# Mercury methylating microbial communities of boreal forest soils

- Jingying Xu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Moritz Buck<sup>1</sup>, Karin Eklöf<sup>2</sup>, Omneya Osman<sup>1</sup>, Jeffra K. Schaefer<sup>3</sup>, Kevin Bishop<sup>2</sup>, Erik Björn<sup>4</sup>, Ulf Skyllberg<sup>5</sup>, *S*tefan Bertilsson<sup>1</sup>, Andrea G. Bravo<sup>1, 6, \*</sup> 2
- 3
- 4 <sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology and Genetics, Limnology, Uppsala University, SE-75236, Uppsala, Sweden
- 5 <sup>2</sup> Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SE-
- 75007, Uppsala, Sweden 6
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901, USA 7
- 8 <sup>4</sup> Department of Chemistry, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden
- 9 <sup>5</sup>Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Science, SE-901 10
- 83. Umeå. Sweden
- 11 <sup>6</sup> Department of Environmental Chemistry, Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research
- (IDAEA), Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), C/Jordi Girona, 18-26 E-08034 Barcelona -12
- 13 Spain

14

15

- Running tittle: Soil mercury methylating microbial communities
- 16 \*corresponding authors: jingying.xu@ebc.uu.se; jandriugarcia@gmail.com
- 17 **Abstract**
- The formation of the potent neurotoxic methylmercury (MeHg) is a microbially mediated process that 18
- 19 has raised much concern because MeHg poses threats to wildlife and human health. Since boreal forest
- 20 soils can be a source of MeHg in aquatic networks, it is crucial to understand the biogeochemical
- 21 processes involved in the formation of this pollutant. High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA and the
- 22 mercury methyltransferase, hgcA, combined with geochemical characterisation of soils, were used to
- 23 determine the microbial populations contributing to MeHg formation in forest soils across Sweden. The
- 24 hgcA sequences obtained were distributed among diverse clades, including Proteobacteria, Firmicutes,
- 25 and Methanomicrobia, with Deltaproteobacteria, particularly Geobacteraceae, dominating the libraries
- 26 across all soils examined. Our results also suggest that MeHg formation is linked to the composition of
- 27 also non-mercury methylating bacterial communities, likely providing growth substrate (e.g. acetate) for
- 28 the hgcA-carrying microorganisms responsible for the actual methylation process. While previous

29 research focused on mercury methylating microbial communities of wetlands, this study provides some

first insights into the diversity of mercury methylating microorganisms in boreal forest soils.

### **Importance**

Despite a global state of awareness that mercury, and methylmercury in particular, is a neurotoxin that millions of people continue to be exposed to, there are sizable gaps in our fundamental understanding of the processes and organisms involved in methylmercury formation. In the present study we shed light on the diversity of the microorganisms responsible for methylmercury formation in boreal forest soils. All the microorganisms identified have a relevant role on the processing of organic matter in soils. Moreover, our results show that the formation of methylation formation is not only linked to mercury methylating microorganisms but also to the presence of non-mercury methylating bacterial communities that contribute to methylmercury formation by the appropriate substrate to the microorganisms responsible for the actual methylation process. This study improves current knowledge on the diversity of organisms involved in methylmercury formation in soils.

#### INTRODUCTION

Mercury (Hg) is a potent toxin that might cause severe negative effects on wildlife and human health (1). The toxicity of Hg is of such concern that 128 countries have signed the Minamata Convention, a global treaty that entered into force in August 2017 with the explicit objective to reduce Hg emissions and protect human health and the environment. High Hg emissions in the past have led to high present-day Hg levels in different parts of the atmosphere, oceans and terrestrial ecosystems (2, 3). Because Hg has a strong affinity for reduced sulphur or thiol (RSH) functional groups of soil organic matter (OM) (4, 5), the increased atmospheric deposition of Hg during the industrialisation has resulted in high Hg concentrations in organic-rich soils (6). As a consequence, the typically OM-rich soils in the boreal biome has retained Hg deposition from both natural and anthropogenic emissions, and now represent an important global Hg stock (4, 7).

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

Soil OM has also been identified as a main vector of Hg and methylmercury (MeHg) transport from catchments to surface waters in boreal areas (8, 9). Indeed, the mobilisation of inorganic Hg (Hg<sup>(II)</sup>) and, the more harmful, MeHg from soils by means of OM-mediated transport has been linked to MeHg accumulation in lake sediments within catchments (9, 10) and in fish (11). As high MeHg levels in fish have raised much concern in many boreal regions over the past decades (12, 13) and since forest soils are an important site for MeHg formation (14), it is crucial to understand the processes and the organisms involved in MeHg formation in boreal soils. The methylation of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> to MeHg is biologically mediated (15) and takes place under oxygen deficient conditions typical for wetlands (16), water logged soils (14), sediments (9) and anoxic water columns (17), but can also occur in suspended particles in the aerobic zone of aquatic systems (18, 19). Specific strains of sulphate-reducing bacteria (20, 21), iron reducing bacteria (FeRB) (22, 23), methanogens (24) and Firmicutes (25) have the capability to methylate Hg<sup>(II)</sup>. However, a number of factors controlling bacterial activity and/or the geochemical speciation of inorganic Hg<sup>(II)</sup> will govern MeHg formation in the environment (9, 26). For example, increases in temperature might lead to increases in biological activity and accordingly also higher Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation rates (27). Redox potential also seems to be a key factor as suboxic and mildly reducing conditions seem to promote high Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation rates, whereas anoxic and strongly reducing conditions might lead to elevated sulphide concentrations that eventually prevent Hg<sup>(II)</sup> from being available for methylation (28). Sulphur plays a major role in influencing Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation by directly affecting the activity of some methylating bacteria (e.g. sulphate reducing bacteria, SRB) and/or control the availability of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> for methylation (5). Specific organic matter (OM) compounds can promote Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation by enhancing bacterial activity (9), but also by defining Hg<sup>(II)</sup> speciation (29) and Hg<sup>(II)</sup> availability (30, 31). OM can also facilitate Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation by inhibiting mercury sulphide (HgS(s)) precipitation or enhance HgS(s) dissolution thereby providing available  $Hg^{(II)}$  for methylating microorganisms (32). High OM concentrations might also decrease Hg methylation by formation of high mass molecular mass complexes that hamper Hg<sup>(II)</sup> availability (30). Recently it has been concluded that the availability of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> depends heavily on the S<sup>(-II)</sup> concentration in porewater and the RSH(aq)/RSH(ads) molar ratio of DOM (29). Together, all these

studies highlight that geochemical conditions are key in determining the availability of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> and the activity of the microbial communities involved in the process.

