### Electromyographic analysis of the suprahyoid muscles in infants based on the lingual fraenulum attachment during breastfeeding

## Electromyographic analysis of suprahyoid muscles during breastfeeding

Ellia Christinne de Lima França<sup>1\*¶</sup>, Lucas Carvalho Aragão Albuquerque<sup>2&</sup>, Roberta Lopes de Castro Martinelli<sup>3&</sup>, Ilda Machado Fiuza Gonçalves<sup>4&</sup>, Cejana Baiocchi Souza<sup>5¶</sup>, Maria Alves Barbosa<sup>6¶</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Graduate Programme in Health Sciences, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Department of Neuropsychiatry, Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil

- <sup>3</sup> Dental School, University of São Paulo, Bauru, São Paulo, Brazil
- <sup>4</sup> Dental School, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil

<sup>5</sup> Phonoaudiology course of the Pontifical Catholic University of Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil.

<sup>6</sup> Medical School, Graduate Programme in Health Sciences, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil

\* Corresponding author

- E-mail: <u>elliafranca@gmail.com</u> (ECLF)
- <sup>¶</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work
- & These authors also contributed equally to this work

## Abstract

### Introduction

Muscle electrical activity analysis can aid in the identification of oral motor dysfunctions such as those resulting from altered lingual fraenulum which, in turn, impairs feeding. We aimed to analyse suprahyoid muscle electrical activity of infants based on lingual fraenulum attachment to the sublingual (ventral) aspect of the tongue and floor of the mouth, during breastfeeding.

### **Methods and Results**

We studied full-term infants of both genders aged between 1–4 months. Lingual fraenulum evaluation and surface suprahyoid muscle electromyography was performed during breastfeeding. Mean muscle activities were recorded in microvolts and converted into percent values (normalisation) of the reference value. Associations between root mean square and independent variables were

tested by one-way analysis of variance and Student's t-test with significance level of 5% and test power of 95%.

We evaluated 235 infants while breastfeeding. The lingual fraenulum was commonly attached to the tongue's ventral aspect between middle third and apex, and on the mouth floor visible from the lower alveolar ridge. Lower muscle activity was observed with lingual fraenulum attached to apex/lower alveolar ridge, followed by attachment to middle third/lower alveolar ridge, and between middle third and apex/lower alveolar ridge. Highest activity observed in Infants with attachment to middle third/sublingual caruncles, had a thin lingual fraenulum, performed several sucks followed by short pauses, showed coordination between swallowing, sucking, and breathing, did not "bite" nipple, and showed no tongue snapping nor stress.

### Conclusion

Greater suprahyoid muscle activity during breastfeeding was observed with lingual fraenulum attachment to middle third of the tongue/sublingual caruncles, showed coordination between swallowing, sucking, and breathing. Surface electromyography is effective in diagnosing lingual fraenulum alterations, whose attachment point raises doubts as restriction of tongue mobility. This technique identifies possible oral motor dysfunctions, enables direct therapeutic interventions and early intervention, and prevents feeding and communication alterations.

## Introduction

1

2	Breastfeeding is considered essential for the promotion and protection of
3	children's health due to the nutritional and immunological properties of breast
4	milk, which protect them from respiratory diseases, infectious [1], and diarrhea [2].
5	In addition, breastfeeding is considered important for the adequate development of
6	the stomatognathic system, because the removal of breast milk involves intense
7	muscle activity [3].
8	During sucking in the womb, the suprahyoid muscles (digastric, mylohyoid,
9	geniohyoid, and stylohyoid) that effectively participate in the movement and
10	stabilisation of the mandible and tongue movement [4,5].
11	The correct movement of the tongue during breastfeeding promotes an adequate
12	fit between the infant's mouth and the mother's nipple, compressing the nipple
13	against the hard palate and favouring the removal of the milk due to the vacuum
14	created in the oral cavity by the raising and lowering movement of the tongue [6-9].
15	In the inferior surface of the tongue is located the lingual fraenulum and is
16	considered a median fold of tunica mucosa that connects the tongue to the floor of
17	the mouth and allows the free movement of its anterior part [10].
18	After apoptosis, the remaining residual embryonic tissue (lingual fraenulum) may
19	limit tongue movements to varying degrees. This congenital oral anomaly is referred
20	to as ankyloglossia [11] and may cause a reduced mouth opening, imprecision and
21	restriction of isolated tongue movements, heart-shaped tip or downward protrusion
22	[12], tongue resting in the floor of the mouth, and difficulties in sucking, chewing,
23	swallowing, and speech functions, among others [11-15].
24	Oral dysfunctions caused by an altered lingual fraenulum may compromise
25	breastfeeding by causing discomfort and pain to mothers, ineffective emptying of
26	the breast [11], poor weight gain, and/or early weaning [10].
27	Studies that evaluated the lingual fraenulum by quantitative and objective
28	methods are scarce and tried to determine whether the anatomical findings could
29	compromise tongue movement, and consequently the oral functions [6]. Such
30	methods enable safe diagnoses to guide therapeutical procedures for the continuity
31	of breastfeeding.
32	Research on the use of surface electromyography (EMG), which is a method for
33	recording variations in muscle electrical activity during contraction [16,17], are
34	also scarce, especially those evaluating the sucking function in infants [5,18-26].
35	EMG is considered an easy, fast, low-cost, safe, and non-invasive procedure that

36	can provide important information about muscle functions [5,16-18,23-26], which
37	can be used to diagnose oral-motor dysfunction accurately [23,24].
38	Some studies have evaluated the activity of orofacial muscles using surface
39	electromyography during breastfeeding and other feeding methods [5,18-24,26]
40	but, to the best of our knowledge, no study analysed the activity of the suprahyoid
41	muscles in newborns and infants during the sucking function in breastfeeding
42	based on the lingual fraenulum attachment.
43	We decided to analyse the suprahyoid muscles (digastric, mylohyoid,
44	geniohyoid, and stylohyoid), because they directly participate in the sucking
45	function, act in mandible movement and stabilisation, and participate in tongue
46	movement, and, because of their location, makes it possible to attach the electrodes
47	[5].
48	There is still no established pattern for the electrical activity of the suprahyoid
49	muscles involved in the sucking function in infants based on the lingual fraenulum
50	attachment to the tongue and the floor of the mouth. In this context, we note the
51	importance of in-depth studies on the subject that may favour the understanding of
52	muscle activity, and aid in the identification of possible oral motor dysfunctions
53	and feeding efficiency. These studies may also contribute to the planning and
54	implementation of actions in health services that would enable breastfeeding and
55	minimise the impact and consequences related to early weaning, which would
56	benefit child development.
57	The present study's aim was to analyse the electrical activity of the suprahyoid
58	muscles in infants, based on the lingual fraenulum attachment to the tongue and the
59	floor of the mouth, during the sucking function in breastfeeding.
60	Materials and Methods
61	Study Design
62	This is an observational, analytical, cross-sectional study. The Research Ethics
63	Committee approved the study under number 705.229 on June 30, 2014. This study
64	was conducted at a University in the central region of Brazil. All adults responsible
65	for the infants participating in this study received and signed an Informed Consent
66	Form and a Consent Form for the Participation of the Person as a Subject,
67	respecting Resolution 466/2012.
68	Participants
69	Infants of both genders aged between 1 and 4 months had their lingual
70	fraenulum evaluated from March 2015 to December 2016. Infants with anatomical

and physiological changes on the face, pre-term and post-term neurological
impairment, weight below 2500 g, or exclusively bottle-fed were excluded.

