1 Balancing selection at a premature stop mutation in the *myostatin* gene underlies a

2 recessive leg weakness syndrome in pigs

- 3 Oswald Matika¹, Diego Robledo¹, Ricardo Pong-Wong¹, Stephen C. Bishop^{1@}, Valentina
- 4 Riggio¹, Heather Finlayson¹, Natalie R. Lowe¹, Annabelle E. Hoste², Grant A. Walling²,
- 5 Alan L. Archibald¹, John A. Woolliams¹[‡], and Ross D. Houston¹[‡]
- 6 ¹The Roslin Institute and Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, The University of Edinburgh,
- 7 Midlothian, EH25 9RG, UK
- 8 ²JSR Genetics, Southburn, Driffield, East Yorkshire, YO25 9ED, UK.

9 [@]Deceased

10 ‡ These authors contributed equally to this work

11 ABSTRACT

Balancing selection provides a plausible explanation for the maintenance of deleterious 12 alleles at moderate frequency in livestock, including lethal recessives exhibiting 13 heterozygous advantage in carriers. In the current study, a leg weakness syndrome 14 15 causing mortality of piglets in a commercial line showed monogenic recessive 16 inheritance, and a region on chromosome 15 associated with the syndrome was identified by homozygosity mapping. Whole genome resequencing of cases and controls identified 17 18 a mutation coding for a premature stop codon within exon 3 of the porcine *Myostatin* (MSTN) gene, similar to those causing a double-muscling phenotype observed in several 19 20 mammalian species. The MSTN mutation was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the 21 population at birth, but significantly distorted amongst animals still in the herd at 110 kg, 22 due to an absence of homozygous mutant genotypes. In heterozygous form, the MSTN mutation was associated with a major increase in muscle depth and decrease in fat depth, 23 suggesting that the deleterious allele was maintained at moderate frequency due to 24

heterozygous advantage. Knockout of the porcine *MSTN* by gene editing has previously
been linked to problems of low piglet survival and lameness. This *MSTN* mutation is an
example of putative balancing selection in livestock, providing a plausible explanation
for the lack of disrupting MSTN mutations in pigs despite many generations of selection
for lean growth.

30 Keywords: leg weakness, *MSTN*, balancing selection, heterozygous advantage, pigs

31 Introduction

Leg weakness is heterogeneous condition causing lameness in pigs, and has negative 32 33 impacts on both animal welfare and productivity [1, 2]. Significant heritability estimates 34 have been reported for leg weakness traits [reviewed in 3], with moderate to high estimates in certain pig breeds, e.g. $h^2 = 0.45$ in Landrace [4]. Several quantitative trait 35 loci (OTL) have been identified for these traits, albeit they are generally not consistent 36 37 across studies and breeds [5-8], which may be partly due to the heterogeneity of this condition. Interestingly, significant genetic correlations between leg weakness and other 38 production traits (such as growth and muscle depth) have been detected [4]. Further, in a 39 divergent selection experiment in Duroc lines, selection for high leg weakness was 40 associated with a significant increase in muscle length and weight [9]. Taken together, 41 42 these results suggest a degree of antagonistic genetic relationship between leg weakness and muscle growth traits in pigs, potentially explaining increases in the syndrome 43 observed with intense selection for lean growth in recent decades. 44

Deleterious alleles can be maintained at relatively high frequency in commercial livestock
populations due to heterozygous advantage for traits under selection [10]. Examples of
such balancing selection in cattle include a frame-shift mutation in the *mannose receptor C typed 2 (MRC2)* gene responsible for crooked tail syndrome and also associated with

increased muscle mass in Belgian Blue [11], and a large deletion with antagonistic effects 49 50 on fertility and milk production traits in Nordic Red [12, 13] is likely to have caused an increase in incidence of porcine stress syndrome (also known as malignant hyperthermia) 51 in the 1970s and 1980s, due to the association of the causative missense mutation with 52 reduced backfat – a trait under selection. More recently, a recessive embryonic lethal 53 54 deletion in the bone structure and strength QTL 9 (BSS9) gene was associated with 55 heterozygous advantage for growth rate, explaining an unexpectedly high frequency of this allele in a commercial pig line [14]. 56

We investigated genetic parameters and mode of inheritance for a leg weakness syndrome 57 causing piglet mortality in a commercial line of Large White pigs. A monogenic recessive 58 inheritance was observed, and homozygosity mapping was used to identify a genomic 59 region on sus scrofa chromosome (SSC) 15 associated with the trait. A mutation causing 60 a premature stop codon in exon 3 of the *Myostatin* (MSTN) gene (similar to mutations 61 causing the 'double-muscling' phenotype in cattle [15] was the outstanding functional 62 candidate in the region. Comparison of MSTN genotype frequencies at birth and 110 kg 63 64 supported this hypothesis, and carriers were shown to have significantly higher muscle 65 mass and reduced fat depth than wild type homozygotes. Therefore, we propose that the MSTN mutant allele is highly deleterious in this population in homozygous form, but was 66 67 maintained at moderate frequency due to heterozygous advantage.

