1	TITLE: Longitudinal Study on Ocular Manifestations in a Cohort of Patients with Fabry Disease
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	AUTHOR':
7	Dr Langis Michaud, O.D. M.SC.
8	Professor
9	Université de Montréal, École d'optométrie
LO	
l1	
12	ADDRESS
L3	3744 Jean-Brillant, suite 190-11
L4	Montréal (Québec)
L5	H3T 1P1
L6	
L7	Phone: +1-514-343-6111 ext 8945.
L8	Email: langis.michaud@umontreal.ca
L9	
20	
21	
22	Figures: 8 photos
23	Tables: 8
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Date: February 17, 2019

ABSTRACT

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

56

57

Purpose: This study aims to assess the evolution of ocular manifestations in a cohort of Fabry patients. **METHODS:** This is a prospective observational study conducted from 2013 to 2017 (5 consecutive exams). All subjects underwent a comprehensive ocular examination including oriented case history, refraction, corneal topography, biomechanical corneal properties and pachometry assessments, aberrometry, anterior segment evaluation, double-frequency visual field (FDT), intra-ocular pressure, and ocular fundus. At baseline, 41 subjects enrolled but 9 dropped-out and 4 files were not kept for analysis (missing data). Remaining 28 subjects were classified into: Group 1 -hemizygotes (HMZ), all on enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) (N=10); Group 2 -heterozygotes (HTZ) actively ERT-treated (N=8), and Group 3 -HTZ not treated (N=10). **RESULTS**: There is a high intra and inter-subjects variability. At baseline, prevalence of the ocular manifestations found is similar to published data: cornea verticillata (89.2%), conjunctival vessels tortuosity (85.7%), corneal haze (67.8%), retinal vessels tortuosity (64.2%), anterior cataract (39.2%) and posterior cataract (28.5%). Prevalence for new elements are found: upper lid vessels toricity (96.4%) and micro-aneurysms (42.8%). At the end, micro-aneurysms (+82%), posterior cataract (+75%) corneal haze (+21%) anterior cataract (+17%) and retinal vessels tortuosities (+4%) evolved in prevalence and severity despite the fact that 68% of the patients were on ERT. Treated heterozygotes evolved more than other groups (p>0.05) **CONCLUSION:** ERT does not halt the clinical evolution of several ocular manifestations. Longer observational time may be required to fully confirm these findings.

- 54 **KEY WORDS:** Fabry disease, cornea verticillata, Micro-Aneurysms,
- 55 Agalsidase-Alpha, Agalsidase-Beta

INTRODUCTION

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

Fabry is qualified as a pan ethnic X-linked inherited condition and is considered a rare disease(1); however, it represents the second most prevalent of the 50 known lysosomal storage disorders(2), which are all characterized by a cell deposit of a substrate, within lysosomes, as a result of abnormal enzymatic activity. With Fabry, the absence or deficient activity of α-galactosidase A leads to the accumulation of globotriaosylceramide (Gb-3) in a variety of cells (renal, endothelial, cardiac, dorsal root ganglion neural)(3). As the disorder evolves, and the substrate continues to build-up, cellular dysfunction will trigger organ impairment and eventually system damages, leading to substantial morbidity and reduced life expectancy(4), especially for patients left untreated(5). The manifestations of the disease are highly variable, depending on genetic mutation and the gender of the patient. Based on mutations, patients can be categorized into 4 groups(6). A first group of GLA mutations is known as null alleles and is associated with an absent or non-functional enzyme protein. This is considered the classic Fabry disease, associated with severe clinical manifestations. A second group is labelled as missense mutations resulting in polypeptides with an amino-acid replacement, probably not leading to a null allele condition but still generating serious clinical negative outcomes. Mild missense and glycosylation mutation p.N215S are defining a third and fourth group of subjects, both characterized with some enzymatic residual activities, and associated with an attenuated phenotype. In the past, asymptomatic subjects were only considered carriers of the disease(7), but must be considered true Fabry patients(8). Gender divides patients into two distinct groups. Men are defined as hemizygotes, which are individuals having only one allele for a specific characteristic(9). This means that a gene located on the X chromosome has no corresponding gene on the Y. Hemizygotes can only inherit the deficiency (X) from their mother and will automatically transmit the disease to their daughters (boys receiving the normal Y). Females are considered heterozygotes, having different alleles at a particular gene locus on homologous chromosomes(9). They can inherit their defective X from both parents. They have a 50% chance of transmitting the disease to any of their children, regardless of gender.

The clinical portrait becomes more complex when both classifications are combined. This means that hemizygotes and heterozygotes can present little or no residual enzymatic activity, which obviously impacts the severity of the disease and its clinical manifestations. For heterozygote patients, the clinical expression will be highly influenced by lyonization, a phenomenon that occurs when a defective gene expression is countered by a normal one, and consequently expressed to varying degrees. As of 2018, 840 possible mutations were compiled(10), which explains the high variability of clinical findings among patients diagnosed with Fabry.

Clinical manifestations

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

In the case of Fabry, the presence of substrate accumulation can be traced back to the prenatal period(11) but generally, symptoms do not develop before early childhood (4-6 years old)(2), boys being affected earlier than girls(12). From then on, affected children may suffer from acute and chronic neuropathic pain, which manifests itself as a burning sensation and tingling in the hands and feet (acroparaesthesiae). They may also show reduced or absent sweating (hypohidrosis), which eventually makes it difficult to exercise(13) and perform physical activities (14). Finally, abdominal pain and postprandial diarrhoea may be reported. Fabry children, mostly boys, exhibit greater weight and height variations, most of them remaining under the normal development curve(15). During adolescence, gastro-intestinal problems may increase in severity and frequency, and proteinuria can become more elevated(2). Delayed puberty and abnormal sexual functions were reported(16). Fabry crisis, manifested as severe pain radiating from the hands and feet, may occur spontaneously as a response to various environmental conditions (heat, cold, stress, fatique, illness, intense exercise, etc.)(5). Swelling of the affected members (lymphedema) and redness may be present and are predictors of future heart and renal dysfunctions(2).

Vascular skin lesions (angiokeratomas) can appear, clustering around the umbilicus and swim trunk areas(17). Later in life, respiratory problems, cardiac and cerebrovascular manifestations may be manifested. Hearing loss or tinnitus are not uncommon at this strage(18). Late disease will be characterized by life-threatening renal failure (more the case for hemizygotes), stroke and severe cardiac problems (more often for heterozygotes)(19).

Ocular manifestations

Ocular manifestations are among the first observable signs of the presence of the disease, even before birth(20), and are easily identified through a regular slit lamp examination made by a trained eyecare professional. One prevalent feature is the presence of pigments deposited in the cornea, with a whorl-shaped pattern. This keratopathy is known as cornea verticillata(21). By diffusion from the conjunctival blood vessels, the cornea not being vascularized, pigmented substrates accumulate in a linear fashion at the epithelial basement membrane level and the adjacent anterior stroma, primarily on the lower third of the cornea(22). Over time, it may evolve to reach the upper quadrants. Over 90% of hemizygotes show this type of pigmentation by age of 4, while heterozygotes present this clinical sign later, around the age of 10 on average(23). It was reported that patients from groups 1 and 2 (null allele and missense) are more affected than others, with higher prevalence and severity of the manifestations (6). The level of pigmentation is then associated with the progression and severity of the disease(24).

Less specific, a diffuse corneal haze can also be found in conjunction with pigment deposits(6). Both manifestations are not habitually associated with any loss of visual acuity. Differential diagnosis implies keratopathy related to the intake of medication such as amiodarone, tamoxifen, chlorpromazine, indomethacin and gold salts, just to name a few(25). The main difference is the asymmetric distribution of pigmentations between both eyes in the case of Fabry, vs the more symmetrical mirror image provided when pigments are second to drug deposits. Clear understanding of the medical condition of the patient is obviously essential to establish the differential diagnosis.

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

Lens opacification is less pathognomonic than corneal verticillata and its prevalence is also lower(26). Fabry's cataract appears as a sub-capsular opacity along the posterior lens suture lines, very similar to the cortisone-induced cataract or those following head trauma. This type of cataract becomes visually disturbing soon after its onset and patients develop high light sensitivity and reduced visual acuity(27). Hemizygotes can show early signs of cataract by age 20. This type of lens opacification may also be seen in patients with mannossidosis (28) and other lysosomal storage disorders. A second cataract manifestation relates to the presence of a white, wedge-shaped, linear deposit on the anterior sub-capsular area of the lens(21), usually covering all quadrants. They are less associated with visual disturbance and may not be visible under slit lamp examination without pupil mydriasis. Blood vessel tortuosity appears as a consequence of the alteration of the vessels' natural architecture by substrate accumulation in the vascular endothelium(29). Hemizygotes are almost all affected by this clinical sign after age 30, while at least 50% of heterozygotes show similar manifestation(30). Tortuosity can be seen in the bulbar conjunctiva, in the retina, and as reported earlier, on the external surface of the upper eyelid, where the presence of aneurysms was found highly related to the presence of the same clinical finding in the bulbar conjunctiva(31). Vessel tortuosity is not associated with functional loss affecting vision; however, Fabryrelated vasculopathies (artery or veinule occlusions, choroidal neovascularization) are rarely seen (32, 33), except among patients with more severe systemic involvement. Differential diagnosis should include the presence of fucosidosis (34), or gangliosidosis(35), where similar blood vessel alterations were reported. Alteration of vascular insufficiency may lead to the development of optic nerve ischemia. Enlargement of the blind spot, and central defects in visual field testing. is related to optic neuropathy probably second to this phenomenon (36). Finally, substrate accumulation in the lachrymal gland fosters eye dryness, experienced by 50% of Fabry patients(37). Recently, bulbar conjunctival lymphangiectasia, a