#### 73 Procedures

The independent variables analysed were: age in days at the examination, gender, 74 clinical history (CH), lingual fraenulum (visualisation is possible, visualisation is 75 not possible, or visualisation is only possible with manoeuvre), lingual fraenulum 76 thickness (thin or thick), lingual fraenulum attachment to the tongue (middle third, 77 between the middle third and the apex, or at the apex) and the floor of the mouth 78 79 (visible from the sublingual caruncle or lower alveolar ridge), non-nutritive sucking pattern - NNS (adequate: forward positioning of the tongue, coordinated 80 movements and efficient sucking, inadequate: limited forward positioning of the 81 82 tongue, uncoordinated movements and delayed onset of sucking) and nutritive sucking - NS (rhythm: several sucks followed by short pauses or few sucks 83 followed by long pauses); coordination: adequate - balance between food 84 85 efficiency and sucking functions, swallowing and breathing, no signs of stress or 86 inadequate - cough, choking, dyspnoea, regurgitation, hiccups, swallowing noises; 87 "Bites" the nipple: yes or no; tongue snapping during sucking: yes or no).

The dependant variable analysed was muscle electrical activity (MEA) defined
by the mean of the potential action of the motor units of a muscle group, obtained
from the electromyographic signal, and expressed in root meant square (RMS) in
microvolts (μV) and later converted to percentage (%).

We used the "Protocol for evaluation of lingual fraenulum in infants" proposed by Martinelli [27] for the quantitative evaluation of lingual fraenulum.

94The parents were advised at the time of the examination not to feed the babies95for at least two hours before the evaluation.

96The first stage of the protocol was the data collection of the clinical history,97including the following items: date of the examination, full name, gender, birth98date, age, address, telephone number, parents' name, family members with lingual99fraenulum alterations, data on the infant's current general health, time between100feedings, data on whether the infant gets tired while sucking, and data on whether101the infant progressively releases the nipple or bites the nipple.

102The second stage of the protocol included an anatomical and functional103evaluation to observe the general aspects of the lingual fraenulum, a nutritive104sucking evaluation during breastfeeding, to investigate tongue movements and105positioning in the oral cavity, through electromyographic.

92 93

During the anatomical and functional evaluation, we observed the posture of the 106 107 lips at rest (closed, semi-open, or open), the tendency of tongue positioning during 108 crying (raised, midline, midline with lateral elevation, or downward tongue tip with 109 lateral elevation), and the shape of the tip of the tongue when raised during crying 110 or a lifting manoeuvre (rounded, slight crevice at apex, or heart-shaped). Through 111 the elevation of the lateral margins of the tongue by the gloved right and left index 112 fingers of the evaluator, it was observed whether lingual fraenulum could be 113 visualised or not and if it was necessary to visualised with specific manoeuvre. If 114 the lingual fraenulum could be visualized, we determined: whether it was thin or thick; whether the lingual fraenulum was attached to the middle third of the tongue, 115 116 between the middle third and the apex, or to the apex; and whether the attachment to the floor of the mouth was visible from the sublingual caruncles (opening of the 117 118 ducts of the right and left submandibular glands) or from the lower alveolar ridge.

119The non-nutritive sucking was evaluated by introducing the gloved minimum120finger into the baby's mouth during sucking for 2 minutes, and tongue movement121was observed (adequate: tongue anterioration, coordinated movements and122efficient suctioning; inadequate: limited tongue anterioration, uncoordinated123movements and delayed onset of suction).

124 The evaluation of nutritive sucking was made along with surface 125 electromyography. We observed: the sucking rhythm (counting the number of 126 sucks in three sucking groups, which were separated by pause time, and then taking 127 the mean); pause time (considering the duration of the pauses between three 128 sucking groups, and then taking the mean); coordination between sucking/swallowing/breathing and classified into adequate or inadequate; and 129 130 whether the infant bit the nipple and whether the infant had tongue snapping during 131 nutritional sucking.

Evaluation of the electrical activity of the suprahyoid muscles (MEA) included all 132 133 infants and was performed during sucking of the mother's breast. We used the MIOTOOL 200 device (manufactured by Miotec Equipamentos Biomédicos Ltda. 134 135 - ME, Porto Alegre, Brazil) that was comprised of four channels, had a 7.2 V 1.700 Ma NiMH rechargeable battery, was operated in isolation from the utility system, 136 and was connected to a Sony Vaio<sup>®</sup> notebook. The electromyographic signal was 137 138 processed through a data acquisition system that allowed for the selection of 8 139 independent gains per channel, which was used to a gain of 1000; a low pass filter 140 of 20 Hz; a high pass filter of 500 Hz; two SDS500 sensors connected to clamp 141 sensors; a reference cable (earth); and a calibrator (MIOTEC<sup>®</sup>) were used.