68 **RESULTS**

69 The piglet leg weakness trait shows a recessive mode of inheritance

Estimates of heritability for the leg weakness syndrome (analysed as a binary trait on the underlying liability scale) was high $(0.57 \pm 0.10$ in the sire and dam model) with low $(0.17 \pm 0.02$ and 0.11 ± 0.02) but significant effects observed for permanent

environmental effects due to the dam and litter, respectively (Table 2, Table S1). The 73 74 overall prevalence of leg weakness in the commercial cohort was 6.3 % (Table 1). When 75 only affected litters were considered, the mean proportion of affected piglets was 23 $\% \pm$ 76 0.7. This within-litter prevalence is consistent with the expectation under the hypothesis of a single recessive locus (i.e. 25 %). Complex Bayesian segregation analysis suggested 77 that almost all the variation was explained by a single locus with almost no environmental 78 79 variation. The estimate of the additive effect was 0.50 ± 0.001 and dominance effect was -0.50 ± 0.001 , which is in precise agreement with a recessive locus model. 80

81 Causative variant maps to chromosome 15

Homozygosity mapping was used to map the underlying recessive variant, and the longest 82 homozygous segment was a region of ~ 8.3 Mbp on SSC 15. In this region, all 55 83 84 informative single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on the Illumina PorcineSNP60 SNP chip [16] were homozygous in affected animals, but contained SNPs that were 85 heterozygous or homozygous for the alternative allele in the unaffected animals. The 86 segment started with SNP ALGA0110636 (rs81338938) at position 86,745,668 in the 87 current Sscrofa11.1 reference genome assembly (Genbank assembly accession 88 89 GCA 000003025.6) and finished with SNP H3GA0044732 (rs80936849) at position 95,062,143 (Fig 1a and b). The MSTN gene was located within this homozygous segment, 90 91 from position 94,620,269 - 94,628,630. The 8.3 Mbp segment was assumed to represent 92 a selective sweep likely to contain the underlying causative mutation, and became the 93 focus of further analyses to discover and characterise this mutation.

94 Sequence analysis reveals *MSTN* mutation as causative candidate

To identify candidates for the causative variant, whole genome sequence data from ten
cases, six presumed heterozygous carrier dams, and 22 controls were analysed. A total of

40 SNPs identified within the homozygous segment fitted the pattern of a potential 97 98 causative variant assuming a recessive mode of inheritance. Functional annotation of these SNPs revealed that 19 were intergenic, 19 were intronic, 1 was in a pseudogene, 99 and 1 caused a premature stop codon. There were also 10 InDels identified, 3 of which 100 were intergenic and 7 of which were intronic (Figure S1). The outstanding functional 101 candidate was a mutation in the third exon of the MSTN locus that results in the 102 103 replacement of a codon for glutamic acid with a stop codon in exon 3 at position 274 (c.820G>T; p.E274*) (Figure 2A). The mutation is located in a region that is highly 104 105 conserved across multiple species, and is predicted to result in truncation of the protein 106 (Figure 2B). Functional annotation of all other variants detected in the selective sweep region did not reveal any other obvious causative candidates. This SNP and stop gain 107 mutation was not present on the Ensembl variation database, accessed 25th July 2018. 108

109 Changes in mutant allele frequency from piglets to adults

110 The MSTN c.820G>T mutation showed no statistically significant deviation from Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in the 486 piglets sampled at birth (q = 0.22, α = 0.019, 111 χ^2 =0.18, P > 0.05). Random mating of the dioecious population would be expected to 112 113 result in a value of α that is slightly negative [17], and the value observed does not differ significantly from this value. However, the mutation deviated significantly from HWE at 114 40 kg (q = 0.17 α = - 0.180, χ^2 =12.2, P > 0.001) and at 110 kg (q = 0.17 α = - 0.210, 115 χ^2 =11.45, P > 0.001). This was due to the loss of homozygous mutant piglets, with all but 116 117 one dying (or being euthanized) shortly after birth, and the remaining piglet being 118 euthanized due to poor health before it reached 110 kg. The large change in α in a negative 119 direction is quantitative evidence of the selective disappearance of homozygote 120 genotypes, as opposed to disappearance as a result of selection against the allele itself. There were no significant changes in the relative genotype frequencies (GG, GT) over the 121

total period or any sub-period from birth to the end of the test, confirming all changes in q and a are due to the selective loss of homozygotes, and that any other mortality or culling was at random with respective to *MSTN* genotype.

125 Association of the MSTN mutation with performance traits

126 The association of the porcine MSTN c.820G>T mutation with performance traits was assessed on 384 pigs which had completed a commercial performance test. Given the loss 127 128 of the homozygous mutant animals the effect of the MSTN c.820G>T mutation was only 129 estimated by the difference between the heterozygotes and the wild type pigs. The 130 genotype means and differences are shown in Table 3, with the most notable of these being a major increase in muscle depth and a reduction in fat depth in the carriers (p < p131 0.001), with no evidence of a difference in live weight at 110 kg. Approximately 31 % of 132 133 the genetic variation in muscle depth and 18 % of the genetic variation in fat depth was explained by this single variant (Table 3). The heterozygous animals had on average 134 135 5 mm increased muscle depth, and 1.7 mm decreased backfat depth when compared with wild type homozygous animals. 136

137 **DISCUSSION**

A piglet leg weakness syndrome identified in a commercial line of Large White pigs 138 139 showed moderate to high heritability, consistent with the upper range of estimates 140 reported in the literature [18, 19, 2]. The within-litter incidence of the syndrome and the 141 complex Bayesian segregation analysis both pointed to a monogenic recessive condition. 142 Homozygosity mapping revealed a 8.3 Mbp segment on SSC15 as a putative selective 143 sweep likely to contain the causative variant. The outstanding functional candidate variant identified by whole genome sequencing of cases and controls caused a premature stop 144 145 codon within exon 3 of the MSTN gene (Figure 2), and this variant was in HWE at birth

but significantly distorted by 110 kg due to an absence of homozygous mutant animals. Knockout of *MSTN* by gene editing in previous studies has been associated with poor health and mortality of knockout piglets [20-23], including observations of a piglet leg weakness syndrome with an inability to stand or walk [21], strikingly similar to the syndrome described in the current study.

