CXXC1 is redundant for normal DNA double-strand break formation and meiotic 1 recombination in mouse 2 3 Hui Tian, Timothy Billings, Petko M. Petkov* 4 The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME 04609, USA 5 Short title: CXXC1 is redundant for meiotic recombination in mouse 6 7 8 *Corresponding author 9 Email: petko.petkov@jax.org 10 11

Abstract

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

In most mammals, including mice and humans, meiotic recombination is determined by the meiosis specific histone methytransferase PRDM9, which binds to specific DNA sequences and trimethylates histone 3 at lysine-4 and lysine-36 at the adjacent nucleosomes. These actions ensure successful DNA double strand break initiation and repair that occur on the proteinaceous structure forming the chromosome axis. The process of hotspot association with the axis after their activation by PRDM9 is poorly understood. Previously, we and others have identified CXXC1, an ortholog of S. cerevisiae Spp1 in mammals, as a PRDM9 interactor. In yeast, Spp1 is a histone methyl reader that links H3K4me3 sites with the recombination machinery. promoting DSB formation. Here we investigated whether CXXC1 has a similar function in mouse meiosis. We found that CXXC1 is co-expressed and interacts with PRDM9 in mouse spermatocytes. To investigate the meiotic function of CXXC1, we created a Cxxc1 conditional knockout mouse to deplete CXXC1 before the onset of meiosis. Surprisingly, knockout mice were fertile, and the loss of CXXC1 in spermatocytes had no effect on hotspot trimethylation activity, double-strand break formation or repair. Our results demonstrate that CXXC1 is not an essential link between recombination hotspot sites and DSB machinery and that the hotspot recognition pathway in mouse is independent of CXXC1.

Author Summary

Meiotic recombination increases genetic diversity by ensuring novel combination of alleles passing onto the next generation correctly. In most mammals, the meiotic recombination sites are determined by histone methyltransferase PRDM9. These sites subsequently become associated with the chromosome axis with the participation of additional proteins and undergo double strand breaks, which are repaired by homologous recombination. In *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae, Spp1 (ortholog of CXXC1) binds to methylated H3K4 and connects these sites with chromosome axis promoting DSB formation. However, our data suggest that even though CXXC1 interacts with PRDM9 in male germ cells, it does not play a crucial role in mouse meiotic recombination. These results indicate that, unlike in *S. cerevisiae*, a recombination initiation pathway that includes CXXC1 could only serve as a non-essential pathway in mouse meiotic recombination.

Introduction

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

Meiotic recombination ensures production of fertile gametes with a correct haploid chromosome number and genetic diversity [1]. In most of mammals, the meiotic recombination sites are restricted to 1-2 kb regions, locations of which are determined by the DNA binding histone methyltransferase PRDM9 [2-4]. Recombination initiates when PRDM9 binds to hotspots sequences with its zinc finger domain and trimethylates histone 3 at lysine 4 (H3K4me3) and lysine 36 (H3K36me3) resulting in formation of a nucleosome-depleted region [2-6]. DNA double strand breaks (DSB) are created at the nucleosome-depleted regions of activated hotspots [7-10], and eventually repaired as either crossovers or non-crossover conversions. Cytological staining for several proteins associated with DSB processing in early meiotic prophase show that, from the earliest time of their detection, DSB are associated with a proteinaceous structure known as chromosome axis [11-14]. We have previously shown that PRMD9 is associated, but not directly interacting, with chromosome axis elements such as phosphorylated REC8 (pREC8) and SYCP3 in spermatocytes [15]. Efficient trimethylation at hotspots and correct association between PRDM9 and certain chromosomal axis elements are crucial for normal DSB formation and repair [16]. However, we currently do not have detailed knowledge of the proteins and molecular mechanisms participating in hotspot association with chromosome axis.

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, which has no PRDM9, the PHD zinc finger protein Spp1, a member of COMPASS (Complex associated with Set1), acts as a histone H3K4 methyl reader and promotes meiotic DSB formation at the existing H3K4me3 sites, such as promoters [17]. Spp1 is predominantly located on the chromosome axes and connects H3K4 trimethylated sites with the axis protein Mer2 to stimulate Spo11 dependent DSB formation [17,18]. Recent study showed that Spp1 function in tethering DSB sites to chromosome axes and ensuring efficient DSB formation is independent of its function as a COMPASS complex member [19]. CxxC finger protein 1 (CXXC1, CFP1, CGBP) is an ortholog of S. cerevisiae Spp1 in mammals. In somatic cells, CXXC1 binds to both unmethylated CpGs and SETD1, which is required for trimethylation of H3K4 at CpG islands [20]. CXXC1 is crucial for embryonic stem cell maintaining and development [21,22]. Knockout of Cxxc1 in mouse results in lethality at the early embryonic stages [23]. We have reported that CXXC1 interacts with PRDM9 in vitro [15]. This interaction has recently been confirmed by another group, which also reported that CXXC1 interacts with the chromosome axis element IHO1 by yeast two-hybrid assay [24]. IHO1 is considered to be the ortholog of yeast Mer2 and is known to be essential for ensuring efficient DSB formation [25], therefore it is possible that CXXC1-IHO1 interaction serves the same function in mammalian meiosis as their orthologs Spp1-Mer2 in yeast. However, the function of CXXC1 in mammalian meiosis has not been characterized so far. It has been unclear whether CXXC1 binds to PRDM9 in germ cells and whether it participates in meiotic recombination initiation in any way, either as a partner of PRDM9 or as a methyl reader of H3K4me3/H3K36me3 marks that PRDM9 imposes at the nucleosomes surrounding the recombination hotspots. To address whether and how CXXC1 functions in meiotic recombination, we created a Cxxc1 conditional knockout mouse model and deleted Cxxc1 at the onset of meiosis. We found that

