## 1 Ecological specificity of the metagenome in a set of lower termite

# 2 species supports contribution of the microbiome to adaptation of the 3 host

3 4

5 Lena Waidele, Judith Korb, Christian R Voolstra, Franck Dedeine, and Fabian Staubach

- 67 Author affiliations
- 8 For L. Waidele, J. Korb, and F. Staubach see corresponding author address
- 9
- 10 Christian R Voolstra
- 11 Department of Biology, University of Konstanz, 78457 Konstanz, Germany
- 12 christian.voolstra@uni-konstanz.de
- 13
- 14 Franck Dedeine
- 15 Institut de Recherche sur la Biologie de l'Insecte, UMR 7261, CNRS Université de Tours,
- 16 France
- 17 franck.dedeine@univ-tours.fr
- 18

### 19 Corresponding author

- 20 Dr. Fabian Staubach
- 21 Biologie I
- 22 Universitaet Freiburg
- 23 Hauptstr. 1
- 24 79104 Freiburg
- 25 Germany
- 26 phone: +49-761-203-2911
- 27 fax: +49-761-203-2644
- 28 email: fabian.staubach@biologie.uni-freiburg.de 29

### 30 Running title

- 31 lower termite metagenomes
- 32
- 33
- 34 35
- 35 36 37

50

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/526038; this version posted May 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

#### 51 Abstract

#### 52 Background

53 Elucidating the interplay between hosts and their microbiomes in ecological adaptation has become a central theme in evolutionary biology. A textbook example of microbiome-54 55 mediated adaptation is the adaptation of lower termites to a wood-based diet, as they depend on their gut microbiome to digest wood. Lower termites have further adapted to 56 57 different life types. Termites of the wood-dwelling life type never leave their nests and feed 58 on a uniform diet. Termites of the foraging life type forage for food outside the nest and have access to other nutrients. Here we sought to investigate whether the microbiome that 59 is involved in food substrate breakdown and nutrient acquisition might contribute to 60 61 adaptation to these ecological differences. We reasoned that this should leave ecological 62 imprints on the microbiome.

63

#### 64 **Results**

We investigated the protist and bacterial microbiomes of a total of 29 replicate colonies from five termite species, covering both life types, using metagenomic shotgun sequencing. The microbiome of wood-dwelling species with a uniform wood diet was enriched for genes involved in lignocellulose degradation. Furthermore, metagenomic patterns suggest that the microbiome of wood-dwelling species relied primarily on direct fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, while the microbiome of foraging species entailed the necessary pathways to utilize nitrogen in the form of nitrate for example from soil.

72

#### 73 Conclusion

Our findings are consistent with the notion that the microbiome of wood-dwelling species bears an imprint of its specialization on degrading a uniform wood diet, while the microbiome of the foraging species might reflect its adaption to access growth limiting nutrients from more diverse sources. This supports the idea that specific subsets offunctions encoded by the microbiome can contribute to host adaptation.

79

#### 80 Keywords

81 lower termites, metagenomics, ecology, adaptation

82

#### 83 Background

84 The importance of microbes for the evolution of higher organisms is starting to be realized 85 [1, 2]. Metazoan evolution is not only driven by pathogenic microbes, as reflected by fast 86 evolution of immune genes [3]. Rather, microbes often are facilitators of metabolic and 87 environmental adaptations [2, 4, 5]. For instance, the gut microbial communities of woodfeeding roaches and termites facilitate thriving on a wood diet that is difficult to digest and 88 89 poor in nitrogen. Nitrogen fixation and the digestion of wood depend on the termite gut 90 microbiome [2, 6, 7]. In lower termites, lignocellulose degradation was initially mainly 91 attributed to unicellular eukaryotes (protists) in the gut [8]. Recently, it has become evident 92 that lignocellulose degradation is a synergistic effort of the termite, its associated protists, 93 and bacteria [9–11]. In addition to their role in lignocellulose degradation, bacteria are also 94 essential for the assimilation of nitrogen taken up from the environment. Nitrogen can be 95 acquired from the environment either via fixation from the atmosphere [12, 13], or via 96 nitrate reduction [14]. Also, nitrogen can be recycled from the metabolic waste product uric 97 acid [15, 16]. By using genome sequencing and pathway reconstruction, these processes 98 have been assigned to four major bacterial phyla in the termite gut: Proteobacteria 99 (Desulfovibrio [17]), Spirochetes (Treponema [18, 19]), Bacteroidetes (Azobacteroides) 100 [16], and Elusimicrobia (Endomicrobium [20, 21]).

101 Many bacteria in the termite gut live in tight association with protists, where they sit 102 on the surface [22, 23], in invaginations of the cell membrane [17], or even inside the 103 protist cells [24]. Such tight associations lead to frequent vertical transmission of bacteria

between protist generations. In return, protists and bacteria are vertically transmitted 104 between termite generations via proctodeal trophallaxis during colony foundation [25]. 105 Vertical transmission has led to co-speciation between bacteria and their protist hosts, and 106 107 sometimes even the termite hosts [26-29]. Evidence for horizontal transfer of protists between termite species, so called transfaunations, is limited to a few exceptions [30]. 108 109 Hence, the termite host species association is rather strict, leading to strong phylogenetic imprints on protist community structure [31–33]. In comparison, the bacterial microbiome is 110 more flexible, frequently transferred between termite host species [34], and affected by 111 diet [33, 35-41]. 112

113 There is evidence that the gut microbiome of termites has contributed to the adaptation of different termite species to their specific ecologies [33, 36, 42-44]. There are 114 115 pronounced ecological differences between the so-called termite life types [45, 46]. Termite species of the wood-dwelling life type never leave their nest except for the mating flight. 116 They feed on a relatively uniform bonanza resource, that is the piece of wood they built 117 118 their nest in [47, 48]. On the other hand, foraging species leave their nest to forage for 119 food and have access to additional nutrients [47, 49]. This likely imposes different selection pressures on the termite holobiont, in particular with regard to nutrient uptake. Because 120 the microbiome is directly involved in nutrient uptake, it seems reasonable to hypothesize 121 that it may also play a role in adaptation to life type related ecological differences. In this 122 scenario, one would expect the life types to leave an imprint on microbiome structure and 123 124 function. As such, searching for microbial imprints of a given life type can possibly provide 125 us with a lead for microbiome-mediated adaptation.

One potential pitfall of such an endeavor is that microbiomes may bear imprints from transient microbes that were ingested from the environment. Transient microbes rarely form evolutionary relevant relationships with the host [50, 51]. Instead, they reflect short-term associations with microbes from the local environment the termites were

collected from. When the local environment correlates with other ecological differences, 130 these imprints could be falsely interpreted as potentially adaptive changes of the 131 microbiome. Therefore, it is essential to reduce the impact of these microbes on the 132 133 analysis. In order to explore potential ecological imprints on the microbiome, we focused on an evolutionary switch between wood-dwelling and foraging life types in the 134 135 Rhinotermitidae (Figure 1). Reticulitermes species are of the foraging life type, while Prorhinotermes simplex is wood-dwelling. If the microbiome was affected by life type 136 specific ecology, we would expect that the microbiome of Prorhinotermes simplex was 137 similar to that of the other wood-dwelling species (*Cryptotermes*) although these are from 138 139 a different family (Kalotermitidae). At the same time, the microbiome of the foraging Reticulitermes species should bear distinct features. Alternatively, if there was no 140 141 ecological imprint, we would expect the microbiome to follow a phylogenetic pattern, with the Rhinotermitidae Prorhinotermes and Reticulitermes forming a cluster and the 142 143 *Cryptotermes* species (Kalotermitidae) forming a second cluster. Using this experimental setup, we recently showed that protist community composition aligned with phylogeny, but 144 145 bacterial communities aligned more strongly with wood-dwelling and foraging life types 146 [33].