MSTN is a member of the transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β) superfamily, which 151 is highly conserved across species, and is typically expressed in developing and mature 152 153 skeletal muscle as a key regulator of muscle growth [24]. The MSTN gene has been a gene of interest to animal breeders for over twenty years since the discovery of loss-of-154 function mutations in the cattle MSTN gene, which cause muscle hypertrophy leading to 155 156 double muscling phenotypes [15, 24, 25]. Interestingly, these loss-of-function mutations 157 causing double muscling are frequently due to premature stop mutations in the highly conserved exon 3 of MSTN [25], (Figure 3). Further, in the case of Marchigiana beef 158 159 cattle, the MSTN variant causing double muscling results is due to replacement of Glutamic Acid with a stop codon (the same change observed in the current study) in the 160 161 equivalent position in the protein as observed in the current study [26]. This observation 162 provides additional indirect evidence that the porcine MSTN mutation described herein is likely to cause the increase in muscle depth and decrease in fat depth associated with 163 carrier animals. 164

In livestock breeding schemes, *MSTN*-inactivating mutations have been retained due to selection for increased lean growth associated with meat production, particularly for double-muscled cattle. However, despite many generations of selection for lean growth in pigs no such mutations have been reported previously. Associations between polymorphisms within the porcine *MSTN* gene and production traits have been shown in small-scale studies [27-29]. Further, a genome-wide association study in a sire line Large

White population (related to the animals in the current study) detected SNPs on SSC 15 171 172 significantly associated with rib fat between 81.1 and 87.8 Mbp [30]. While these SNPs are between 7 and 13 Mbp closer to the centromere than MSTN, two of these SNPs 173 174 overlap with the region on homozygosity indicative of a selective sweep in the current study. Therefore, it is plausible that this association may be due to linkage disequilibrium 175 (LD) with the MSTN variant, or that other variants impacting performance traits exist on 176 177 SSC15. The selection index applied in this line explicitly benefited animals with positive muscle depth and negative fat depth estimated breeding values, with analysis of the index 178 179 showing that heterozygotes had a slight but significant advantage (p<0.05). Therefore, it 180 is likely that the MSTN c.820G>T mutation was maintained at moderate frequency in this line despite its deleterious impact on piglet mortality due to heterozygous advantage for 181 182 muscle and fat traits. Similar examples of balancing selection have observed to explain 183 the maintenance of deleterious alleles at moderate frequency in commercial cattle [10, 31] and pig [14] populations. The results described herein have major implications for the 184 185 targeted ablation of MSTN via gene editing to increase lean growth in pigs, and provide a plausible explanation of why MSTN loss-of-function mutations have not previously been 186 reported in pigs despite decades of selection for lean growth. 187

188 Materials and Methods

189 Ethics statement

All samples were collected on a commercial nucleus farm as part of standard husbandry and management procedures in the nucleus herd, which complied with conventional UK red tractor farm assurance standards (https://assurance.redtractor.org.uk/) where sick or injured livestock that do not respond to treatment are promptly and humanely euthanized by a trained and competent stockperson.

195 Animals

A piglet leg weakness syndrome was characterised in a Large White sire line, reared in a 196 nucleus herd, under standard conditions but with additional data recording. Leg weakness 197 trait observations were collected on 19,006 piglets from 2007 to 2010, during which time 198 a high incidence of the syndrome was detected. In addition, DNA was sampled on a 199 200 further 119 piglets in 2011 and 486 piglets from the same population in 2012, of these 384 also had weight and carcass phenotypes available. The cohort born in 2007 to 2010 201 202 will be referred to as the commercial cohort and those in 2011 and 2012 as the survey cohort. Pedigree was available for all animals and spanned 9 generations. Details of the 203 204 data and pedigree structure are presented in Table 1.

205 The leg weakness in the phenotyped animals was visually classified as normal or affected 206 (0 / 1 respectively). The leg defect is characterised by the piglet not being able to 207 straighten its legs to stand, this being most apparent for the front legs, and being slow to 208 suckle. Videos exemplifying the syndrome are given in Additional File 1. Detailed post mortems were conducted on two affected individuals but the results were ineffective in 209 providing additional diagnostic aids (see Additional File 2). The Online Mendelian 210 211 Inheritance in Animals database (OMIA: http://omia.org/OMIA000585/9823/) was searched for previous reports of leg weakness in pigs but the syndrome observed here did 212 213 not appear. These problems frequently resulted in death from either starvation or being 214 crushed by the sow. Where the outcome resulted in poor welfare the piglets were 215 euthanised in accord with the Ethics Statement. Additional farrowing data were collected 216 on the females in the commercial cohort including numbers born alive, dead or 217 mummified, parity and year of birth. Body weights, ultrasound muscle and fat depths 218 were obtained for individuals in the survey cohort that were retained in the herd until commercial slaughter age (when average weight is 110kg). The ultrasonic measurements 219

taken were the average depth of the *m. longissimus dorsi* and overlaying subcutaneous fatlayer across the last four ribs.