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

sign of ocular surface irritation, was identified as a new ocular finding, in a cohort of Fabry patients particularly symptomatic of eye dryness(38). All of these ocular manifestations were reported as single events, on several cohorts of patients, with variable methods of data collection. To our knowledge, no publication evaluated the progression of ocular manifestations over time. **Treatments** Fortunately, since 2001 in Europe and 2003 in the US, enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) is available to manage the patient's condition, in addition to other medication needed to stabilize or improve cardiac, renal, gastro-intestinal and other systemic implications (39). In Canada, Fabry patients can access ERT if they meet nationally accepted criteria based mostly on cardiac and renal involvements at the time of diagnosis(40). Once approved, patients are randomly assigned to one of the two drugs available. This targeted use of ERT seems to reduce the risk of adverse outcomes related to Fabry(41). Use of supportive therapies such as aspirin, renin-angiotensin blockade and statins are also considered in ERT-treated subjects(41). In general, ERT was shown to reduce the GL-3 level in the blood and lessen gastro-intestinal symptoms(42). In some patients treated with ERT, renal function decline is slowed(43) and pain crisis is less severe(44). It is also proven that ERT may significantly improve cardiac disease associated with Fabry, lowering the risk of cardiac death(45); however, it does not seem to lower the risk of stroke(46). It also provides better patient outcomes by preventing serious complications like left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)(47) or by preserving pulmonary function if the treatment is initiated early(48). This confirms that ERT initiation at a younger age results in a better biochemical response, especially in men with classical Fabry(49). Two synthetic enzymes (ERT) are currently prescribed: agalsidase α (Replagal®; Shire Human Genetic Therapies, Inc. Cambridge, MA; 0.2 mg/kg per infusion) and agalsidase β (Fabrazyme®; Genzyme Corporation, Cambridge, MA, 1.0 mg/kg

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

body weight infused every 2 weeks as intravenous infusion). Generally, both drugs are considered equivalent, with no significant difference found in clinical events(50). Both aim to stabilize the patient's condition, if not improve it, knowing that the damages made on cells, organs and systems are mostly irreversible. However, a greater biochemical response and an improved ventricular mass was observed with agalsidase $\beta(51)$. An improved estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) is also associated with the use of agalsidase β after switching drug from agalsidase α formulation (52) A recent Cochrane report confirmed that agalsidase β may be associated to a lower incidence of cerebrovascular events than agalsidase $\alpha(53)$. Oral medication (chaperone) may be prescribed for a subgroup of patients affected by amenable mutations(54). Migalastat increases alpha-galactosidase-A activity, stabilizes related serum biomarkers, improves cardiac integrity(55), renal function, LVMi, plasma lyso-Gb3, and diarrhea symptoms, especially in susceptible classic Fabry patients(56). Other molecules are under development, like PRX-102 (PEGunigalsidase alfa), a novel PEGylated enzyme expressed in a plant production system(57), and are promising as future alternatives to injections(44). Impact on the quality of life There is a strong relationship between the severity of the symptoms and the patient's quality of life. During childhood, class attendance may be negatively affected(13). Adults may not be attentive to the nonspecific nature of the symptoms or may not believe the children's complaints about chronic pain, in the absence of external visible signs. This denial of the condition delays the diagnosis and may have a significant psychological impact, children being perceived as cheaters or Not surprisingly, Fabry patients are eventually more inclined to liars. depression(58), alcoholism and drug dependency. Some will even consider committing suicide during their adolescence (59). Higher severity of the symptoms, especially for men, may limit sports and social activities, often resulting in a sedentary lifestyle, low self-esteem and exclusion(60). This is made even more complicated by chronic fatique (misdiagnosed as depression), intolerance to physical activities and poor self-perception of health, particularly for women(61).

Employment opportunities become limited, making economic stress constant.

There are also multiple side effects associated with the treatment, that is demanding for the patient. Consequently, the positive outcomes from enzyme replacement therapy are weighted by the burden of the treatment. This is why it is not so obvious that the quality of life may be improved with ERT(62). With that in mind, it is important to reiterate the usefulness of slit lamp screening by eyecare practitioners, knowing that ocular manifestations related to Fabry occur early in life. Longevity and quality of life may be improved with a timely diagnosis of the

patient's condition(37).

OBJECTIVE

- This study aims to assess the longitudinal evolution of ocular manifestations in a
- 248 cohort of Fabry patients.

METHODS

This is a prospective observational study, conducted in adherence to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. It was approved by the Université de Montreal (UM) review board for experimentation on humans. A majority of participants (80%) were recruited after referral from the only metabolic center managing Fabry patients in the province of Quebec at that time (Hôpital Sacré-Coeur de Montréal). Other patients (20%) were referred from optometric practices in Montreal area. Written consent was obtained for all participants, at enrollment, after they had been fully informed of the goals and procedures of the longitudinal study. The only entrance criteria was to having been diagnosed with Fabry disease. All participants accepted to be seen every year from 2013 (baseline) up to 2017 (5 exams) for a

A complete description of the clinical procedures was described elsewhere(63). To summarize, a list of clinical testing, made at each annual visit, is described in Table 1. All the testing were done in order. Among these procedures, the following ones were defined as essential components of a standard Fabry ocular assessment: a

comprehensive eye assessment, subject to their health condition.

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

complete case history screening for signs and symptoms related to the disease, ocular anterior segment evaluation under slit lamp, with emphasis on the cornea and crystalline lens, evaluation of the visual field using double-frequency strategy (FDT), and ocular fundus assessment under pupil dilation. Pupil mydriasis was achieved after instillation of 1 drop of tropicamide 1% and 1 drop of phenylephrine 2.5% in each eye, subject to patient's contraindications. The threshold visual field (VF) was tested using frequency doubling technology (FDT). This is a screening strategy based on a flicker illusion that showed high sensitivity and specificity to detect ganglion cells abnormality (leading to glaucoma), but less so for other retinal diseases. In this study, results were classified as normal (no VF defect) or abnormal (VF defect in at least one quadrant). A defect was considered present when a tested point (area) showed a threshold sensitivity (in decibels) reduced by 5% compared to a normal database. (64) Results can be altered by the presence of corneal scars or crystalline lens clinically relevant opacities. Other testing listed in Table 1 may be considered optional in practice but necessary from a research perspective. One example is the adjunct testing which evaluated the biomechanical properties of the corneas with the use of an Ocular Response (ORA; Reichert Ophthalmic Instruments, Analyzer Depew, NY). The measurements were made by applying a force on the cornea, via a jet of air. Results are based on the ability of the cornea to regain its shape and translates the corneal tissue behaviour. Three parameters were evaluated in this study population: the Corneal Resistance Factor (CRF), the Corneal Hysteresis (CH) and the non-contact corneal-compensated Intra-Ocular Pressure (IOPcc). Optical aberrations can be defined as distortion in the image formed by an optical system. They can be divided in low-order aberrations, related to the refractive status of the eye, or in high-order aberrations (HOAs), above the previous ones. It is known that these HOAs vary with refractive status, pupil size, presence of corneal or lens opacities, etc(65). HOAs optical impact in the eye differs from one type to another one and is patient dependent(66). Spherical aberration, coma and

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

trefoil are the only ones of clinical interest. Subjects affected with higher refractive errors, and/or those with larger pupils are habitually showing higher levels of aberrations. HOAs presence in a Fabry's patient cohort was rarely assessed. In this study, a wavefront aberrometer (iTrace, Tracey Technologies, US) was used. It allows to measure the quality of vision and the visual function using a fundamental thin beam principle of optical ray tracing. This aberrometer sequentially projects 256 nearinfrared laser beams into the eye to measure forward aberrations, processing data point-by-point. Whenever a significant finding occurs, it is possible to identify which clinically relevant aberration is most prominent (coma, trefoil, secondary astigmatism or spherical aberrations). The threshold visual field (VF) was also tested using frequency doubling technology (FDT). This is a screening strategy based on a flicker illusion that showed high sensitivity and specificity to detect ganglion cells abnormality (leading to glaucoma), but less so for other retinal diseases. In this study, results were classified as normal (no VF defect) or abnormal (VF defect in at least one quadrant). A defect was considered present when a tested point (area) showed a threshold sensitivity (in decibels) reduced by 5% compared to a normal database. (64) Results can be altered by the presence of corneal scars or crystalline lens clinically relevant opacities. All the procedures listed were conducted by the same examiner (author), at the same location (UM clinic) for all participants. The same testing procedure was repeated periodically over five annual consultation and results were compared from baseline to the final visit results. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS Both eyes were considered separately because of the high variability found between them during clinical observations. All calculations were made by an experienced statistician from the UM's Statistics Department, using version 9.4 of the SAS software, with the 5% significance level.