CXXC1 is co-expressed with PRDM9 and indeed interacts with it in spermatocytes. However, loss of CXXC1 does not affect normal meiotic recombination process. Our study demonstrates that the presence of CXXC1 in mouse meiosis is redundant, and unlike its *S. cerevisiae* ortholog Spp1, CXXC1 does not appear to be a key factor for the DSB formation.

Results

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

CXXC1 interacts with PRDM9 in spermatocytes

We tested whether CXXC1 interacts with PRDM9 in vivo by co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) from spermatocytes isolated from 14 dpp B6 testis using antibody against PRDM9. We found that CXXC1 indeed interacts with PRDM9 in spermatocytes (Fig 1A). However, the interaction was not as strong as PRDM9's predominant interactor EWSR1 (Fig 1A) [15], which raised the possibility that the interaction between CXXC1 and PRDM9 could be mediated by the stronger PRDM9 interactors. To test whether this is the case, we performed co-IP with EWSR1 and did not detect any interaction with CXXC1 in testicular extract (Fig 1B). To further test the interactions between the three proteins, CXXC1, PRDM9 and EWSR1, we co-expressed Myctagged mouse CXXC1, HA-tagged EWSR1 and Flag-tagged PRDM9 proteins in human embryonal kidney 293 (HEK293) cells, and performed co-IP with antibodies against HA or Myc tags. Both EWSR1 and CXXC1 immunoprecipitated PRDM9 under these conditions, but there was no interaction between CXXC1 and EWSR1 in the presence or absence of PRDM9 (Fig. 1C). Several reports have shown that Spp1, the yeast ortholog of CXXC1, binds to H3K4me3 and tethers H3K4-trimethylated recombination hotspots to the chromosome axis [17-19]. To test whether CXXC1 binds to H3K4me3 in mouse spermatocytes, we performed CXXC1 co-IP from B6 testicular extract. Indeed, we detected CXXC1 interaction with H3K4me3 but not with the

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

closed chromatin marker H3K9me3 (Fig 1D). These data raise the possibility that the in vivo interaction between PRDM9 and CXXC1 could be mediated by trimethylated H3K4. To further investigate that, we performed PRDM9 co-IP from the testicular extract isolated from a PRDM9 PR/SET domain mutation mouse model (*Prdm9*^{Set-/Set-}), in which hotspots are not trimethylated (unpublished data). We did not detect any interaction between CXXC1 and PRDM9 in the mutant testicular extract (Fig 1E), which indicates that CXXC1 binding to PRDM9 may be mediated or at least facilitated by trimethylated H3K4 at hotspots. These results indicate that CXXC1 and EWSR1 form separate complexes with PRDM9. They also indicate that although CXXC1 interacts with PRDM9 in vivo, it is not a predominant interactor of PRDM9, and that their interaction could be mediated by other proteins such as histone 3 trimethylated at lysine-4. CXXC1 is co-expressed with PRDM9 in leptonema and zygonema In seminiferous tubules of mouse testis, CXXC1 is expressed in both germ cells and Sertoli cells (Fig 2A, top panel). CXXC1 showed high expression in spermatogonia, low expression in leptonema and zygonema, and then again high expression in pachynema and diplonema. decreasing to undetectable levels in spermatids (Fig 2A, top panel). Previous reports showed that PRDM9 is present only in leptonema and zygonema during meiosis [26]. Double staining for PRDM9 and CXXC1 showed co-expression of these two proteins in nuclei from stage X seminiferous tubules (Fig 2A, top panel) and in 14 dpp testis (Fig 2A, middle panel), when the majority of spermatocytes are at leptotene and zygotene stages. Since CXXC1 interacts with PRDM9 in vivo (Fig 1A), we performed CXXC1 staining in Prdm9 knockout mouse testis (*Prdm9*^{-/-}) to determine whether CXXC1 localization could be affected by the absence of PRDM9. In this mutant, CXXC1 showed the same localization pattern as in

