

Identification of novel common variants associated with chronic pain using conditional false discovery rate analysis with major depressive disorder and assessment of pleiotropic effects of *LRFN5*.

Keira J.A. Johnston^{1,2,3}, Mark J. Adams⁴, Barbara I. Nicholl¹, Joey Ward¹, Rona J Strawbridge^{1,5}, Andrew McIntosh⁴, Daniel J. Smith¹, Mark E.S. Bailey³

¹Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK

²Deanery of Molecular, Genetic and Population Health Sciences, College of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

³School of Life Sciences, College of Medical, Veterinary & Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK

⁴Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

⁵Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

Chronic pain is highly prevalent worldwide, with a significant socioeconomic burden, and also contributes to excess mortality. Chronic pain is a complex trait that is moderately heritable and genetically, as well as phenotypically, correlated with major depressive disorder (MDD). Use of the Conditional False Discovery Rate (cFDR) approach, which leverages pleiotropy identified from existing GWAS outputs, has been successful in discovering novel associated variants in related phenotypes. Here, genome-wide association study outputs for both von Korff chronic pain grade as a quasi-quantitative trait and for MDD were used to identify variants meeting a cFDR threshold for each outcome phenotype separately, as well as a conjunctive cFDR (ccFDR) threshold for both phenotypes together. Using a moderately conservative threshold, we identified a total of 11 novel single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), six of which were associated with chronic pain grade and nine of which were associated with MDD. Four SNPs on chromosome 14 were associated with both chronic pain grade and MDD. SNPs associated only with chronic pain grade were located within *SLC16A7* on chromosome 12. SNPs associated only with MDD were located either in a gene-dense region on chromosome 1 harbouring *LINC01360*, *LRRIQ3*, *FPGT* and *FPGT-TNNI3K*, or within/close to *LRFN5* on chromosome 14. The SNPs associated with both outcomes were also located within *LRFN5*. Several of the SNPs on chromosomes 1 and 14 were identified as being associated with expression levels of nearby genes in the brain and central nervous system. Overall, using the cFDR approach, we identified several novel genetic loci associated with chronic pain and we describe likely pleiotropic effects of a recently identified MDD locus on chronic pain.

Author Summary

Genetic variants explaining variation in complex traits can often be associated with more than one trait at once ('pleiotropy'). Taking account of this pleiotropy in genetic studies can increase power to find sites in the genome harbouring trait-associated variants. In this study we used the suspected underlying pleiotropy between chronic pain and major depressive disorder to discover novel variants associated with chronic pain, and to investigate genetic variation that may be shared between the two disorders.

Introduction

Chronic pain is defined as pain lasting longer than 12 weeks. It affects around 30% of the global adult population (Elzahaf, Tashani, Unsworth, & Johnson, 2012), imposes significant socioeconomic burden, and contributes to excess mortality (Hocking, Morris, Dominiczak, Porteous, & Smith, 2012; Vos et al., 2015). Chronic pain is often associated with both specific and non-specific medical conditions (such as cancers and HIV/AIDS, fibromyalgia and musculoskeletal conditions), and with a range of injuries (Greene, 2010; Merskey & Bogduk, 1994). It is also commonly co-morbid with mood disorders, such as major depressive disorder (MDD; Flint & Kendler, 2014; McIntosh et al., 2016). MDD is a common and serious mood disorder involving psychological symptoms such as persistent low mood and anhedonia, and physical symptoms such as changes in appetite and sleep disturbance (American Psychiatric Association 2013). In common with chronic pain, MDD also imposes a significant socioeconomic burden worldwide and is now the leading cause of disability globally (Vos et al., 2015). The causal direction of factors underlying the association between chronic pain and mood disorders is unclear. Chronic pain is a complex trait with moderate heritability (Hocking et al., 2012) and chronic pain and MDD are genetically correlated (McIntosh et al., 2016), suggesting that pleiotropic variants exist that predispose to both conditions. To date, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have not identified any genome-wide significant variants

associated with chronic pain at any site (Mogil, 2012; Zorina-Lichtenwalter, Meloto, Khoury, & Diatchenko, 2016).

An alternative strategy for identifying genetic variation contributing to complex traits is to make use of existing GWAS summary statistic outputs within more powerful discovery approaches, such as the conditional false discovery rate (cFDR) approach (Andreassen, Thompson, et al., 2013). The cFDR approach makes better use of the genetic overlap between traits or conditions to discover loci based on their pleiotropic effects in each phenotype separately, as the strength of evidence for involvement of a locus in a primary trait may be enhanced by consideration of the strength of evidence for the same locus in an associated secondary trait. In the case of chronic pain, the association with mood disorders and mood-related traits is of substantial interest, as improved understanding of the biological underpinnings of this overlap may stimulate the development of novel treatment strategies.

cFDR analyses have been used to find novel variants associated with schizophrenia, type 2 diabetes, Alzheimer disease, bipolar disorder and systolic blood pressure (Andreassen, Djurovic, et al., 2013; Andreassen et al., 2014; Andreassen, Thompson, et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016). This therefore represents a promising and potentially more cost-effective method for identifying new SNPs associated with complex traits by maximising the utility of existing GWAS outputs. We aimed to find chronic pain-associated SNPs using cFDR analysis, with MDD as the secondary trait. In addition, we sought to discover loci with pleiotropic effects on these two phenotypes. Our findings revealed six novel chronic pain-associated SNPs and a locus within the *LRFN5* gene where the nature of the pleiotropic effects is consistent with major depression risk being mediated by genetic effects on risks of chronic pain. These findings contribute to our understanding of the biological underpinnings of chronic pain and a component of its shared biology with major depressive disorder.