142	The records were made in a quiet place with natural light and ambient
143	temperature [28]. We used disposable unipolar surface electrodes (Meditrace <sup>®</sup> ,
144	Infant Model, manufactured by Tyco/Kendall-USA, imported by Lamedid
145	Comercial e Serviços Ltda, Barueri - SP - Brazil São Paulo, Brazil) with a material
146	made of silver-silver chloride (Ag-AgCl), adhesive, and conductive solid gel
147	(hydrogel) that were responsible for capturing and conducting the signal of the
148	EMG. Subsequently, the skin was cleaned with gauze soaked in 70° alcohol to
149	remove oil or any material that interfered with the signal capture [29,30].
150	The electrode positioning followed a standard procedure that started with the
151	reference electrode or "earth", followed by the attachment of the electrodes to the
152	suprahyoid muscles. The reference electrode, which was placed in the frontal bone
153	(forehead), minimises interferences from external electrical noises [17,29].
154	The other electrodes were placed in a bipolar configuration in the suprahyoid
155	muscles with a minimum distance of 10 mm between them [30]. The evaluator
156	stimulated non-nutritive sucking by introducing a flavoured (milk breast) finger for
157	five seconds to locate this region and, by this manoeuvre, it was possible to palpate
158	the muscles.
159	After attaching the electrodes to the skin of the infant, the clamp sensors were
160	placed following the same order as the electrode attachment [17,29]. After
161	completing this procedure, the configuration, channel enablement in the software,
162	and subsequent calibration were performed. The three unused channels were
163	disabled.
164	The mother was seated comfortably in a chair with back support with her baby
165	on her lap. Before the evaluation, she received the necessary guidelines on the
166	clinical examination, evaluation of nutritive sucking, and electromyographic
167	examination.
168	The mother received instructions about her positioning: seated with feet on the
169	ground; and about the positioning of the infant: supported and aligned with the
170	head and the spine straight, belly facing the body of the mother, face towards the
171	mother's breast, and mouth towards the areola and nipple to catch the breast.
172	Subsequently, the activity of the suprahyoid muscles during breastfeeding was
173	recorded for 3 minutes (Fig 1).
174	Figure 1 – <b>Electromyographic evaluation.</b> Electrodes attached to bone (forehead)
175	and submandibular (suprahyoid muscles) regions during sucking in breastfeeding
176	The infants whose results showed interference of the lingual fraenulum in the
177	tongue movements and/or with reduced activity of the suprahyoid muscles were
178	referred to basic health units with a speech-language pathology report of the lingual

fraenulum. After scheduling a consultation with the paediatrician, the infant was 179 180 referred to the paediatric dentistry service for assessment and definition of conduct. The data collected in this study were archived in a confidential location and will 181 182 be incinerated after 5 years. 183

### Electromyographic analysis

The Miograph 2.0 (MIOTEC <sup>®</sup>, São Paulo, Brazil) software was used for 184 presenting and interpreting the electromyographic signal. The numerical data was 185 186 expressed in root mean square (RMS), which represents the square root result of 187 the mean square of the instantaneous amplitudes of the signal of the recorded electromyographic trace whose unit is expressed in microvolts (µV). 188

In order to select the best signals, the best configurations that presented the least 189 190 noise and the most symmetrical and connected histogram to the signal were considered. 191

The means recorded in  $\mu V$  were transformed into percent values of the 192 193 reference value (normalisation by the maximum peak) for each subject. The 194 formula for calculating the percentage, according to the recommendations of the 195 International Society of Electrophysiology and Kinesiology (ISEK) [30], was 196  $(X/Y) \times 100$  where X = MEA (muscular electrical activity) mean in the requested task ( $\mu$ V) and Y = reference value corresponding to the mean of the MEA in PICO 197 198  $(\mu V)$ . Thus, the highest value of the electromyographic signal of the suprahyoid muscles was identified for 3 seconds of breastfeeding. The maximum peak was 199 200 considered 100% of activity and the mean activity during 3 seconds of the breastfeeding was considered "X" [17,29,31]. 201

The normalisation technique is essential so that the surface electromyography signal can be compared in different studies, muscles, and participants after being analysed. This technique is considered a prerequisite for any comparative analysis of EMG signals [28,31].

Statistical analysis

The data were entered into IBM SPSS Statistics v. 23 (IBM, Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA) and subjected to a descriptive, inferential, and analytical statistical analysis using frequencies, central tendency, and dispersion measure. A test power of 95% was used, and type 1 error was set at 5%.

211 The muscular electrical activity found in RMS corresponded to the dependant variable, which had a normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov p = 0.113), 212 considering both groups. The independent variables were: age in days at the 213 214 electromyographic examination, gender, feeding type, lingual fraenulum

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

215	attachment type, and nutritive sucking pattern. The association between the
216	dependant variable RMS and the independent variable age was tested by a one-
217	factor Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), which allows for the comparison of
218	continuous variables at a single time. The association between the dependant
219	variable RMS and other independent variables was tested by the Student's t-test,
220	which allows for the comparison of two samples using their means for statistical
221	inference.

#### 222 **Results**

223Two hundred fifty-one infants that met the inclusion criteria underwent surface224electromyography between February 2015 to November 2016. Eleven infants were225excluded because their records showed an electromyographic signal with226interference, and four infants were excluded due to a failure in the capture and227recording of the electromyographic signal at the time of evaluation that resulted in228a final sample size of 235 infants.

229The highest age range at the day of the examination was 31 to 60 days,230corresponding to 37.0% (n = 87) of the infants, and was followed by 61 to 90 days,231corresponding to 26.8% (n = 63) of the infants. Only 5.1% (n = 12) of the infants232were aged between 121 and 149 days.

233 Most of the infants were female, corresponding to 51.9% (n = 122) of the total 234 sample, and 48.1% (n = 113) were male.

Exclusive breastfeeding was the feeding type most used, corresponding to 176 infants (74.9%), and was followed by breastfeeding/bottle, corresponding to 59 babies (25.1%). The justifications reported by the mothers were the child crying a lot, irritation, being hungry, weak, insufficient breast milk and not breastfeeding gain weight. All of the infants were assessed by surface electromyography during sucking of the maternal breast.

Of the 235 infants evaluated, 197 (83.8%) did not have complaints of difficulties in 241 242 breastfeeding in their clinical history; 172 (73.1%) had a lingual fraenulum that 243 could be visualised without manoeuvre; in 63 (27.3%) of the infants presented 244 lingual frenulum covered by a mucosal curtain, being necessary maneuver to visualize it; 215 (91.4%) had a thin lingual fraenulum and 20 (9.3%) thick lingual 245 fraenulum. The infants were divided into six groups according to the location of the 246 247 fraenulum attachment on the tongue and floor of the mouth: 53 (22.5%) had the 248 lingual fraenulum attached of the middle third on the tongue and visible from the sublingual caruncles (group 1); 35 (14.8%) had the lingual fraenulum attached to 249 250 the middle third/lower alveolar ridge (group 2); 28 (11.9%) had attached between

251	the middle third and apex, and visible from the sublingual caruncles (group 3); 102
252	(43.4%) had the fraenulum attached between the middle third and the apex and
253	visible from the lower alveolar ridge (group 4); 17 (7.2%) had the fraenulum
254	attached to the apex and visible from the lower alveolar ridge (group 5); and no
255	infants with the lingual fraenulum attached in the apex on the tongue and visible
256	from the caruncles were identified (group 6).
257	Of the 235 infants evaluated, 146 (62,1%) presented adequate nutritive sucking.
258	For the statistical analysis associating the dependant variable of muscular
259	electrical activity value with the other variables, were excluded the data referring to
260	items 1, 2, and 3 of the anatomical and functional evaluation, because they are not
261	directly related to the anatomy of the lingual fraenulum and non-nutritive sucking
262	(NNS), not to be the object of study of this work.
263	The data from the protocol for lingual fraenulum evaluation in infants were
264	analysed and the results from the anatomical and functional evaluation part I item 4
265	(which analysed the lingual fraenulum thickness and fraenulum attachment to the
266	sublingual aspect and the floor of the mouth), and the NS pattern (which comprised
267	the rhythm, coordination, nipple biting, and tongue snapping during sucking) were
268	aggregated.
269	There was no statistically significant difference (Table 1) when electrical
270	activity of the suprahyoid muscles was associated with the age of the infants ( $p =$
271	0.368) (ANOVA), gender ( $p = 0.136$ ), and feeding type ( $p = 0.689$ ) (T-test).