The identification of two functional genes, *hgcA* and *hgcB*, which play essential roles in Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation (15), provided the means to more directly characterise the complexity of microbial communities involved in the formation of MeHg in natural ecosystems. This approach has been applied to marshes, sediments and swamps in several geographic regions (33–36); rice paddies in China (37), and water conservation areas of the northern Everglades, USA (38). However, very little work to date has been conducted to reveal the distribution of microbial groups responsible for Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation in forest soils within the vast boreal biome. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have directly described the composition and spatial variation in Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial communities in such forests. Therefore, the primary goal of this paper was to describe Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial communities in various boreal forest soils and identify soil characteristics important for shaping these communities. High-throughput next generation sequencing of amplified 16S rRNA and *hgcA* genes combined with molecular barcoding and detailed soil geochemical characterisations were performed to study the Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial communities in 200 soil samples from three different boreal forest regions in order to shed light on the biogeography of microorganisms responsible for MeHg formation in the boreal landscape.

### **RESULTS**

### **Bacterial community composition in boreal forest soils**

Soil samples were collected from 200 sites in October 2012 and were distributed across eight catchments in three boreal forest regions in Sweden (Table S1, Table S2). A total of 3 321 197 high quality 16S rRNA sequences remained after quality control and chimera removal (7–72 911 reads per sample). The sample with only 7 reads was removed, and we then rarefied the rest of the data to the remaining sample with the fewest reads (1692 reads). The final rarefied sequence dataset (329 940 reads) clustered into 33 158 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using a similarity threshold of 97 %. In the rarefied dataset, 35 taxa at phyla level, 69 taxa at class level, 119 taxa at order level, and 187 taxa at

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

family level were detected from all the soil samples across three regions. The overall coverage of the forest bacterial community is reflected in the combined richness detected for random subsets of analysed samples. The logarithmic shape indicated that most of the considerable OTU richness occurring in the forest soils was accounted for in the combined dataset (Fig. S1). Among the dominant phyla across all regions (>5 % relative abundance), Acidobacteria was the most abundant, followed by Proteobacteria, Planctomycetes, Bacteroidetes, Parcubacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Table 1). Combined, these phyla accounted for 77.5 % of the total sequences (Table 1). Most of the previously identified clades known to contain Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators (25, 39) were detected in the present study, including *Deltaproteobacteria* (3.31 % of the total reads), *Chloroflexi* (2.60 % of the total reads), Firmicutes (0.77 % of the total reads) and Euryarchaeota (0.66 % of the total reads) (Table 1). Microbial community composition based on 16S rRNA sequences in the 34 studied MeHg hotspots showed a similar pattern in terms of the dominant phyla (>5 % relative abundance), with Acidobacteria and Proteobacteria being the most abundant ones. However, Bacteroidetes and Chloroflexi contributed much more to the total communities at these hotspots compared to the combined dataset across all 200 samples (Table 1). A non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plot based on 16S sequences was used to visualise the composition of the bacterial community among samples. Unclassified Acidobacteriales, Unclassified Ignavibacteriales, Spirochaetaceae, Holophagaceae, Anaerolineaceae, Betaproteobacteria and Tepisiphaeraceae were important contributing families for shaping the differences in bacterial community composition among samples (Fig. 1). Geochemical factors that were correlated (correlation coefficients > 0.5) with the bacterial composition were projected on top with longer vectors implying stronger correlations (Fig. 1). %MeHg, reflected by bubble sizes, presented a strong coupling to the bacterial community composition, which was further confirmed by %MeHg presenting a long vector among all the geochemical factors (Fig. 1). Water content, C%, S% and N% were all found to be the factors that affected the composition of soil bacterial community (Fig. 1), indicating that a supply of organic matter and nutrients in the moist soil shapes the bacterial community. This is in agreement with previous research that pointed out the contribution of nutrients and organic matter to bacterial activities

135 most of the measured sulphur in the sampled soils has likely an organic origin. This has been found as a 136 137 common feature in boreal soils (40–42). 138 Unclassified Fibrobacterales, Methanosaetaceae, Unclassified Ignavibacteriales, Spirochaetaceae, 139 Holophagaceae and Anaerolineaceae exhibited the highest correlations with %MeHg (Table 2). 140 Syntrophobacteraceae, Methanosarcinaceae, Methanoregulaceae, Desulfobulbaceae, Syntrophaceae, Desulfobacteraceae and Dehalococcoidaceae, which potentially host Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators (25, 39), were 141 142 also found relevant to the bacterial community composition in high-%MeHg sites (Table 2). 143 Figure 1. Distribution of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators 144 145 The samples with high soil MeHg concentrations and %MeHg > 1% were defined as "MeHg hotspots". In 34 MeHg hotspots (see soils geochemistry descriptors in Table S4, n = 34), the relative abundance of 146 microbial families carrying representatives known to methylate Hg<sup>(II)</sup> was assessed based on hgcA 147 148 sequences (25, 39). A total of 1 257 577 hgcA sequences remained after quality control and chimera 149 removal (11 404–55 461 reads per sample). The hgcA dataset was rarefied to the remaining sample with 150 the fewest reads (11 404 reads). The rarefied sequence dataset accounted a total of 387 736 reads that 151 clustered into 573 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using a similarity threshold of 97 %. As for the 16 rRNA, the logarithmic shape indicated that most of the considerable species richness of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> 152 153 methylators occurring in the forest soils was accounted for in the combined dataset (Fig. S1). 154 Representative sequences from 22 families were found in the 34 analysed MeHg hotspots. Of all the 155 hgcA sequences, 3.13 % were not taxonomically assigned (Unclassified), 0.28 % were unclassified 156 Euryarchaeota, and 7.28 % could not be assigned beyond the rank of Bacteria (Unclassified Bacteria). 157 The majority of the sequences annotated to the level of family clustered with *Deltaproteobacteria*, 158 making up 85.4 % of all the hgcA reads (Table 3). The remaining classified hgcA sequences were 159 distributed across diverse families affiliated to Firmicutes and Methanomicrobia. Unclassified 160 Deltaproteobacteria represented up to 56 % of the reads and among the identified families,