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

Each measure was analyzed using a repeated-measure variance analysis with a mixed 4-factor model; these factors include intra-subject factors (time and eye) and inter-subject factors (group and mutation). For the sake of parsimony, given the number of participants and factors studied, the analyses were first run by incorporating all possible terms of interaction. When the triple (3 factors) and quadruple (4 factors) interactions were found to be insignificant, the analyses were redone keeping order 2 interactions. Where no interaction was significant in this last analysis, the latter was redone focusing only on the main effects. For significant interactions, post-hoc analysis were controlled for one factor at a time in order to identify the source of the interactions. For the categorical variables, the evolution of the initial time at 5 years was categorized according to 3 categories (deterioration, stability and improvement). The association between the evolution categories and the three groups was tested using the exact p-value of the chi-square test. All results were corrected for multiple comparisons. RESULTS The enrollment began in 2013, defined as baseline, and closed in February 2015. The study ended in March 2017 after the fifth annual exam. At baseline (2013), 41 subjects composed the study population. In 2017, 9 were lost for follow-up: 2 deceased, 2 moved out of the area and were not reachable, 2 voluntary redrawn, and 3 were not able to travel/be seen because of a poor health condition. Because of partial, unreliable or missing data (< 5 exams completed), 4 other files were not considered for analysis. Consequently, data presented in this report relates to 28 subjects with full dataset. Subjects are classified into 3 different groups for analysis. Group 1 is composed with hemizygotes (HMZ), all on enzyme replacement therapy ERT (N=10). Group 2 is composed with heterozygotes (HTZ) actively ERT-treated (N=8). Finally, Group 3 is composed with HTZ not treated with enzymes (N=10), because they did not met the criteria set by the CFDI committee. Among those on ERT,

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

agalsidase α and β was given to 8 and 10 subjects respectively at baseline. During the study period, against ase β became unavailable for approximatively 2 years and patients were switched over the other formulation. When the medication became again available, four subjects were switched back to their original formulation. At the conclusion of the study, 11/18 (6 HMZ, 5 HTZ) remained on agalsidase α . Analysis of the result is made on the evolution of several parameters, by comparing baseline results with the same ones assessed after 5 annual visits. It was not possible, for administrative reasons, to access to the medical file and to track down the exact time of exposure to each medication for every patient during that time. Statistical analysis will not consider the 2 products used differently. The most common mutation affecting subjects (11/28) was p.Ala348Pro, a rare missense one occurring at position c.1042G>C.(67) Other mutations, and their frequency, are listed in Table 2. For statistical analysis, those single mutations will be considered as a single group ("other mutations"). Age of the subjects are reported in Table 3. There is a statistical difference based on the gender, men being younger than females in general (F=6.049; p<0.05), but there is no gender* treatment effect (F=1.440; p>0.05). Ocular related parameters Refraction, pachymetry and ocular biomechanical parameters are reported in Table 4. Refraction For refraction, the three groups showed low myopia (spherical equivalent) at baseline. The right eye showed no difference based on groups (F=1.779; p>0.05) or mutation (F=1.718; p>0.05). Similar results were observed for the left eye, with no notable differences based on groups (F=1.773; p>0.05) or mutation (F=2.080; p>0.05). This parameter was not assessed every year, refractive error variation being not characteristic of the disease evolution over time.

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

Corneal Thickness Corneal thickness for the right eye was found similar among the 3 groups at baseline (F=1.363; p>0.05). There was no difference based on mutation (F=0.499; p>0.05). Similar findings applied for the left eye in which no difference among groups (F= 1.332; p>0.05) or based on mutation (F=0.385; p>0.05). These results are comparable to the average corneal thickness observed in a Caucasian population (550 um)(68). Biomechanical: Corneal hysteresis (CH) Corneal hysteresis was found highly variable among participants. It varied from a minimum of 10.3 (Group 2, OD and OS at 5 years) to a maximum of 11.8 (Group 1, OS, @ baseline). There was no significant difference among groups at baseline (OD: F=1.79; p>0.05; OS: F=0.4615; p>0.05), and the same finding applied at the end of the study (OD: F=1.41; p>0.05; OS F=7.91; p>0.05). These values were considered comparable to those measured on a non-Fabry population (NFP- 10.3) to 11.8 vs 9.8 mm Hg to 10.8 mmHg)(69). Biomechanical: Corneal resistance factor (CRF) In this study, CRF varied from 9.9 + 1.0 mm Hg to 12.2 + 3.9 mm Hg (Group 2 OD and Group 3 OS at baseline). There was a significant CRF difference among the groups at the beginning of the study, considering the right eye (F=4.12; p<0.05 OD; F=0.03; p>0.05 OS), Group 2 showing lower values vs Group 1 and Group 3. Also, this group (2) showed increased values more than Group 1 over time (p<0.05; 95%CI [-2.496, -0.145]), for the right eye again, but those results were not duplicated for the left eye (p>0.05; 95%CI [-1.412, 1.362]). There was also a time*eye*mutation effect (F=4.91; p<0.05), which implies that one eye (OD) of patients with the pAla348Pro mutation showed systematically higher values (stiffer corneas) if compared with those carrying other mutations, and that this difference was confirmed over time. Despite high inter-subject variability, average values

- reported here about Fabry patient's CRF were found similar to those of a non-
- 410 Fabry population (NFP=10.5 mmHg)(70, 71).
- 411 High Order Aberrations (HOA)
- 412 At baseline, total HOA varied from 0.116 ± 0.036 um (Group 1, OS) to 0.230 ±
- 413 0.094 um (Group 2, OD) with no significant difference among groups (OD F=0.396,
- p>0.05; OS F=0.138, p>0.05). The same findings are made at the end of the study
- 415 (OD F=0.326, p>0.05; OS F=0.025, p>0.05). There was no significant evolution
- 416 (increased or decreased values) over time (OD F=0.426, p>0.05; F=0.336,
- p>0.05). For reference, values of individual HOAs in the normal eye are randomly
- scattered around zero and the total RMS average value is 0.330 um(72). This
- cohort of patients showed lower values, then not generating visual symptoms.
- Higher HOAs can also be found in the presence of nuclear cataracts(73). In this
- study, based on the results found, anterior or posterior lens opacities were not
- developed enough to increase HOAs to a significant level.
- 423 Intra-ocular pressure (IOP)
- Intra-ocular pressure adjusted for corneal biomechanical parameters (IOPcc)
- varied from 13.4 + 2.6 mm Hg (Group 1 OS, at baseline) to 16.7 + 2.1 mm hg
- (Group 2 OD, after 5 years). There was no significant effect observed among the
- the 3 groups at baseline and after 5 annual visits (F=2.99; p>0.05 OD; F=2.78;
- 428 p>0.05 OS). In general, IOPcc remained stable over time (F=1.86; p>0.05 OD;
- F=0.46; p>0.05OS). IOPcc under 21mm Hg is not considered a risk factor to
- 430 develop ocular pathology, specifically glaucoma(74).
- 431 Threshold visual fields FDT
- Findings for each group are reported in Table 5. At baseline, 5/10 Group 1 subjects
- showed abnormal VF, which was also the case for 3/8 Group 2 subjects and 5/10
- Group 3 subjects. At the end of the study, there was almost no change in these
- findings, prevalence remaining the same: 5/10 in Group 1, 4/8 in Group 2 and 7/10
- in Group 3 showed abnormal VF findings. Visual fields defects remained stable for
- OD on 24/28 subjects and 27/28 for OS. For those who varied, the location and

intensity of the threshold defect differed from one exam to another. There was no 438 statistical difference in the evolution among the groups (χ 2=3.7048, p>0.05 OD: 439 χ 2=1.6027, p>0.05 OS). Obviously, a normal population would not show any 440 abnormal field defect. 441 442 OCULAR MANIFESTATIONS 443 Anterior segment ocular manifestation findings are summarized in Table 6. All 444 findings were graded according to the Fabry Outcome Survey(75) grading scale(19). 445 Conjunctival tortuosity 446 Bulbar conjunctival tortuosity was found in almost every subject at baseline 447 (24/28), except for 1/10 in Group 1, 2/8 in Group 2 and 1/10 in Group 3. At the end, 448 only one subject (Group 2) did not show this finding. On average, tortuosities were 449 450 evaluated as moderate, varying from grade 1.5 to 2.0 on a scale of 3 (FOS grading scale). Only Group 2 (HTZ-ERT) evolved in severity over time, with a progression 451 from 1.5 to 2.0, but this evolution was not considered statistically significant 452 (p>0.05; 95%CI [-0.136, 0.615] OD p>0.05 95%CI [-0.122, 0.651] OS), most likely 453 454 because of the low number of subjects. Other variations affecting Group 1 and 3 were not found significant as well (F=0.51; p>0.05 OD; F=0.91; p>0.05 OS); 455 456 however, there was a time*group*mutation effect (F=5.45; p<0.05) at baseline but not at the end. 457 Upper lid vessel tortuosity and microaneurysms 458 Upper lid vessel tortuosity is a new clinical finding that has been recently 459 identified(31). Again here, almost every subject showed this manifestation, with 460 461 the exception of one person in Group 3 at the beginning. The same finding applied after 5 annual visits. This makes this ocular manifestation the most prevalent one. 462 463 Subjects in Group 1 showed more severe vessel tortuosity associated with the presence of microaneurysms (grade 3 out of 4) at baseline (F=9.226; p=<0.05) 464 465 and at the end (F=3.419; p<0.05), in both eyes, compared to other groups. There