222 Statistical analyses of genetic parameters

Initial studies to establish the genetic basis of the leg weakness syndrome were undertaken by fitting linear mixed models to the commercial cohort. The binary record of the syndrome was modelled on the observed 0 / 1 scale and the full model fitted was:

226 $y=X\beta + Z_1u + Z_2v + Z_3w + e$ (1)

227 where y is the vector of leg weakness phenotypes; β , a vector of fixed effects for month of observation (43 df), sex (1 df), parity (7 df), numbers in litter born dead (1 df) or alive 228 (1 df), with design matrix X; u, additive polygenic effects, assumed to be distributed 229 MVN(0, $A\sigma_a^2$) with design matrix Z₁; v, random litter effects assumed to be distributed 230 231 MVN(0, $I\sigma_v^2$), with design matrix Z_2 ; w, maternal environment effects across litters assumed to be distributed MVN(0, $I\sigma_w^2$) with design matrix Z_3 ; and e residuals assumed 232 to be distributed MVN(0, $I\sigma_e^2$). Variations in this model were fitted replacing the 233 individual polygenic effects with sire and/or dam effects, assumed to be distributed 234 235 MVN(0, $A\sigma_s^2$) and MVN(0, $A\sigma_d^2$) respectively. All models were fitted using the ASREML software [32]. Likelihood ratio tests were used to assess the random effects. 236 In addition, an analogous threshold mode with an underlying continuous liability was 237 fitted with a logit link function and sire and dam effects associated with the pedigree, but 238 239 not with individual polygenic effects, following recommendation of Gilmour et al. [36]. For the full model, the phenotypic variance was calculated as $\sigma_p^2 = \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_e^2$. 240 Where sire and dam models were used, σ_u^2 was replaced by $\sigma_s^2 + \sigma_d^2$. Heritability (h²) 241 was calculated as σ_u^2/σ_p^2 or $2(\sigma_s^2 + \sigma_d^2)/\sigma_p^2$ depending on the model. The proportion of 242

variance explained by the litter and maternal environmental effects were estimated as $\sigma^2_{\rm v}/\sigma^2_{\rm p}$ and $\sigma^2_{\rm w}/\sigma^2_{\rm p}$, respectively. Heritabilities on the observed scale (0/1) was transformed to an underlying liability scale following Dempster and Lerner [33] using the observed prevalence of the syndrome in the commercial population which was 6.3%

Inspection of the data suggested that the syndrome may be due to a single gene with the 247 248 predisposing deleterious allele showing a recessive mode of inheritance, and this hypothesis was tested using chi square tests and segregation analyses. An initial test of a 249 monogenic recessive mode of inheritance was carried out by pooling all affected litters, 250 estimating the probability of being affected conditional on being born in an affected litter, 251 252 and using chi-squared to test the null hypothesis that the probability of being affected was 253 0.25. A weakness of this approach is that some litters by chance will have no affected 254 offspring, so a more complex segregation model was fitted. This model included all known phenotypes and pedigree data, and assumed a monogenic inheritance with 255 environmental variation fitted by Gibbs sampling [34]. 256

257 Homozygosity mapping of the recessive mutation

Ten affected animals from different litters and 10 unaffected full-sib controls from the commercial cohort were genotyped using the Illumina PorcineSNP60 SNP chip [16]. Only those SNPs that mapped to known positions on autosomal chromosomes and were not fixed nor completely heterozygous were retained. This left 38,570 segregating autosomal SNPs for the use in homozygosity mapping. Homozygous regions were assessed by alignment with the Sscrofa11.1 reference genome assembly sequence (Genbank assembly accession GCA_000003025.6).

265 Whole genome resequencing

The genomes of the ten cases used for homozygosity mapping and six separate dams with 266 267 affected offspring, assumed heterozygotes, were whole genome shotgun sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform. The dams were individually sequenced with a 10x genome 268 coverage. The piglets were barcoded and individually sequenced at 3x coverage to 269 achieve 30x coverage for the pool. The full sequencing output resulted in ~1.3 billion 270 paired-end reads with an average of 48 million paired-end reads/sample for the piglets 271 272 and 157 million paired-end reads/sample for the dams. Quality filtering and removal of residual adaptor sequences was conducted on read pairs using Trimmomatic v.0.32 [35]. 273 274 Only reads where both pairs had a length greater than 32 bp post-filtering were retained, 275 leaving a total of ~ 1.2 bn paired-end reads.

276 Whole genome resequencing was followed by alignment to the Sscrofal1.1 assembly; using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner with default parameters [36]. The average alignment 277 rate of properly paired reads was of 92 %. PCR duplicates were marked using Picard 278 279 Tools (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). Variant calling was performed using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) HaplotypeCaller after read recalibration [37]. The 280 parameter setting for the hard filters that were applied to the raw genotypes were: 281 282 QualByDepth < 2.0, FisherStrand > 60.0, RMSMappingQuality <40.0, 283 MappingQualitySumTest < -12.5, ReadPosRankSumTest < -8.0.

Candidate loci were identified from the sequences of the 16 animals from this study plus
22 additional *Sus scrofa* control sequences obtained from a public database [38],
comprising 7 domesticated breeds (Duroc, Hampshire, Jiangquhai, Landrace, Large
White, Meishan and Pietrain) and wild boar. The following criteria were used to identify
candidate SNPs:

i. Homozygous for the same allele in all the affected piglets

290 ii. Heterozygous in parents of affected piglets (i.e. putative carriers)

iii. Heterozygous or homozygous for the alternative allele (i.e. the one not observedin the affected offspring) in the control (unaffected) animals

It is worth noting that the limited sequencing depth means that both alleles will not be detected for all bases in all individuals. This limitation is particularly relevant to the reliable detection of heterozygous SNPs.

296

297 Genotyping

A 'kompetitive allele specific PCR' (KASP) assay was designed by LGC Genomics 298 299 (Teddington, UK) to enable genotyping of the mutation in the MSTN stop codon in large 300 numbers of animals. The survey cohort of 486 piglets sampled at birth were genotyped by LGC. Of these, 265 remained as candidates for the final selection at 110 kg with a 301 302 complete record of their performance test, together with another 119 pigs phenotyped at 303 slaughter age (total n = 374). In both the 486 piglets and the subsequent subsets surviving to 40 and 110 kg, the frequency of the MSTN mutation (q) was calculated by counting, 304 305 and the departure from Hardy Weinberg equilibrium the genotypes was estimated as α =1- H_{obs}/H_{exp} [17] where H_{obs} is the observed heterozygosity and H_{exp} is the expected 306 307 heterozygosity calculated as 2q(1-q). The significance of departure from true random 308 mating genotype frequencies ($\alpha = 0$) was tested using a chi-squared test.