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

controls (Fig 2A, bottom panel). The co-expression pattern of PRDM9 and CXXC1 in leptonema and zygonema was further confirmed by chromosome spreads, where CXXC1 showed diffused signal over the entire nuclear region (Fig 2B). These results suggest that CXXC1 is co-expressed with PRDM9 in leptonema and zygonema. and that its expression and localization are not affected by the presence or absence of PRDM9. Male Cxxc1 knockout mice are fertile To test whether CXXC1 is involved in spermatogenesis, we generated a conditional knockout (CKO) model using CRISPR/Cas9 to insert loxP sites flanking exon 2 and 3 of Cxxc1 in C57BL/6J. We bred early spermatogonia-specific knockout mice (Cxxc1loxp/\(\Delta\), Stra8-iCre, hereafter Cxxc1 CKO) by crossing the Cxxc1 loxp/loxP mice with Stra8-iCre mice. Western blot confirmed that in knockout testes, the protein level of CXXC1 is reduced (Fig 3A). CXXC1 was absent in spermatocytes of the CKO, but present in spermatogonia and Sertoli cells (Fig. 3B). We performed fertility test with two CKO mice. To our surprise, both CKO mice were fertile and produced similar number of viable progeny compared to the heterozygous (het) and B6 controls (Fig 3C). Testis index (testis weight/body weight) was the same in CKO as in het and wild type B6 controls (Fig. 3D). Histology of testis and epididymis from CKO mice showed no detectable spermatogenesis defects (Fig 3E). In contrast, Cxxc1 oocyte-specific knockout female mice with Ddx4-Cre are sterile -- no viable pup produced from homozygous knockout (Cxxc1^{loxp/Δ};Ddx4-Cre) mating test, while the heterozygous control (Cxxc1/oxp/+;Ddx4-Cre) mating produced normal number of pups (5.3 ± 1.7) ; however, their sterility is due to early embryonic developmental deficiency and not meiotic defects [27].

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

Expression and function of PRDM9 remains normal in Cxxc1 CKO We further tested whether CXXC1 affects the localization, the expression pattern, or the function of PRDM9. Localization of PRDM9 in seminiferous tubules was preserved in CKO as determined by immunostaining (Fig 4A). In addition, the expression pattern of PRDM9 in leptonema and zygonema was not affected in the absence of CXXC1 (Fig 4B). To test whether lack of CXXC1 affects PRDM9 methyltransferase function, we first compared H3K4me3 patterns in control and Cxxc1 CKO mice. Both control and CKO chromosome spreads showed abundant H3K4me3 signal in leptonema and zygonema, lower signal in pachynema and increased signal in diplonema (Fig 4C). In addition, the H3K4me3 staining on cross sections of CKO testis showed no decrease (Fig S1). These data indicate that the hotspot trimethylation and transcriptional activation in spermatocytes are not affected by the loss of CXXC1. Second, we determined whether loss of CXXC1 affects PRDM9 binding and its methytransferase activity at individual hotspots by H3K4me3 ChIP-qPCR. We found that H3K4me3 enrichment at *Dom2* hotspots *PbxI* and *Fcqr4* was not different in B6 control, *Cxxc1* heterozygous and CKO. We measured as a control the H3K4me3 enrichment at promoter regions of housekeeping gene Actinb and meiotic specific gene Sycp3, which are not PRDM9dependent. These were not changed as well (Fig 4D). These results suggest that loss of CXXC1 does not affect PRDM9 expression, binding to hotspots, or its catalytic function. Therefore, CXXC1 is not required for PRDM9-dependent hotspot activation.

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

Meiotic DSB formation and repair are normal in Cxxc1 CKO Next, we tested whether lack of CXXC1 affects chromosome synapsis, DSB formation and repair process. Co-staining of SYCP1 and SYCP3 showed normal synapsis in all autosomes at pachytene stage in CKO spermatocytes. We did not detect increased chromosome asynapsis in the CKO spermatocytes compared to controls (Fig 5A). DSB formation was not affected by the loss of CXXC1 either, when measured by the number of foci of DMC1, the protein that binds to the single stranded DNA tails at DSB sites [7,8] (Fig 5B). We used staining for phosphorylated H2AX (yH2AX), which marks unrepaired DNA lesions, to test for the processing of recombination repair. The pattern of vH2AX staining was not changed in CKO compared to the het control spermatocytes, showing yH2AX signal throughout the nucleus in leptotene spermatocytes when DSBs occur, which was then restricted to the sex body in pachytene spermatocytes when the autosomal breaks are repaired (Fig 5C). Finally, we examined whether loss of CXXC1 affects crossover determination process. Using MLH1 as a marker of crossover sites, we did not find any significant change of crossover number in the CKO spermatocytes compared to the het controls (Fig 5D). Taken together, these results suggest that even though CXXC1 interacts with PRDM9 and H3K4me3 in spermatocytes, it is not required for PRDM9 binding at hotspots, their subsequent activation by PRDM9-dependent H3K4 trimethylation, DSB formation, repair, or crossover formation, and is therefore redundant for meiotic recombination events.