Geobacteraceae were the most abundant, contributing up to 40 % in Strömsjöliden. Ruminococcaceae 161 162 (3.21 % of all hgcA reads) occurred as another important family in the hotspots in Örebro; while 163 methanogens and syntrophic lineages were less abundant in the hotspots based on hgcA sequences 164 (Table 3). 165 Unclassified Desulfuromonadales, Geobacteraceae, Ruminococcaceae, unclassified Desulfovibrionales, 166 Desulfovibrionaceae, and unclassified Deltaproteobacteria seemed to contribute to differences in the composition of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in the studied soils (Fig. 2a). Among the measured geochemical 167 168 parameters, the S% and the C/S seemed to have an impact on shaping the community composition of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators (Fig. 2b). Moreover, *Methanoregulaceae*, *Desulfovibrionaceae*, 169 170 Desulfuromonadaceae, Desulfarculaceae and Methanomassiliicoccaceae correlated positively with S% 171 and negatively with C/S (Table S5). In the studied MeHg hotspots, S was strongly correlated with both 172 C and N (Table S6), suggesting most of the measured sulphur in the hotspots is also likely presented in 173 organic forms. 174 175 Phylogenetic analysis of hgcA genes 176 All the *Proteobacteria* families belonged to *Deltaproteobacteria*, a class with which most currently confirmed Hg<sup>(II)</sup>-methylating bacteria are affiliated (43, 44). When combined, the 20 most abundant 177 178 OTUs accounted for 72 % of the total reads. Noteworthy, phylogenetic analysis revealed that the most 179 abundant Hg<sup>(II)</sup>-methylating OTUs ("OTU 0005", "OTU 0705", "OTU 0008", and "OTU 0012") in 180 the studied forest soils were either taxonomically assigned as Geobacter sp. or phylogenetically related 181 to Geobacter species (Fig. 3). Among the 20 most abundant OTUs, 17 were taxonomically annotated as 182 Deltaproteobacteria. Among these 17 OTUs, 9 were taxonomically annotated as Geobacter and 8 were 183 phylogenetically related to *Geobacter* species (Fig. 3). Summing the identified *Geobacter* and the OTUs 184 phylogenetically related to Geobacter species, these 17 OTUs accounted for 62 % of the total hgcA reads. The 5<sup>th</sup> most abundant OTU and was taxonomically denoted as Firmicutes (Ethanoligenens) and 185 the 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> could not be annotated beyond the bacterial domain. 186

**DISCUSSION** 

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

Community composition of  $Hg^{(II)}$  methylators in boreal forest soils Among the diverse microbial communities seen in the soil samples (Table 1), most of the previously identified Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating groups, e.g., Deltaproteobacteria, Chloroflexi, Firmicutes and Euryarchaeota could be detected (Table 3). Deltaproteobacteria have been considered a predominant Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating class in anaerobic soils (34, 37, 38). In the present study, *Deltaproteobacteria* were also the predominant Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators at the hotspots with *Geobacteraceae* as the most represented family. This family alone contributed over 30% of all hgcA reads, and their importance could be seen at all the sampled sites and particularly in Strömsjöliden (Table 3). Iron reducing bacteria (FeRB) have previously been shown to be important for Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation in some environments (22, 23, 36, 43), and most Geobacter tested so far are particularly efficient at MeHg formation in the laboratory (23). This suggests that the ability to methylate Hg<sup>(II)</sup> is widely distributed and a typical feature among the Geobacteraceae. The lack of a specific inhibitor for FeRB have hindered the quantification of the relative contribution of FeRB compared to SRB (i.e molybdate inhibitor) and methanogens (i.e. Bromoethanesulfonate inhibitor) to MeHg formation. The discovery of the hgcA pushed the state of the art and made possible to identify Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in environment (15, 25). Our results combined with previous findings in wetlands and paddy soils (34, 37, 38) highlight the importance of Geobacteraceae as Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in boreal forest soils and evidence their potentially very important roles in a wide range of environments. While SRB are considered to be the principal Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in aquatic systems (27, 45–48), not much information is available on Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in soils. However, identified SRB in the hotspots only accounted for a minor portion of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators (Table 3). However, it is nevertheless plausible that at least some of the *hgcA* sequences annotated as unclassified *Deltaproteobacteria* (Table 3) could be unknown  $Hg^{(II)}$  methylating SRB or even  $Hg^{(II)}$  methylating sulphate-reducing syntrophs, capable of syntrophic fermentation of simple organic acids in the absence of sulphate as the terminal electron acceptor (49, 50). Therefore, we cannot discard the possibility that also SRB contribute significantly to Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation in the studied systems. A previous study based on selective inhibitors and rate

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

measurements indeed suggested SRB played an important role in MeHg formation in boreal forest soils (41). Additionally it has been demonstrated that even when SRB belong to the 'rare biosphere' of peatlands, they contribute significantly to respiration processes (51). Ruminococcaceae belongs to another newly confirmed representative of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators, the Firmicutes (25). Firmicutes contributed to Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial communities at the water conservation areas of the Florida Everglades (38) but were not detected in boreal wetlands (34). In the present study, Ruminococcaceae were prominent contributors to the hgcA pool in hotspots from Örebro and in all soils from Strömsjöliden (Table 3). They could thus play a role in shaping the composition of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating community as further indicated by the negative correlation though weak between Ruminococcaceae and C/S, a primary geochemical factor shaping Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating communities in the hotspots (Table S5 and Fig. 2b). Not much research has been devoted to the possible relationship between organic S and Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating Ruminococcaceae. Considering the abundance of this group in forest soils, further efforts are needed to shed light on the metabolic or physiological pathways of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating Ruminococcaceae. Methanogens were early on suspected to be responsible for Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylation (52), but not until recently were they verified as a significant source of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in various environments (24, 34). In the hotspots in the studied soils, they were also detected, though not very abundant in the Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial community. Chloroflexi has recently been identified as potential Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in the water conservation areas, paddy soils and wetlands (34, 38, 53). The hgcA data did not confirm any significant role of this group in MeHg production in boreal forest soils (Table 3), even though 16S rRNA data revealed non-Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating *Chloroflexi* (e.g. the class *Anaerolineae*) in soils from all three regions (Table 1). Previous studies have mainly explored flooded environments such as paddy soils (37), boreal wetlands (34) and the water areas of the Florida Everglades (38). Hence our study provided important new information on the composition and diversity of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial communities in non flooded boreal forest soils and the boreal landscape, and in doing so identified Geobacteraceae as significant Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in the terrestrial biome. The diversity of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators described in