Methods

Phenotype definition and source data

For chronic pain in the cFDR analysis, summary statistics from a GWAS carried out collaboratively with Pfizer-23andMe Inc (McIntosh et al., 2016) were used. In that study, chronic pain severity was modelled as a quasi-quantitative trait, defined by von Korff and colleagues as ‘chronic pain grade’ (CPG; Von Korff, Ormel, Keefe, & Dworkin, 1992), on a scale from 0 (no chronic pain reported) to 4 (high intensity, high disability, severely limiting chronic pain). The GWAS was carried out using data from 23, 301 unrelated individuals of white European descent (10, 543 individuals with CPG levels 1-4 combined, 12, 758 individuals with CPG level 0; Supplementary Table 1). For Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), summary statistics from a recent case-control GWAS meta-analysis (Wray et al., 2018) were provided by the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) after removal of data from 23andMe and UK Biobank participants, yielding a dataset originating from an analysis using 43,028 cases and 87, 522 controls. Thus, none of the participants contributing to the CPG summary statistics overlapped with those contributing to the MDD summary statistics. Phenotype definitions, study population demographics and meta-analysis procedures for the MDD GWAS have been described previously (Wray et al., 2018).

Data Preparation & LD Pruning

A dataset of SNPs for which a *p*-value for association, chromosome position data and rsID were available in both MDD and CPG datasets was compiled. This was then LD pruned as follows. Firstly, PLINK-format genotype data, for each SNP in the newly compiled CPG-MDD summary-statistic dataset, was extracted from the UK Biobank genotype data (approved application 6553). Pruning was carried out using command line PLINK (version 1.9) --indep-pairwise function. From each SNP-pair with $r^2 > 0.2$ within a 50-SNP window of the lead SNP, one was removed according to PLINK’s greedy pruning algorithm, the window slid along 5 SNPs, and the pruning repeated. This resulted in an LD-pruned dataset of 774,292 SNPs with association data available for both MDD and CPG.

Conditional False Discovery Rate (cFDR) analyses

cFDR is an extension of local-area false-discovery rate (FDR), which is in turn a re-thinking of Benjamini & Hochberg's 'tail-end' false discovery rate procedure (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995; Efron, 2007; Liley & Wallace, 2015; Storey, 2002). The tail-end FDR procedure is concerned with controlling the FDR at a pre-defined level and deciding the maximum test statistic value from a list of ordered test statistic values that allows for this (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995), which becomes the cut-off value for deciding significance. Local FDR reframes the FDR as a Bayesian posterior probability that the SNP in question is not associated with the disease or trait, given its association test statistic (usually its p value) (Efron, 2007; Storey, 2002).

cFDR analysis of GWAS summary statistics simply extends local FDR, with the result being the posterior probability that the SNP in question is not associated with the primary phenotype, given its association test statistic value for both the primary phenotype and for a secondary, related phenotype. This allows for exploitation of any underlying pleiotropic genetic architecture, believed to be ubiquitous in human complex disease (Gratten & Visscher, 2016; Sivakumaran et al., 2011), along with an increase in power compared to traditional Bonferroni correction of GWAS summary statistic output.

cFDR and conjunctive cFDR (ccFDR) values for each SNP for CPG given MDD and vice versa were calculated (Formula 1) as previously detailed (Liley & Wallace, 2015) using the statistical software R (version 3.3.3).

$$cFDR = \Pr(H_{0(i)} | p_i \leq P_i, p_j \leq P_j) = \frac{p_i}{\Pr(p_i \leq P_i | p_j \leq P_j)} \quad (1)$$

The cFDR value for a SNP obtained from the above formula is the probability that the SNP is not associated with the primary phenotype, given its strength of association with the secondary phenotype. The ccFDR was obtained via taking the higher of the two cFDR values obtained for each SNP. The significance threshold chosen was cFDR (and ccFDR) ≤ 0.01 .

Genomic Context Analyses

The genomic context for SNPs with significant cFDR values in either analysis was examined. The R package ‘rsnps’ (Chamberlain et al 2016) was used to extract data from records in NCBI dbSNP (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp>). Gene context for each SNP was examined in the UCSC Genome Browser (build GRCh38/hg38) (Kent, Sugnet, Furey, & Roskin, 2002), using a window of 0.5Mbp around each SNP and data from the GENCODE v24 track, validated or reviewed by either Refseq or SwissProt staff. The presence of cis-eQTLs close to the significant SNPs was investigated using the IGV eQTL Browser (Aguet et al., 2017) web interface.

Further Exploration of Pleiotropy in *LRFN5*

Regression models were run to examine associations between genotype at a SNP identified as pleiotropic in the ccFDR analysis and relevant phenotypes in data from the UK Biobank (chronic pain, MDD, age attended assessment centre and sex; approved applications 10302, 6553). Genotype at rs11846556 (the SNP with the lowest ccFDR) in UK Biobank individuals was coded as number of effect-associated alleles (0, 1, 2 copies of the A allele).

Chronic pain was assessed via the question ‘pain types experienced in the past month’, with possible answers as follows: each of 7 different body sites (multiple sites allowed), or ‘all over the body’, or ‘do not know’, or ‘prefer not to answer’. In a separate question, the duration of any pain at the reportable sites/all over the body was assessed. A dichotomous chronic pain variable was defined as the presence of chronic pain in at least 1 site (or all over the body) for longer than 3 months. Those who did not report pain of that duration were assigned to a control group.

In addition to this dichotomous ‘presence of chronic pain’ variable, a chronic pain variable capturing information about the number of sites of chronic pain was also created (chronic pain category; treated as an ordinal variable in regression models), again employing the 3 month minimum duration criterion: 0 = no chronic pain, 1 = single site, 2 = 2-3 sites, 3 = 4-7 sites, 4 = all over the body (Nicholl et al 2014). Individuals giving ‘prefer not to answer’ and ‘do not know’ answers were excluded from all analyses.

MDD cases were ascertained according to Davis et al 2018 from a subset of UK Biobank participants who completed a detailed the mental health questionnaire assessment (N = 157, 366). Controls consisted of those with no mood disorder; those with bipolar disorder were excluded from analyses. An ordinal MDD phenotype (MDD severity) was also obtained by classifying MDD by number of episodes: 0 = no mood disorder, 1 = single episode MDD, 2 = recurrent MDD.