was no difference in the evolution in prevalence or severity among groups over

time as all remained stable from baseline (F=0.34; p>0.05 OD; F=2.31; p>0.05 467 OS). 468 Conjunctival Microaneurysms 469 Microaneurysms (MA) were detected mainly in the bulbar conjunctiva, but also in 470 471 the inner canthus, the lower palpebral conjunctiva, near the lid margin and on the superior external eyelid as well (see 5.2.2.). At baseline, 8/10 subjects of Group 1 472 473 showed at least one MA in at least one eye, which was the case for 3/8 subjects in Group 2 and 1/10 in Group 3. This difference was found to be significant (F=3.88; 474 p<0.05 OD; F=3.761; p<0.05 OS), especially considering OD between Groups 1 475 and 3 (p<0.05; 95%CI [0.14, 0.91] OD); p<0.05; 95%CI [-0.02, 0.85] OS); and at a 476 477 lesser extent between Groups 2 and 3 (p<0.05; 95%CI [-0.95, -0.14] OD); p<0.05; 95%CI [-0.82, 0.06]OS). At the end, Group 1 remained stable with 9/10 subjects 478 affected, which contrasts with a marked evolution in prevalence for Group 2 (6/8) 479 and Group 3 (7/10). This evolution was found to be significant for the right eye 480 481 $(\chi 2=7.5616, p<0.05)$ but not for the left eye $(\chi 2=1.8667; p>0.05)$, confirming the high intra-subject variability between both eyes. 482 Once a MA was found in the bulbar conjunctiva, it was usually found also on the 483 484 superior external eyelid. This association is present in Group 1 at baseline (5/10) increasing in prevalence with time (7/10), a similar situation occurring at a lesser 485 extent in Group 2 (4/8 at baseline and 5/8 after 5 years). There was almost no 486 association found in Group 3 at baseline (0/10), but this group became more 487 488 aligned with others at the end of the study, with a higher rate (4/10). This difference among groups was found to be significant (F=3.492; p<0.05 OD; F=4.846; p<0.05 489 490 OS); however, the evolution in the numbers of positive association over time was considered the same among the groups (χ 2= 2.3407; p>0.05 OD; χ 2= 6.7183; 491 492 p>0.05 OS). Cornea verticillata and haze 493 Corneal verticillata is certainly considered an important hallmark of ocular 494 manifestations related to Fabry. Corneal pigmentation was found in 10/10 Group 495

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

1 subjects at baseline, and at the end. Similar data was observed in Group 3 (9/10) at baseline and after 5 years). In Group 2, subjects slightly evolved in prevalence from 5/8 to 6/8. As reported in Table 6, Group 1 showed more severe verticillate grading than the other groups at baseline, but this was not considered a significant difference (F= 0.562, p>0.05 OD; F=0.633, p>0.05 OS). This was still the case at the end of the study. All groups in this study evolved in intensity by 0.5 deg (out of 4) over 5 years. which was considered a significant progression if compared to baseline (F=92.153, p<0.05 OD; F=5.817, p<0.05 OS). Verticillata can be accompanied by corneal haze, which is described as a loss of corneal transparency spreading habituall along the vertical meridian. At baseline, 7/10 subjects in Group 1 showed low level (Grade 1 to 2) of corneal haze, much like 4/8 subjects in Group 2. For Group 3, 8/10 subjects were identified with moderate corneal haze (grade 2 to 3) on average. At the end, all Group 1 subjects displayed corneal haze. Groups 2 and 3 remained stable with 5/8 and 8/10 subjects affected respectively. Overall, groups were found to be statistically similar at baseline (F=0.745; p>0.05, OD; F=1.670; p>0.05 OS). Their evolution varied over time, at least in terms of OS (F=0.08; p>0.05 OD; F=4.14; p< 0.05 OS). More specifically, there was a significant difference in the evolution in prevalence of Group 1 vs Group 2 (p<0.05; 95%Cl [0.0624, 1.1207] OS), male patients treated with ERT (HMZ – ERT) showed more severe manifestations with time than female ERT treatments. It is then find patients not surprisina time*eve*group*mutation effect (F=3.48; p<0.05). Cataracts Fabry's cataracts can develop in the anterior portion of the crystalline lens, or can be seen as a sub-cortical posterior lens opacity(37). At baseline, anterior cataract was seen in 5/10 subjects of Group 1, 2/8 of Group 2, and 4/10 of Group 3. Subjects were considered as showing light to moderate opacities (grade 0.5 to 1). This condition remained stable over time except for Group 2, showing a higher prevalence with 4/8 subjects affected at the end of the study. This represents twice

the frequency of HTZ-ERT subjects, from baseline, especially marked on the right

526

eve with a significant statistical difference (χ 2= 5.3375; p<0.05 OD; χ 2= 2.9120; 527 p>0.05 OS). 528 Posterior sub-capsular cataract was identifiable, at baseline, on 2/10 subjects of 529 530 Group 1, 2/8 of Group 2, and 3/10 of Group 3. Grading reveals very early cataract onset. There was no significant difference among the groups (F=0.510; p>0.05 531 OD; F=0.938; p>0.05 OS). ERT-managed subjects (Group 1 and Group 2) evolved 532 significantly in prevalence over time, ending the study with a doubled number of 533 affected subjects (Group 1: 5/10; Group 2: 4/10). Group 3, females untreated, did 534 535 not evolve significantly in prevalence, with 4/10 subjects with lens opacification at the end of the study. Severity increased with time, especially for Group 1 536 537 individuals where 2 patients were referred for cataract surgery, second to disturbing light sensitivity. None of the other groups underwent surgery; however, 538 539 most of the patients affected with some degree of sub-capsular posterior opacification reported symptoms of high light sensitivity, making it difficult for them 540 541 to function in bright light conditions without wearing sunglasses or a hat to generate 542 more shade. Retinal vessel tortuosity 543 544 Blood vessel tortuosity can be seen in arterioles and veinules. If present, such modifications are graded from 0 (none) to 3 (severe), based on FOS scale(19). 545 Results can be found in Table 7. There were differences among the groups. At 546 547 baseline, 9/10 subjects showed vessel tortuosity in Group 1, compared to 5/8 in 548 Group 2 and 4/10 in Group 3. The difference was found to be statistically significant (F=3.781; p=0.0481 OD; F=4.634; p=0.0401 OS). More specifically, HTZ-ERT 549 550 subjects seemed to be more affected (prevalence) than HTZ-Non ERT subjects 551 (p=0.0438; 95%CI [-1.97, -0.41]). There was no significant evolution in prevalence and severity of the condition over study time. 552 553 It is interesting to note that blood vessels silver wiring was noticed in 2 patients 554 (HMZ- advanced cases in severity), an occurrence that was not reported before.

DISCUSSION

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

This study tried to establish the prevalence and the natural course in intensity of ocular manifestations, over 5 annual visits, in Fabry patients. Prevalence of the ocular manifestations found in this study (see Table 8) can be easily compared to the results from a review published earlier(30). All results are in keeping with known prevalence, although variations may occur with different demographic features, subject genotypes, technology used to evaluate clinical manifestations and the subjectivity of the observers. Based on this study results, it is possible to identify the stage of the disease evolution, as another factor to consider. A patient on ERT-treatment is expected to show more signs, with increased severity. Consequently, it is not possible to exclude totally the age effect on the results presented here, all 3 groups being considered older Fabry patients. Another cohort, composed of younger Fabry patients, would show a different clinical picture. One important finding coming from the analysis of our data (Table 8) is the fact that ERT does not seem to halt the clinical evolution of several ocular manifestations as it does influence positively body's functions. We did see an increased prevalence in MA (+35%), Corneal Haze (+14%), especially for females treated, anterior cataract (+26%) for males treated; and finally posterior cataracts (+22%), in treated patients overall. Evolution in the prevalence of these signs over the study time was confirmed, despite the regular use of enzyme therapy. Some would argue that switching drugs during the study may have influenced these results. This was not the case for cardiac function. (76) Looking specifically at the same population studied here, it was reported that switching from alphagalbeta to alfa form, both in standard dose, was associated with a transient increase in clinical events for 6 months but thereafter the clinical event rate returned to baseline for the next 3 years(77). Consequently, it is not likely that the switch of enzyme had influenced the evolution of ocular manifestations observed here. This study confirmed that cornea verticillata (photo 1) represents a proeminent hallmark feature of Fabry disease, being present in almost every subject (89.2%).

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

Those not showing verticillata were all heterozygotes. Two of them were family related, aged 16 and 48, and affected by p.Arg332Aspfs*16 mutation. Another one (58 y.o.) was showing p.Val254del mutation. None of them were treated (ERT) and their condition was considered less severe, associated with no systemic symptoms. Cornea verticillata, already well developed at baseline in other patients, did not evolve in intensity over time. For not treated females, corneal signs remained stable over time, as their systemic condition. Corneal haze (photo 2 and 3) is another remarkable feature of Fabry. In this study, some corneas showed haze as the prominent corneal sign over the verticillata. In general, this finding was found in 68% of the patients at baseline, evolving in prevalence to 82% at the study completion. Looking in details, Group 2 (HTZ-ERT) evolve more in prevalence than the others. Putting this in perspective, Group 1 was already advanced, and this confirms that female patients under treatment seemed to tend matching with time the clinical findings of males under treatment. Pigment accumulation and corneal haze did not affect the level of high-order aberrations nor the biomechanical properties of the cornea. Corneal hysteresis represents the energy absorption during the stress applied on the cornea and is lowered when the cornea is softer or altered by a dystrophy or a structural disease(70). Lower CH values are associated with a higher risk to develop ocular pathology like glaucoma(78). This parameter was never assessed in a Fabry population before. As a reference, CH values measured in a normal cornea population varies from 10.2 to 11.1 mm, depending on the gender, ethnical origin and intra-ocular pressure(79). The study Fabry population showed very similar results. Variations observed may be attributed to ageing instead of being related to the metabolic disorder. It is then possible to conclude that substrate accumulation within the cornea does not modify its biomechanical property. To the same extent, the corneal resistance factor (CRF) represents the corneal elastic property and is related to the corneal thickness(80). In this study, the CRF varied from 9.9 to 12.2 mm Hg, similar to a non-Fabry Caucasian population, as already mentioned. Again here, variations found are explainable by ageing process