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

this study need to be interpreted cautiously. The *hgcA* gene was only recently discovered and the optimization of the appropriate methods and, in particular the design of primers for the hgcA amplification, is still developing (54). Additionally, DNA based methods only reveal the presence of organisms, while alternative approaches based on transcription data, proteomes or rate measurements are needed for verifying their activity. Our data nevertheless provide new insights about Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial communities in boreal forest soils and can as such guide and serve as a resource for future research efforts in this field. Bacterial communities fuel Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators %MeHg has previously been used as a proxy for methylation efficiency (55, 56), and high %MeHg has also in a few cases been shown to correlate positively with the abundance of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators (14. 57). In the current study, sites with high %MeHg featured bacterial communities different from those observed at sites with low % MeHg (Fig. 1). Although, families known to contain Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators (Syntrophobacteraceae, Methanosarcinaceae, Methanoregulaceae, Desulfobulbaceae, Syntrophaceae, Desulfobacteraceae and Dehalococcoidaceae; 25) were found at sites with high %MeHg, there were also positive correlations between %MeHg and families that are not known to host Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators, such us Unclassified Fibrobacterales, Methanothrix (formerly Methanosaeta), Unclassified Ignavibacteriales, Spirochaetaceae, Holophagaceae and Anaerolineaceae (Table 2). This suggests that not only the Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators themselves, but also the supporting and interacting bacterial communities residing in the soil environment may influence MeHg formation across the studied regions. Anaerolineaceae, Spirochaetaceae and Holophagaceae are for example known to generate acetate by fermentation processes (58). Fibrobacterales, have recently been suggested to have an important role in cellulose hydrolysis in anaerobic environments, including soils (59). The *Ignavibacteria* class was recently described (Iino et al., 2010) and the physiology and metabolic capacities of this group is still poorly known, even if a distinctive feature of this group is the ability to grow on cellulose and its derivatives with the utilization of Fe(III) oxide as electron acceptor (60). It may well be that these families, which correlated well with %MeHg (Table 2) and seem to be involved in the degradation of long chain OM compounds (61, 62), promoted MeHg production by providing appropriate substrates

(e.g. acetate) for the Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators. Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators and non-Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating members of *Desulfobulbaceae*, known to oxidise organic substrates incompletely to acetate (63), might also have provided the necessary substrate to Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators (Table 2). Based on our results, we propose an important role of also the non-Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating bacterial heterotrophs in sustaining the activity of the Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microorganisms and thereby influencing MeHg formation in boreal forest soils. Moreover, the correlation between *Methanothrix* and %MeHg deserves special attention. It has been shown that *Methanothrix* can establish syntrophic cooperation with *Anaerolineaceae* (61) or *Geobacteraceae* (64) in methanogenic degradation of long chain carbon compounds (alkanes). As our results show that *Geobacteraceae* are major contributors to the Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial community (Table 3), the high correlation found between *Methanothrix* and %MeHg could be the result of the interaction between the non-Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating *Methanothrix* and the Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating *Geobacteraceae*. In brief, we provide novel system-level information on putative trophic interactions between non-Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating and the Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating taxa. We further suggest that more in depth studies with metagenome-level sequencing and metabolic pathway reconstruction will be a logical next step to gain a more complete understanding of how Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating bacterial and archaeal species interact in soils.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

A newly developed strategy that combine high-throughput *hgcA* amplicon sequencing with molecular barcoding revealed diverse clades of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in forest soils. This study confirms a predominant role of *Deltaproteobacteria*, and in particular *Geobacteraceae*, as key Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators in boreal forest soils. *Firmicutes*, and in particular *Ruminococcaceae*, were also abundant members of the Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial community. Besides the identified Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators, we suggest that the non-Hg<sup>(II)</sup>-methylating bacterial community (e.g. *Anaerolineaceae*, *Holophagaceae* and *Spirochaetaceae*) might have contributed to the net MeHg formation (%MeHg) by processing OM and thereby providing low OM compounds as a substrate to Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators (e.g acetate). By revealing linkages between Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators and non- Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylators, our results calls for further community-level work on the metabolic interactions in soil microbial communities to understand Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylaton.

295 provide a better understanding of Hg<sup>(II)</sup> methylating microbial communities in forest soils and the boreal 296 297 landscape. 298 **MATERIALS AND METHODS** 299 **Site description** 300 Soil samples were collected from 200 sites in October 2012 and were distributed across eight 301 catchments in three boreal forest regions in Sweden (Table S1 and S2). Within each of the catchments, 302 25 samples were collected. The most southern region Örebro (59°10′16.39″N 14°34′3.01″E) includes 303 three catchments and the sampled soils are dominantly Podzol with Histosols (65) in the lower parts of 304 the catchments along the streams. The organic matter (O) horizons were most often thicker than 20 cm. 305 More detailed information is given in Eklöf et al. (66). Two northern regions, Balsjö (64°1′37″N 306 18°55′43″E) and Strömsjöliden (64°6′48″N 19°7′36″E), are located 600–700 km north of Örebro and 307 around 14 km apart from each other. Balsjö includes three catchments dominated by orthic Podzol, with 308 Histosols along the streams. The O horizons were most often thicker than 10–20 cm in the lower parts 309 and less than 10 cm higher up in the catchments. More details are given in Löfgren et al. (2009). 310 Strömsjöliden includes two catchments and the soils are dominated by fine-grained moraine. The 311 organic layers are most often less than a few centimetres deep. The samples with high soil MeHg 312 concentrations and %MeHg > 1% were defined as "MeHg hotspots" (n=34), see a summary of the soil 313 characteristics of "MeHg hotspots" in Table S4. 314 The daily mean air temperatures during the 9 sampling days in September in 2012 varied between 7 and 315 12 °C in Örebro catchments and 4 and 11 °C in Balsjö and Strömsjöliden catchments. There were no 316 major rain events during the sampling period and the temperature and precipitation was normal for the 317 time of the year. 318 Soil sampling Soil samples were collected with a soil coring tube ( $\emptyset$ =23 mm). In each catchment, around half of the 319

samples (n=12) were collected systematically along the topographic fall line of the hill slope, at set

