidence targets; d) Functionally validated targets (miRTarBase) that were downregulated by miR-101-3p in microarray analysis.




Figure 3: Genes downregulated by miR-101-3p in HCT116.

a) Expression of potential direct and indirect targets of miR-101-3p in HCT116 cells relative to control; b) MCL-1 protein interaction network. Red circles indicate genes downregulated by miR-101-3p in microarray data. Data is expressed as mean \pm SD.

N=3. * p<0.01, **p<0.001, *** p<0.0001