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

instead of being linked with the metabolic disorder itself or any change to the corneal structure second to substrate accumulation. The presence of micro-aneurysm evolved in prevalence over time. It may suggest a deterioration of the patient systemic condition, more specifically an increased level of substrate accumulation within the blood vessel walls. Usually found in the lower bulbar conjunctiva, MA, in this study, was also found in the inner canthus fornix (see photo 4), at the lid margin (see photo 5), on the external inferior eyelid or superiorly. The variation of the location confirms the fact that ocular manifestation occurs where the substrate accumulates, which is not predictable. Upper lid vessels tortuosity (see photo 6) was also highly prevalent (96.4%). This is not surprising that the small vessels of the upper lid are showing abnormalities, as they are very similar in structure to those of the bulbar conjunctiva where tortuosities are well documented. Similarity between these 2 areas extend also to the presence of micro-aneurysms on both locations especially among patients ERT-treated. This means that if at least one micro-aneurysm is found either on the bulbar conjunctiva, the inner canthus or the lid margin, it is very likely to find one micro-aneurysm along the vessels or in the area of the external upper lid. The strong association between the occurrence of MA on both locations may be used, in the future, as one of the potential validation element for Fabry diagnosis. It may be surprising that posterior cataract did evolve in prevalence, while anterior cataracts did not change significantly. First of all, posterior cataracts are more prevalent than the anterior one, occurring in up to 70% of the male patients (37), and are known as "classic" Fabry cataracts. Anterior opacities are described as "propeller" and are less frequently seen, depending on where the substrate will accumulate within the body(21). Light sensitivity may be very disturbing and contribute to significantly reduce performance at work or when driving. Other Fabry patients may report visual symptoms including eye dryness, blurry vision, difficulty in seeing under dim or reduced illumination, and soreness/tiredness(75). These symptoms, including light sensitivity, may all be related to lens opacities and should be assessed at every visit. Whenever present, they may prompt cataract surgery

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

on highly symptomatic patients. Evolution of lens opacities may be caused by increased disease severity or simply with ageing. This study was not powered enough to be able to make specific analysis, in that regard, but we may suspect that chances are higher to develop disturbing symptoms as the patient's condition becomes more severe and the patient is getting older. Retinal vessel tortuosity (see photo 7) brings another evidence that ERT subjects are more affected than non-ERT subjects. There was a significant difference in prevalence and intensity among the groups, at baseline, which did not vary over time. It is interesting to report that, in 2 individuals (HMZ-ERT) retinal arteries displayed sliver wiring (see photo 8), which was never reported before for Fabry patients. This rare phenomenon is described as a thickening of walls of retinal arterioles leading to the loss of vessels visibility and reflection. This ocular manifestation is habitually related to abnormal systemic blood hypertension but we cannot exclude that, in the case of Fabry, thickening of the walls may be related to high substrate accumulation as a second contributor mechanism. Both subjects were highly symptomatic for Fabry, including a severe cardiac condition, and one died during the course of the study from a cardiac failure. Visual field defects are manifested as a loss of sensitivity in a point or an area. Approximately 50% of the study population showed such a variation during the study; however, surprisingly, the area where the defect was located at baseline, moved during the following years in a few cases. In order to understand visual field findings, it is important to remember that FDT technology targets magnocellular cells, which are the first ones to be affected by a change in the optic nerve perfusion, which may be altered (81) in the case of Fabry patients, , second to GL-3 deposits causing dilatation and instability of the vascular lumen in Fabry patients (21). This result about visual fields cannot be compared to previous findings, where 37% of the patients showed defects manifested as an enlarged blind spot(27). In that case, the Goldman perimetry was used, which implies a seen to unseen strategy for any target presented in the field. Lens opacities (cataracts) may play a role, altering light perception and decreasing sensitivity. However, only 2 patients

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

in this study developed cataracts significant enough to require surgery. Consequently, their influence on the overall results may be considered marginal. Future studies will be needed to confirm these hypotheses. As a final note, it is important to indicate that, in general, one eye evolved more in prevalence or in intensity of the manifestations, than the fellow eye. This confirms the high asymmetry between the clinical picture found is a vast majority of Fabry patients. If there is one rule about Fabry ocular manifestations, coming from this study, it is that what is found on one eye never mirrors what is seen on the other one. This study may be limited by the number of drop-outs, expected by the nature of the disease and its evolution over time. However, most of these drop-outs were considered more severe cases. As we saw, the ocular manifestations are more numerous and more intense on such patients. Consequently, our results could have been modified if those subjects would had been able to remain involved and included in the final analysis. To the same extent, the recruitment of a younger population would have modified the findings, assuming that younger patients may be considered less advanced cases, and consequently, showing reduced ocular manifestations in prevalence and intensity. A second bias is the fact that all males recruited for the study were under treatment. In a perfect world, a group of non-treated hemizygotes would had been followed and compared to treated counterparts, as we were able to do for females. With the same rationale, establishing a correlation between ocular manifestations evolution and other outcomes i.e., kidney, heart, biomarkers that have been reported to respond to ERTs would had been interesting. This study was not designed for this purpose and limited access to the complete file of every patients, for administrative and legal reasons, did not allow to proceed. A third element to consider is the time of follow-up. For renal outcomes, the CFDI reported a difference after 10 years but not after 5 years(41). Limited funding and drop-out rate over the years reduced the possibility of extending this study.

A fourth bias is the fact that images and clinical data were acquired and analyzed by the same individual. In a perfect scenario, images are taken by one individual and analyzed by a masked observer. Another possibility is to rely on 2 readers to grade clinical findings and to average their score. This was not possible, considering the longitudinal nature of the study and the resources available. Because grading was based on a validated scale (FOS), and because the investigator who analyzed the data was trained to read clinical images, results can be considered as valid.

A fifth element is that, obviously, the results and the conclusion driven from this study can be applied only to a similar population of patients. Extrapolation to other Fabry's patient cohorts, different in ethnical origin or disease severity, may seems to be logical, but may be hazardous. However, author is confident that this study provides a new understanding on ERT outcome on ocular manifestations and sheds a new lightning on the natural evolution of them over time.

CONCLUSION

It was possible to establish that five of the known ocular clinical signs related to Fabry did significantly evolve in prevalence and/or in intensity over 5 annual visits, despite enzyme replacement treatment. Micro-aneurysms (+82%) was the clinical manifestation that evolved the most in prevalence, followed by classic (posterior) Fabry's cataract (+75%) corneal haze (+21%) and propeller (anterior) cataract (+17%). Three other manifestations remain stable over time. At the end, ocular manifestations of ERT-Heterozygotes were comparable with treated hemizygotes (HMZ). Longer observational time may be required to fully confirm these findings.

Acknoledgements

- Dr Daniel Bichet M.D. UM professor metabolic disorder clinic Hopital Sacre
- 731 Cœur.

Disclosures Funding for this Investigator Sponsored Study was provided by Sanofi-Genzyme Canada Author received speaker fees from Shire for other topics (dry eye).