309 Association analysis

Associations between the *MSTN* c.820G>T locus and variation in performance traits in commercial testing conditions were examined in the survey cohort (n = 384). The performance test was started at a target weights of 40 kg, at an average age of 85 days, and continued for 54 (s.d. 12) days. The performance tests were performed over two distinct periods. The traits available were live weights and ages at the start and the end of the test, ultrasonic muscle and fat depths measured at the end of the test, days from birth to 40 kg and days from 40 to 110 kg. Univariate mixed models were fitted to these data in ASReml-R4 using the following model:

318
$$y=1\mu + X_1\beta + X_2b + Zu + e$$
 (2)

where v is the vector of phenotypes; μ , a fitted mean, and 1, a vector of 1's; β , a vector 319 320 of fixed nuisance effects with design matrix X_1 ; b, a scalar fixed effect for the effect of 321 SNP genotype with design matrix X_2 , this has only 1 df due to the absence of homozygotes 322 completing the test; \mathbf{u} , additive polygenic effects assumed to be distributed MVN(0, 323 $A\sigma_a^2$), with design matrix **Z**; and **e**, residuals assumed to be distributed MVN(0, $I\sigma_e^2$). For all traits, the sex of the piglet (1 df), parity of dam (4 df) and period of testing (1 df) 324 325 were fitted as nuisance factors, together with cubic smoothing splines for the start date of the test fitted separately within each period [39]. The age at the time of measurement was 326 327 fitted as covariate (1 df) for all traits other than days to 40 kg and days from 40 to 110 kg. 328 The significance of fixed effects was assessed using Wald tests [ASReml Manual].

329 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Institute Strategic Funding Grants to The Roslin Institute (BBS/E/D/20211553
BBS/E/D/30002275). Edinburgh Genomics is partly supported through core grants from
NERC (R8/H10/56), MRC (MR/K001744/1) and BBSRC (BB/J004243/1). Diego
Robledo is supported by a Newton International Fellowship of the Royal Society
(NF160037)

335 REFERENCES:

Hill MA. Economic relevance, diagnosis, and countermeasures for degenerative joint disease
 (osteoarthrosis) and dyschondroplasia (osteochondrosis) in pigs. Journal of the American
 Veterinary Medical Association. 1990;197(2):254-9.

2. Rothschild MF, Christian LL. Genetic-control of front-leg weakness in duroc swine .1. Direct
response to 5 generations of divergent selection. Livestock Production Science. 1988;19(34):459-71.

342 3. Fukawa K, Kusuhara S. The genetic and non-genetic aspects of leg weakness and 343 osteochondrosis in pigs - Review. Asian Australas J Anim Sci. 2001;14(1):114-22.

344 4. Jorgensen B, Vestergaard T. Genetics of leg weakness in boars at the Danish pig breeding
345 stations. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica. 1990;40(1):59-69.

- 5. Guo YM, Ai HS, Ren J, Wang GJ, Wen Y, Mao HR et al. A whole genome scan for quantitative
 trait loci for leg weakness and its related traits in a large F-2 intercross population between
 White Duroc and Erhualian. J Anim Sci. 2009;87(5):1569-75.
- 6. Uemoto Y, Sato S, Ohnishi C, Hirose K, Kameyama K, Fukawa K et al. Quantitative trait loci for
 leg weakness traits in a Landrace purebred population. Anim Sci J. 2010;81(1):28-33.
- 7. Laenoi W, Uddin MJ, Cinar MU, Phatsara C, Tesfaye D, Scholz AM et al. Molecular
 characterization and methylation study of matrix gla protein in articular cartilage from pig with
 osteochondrosis. Gene. 2010;459(1-2):24-31.
- 8. Laenoi W, Uddin MJ, Cinar MU, Grosse-Brinkhaus C, Tesfaye D, Jonas E et al. Quantitative trait
 loci analysis for leg weakness-related traits in a Duroc x Pietrain crossbred population. Genet Sel
 Evol. 2011;43:7.
- 9. Draper DD, Rothschild MF, Christian LL. Effects of divergent selection for leg weakness on
 muscle and bone characteristics in Duroc swine. Genet Sel Evol. 1992;24(4):363-74.
- 10. Hedrick PW. Heterozygote Advantage: The Effect of Artificial Selection in Livestock and Pets.
 J Hered. 2015;106(2):141-54.
- 11. Fasquelle C, Sartelet A, Li WB, Dive M, Tamma N, Michaux C et al. Balancing Selection of a
 Frame-Shift Mutation in the MRC2 Gene Accounts for the Outbreak of the Crooked Tail
 Syndrome in Belgian Blue Cattle. PLoS Genet. 2009;5(9):9.
- 12. Otsu K, Khanna VK, Archibald AL, Maclennan DH. Cosegregation of porcine malignant
 hyperthermia and a probable causal mutation in the skeletal-muscle ryanodine receptor gene in
 backcross families. Genomics. 1991;11(3):744-50.
- 13. Fujii J, Otsu K, Zorzato F, Deleon S, Khanna VK, Weiler JE et al. Identification of a mutation in
 porcine ryanodine receptor associated with malignant hyperthermia. Science.
 1991;253(5018):448-51.
- 14. Derks MFL, Lopes MS, Bosse M, Madsen O, Dibbits B, Harlizius B et al. Balancing selection
 on a recessive lethal deletion with pleiotropic effects on two neighboring genes in the porcine
 genome. PLoS Genet. 2018.
- 15. Grobet L, Martin LJR, Poncelet D, Pirottin D, Brouwers B, Riquet J et al. A deletion in the
 bovine myostatin gene causes the double-muscled phenotype in cattle. Nature Genetics.
 1997;17(1):71-4.
- 16. Ramos AM, Crooijmans R, Affara NA, Amaral AJ, Archibald AL, Beever JE et al. Design of a
 High Density SNP Genotyping Assay in the Pig Using SNPs Identified and Characterized by Next
 Generation Sequencing Technology. PLoS One. 2009;4(8):13.
- 379 17. Robertson A. Inbreeding in artificial selection programmes. Genetical Research.380 1961;2(02):189-94.
- 18. Bereskin B. Genetic-aspects of feet and legs soundness in swine. J Anim Sci. 1979;48(6):13228.
- 383 19. Jorgensen B, Andersen S. Genetic parameters for osteochondrosis in Danish Landrace and
 384 Yorkshire boars and correlations with leg weakness and production traits. Anim Sci.
 385 2000;71:427-34.
- 20. Kang JD, Kim S, Zhu HY, Jin L, Guo Q, Li XC et al. Generation of cloned adult muscular pigs
 with myostatin gene mutation by genetic engineering. RSC Adv. 2017;7(21):12541-9.