To further explore this, we investigated whether changes in microbiome composition 147 are also reflected by changes in microbiome function, as would be expected if the 148 microbiome played a role in adaptation. For instance, we would expect dietary adaptations 149 to be reflected by changes to pathways involved in substrate breakdown and effective 150 provisioning of limiting nutrients such as nitrogen. In order to test whether and which 151 152 changes in the functional repertoire align with life type and could be involved in potential adaptation to different ecologies, we characterized the metagenome of two foraging 153 154 species; Reticulitermes flavipes and Reticulitermes grassei. We compared their functional 155 repertoire to that of three wood-dwelling species Prorhinotermes simplex, Cryptotermes

*secundus*, and *Cryptotermes domesticus*. Because there can be substantial variation in microbial communities between colonies [52–55], we analyzed five *C. domesticus*, eight *C. secundus*, seven *P. simplex*, five *R. flavipes*, and four *R. grassei* replicate colonies. We focused on the persistent, long-term differences between microbiomes by controlling shortterm effects caused by the influx of transient microbes. This was achieved by feeding a common diet of sterile *Pinus* wood for several weeks prior to sample collection.

162

#### 163 **Results**

We analyzed a total of ~440 million metagenomic shotgun sequences. Between 974,176 164 165 and 8,949,734 sequences per sample were of microbial origin (Table S1). Sequences were subsampled (rarefied) to 1,386,882 bacterial and 2,781 protist annotated sequences per 166 167 sample. For annotation, sequences were aligned to a reference database of clusters of orthologous groups of genes (COGs) with known function. These COGs represent the 168 lowest level of the eggNOG hierarchical annotation. At the next higher level, the COGs are 169 170 grouped into pathways (Figure S1, Figure S9), and at the third and highest level, the 171 pathways are grouped into three categories; "information storage and processing", "cellular process and signaling", and "metabolism". We adhere to this definition of the eggNOG 172 173 hierarchical terms throughout the study.

174

# 175 <u>"information storage and processing" differentiates the protist metagenomes of wood-</u> 176 <u>dwelling and foraging lower termite species</u>

In our previous study [33] on the identical samples, the protist communities of the Rhinotermitidae *Prorhinotermes* and *Reticulitermes* clustered together, supporting a phylogenetic imprint on community composition. Here, we tested whether this pattern was also reflected by the functions encoded by the protist metagenome. Therefore, we annotated metagenome encoded functions in the shot gun sequences and compared the functional metagenome profiles across host species, using Bray-Curtis-Dissimilarity [56].
This index considers abundance of functional categories, thus avoiding arbitrary coverage
cutoffs.

185 The protist functional repertoire clustered according to host family and genus (Figure 2A), thus showing a dominant phylogenetic imprint. Family wise clustering was 186 187 supported by Redundancy Analysis (RDA): the model including host family explained more variance in the functional repertoire and produced lower AICs than the model based on life 188 type (Table 1). For a more detailed view, we analyzed the three categories at the highest 189 level in the eggNOG hierarchical annotation (Figure S1) separately. Cluster analysis of the 190 191 categories "cellular process and signaling" and "metabolism" supported the notion that phylogenetic relatedness is an important factor for functional similarity (Supplement 192 193 Figures S4B and D). In contrast, the portion of the metagenome assigned to "information storage and processing" (Figure 2B) clustered primarily by life type. The stronger effect of 194 195 life type than phylogeny on this functional category was also supported by higher 196 explanatory power and lower AICs in RDA (Table 1).

197 Identification of the functions that differentiate the protist metagenomes of the 198 wood-dwelling and foraging species can hold clues with regard to the nature of potentially 199 adaptive phenotypes in the protist metagenome. In order to do so, we performed a linear 200 discriminant analysis (LEfSe: [57]). This analysis identified 22 over-represented COGs in 201 foraging and 14 in wood-dwelling species (Figure 3A, Table S3, p < 0.05, q < 0.05, LDA 202 score > 2, Figure S6).

The pathway "replication, recombination, and repair" was over-represented in the foraging species (Figure 3A, Table S3, p = 0.0001, q = 0.002). The over-represented COGs in this pathway included a DNA dependent DNA-polymerase (COG0470) and five helicases (COG0514, COG0553, COG1199, COG1204, ENOG410XNUT, see Figure 3B for grouped analysis and Table S3 for individual COG p- and q-values). In wood-dwelling species, the pathway "transcription" was over-represented (p = 0.0004, q = 0.003). The over-represented COGs in this pathway contained DNA binding domains and were supposedly involved in transcriptional regulation (COG5147, ENOG4111SAB).

211

212 The bacterial metabolic metagenome aligns with host ecology

In our previous study [33], the bacterial community composition of termite hosts clustered primarily by life type, which is consistent with ecology related differences between microbiomes. Following the rationale above, we tested whether this pattern was also reflected by the functions encoded by the metagenome.

217 Against the expectation from our previous study, the functional bacterial profiles showed no life type, but a phylogenetic imprint, which is in line with the protist functional 218 219 profiles. Most samples clustered according to host family (Figure 2C). Analyzing the three high-level eggNOG functional categories separately provided more detailed insight. The 220 221 categories "cellular process and signaling" and "information storage and processing" 222 supported the notion of strong phylogenetic effects on metagenome function (Supplement Figures S5B and C). In contrast, the metabolic metagenomes (Figure 2D) clustered 223 primarily according to host life type. Host life type was also a better predictor for metabolic 224 225 functions than host family in RDA (Table 1).

Aside from these general patterns, several samples stood out. Samples Rg2 and 226 Rg4 of *R. grassei* were on long branches in the dendrograms (Figure 2 and Supplement 227 Figure S5), suggesting unusual functional profiles. Notably, these samples already stood 228 229 out in our previous study [33] because of their unusual abundance of microbial taxa 230 potentially due to infection with pathogens. This unusual composition was confirmed by 231 taxonomic annotation in this study (see Supplement Figure S3). Sample Cs7 (C. 232 secundus) also clustered separately from the other samples. This was mainly driven by 233 abundant transposases in this sample (53.1% of sequences) (for example COG1662,

234 COG3385, or ENOG410XT1T, see Table S2), accompanied by an increase in the 235 frequency of *Bacteroides* (Figure S3) that are rich in conjugative transposons [58, 59]. We 236 performed all analyses with and without these samples and found no qualitative 237 differences (data not shown).