REFERENCES

755

- 757 Fuller M, Meikle PJ, Hopwood JJ. Epidemiology of lysosomal storage diseases: an 758 overview. In: Mehta A, Beck M, Sunder-Plassmann G, editors. Fabry Disease: Perspectives from 5 759 Years of FOS. Oxford: Oxford PharmaGenesis; 2006.
- 760 2. Zarate YA, Hopkin RJ. Fabry's disease. Lancet. 2008;372(9647):1427-35.
- Chan B, Adam DN. A Review of Fabry Disease. Skin Therapy Lett. 2018;23(2):4-6. 761 3.
- 762 Vedder AC, Linthorst GE, van Breemen MJ, Groener JE, Bemelman FJ, Strijland A, et al. The 4. Dutch Fabry cohort: diversity of clinical manifestations and Gb3 levels. J Inherit Metab Dis.
- 763 2007;30(1):68-78. 764
- 765 MacDermot KD, Holmes A, Miners AH. Anderson-Fabry disease: clinical manifestations 766 and impact of disease in a cohort of 98 hemizygous males. J Med Genet. 2001;38(11):750-60.
- 767 Pitz S, Kalkum G, Arash L, Karabul N, Sodi A, Larroque S, et al. Ocular signs correlate well 6. 768 with disease severity and genotype in Fabry disease. PLoS One. 2015;10(3):e0120814.
- 769 MacDermot KD, Holmes A, Miners AH. Anderson-Fabry disease: clinical manifestations 7. 770 and impact of disease in a cohort of 60 obligate carrier females. J Med Genet. 2001;38(11):769-771 75.
- 772 8. Wang RY, Lelis A, Mirocha J, Wilcox WR. Heterozygous Fabry women are not just carriers, 773 but have a significant burden of disease and impaired quality of life. Genet Med. 2007;9(1):34-45.
- 774 Miller-Keane. Encyclopedia and Dictionary of Medicine, Nursing, and Allied Health, 9. 775 Seventh Edition. 2003.
- 776 Ortiz A, Germain DP, Desnick RJ, Politei J, Mauer M, Burlina A, et al. Fabry disease 777 revisited: Management and treatment recommendations for adult patients. Mol Genet Metab.
- 778 2018;123(4):416-27.
- 779 Vedder AC, Strijland A, vd Bergh Weerman MA, Florquin S, Aerts JM, Hollak CE.
- 780 Manifestations of Fabry disease in placental tissue. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2006;29(1):106-11.
- 781 12. El-Abassi R, Singhal D, England JD. Fabry's disease. J Neurol Sci. 2014;344(1-2):5-19.
- 782 13. Ries M, Gupta S, Moore DF, Sachdev V, Quirk JM, Murray GJ, et al. Pediatric Fabry disease.
- 783 Pediatrics. 2005;115(3):e344-55.
- 784 14. Ramaswami U, Parini R, Pintos-Morell G. Natural history and effects of enzyme
- 785 replacement therapy in children and adolescents with Fabry disease. In: Mehta A, Beck M, Sunder-
- 786 Plassmann G, editors. Fabry Disease: Perspectives from 5 Years of FOS. Oxford: Oxford 787 PharmaGenesis; 2006.
- 788 Hopkin RJ, Bissler J, Banikazemi M, Clarke L, Eng CM, Germain DP, et al. Characterization 789 of Fabry disease in 352 pediatric patients in the Fabry Registry. Pediatr Res. 2008;64(5):550-5.
- 790
- Foda MM, Mahmood K, Rasuli P, Dunlap H, Kiruluta G, Schillinger JF. High-flow priapism 791 associated with Fabry's disease in a child: a case report and review of the literature. Urology.
- 792 1996;48(6):949-52.
- 793 17. Orteu CH, Jansen T, Lidove O, Jaussaud R, Hughes DA, Pintos-Morell G, et al. Fabry disease 794 and the skin: data from FOS, the Fabry outcome survey. Br J Dermatol. 2007;157(2):331-7.
- 795 Hegemann S, Hajioff D, Conti G, Beck M, Sunder-Plassmann G, Widmer U, et al. Hearing 18.
- 796 loss in Fabry disease: data from the Fabry Outcome Survey. Eur J Clin Invest. 2006;36(9):654-62.
- 797 Mehta A, Ricci R, Widmer U, Dehout F, Garcia de Lorenzo A, Kampmann C, et al. Fabry
- 798 disease defined: baseline clinical manifestations of 366 patients in the Fabry Outcome Survey. Eur
- 799 J Clin Invest. 2004;34(3):236-42.

- 800 20. Tsutsumi A, Uchida Y, Kanai T, Tsutsumi O, Satoh K, Sakamoto S. Corneal findings in a
- foetus with Fabry's disease. Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh). 1984;62(6):923-31.
- 802 21. Sher NA, Letson RD, Desnick RJ. The ocular manifestations in Fabry's disease. Arch
- 803 Ophthalmol. 1979;97(4):671-6.
- 804 22. Mastropasqua L, Nubile M, Lanzini M, Carpineto P, Toto L, Ciancaglini M. Corneal and
- 805 conjunctival manifestations in Fabry disease: in vivo confocal microscopy study. Am J Ophthalmol.
- 806 2006;141(4):709-18.
- 807 23. Nguyen TT, Gin T, Nicholls K, Low M, Galanos J, Crawford A. Ophthalmological
- 808 manifestations of Fabry disease: a survey of patients at the Royal Melbourne Fabry Disease
- Treatment Centre. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2005;33(2):164-8.
- 810 24. Kalkum G, Pitz S, Karabul N, Beck M, Pintos-Morell G, Parini R, et al. Paediatric Fabry
- 811 disease: prognostic significance of ocular changes for disease severity. BMC Ophthalmol.
- 812 2016;16(1):202.
- 813 25. Bartlett JD. Systemic Drugs affecting the Eye. In: Bartlett JD, editor. Ophthalmic Drugs
- Facts. 21st ed. St-Louis, MO: Wolters Kluwer Health; 2010. p. 335-42.
- 815 26. Sodi A, Ioannidis A, Pitz S. Ophthalmological manifestations of Fabry Disease. In: Mehta
- A, Beck M, Sunder-Plassman G, editors. Fabry Disease Perspective from 5 years of FOS. Oxford,
- 817 UK: Oxford PharmaGenesis Ltd.; 2006. p. 249-61.
- 818 27. Orssaud C, Dufier J, Germain D. Ocular manifestations in Fabry disease: a survey of 32
- hemizygous male patients. Ophthalmic Genet. 2003;24(3):129-39.
- 820 28. Arbisser Al, Murphree AL, Garcia CA, Howell RR. Ocular findings in mannosidosis. Am J
- 821 Ophthalmol. 1976;82(3):465-71.
- 822 29. Riegel EM, Pokorny KS, Friedman AH, Suhan J, Ritch RH, Desnick RJ. Ocular pathology of
- 823 Fabry's disease in a hemizygous male following renal transplantation. Surv Ophthalmol.
- 824 1982;26(5):247-52.
- 825 30. Sodi A, Ioannidis AS, Mehta A, Davey C, Beck M, Pitz S. Ocular manifestations of Fabry's
- disease: data from the Fabry Outcome Survey. Br J Ophthalmol. 2007;91(2):210-4.
- 827 31. Michaud L. Vascular tortuosities of the upper eyelid: a new clinical finding in fabry patient
- 828 screening. J Ophthalmol. 2013;2013:207573.
- 829 32. Sher NA, Reiff W, Letson RD, Desnick RJ. Central retinal artery occlusion complicating
- 830 Fabry's disease. Arch Ophthalmol. 1978;96(5):815-7.
- 831 33. Sodi A, Bini A, Mignani R, Minuti B, Menchini U. Subfoveal choroidal neovascularization in
- a patient with Fabry's disease. Int Ophthalmol. 2009;29(5):435-7.
- 833 34. Snodgrass MB. Ocular findings in a case of fucosidosis. Br J Ophthalmol. 1976;60(7):508-
- 834 11.
- 835 35. O'Brien J. Generalized gangliosidosis. J Pediatr. 1969;75(2):167-86.
- 836 36. Pitz S, Grube-Einwald K, Renieri G, Reinke J. Subclinical optic neuropathy in Fabry disease.
- 837 Ophthalmic Genet. 2009;30(4):165-71.
- 838 37. Sivley MD. Fabry disease: a review of ophthalmic and systemic manifestations. Optom Vis
- 839 Sci. 2013;90(2):e63-78.
- 840 38. Sivley MD, Wallace EL, Warnock DG, Benjamin WJ. Conjunctival lymphangiectasia
- associated with classic Fabry disease. Br J Ophthalmol. 2018;102(1):54-8.
- 842 39. Schiffmann R, Ries M. Fabry Disease: A Disorder of Childhood Onset. Pediatr Neurol.
- 843 2016;64:10-20.
- 844 40. Sirrs S, Clarke JT, Bichet DG, Casey R, Lemoine K, Flowerdew G, et al. Baseline
- characteristics of patients enrolled in the Canadian Fabry Disease Initiative. Mol Genet Metab.
- 846 2010;99(4):367-73.

- 847 41. Sirrs SM, Bichet DG, Casey R, Clarke JT, Lemoine K, Doucette S, et al. Outcomes of patients
- treated through the Canadian Fabry disease initiative. Mol Genet Metab. 2014;111(4):499-506.
- 849 42. Spada M, Baron R, Elliott PM, Falissard B, Hilz MJ, Monserrat L, et al. The effect of enzyme
- replacement therapy on clinical outcomes in paediatric patients with Fabry disease A systematic
- literature review by a European panel of experts. Mol Genet Metab. 2018.
- 852 43. Banikazemi M, Bultas J, Waldek S, Wilcox WR, Whitley CB, McDonald M, et al. Agalsidase-
- beta therapy for advanced Fabry disease: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2007;146(2):77-86.
- 854 44. Schiffmann R, Floeter MK, Dambrosia JM, Gupta S, Moore DF, Sharabi Y, et al. Enzyme
- replacement therapy improves peripheral nerve and sweat function in Fabry disease. Muscle
- 856 Nerve. 2003;28(6):703-10.
- 857 45. Rombach SM, Smid BE, Linthorst GE, Dijkgraaf MG, Hollak CE. Natural course of Fabry
- 858 disease and the effectiveness of enzyme replacement therapy: a systematic review and meta-
- analysis: effectiveness of ERT in different disease stages. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2014;37(3):341-52.
- 860 46. Buechner S, Moretti M, Burlina AP, Cei G, Manara R, Ricci R, et al. Central nervous system
- 861 involvement in Anderson-Fabry disease: a clinical and MRI retrospective study. J Neurol
- 862 Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2008;79(11):1249-54.
- 47. Hongo K, Ito K, Date T, Anan I, Inoue Y, Morimoto S, et al. The beneficial effects of long-
- term enzyme replacement therapy on cardiac involvement in Japanese Fabry patients. Mol Genet
- 865 Metab. 2018;124(2):143-51.
- 48. Franzen D, Haile SR, Kasper DC, Mechtler TP, Flammer AJ, Krayenbuhl PA, et al. Pulmonary
- involvement in Fabry disease: effect of plasma globotriaosylsphingosine and time to initiation of
- enzyme replacement therapy. BMJ Open Respir Res. 2018;5(1):e000277.
- 869 49. Arends M, Wijburg FA, Wanner C, Vaz FM, van Kuilenburg ABP, Hughes DA, et al.
- 870 Favourable effect of early versus late start of enzyme replacement therapy on plasma
- 871 globotriaosylsphingosine levels in men with classical Fabry disease. Mol Genet Metab.
- 872 2017;121(2):157-61.
- 50. SSIEM 2016 Annual Symposium Abstracts : Rome, Italy, September 2016. J Inherit Metab
- 874 Dis. 2016;39 Suppl 1:35-284.
- 875 51. Arends M, Biegstraaten M, Wanner C, Sirrs S, Mehta A, Elliott PM, et al. Agalsidase alfa
- 876 versus agalsidase beta for the treatment of Fabry disease: an international cohort study. J Med
- 877 Genet. 2018;55(5):351-8.
- 878 52. Kramer J, Lenders M, Canaan-Kuhl S, Nordbeck P, Uceyler N, Blaschke D, et al. Fabry
- 879 disease under enzyme replacement therapy-new insights in efficacy of different dosages.
- Nephrology, dialysis, transplantation: official publication of the European Dialysis and Transplant
- Association European Renal Association. 2018;33(8):1362-72.
- 882 53. El Dib R, Gomaa H, Ortiz A, Politei J, Kapoor A, Barreto F. Enzyme replacement therapy for
- 883 Anderson-Fabry disease: A complementary overview of a Cochrane publication through a linear
- 884 regression and a pooled analysis of proportions from cohort studies. PLoS One.
- 885 2017;12(3):e0173358.
- 886 54. Lopez Rodriguez M. Treatment in Fabry disease. Rev Clin Esp. 2018.
- 887 55. Muntze J, Gensler D, Maniuc O, Liu D, Cairns T, Oder D, et al. Oral chaperone therapy
- migalastat for treating Fabry disease: enzymatic response and serum biomarker changes after one
- year. Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics. 2018.
- 890 56. Germain D, Giugliani R, Bichet DG, Wilcox WR, al e. Efficacy of migalastat in a cohort of
- male patients with the classical form
- of Fabry disease in a phase 3 study. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2016;39:S219.