Discussion

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

In this study, we demonstrate that CXXC1 interacts with PRDM9 in spermatocytes. However, CXXC1 is not a predominant interactor of PRDM9 and its binding to PRDM9 depends on the catalytic activity of PRDM9. The germ cell specific Cxxc1 knockout male mice are fertile. In the knockout spermatocytes, the expression and function of PRDM9 are unchanged. The loss of CXXC1 does not affect DSB formation and repair, chromosome pairing and synapsis, and crossover numbers. Together, these results convincingly show that CXXC1 is redundant for normal meiotic recombination events and spermatogenesis. The yeast CXXC1 ortholog Spp1 is a key player in recombination by linking H3K4me3 sites with the chromosome axis and connecting them with the recombination protein Mer2 [17-19]. These observations suggested that CXXC1 might play similar function in mammalian meiosis. However, our results show that CXXC1 is not an essential player in mammalian recombination where PRDM9 controls the initial recognition and activation of recombination hotspots, because in the absence of CXXC1, hotspot activation, axis integrity, DSB formation and crossover resolution occur normally. This suggests that PRDM9 dependent DSB formation and recombination determination pathway in most of mammals differs from that in the budding yeast. In species with functional PRDM9, the function of RMM complex consisting of orthologs of the yeast Rec114, Mei4 and Mer2 (REC114, MEI4 and IHO1 in mice) is still conserved [25,28-30], and the association between hotspots and chromosome axis is crucial for efficient DSB formation [25,31,32]. However, the interaction between CXXC1 and IHO1 does not seem to play the same functional role as the one between Spp1 and Mer2 in yeast. One important difference is that in organisms that do not use PRDM9, DSB occur at H3K4me3 sites, whereas in those that use PRDM9, DSB occur at hotspots where surrounding nucleosomes are methylated at both H3K4 and H3K36 [5,6]. This raises the likelihood that proteins with H3K36me3 methylreading activity, such as PWWP domain containing proteins [33], or with both H3K4me and H3K36me binding capability, such as Tudor domain containing proteins [34], might be involved in hotspot recognition in these species. Alternatively, activated hotspots may be recruited to the chromosome axis and DSB machinery without assistance of an H3K4me3/H3K36me3 reader. A recent study demonstrated that randomized DSBs induced by radiation in Spo11 mutant spermatocytes are associated with chromosome axis and can successfully recruit DSB repair proteins such as DMC1/RAD51 complex [35]. Other direct PRDM9 interactors, such as EWSR1, EHMT2, and CDYL, could also be involved in hotspot association with the chromosome axis [15]. An alternative, PRDM9-independent pathway, can explain the fraction of DSB detected at promoters in wild type mice, and all DSB in PRDM9 mutant mice [8,36]. This pathway could involve CXXC1 as part of the SETD1 complex, known to bind H3K4me3 at promoters, in a way similar to Spp1-Mer2 role in yeast meiosis. It is not an essential pathway in most organisms using PRDM9 as hotspot determinant, but may play a major role in those lacking PRDM9, such as canids [37-39], where recombination hotspots are enriched in CpG-rich regions with a preference for unmethylated CpG islands [8,16,37,40], similar feature as CXXC1 binding sites [20,41]. A recent finding of a woman, who has no active PRDM9 but is fertile, suggests that this pathway may become activated and ensure proper recombination even in organisms using PRDM9 as a recombination regulator [42]. Methods

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

Mouse models

All wild-type mice used in this study were in the C57BL/6J (B6) background. Exon 2 and exon 3 of Cxxc1 were flanked by two loxP sites using CRISPR. The Cxxc1 conditional knockout mice

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

used in this study were produced by a two-step deletion scheme. Mice that harbor two conditional Cxxc1 alleles (Cxxc1 loxp/loxp) were mated to Tg(Sox2-cre)1Amc/J mice (stock #004783) to generate one Cxxc1 allele deleted mice. The Cxxc1 hemizygous mice ($Cxxc1^{\triangle/+}$) were mated to Tg(Stra8-icre)1Reb/J (stock #08208) and Tg(Ddx4-cre)1Dcas/KnwJ (stock #018980) to obtain $Cxxc1^{\Delta/+;Stra8-iCre}$ and $Cxxc1^{\Delta/+;Ddx4-Cre}$ mice. Those $Ewsr1^{\Delta/+;Stra8-iCre}$ and Cxxc1^{\(\Delta\tau\)-i; Ddx4-Cre} mice were then mated to homozygous Cxxc1 loxp mice to generate heterozygous control mice (Cxxc1^{loxp/+;Stra8-iCre} and Cxxc1^{loxp/+;Ddx4-Cre} designated as Cxxc1 controls) or conditional knockout mice (Cxxc1^{loxp/\(\Delta\)},Stra8-iCre and Cxxc1^{loxp/\(\Delta\)};Ddx4-Cre designated as Cxxc1 CKO). B6(Cq)-Prdm9tm2.1Kpqn/Kpqn mice (Prdm9^{Set-/Set-}) were generated in a previous study (unpublished data). B6;129P2-*Prdm9*^{tm1}Ymat/J mice (*Prdm9*^{-/-}) have been previously described [43]. All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of The Jackson Laboratory (Summary #04008). Co-immunoprecipitation assays The co-IPs for PRDM9 and EWSR1 with testicular extract were carried out using our reported protocol [15]. Testicular total protein was extracted from twenty 14 dpp B6 and Prdm9^{Set-/Set-} with 1 ml of Pierce IP buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 87787), 10% of extract was set apart as input. The co-IP was perform by incubating extract with protein G Dynabeads conjugated with antibodies against PRDM9 (custom-made) or guinea pig IgG overnight at 4°C. Then, the beads were washed three times with 1 ml of Pierce IP buffer, eluted with 200 µl of GST buffer (0.2 M glycine, 0.1% SDS, 1% Tween 20, pH 2.2) for 20 min at room temperature and neutralized with 40 µl of 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8. After heated at 95°C for 5 min, 10 µg of IP and input samples were then subjected to electrophoresis and western blotting for detection of PRDM9 (1:1000, custom