distances from the stream draining the area. These samples were collected from the upper 6 cm of the O horizons or the whole O horizons if these were less than 6 cm deep. The locations of the remaining sampling sites (n=13) were chosen by actively looking for potential hot spots for MeHg formation, such as wet patches, driving tracks and stump holes. These targeted samples were also collected from various depths, e.g. depths where groundwater levels were most frequently fluctuating were of special interest for potential  $Hg^{(II)}$  methylation. Single-use plastic gloves were used and soil samples for chemical analyses were collected in plastic bags or acid washed Falcon tubes and stored on ice in a cooler during transport to the laboratory (within 8 hours). Soil samples for molecular analyses were collected following adequate aseptic sampling protocols. All sampling equipment was sterilized by washing in 70% ethanol in between samples. Samples were collected in sterilized plastic tubes and frozen in liquid nitrogen directly in the field, and then stored at -80°C until further processing and analyses. **Chemical analyses** Soil samples were analysed for total Hg (THg), MeHg, water content, and mass percentage of carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and sulphur (S). Samples were freeze-dried and ground by hand in a mortar prior to analyses for THg, C%, N% and S%. Wet and dry weights were measured to estimate the water content. Total Hg was measured using a Perkin Elmer SMS100 total Hg analyser in accordance with US EPA method 7473. The method includes a thermal decomposition step, followed by amalgamation and atomic absorption spectrophotometric detection (working range 0.05–600 ng). Reproducibility and accuracy of measurements were checked by analyses of replicate samples and reference standards. Analyses of MeHg were done by using GC-ICPMS (68) on fresh samples immediately after thawing. C. N and S were analysed on dry soils packed tightly in tin capsules (Elemental Microanalysis, 6.4 mm) and subsequently measured by high temperature catalytic oxidation with a COTECH ECS 4010 elemental analyser calibrated with sulfanilamide standard (C 41.84 %, N 16.27 %, H 4.68 %, O 18.58 %, S 18.62 %). Analytical precision was  $\leq \pm 0.3$  % for C,  $\pm 1.5$  % for N and  $\pm 3.5$  % for S.

#### Microbiological analyses

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

16S rRNA gene: Microbial DNA was extracted from soil samples using the Power soil DNA isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories Inc, CA, USA) and the quality of the extracted DNA was assessed by gel electrophoresis (1% agarose). Bacterial 16S rRNA genes were amplified in two steps polymerase chain reaction (PCR) according to the protocol in Sinclair et al (2015). Briefly, non-barcoded primers Bakt 341F and Bakt 805R (Table S7) were used for the 1<sup>st</sup> PCR step of 20 cycles. The resulting PCR products were diluted 100 times before being used as template in a 2<sup>nd</sup> PCR step of 10 cycles with similar primers carrying sample-specific 7-base DNA barcodes. All PCRs were conducted in 20 µL volume using 1.0 U Q5 high fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB, UK), 0.25 µM primers, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, and 0.4 µg bovine serum albumin. The thermal program consisted of an initial 95 °C denaturation step for 5 min, a cycling program of 95 °C for 40 seconds, 53 °C for 40 seconds, 72 °C for 60 seconds and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 7 minutes. Amplicons from the 2<sup>nd</sup> PCR were purified using the Qiagen gel purification kit (Qiagen, Germany) and quantified using a fluorescence-based DNA quantitation kit (PicoGreen, Invitrogen). The final amplicons after two PCR steps were pooled in equal proportions to obtain a similar number of sequencing reads per sample. Amplicon sequencing was carried out following the protocol described in Sinclair et al (2015) using the MiSeq instrument. Illumina sequencing was performed by the SNP/SEQ SciLifeLab facility hosted by Uppsala University using 300bp chemistry. Chimera identification and OTU (Operational Taxonomic Unit) clustering by denoising was done using UNOISE (from USEARCH version 9, ref. 70, 71). SINTAX (from USEARCH version 9, ref. (72)) with the SILVA reference database (release 128) was used as a base to taxonomically annotate OTUs. The sequence data has been deposited to the EBI Archive under accession number PRJEB20882. *HgcA* gene: Among the 50 samples selected based on having %MeHg >1 %, 34 resulted in positive PCR amplification of the hgcA gene. The protein-coding gene hgcA which plays an essential role in Hg methylation was amplified with previously published hgcA primers (hgcA 261F and hgcA 912R) (Table S7, 34) modified for parallelized high-throughput Illumina sequencing. HPLC-purified primers carrying Illumina adaptors at the 5' end (hgcA 261F Adaptor and hgcA 912R Adaptor, Table S7) were here used for the 1<sup>st</sup> stage PCR. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage PCR, standard Illumina handles and barcode primers

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

(Table S7) were used to enable pooling of all the samples for parallelized Illumina sequencing. *HgcA* was first amplified in 50 µL volume with 1x Phusion GC Buffer, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 5% DMSO, 0.1 μM of each adaptor-linked primer, 7 μg/μL BSA, 4 μL extracted DNA template, and 1.0 U Phusion high fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB, UK) for an initial denaturation of 2 min at 98 °C followed by 35 cycles (10 s at 96 °C, 30 s 56.5 °C and 45 s at 72 °C), and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. Following this initial step, a 2<sup>nd</sup> PCR was conducted to add sample-specific molecular barcodes. Reactions were carried out in 20 μL volumes using 1x Q5 reaction buffer, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 0.1 μM barcode primers, purified 1<sup>st</sup> PCR products and 1.0 U Q5 high fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB, UK) for an initial denaturation of 30 s at 98 °C followed by 18 cycles (10 s at 98 °C, 30 s 66 °C and 30 s at 72 °C), and a final extension at 72°C for 2 min. The quality and size of the hgcA amplicons were assessed by gel electrophoresis and GelRed visualization on a 1% agarose gel (Invitrogen, USA) prior to purification by Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter, USA) after both PCR steps. Quantifications of purified amplicons from the 2<sup>nd</sup> stag PCR were performed using the PicoGreen kit (Invitrogen). Amplicons were sequenced using the same method as for the 16S rRNA gene. Forward read sequences were only used in data analysis due to long PCR product. Low quality sequences were filtered and trimmed using SICKLE (73) and adapter were removed by using CUTADAPT (74). Subsequent processing of reads were performed by USEARCH and clustered at 60% identity cutoff using cd-hit-est (75). HMMER (76) search was used for taxonomical annotation with manually curated database of *Proteobacteria* and sequences of Podar et al. (2015). More details can be found in Bravo et al. (2018). **Phylogenetic analysis:** A phylogenetic analysis was performed for *hgcA* sequences representative for the OTUs observed for the 34 hotspots and existing hgcA entries in our curated database. The sequences were adequately curated and taxonomy homogenized using taxtastic [https://github.com/fhcrc/taxtastic] and the R-package taxize (77). The obtained protein sequences were aligned with MUSCLE (78) (version 3.8.1551). The alignment was trimmed to the size of the amplicon, and a tree was generated using RAxML (79) (version 8.2.4) - with the PROTGAMMLG model and

autoMR to choose the number of necessary bootstrap resamplings (n = 750). This tree and the

corresponding alignment were used to generate a reference package for PPLACER (80). The guppy tool

of PPLACER was then used to classify the sequences with a likelihood threshold of 0.8.