A UK Biobank dataset where all individuals had complete information on age, sex, rs11846556 genotype and chronic pain variables was assembled (N = 469, 253). Logistic regression of chronic pain (case-control) on rs1186556 genotype (adjusted for age and sex) and ordinal regression of chronic pain category on rs1186556 genotype (adjusted for age and sex) were then carried out to assess the relationship between SNP genotype and chronic pain.

A second dataset where all individuals had complete information on age, sex, rs11846556 genotype and MDD variables was assembled (N = 122,286). Logistic regression of MDD (case-control) on rs11846556 genotype (adjusted for age and sex), and ordinal regression of MDD severity on rs11846556 genotype (adjusted for age and sex) were then performed to assess the relationship between SNP genotype and MDD.

A final dataset, where all individuals had complete information on age, sex, genotype, chronic pain and MDD variables was assembled (N = 121,246). Logistic regressions of MDD (case-control) on chronic pain (case-control) and genotype (adjusted for age and sex), and of chronic pain (case-control) on MDD (case-control) and genotype (adjusted for age and sex) were then carried out, to assess whether any relationship between MDD and SNP genotype was attenuated by chronic pain, and whether any relationship between SNP genotype and chronic pain was attenuated by MDD.

P values for ordinal regression estimates were obtained via comparing the t value obtained to that of a normal t-distribution. Standard errors were used to calculate 95% CI values for ordinal regression output. All models were adjusted for age and sex, both of which were highly significant in all cases. Some models were additionally adjusted for the phenotype (pain or MDD) not being tested as the outcome variable.

Results

[Table 1]

cFDR and ccFDR analyses

The conditional false discovery approach was used to identify variants associated with chronic pain grade, MDD, or both together in a pleiotropic fashion. Eleven SNPs in total were found at cFDR \leq 0.01 (Table 1), six of which, located on chromosomes 12 and 14, were associated with CPG and nine of which, located on chromosomes 1 and 14, were associated with MDD. Four of these 11 SNPs, all located within a 131 kbp region on chromosome 14, were found to be pleiotropic (ccFDR \leq 0.01).

Genomic Context

The SNPs associated with CPG only were located close to *SLC16A7* on chromosome 12 (Supplementary Table 2a, 2b), while the SNPs associated with MDD only were located within a gene-dense region on chromosome 1 containing *LINC01360*, *LRRIQ3*, *FPGT*, *FPGT-TNNI3K*, amongst other genes (Supplementary Table 2b) or close to (upstream of) or within *LRFN5* on chromosome 14 (Supplementary Table 2a, 2b). The SNPs found to be pleiotropic were also located within *LRFN5* on chromosome 14 (Supplementary Table 2a, 2b). The pleiotropic *LRFN5* SNPs were all located just upstream of the 5'-most promoter, or within a large intron close to the 5'-end of the gene. The SNPs associated with MDD only were also located within this intron, or were located a little further upstream of the gene. The CPG-only SNPs were all located downstream of *SLC16A7*. One of the pleiotropic SNPs on chromosome 14, rs11157241, is located approx. 30 kbp upstream of *LRFN5*.

227 GTeX eQTL lookups revealed that some of these phenotype-associated SNPs were also associated
 228 with expression levels of nearby genes. A SNP associated only with MDD, on chromosome 1
 229 (rs35641559), was found to be significantly associated with expression of a long non-coding RNA
 230 gene *LINC01360* in the testis (FDR < 0.05, Supplementary Table 2c). The MDD-associated and
 231 pleiotropic SNPs on chromosome 14 are all significantly associated with expression of *LRFN5* in a
 232 range of tissues, including brain, heart, adipose tissue and spleen (Supplementary Table 2c). The
 233 CPG-associated SNPs on chromosome 12 were not significantly associated with expression of any
 234 gene in the eQTL database (Supplementary Table 2c).

235

Association between rs11846556 genotype and MDD and chronic pain in UK Biobank

[Table 2]

To investigate the nature of the pleiotropy revealed for variants within *LRFN5*, regression models were used to assess the strength of association between rs11846556 genotype and each of the two phenotypes of interest, chronic pain and MDD. Genotype at this SNP was associated with significantly increased risk for chronic pain (Table 2a; FDR-adjusted $p = 0.0008$), with each additional copy of the A allele increasing the odds of having chronic pain by 2% (OR = 1.02). rs11846556 genotype was also associated with chronic pain category (Table 2b), with each additional copy of the A allele associated with an OR of 1.02 per chronic pain category (FDR-adjusted $p = 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$). Genotype at rs11846556 was not significantly associated with MDD (Table 2a) or with MDD severity (Table 2b). rs11846556 genotype accounted for 0.29% of the variance in chronic pain presence and 0.25% of the variance in chronic pain category (estimated using McFadden's R^2 , adjusting for age & sex). rs11846556 genotype was no longer associated with presence of chronic pain after adjustment for MDD status (FDR-adj $p = 0.096$), and with a larger effect size (per allele betas were 0.017 vs 0.015 in the MDD-adjusted and non-adjusted models, respectively).

Discussion

Genetics of Chronic Pain & Related Disorders

The genetics of pain have hitherto mostly been investigated using candidate gene and animal-model approaches. No genome-wide significant loci have been reported from GWAS of presence of chronic pain at any site, or of chronic pain severity, although GWAS have been carried out for disorders with chronic pain as a symptom, and loci have been reported for particular subtypes of chronic or regular

pain e.g. migraine, back pain and other musculoskeletal disorders (Suri et al., 2018; Zorina-Lichtenwalter et al., 2016). Chronic pain reported at particular sites may be triggered by environmental insults (such as surgery or physical trauma) or by long-term inflammatory processes, but the mechanisms that allow pain to persist are thought to be largely neurogenic in origin, with aberrant feedback between the spinal cord and brain strongly implicated (Apkarian, Hashmi, & Baliki, 2011; Q. Xu & Yaksh, 2011). Thus, exploration of the molecular mechanisms underlying chronic pain is likely to benefit from studies focussing on phenotypes relating to severity and other measures of overall susceptibility to chronic pain.