272Table 1- Association between the characteristics of infants (age in days at the examination, 273gender, and feeding type) and muscular electrical activity (MEA).

2	7	4

	Variables	Type of test	n	RMS	<i>p</i> *
Age at examination		Anova	235	33,88%	0,368
Candan	Female	Student's t-	122	32,82%	0.126
Gender	Male	test	113	35,68%	0,136
Fooding tyme	Exclusive breastfeeding	Student's t-	176	33,97%	0.690
Feeding type	Non-exclusive breastfeeding	test	59	34,86%	0,689

ANOVA and Student's t-test;  $p * \le 0.05$ 

275	We observed (Table 2) a greater electrical activity in the muscle during
276	breastfeeding in the infants with their lingual fraenulum attached to the middle third
277	and visible from the sublingual caruncle (40.69%), and lower electrical activity in
278	the muscle in the infants with their lingual fraenulum attached to the apex of the
279	tongue and visible from the lower alveolar ridge (29.94%).

## 280 Table 2 - Mean activity of the suprahyoid muscles expressed in RMS of infants based on the 281 lingual fraenulum attachment to the tongue and the floor of the mouth during breastfeeding. 282

Group	Location of lingual fraenulum attachment			RMS	DP
Group	Sublingual	Floor of the mouth	п	<b>K</b> IVIS	DI
1	middle third	sublingual caruncles	53	40,69%	15,22
2	middle third	lower alveolar ridge	35	31,13%	11,69
3	middle third/apex	sublingual caruncles	28	36,91%	12,94
4	middle third/apex	lower alveolar ridge	102	31,84%	13,82
5	apex	lower alveolar ridge	17	29,94%	19,45

283 Student's t-test; SD - Standard Deviation; RMS - root mean square

284	A statistically significant difference was found when comparing the electrical
285	activity of the muscle and the location of the lingual fraenulum attachment on the
286	tongue and the floor of the mouth of infants, according to the division of the
287	groups. A significant difference was found between group 1 (middle
288	third/sublingual caruncles) and group 2 (middle third/lower alveolar ridge) ( $p =$
289	0.002), between group 1 (middle third / sublingual caruncles) and group 4 (middle
290	third and apex/lower alveolar ridge) ( $p = 0.001$ ), and between group 1 (middle
291	third/sublingual caruncles) and group 5 (apex/lower alveolar ridge) ( $p = 0.021$ )
292	(Table 3).

293 Table 3 - Comparison of the electrical activity in the suprahyoid muscles between groups 294 expressed in RMS of infants during breastfeeding based on the lingual fraenulum attachment 295 to the tongue and floor of the mouth. 296

J					
Group	Location of lingual	Location of lingual fraenulum attachment		RMS	<i>p*</i>
	Sublingual	Floor of the mouth			-
1 and 2	middle third	sublingual caruncles	53	40,69%	0,002
	middle third	lower alveolar ridge	35	31,13%	
1 and 4	middle third	sublingual caruncles	53	40,69%	0,001
	middle third / apex	lower alveolar ridge	102	31,84%	
1 and 5	middle third	sublingual caruncles	53	40,69%	0,021
	apex	lower alveolar ridge	17	29,94%	

**297** Student's t-test;  $p * \le 0.050$ ; RMS - root mean square

298 Considering the statistically significant differences found in the association 299 between the groups, as described in Table 3, the electrical activity of the muscle 300 was compared to the location of the fraenulum attachment in the tongue and floor of the mouth, lingual fraenulum thickness (thin or thick), and the pattern of 301 nutritive sucking (rhythm, coordination, bit the nipple, and tongue snapping). There 302 was a statistically significant difference, with a higher mean percentage of the 303 electrical activity of the suprahyoid muscle, during breastfeeding in infants with the 304 lingual fraenulum attached to the middle third/sublingual caruncles (group 1), who 305 had a thin lingual fraenulum (p = 0.002), with several sucks and short pauses 306 during NS (p = 0.003), coordination between sucking, swallowing and breathing 307

308	functions, without signs of stress ( $p = 0.001$ ), absence of bite in the nipple ( $p =$
309	0.005), and absence of tongue snapping ( $p = 0.001$ ) when compared to infants with
310	the lingual fraenulum attached to the middle third/lower alveolar ridge (group 2)
311	(able 4).

# 312 Table 4 - Comparison of the electrical activity in suprahyoid muscles between groups 1 and 2 313 expressed in RMS of infants according to thickness, lingual fraenulum attached in the tongue 314 and floor of the mouth, and nutritive sucking pattern.

315

Variables		Lingual fraenulum attachment/floor of the mouth	n	RMS	<b>p</b> *
	Thin	G1-MT / SC	47	41,15%	0,002
Fraenulum	1 11111	G2 - MT / IAC	35	31,12%	0,002
Thickness	Thick	G1-MT / SC	6	37,06%	0
	THICK	G2 - MT / IAC	0	0,00%	0
	Several sucks / short	G1-MT / SC	46	41,72%	0.002
Dhathar	breaks	G2 - MT / IAC	32	31,68%	0,003
Rhythm	Few sucks / long breaks	G1-MT / SC	7	33,92%	0,31
		G2 - MT / IAC	3	25,24%	
	Proper	G1-MT / SC	51	41,38%	0,001 0,61
Coordination		G2 - MT / IAC	34	31,13%	
Coordination	Incloqueto	G1-MT / SC	2	23,08%	
	Inadequate	G2 - MT / IAC	1	31,01%	
	Not	G1-MT / SC	48	41,77%	0,005
<b>D</b> ' (1 ) 1	NOL	G2 - MT / IAC	26	31,85%	0,005
Bite the nipple	37	G1-MT / SC	5	30,33%	0,84
	Yes	G2 - MT / IAC	9	29,03%	
Fongue snapping		G1-MT / SC	36	42,55%	
		G2 - MT / IAC	18	27,48%	0,001
		G1-MT / SC	17	36,75%	0,71
	Yes	G2 - MT / IAC	17	34,98%	