### Statistical analysis

401

402

403

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

420

404 Family-level microbial community composition in the different samples were compared using non-

metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) based on Bray-Curtis similarities and using the software

PRIMER 7 (81). Information on the common set of samples from community composition based on

Bray-Curtis similarities and that from geochemical variables based on Euclidean distance was presented

in one single ordination. A combined nMDS plot with bubble and vector plots of geochemical factors

projected on the same ordination of community composition was constructed to reveal the relationships

between community compositions and potentially explanatory geochemical variables (81). Pearson's

correlation coefficient (R) was assessed to reveal linear relationships between variables using a

significance level of alpha < 0.05.

## Acknowledgements

- This project was carried out within the Swedish-Sino SMaREF (2013-6978) funded by the Swedish
- Research Council. This study was also supported by the Swedish Energy Agency (grant number 36155-
- 416 1) and the Swedish Research Council (Grants 2011–7192 and 2012-3892) and Generalitat de Catalunya
- 417 (Beatriu de Pinos BP-00385-2016). Sequencing was carried out at the SciLifeLab SNP/SEQ facility
- 418 hosted by Uppsala University and we also acknowledge the Uppsala Multidisciplinary Centre for
- Advanced Computational Science (UPPMAX) for access to storage and computational resources.

#### References

- UNEP. 2013. Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases, and
- Environmental Transport. UNEP 42.
- 423 2. Amos HM, Jacob DJ, Streets DG, Sunderland EM. 2013. Legacy impacts of all-time
- anthropogenic emissions on the global mercury cycle. Global Biogeochem Cycles 27:410–421.
- 425 3. Lamborg C, Bowman K, Hammerschmidt C, Gilmour C, Munson K, Selin N, Tseng C-M. 2014.
- Mercury in the Anthropocene Ocean. Oceanography 27:76–87.
- 427 4. Gu B, Bian Y, Miller CL, Dong W, Jiang X, Liang L. 2011. Mercury reduction and complexation

- by natural organic matter in anoxic environments. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:1479–1483.
- 5. Drott A, Lambertsson L, Björn E, Skyllberg U. 2007. Importance of dissolved neutral mercury sulfides for methyl mercury production in contaminated sediments. Environ Sci Technol 41:2270–2276.
- Johansson K, Tyler G. 2001. Impact of atmospheric long range transport of lead, mercury and cadmium on the Swedish forest environment. Water, Air Soil Pollut Focus 1:279–297.
- Xu J, Bravo AG, Lagerkvist A, Bertilsson S, Sjöblom R, Kumpiene J. 2014. Sources and
   remediation techniques for mercury contaminated soil. Environ Int 74:42–53.
- 436 8. Grigal DF. 2002. Inputs and outputs of mercury from terrestrial watersheds: a review. Environ Rev 10:1–39.
- Bravo AG, Bouchet S, Tolu J, Björn E, Mateos-Rivera A, Bertilsson S. 2017. Molecular
   composition of organic matter controls methylmercury formation in boreal lakes. Nat Commun
   8:14255.
- Isidorova A, Bravo AG, Riise G, Bouchet S, Björn E, Sobek S. 2016. The effect of lake browning and respiration mode on the burial and fate of carbon and mercury in the sediment of two boreal lakes. J Geophys Res Biogeosciences 121:233–245.
- Hongve D, Haaland S, Riise G, Blakar I, Norton S. 2012. Decline of acid rain enhances mercury concentrations in fish. Environ Sci Technol 46:2490–1.
- 446 12. Åkerblom S, Nilsson M, Yu J, Ranneby B, Johansson K. 2012. Temporal change estimation of 447 mercury concentrations in northern pike (Esox lucius L.) in Swedish lakes. Chemosphere 86:439– 448 445.
- 449 13. Gandhi N, Tang RWK, Bhavsar SP, Arhonditsis GB. 2014. Fish mercury levels appear to be increasing lately: A report from 40 years of monitoring in the province of Ontario, Canada. Environ Sci Technol 48:5404–5414.
- Eklöf K, Bishop K, Bertilsson S, Björn E, Buck M, Skyllberg U, Osman OA, Kronberg RM,
   Bravo AG. 2018. Formation of mercury methylation hotspots as a consequence of forestry operations. Sci Total Environ 613–614:1069–1078.
- Parks JM, Johs A, Podar M, Bridou R, Hurt RA, Smith SD, Tomanicek SJ, Qian Y, Brown SD,
   Brandt CC, Palumbo A V, Smith JC, Wall JD, Elias DA, Liang L. 2013. The genetic basis for
   bacterial mercury methylation. Science (80-) 339:1332–1335.
- Tjerngren, Karlsson T, Björn E, Skyllberg U. 2012. Potential Hg methylation and MeHg demethylation rates related to the nutrient status of different boreal wetlands. Biogeochemistry 108:335–350.
- Eckley CS, Hintelmann H. 2006. Determination of mercury methylation potentials in the water column of lakes across Canada. Sci Total Environ 368:111–125.
- Monperrus M, Tessier E, Amouroux D, Leynaert A. 2007. Mercury methylation, demethylation and reduction rates in coastal and marine surface waters of the Mediterranean Sea. Mar Chem 107:49–63.
- Gascón Díez E, Loizeau J-L, Cosio C, Bouchet S, Adatte T, Amouroux D, Bravo AG. 2016. Role
   of Settling Particles on Mercury Methylation in the Oxic Water Column of Freshwater Systems.
   Environ Sci Technol 50:11672–11679.
- Compeau GC, Bartha R. 1985. Sulfate-reducing Bacteria: principal methylators of mercury in anoxic estuarine sediment. Appl Environ Microbiol 50:498–502.
- King JK, Kostka JE, Frischer ME, Saunders FM, Jahnke RA. 2001. A quantitative relationship that demonstrates mercury methylation rates in marine sediments are based on the community composition and activity of sulfate-reducing bacteria. Environ Sci Technol 35:2491–2496.