In this study, the power to detect CPG-associated SNPs was increased by leveraging the additional information coming from the correlation between predisposing loci for CPG and for MDD. Overall, we found six CPG-associated and nine MDD-associated SNPs. In addition, pleiotropic effects of four *LRFN5* SNPs were observed.

CPG-Associated SNPs

The SNPs associated with CPG only were located close to *SLC16A7*, which encodes monocarboxylate transporter 2 (MCT2). In the central nervous system, MCT2 is involved in high affinity, proton-coupled transport of metabolites (particularly lactate) into neurons and may play a role in neuronal uptake of energy substrates released by glia (Itoh et al., 2003; Pellerin, 2003). MCT2 is localised to the post-synaptic compartment in many human neurons and may have a specialised role in synaptic functioning (Chiry et al., 2008, Pierre et al., 2009,). Regulation of *SLC16A7* has also been linked to disorders of the brain: loss or under-expression has been associated with temporal-lobe epilepsy (Lauritzen et al., 2012) and it may be expressed and methylated at different levels in psychotic patients versus controls (Chen et al., 2014).

MDD-Associated SNPs

The SNP on chromosome 1 associated with MDD only was located close to *LRRIQ3* and *FPGT*. *LRRIQ3* encodes leucine-rich repeat (LRR) and IQ motif containing protein 3, a calcium-channel component. LRR-domain containing proteins in general are involved in cell-cell communication,

including processes involved in innate immunity and neuronal development (Bella, Hindle, McEwan, & Lovell, 2008; Ng et al., 2011). *FPGT* encodes fucose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase, a protein involved in the alternative (salvage) pathways of fucose metabolism (Becker & Lowe, 2003). Fucose metabolism is important in a variety of cell-cell communication and host-microbe interaction situations, but is also important during neuronal development (Becker & Lowe, 2003). Variants in the *LRRIQ3* region have been previously associated with schizophrenia (Ripke et al., 2014), neurodevelopmental disorders (Reuter et al., 2017) and migraine (Gormley et al., 2016).

Pleiotropic SNPs in *LRFN5*

The significantly associated SNPs on chromosome 14 found within or close to *LRFN5* were either associated with MDD only or were pleiotropic and associated with both CPG and MDD. Due to the LD-based pruning carried out before the cFDR analysis, the MDD-only and pleiotropic SNPs are not in strong linkage disequilibrium and may thus be tagging different functional variants, potentially acting on risk in different ways. *LRFN5* encodes leucine-rich repeat (LRR) and fibronectin type 3 domain-containing protein 5. Proteins in the LRFN family span the plasma membrane, and their extracellular domains are thought to participate in the cell-cell interactions necessary for both neuronal development (Morimura, Inoue, Katayama, & Aruga, 2006; Nam, Mah, & Kim, 2011) and synapse formation (Choi et al., 2016). *Lrnf5*, along with another member of the *Lrnf* protein family, *Lrnf2*, may induce both inhibitory and excitatory presynaptic differentiation in nearby (Lin et al 2018), a process that may play a critical role in brain development and function (Cordova-Palomera et al 2016). As a family, these genes are primarily expressed in the central nervous system. Polymorphic markers within or close to *LRFN5* have been reported to be associated with progressive autism and familial schizophrenia (De Bruijn et al., 2010; B. Xu et al., 2009). Reduced expression of *Lrnf5* has also been reported to contribute to neuro-inflammation (Zhu et al., 2016). Each of the four pleiotropic SNPs has opposing directions of effect in MDD and CPG. For example, the effect allele at rs11846556 is associated with an increase in CPG but with reduced risk of MDD. This may be explained by theories whereby structural and connectivity-related changes in the brain which facilitate development and maintenance of chronic pain involve neurogenesis and synaptic plasticity (Apkarian

et al., 2011; Baliki, Mansour, Baria, & Apkarian, 2014; Vasic & Schmidt, 2017), but impaired neurogenesis is associated with depression (Fang, Demic, & Cheng, 2018; Jacobs, van Praag, & Gage, 2000). Additionally, differing expression patterns of *LRFN5* in the brain and CNS vs the periphery may be involved in opposing direction of effect associated with pleiotropic SNPs.

Cis-eQTLs

SNPs within and close to *LRFN5* on chromosome 14, and in the region close to long non-coding RNA gene *LINC01360* on chromosome 1, were found to be cis-eQTLs. rs35641559 on chromosome 1, associated with MDD only, was found to be significantly associated with *LOC105378800* expression levels in the testis. All four pleiotropic SNPs on chromosome 14, were found to be significantly associated with *LRFN5* expression levels in a range of tissues, including the brain. Further investigation of potential regulatory roles for these or other nearby variants is warranted.

Role of rs11846556 in the biology of chronic pain and depression

Number of A alleles at rs11846556, one of the pleiotropic SNPs identified here, was found to be associated with risk of chronic pain (and with higher values of the chronic pain category phenotype), but not with MDD risk or severity (in the UK Biobank cohort), and the effect size for the chronic pain association was not attenuated by inclusion of MDD in the regression model. This relationship is consistent with mediated pleiotropy rather than biological pleiotropy, i.e. a pathway in which genotype influences chronic pain risk, which in turn affects MDD risk, rather than influencing both by separate mechanisms. Formal mediation analyses was beyond the scope of this study primarily due to probable sequential ignorability violation and unmeasured confounders (Daniel, De Stavola, Cousens, & Vansteelandt, 2015; Imai, Keele, & Tingley, 2010).

As discussed above, each of the 4 SNPs tagged as pleiotropic, including rs11846556, are also cis-eQTLs for *LRFN5*. The SNP we explored in more detail, rs11846556, is a cis-eQTL of *LRFN5* in the cerebellum and cerebral hemisphere, along with tibial artery (GTEx single-tissue eQTL lookup). The number of A alleles at this SNP is significantly associated with increased expression of *LRFN5* in CNS tissues, but with a trend towards decreased expression in peripheral tissues (Supplementary

Figures 1a-d), suggesting the existence of tissue-specific regulatory effects. This SNP is also a cis-eQTL for expression of a long intergenic non-coding RNA (Genecode ID: ENSG00000258636.1) on the reverse strand with respect to *LRFN5*, but only in spleen and aorta.