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

made), EWSR1 (1:1000, Abcam, ab54708), CXXC1 (1:1000, Abcam, ab198977) and CTCF (1:1000, Abcam, ab70303). The co-IP for CXXC1 was performed with isolated germ cells. Briefly, the seminiferous tubules were digested with liberase and the germ cells were isolated. Then, the nuclei were isolated by incubation germ cells in hypotonic lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCL pH 8.0, 1 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂) for 30 min at 4°C and spinning down at 10,000 g for 10 min. The nuclear extract was obtain by incubation with the nuclei lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.8, 3 mM MqCl₂, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 0.1 mM PMSF), 5 U/µl DNasel and 2 U/ µl Turbonuclease for 30 min at 4°C. 10% of extract was saved as input. The co-IP was perform by incubating extract with protein G Dynabeads conjugated with antibodies against CXXC1 (Abcam, ab198977) or guinea pig IgG overnight at 4°C. After wash and elution, the IP and input samples were then subjected to electrophoresis and western blotting for detection of CXXC1 (1:1000, Abcam, ab198977). H3K4me3 (1:1000, Millipore, #07-473) and H3K9me3 (1:1000, Active Motif, 39766). **Measurement of testis index** Testicular weight and body weight of adult B6 (n = 3), Cxxc1 het (n = 3) and CKO (n = 4) mice were measured. Testis index was calculated as testis weight/body weight. Student's t-test was used to determine the statistical significance. Fertility test Fertility test was performed with 3 Cxxc1 het control and 5 CKO male mice. Each mouse was mated with at least two B6 females for at least two month period. Litter size and viable pup number were record.

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

Histology Testis and epididymis from adult Cxxc1 het control or CKO mice were dissected out, fixed with Bouin's solution, and embedded in paraffin wax, and sectioned at 5 µm. Sections of testis were stained with Periodic acid-Schiff-diastase (PAS), and section of epididymis were stained with haematoxylin and eosin (HE) using standard techniques. **Chromosome spread and FISH** The drying-down technique [44] was used for preparation of chromosome spreads from spermatocytes of 14-dpp and 8-weeks B6, Cxxc1 control or CKO mice. Chromosome spread slides were immunolabeled with anti-PRDM9 (1:200), CXXC1 (1:1000), SYCP1 (1:300, Novus, NB300-229), SYCP3 (1:400, Novus, NB300-231), yH2AX (1:1000, Abcam, ab26350), DMC1 (1:200, Santa Cruz, sc-8973) or MLH1 (1:100, BD Pharmingen, 550838) antibodies. Immunofluorescence For protein immunolocalization on tissue sections, testicular tissues from 8 week old B6, Prdm9 . Cxxc1 control and CKO mice were dissected out, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution overnight, embedded in paraffin wax. 5-µm sections were prepared. For antigen retrieval, sections were heated in a microwave in Tris-EDTA buffer (10mM Tris, 1mM EDTA and 0.05% Tween 20, pH 9.0) for 10 min and cooled down to room temperature. Then, sections were treated with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, blocked with 10% normal donkey serum, and stained with antibodies against EWSR1 (1:200), PRDM9 (1:200), CXXC1 (1:1000). Chromatin immunoprecipitation and real-time PCR Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) wad performed as previously described [45]. Briefly, spermatocytes were isolated from 14-dpp B6, Cxxc1 het and CKO spermatocytes, and

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

crosslinked using 1% formaldehyde. Nuclei were isolated using hypotonic lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCL pH 8.0, 1 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂) and digested by MNase. The ChIP was done using antibody against H3K4me3 (Millipore, #07-473). Real-time PCR was performed with purified ChIP DNA using Quantifast SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen) Primer seguences used for realtime PCR are: *Pbx1*_F: AGAAACTGACATATGAAGGCTCA; *Pbx1*_R: GCTTTTGCTCCCTTAAACTGG; Fcqr4 F: CAAGGTGCATTCTTAGGAGAGA; Fcqr4 R: TTAATGCTTGCCTCACGTTC; HIx1 F: GGTCGGTGTGAGTATTAGACG; HIx1 R: GGCTACTATACCTTATGCTCTG: Actinb promoter F: GCCATAAAAGGCAACTTTCG: Actinb_promoter_R: TTTCAAAAGGAGGGGAGAGG; Sycp3_promoter_F: AAGGCGCCACAACCAAGG; Sycp3 promoter F: TGCCTGGATGCCCAACTC. **Acknowledgments** We thank all members of Petkov and Paigen labs, Mary Ann Handel and Ewelina Bolcun-Filas for their helpful comments, and Anita Adams for technical help. **Author contributions** HT and PMP designed the experiments. HT and TB performed the experiments. HT and PMP analyzed the results. HT and PMP wrote the paper. Figure Legends Fig 1. CXXC1 interacts with PRDM9 in spermatocytes. (A) Co-IP with PRDM9 from 14 dpp B6 testicular extract. Staining for PRDM9, CXXC1, EWSR1 and CTCF. In each blot, lane 1- input; lane 2 – co-IP with non-immune IgG; lane 3 – co-IP with antibody against PRDM9.