- Fleming EJ, Mack EE, Green PG, Nelson DC. 2006. Mercury methylation from unexpected sources: molybdate-inhibited freshwater sediments and an iron-reducing bacterium. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:457–464.
- 477 23. Kerin EJ, Gilmour CC, Roden E, Suzuki MT, Coates JD, Mason RP. 2006. Mercury methylation by dissimilatory iron-reducing bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:7919–7921.
- 479 24. Hamelin S, Amyot M, Barkay T, Wang Y, Planas D. 2011. Methanogens: principal methylators
   480 of mercury in lake periphyton. Environ Sci Technol 45:7693–7700.
- 481 25. Gilmour CC, Podar M, Bullock AL, Graham AM, Brown SD, Somenahally AC, Johs A, Hurt RA, Bailey KL, Elias DA. 2013. Mercury methylation by novel microorganisms from new environments. Environ Sci Technol 47:11810–11820.
- Jonsson S, Skyllberg U, Nilsson MB, Lundberg E, Andersson A, Björn E. 2014. Differentiated availability of geochemical mercury pools controls methylmercury levels in estuarine sediment and biota. Nat Commun 5:4624.
- Ullrich SM, Tanton TW, Abdrashitova SA, Svetlana A. 2001. Mercury in the aquatic
   environment: a review of factors affecting methylation. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 31:241–
   293.
- 490 28. Bigham GN, Murray KJ, Masue-Slowey Y, Henry EA. 2017. Biogeochemical controls on methylmercury in soils and sediments: Implications for site management. Integr Environ Assess Manag 13:249–263.
- Liem-Nguyen V, Skyllberg U, Björn E. 2017. Thermodynamic Modeling of the Solubility and
   Chemical Speciation of Mercury and Methylmercury Driven by Organic Thiols and Micromolar
   Sulfide Concentrations in Boreal Wetland Soils. Environ Sci Technol 51:3678–3686.
- 496 30. Chiasson-Gould SA, Blais JM, Poulain AJ. 2014. Dissolved organic matter kinetically controls mercury bioavailability to bacteria. Environ Sci Technol 48:3153–3161.
- 498 31. Schaefer JK, Morel FMM. 2009. High methylation rates of mercury bound to cysteine by Geobacter sulfurreducens. Nat Geosci 2:123–126.
- 500 32. Graham AM, Aiken GR, Gilmour CC. 2013. Effect of dissolved organic matter source and character on microbial Hg methylation in Hg-S-DOM solutions. Environ Sci Technol 47:5746–502 5754.
- 503 33. Gilmour CC, Ghosh U, Santillan EFU, Soren A, Bell JT, Butera D, McBurney AW, Brown S,
   504 Henry E, and Vlassopoulos D. 2015. Impacts of Activated Carbon Amendment on Hg
   505 Methylation, Demethylation and Microbial Activity in Marsh SoilsAGU Fall Meeting.
- 506 34. Schaefer JK, Kronberg R-M, Morel FMM, Skyllberg U. 2014. Detection of a key Hg methylation gene, hgcA, in wetland soils. Environ Microbiol Rep n/a-n/a.
- Bravo AG, Loizeau JL, Dranguet P, Makri S, Björn E, Ungureanu VG, Slaveykova VI, Cosio C.
   2016. Persistent Hg contamination and occurrence of Hg-methylating transcript (*hgcA*)
   downstream of a chlor-alkali plant in the Olt River (Romania). Environ Sci Pollut Res 1–13.
- 511 36. Bravo AG, Zopfi J, Buck M, Xu J, Bertilsson S, Schaefer JK, Poté J, and Cosio C. 2018.
- Geobacteraceae are important members of mercury-methylating microbial communities of sediments impacted by wastewater releases. ISME J.
- 514 37. Liu Y-R, Yu R-Q, Zheng Y-M, He J-Z. 2014. Analysis of the microbial community structure by monitoring an Hg methylation gene (hgcA) in paddy soils along an Hg gradient. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:2874–9.
- 517 38. Bae HS, Dierberg FE, Ogram A. 2014. Syntrophs dominate sequences associated with the mercury methylation-related gene *hgcA* in the water conservation areas of the Florida Everglades.

  519 Appl Environ Microbiol 80:6517–6526.
- 520 39. Podar M, Gilmour CC, Brandt CC, Soren A, Brown SD, Crable BR, Palumbo A V., Somenahally