Student's t-test;  $p * \le 0.050$ ; RMS - *root mean square* Group 1- Middle third / sublingual caruncles (n = 53)

Group 2 - Middle third / lower alveolar ridge (n = 35)

316	A higher mean electrical activity of the suprahyoid muscles was observed during
317	nutritive sucking in infants with the lingual fraenulum attached to the middle
318	third/sublingual caruncle (group 1), who had a thin lingual fraenulum ( $p = 0.001$ ),
319	with coordination between the sucking ( $p = 0.003$ ), swallowing and breathing
320	functions, without signs of stress ( $p = 0.001$ ), absence of bite at the nipple ( $p =$
321	0.001), and absence of tongue snapping $(p = 0.001)$ when compared to infants with
322	the lingual fraenulum attached to the middle third and apex/lower alveolar ridge
323	(group 4) (Table 5).

## Table 5 - Comparison of the electrical activity in the suprahyoid muscles between groups 1 and 4 expressed in RMS of infants according to thickness, fraenulum attachment to the tongue and floor of the mouth, and nutritive sucking pattern.

Variables		Lingual fraenulum attachment/floor of the mouth	п	RMS	p*
	Thin	G1 - MT / SC	47	41,15%	0,001
Fraenulum		G4 - MTA / IAC	94	31,57%	0,001
Thickness	Thick	G1 - MT / SC	6	37,06%	0,8
	THICK	G4 - MTA / IAC	8	35,02%	0,8
	Several sucks / short	G1 - MT / SC	46	41,72%	0,003
Rhythm	breaks	G4 - MTA / IAC	66	33,19%	0,003
Kiiyuiiii	Few sucks / long breaks -	G1 - MT / SC	7	33,92%	0,4
		G4 - MTA / IAC	36	29,37%	
	Proper -	G1 - MT / SC	51	41,38%	0,001
Coordination		G4 - MTA / IAC	91	32,71%	
Coordination	Inadequate -	G1 - MT / SC	2	23,08%	0,86
		G4 - MTA / IAC	11	24,68%	
	Not	G1 - MT / SC	48	41,77%	0,001
Bite the nipple	1101	G4 - MTA / IAC	78	32,34%	
	V	G1 - MT / SC	5	30,33%	
	Yes	G4 - MTA / IAC	24	30,23%	
Fongue snapping	Not -	G1 - MT / SC	36	42,55%	0,001
		G4 - MTA / IAC	34	29,30%	0,001
		G1 - MT / SC	17	36,75%	- 034
	Yes	G4 - MTA / IAC	68	33,12%	

Student's t-test;  $p \approx 0.050$ ; RMS - root mean square

Group 1- Middle third / sublingual caruncles (n = 53)

Group 4 – Middle third and Apex / lower alveolar ridge (n = 102)

#### 324

-	
325	A statistically significant difference was observed with a higher mean percentage
326	of the electrical activity of the suprahyoid muscles during breastfeeding in infants
327	with the lingual fraenulum attached to the middle third/sublingual caruncles (group
328	1); who had a thin lingual fraenulum ( $p = 0.02$ ); a NS pattern with coordination
329	between sucking, swallowing, and breathing functions; without signs of stress ( $p =$
330	0.005); absence of bite at the nipple ( $p = 0.03$ ); and absence of tongue snapping ( $p$
331	= 0.006) when compared to infants with the lingual fraenulum attached to the
332	apex/lower alveolar ridge (group 5) (Table 6).

333 Table 6 - Comparison of the electrical activity in the suprahyoid muscles between groups 1 and 5 334 expressed in RMS of the infants according to thickness, lingual fraenulum attachment to the 335 tongue and floor of the mouth, and nutritive sucking pattern.

Variables		Lingual fraenulum attachment/floor of the mouth	n	RMS	<b>p</b> *
	Thin -	G1 - MT / SC	47	41,15%	0,02
Fraenulum		G5 - Á / IAC	17	29,94%	0,02
Thickness	Thick -	G1 - MT / SC	6	37,06%	0
		G5 - Á / IAC	0	0,00%	0
	Several sucks / short breaks Few sucks / long breaks	G1 - MT / SC	46	41,72%	0,08
Rhythm		G5 - Á / IAC	10	31,18%	0,08
Tenyunn		G1 - MT / SC	7	33,92%	0.44
		G5 - Á / IAC	7	28,16%	0,44
Coordination	Proper -	G1 - MT / SC	51	41,38%	0,005
		G5 - Á / IAC	12	27,63%	0,005

	To a la consta	G1 - MT / SC	2	23,08%	0.(2
	Inadequate	G5 - Á / IAC	5	35,47%	0,62
	Not	G1 - MT / SC	48	41,77%	0.03
Bite the nipple	INOL	G5 - Á / IAC	13	30,28%	0,03
Dite the hipple —	V	G1 - MT / SC	5	30,33%	0,79
	Yes	G5 - Á / IAC	4	28,83%	
		G1 - MT / SC	36	42,55%	0.000
Tongue snapping	Not	G5 - Á / IAC	6	24,63%	0,006
	Yes	G1 - MT / SC 17 3	36,75%	0.6	
	1 05	G5 - Á / IAC	11	32,83%	0,6

Student's t-test;  $p * \le 0.050$ ; RMS - root mean square

Group 1- Middle third / sublingual caruncles (n = 53)

Group 5 - Apex /lower alveolar ridge (n = 17)

336

338

339

340

341 342

#### 337 Discussion

The age group of 1 to 4 months analysed in the present study is the same as other studies that used electromyographic evaluation in infants [18-23,26]. Most of the infants were aged between 31 and 60 days, which favours the promotion of breastfeeding and an early diagnosis of the lingual fraenulum in the population attended, preventing eventual difficulties in feeding and speech [10,12-15].

343 Most of the infants were exclusively breastfed. Of the 235 infants included, 57 were already using a bottle to complement their feeding. The main reasons reported 344 345 by the mothers for the bottle complementation included the child was crying a lot, irritated, hungry, weak, not gaining weight, or the mother was producing 346 347 insufficient breast milk. These data agree with the literature. A previous study 348 showed that the main reasons for early weaning included the mothers' lack of 349 knowledge about breastfeeding benefits, care and clinical management, and 350 functional and anatomical problems related to the mothers and infants [32]. 351 Another previous study identified some of the main difficulties in breastfeeding 352 related to a lingual fraenulum alteration, which included increased feeding time, 353 low breast milk intake, and poor weight gain [11,14,15,33], favouring early 354 weaning [11,14,15,33-35].