Xang Q, Hu Y, Zou Y, HU W, Li L, Chang F et al., editors. Improving pig genetic resistance and
 muscle production through molecular biology. Proceedings, 10th World Congress of Genetics
 Applied to Livestock Production; 2014 August 17-22; Vancouver, BC, Canada.

Wang KK, Ouyang HS, Xie ZC, Yao CG, Guo NN, Li MJ et al. Efficient Generation of Myostatin
Mutations in Pigs Using the CRISPR/Cas9 System. Sci Rep. 2015;5.

23. Cyranoski D. Super-muscly pigs created by small genetic tweak. Nature. 2015;523(7558):13-4.

24. McPherron AC, Lee SJ. Double muscling in cattle due to mutations in the myostatin gene.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.
1997;94(23):12457-61.

398 25. Bellinge RHS, Liberles DA, Iaschi SPA, O'Brien PA, Tay GK. Myostatin and its implications on
399 animal breeding: a review. Animal Genetics. 2005;36(1):1-6.

400 26. Marchitelli C, Savarese MC, Crisa A, Nardone A, Marsan PA, Valentini A. Double muscling in
401 Marchigiana beef breed is caused by a stop codon in the third exon of myostatin gene. Mamm
402 Genome. 2003;14(6):392-5.

403 27. Stinckens A, Luyten T, Bijttebier J, Van den Maagdenberg K, Dieltiens D, Janssens S et al.
404 Characterization of the complete porcine MSTN gene and expression levels in pig breeds
405 differing in muscularity. Animal Genetics. 2008;39(6):586-96.

28. Tu PA, Shiau JW, Ding ST, Lin EC, Wu MC, Wang PH. The association of genetic varitations in
the promoter region of myostatin gene with growth traits in Duroc pigs. Animal Biotechnology.
2012;23(4):291-8.

29. Tu PA, Lo LL, Chen YC, Hsu CC, Shiau JW, Lin EC et al. Polymorphisms in the promoter region
of myostatin gene are associated with carcass traits in pigs. J Anim Breed Genet.
2014;131(2):116-22.

41230. Fowler KE, Pong-Wong R, Bauer J, Clemente EJ, Reitter CP, Affara NA et al. Genome wide413analysis reveals single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with fatness and putative novel

414 copy number variants in three pig breeds. BMC Genomics. 2013;14:15.

415 31. Kadri NK, Sahana G, Charlier C, Iso-Touru T, Guldbrandtsen B, Karim L et al. A 660-Kb Deletion

416 with Antagonistic Effects on Fertility and Milk Production Segregates at High Frequency in Nordic

417 Red Cattle: Additional Evidence for the Common Occurrence of Balancing Selection in Livestock.
418 PLoS Genet. 2014;10(1):11.

419 32. Gilmour AR, Gogel BJ, Cullis BR, Thompson R. ASReml User Guide Release 3.0. 3.0 ed.
420 Hempstead, HP1 1ES, UK.: VSN Int. Ltd Hempstead; 2009.

421 33. Dempster ER, Lerner IM. Heritability of threshold characters. Genetics. 1950;35:212-36.

34. Walling GA, Bishop SC, Pong-Wong R, Gittus G, Russel AJF, Rhind SM. Detection of a major
gene for litter size in Thoka Cheviot sheep using Bayesian segregation analyses. Anim Sci J.
2002;75:339-47.

425 35. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
426 Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114-20.

427 36. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.428 Bioinformatics. 2010;26(5):589-95.

429 37. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C et al. A framework for 430 variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nature 431 Genetics. 2011;43(5):491-+.

38. Groenen MAM, Archibald AL, Uenishi H, Tuggle CK, Takeuchi Y, Rothschild MF et al. Analyses
of pig genomes provide insight into porcine demography and evolution. Nature.
2012;491(7424):393-8.

435 39. White IMS, Thompson R, Brotherstone S. Genetic and environmental smoothing of lactation 436 curves with cubic splines. J Dairy Sci. 1999;82(3):632-8.