- 893 57. Schiffmann R, Huges D, Giraldo P, Gonzalez D, al. e. Novel treatment for Fabry disease—
- 894 IV administration of plant derived
- alpha-gal-a enzyme safety and efficacy, 1 year experience. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2016;39:S51.
- 896 58. Cole AL, Lee PJ, Hughes DA, Deegan PB, Waldek S, Lachmann RH. Depression in adults with
- Fabry disease: a common and under-diagnosed problem. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2007;30(6):943-51.
- 898 59. Desnick RJ, Brady RO. Fabry disease in childhood. J Pediatr. 2004;144(5 Suppl):S20-6.
- 899 60. Gold KF, Pastores GM, Botteman MF, Yeh JM, Sweeney S, Aliski W, et al. Quality of life of
- patients with Fabry disease. Qual Life Res. 2002;11(4):317-27.
- 901 61. Street NJ, Yi MS, Bailey LA, Hopkin RJ. Comparison of health-related quality of life between
- heterozygous women with Fabry disease, a healthy control population, and patients with other
- 903 chronic disease. Genet Med. 2006;8(6):346-53.
- 904 62. Arends M, Korver S, Hughes DA, Mehta A, Hollak CEM, Biegstraaten M. Phenotype,
- disease severity and pain are major determinants of quality of life in Fabry disease: results from a
- 906 large multicenter cohort study. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2018;41(1):141-9.
- 907 63. Michaud L, Aurey-Brais C. Improved ways to screen for patients with Fabry disease,
- 908 involving optometry in a multidisciplinary approach. Can J Optom 2012;74(4):25-32.
- 909 64. Landers J, Sharma A, Goldberg I, Graham S. A comparison of perimetric results with the
- 910 Medmont and Humphrey perimeters. Br J Ophthalmol. 2003;87(6):690-4.
- 911 65. Hartwig A, Atchison DA. Analysis of higher-order aberrations in a large clinical population.
- 912 Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53(12):7862-70.
- 913 66. Lombardo M, Lombardo G. Wave aberration of human eyes and new descriptors of image
- 914 optical quality and visual performance. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2010;36(2):313-31.
- 915 67. Auer-Grumbach M, Toegel S, Schabhuttl M, Weinmann D, Chiari C, Bennett DLH, et al.
- 916 Rare Variants in MME, Encoding Metalloprotease Neprilysin, Are Linked to Late-Onset Autosomal-
- 917 Dominant Axonal Polyneuropathies. American journal of human genetics. 2016;99(3):607-23.
- 918 68. Nemesure B, Wu SY, Hennis A, Leske MC. Corneal thickness and intraocular pressure in
- 919 the Barbados eye studies. Arch Ophthalmol. 2003;121(2):240-4.
- 920 69. Ayala M. Corneal hysteresis in normal subjects and in patients with primary open-angle
- 921 glaucoma and pseudoexfoliation glaucoma. Ophthalmic research. 2011;46(4):187-91.
- 922 70. Ortiz D, Pinero D, Shabayek MH, Arnalich-Montiel F, Alio JL. Corneal biomechanical
- 923 properties in normal, post-laser in situ keratomileusis, and keratoconic eyes. J Cataract Refract
- 924 Surg. 2007;33(8):1371-5.
- 925 71. Plakitsi A, O'Donnell C, Miranda MA, Charman WN, Radhakrishnan H. Corneal
- 926 biomechanical properties measured with the Ocular Response Analyser in a myopic population.
- 927 Ophthalmic Physiol Opt. 2011;31(4):404-12.
- 928 72. Salmon TO, van de Pol C. Normal-eye Zernike coefficients and root-mean-square
- 929 wavefront errors. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2006;32(12):2064-74.
- 930 73. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Jafarzadehpur E, Yekta A, Emamian MH, Shariati M, et al.
- 931 Higher order aberrations in a normal adult population. J Curr Ophthalmol. 2015;27(3-4):115-24.
- 932 74. Canadian Ophthalmological Society evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for the
- management of glaucoma in the adult eye. Can J Ophthalmol. 2009;44 Suppl 1:S7-93.
- 934 75. Romero-Rangel T, Stavrou P, Cotter J, Rosenthal P, Baltatzis S, Foster CS. Gas-permeable
- 935 scleral contact lens therapy in ocular surface disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2000;130(1):25-32.
- 936 76. Ripeau D, Amartino H, Cedrolla M, Urtiaga L, Urdaneta B, Cano M, et al. Switch from
- 937 agalsidase beta to agalsidase alfa in the enzyme replacement therapy of patients with Fabry
- 938 disease in Latin America. Medicina. 2017;77(3):173-9.

- 939 77. Morel C, Bichet DG, Casey R, al e. Switch of enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) in the
- 940 Canadian Fabry Disease Initiative Study (CFDI): Intermediate follow-up at 3 and a half years. J
- 941 Inherit Metab Dis. 2016;39:S214.
- 942 78. Abitbol O, Bouden J, Doan S, Hoang-Xuan T, Gatinel D. Corneal hysteresis measured with
- 943 the Ocular Response Analyzer in normal and glaucomatous eyes. Acta Ophthalmol.
- 944 2010;88(1):116-9.

952

953

954

955

956

957

969

970

- 945 79. Al-Arfaj K, Yassin SA, Al-Dairi W, Al-Shamlan F, Al-Jindan M. Corneal biomechanics in
- 946 normal Saudi individuals. Saudi J Ophthalmol. 2016;30(3):180-4.
- 947 80. Fontes BM, Ambrosio R, Jr., Jardim D, Velarde GC, Nose W. Corneal biomechanical metrics
- 948 and anterior segment parameters in mild keratoconus. Ophthalmology. 2010;117(4):673-9.
- 949 81. Diaconu V, Michaud L, Forcier P, Garon M. Optic Nerve capillaries blood oxygen
- 950 investigation in Fabry's disease patients. Inv Opht Vis Sci. 2015;56(7):2674.

958	FIGURE LEGENDS	
959		

- 960 Figure (photo) 1: Typical Cornea Verticillata with haze
- 961 Figure (photo) 2: Corneal Haze as seen at baseline (1st visit)
- 962 Figure (photo) 3: Cornal Haze of the same patient (photo 2) 5 years later, showing
- 963 increased severity
- 964 Figure (photo) 4: Microaneurysm located in the inner canthus (fornix)
- 965 Figure (photo) 5: Microaneurysm as seen near the inferior lid margin
- 966 Figure (photo) 6: Upper lid tortuosity grade 4, with micro-aneurysm
- 967 Figure (photo) 7: Low retinal vessels tortuosities (arterioles and veinules)
- 968 Figure (photo) 8: Silver wiring of retinal arteries

LIST OF TABLES

- TABLE 1 LIST OF TESTING- ANNUAL EXAM
- TABLE 2 LIST OF MUTATIONS AFFECTING AT LEAST 1 SUBJECT OF THE STUDY POPULATION
- TABLE 3: AVERAGE AGE OF THE STUDY POPULATION, BY GROUP
- TABLE 4: REFRACTION, PACHYMETRY AND CORNEAL BIOMECHANICS
- TABLE 5: VISUAL FIELD FINDIGNS

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/557207; this version posted February 21, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review, is the author/hunder who has granted violation a license to bisplay the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