355 All the infants that participated in this study were referred from public 356 maternity hospitals that are regulated by the Brazilian Unified Health System (Sistema Único de Saúde - SUS). Currently, in the municipality of Goiânia in the 357 358 State of Goiás, four maternity hospitals are qualified by the Brazilian Ministry of 359 Health, receive financial incentives, and are included in the Baby-Friendly Hospital 360 Initiative programme [36]. This support may have favoured exclusive breastfeeding 361 in the infants of this study, since the main objectives of this programme are 362 protection, promotion, and support of breastfeeding [37]. A previous study

363	revealed [37,38] that infants born in maternities that do not participate in the Baby-
364	Friendly Hospital Initiative were more likely to have early weaning.
365	In the anatomical and functional evaluation, we observed that 27.3% of the
366	infants had their lingual fraenulum covered by a mucosal curtain, which was
367	visualized through a manoeuvre, and 9.3% had a thick lingual frenulum. These
368	findings agree with a previous study [15] that evaluated 100 healthy, full-term, 30-
369	day-old infants. The authors in that study found the incidence of a lingual
370	fraenulum covered by mucosal curtain to be 29%. Another study [39] performed
371	with 1,084 healthy infants, found that 35% of these had posterior lingual fraenulum
372	and that this type of lingual fraenulum did not interfere with breastfeeding. An
373	experimental study [40], performed with 1,715 healthy infants, found the
374	occurrence of posterior or submucosal lingual frenulum in 558 (32.54%) infants, as
375	well as the efficiency of the maneuver used to visualize this lingual frenulum.
376	There was a higher frequency (43.4%) of lingual fraenulum attachment to the
377	tongue between the middle third and the apex and visible from the lower alveolar
378	ridge. These results agree with the literature: a retrospective study that analysed
379	165 protocols for assessing the lingual fraenulum of full-term babies aged between
380	1 and 4 months that found a prevalence of 32.2% of the lingual fraenulum attached
381	between the middle third and the apex/ lower alveolar ridge [39]. Another previous
382	longitudinal study, which evaluated the anatomical characteristics of the lingual
383	fraenulum of 71 full-term infants, found that 27 (38%) had their lingual frenulum
384	attached between the middle third and the apex at the 1st, 6th, and 12th month of life,
385	and 42 (59.1%) infants had their lingual frenulum attached in the lower alveolar
386	ridge [15].
387	In the present study, there was no significant difference between the electrical
388	activity of the suprahyoid muscles during nutritional sucking and the infants' age in
389	days ( $p = 0.368$ ), as opposed to the literature findings. A previous study [22] that
390	investigated the development of sucking of full-term infants through
391	electromyography during breastfeeding found that the activity of the suprahyoid
392	muscles was higher with increasing age and that the activity of this muscle in the
393	active movements of the tongue and mandible lowering favours an increase of
394	sucking force in breastfeeding. Other previous studies that analysed the
395	submandibular muscles in breastfeeding through ultrasonography found that the
396	sucking pattern does not differ in infants aged between 1 to 4 months, indicating an
397	early development of the coordination between sucking, swallowing, and breathing
398	and that this may vary depending on the milk flow at the time of ejection and the

adaptive capacity of infants during breastfeeding [8,9]

399

There was no significant difference between electrical activity of the muscle 400 during sucking and gender (p = 0.136). Several previous studies [22,23,26] that 401 402 analysed the activity of orofacial muscles of full-term infants and premature infants 403 during different feeding methods did not find a correlation between the electrical 404 activity of the studied muscles and gender. Although 59 (25.1%) of the infants 405 analysed in the present study used complementary feeding with a bottle at home, 406 the results did not have a significant difference when compared to feeding type (p 407 = 0.689). This result may be because all electromyography tests were performed 408 during sucking of the breast. It should be emphasised that the fact that mothers 409 supplement the feeding of their babies at night with a bottle did not influence the 410 electrical activity of the muscle. Some previous studies indicated that the use of bottle feeding favours the participation of the mental and buccinator muscles and 411 412 causes a hypofunction of the masseter [18-20,23], temporal, pterygoid, tongue, and 413 lip muscles [18]. In the present study, we found lower electrical activity of the suprahyoid 414 415 muscles during sucking of the breast in infants with their fraenulum attached of the 416 middle third of the tongue, between the middle third and the apex, and to the apex 417 and visible from the lower alveolar ridge, regardless of age. These results indicate 418 that the attachment of the lingual fraenulum on the mouth floor visible from the 419 lower alveolar ridge, appears to interfere more with the tongue forward when 420 compared to the fraenulum attached of the middle third of the tongue and middle 421 third apex. 422 These results provide important data for the differential diagnosis of the lingual fraenulum, because they identify anatomical characteristics that may reduce muscle 423 424 activity due to the restriction of tongue-tip movement during sucking in infants that could impair breastfeeding. A previous study [6] that assessed infants with 425 persistent difficulties in breastfeeding through submandibular ultrasonography 426 427 during breastfeeding, before and after a frenulotomy, found abnormal tongue movements and ineffective removal of breast milk, followed by an improvement in 428 429 milk transfer and tongue movement during breastfeeding after the tongue-clearing 430 procedure.

We also observed a greater electric activity of the muscle in infants with a thin lingual fraenulum and with the frenulum attachment to the middle third of the tongue and visible from the sublingual caruncles, which had a sucking rhythm comprised of several sucks and short pauses, balanced coordination between food efficiency and sucking, swallowing and breathing functions, showing no signs of stress, and absence of bite of the nipple and tongue snapping, with a statistically

significant difference when compared to the muscular electrical activity of infants 437 438 with the fraenulum attached of the middle third of the tongue, between the middle third and the apex, and to the apex and visible from the lower alveolar ridge. 439 440 A previous study [14] that analysed 109 full-term infants, and referred to a surgical 441 procedure for tongue release (frenotomy), 14 of the infants who presented altered 442 lingual fraenulum, showed a statistically significant relationship between 443 anatomical characteristics of the lingual fraenulum and nutritive sucking, with 444 improvement of the sucking pattern in breastfeeding after the frenotomy. Another 445 previous study [41] identified that infants with an altered fraenulum were more 446 likely to have difficulty sucking. Furthermore, a previous longitudinal study [15], 447 which analysed the anatomical characteristics of the lingual fraenulum throughout the first year of the infant, observed that there is no change in its thickness, 448 449 attachment of the tongue, and the floor of the mouth. Thus, early identification of 450 the alteration is fundamental to intervention of the frenulotomy.