Bacterial metabolic functions that differentiated wood-dwelling from foraging 238 239 species were identified using linear discriminant analysis (LEfSe). 105 metabolic COGs 240 were over-represented in the wood-dwelling species, while 151 were over-represented in the foraging species (Table S4, p < 0.05, q < 0.05, LDA score > 2, Figure S7). All COGs 241 described as over-represented or enriched in the following were subject to these p-value, 242 243 g-value and LDA cutoffs. Because of their specialized diet, genes involved in nitrogen metabolism and lignocellulose break down like gycoside hydrolases (GH) are of particular 244 245 interest, when focusing on metabolic differences among gut microbiomes in wood-feeding termites with different ecologies. In fact, among the genes involved in 'carbohydrate 246 247 transport and metabolism' that were enriched in the microbiome of wood-dwelling termites, 248 GHs were over-represented (43.3% of enriched genes versus 12% expected, exact 249 binomial test: p = 2.124e-05, Table S4, S5). In the foraging termite species, only one gene with putative lignocellulolytic activity was over-represented (COG3858), suggesting that 250 251 the wood-dwelling species have a higher potential for complex carbohydrate degradation. To further investigate differences in GH abundance between the microbiomes of wood-252 dwelling and foraging species, we performed a detailed pathway analysis using the CAZy 253 254 database ([60], Figure 4). All GHs acting in hemicellulose break-down were more abundant 255 in the wood-dwelling species (Figure 4B). Among the cellulolytic enzymes, ß-glucosidases 256 were significantly more abundant in the wood-dwelling species. The other two enzymes 257 involved (cellulase (endo-ß-1.4-glucanase), cellobiohydrolase) showed a trend into the 258 same direction. All of the genes with cellulolytic or hemicelllulolytic activity were affiliated 259 with Bacteroidetes (mostly members of the genus Bacteroides) or the genus Treponema.

Additional support for the increased importance of hemicellulose utilization in the wooddwelling species, was provided by the over-representation of twelve COGs annotated as TonB-dependent receptors (ENOG410XNNV, ENOG410XNPQ or COG4206, see Table S4). Apart from other substrates, these receptors are important for the uptake of plantderived hemicellulose [61, 62]. All functions annotated as TonB-dependent receptors (or TonB-dependent associated receptor plugs) were affiliated with the genus *Bacteroides* (see Table S4).

Because wood is poor in nitrogen, termites depend on an efficient system for 267 conserving and upgrading nitrogen [6]. In the wood-dwelling species, a potential 268 269 nitrogenase ((*nif*H) COG1348)) was over-represented (Figure 4C, Table S4). Nitrogenases are key enzymes in the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen and downstream ammonia 270 271 synthesis. Nitrogenase activity was mainly affiliated with members of the genus 272 Treponema (Figure 4C). In contrast, in the foraging species, COGs involved in 273 dissimilatory nitrate reduction (COG1251, COG5013, COG2181, COG0243, Figure 4C, 274 Table S4) were over-represented. They were affiliated with a variety of different genera 275 ranging from Desulfovibrio and Gordonibacter to Stenoxybacter, Enterobacter and Serratia. Serratia and Enterobacter are potential insect pathogens and contributed to the 276 prevalence of one of the three nitrate reductases, narG (COG5013). Closer inspection of 277 the source of these bacteria revealed that they mainly stemmed from the abnormal 278 samples Rg2 and Rg4 that we suspected to carry a potential pathogenic infection. When 279 280 we remove these samples from the analysis the increase of narG in foragers remains 281 significant (p = 0.034).

For living on a nitrogen poor substrate, it can also be adaptive to effectively recycle nitrogen from the main waste product of the host's amino acid metabolism, uric acid. Uric acid can be recycled through anaerobic ammonia production and downstream glutamate synthesis [6, 15, 20, 63]. In the wood-dwelling species a putative glutamate dehydrogenase (COG0334), involved in glutamate synthesis by ammonia assimilation, was over-represented. This glutamate dehydrogenase gene was mainly affiliated with members of the genera *Bacteroides*, *Treponema* and *Desulfovibrio*. In the foraging species, COGs with putative glutamine (COG0174) and glutamate synthase (COG0067, COG0069) function, were enriched (Figure 4D). These COGs were affiliated with *Desulfovibrio*, *Treponema*, *Pseudomonas* and *Acetobacterium*.

292

#### 293 Discussion

In this study, we assessed functional differences of termite metagenomes that underwent 294 295 an evolutionary switch from wood-dwelling to foraging to identify putative contributions of the microbiome to ecological niche adaptation. To do this, we chose a set of five termite 296 species (two foraging, three wood-dwelling species) and determined whether the 297 298 functional profiles of the termite gut microbiome followed phylogeny of the host or aligned 299 with host ecology. We hypothesized that alignment of microbiome function with termite life 300 type is consistent with a contribution of the microbiome to termite holobiont adaptation to 301 different ecologies. By comparing the functional content of microbiomes of different host species we focused on long-term evolutionary processes. 302

303 A potential pitfall of such an approach is that an alignment of the termite microbiome with life type-related ecology could also be caused by short-term differences between 304 microbiomes that are merely transient. For example, microbes in the environment might 305 differ between collection sites for the different host species. Further, ingestion of 306 307 environmental microbes might lead to an association between microbiome and ecology. 308 Similarly, differences in local food supply can lead to transient, short-term effects on the termite microbiome [55]. Consequently, such short-term differences reflect environmental 309 310 differences at termite collection sites, rather than potentially adaptive, evolved differences 311 between host-species-specific microbiomes.

For this reason, we chose to follow an approach where we control for environmental and dietary differences by acclimating all termites on the same (sterile) food source and to identical environmental conditions. We consider metagenomic patterns that persist under such highly controlled experimental conditions as robust and indicative of long-term, evolutionary acquired differences, rather than short-term imprints originating from differences in the environment or food source. It should be noted that the experimental setup poses a restriction to the number of sampled host species [33].

319

#### 320 Increased potential for replication in the protists of foraging termite species

321 In the protist metagenome of foraging species, genes involved in replication were more abundant. High replication rates are expected to be more frequently under positive 322 323 selection during recolonization of the gut with protists, when the gut environment has not yet reached carrying capacity [64]. Therefore, we would like to speculate that this 324 325 difference is related to the fact that Reticulitermes guts have to be recolonized more 326 frequently because they molt more frequently; the intermolt periods in *Reticulitermes* are about two weeks long [49], while they average almost two months in Cryptotermes [48]. 327 During molting the protists are lost and the guts have to be recolonized through proctodeal 328 329 trophallaxis from nest mates [65]. However, we are aware that differences in the relative abundance of housekeeping genes like those required for replication between protist 330 microbiomes can not be clearly disentangled from differences in average protist genome 331 332 size and therefore should be interpreted with caution.