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

(B) Co-IP with EWSR1 from 14 dpp B6 testicular extract. Staining for CXXC1 and EWSR1. In each blot, lane 1- input; lane 2 - co-IP with non-immune IgG; lane 3 - co-IP with anti-EWSR1 after DNase I treatment; co-IP with anti-EWSR1 without DNase I treatment. (C) Myc tagged CXXC1, HA tagged EWSR1 and Flag tagged PRDM9 were transfected into HEK293 cells. Co-IP with HA or Myc antibody was performed. The indicated proteins are stained. (D) Co-IP with CXXC1 from 14 dpp B6 testicular extract showed there is interaction between CXXC1 and H3K4me3. H3K9me3 is used as a negative control. (E) Co-IP with PRDM9 from 14 dpp *Prdm9*^{Set-/Set-} testicular extract. Staining for PRDM9 and CXXC1. In each blot, lane 1- input; lane 2 - co-IP with non-immune IgG; lane 3 - co-IP with antibody against PRDM9. Fig 2. CXXC1 is co-expressed in the spermatocytes with PRDM9. (A) Co-immunofluorescence staining of CXXC1 and PRDM9 on adult B6, 14-dpp B6 and 14-dpp *Prdm9*^{Set-/Set-} seminiferous tubule cross sections. Green, CXXC1; red, PRDM9; blue, DAPI. SC, Sertoli cell; Z, zygonema; P, pachynema; RS, round spermatid. Scale bars, first 4 columns: 50 μm, last column: 10 μm. (B) Immunostaining of CXXC1 and PRDM9 on chromosome spreads from adult B6. Green, SYCP3; orange, PRDM9; magenta, CXXC1. Scale bar, 10 µm. Fig 3. Knockout of CXXC1 does not affect male fertility or testis histology. (A) Western blot of CXXC1 with adult B6, Cxxc1 het and CKO testicular extract.
ß-tubulin was used as internal loading control. (B) Immunostaining of CXXC1 on Cxxc1 het and CKO seminiferous tubule cross sections. Scale bar, 50 µm. Long arrows, Sertoli cells; short arrows, spermatogonia; arrowhead, spermatocytes.

388 (C) Fertility tests in B6, Cxxc1 het and CKO mice. The number of viable pups was shown. 389 (D) Testis index (testis weight/body weight) in B6, Cxxc1 het and CKO mice. 390 (E) Histology of testis and epididymis in Cxxc1 control and CKO mice. Top panels, PAS staining 391 of seminiferous tubule sections; scale bar, 100 µm. Bottom panels, H&E staining of epididymis 392 sections; scale bar, 200 µm. Left panels, het control; right panels, Cxxc1 CKO. 393 Fig 4. PRDM9 expression and catalytic function are not impaired in Cxxc1 CKO. 394 395 (A) Immunostaining of PRDM9 in adult Cxxc1 het and CKO seminiferous tubules. Scale bar, 50 396 μm. 397 (B) Co-immunostaining of CXXC1 and PRDM9 on chromosome spreads from adult Cxxc1 het 398 and CKO mice. Green, SYCP3; orange, PRDM9; magenta, CXXC1. First 4 rows, het control; 399 last 4 rowls, Cxxc1 CKO. Scale bar, 10 µm. 400 (C) Immunostaining of H3K4me3 in adult Cxxc1 het and CKO seminiferous tubules. Green, 401 SYCP3; magenta, H3K4me3. Scale bar, 10 µm. 402 (D) H3K4me3 ChIP-qPCR with chromatin isolated form Cxxc1 het and CKO mice. Promoter regions form Actinb and Sycp3, Dom2 hotspots Pbxl and Fcgr4 were amplified. Cst hotspot Hlxl 403 404 was used as a negative control. Bars present mean ± SD of three biological replicates. 405 Fig 5. No major meiotic defects observed in Cxxc1 CKO testis. 406 407 (A) Immunostaining of SYCP3 and SYCP1 on adult *Cxxc1* het and CKO chromosome spreads. 408 Green, SYCP3; orange, SYCP1. Scale bar, 10 µm. 409 (B) DMC1 staining on *Cxxc1* control (n = 38) and CKO (n = 47) chromosome spread. Scale bar, 410 10 µm. Distribution plot of DMC1 foci in leptotene and zygotene spermatocytes was shown on

the lower panel. Bars represent mean \pm SD. p = 0.73 by Student's t-test.