Previous studies have shown that the tongue actively participates in sucking and 451 452 is essential for proper removal of breast milk [4,6-9]. The nipple is compressed from the tip to the base when the tongue is high and, when it lowers, the nipple 453 454 expands by approaching the hard palate with the soft palate and increases in 455 diameter causing a vacuum and allowing the milk to flow into the intraoral space 456 [6-9]. Alterations of the lingual fraenulum may limit its motility [6,10-15], making 457 it difficult the inadequate catching and can lead to changes in the sucking function, 458 especially in the dynamic of sucking/removal of milk. The main problems 459 identified in cases of altered lingual fraenulum in relation to breastfeeding in the 460 mother's womb are difficulties in catching, nipple pain and cracking, prolonged 461 feeding time, reduced milk intake by the infant, loss of weight [11,14,33-35], dehydration, and growth deficiency [ 33-35]. Such changes may hinder the 462 continuity of breastfeeding [10,11,14,33-35] with consequences for the infant's 463 464 health and, later on, the development of chewing and speech [10,13-15].

465No studies associating electrical activity of the suprahyoid muscles and the466lingual fraenulum of infants during feeding were found in literature. A previous467study [5,20,22,24] that analysed the suprahyoid muscles during feeding using468surface electromyography differed from the present study as to the objectives,469infants' age, sample size, feeding types and, because they did not associate the470anatomical characteristics of the lingual fraenulum, made it impossible to compare471the findings.

472 Surface electromyography has been shown to be effective in the early diagnosis473 of the limitations of tongue movements caused by the lingual fraenulum, whose

474	attachment location, both on the tongue and the floor of the mouth, may raise
475	doubts about the reduction of tongue mobility. This technique allows for the
476	identification of possible oral motor dysfunctions, enables direct therapeutic
477	interventions and early intervention, and consequently prevents feeding and
478	communication alterations.

Among the main limitations of our study are the reduced schedules for the
examination of the infants due to technical problems related to the regulation of the
patients by the SUS, as well as the non-attendance of scheduled infants due to
health problems and the public transportation strike.

483 Further studies investigating the the electrical activity of the suprahyoid muscles
484 through surface electromyography after surgery to release the lingual fraenulum
485 may contribute to a better understanding of the impact of ankyloglossia on tongue
486 movements during breastfeeding.

## Conclusion

487

499

500

501

502

488A greater electrical activity of the muscle was observed during sucking of the489maternal breast in full-term infants when the lingual fraenulum was attached of the490middle third of the tongue and visible from the sublingual caruncle. Lower491electrical activity of the suprahyoid muscles during sucking of the maternal breast492was observed in full-term infants with the lingual fraenulum attached at the apex of493the tongue and visible from the lower alveolar ridge.

We also observed a greater electric activity of the muscle in infants with a thin lingual fraenulum and a rhythm comprised of several sucks and short pauses, adequate and balanced coordination between the feeding efficiency and the sucking, swallowing and breathing functions, without signs of stress, and absence of "bite" of the nipple and tongue snapping.

## Supporting information

Fig 1 - Electromyographic evaluation. Electrodes attached to bone (forehead) and submandibular (supra-hyoid muscles) region during sucking on breastfeeding

## Authors' contributions

ECLF: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, writing original draft,
writing reviw and editing. LACV: formal analysis, writing reviw and editing.
IMFG: formal analysis, writing reviw and editing. RLCM: formal analysis, writing
reviw and editing. CBS: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, writing
original draft, writing reviw and editing. MAB: conceptualization, data curation,

508		formal analysis, writing original draft, writing reviw and editing. All authors
509		contributed to the revision and agreed upon the final article.
510		Acknowledgement
511		We thank the employees of the Reference Center for Hearing Health of the
512		Pontifical Catholic University of Goiás, and the mothers and newborns who
513		participated in the study.
514		This study was funded by the Foundation for Research Support of the State of
515		Goiás (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Goiás - FAPEG process:
516		2016/10267000710).
517		References
518	1.	Horta BL, Victora CG. Long-term effects of breastfeeding. A systematic review.
519		Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
520	2.	Ogbo FA, Agho K, Ogeleka P, Woolfenden S, Page A, Eastwood J, et al. Infant
521		feeding practices and diarrhoea in sub-Saharan African countries with high
522		diarrhoea mortality. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(2): e0171792.
523		https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171792
524	3.	Limme M. The need of efficient chewing function in young children as prevention
525		of dental malposition and malocclusion. Arch Pediatr. 2010;17(Suppl 5): S213-
526		S219.
527	4.	Casagrande L, Ferreira FV, Hahn D, Unfer T, Praetzel JR. Aleitamento natural e
528		artificial e o desenvolvimento do sistema Estomatogmático. Rev Fac Odontol Porto
529		Alegre. 2008;49(2): 11-17.
530	5.	Raposo RD, Silva HD. Proposta de um protocolo de avaliação da atividade elétrica
531		dos músculos masseter e supra-hióideos em recém-nascidos pré-termo durante a
532		alimentação. Rev CEFAC. 2013;15: 803-814.
533	6.	Geddes DT, Langton DB, Gollow I, Jacobs LA, Hartmann PE, Simmer K.
534		Frenulotomy for breastfeeding infants with ankyloglossia: effect on milk removal
535		and sucking mechanism as imaged by ultrasound. Pediatrics. 2008;122(1): e188-
536		e194.
537	7.	Geddes DT, Sakalidis VS, Hepworth AR, McClellan HL, Kent JC, Lai CT, et al.
538		Tongue movement and intra-oral vacuum of term infants during breastfeeding and
539		feeding from an experimental teat that released milk under vacuum only. Early
540		Hum Dev. 2012;Jun88(6): 443-449.