333

# 334 <u>Enrichment of genes for lignocellulose degradation in the microbiome of wood-dwelling</u> 335 <u>termite species</u>

336 While genes involved in replication differentiated the protist metagenomes of wood-337 dwelling and foraging species in our study, metabolic genes differentiated the bacterial 338 metagenomes. Consistent with differences in their respective diets, the metagenomes of foraging and wood-dwelling species in our study differed by their potential for cellulose and 339 hemicellulose utilization. Several GHs that have cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic function 340 341 were over-represented in the metagenomes of wood-dwelling species (GH families 2, 3, 16, 43, mannosidases, xylosidases, glucanases, xylanases, Figure 4B, Table S4). A more 342 343 detailed pathway analysis confirmed that hemicellulases are more abundant in the wooddwelling species. This suggests a more pronounced role for lignocellulose degradation in 344 the metabolism of the wood-dwelling species in our study. Accordingly, TonB dependent 345 transporters were enriched in the microbiome of wood-dwellers. These transporters can 346 347 shuttle hemicellulose and its building-blocks, in particular xylans and xylose through bacterial membranes [66, 67]. A large fraction of cellulases, hemicellulases, and putative 348 349 TonB transporters were attributed to the genus Bacteroides. In Bacteroides, TonB dependent transporters are often co-localized and co-regulated with enzymes for 350 351 polysaccharide degradation like hemicellulases [59, 68]. This suggests a partnership of 352 enzymes and transporters in polysaccharide degradation. Bacteroides species from the 353 human gut are also hemicellulose degraders [69], suggesting a distinctive role for the genus in hemicellulose degradation in termites as well. 354

355 The above-identified differences in functional potential between the wooddwelling and foraging species in our study are suggestive of adaptations to utilize diets 356 that differ in hemicellulose content. Hemicellulose content differs between wood species 357 [70, 71]. The wood-dwelling Cryptotermes species in our study are mostly found in 358 359 hardwood mangroves [72] where they can thrive on a bonanza food resource. The other 360 wood-dwelling genus in our study, Prorhinotermes, lives in similar coastal habitats with a 361 similar arboreal flora [73]. Hardwood is richer in hemicelluloses and the potential to use 362 hemicelluloses is larger in the microbiome of species living on hardwood. On the other 363 hand, Reticulitermes species originated in inland habitats [74], prefer soft woods like pine

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/526038; this version posted May 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

364 [75, 76] with lower hemicellulose levels, and accordingly, hemicellulolytic pathways are365 depleted.

366

#### 367 <u>Termites with different life-types rely on different forms of nitrogen uptake and recycling</u>

Nitrogen is scarce in a wood-based diet. As a consequence, termites need to acquire 368 369 additional nitrogen from the environment. The microbiome is essential for this process. In the microbiome of wood-welling species, which feed on a uniform lignocellulose diet, a 370 potential nitrogenase gene was enriched (nifH, COG1348). Nitrogenases are the key 371 enzymes in the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen and downstream ammonia synthesis. This 372 373 nifH was mainly affiliated with treponemes that have been shown to play an important role in nitrogen fixation before [12, 18, 19]. In contrast, the microbiome of the foraging species 374 375 in our study has a higher potential to provide nitrogen to the termite holobiont by dissimilatory reduction of nitrate (Figure 4C). Nitrogen in the form of nitrate naturally 376 377 occurs in soil. R. flavipes has been shown to acquire micro nutrients from soil [77] and to 378 actively balance mineral uptake by food choice [78]. Therefore, it seems reasonable to 379 assume that the microbiome of *Reticulitermes* relies on nitrogen from soil in the form of nitrate to balance the low nitrogen content of wood. The necessary nitrate reductases were 380 381 found primarily in Desulfovibrio, Gordonibacter and Stenoxybacter that were found in association with Reticulitermes before and are shared between a wide range of termites 382 [33, 79, 80]. 383

Aside from obtaining nitrogen from the environment (atmosphere, soil), bacteria can also recycle uric acid nitrogen. All of these processes result in ammonia synthesis, the central metabolite of nitrogen metabolism. Ammonia is then further assimilated to glutamate. In the wood-dwelling species a glutamate dehydrogenase (COG0334) was over-represented. It was mainly affiliated with members of the *Bacteroides*, *Desulfovibrio* and treponemes. The foraging species seem to rely on another glutamate synthesis bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/526038; this version posted May 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

pathway, including glutamine (COG0174) and glutamate synthases (COG0067,
 COG0069). Accordingly, they were associated with a different set of bacteria including
 *Pseudomonas, Acetobacterium, Desulfovibrio,* and treponemes (Figure 4D).

393

#### 394 Phylogeny and ecology align with metagenome-encoded functions

Differences in the propensity for nitrogen uptake and recycling are likely to reflect differences in diet of the termite host species. Given differences in diet between the species that represent the different life types, it seems also reasonable to suggest that the changes in the repertoire of hemicellulases reflects adaptations of the microbiome to diets with different hemicellulose content. The finding that this manifested specifically in the metabolic functional repertoire, may suggest that potential selection acts in particular on metabolic functions.

Metabolic microbiome mediated adaptation to different diets can happen in two 402 ways. First, acquisition of new microbes with adaptive functions could lead to adaptive 403 404 changes of the microbiome. Second, genome evolution of microbes that are already 405 associated with the host could lead to adaptation. Microbes that were already present before the onset of lineage specific adaptation are likely to be shared among host species. 406 407 By contrast, newly acquired microbes are expected to be host lineage specific. We found that the bacterial groups that contributed most to the differentiation of metabolic functions 408 are shared among all five host species (Treponema, Bacteroides, Desulfovibrio, 409 Dysgomonas, Gordonibacter, Pseudomonas, Table S4, Figure S3). This supports that 410 genome evolution of microbes that were already associated with the host contributed to 411 412 potential adaptation in our model system.

413

414 Conclusion

We applied metagenomic sequencing of gut microbiomes from a controlled experimental 415 setup to assess a putative contribution of the microbiome to host ecological adaptation that 416 accompanies the evolutionary switch from wood dwelling to foraging life types. We found 417 418 that the overall pattern of microbiome variation reflected a phylogenetic signal. Interestingly, however, specific functions of the microbiome aligned with the underlying 419 host ecology. The specific ecology related differences in microbiome function led us to 420 hypothesize that the microbiome contributed to dietary adaptations, namely different 421 hemicellulose and nitrogen contents. This hypothesis can now be tested, assessing host 422 fitness under different dietary conditions. Such experiments will be crucial to disentangle 423 adaptive functional changes from selectively neutral functional turnover or side effects of 424 425 other adaptations.

426

#### 427 Experimental Procedures

#### 428 <u>Termite samples</u>

429 All termites were collected from typical natural habitats (see [33]). They were kept under 430 constant conditions (27°C, 70% humidity) on autoclaved Pinus radiata wood from the same source for at least six weeks prior to the experiment. The feeding of Pinus 431 represents a natural or near natural treatment; *Pinus* is a natural food source of *P. simplex* 432 and Reticulitermes. Cryptotermes growth and behavior on Pinus recapitulates that on 433 natural substrate [72]. The time of the acclimation period was chosen to lie well beyond the 434 gut passage time of 24 h in lower termites [81, 82] and following Huang et al. [83], who 435 showed that six weeks are sufficient for the microbiota to adjust to a new diet. That way, all 436 437 excretable material like remaining food, transient microbes taken up from the environment that have no mechanisms to persist in the gut, and microbial DNA taken up before the 438 439 experiment was made sure to be excreted. The samples were identical to those analyzed

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/526038; this version posted May 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

in our previous study, [33] where detailed information about animal collection, keeping, and
cytochrome oxidase II based species identification and a phylogeny can be found.