Alterations in synaptic plasticity and neurogenesis in the hippocampus, which is often non-normal in cases of neuropathic pain, may underlie some of the cognitive and affective deficits seen in patients with major depression (Fasick et al 2015). However, in cases where depression is a downstream consequence of chronic pain, its onset may be influenced by lifestyle and other ‘above-the-skin’ factors that also operate downstream of chronic pain, rather than as a more direct result of synaptic changes related to *LRFN5* expression levels.

Our data therefore suggest that rs11846556 genotype is significantly associated with chronic pain, but not with MDD, and that the relationship between chronic pain and genotype is not attenuated by MDD - pleiotropic effects of rs11846556 (or the functional variant it is tagging) on chronic pain and MDD appear to be mediated rather than biological. Possession of more copies of the A allele at this locus is associated with increased *LRFN5* expression levels in the CNS, which may exert its effect on transition to a chronic pain state after a prior insult through consequent alterations to brain network connectivity.

Strengths and Limitations

A key strength of this study lies in its enhanced power, through the use of the cFDR approach, to detect the contribution of genetic variants to chronic pain relative to previous genome-wide approaches. Limitations include the self-reported nature of the chronic pain phenotype and the somewhat general phrasing of the questions used to elicit data about this phenotype in the 23&Me and UK Biobank studies, which precluded a finer dissection of the nature and severity of the pain being reported. In addition, the questions asked in the two studies were slightly different, generating chronic pain measures that may be capturing somewhat different aspects of the overall phenotype. ‘Chronic pain grade’ as assessed in the Pfizer-23andMe GWAS may be capturing a more direct severity-related

phenotype, whereas ‘chronic pain category’ as assessed in UKB is likely to be capturing a different aspect of chronic pain susceptibility related to sensitivity of the response to multiple insults, or to propensity to report. Despite this subtle distinction, we were able to demonstrate that an *LRFN5* SNP is associated with both these phenotypic pain measures. Future studies building on these findings would benefit from using clinically validated or supported phenotyping data, however.

Conclusions

To date no common variants have been associated with presence of chronic pain at any site in the body or with number of sites affected or pain severity. In this study six novel SNPs were found to be associated with chronic pain grade, a measure of pain severity. cFDR analyses also increased power to find MDD-associated variants in comparison to genome-wide significance thresholds. Conjunctural cFDR (ccFDR) analyses revealed evidence of pleiotropic effects of variants in *LRFN5*. The regions around the significant SNPs contain genes involved in, amongst other processes, neuronal cell metabolism and development, innate immunity and cell-cell interaction. These regions have also been previously implicated in a range of neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders. One of the pleiotropic SNPs identified on chromosome 14 (rs11846556), which is a cis-eQTL of *LRFN5* in cerebellum and cerebral hemisphere, was found to be associated with chronic pain but not MDD in UK Biobank. This provides intriguing preliminary evidence that the pleiotropy associated with variation in *LRFN5* may be mediated rather than horizontal.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the research participants and employees of 23andMe for making this work possible. We also thank all participants in the UK Biobank study. UK Biobank was established by the Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council, Department of Health, Scottish Government and

Northwest Regional Development Agency. UK Biobank has also had funding from the Welsh Assembly Government and the British Heart Foundation. Data collection was funded by UK Biobank. RJS is supported by a UKRI Innovation- HDR-UK Fellowship (MR/S003061/1). JW is supported by the JMAS Sim Fellowship for depression research from the Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh (173558). KJAJ is supported by an MRC Doctoral Training Programme Studentship at the Universities of Glasgow and Edinburgh. DJS acknowledges the support of the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation (Independent Investigator Award 1930), a Lister Prize Fellowship (173096) and the MRC Mental Health Data Pathfinder Award (MC_PC_17217).

References

- Aguet, F. et al. (2017) 'Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues', *Nature*, 550(7675), pp. 204–213. doi: 10.1038/nature24277. URL: <https://www.gtexportal.org/home/browseEqtls?location=chr1:1-50,000,000>
- Andreassen, O. A. et al. (2013) 'Improved Detection of Common Variants Associated with Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder Using Pleiotropy-Informed Conditional False Discovery Rate', *PLoS Genetics*, 9(4). doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003455.
- Andreassen, O. A. et al. (2013) 'Improved detection of common variants associated with schizophrenia by leveraging pleiotropy with cardiovascular-disease risk factors', *American Journal of Human Genetics*. The American Society of Human Genetics, 92(2), pp. 197–209. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.01.001.
- Andreassen, O. A. et al. (2014) 'Identifying common genetic variants in blood pressure due to polygenic pleiotropy with associated phenotypes', *Hypertension*, 63(4), pp. 819–826. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02077.
- Apkarian, A. V., Hashmi, J. A., & Baliki, M. N. (2011). Pain and the brain: Specificity and plasticity of the brain in clinical chronic pain. *Pain*, 152(SUPPL.3), S49–S64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2010.11.010>
- Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. (1995) 'Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing', *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, pp. 289–300. doi: 10.2307/2346101.

423 Baliki, M. N. et al. (2012) 'Cortico-striatal functional connectivity predicts transition to chronic back
424 pain', *Nature Neuroscience*. Nature Publishing Group, 15(8), pp. 1117–1119. doi: 10.1038/nn.3153.

425 Baliki, M. N. et al. (2014) 'Functional reorganization of the default mode network across chronic pain
426 conditions', *PLoS ONE*, 9(9). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0106133.

427 Baliki, M. N. and Apkarian, A. V. (2015) 'Nociception, Pain, Negative Moods, and Behavior
428 Selection', *Neuron*. Elsevier Inc., 87(3), pp. 474–491. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.06.005.