541	<b>8.</b> Sakalidis VS, Kent JC, Garbin CP, Hepworth AR, Hartmann PE, Geddes DT.
542	Longitudinal changes in suck-swallow breathe, oxygen saturation, and heart rate
543	patterns in term breastfeeding infants. J Hum Lact. 2013;29(2): 236-245.
544	9. Geddes DT, Sakalidis VS. Breastfeeding: how do they do it? Infant sucking,
545	swallowing and breathing. Infant. 2015;11(5): 146-150.
546	10. Melo NSFO, Lima AAS, Fernandes A, Silva RPGVC. Anquiloglossia: relato de
547	caso. Rev Soc Bras Odontol. 2011;8(1): 102-107.
548	<b>11.</b> Knox I. Tongue tie and frenotomy in the breastfeeding newborn. Neo Reviews.
549	2010;11(9): 513-519.
550	12. Marchesan IQ. Protocolo de Avaliação do Frênulo da Língua. Rev CEFAC.
551	2010;12(6): 977-978.
552	13. Martinelli RL, Marchesan IQ, Berretin-Felix G. Protocolo de avaliação do frênulo
553	lingual para bebês: relação entre aspectos anatômicos e funcionais. Rev CEFAC.
554	2013;15(3): 599-610.
555	14. Martinelli RLC, Marchesan IQ, Gusmão RJ, Honório HM, Berretin-Felix G. The
556	effects of frenotomy on breastfeeding. J Appl Oral Sci. [Internet]. 2015 Apr [cited
557	2018 Sep 13];23(2):153-7. Available from:
558	http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1678-
559	77572015000200007&lng=en. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-775720140339
560	15. Martinelli RLC, Marchesan IQ, Berretin-Felix G. Estudo longitudinal das
561	características anatômicas do frênulo lingual comparado com as afirmações da
562	literatura. Rev CEFAC. 2014;16(4): 1202-1207.
563	16. Suvinen TI, Malmberg J, Forster C, Kemppainen P. Postural and dynamic masseter
564	and anterior temporalis muscle EMG repeatability in serial assessments. J Oral
565	Rehabil. 2009;36: 814-820.
566	17. Moraes KJR, Cunha RA, Lins OG, Cunha DA, Silva HJ. Eletromiografia de
567	Superfície: padronização da técnica. Neurobiologia. 2010;73(3): 151-158.
568	18. Gomes CF, Trezza EM, Murade EC, Padovani CR. Surface electromyography of
569	facial muscles during natural and artificial feeding of infants. J Pediatr. 2006;82:
570	103-109.
571	19. Inoue N, Sakashita R, Kamegai T. Reduction of masseter muscle activity in bottle-
572	fed babies. Early Hum Dev. 1995;42: 185–193.
573	20. Tamura Y, Horikawa Y, Yoshida S. Co-ordination of tongue movements and peri-
574	oral muscle activities during nutritive sucking. Dev Med Child Neurol. 1996;38:
575	503-510.

576	21. Sakashita R, Kamegai T, Inoue N. Masseter muscle activity in bottle feeding with
577	the chewing type bottle teat: evidence from electromyographs. Early Hum Dev.
578	1996;45: 83-92.
579	22. Tamura Y, Matsushita S, Shinoda K, Yoshida S. Developmental of perioral muscle
580	activity during suckling in infants: a cross-sectional and follow-up study. Dev Med
581	Child Neurol. 1998;40: 344-348.
582	23. Gomes CF, Da Costa Gois MLC, Oliveira BC, Thomson Z, Cardoso JR. Indian J
583	Pediatr. 2013;sep81: 755.
584	24. Martins CD, Furlan RMMM, Motta AR, Viana MCFB. Electromyography of
585	muscles involved in feeding premature infants. CoDAS. 2015;27(4): 372-377.
586	25. Nyqvist KH, Ewald U. Surface electromyography of facial muscles during natural
587	and artificial feeding of infants: identification of differences between breast-, cup-
588	and bottle- feeding. J Pediatr. 2006;82: 858-6.
589	26. França ECL, Sousa CB, Aragão LC, Costa LR. Electromyographic analysis of
590	masseter muscle in newborns during suction in breast, bottle or cup feeding. BMC
591	Pregnancy and Childbirth (Online). 2014;14: 154.
592	27. Matinelli RLC. Validação do protocolo de avaliação do frênulo da língua em bebês
593	[tese]. Bauru: Faculdade de Odontologia de Bauru, Universidade de São Paulo;
594	2015.
595	28. De Luca CJ, Adam A, Wotiz R, Gilmore LD, Nawab SH. Decomposition of
596	surface EMG signals. J Neurophysiol. 2006;96: 1646–1657.
597	29. Pernambuco LA, Silva HJ, Nascimento GKBO, Silva EGF, Balata PMM, Santos
598	VS, et al. Electrical activity of the masseter during swallowing after total
599	laryngectomy. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2011;77(5): 645-650.
600	<b>30.</b> SENIAM (2013). "SENIAM: European Recommendations for Surface
601	Electromyography." [Acesso em: 13 fev 2016]; Disponível em:
602	http://www.seniam.org.
603	<b>31.</b> Ball N, Scurr J. An assessment of the reliability and standardisation of test, used to
604	elicit reference muscular actions for electromyographical normalization. J
605	Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2010;20: 81-88.
606	32. Oliveira CS, Locca FA, Carrijo MLR, Garcia R AT M. Amamentação e as
607	intercorrências que contribuem para o desmame precoce. Rev Gaúcha Enferm.
608	2015;36: 16-23.
609	<b>33.</b> Francis DO, Chinnadurai S, Morad A, Epstein RA, Kohanim S, Krishnaswami S,
610	et al. Treatments for ankyloglossia and ankyloglossia with concomitant lip-tie.
611	Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2016.

612	34. Kent JC, Ashton E, Hardwick CM, Rowan MK, Chia ES, Fairclough KA, et al.
613	Nipple pain in breastfeeding mothers: incidence, causes and treatments. Int J
614	Environ Res Public Health. 2015;12(10): 12247-12263.
615	<b>35.</b> O'Shea JE, Foster JP, O'Donnell CP, Breathnach D, Jacobs SE, Todd DA, et al.
616	Frenotomy for tongue-tie in newborn infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.
617	2017;11(3): CD011065.
618	36. Lista dos Hospitais Amigo da Criança. Brasil, 2010. [Capturado em 20 de
619	novembro de 2014]. Disponível em
620	http://www.unicef.org/brazil/pt/br_listaIHAC2010.pdf
621	37. Sampaio PF, Moraes CL, Reichenheim ME, Oliveira ASD, Lobato G. Nascer em
622	Hospital Amigo da Criança no Rio de Janeiro, Brasil: um fator de proteção ao
623	aleitamento materno? Cad Saúde Pública, Rio de Janeiro. 2011;27(7): 1349-1361.
624	38. Caldeira DA, Gonçalves E. Avaliação de impacto da implantação da Iniciativa a
625	Hospital Amigo da Criança. Archivos de Pediatría del Uruguay. 2009;80: 2.
626	39. Martinelli RLC, Marchesan IQ, Berretin-Felix G: Posterior lingual frenulum and
627	breastfeeding. Int J Orofacial Myology 2016, 20:49-54.
628	40. Martinelli RLC, Marchesan IQ, Berretin-Felix G: Frênulo lingual posterior em
629	bebês: ocorrência e manobra para visualização. Rev CEFAC 2018, 20(4):478-483.
630	41. Marcione ESS, Coelho FG, Souza CB, França ECL. Classificação anatômica do
631	frênulo lingual de bebês. Rev CEFAC. 2016;18(5): 1042-1049.



## Figure 1