442

#### 443 DNA extraction and Shotgun sequencing

444 DNA was extracted from a pool of three worker guts per colony using bead beating, 445 chloroform extraction and isopropanol precipitation (see Supplementary material and 446 methods file S7). Each of the 29 colony samples went through independent metagenomic 447 shotgun library preparation and sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq platform (150 bp paired 448 end reads).

449

#### 450 Analysis

451 We employed a double filtering strategy to remove host DNA from our analysis. First, sequences were removed that mapped to available host genomes from C. secundus [84] 452 and transcriptomes from P. simplex [85] and R. flavipes, provided by the 1KITE consortium 453 454 (www.1kite.org, BioSample SAMN04005235) using BBMap [86] (for detailed workflow and more detailed information about used genomes and transcriptomes see Supplementary 455 Figure S2 and file S8). Of note, the sequences were not assembled, but individual reads 456 were directly annotated. In a second step we used taxonomic and functional annotations 457 with Megan6 [87] to retrieve only sequences that could be unambiguously assigned to 458 either bacteria or protists. In order to compare the bacterial and protist data sets of all 459 460 samples, they were rarefied to the number of sequences in the sample with lowest coverage, resulting in 1,386,882 and 2,781 sequences per sample, respectively. Sample 461 462 Cs4 was excluded from the analysis for insufficient sequence coverage (974,176 sequences), so was Cs5 from the protist data. Sample Ps5 did not pass the analysis 463 464 pipeline and was also excluded.

465 Functional annotation with the eggNOG database resulted in the highest number of annotated sequences (21,215,480 annotated sequences in total) and was chosen for 466 further functional analysis. Bray-Curtis distances of functional abundances were clustered 467 468 with the pvClust package in R [88]. Multivariate modeling was performed via RDA (Redundancy Analysis) and AICs as well as values for the proportion of variance explained 469 470 were derived with the model selection tool ordistep and ordiR2step, as implemented in the R vegan package [89]. Models were compared to the null-model via ANOVA. To identify 471 over-represented functions associated with the two termite life types, a Linear Discriminant 472 Analysis (LDR) was performed using LEfSe [57] and visualized using graphlan [90]. 473 474 Pathway analysis of CAZy GHs was performed by blasting bacterial reads of all samples against the full CAZy protein database, using Diamond [91]. GH abundance was estimated 475 476 by counting reads with matches on proteins with cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic functions [92]. Pathway analysis of the nitrogen metabolism was performed by searching COG IDs 477 478 corresponding to the KEGG IDs among the over-represented COGs from the LEfSe 479 analysis. A detailed workflow for full reproducibility can be found in Supplementary Figure 480 S2 and file S10 and S11.

481

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/526038; this version posted May 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

#### 482 **Declarations**

#### 483 <u>Acknowledgments</u>

We thank Jan Sobotnik for kindly providing *P. simplex* colonies, as well as Charles Darwin 484 485 University (Australia), especially S. Garnett and the Horticulture and Aquaculture team for providing logistic support to collect C. secundus. The Parks and Wildlife Comission, 486 487 Northern Territory, Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts gave permission to collect (Permit number 59044) and export (Permit PWS2016-AU-001559) 488 the termites. This work was funded by DFG grants STA1154/2-1 and KO1895/16-1. We 489 thank Craig Michell and the KAUST Bioscience Core Lab for sequence library generation 490 and sequencing. This study was supported by King Abdullah University of Science and 491 Technology (KAUST) and the High Performance and Cloud Computing Group at the 492 493 Zentrum fuer Datenverarbeitung of the University of Tuebingen, the state of Baden-Wuerttemberg through bwHPC and the German Research Foundation (DFG) through 494 grant no INST 37/935-1 FUGG. We thank Karen Meusemann and the 1KITE consortium, 495 496 in particular the 1KITE Blattodea group, Alexander Donath, Lars Podsiadlowski, Bernhard Misof, Xin Zhou for granting access of the transcriptome data of R. santonsensis syn. R. 497 flavipes. 498

499

500 Ethics approval and consent to participate

501 Not applicable.

502

503 Funding

This work was funded by DFG grants STA1154/2-1 and KO1895/16-1 and supported by the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) and the High Performance and Cloud Computing Group at the Zentrum fuer Datenverarbeitung of the 507 University of Tuebingen, the state of Baden-Wuerttemberg through bwHPC and the 508 German Research Foundation (DFG) through grant no INST 37/935-1 FUGG.

509

#### 510 Availability of data and material

511 The raw data has been uploaded to the ncbi short-read archive (BioProject ID 512 PRJNA509211, Accession: SAMN10573992 – SAMN10574019). Supporting information 513 and analysis workflows are included in the supplementary files in this article.

514

#### 515 Author contributions

- 516 FS, JK, LW designed the experiment. JK, FD provided study organisms. LW performed the
- 517 experiments, CV generated the sequence data, LW and FS analyzed the data. LW, FS, JK,
- 518 CV, and FD wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 519
- 520 Competing interests

521 The authors declare no competing interests.

- 522
- 523 Consent for publication
- 524 Not applicable.
- 525
- 526
- 527
- 528
- 529
- 530
- 531
- 532
- 533

#### 534 Figures and Tables

535

Table 1: Models of the effects of life type and host family (phylogeny) on functional 536 community profiles. Effects were analyzed with Redundancy analysis using the 537 functional abundance table as response variable. Host family was the best explanatory 538 539 variable for the combination of all protist functions, however life type explained more variance (R<sup>2</sup>) and produced a lower AIC for the category "information storage and 540 processing" than host family. For all bacterial functions taken together, host family again 541 542 explained a larger proportion of the variance, while life type was the best explanatory 543 variable in the category "metabolism".

		model	AIC	R²	р
protists	all functions	null	-41.8		
		host family	-49.0	0.27	0.001
		host life type	-45.4	0.16	0.001
	information storage and processing	null	-36.8		
		host life type	-39.0	0.11	0.001
		host family	-38.4	0.09	0.001
bacteria	all functions	null	-85.0		
		host family	-92.7	0.27	0.001
		host life type	-90.1	0.20	0.001
	metabolism	null	-92.4		
		host life type	-98.1	0.22	0.001
		host family	-96.8	0.18	0.001

545

546

547

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/526038; this version posted May 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



- 549 Figure 1: Schematic phylogeny of the five lower termite species used in this study
- 550 **from [33].** Branch length not drawn to scale. Colored boxes indicate the life type.