429 Becker, D. J. and Lowe, J. B. (2003) 'Fucose: Biosynthesis and biological function in mammals',
430 *Glycobiology*, 13(7). doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwg054.

431 Bella, J. et al. (2008) 'The leucine-rich repeat structure', *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*,
432 65(15), pp. 2307–2333. doi: 10.1007/s00018-008-8019-0.

433 Chamberlain, Ushey and Zhu (2016). rsnp: Get 'SNP' ('Single-Nucleotide' 'Polymorphism') Data
434 on the Web. R package version 0.2.0. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rsnp>

435 Chen, Y., Hor, H. H., & Tang, B. L. (2012). AMIGO is expressed in multiple brain cell types and may
436 regulate dendritic growth and neuronal survival. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 227(5), 2217–2229.
437 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.22958>

438 Chen, C. et al. (2014) 'Correlation between DNA methylation and gene expression in the brains of
439 patients with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia', *Bipolar Disorders*, 16(8), pp. 790–799. doi:
440 10.1111/bdi.12255.

441 Choi, Y. et al. (2016) 'SALM5 trans-synaptically interacts with LAR-RPTPs in a splicing-dependent
442 manner to regulate synapse development', *Scientific Reports*. Nature Publishing Group, 6(December
443 2015), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1038/srep26676.

444 Córdova-Palomera, A., Tornador, C., Falcón, C., Bargalló, N., Brambilla, P., Crespo-Facorro, B., ...
445 Faanás, L. (2016). Environmental factors linked to depression vulnerability are associated with altered
446 cerebellar resting-state synchronization. *Scientific Reports*, 6(March), 1–11.
447 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep37384>

448 Daniel, R. M. et al. (2015) 'Causal mediation analysis with multiple mediators', *Biometrics*, 71(1),
449 pp. 1–14. doi: 10.1111/biom.12248.

450 Davis, K. A. S., Coleman, J. R. I., Adams, M., Allen, N., Breen, G., Cullen, B., ... Hotopf, M. (2018).
451 Mental health in UK Biobank: development, implementation and results from an online questionnaire
452 completed by 157 366 participants. *BJPsych Open*, 4(03), 83–90. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2018.12>

453 Debernardi, R. et al. (2003) 'Cell-specific expression pattern of monocarboxylate transporters in
454 astrocytes and neurons observed in different mouse brain cortical cell cultures', *J Neurosci Res*, 73(2),
455 pp. 141–155. doi: 10.1002/jnr.10660.

456 Efron, B. (2007) 'Size, power and false discovery rates', *Annals of Statistics*, 35(4), pp. 1351–1377.
457 doi: 10.1214/009053606000001460.

458 Fang, J., Demic, S., & Cheng, S. (2018). The reduction of adult neurogenesis in depression impairs
459 the retrieval of new as well as remote episodic memory. *PLoS ONE*, 13(6), 1–23.
460 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198406>

461 Fasick, V., Spengler, R. N., Samankan, S., Nader, N. D., & Ignatowski, T. A. (2015). The
462 hippocampus and TNF: Common links between chronic pain and depression. *Neuroscience and*
463 *Biobehavioral Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.03.014>

464 Flint, J. and Kendler, K. S. (2014) 'The Genetics of Major Depression', *Neuron*. doi:
465 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.01.027.

466 Gandy, K., Kim, S., Sharp, C., Dindo, L., Maletic-Savatic, M., & Calarge, C. (2017). Pattern
467 separation: A potential marker of impaired hippocampal adult neurogenesis in major depressive
468 disorder. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 11(OCT). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00571>

469 Goto-Ito, S., Yamagata, A., Sato, Y., Uemura, T., Shiroshima, T., Maeda, A., ... Fukai, S. (2018).
470 Structural basis of trans-synaptic interactions between PTPδ and SALMs for inducing synapse
471 formation. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02417-z>

472 Gormley, P. et al. (2016) 'Susceptibility Loci for Migraine', *Nature Genetics*, 48(8), pp. 16–18. doi:
473 10.1038/ng.3598.

474 Gratten, J. and Visscher, P. M. (2016) 'Genetic pleiotropy in complex traits and diseases: implications
475 for genomic medicine', *Genome Medicine*. *Genome Medicine*, 8(1), p. 78. doi: 10.1186/s13073-016-
476 0332-x.

477 Greene, S. A. (2010) 'Chronic Pain: Pathophysiology and Treatment Implications', *Topics in*
478 *Companion Animal Medicine*. Elsevier Inc., 25(1), pp. 5–9. doi: 10.1053/j.tcam.2009.10.009.

479 Halestrap, A. P. (2012) 'The monocarboxylate transporter family-Structure and functional
480 characterization', *IUBMB Life*, 64(1), pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1002/iub.573.

481 Hashmi, J. A. et al. (2013) 'Shape shifting pain: Chronification of back pain shifts brain
482 representation from nociceptive to emotional circuits', *Brain*, 136(9), pp. 2751–2768. doi:
483 10.1093/brain/awt211.

484 Hocking, L. J. et al. (2010) 'Genetic variation in the beta2-adrenergic receptor but not catecholamine-
485 O-methyltransferase predisposes to chronic pain: Results from the 1958 British Birth Cohort Study',
486 *Pain*. *International Association for the Study of Pain*, 149(1), pp. 143–151. doi:
487 10.1016/j.pain.2010.01.023.

488 Imai, K., Keele, L. and Tingley, D. (2010) 'A General Approach to Causal Mediation Analysis',
489 *Psychological Methods*, 15(4), pp. 309–334. doi: 10.1037/a0020761.

490

491 Itoh, Y. et al. (2003) 'Dichloroacetate effects on glucose and lactate oxidation by neurons and
492 astroglia in vitro and on glucose utilization by brain in vivo', *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
493 *Sciences*, 100(8), pp. 4879–4884. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0831078100.

494 Jacobs, B., van Praag, H., & Gage, F. (2000). Adult brain neurogenesis and psychiatry a novel theory
495 of depression. *Mol Psychiatry*, May(5(3)), 262–269.