TABLE 7- POSTERIOR SEGMENT FINDINGS

TABLE 8- COMPARED PREVALENCE OF OCULAR MANIFESTATIONS

TABLE 1 – LIST OF TESTING- ANNUAL EXAM

- Complete case history including nature of the mutation (provided by the genetic center)
- Refraction
- Corneal topography (Medmont, Precision Instruments, Vancouver)
- Corneal pachymetry (Optovue, Clarion, Texas)
- High-Order aberrations analysis (iTrace, Clarion, Texas)
- Anterior segment examination and photography (Topcon Slit Lamp and Konica camera, Topcon Image 2000 software)

- bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/557207; this version posted February 21, 2019. The copyright holder for this peprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available intra-order are review of the copyright holder for this peprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available intra-order are review of the copyright holder for this peprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available in the copyright holder for this peprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available in the copyright holder for this period to the copyright holder for the copyright holder for this period to the copyright holder for this period to the copyright holder for the copyright holder for this period to the copyright holder for this period to the copyright holder for the copyri Analyser, Richert, US)
 - Ocular fundus photography (Canon, Japan)
 - Posterior segment OCT macular area and optic nerve (Optovue, Clarion, Texas)

TABLE 2- LIST OF MUTATIONS AFFECTING AT LEAST 1 SUBJECT OF THE STUDY POPULATION

•	p.Arg220*
•	p.Arg332aspfs*16
•	p.Arg342gln
•	p.Asn34lysfs*22
•	p.Cys12phefs*105
•	p.Ex4del?
•	p.Ex5del?
•	p.Leu414ser
•	p.Met187valfs*6
•	p.Pro214leu
•	p.Pro293thr
•	p.Trp81ser
•	p.Val254del

TABLE 3 - AVERAGE AGE OF THE STUDY POPULATION

	Average Age (+/- SD)	Range
	(years old)	
Group 1 (HMZ-ERT)	37.5 <u>+</u> 9.7	23.0 to 52.0
Group 2 (HTZ-ERT)	56.7 <u>+</u> 9.1	43.0 to 70.0
Group 3 (HTZ- not treated)	52.3 <u>+</u> 15.2	35.0 to 77.0

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/557207; this version posted February 21, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in pernetuity. It is made available RNEAL BIOMECHANICS

	BASELINE			5 YEARS			
	GROUP 1	GROUP 2	GROUP 3	GROUP 1	GROUP 2	GROUP 3	
	HMZ- ERT	HTZ - ERT	HTZ-Non ERT	HMZ- ERT	HTZ - ERT	HTZ-Non ERT	
	N= 10	N=7	N=11	N= 10	N=7	N=11	
Refraction OD (D)	-0.33 <u>+</u> 0.78	-1.03 <u>+</u> 2.95	-2.33 <u>+</u> 2.96				
Refraction OS (D)	-0.53 <u>+</u> 0.85	-0.34 <u>+</u> 3.42	-2.48 <u>+</u> 3.05		Not evaluated		
Pachymetry OD (um)	546.1 <u>+</u> 35.1	522.0 <u>+</u> 52.1	541.7 <u>+</u> 27.7				
Pachymetry OS	546.7 ±	523.1 ±	542.2 <u>+</u>				
(um)	34.3	56.4	32.5				
IOP OD (mm Hg)	14.0 <u>+</u> 2.4	14.4 <u>+</u> 3.6	16.0 <u>+</u> 3.0	13.8 <u>+</u> 3.7	16.7 <u>+</u> 2.1	15.7 <u>+</u> 3.8	
IOP OS (mm Hg)	13.4 <u>+</u> 2.6	14.6 <u>+</u> 2.6	16.2 <u>+</u> 3.7	14.1 <u>+</u> 3.7	15.9 <u>+</u> 3.9	15.5 <u>+</u> 2.3	
CRF OD (mmHg)	11.1 <u>+</u> 2.1	9.9 <u>+</u> 1.0	10.8 <u>+</u> 2.2	10.2 <u>+</u> 1.7	10.5 <u>+</u> 1.3	10.8 <u>+</u> 2.3	
CRF OS (mmHg)	11.3 <u>+</u> 1.6	11.2 <u>+</u> 1.5	12.2 <u>+</u> 3.9	10.5 <u>+</u> 1.6	10.5 <u>+</u> 1.4	10.8 <u>+</u> 2.0	
CH OD (mmHg)	11.1 <u>+</u> 1.1	10.7 <u>+</u> 0.9	10.7 <u>+</u> 1.8	11.1 <u>+</u> 1.5	10.3 <u>+</u> 1.1	11.2 <u>+</u> 2.0	
CH OS (mmHg)	11.8 <u>+</u> 1.8	11.0 <u>+</u> 1.6	11.6 <u>+</u> 2.6	11.1 <u>+</u> 1.4	10.3 <u>+</u> 0.9	11.2 <u>+</u> 1.8	
HOA OD (um)	0.167 <u>+</u>	0.230 <u>+</u>	0.195 <u>+</u>	0.135 <u>+</u> 0.089	0.202 <u>+</u> 0.152	0.173 <u>+</u>	
	0.036	0.094	0.128			0.144	
HOA OS (um)	0.116 <u>+</u> 0.077	0.172 <u>+</u> 0.100	0.141 <u>+</u> 0.110	0.135 <u>+</u> 0.089	0.164 <u>+</u> 0.101	0.157 <u>+</u> 0.223	

TABLE 5: VISUAL FIELD FINDINGS

	GROUP 1		GROUP 2		GROUP 3		TOTAL	
	OD	OS	OD	OS	OD	OS	OD	OS
Baseline								
(abnormal/normal)	6/4	6/4	3 /5	4/4	5/5	6/4	14 / 14	16 / 12
5 years								
(abnormal/normal)	8/2	7/3	4/4	4/4	6/4	6/4	18 / 10	17/ 11

TABLE 6: ANTERIOR SEGMENT FINDINGS

ITEM (median values)	Group 1		Gro	up 2	Group 3		
	OD	OS OD C		OS	OD	OS	
BASELINE							
Conjunctival tortuosity (Gr 0-3)	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.0	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.0	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.2	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.2	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.8	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.9	
Conjunctival microaneurysm (0 none; 1: present)	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.42	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.52	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.46	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.00	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.32	
Cornea Verticillata nt d(G[5:00:3]g/10.1101/557207; this versio	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.8 2019. The copyright h	1.0±0.6 older for this preprint (1.5 <u>+</u> 0.9	1.0 <u>+</u> 1.0	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.6	
nt d oit in its footboo g/10.1101/557207; this version y peer review) is the author/funder, who has gran Corneal Haze (Cinder) aCC-B	ted bioRxiv a license t Y 4.d International lice	nse:1.0±0.8	1.0 <u>+</u> 1.2	0.5 <u>+</u> 1.2	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.0	2.0 <u>+</u> 1.0	
Upper lid vessels tortuosity (Gr 0-4)	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.6	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.6	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	
Fabry Cataract - anterior	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	
Fabry Cataract - posterior	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	
5 YEARS							
Conjunctival tortuosity (Gr 0-3)	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.0	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.9	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.7	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.9	
Conjunctival microaneurysm (0 none; 1: present)		_	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.46	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.46	_	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.52	
Cornea Verticillata (Gr 0-3)	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.8	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.6	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.7	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.7	
Corneal Haze (Gr 0-3)	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.4	1.0 <u>+</u> 1.1	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.1	2.0 <u>+</u> 1.0	
Upper lid vessels tortuosity (Gr 0-3)	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	2.0 <u>+</u> 1.0	2.0 <u>+</u> 1.1	
Fabry Cataract - anterior	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	
Fabry Cataract - posterior	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	

bioRxiv preprin not certified by

TABLE 7- POSTERIOR SEGMENT FINDINGS

	GRO	UP 1	GR	OUP 2	GROUP 3		
OD OS		O	os	OD	OS		
Baseline	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	1.5 <u>+</u>	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.4	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	0.5 + 0.8	
(Grade 0-3)			1.4				
5 years	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	2.0 <u>+</u>	2.0 <u>+</u>	2.0 <u>+</u> 1.2	0.5 <u>+</u>	1.0 <u>+_</u> 0.8	
(Grade 0-3)		1.1	1.2		0.9		

TABLE 8- COMPARED PREVALENCE OF OCULAR MANIFESTATIONS

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/557207; this version posted February 21, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity, his made available under a CC-BY 4.0 Interpetation with the copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity his made available. Litterature preprint in perpetuity (b) and a vertable valence review (%)* (%)Evolution Conjuctival P: > HTZ-85.7 41.6 to 97 Not evolved tortuosity ERT Upper lid tortuosity 96.4 Not reported Not evolved P: HMZ > HTZ Micro-Aneurysms 42.8 78 E: HMZ Not reported 43.5 to 94.5 Cornea Verticillata 89.2 Not evolved P:HMZ> HTZ ERT > Non -ERT Corneal Haze 67.8 82.1 E: HMZ -10 to 84.3 **ERT** Cataract- Anterior 39.2 46.4 E: HMZ-ERT 9 to 42 Cataract- Sub-28.5 50 11.8 to 50 P: HMZ capsular Posterior >HTZ ERT > Non ERT P: ERT>Non-18.8 to 90 Retinal vessels 64.2 67.8 **ERT** abnormalities

HMZ: HEmizygotes; HTZ: Heterozygotes; ERT: Enzyme Replacement Therapy; Non-ERT: not treated with ERT

^{*}from Sodi A, Ioannidis AS, Mehta A, Davey C, Beck M, Pitz S. Ocular manifestations of Fabry's disease: data from the Fabry Outcome Survey. Br J Ophthalmol. 2007;91(2):210-4.