551

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/526038; this version posted May 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



0.10

0.05

2



0.10

0.05

Similarly, the bacterial metabolic metagenomes clustered according to life type. Cluster 562 dendrograms of all functional categories of protist and bacterial communities can be found 563 in Supplementary Figure S4 and S5. 564

565

566

Δ



# B

### [L] Replication, recombination and repair







#### 568 Figure 3: Differences in the functional content of the protist metagenomes of wood-

dwelling and foraging species. A) Circular dendrogram/hierarchy of all over-represented 569 COGs in the category "information storage and processing" in wood-dwelling species 570 571 (green) or foraging species (orange). Circle size at the edges scales with abundance of the COG. Colored branches indicate over-represented pathways. Over-representation was 572 573 detected with LEfSe [57] (p < 0.05, q < 0.05, LDA > 2). A Venn diagram visualizing the total 574 number, and the differentially abundant number of functions in each of the five pathways that constitute the category "information storage and processing" can be found in 575 Supplementary Figure S6. B) Sequence coverage of wood-dwelling (green) and foraging 576 577 (orange) species of examples of over-represented COGs mentioned in the text. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals across replicate colonies. 578

579

580

581

582

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/526038; this version posted May 29, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



583 Figure 4: Differences in the functional content of the bacterial metagenomes of 584 wood-dwelling and foraging species. A) Circular dendrogram/hierarchy of all COGs in the category "metabolism" over-represented in wood-dwelling species (green) or foraging 585 586 species (orange). Circle size at the leafs scales with the abundance of the COG. Over-587 representation was detected with LefSe [57] (p < 0.05, q < 0.05, LDA > 2). A Venn diagram visualizing the total number, and the differentially abundant number of functions in each of 588 the five pathways that constitute the category "metbolism" can be found in Supplementary 589 Figure S7. B) Pathway analysis of cellulose and hemicellulose degradation. Colored boxes 590 of cellulolytic or hemicellulolytic genes indicate proportion of relative abundance of 591 sequences affiliated with wood-dwelling (green) or foraging (orange) species. C) Pathway 592

analysis of nitrogen metabolism. Boxes for genes with functions in nitrogen metabolism indicate relative abundance in the two life types. D) Pathway analysis of glutamate synthesis. Boxes in C) and D) show relative abundance in the two life types of genes with functions in nitrogen/glutamate metabolism. Pie charts show taxonomic association of the gene. All hemicellulolytic genes were over-represented in the wood-dwelling species. Also, a nitrogenase was enriched in the wood-dwelling species, while in the foraging species, genes involved in dissimilatory nitrate reduction were over-represented.

600

#### 601 Literature

1. McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TCG, Carey HV, Domazet-Lošo T, Douglas AE, et al. Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. PNAS. 2013;110:3229–36.

2. Bang C, Dagan T, Deines P, Dubilier N, Duschl WJ, Fraune S, et al. Metaorganisms in extreme environments: do microbes play a role in organismal adaptation? Zoology. 2018;127:1–19.

3. Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium, Clark AG, Eisen MB, Smith DR, Bergman CM, Oliver B, et al. Evolution of genes and genomes on the *Drosophila* phylogeny. Nature. 2007;450:203.

4. Jaenike J, Unckless R, Cockburn SN, Boelio LM, Perlman SJ. Adaptation via symbiosis: recent spread of a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. Science. 2010;329:212–5.

5. Douglas AE. Multiorganismal insects: diversity and function of resident microorganisms. Annual review of entomology. 2015;60:17–34.

6. Brune A. Symbiotic digestion of lignocellulose in termite guts. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2014;12:168–80.

7. Brune A, Dietrich C. The Gut Microbiota of Termites: Digesting the Diversity in the Light of Ecology and Evolution. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2015;69:145–66.

8. Cleveland LR. Symbiosis between Termites and Their Intestinal Protozoa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1923;9:424–8.

9. Scharf ME, Karl ZJ, Sethi A, Boucias DG. Multiple Levels of Synergistic Collaboration in Termite Lignocellulose Digestion. PLoS One. 2011;6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021709.

10. Peterson BF, Stewart HL, Scharf ME. Quantification of symbiotic contributions to lower termite lignocellulose digestion using antimicrobial treatments. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2015;59:80–8.

11. Peterson BF, Scharf ME. Metatranscriptome analysis reveals bacterial symbiont contributions to lower termite physiology and potential immune functions. BMC Genomics. 2016;17. doi:10.1186/s12864-016-3126-z.

12. Lilburn TG, Kim KS, Ostrom NE, Byzek KR, Leadbetter JR, Breznak JA. Nitrogen Fixation by Symbiotic and Free-Living Spirochetes. Science. 2001;292:2495–8.

13. Desai MS, Brune A. Bacteroidales ectosymbionts of gut flagellates shape the nitrogenfixing community in dry-wood termites. The ISME Journal. 2012;6:1302–13.

14. Tiedje J. Ecology of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium. In: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 1988. p. 179–244.

15. Potrikus CJ, Breznak JA. Gut bacteria recycle uric acid nitrogen in termites: A strategy for nutrient conservation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1981;78:4601–5.

16. Hongoh Y, Sharma VK, Prakash T, Noda S, Toh H, Taylor TD, et al. Genome of an endosymbiont coupling N2 fixation to cellulolysis within protist cells in termite gut. Science (New York, NY). 2008;322 November:1108–9.

17. Kuwahara H, Yuki M, Izawa K, Ohkuma M, Hongoh Y. Genome of "*Ca*. Desulfovibrio trichonymphae", an H2-oxidizing bacterium in a tripartite symbiotic system within a protist cell in the termite gut. The ISME journal. 2017;11:766–76.

18. Warnecke F, Luginbühl P, Ivanova N, Ghassemian M, Richardson TH, Stege JT, et al. Metagenomic and functional analysis of hindgut microbiota of a wood-feeding higher termite. Nature. 2007;450:560–5.

19. Ohkuma M, Noda S, Hattori S, Iida T, Yuki M, Starns D, et al. Acetogenesis from H2 plus CO2 and nitrogen fixation by an endosymbiotic spirochete of a termite-gut cellulolytic protist. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2015;112:10224–30.

20. Hongoh Y, Sharma VK, Prakash T, Noda S, Taylor TD, Kudo T, et al. Complete genome of the uncultured Termite Group 1 bacteria in a single host protist cell. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2008;105:5555–60.

21. Zheng H, Dietrich C, Radek R, Brune A. *Endomicrobium proavitum*, the first isolate of *Endomicrobia* class. nov. (phylum *Elusimicrobia*) - an ultramicrobacterium with an unusual cell cycle that fixes nitrogen with a Group IV nitrogenase. Environmental Microbiology. 2016;18:191–204.

22. Hongoh Y, Sato T, Noda S, Ui S, Kudo T, Ohkuma M. *Candidatus* Symbiothrix dinenymphae: Bristle-like *Bacteroidales* ectosymbionts of termite gut protists. Environmental Microbiology. 2007;9:2631–5.

23. Yuki M, Kuwahara H, Shintani M, Izawa K, Sato T, Starns D, et al. Dominant ectosymbiotic bacteria of cellulolytic protists in the termite gut also have the potential to digest lignocellulose. Environ Microbiol. 2015;17:4942–53.

24. Brune A. *Endomicrobia*: intracellular symbionts of termite gut flagellates. Endocytobiosis and Cell Research. 2012;23:11–5.

25. Shimada K, Lo N, Kitade O, Wakui A, Maekawa K. Cellulolytic Protist Numbers Rise and Fall Dramatically in Termite Queens and Kings during Colony Foundation. Eukaryot Cell. 2013;12:545–50.