439 Table 1 Number of animals in pedigree and records used for variance components

440 analyses

Description	Data
Number of records	19,006
Number of pedigree records	27,501
Number of generations	9
Number of litter	1,903
Number of Sires	346
Number of Sires of Sire	175
Number of Dams of Sire	239
Number of Dams	1,929
Number of Sires of Dam	240
Number of Dams of Dam	882
Prevalence %	6.3

- 442 Table 2 Genetic parameter estimates and standard errors for the trait of leg weakness on
- the liability scale using the logit transformation showing the outcomes of fitting sire or
- dam models with or without maternal environment (σ^2_w). All models have litter variance

445 (σ^2_v) fitted

Model [¥]	Sire	Sire and Dam Models						
	$\sigma_{w}^{2} > 0$	$\sigma_{\rm w}^2 > 0$	$\sigma^2_{\rm w}=0$					
$\sigma^2{}_s$	1.069 (0.283)	1.100 (0.290)	1.087 (0.286)					
σ^2_{d}		0.664 (0.231)	1.110 (0.186)					
σ^2_{v}	0.681 (0.123)	0.681 (0.123)	0.769 (0.123)					
σ^2_{w}	0.999 (0.148)	0.437 (0.203)						
$\sigma^2_{\ p}$	6.049 (0.307)	6.182 (0.322)	6.267 (0.325)					
$h^2_{\ u}$	0.71 (0.16)	0.57 (0.10)	0.70 (0.08)					
$\sigma^2_{v}\!/\sigma^2_{p}$	0.11 (0.02)	0.11 (0.02)	0.12 (0.02)					
${\sigma^2}_w\!/{\sigma^2}_p$	0.17 (0.2)	0.07 (0.03)						

446 $\overline{\Psi} - \sigma_{s}^{2}, \sigma_{d}^{2}, \sigma_{v}^{2}$ and σ_{w}^{2} are variances due to the sire and dam genetic effects, litter and 447 maternal environment effects. σ_{p}^{2} is phenotypic variance. h_{u}^{2} is estimated heritability on 448 observed scale, and $\sigma_{v}^{2}/\sigma_{p}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{w}^{2}/\sigma_{p}^{2}$ are the proportion of phenotypic variance 449 explained by the litter and maternal environment effects respectively

450

451

452

454	Table 3. Estimates and statistical significance of the effect of the <i>MSTN</i> c.820G>T locus
455	on the growth and carcass traits of pigs obtained from a commercial performance test.
456	Estimates are shown in absolute units and standardised by phenotypic standard
457	deviations (σ_P). Standard errors are in parentheses. The traits are categorised into: live
458	weights and live weight gain; muscle and fat depths measured by ultrasound at the end
459	of the test either conditional on age or on live weight; and periods to achieve growth
460	targets.

Trait	MSTN	c.820G>T gen	otypes	σ_P	TG-GG	Wald
	TG	GG	TG-GG		σ_P units	test
Live weights:						
At start (kg)	36.83 (0.84)	38.18 (0.85)	-1.36 (0.44)	3.81 (0.18)	-0.36	P<0.01
At end (kg)	84.89 (1.59)	87.13 (1.64)	-2.24 (0.94)	7.96 (0.33)	-0.28	P<0.05
Daily gain (kg/d)	1.02 (0.02)	1.03 (0.02)	-0.01 (0.01)	0.11 (0.01)	-0.09	NS
Depths for age:						
Fat depth (mm)	8.42 (0.62)	10.19 (0.62)	-1.76 (0.31)	2.72 (0.13)	-0.65	P<0.001
Muscle depth (mm)	53.21 (1.11)	48.38 (1.10)	4.83 (0.68)	5.95 (0.25)	0.81	P<0.001
Depths for weight:						
Fat depth (mm)	9.25 (0.57)	10.74(0.56)	-1.49 (0.28)	2.49 (0.12)	-0.60	P<0.001
Muscle depth (mm)	54.89 (1.05)	49.49 (1.02)	5.40 (0.63)	5.54 (0.24)	0.97	P<0.001
Periods:						
To 40kg (d)	91.66 (2.12)	88.62 (2.11)	3.04 (1.01)	9.01 (0.45)	0.36	P<0.01
From 40 to 100kg (d)	66.33 (1.67)	66.62 (1.67)	-0.29 (1.81)	6.75 (0.36)	-0.04	NS

464 FIGURES

465 Fig 1

A: Homozygosity mapping of the leg weakness syndrome assuming a single underlying 466 467 recessive mutation on Chromosome 15 (SSC15). Vertical blocks in red and yellow represent homozygous genotypes, and blue the heterozygote genotype. The ten cases 468 (above) and ten controls (below) are shown (one per line). A summary of homozygosity 469 470 mapping is provided cases vs control at the bottom of Fig1A in which if all the animals 471 within a group (i.e. case or control) are homozygous for the same allele, then the relevant 472 colour (red or yellow) is shown and if any animal within a group (i.e. case or control) is 473 heterozygous then the SNP is coloured blue

B: This is an extract from Fig1a, showing the longest shared haplotype segment (55 SNPs) 474 in the cases on SSC15 ranging from ALGA0110636 (rs81338938) to H3GA0044732 475 476 (rs80936849) and corresponds to position 86,745,668- 95,062,143 in the new pig reference genome assembly Sscrofa11.1 GCA 000003025.6). The first ten lines are the 477 478 cases; the second ten lines are the controls with genotypes shown only for the region of 479 homozygosity shared across the controls. Genotypes shown for controls are shown only if they are different to cases (blank genotype in controls within the targeted segment 480 481 means that they share the same genotype as cases). Finally, the bottom two lines are 482 summary lines shown on the same basis as the summary lines in Fig1A.

483 Fig 2

- (A) Position of the premature stop causing mutation within the porcine myostatin locus;
- 485 (B) Conservation of the amino acid sequence surrounding the mutation, with the
- 486 consequences of the premature stop mutation highlighted in red.