411

(C) Immunostaining of SYCP3 and vH2AX on adult Cxxc1 het and CKO chromosome spreads. 412 413 Green, SYCP3; magenta, yH2AX. Scale bar, 10 µm. (D) Crossover number was measured by MLH1 staining on chromosome spreads of adult 414 415 Cxxc1 het (n = 31) and CKO (n = 32) spermatocytes. Magenta, SYCP3; green, MLH1; blue, 416 DAPI. The distribution plot of MLH1 foci in pachytene spermatocytes was shown on the lower 417 panel. Bars represent mean \pm SD. p = 0.38 by Student's t-test. 418 **Supporting Information** 419 Fig S1. H3K4me3 staining on *Cxxc1* CKO seminiferous tubule cross sections. 420 421 Immunofluorescence staining of H3K4me3 on adult Cxxc1 het and CKO seminiferous tubule 422 cross sections. Magenta, H3K4me3; Gray, DAPI. Scale bars: 50 µm. 423 References 424 425 1. Kleckner N (1996) Meiosis: How could it work? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 93: 8167-8174. 426 427 2. Parvanov ED, Petkov PM, Paigen K (2010) Prdm9 controls activation of mammalian recombination hotspots. Science 327: 835. 428 429 3. Berg IL, Neumann R, Lam KW, Sarbaina S, Odenthal-Hesse L, et al. (2010) PRDM9 variation 430 strongly influences recombination hot-spot activity and meiotic instability in humans. Nat Genet 42: 859-863. 431 432 4. Baudat F, Buard J, Grev C, Fledel-Alon A, Ober C, et al. (2010) PRDM9 Is a Major 433 Determinant of Meiotic Recombination Hotspots in Humans and Mice. Science 327: 836-840. 434

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

5. Powers NR, Parvanov ED, Baker CL, Walker M, Petkov PM, et al. (2016) The Meiotic Recombination Activator PRDM9 Trimethylates Both H3K36 and H3K4 at Recombination Hotspots In Vivo. PLoS Genet 12: e1006146. 6. Baker CL, Walker M, Kajita S, Petkov PM, Paigen K (2014) PRDM9 binding organizes hotspot nucleosomes and limits Holliday junction migration. Genome Res 24: 724-732. 7. Smagulova F, Gregoretti IV, Brick K, Khil P, Camerini-Otero RD, et al. (2011) Genome-wide analysis reveals novel molecular features of mouse recombination hotspots. Nature 472: 375-378. 8. Brick K, Smagulova F, Khil P, Camerini-Otero RD, Petukhova GV (2012) Genetic recombination is directed away from functional genomic elements in mice. Nature 485: 642-645. 9. Yamada S, Kim S, Tischfield SE, Jasin M, Lange J, et al. (2017) Genomic and chromatin features shaping meiotic double-strand break formation and repair in mice. Cell Cycle 16: 1870-1884. 10. Mimitou EP, Yamada S, Keeney S (2017) A global view of meiotic double-strand break end resection. Science 355: 40-45. 11. Zickler D, Kleckner N (2015) Recombination, Pairing, and Synapsis of Homologs during Meiosis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7. 12. Hunter N (2015) Meiotic Recombination: The Essence of Heredity. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7. 13. Panizza S, Mendoza MA, Berlinger M, Huang L, Nicolas A, et al. (2011) Spo11-accessory proteins link double-strand break sites to the chromosome axis in early meiotic recombination. Cell 146: 372-383. 14. Kohler S, Wojcik M, Xu K, Dernburg AF (2017) Superresolution microscopy reveals the three-dimensional organization of meiotic chromosome axes in intact Caenorhabditis elegans tissue. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114: E4734-E4743.

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

15. Parvanov ED, Tian H, Billings T, Saxl RL, Spruce C, et al. (2017) PRDM9 interactions with other proteins provide a link between recombination hotspots and the chromosomal axis in meiosis. Mol Biol Cell 28: 488-499. 16. Diagouraga B, Clement JAJ, Duret L, Kadlec J, de Massy B, et al. (2018) PRDM9 Methyltransferase Activity Is Essential for Meiotic DNA Double-Strand Break Formation at Its Binding Sites. Mol Cell. 17. Acquaviva L, Szekvolgyi L, Dichtl B, Dichtl BS, de La Roche Saint Andre C, et al. (2013) The COMPASS subunit Spp1 links histone methylation to initiation of meiotic recombination. Science 339: 215-218. 18. Sommermeyer V, Beneut C, Chaplais E, Serrentino ME, Borde V (2013) Spp1, a member of the Set1 Complex, promotes meiotic DSB formation in promoters by tethering histone H3K4 methylation sites to chromosome axes. Mol Cell 49: 43-54. 19. Adam C, Guerois R, Citarella A, Verardi L, Adolphe F, et al. (2018) The PHD finger protein Spp1 has distinct functions in the Set1 and the meiotic DSB formation complexes. PLoS Genet 14: e1007223. 20. Thomson JP, Skene PJ, Selfridge J, Clouaire T, Guy J, et al. (2010) CpG islands influence chromatin structure via the CpG-binding protein Cfp1. Nature 464: 1082-1086. 21. Clouaire T, Webb S, Bird A (2014) Cfp1 is required for gene expression-dependent H3K4 trimethylation and H3K9 acetylation in embryonic stem cells. Genome Biol 15: 451. 22. Clouaire T, Webb S, Skene P, Illingworth R, Kerr A, et al. (2012) Cfp1 integrates both CpG content and gene activity for accurate H3K4me3 deposition in embryonic stem cells. Genes Dev 26: 1714-1728. 23. Carlone DL, Skalnik DG (2001) CpG binding protein is crucial for early embryonic development. Mol Cell Biol 21: 7601-7606. 24. Imai Y, Baudat F, Taillepierre M, Stanzione M, Toth A, et al. (2017) The PRDM9 KRAB domain is required for meiosis and involved in protein interactions. Chromosoma.