26. Noda S, Kitade O, Inoue T, Kawai M, Kanuka M, Hiroshima K, et al. Cospeciation in the triplex symbiosis of termite gut protists (*Pseudotrichonympha* spp.), their hosts, and their bacterial endosymbionts. Molecular Ecology. 2007;16:1257–66.

27. Ohkuma M. Symbioses of flagellates and prokaryotes in the gut of lower termites. Trends in Microbiology. 2008;16:345–52.

28. Ikeda-Ohtsubo W, Brune A. Cospeciation of termite gut flagellates and their bacterial endosymbionts: *Trichonympha* species and "*Candidatus* Endomicrobium trichonymphae." Molecular Ecology. 2009;18:332–42.

29. Desai MS, Strassert JFH, Meuser K, Hertel H, Ikeda-Ohtsubo W, Radek R, et al. Strict cospeciation of devescovinid flagellates and *Bacteroidales* ectosymbionts in the gut of dry-wood termites (Kalotermitidae). Environmental Microbiology. 2010;12:2120–32.

30. Radek R, Meuser K, Strassert JFH, Arslan O, Teßmer A, Šobotník J, et al. Exclusive Gut Flagellates of Serritermitidae Suggest a Major Transfaunation Event in Lower Termites: Description of *Heliconympha glossotermitis* gen. nov. spec. nov. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2018;65:77–92.

Trichomonadida 31. Yamin MA. Flagellates of the orders Kirby, Oxymonadida and Hypermastigida Grassé. Grassi & Foà reported from lower termites Mastotermitidae, Kalotermitidae, Hodotermitidae, (Isoptera families Termopsidae. Rhinotermitidae, and Serritermitidae) and from the wood-feeding roach Cryptocercus (Dictyoptera: Cryptocercidae). Sociobiology. 1979;:5-119.

32. Kitade O. Comparison of Symbiotic Flagellate Faunae between Termites and a Wood-Feeding Cockroach of the Genus *Cryptocercus*. Microbes Environ. 2004;19:215–20.

33. Waidele L, Korb J, Voolstra CR, Künzel S, Dedeine F, Staubach F. Differential Ecological Specificity of Protist and Bacterial Microbiomes across a Set of Termite Species. Front Microbiol. 2017;8. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.02518.

34. Bourguignon T, Lo N, Dietrich C, Šobotník J, Sidek S, Roisin Y, et al. Rampant Host Switching Shaped the Termite Gut Microbiome. Current biology : CB. 2018;28:649-654.e2.

35. Boucias DG, Cai Y, Sun Y, Lietze V-U, Sen R, Raychoudhury R, et al. The hindgut lumen prokaryotic microbiota of the termite *Reticulitermes flavipes* and its responses to dietary lignocellulose composition. Molecular ecology. 2013;22:1836–53.

36. He S, Ivanova N, Kirton E, Allgaier M, Bergin C, Scheffrahn RH, et al. Comparative Metagenomic and Metatranscriptomic Analysis of Hindgut Paunch Microbiota in Woodand Dung-Feeding Higher Termites. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e61126.

37. Dietrich C, Köhler T, Brune A, Kohler T, Brune A. The cockroach origin of the termite gut microbiota: Patterns in bacterial community structure reflect major evolutionary events. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2014;80:2261–9.

38. Mikaelyan A, Dietrich C, Köhler T, Poulsen M, Sillam-Dussès D, Brune A, et al. Diet is the primary determinant of bacterial community structure in the guts of higher termites. Molecular Ecology. 2015;24:5284–95.

39. Abdul Rahman N, Parks DH, Willner DL, Engelbrektson AL, Goffredi SK, Warnecke F, et al. A molecular survey of Australian and North American termite genera indicates that vertical inheritance is the primary force shaping termite gut microbiomes. Microbiome. 2015;3:5.

40. Rossmassler K, Dietrich C, Thompson C, Mikaelyan A, Nonoh JO, Scheffrahn RH, et al. Metagenomic analysis of the microbiota in the highly compartmented hindguts of six wood- or soil-feeding higher termites. Microbiome. 2015;3:56.

41. Tai V, James ER, Nalepa CA, Scheffrahn RH, Perlman SJ, Keeling PJ, et al. The role of host phylogeny varies in shaping microbial diversity in the hindguts of lower termites. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2015;81:1059–70.

42. Zhang X, Leadbetter JR. Evidence for cascades of perturbation and adaptation in the metabolic genes of higher termite gut symbionts. mBio. 2012;3:1–11.

43. Duarte S, Nobre T, Borges PAV, Nunes L. Symbiotic flagellate protists as cryptic drivers of adaptation and invasiveness of the subterranean termite *Reticulitermes grassei* Clément. Ecology and Evolution. 2018;8:5242–53.

44. Liu N, Li H, Chevrette MG, Zhang L, Cao L, Zhou H, et al. Functional metagenomics reveals abundant polysaccharide-degrading gene clusters and cellobiose utilization pathways within gut microbiota of a wood-feeding higher termite. The ISME Journal. 2019;13:104.

45. Abe T. Evolution of life types in termites. In: Kawano S, Connell JH, and Hidaka T, editor. Evolution and coadaptation in biotic communities. University of Tokyo Press; 1987. p. 125–46.

46. Korb J. Termites. Current Biology; 2007.

47. Lenz M. Food resources, colony growth and caste development in wood-feeding termites. In: Nalepa CA, Hunt J, editors. Nourishment and evolution in insect societies. Boulder, CO, USA: Westview Press; 1994. p. 159–209.

48. Korb J, Hoffmann K, Hartfelder K. Molting dynamics and juvenile hormone titer profiles in the nymphal stages of a lower termite, *Cryptotermes secundus* (Kalotermitidae)--signatures of developmental plasticity. J Insect Physiol. 2012;58:376–83.

49. Lainé LV, Lainé LV, Wright DJ. The life cycle of *Reticulitermes* spp (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae): what do we know? Bull Entomol Res. 2003;93:267–378.

50. Douglas AE. Lessons from studying insect symbioses. Cell Host Microbe. 2011;10:359–67.

51. Ma D, Leulier F. The importance of being persistent: The first true resident gut symbiont in *Drosophila*. PLOS Biology. 2018;16:e2006945.

52. Hongoh Y, Deevong P, Inoue T, Moriya S, Trakulnaleamsai S, Ohkuma M, et al. Intraand Interspecific Comparisons of Bacterial Diversity and Community Structure Support Coevolution of Gut Microbiota and Termite Host Intra- and Interspecific Comparisons of Bacterial Diversity and Community Structure Support Coevolution of Gut Micro. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2005;71:6590–9. 53. Hongoh Y, Ekpornprasit L, Inoue T, Moriya S, Trakulnaleamsai S, Ohkuma M, et al. Intracolony variation of bacterial gut microbiota among castes and ages in the fungus-growing termite *Macrotermes gilvus*. Molecular Ecology. 2006;15:505–16.

54. Benjamino J, Graf J. Characterization of the Core and Caste-Specific Microbiota in the Termite, *Reticulitermes flavipes*. Frontiers in microbiology. 2016;7:171.