496 Kawato, M., Kuroda, S., & Schweighofer, N. (2011). Cerebellar supervised learning revisited:
497 Biophysical modeling and degrees-of-freedom control. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 21(5), 791–
498 800. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2011.05.014>

499 Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, Haussler D. The human
500 genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res*. 2002 Jun;12(6):996-1006.

501 Kent WJ, Hsu F, Karolchik D, Kuhn RM, Clawson H, Trumbower H, Haussler D. Exploring
502 relationships and mining data with the UCSC Gene Sorter. *Genome Res*. 2005 May;15(5):737-41.

503 Lauritzen, F. et al. (2012) 'Redistribution of monocarboxylate transporter 2 on the surface of
504 astrocytes in the human epileptogenic hippocampus', *Glia*, 60(7), pp. 1172–1181. doi:
505 10.3174/ajnr.A1256.Functional.

506 Lee, S., Lee, J. and Lee, D. (2014) 'The genetic variation in Monocarboxylic acid transporter 2
507 (MCT2) has functional and clinical relevance with male infertility', *Asian Journal of Andrology*,
508 16(5), p. 694. doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.124561.

509 Liley, J. and Wallace, C. (2015) 'A pleiotropy-informed Bayesian false discovery rate adapted to a
510 shared control design finds new disease associations from GWAS summary statistics', *PLoS genetics*,
511 11(2), p. e1004926. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004926.

512 Lin, Z., Liu, J., Ding, H., Xu, F., & Liu, H. (2018). Structural basis of SALM5-induced PTP δ
513 dimerization for synaptic differentiation. *Nature Communications*, 9(1).
514 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02414-2>

515 Macfarlane, G. J., Barnish, M. S. and Jones, G. T. (2017) 'Persons with chronic widespread pain
516 experience excess mortality: longitudinal results from UK Biobank and meta-analysis', *Annals of the*
517 *Rheumatic Diseases*, 76(11), pp. 1815–1822. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-211476.

518 Mansour, A. R., Baliki, M. N., Huang, L., Torbey, S., Herrmann, K. M., Schnitzer, T. J., & Vania
519 Apkarian, A. (2013). Brain white matter structural properties predict transition to chronic pain. *Pain*,
520 154(10), 2160–2168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2013.06.044>

521 McIntosh, A. M. et al. (2016) 'Genetic and Environmental Risk for Chronic Pain and the Contribution
522 of Risk Variants for Major Depressive Disorder: A Family-Based Mixed-Model Analysis', *PLoS*
523 *Medicine*, 13(8), pp. 1–17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002090.

524 Merskey, H. and Bogduk, N. (1994) Classification of Chronic Pain, IASP Pain Terminology. doi:
525 10.1002/ana.20394.

526 Mogil, J. S. (2012) 'Pain genetics: Past, present and future', *Trends in Genetics*. Elsevier Ltd, 28(6),
527 pp. 258–266. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2012.02.004.

528 Morimura, N. et al. (2006) 'Comparative analysis of structure, expression and PSD95-binding
529 capacity of Lrfrn, a novel family of neuronal transmembrane proteins', *Gene*, 380(2), pp. 72–83. doi:
530 10.1016/j.gene.2006.05.014.

531 Nam, J., Mah, W. and Kim, E. (2011) 'The SALM/Lrfrn family of leucine-rich repeat-containing cell
532 adhesion molecules', *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology*. Elsevier Ltd, 22(5), pp. 492–498.
533 doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.06.005.

534 Ng, A. C. Y. et al. (2011) 'Human leucine-rich repeat proteins: a genome-wide bioinformatic
535 categorization and functional analysis in innate immunity', *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
536 *Sciences*, 108(Supplement_1), pp. 4631–4638. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000093107.

537 Pellerin, L. (2003) 'Lactate as a pivotal element in neuron-glia metabolic cooperation',
538 *Neurochemistry International*, 43(4–5), pp. 331–338. doi: 10.1016/S0197-0186(03)00020-2.

539 Perneger Thomas V. 'What's wrong with Bonferroni adjustments' *BMJ* 1998; 316 :1236

540 Pérttega-Gomes, N. et al. (2013) 'Monocarboxylate transporter 2 (MCT2) as putative biomarker in
541 prostate cancer', *Prostate*, 73(7), pp. 763–769. doi: 10.1002/pros.22620.

542 Pierre, K., Magistretti, P. J. and Pellerin, L. (2002) 'MCT2 is a major neuronal monocarboxylate
543 transporter in the adult mouse brain', *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*, 22(5), pp. 586–595. doi:
544 10.1097/00004647-200205000-00010.

545 Raymond, J. L., & Medina, J. F. (2018). Computational Principles of Supervised Learning in the
546 Cerebellum. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 41(1), 233–253. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-080317-061948)
547 [080317-061948](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-080317-061948)

548 Reuter, M. S. et al. (2017) 'Diagnostic yield and novel candidate genes by exome sequencing in 152
549 consanguineous families with neurodevelopmental disorders', *JAMA Psychiatry*, 74(3), pp. 293–299.
550 doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.3798.

551 Ripke et al (2014) 'Biological Insights From 108 Schizophrenia-Associated Genetic Loci', *Nature*,
552 511(7510), pp. 421–427. doi: 10.1038/nature13595.Biological.

553 Rothman, K. J. (1990) 'No Adjustments Are Needed for Multiple Comparisons', *Epidemiology*, 1(1),
554 pp. 43–46.

555 Sivakumaran, S. et al. (2011) 'Abundant pleiotropy in human complex diseases and traits', *American*
556 *Journal of Human Genetics*. The American Society of Human Genetics, 89(5), pp. 607–618. doi:
557 10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.10.004.

558 Storey, J. D. (2002) 'A direct approach to false discovery rates', *Journal of the Royal Statistical*
559 *Society. Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 64(3), pp. 479–498. doi: 10.1111/1467-9868.00346.

560 Suri, P. et al. (2018) 'Genome-wide meta-analysis of 158,000 individuals of European ancestry
561 identifies three loci associated with chronic back pain', 48(7), pp. 1–23. doi: 10.1038/ng.3582.