- 1 FIGURES
- 2 1 A:



4 1 B:



1

5

Figure 1

- 1 FIGURES
- 2 2 A:



3

4 2 B:

Pig-MUT	TVLON		ES	NLG	IEI	KA	LDEN	JGHD	LAV	TFP	GPGE	DG	LNP	FLE	VKV	TDTP	RSRR	DFGLD	DCDST	OP -			
Pig	TVLON	LKO	ES	NLG	IEI	KA	LDEN	GHD	LAV	TFP	GPG	DG	LNP	FLE	VKV	TDTP	RSRR	DFGL	DCDEH	STES	RCCF	YPLT	VDFEA
Anole lizard	S.V.						.N.	R.		.Y.					.RI								
Chicken							F 1	R.							.R.								
Chimpanzee																							
Coelacant	AA.L	10		KF	v	L	S. SS	6 R.	1			E	н		٧	IGVA							
Cow		• •									Ε		Т										
Dog																							
Dolphin		• •																					
Duck		• •					F	R.							.R.								
Horse				•••							R												
Human		• •																					
Mouse		• •																					
Rabbit		• •		•••								•											
Sheep		• •		••							Ε	E											
Squirrel																							
Marca and a second			Δ	т			C	R.	PI	A.R	. SN			1.		1							
Xenopus	.A																						
Zebra finch	.A		0				F	RN							.R.								
Zebra finch	.A 						F	RN		••••			•	•	.R.								
Zebra finch Pig-MUT	.A						F	RN		• • •	•				.R.								
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig	FGADA		(RY	KAN	IYCS	GE	F	FLC	KYP	нтн	LVHC) AN	PRG	is.ag	.R.	гртк	SPIN	VLYF	VGKEQ	I I YG	5K I PA	VVVD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard	FGADA		(RY	KAN	IYCS	GE	CEF\	FLO	KYP	нтн	LVHC	AN	PRG	is AG	.R.	гртк	/SPIN	VLYF	NGKEQ		5K I PA	VVVD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken	FGADA	IAP	(RY	KAN	IYCS	GE	CEF	FLO	KYP	нтн	LVHC	AN	PRG	is.ag	.R.	гртк	/SP1N	VLYFI	NGKEQ	I I YG	5K I PA	VVVD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee	FGADA	IAP	(RY	KAN	IYCS	GE	CEF	/FLC	КҮР	нтн	LVHC		PRG	is AG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP1N	VLYFI	NGKEQ		SK I PA	VVVD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant	FGADA	I I APP	RY K	KAN	ives	GE	CEFV	/FLC	KYP	нтн	LVHC	AN	PRG K	is AG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP1N	VLYF	NGKEQ	V V V	SK I PA	VVVD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow	FGADA	I I APP	RY K	KAN	IYCS	GE	CEFV	/FLC	KYP	нтн	LVHC	AN T	PRG	is.AG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP I M	VLYF	NGKEQ	V V V	SK I PA	VVVD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog	FGADA	ITAP	RY K	KAN	IYCS	GE	CEF	/FLO	KYP L	нтн	LVHC	AN	PRG	is.AG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP I N	VLYF	NGKEQ AN. EG	V V V	SK I PA	vvvD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog Dolphin	FGADA	I I API	RY	KAN	IYCS	GE	CEF	/FLC	KYP	нтн	LVHC	AN	PRG	isag	.R.	ГРТК	/SP1N	VLYF	NGKEQ AN. EG	V V V	SK I PA	VVVD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog Dolphin Duck	FGADA	I I AP	(RY	KAN	IYCS	GE	CEF	/FLC	KYP	нтн	LVHC	T	PRG	SAG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP1N	VLYF	NGKEQ AN. EG	V V V	SK I PA	vvvD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog Dolphin Duck Horse	FGADA	I I API	K	KAN	IYCS	SGE	CEF	/FLC	KYP	нтн	V V	T	PRG	isag	.R.	ГРТК	/SP1N	VLYF	NGKEQ AN. EG	V V	SK I PA	vvvD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog Dolphin Duck Horse Human	FGADA	IAP	K	KAN	wcs	GE	CEF	/FLC	K Y P	нтн	V .	T	PRG	SAG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP1N	VLYF	AN. EG	V	SK I PA	vvvD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog Dolphin Duck Horse Human Mouse	FGADA	IAP	K	KAN	IYCS	GE	CEF	/FLC	KYP	нтн	LVHC	T	PRG	sAG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP I N	VLYF	AN. EG	V	SK I PA	vvvD	RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog Dolphin Duck Horse Human Mouse Rabbit	FGADA		K	KAN	IVCS	SGE	CEF	RN /FLC	KYP	нтн	V	T	PRG	SAG	.R.	ГРТК	VSPIN	VLYF	AN. EG	V	SK I PA		RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog Dolphin Duck Horse Human Mouse Rabbit Sheep	FGADA		K	KAN	IVCS	SGE	CEF	RN /FLC	KYP L	нтн	LVHC	T	PRG	SAG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP I N	VLYF	AN. EG	V	SK I PA		RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog Dolphin Duck Horse Human Mouse Rabbit Sheep Squirrel	FGADA	I I API	K	KAN	IYCS	GE	CEF\	RN /FLC	KYP L	нтн	V	T	PRG	SAG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP I N	VLYF	AN. EG	V	SK I PA		RCGCS
Zebra finch Pig-MUT Pig Anole lizard Chicken Chimpanzee Coelacant Cow Dog Dolphin Duck Horse Human Mouse Rabbit Sheep Squirrel Xenopus	FGADA	I I API	K	KAN	IYCS	SGE	F	RN /FLC	KYP L	нтн	LVHC	T	PRG	SAG	.R.	ГРТК	/SP I N	VLYF	AN. EG	V	SK I PA		RCGCS

1

5

Figure 2