55. Benjamino J, Lincoln S, Srivastava R, Graf J. Low-abundant bacteria drive compositional changes in the gut microbiota after dietary alteration. Microbiome. 2018;6. doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0469-5.

56. Bray JR, Curtis JT. An Ordination of the Upland Forest Communities of Southern Wisconsin. Ecological Monographs. 1957;27:326–49.

57. Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, et al. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biology. 2011;12:R60.

58. Whittle G, Shoemaker NB, Salyers AA. The role of *Bacteroides* conjugative transposons in the dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences (CMLS). 2002;59:2044–54.

59. Liu N, Zhang L, Zhou H, Zhang M, Yan X, Wang Q, et al. Metagenomic insights into metabolic capacities of the gut microbiota in a fungus-cultivating termite (*Odontotermes yunnanensis*). PloS one. 2013;8:e69184.

60. Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42 Database issue:D490-495.

61. Déjean G, Blanvillain-Baufumé S, Boulanger A, Darrasse A, Bernonville TD de, Girard A-L, et al. The xylan utilization system of the plant pathogen *Xanthomonas campestris* pv

*campestris* controls epiphytic life and reveals common features with oligotrophic bacteria and animal gut symbionts. New Phytologist. 2013;198:899–915.

62. Hottes AK, Meewan M, Yang D, Arana N, Romero P, McAdams HH, et al. Transcriptional Profiling of *Caulobacter crescentus* during Growth on Complex and Minimal Media. J Bacteriol. 2004;186:1448–61.

63. Burnum KE, Callister SJ, Nicora CD, Purvine SO, Hugenholtz P, Warnecke F, et al. Proteome insights into the symbiotic relationship between a captive colony of *Nasutitermes corniger* and its hindgut microbiome. The ISME journal. 2011;5:161–4.

64. Baum DA, Futuyma DJ, Hoekstra HE, Lenski RE, Moore AJ, Peichel CL, et al. The Princeton Guide to Evolution. Princeton University Press; 2013.

65. McMahan EA. Feeding relationships and radio-isotope techniques. In: Biology of Termites. Academic Press, New York, London; 1969. p. 387–406.

66. Blanvillain S, Meyer D, Boulanger A, Lautier M, Guynet C, Denancé N, et al. Plant Carbohydrate Scavenging through TonB-Dependent Receptors: A Feature Shared by Phytopathogenic and Aquatic Bacteria. PLoS ONE. 2007;2:e224.

67. Schauer K, Rodionov DA, de Reuse H. New substrates for TonB-dependent transport: do we only see the 'tip of the iceberg'? Trends in Biochemical Sciences. 2008;33:330–8.

68. Koebnik R. TonB-dependent trans-envelope signalling: the exception or the rule? Trends Microbiol. 2005;13:343–7.

69. Martens EC, Lowe EC, Chiang H, Pudlo NA, Wu M, McNulty NP, et al. Recognition and degradation of plant cell wall polysaccharides by two human gut symbionts. PLoS Biol. 2011;9:e1001221.

70. Fengel D, Grosser D. Chemische Zusammensetzung von Nadel- und Laubhölzern.Holz als Roh-und Werkstoff. 1975;33:32–4.

71. Pettersen RC. The Chemical Composition of Wood. Adv Chem Ser. 1984;207.

72. Korb J, Lenz M. Reproductive decision-making in the termite, *Cryptotermes secundus* (Kalotermitidae), under variable food conditions. Behavioral Ecology. 2004;15:390–5.

73. Scheffrahn R. Cuban subterranean termite - *Reticulitermes simplex* (Hagen). 2007. http://entnemdept.uft.edu/creatures/urban/termites/p\_simplex.htm. Accessed 12 Jul 2018.

74. Dedeine F, Dupont S, Guyot S, Matsuura K, Wang C, Habibpour B, et al. Historical biogeography of *Reticulitermes termites* (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) inferred from analyses of mitochondrial and nuclear loci. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. 2016;94 Pt B:778–90.

75. Smythe RV, Carter FL. Feeding Responses to Sound Wood by the Eastern Subterranean Termite, *Reticulitermes flavipes*. Annals of the Entomological Society of America. 1969;62:335–7.

76. Cárdenas AM, Gallardo P, Toledo D. Suitability of multiple Mediterranean oak species as a food resource for *Reticulitermes grassei* Clément (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). Bull Entomol Res. 2018;108:532–9.

77. Janzow MP, Judd TM. The Termite *Reticulitermes flavipes* (Rhinotermitidae: Isoptera) Can Acquire Micronutrients from Soil. Environ Entomol. 2015;44:814–20.

78. Judd TM, Landes JR, Ohara H, Riley AW. A Geometric Analysis of the Regulation of Inorganic Nutrient Intake by the Subterranean Termite *Reticulitermes flavipes* Kollar. Insects. 2017;8:97.

79. Wertz JT, Breznak JA. *Stenoxybacter acetivorans* gen. nov., sp. nov., an Acetate-Oxidizing Obligate Microaerophile among Diverse O2-Consuming Bacteria from Termite Guts. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73:6819–28.

80. Kuhnigk T, Branke J, Krekeler D, Cypionka H, König H. A Feasible Role of Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria in the Termite Gut. Systematic and Applied Microbiology. 1996;19:139– 49.

81. Breznak JA. Biochemical aspects of symbiosis between termites and their intestinal microbiota. In: Anderson J, editor. Invertebrate - Microbial Interaction. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; 1984. p. 173–203.

82. Li H, Yelle DJ, Li C, Yang M, Ke J, Zhang R, et al. Lignocellulose pretreatment in a fungus-cultivating termite. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2017;114:4709–14.

83. Huang X-F, Bakker MG, Judd TM, Reardon KF, Vivanco JM. Variations in diversity and richness of gut bacterial communities of termites (*Reticulitermes flavipes*) fed with grassy and woody plant substrates. Microbial ecology. 2013;65:531–6.

84. Harrison MC, Jongepier E, Robertson HM, Arning N, Bitard-Feildel T, Chao H, et al. Hemimetabolous genomes reveal molecular basis of termite eusociality. Nature Ecology & Evolution. 2018;2:557.

85. Misof B, Liu S, Meusemann K, Peters RS, Donath A, Mayer C, et al. Phylogenomics resolves the timing and pattern of insect evolution. Science. 2014;346:763–7.

86. Bushnell B. BBMap. sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/.

87. Huson DH, Auch AF, Qi J, Schuster SC. MEGAN analysis of metagenomic data. Genome Res. 2007;17:377–86.

88. Suzuki R, Shimodaira H. Pvclust: An R package for assessing the uncertainty in hierarchical clustering. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:1540–2.

89. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, Mcglinn D, et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. Ordination methods, diversity analysis and other functions for community and vegetation ecologists. Version 2.4-3. URL https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=vegan. 2017.

90. Asnicar F, Weingart G, Tickle TL, Huttenhower C, Segata N. Compact graphical representation of phylogenetic data and metadata with GraPhIAn. PeerJ. 2015;3:e1029.

91. Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nature Methods. 2015;12:59–60.

92. Shallom D, Shoham Y. Microbial hemicellulases. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2003;6:219–28.