562 Valença, I. et al. (2015) 'Localization of MCT2 at peroxisomes is associated with malignant
563 transformation in prostate cancer', *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine*, 19(4), pp. 723–733.
564 doi: 10.1111/jcmm.12481.

565 Vasic, V. and Schmidt, M. H. H. (2017) 'Resilience and vulnerability to pain and inflammation in the
566 hippocampus', *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 18(4). doi: 10.3390/ijms18040739.

567 Von Korff, M. et al. (1992) 'Grading the severity of chronic pain.', *Pain*, 50(1092), pp. 133–49. doi:
568 10.1016/0304-3959(92)90154-4.

569 Vos, T. et al. (2015) 'Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with
570 disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: A systematic
571 analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013', *The Lancet*. doi: 10.1016/S0140-
572 6736(15)60692-4.

573 Wang, X. F. et al. (2017) 'Linking Alzheimer's disease and type 2 diabetes: Novel shared
574 susceptibility genes detected by cFDR approach', *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*. Elsevier B.V.,
575 380, pp. 262–272. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2017.07.044.

576 Wray, N. R. et al. (2018) 'Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the
577 genetic architecture of major depression.', *Nature genetics*, 50(May), p. 167577. doi: 10.1038/s41588-
578 018-0090-3.

579 Xu, Q., & Yaksh, T. L. (2011). A brief comparison of the pathophysiology of inflammatory versus
580 neuropathic pain. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology*, 24(4), 400–407.
581 <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0b013e32834871df>

582 Yu, W., & Krook-Magnuson, E. (2015). Cognitive Collaborations: Bidirectional Functional
583 Connectivity Between the Cerebellum and the Hippocampus. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*,
584 9(December), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2015.00177>

585 Zhu, Y., Yao, S., Augustine, M. M., Xu, H., Wang, J., Sun, J., ... Chen, L. (2016). Neuron-specific
586 SALM5 limits inflammation in the CNS via its interaction with HVEM. *Science Advances*, 2(4),
587 e1500637. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500637>

588 Zorina-Lichtenwalter, K. et al. (2016) 'Genetic predictors of human chronic pain conditions',
589 *Neuroscience*. The Authors, 338, pp. 36–62. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.04.041.

Tables & Figures

Table 1: Loci identified from cFDR analysis.

Trait = trait with which SNP is associated at cFDR ≤ 0.01 . Position = position given as chromosome:base pair location. cFDR (CPG) = cFDR for CPG conditioning on MDD; Beta (CPG)/p (CPG) = effect size and p value from the CPG GWAS; cFDR (MDD) = cFDR for MDD conditioning on CPG; OR (MDD)/p (MDD) = effect size (odds ratio for the effect allele) and p value from the MDD GWAS. Alleles are given as effect allele/other; effect allele is defined as the allele for which association with the trait was tested in the original (CPG or MDD) GWAS. Significant p-values and cFDR/ccFDR values are shown in bold.

Trait	rsID	Position	Alleles (CPG)	Beta (CPG)	P (CPG)	cFDR (CPG)	Alleles (MDD)	OR (MDD)	P (MDD)	cFDR (MDD)	ccFDR
MDD	rs35641559	1:73760104	C/T	0.02	0.03	0.1	T/C	1.04	2.08E-06	8.83E-03	0.11
CPG	rs147573737	12:60231575	C/T	-0.2	2.09E-07	2.21E-03	T/C	1.09	0.023	0.023	0.023
CPG	rs149981001	12:60264802	C/T	0.2	6.24E-08	1.06E-03	T/C	0.92	0.0181	0.018	0.018
MDD	rs10872954	14:41948768	A/G	-0.026	6.45E-03	0.053	A/G	1.04	7.68E-06	7.02E-03	0.053
MDD	rs10138559	14:41975989	C/T	-0.02	0.03	0.1	T/C	0.96	1.04E-06	5.25E-03	0.1
Both	rs11157241	14:42051771	C/T	0.035	2.91E-04	5.83E-04	T/C	1.06	4.44E-09	1.28E-06	5.83E-04
Both	rs8015100	14:42095232	A/T	-0.033	6.67E-04	6.67E-04	A/T	1.06	1.50E-09	9.27E-07	6.67E-04
Both	rs10131184	14:42166111	A/G	0.035	2.82E-04	8.46E-04	A/G	0.95	2.53E-08	7.10E-06	8.46E-04
Both	rs11846556	14:42183025	A/G	-0.037	1.11E-04	5.57E-04	A/G	1.05	2.98E-07	3.76E-05	5.57E-04
MDD	rs1584317	14:42213816	C/G	0.028	4.48E-03	0.029	C/G	0.96	4.59E-06	3.57E-03	0.03
MDD	rs4904790	14:42242623	C/T	-0.03	1.44E-03	0.02	T/C	0.96	1.37E-05	3.58E-03	0.02

Table 2: Analysis of associations between rs11846556 genotype and chronic pain/MDD.] a)

	Beta	SE	OR	p	FDR- adj. p	Additional adjuster
CP (dichot.)	0.015	0.004	1.02	2.63E- 04	7.89E- 04	
CP (dichot.)	0.017	0.008	1.02	0.048	0.096	MDD (dichot)
MDD	0.014	0.009	1.01	ns		
MDD	0.011	0.010	1.01	ns		CP (dichot)

b)

	Beta	SE	p	FDR- adj. p
CPC	0.018	0.004	7.26E- 06	4.36E- 05
MDD severity	0.014	0.009	ns	

Legend: a) results of logistic regression models with dichotomous chronic pain (CP(dichot.)) and major depressive disorder (MDD) variables as outcomes and SNP genotype as predictor. SE = standard error of the beta coefficient value, OR = odds ratio. Ns = non-significant (p > 0.05).b) results of ordinal regression models with chronic pain category and MDD severity variables as outcomes and SNP genotype as predictor. SE = standard error of the beta coefficient, ns = non-significant (p > 0.05).