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

25. Stanzione M, Baumann M, Papanikos F, Dereli I, Lange J, et al. (2016) Meiotic DNA break formation requires the unsynapsed chromosome axis-binding protein IHO1 (CCDC36) in mice. Nature Cell Biology 18: 1208-+. 26. Sun F, Fujiwara Y, Reinholdt LG, Hu J, Saxl RL, et al. (2015) Nuclear localization of PRDM9 and its role in meiotic chromatin modifications and homologous synapsis. Chromosoma. 27. Yu C, Fan X, Sha QQ, Wang HH, Li BT, et al. (2017) CFP1 Regulates Histone H3K4 Trimethylation and Developmental Potential in Mouse Oocytes. Cell Rep 20: 1161-1172. 28. Libby BJ, Reinholdt LG, Schimenti JC (2003) Positional cloning and characterization of Mei1, a vertebrate-specific gene required for normal meiotic chromosome synapsis in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 15706-15711. 29. Kumar R, Ghyselinck N, Ishiguro K, Watanabe Y, Kouznetsova A, et al. (2015) MEI4 - a central player in the regulation of meiotic DNA double-strand break formation in the mouse. J Cell Sci 128: 1800-1811. 30. Kumar R, Bourbon HM, de Massy B (2010) Functional conservation of Mei4 for meiotic DNA double-strand break formation from yeasts to mice. Genes Dev 24: 1266-1280. 31. Daniel K, Lange J, Hached K, Fu J, Anastassiadis K, et al. (2011) Meiotic homologue alignment and its quality surveillance are controlled by mouse HORMAD1. Nat Cell Biol 13: 599-610. 32. Wojtasz L, Cloutier JM, Baumann M, Daniel K, Varga J, et al. (2012) Meiotic DNA doublestrand breaks and chromosome asynapsis in mice are monitored by distinct HORMAD2independent and -dependent mechanisms. Genes Dev 26: 958-973. 33. Qin S, Min J (2014) Structure and function of the nucleosome-binding PWWP domain. Trends Biochem Sci 39: 536-547. 34. Myrick LK, Hashimoto H, Cheng XD, Warren ST (2015) Human FMRP contains an integral tandem Agenet (Tudor) and KH motif in the amino terminal domain. Human Molecular Genetics 24: 1733-1740.

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

35. Carofiglio F, Sleddens-Linkels E, Wassenaar E, Inagaki A, van Cappellen WA, et al. (2018) Repair of exogenous DNA double-strand breaks promotes chromosome synapsis in SPO11-mutant mouse meiocytes, and is altered in the absence of HORMAD1. DNA Repair (Amst) 63: 25-38. 36. Smagulova F, Brick K, Pu Y, Camerini-Otero RD, Petukhova GV (2016) The evolutionary turnover of recombination hot spots contributes to speciation in mice. Genes Dev 30: 266-280. 37. Auton A, Li YR, Kidd J, Oliveira K, Nadel J, et al. (2013) Genetic Recombination Is Targeted towards Gene Promoter Regions in Dogs. Plos Genetics 9. 38. Axelsson E, Webster MT, Ratnakumar A, Consortium L, Ponting CP, et al. (2012) Death of PRDM9 coincides with stabilization of the recombination landscape in the dog genome. Genome Res 22: 51-63. 39. Munoz-Fuentes V, Di Rienzo A, Vila C (2011) Prdm9, a major determinant of meiotic recombination hotspots, is not functional in dogs and their wild relatives, wolves and coyotes. PLoS One 6: e25498. 40. Campbell CL, Bherer C, Morrow BE, Boyko AR, Auton A (2016) A Pedigree-Based Map of Recombination in the Domestic Dog Genome. G3-Genes Genomes Genetics 6: 3517-3524. 41. Tate CM, Lee JH, Skalnik DG (2010) CXXC finger protein 1 restricts the Setd1A histone H3K4 methyltransferase complex to euchromatin. FEBS J 277: 210-223. 42. Narasimhan VM, Hunt KA, Mason D, Baker CL, Karczewski KJ, et al. (2016) Health and population effects of rare gene knockouts in adult humans with related parents. Science 352: 474-477. 43. Hayashi K, Yoshida K, Matsui Y (2005) A histone H3 methyltransferase controls epigenetic events required for meiotic prophase. Nature 438: 374-378.

44. Peters AH, Plug AW, van Vugt MJ, de Boer P (1997) A drying-down technique for the spreading of mammalian meiocytes from the male and female germline. Chromosome Res 5: 66-68.
45. Billings T, Parvanov ED, Baker CL, Walker M, Paigen K, et al. (2013) DNA binding specificities of the long zinc-finger recombination protein PRDM9. Genome Biol 14: R35.