- 521 522 mercury methylation. Sci Adv 1:e1500675-e1500675.
- 40. Skyllberg ULF, Qian JIN, Frech W, Xia K. 2002. Distribution of mercury, methyl mercury and 523 524 organic sulphur species in soil, soil solution and stream of a boreal forest catchment 1:53–76.
- 525 41. Kronberg RM, Jiskra M, Wiederhold JG, Björn E, Skyllberg U. 2016. Methyl mercury formation 526 in hillslope soils of boreal forests: The role of forest harvest and anaerobic microbes. Environ Sci Technol 50:9177-9186. 527
- 528 42. Tjerngren I, Meili M, Björn E, Skyllberg U. 2012. Eight boreal wetlands as sources and sinks for methyl mercury in relation to soil acidity, C/N ratio, and small-scale flooding. Environ Sci 529 530 Technol 46:8052-8060.
- 531 43. Yu RQ, Flanders JR, MacK EE, Turner R, Mirza MB, Barkay T. 2012. Contribution of coexisting 532 sulfate and iron reducing bacteria to methylmercury production in freshwater river sediments. 533 Environ Sci Technol 46:2684–2691.
- 534 44. Ranchou-Peyruse M, Monperrus M, Bridou R, Duran R, Amouroux D, Salvado JC, Guyoneaud 535 R. 2009. Overview of mercury methylation capacities among anaerobic bacteria including 536 representatives of the sulphate-reducers: implications for environmental studies. Geomicrobiol J 537 26:1–8.
- 538 45. Achá D, Hintelmann H, Pabón CA. 2012. Sulfate-reducing bacteria and mercury methylation in 539 the water column of the Lake 658 of the Experimental Lake Area. Geomicrobiol J 29:667–674.
- 540 46. King JK, Kostka JE, Frischer ME, Saunders FM. 2000. Sulfate-reducing bacteria methylate 541 mercury at variable rates in pure culture and in marine sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 542 66:2430-2437.
- 543 47. Yu RQ, Adatto I, Montesdeoca MR, Driscoll CT, Hines ME, Barkay T. 2010. Mercury 544 methylation in Sphagnum moss mats and its association with sulfate-reducing bacteria in an 545 acidic Adirondack forest lake wetland. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 74:655-668.
- 546 48. Gilmour CC, Henry EA, Mitchell R. 1992. Sulfate stimulation of mercury methylation in 547 freshwater sediments. Environ Sci Technol 26:2281–2287.
- 548 49 Plugge CM, Zhang W, Scholten JCM, Stams AJM. 2011. Metabolic flexibility of sulfatereducing bacteria. Front Microbiol 2. 549
- 550 50. McInerney MJ, Struchtemeyer CG, Sieber J, Mouttaki H, Stams AJM, Schink B, Rohlin L, Gunsalus RP. 2008. Physiology, ecology, phylogeny, and genomics of microorganisms capable 551 of syntrophic metabolism. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1125:58-72. 552
- 553 51. Pester M, Bittner N, Deevong P, Wagner M, Loy A. 2010. A "rare biosphere" microorganism 554 contributes to sulfate reduction in a peatland. ISME J 4:1–12.
- 555 52. Wood JM. 1975. Biological cycles for elements in the environment. Naturwissenschaften 556 62:357-364.
- 557 53. Liu YR, Wang JJ, Zheng YM, Zhang LM, He JZ. 2014. Patterns of Bacterial Diversity Along a 558 Long-Term Mercury-Contaminated Gradient in the Paddy Soils. Microb Ecol 68:575–583.
- 559 54. Christensen GA, Wymore AM, King AJ, Podar M, Hurt RA, Santillan EU, Soren A, Brandt CC, 560 Brown SD, Palumbo A V., Wall JD, Gilmour CC, Elias DA. 2016. Development and validation 561 of broad-range aualitative and clade-specific quantitative molecular probes for assessing mercury methylation in the environment. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:6068–6078. 562
- Skyllberg U, Drott A, Lambertsson L, Bjorn E, Karlsson T, Johnson T, Heinemo SA, Holmstrm 563 55. 564 H. 2007. Net methylmercury production as a basis for improved risk assessment of mercury-565 contaminated sediments. Ambio 36:437-442.
- 566 56. Drott A, Lambertsson L, Björn E, Skyllberg U. 2008. Do potential methylation rates reflect 567 accumulated methyl mercury in contaminated sediments? Environ Sci Technol 42:153–158.

- 568 57. Remy S, Prudent P, Probst JL. 2006. Mercury speciation in soils of the industrialised Thur River catchment (Alsace, France). Appl Geochemistry 21:1855–1867.
- 570 58. Hunger S, Gößner AS, Drake HL. 2015. Anaerobic trophic interactions of contrasting methane-571 emitting mire soils: Processes versus taxa. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91:1–14.
- 572 59. Ransom-Jones E, Jones DL, McCarthy AJ, McDonald JE. 2012. The Fibrobacteres: An Important Phylum of Cellulose-Degrading Bacteria. Microb Ecol 63:267–281.
- 574 60. Podosokorskaya OA, Kadnikov V V., Gavrilov SN, Mardanov A V., Merkel AY, Karnachuk O V., Ravin N V., Bonch-Osmolovskaya EA, Kublanov I V. 2013. Characterization of
- Melioribacter roseus gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel facultatively anaerobic thermophilic cellulolytic
- bacterium from the class Ignavibacteria, and a proposal of a novel bacterial phylum Ignavibacteriae. Environ Microbiol 15:1759–1771.
- 579 61. Liang B, Wang LY, Mbadinga SM, Liu JF, Yang SZ, Gu JD, Mu BZ. 2015. Anaerolineaceae and Methanosaeta turned to be the dominant microorganisms in alkanes-dependent methanogenic culture after long-term of incubation. AMB Express 5.
- 582 62. Juottonen H, Eiler A, Biasi C, Tuittila E-S, Yrjälä K, Fritze H. 2017. Distinct Anaerobic Bacterial
   583 Consumers of Cellobiose-Derived Carbon in Boreal Fens with Different CO2 /CH4 Production
   584 Ratios. Appl Environ Microbiol 83:e02533-16.
- 585 63. Kuever J. 2014. The family desulfobulbaceae, p. 75–86. *In* The Prokaryotes: Deltaproteobacteria and Epsilonproteobacteria.
- Holmes DE, Shrestha PM, Walker DJF, Dang Y, Nevin KP, Woodard TL, Lovley DR. 2017.
   Metatranscriptomic evidence for direct interspecies electron transfer between *Geobacter* and
   *Methanothrix* species in methanogenic rice paddy soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 2.
- WRB. 2014. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015. International soil
   classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. World Soil Resources
   Reports No. 106World Soil Resources Reports No. 106.
- 593 66. Eklöf K, Meili M, Åkerblom S, von Brömssen C, Bishop K. 2013. Impact of stump harvest on run-off concentrations of total mercury and methylmercury. For Ecol Manage 290:83–94.
- 595 67. Löfgren S, Ring E, von Brömssen C, Sørensen R, Högbom L. 2009. Short-term effects of clearcutting on the water chemistry of two boreal streams in northern Sweden: a paired catchment 597 study. Ambio 38:347–356.
- Lambertsson L, Lundberg E, Nilsson M, Frech W. 2001. Applications of enriched stable isotope tracers in combination with isotope dilution GC-ICP-MS to study mercury species transformation in sea sediments during in situ. J Anal At Spectrom 16:1296–1301.
- 601 69. Sinclair L, Osman OA, Bertilsson S, Eiler A. 2015. Microbial community composition and diversity via 16S rRNA gene amplicons: Evaluating the Illumina platform. PLoS One 10:e0116955.
- 604 70. Edgar RC. 2013. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat Methods 10:996–8.
- Edgar RC, Flyvbjerg H. 2015. Error filtering, pair assembly and error correction for next-generation sequencing reads. Bioinformatics 31:3476–3482.
- 608 72. Edgar R. 2016. SINTAX: a simple non-Bayesian taxonomy classifier for 16S and ITS sequences. 609 bioRxiv 74161.
- 73. Joshi NA, Fass JN. 2011. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for
   FastQ files.
- 612 74. Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal 17:10–12.

- Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W. 2012. CD-HIT: Accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics 28:3150–3152.
- 616 76. Eddy SR. 2011. Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput Biol 7:e1002195.
- 617 77. Chamberlain SA, Szöcs E. 2013. taxize: taxonomic search and retrieval in R. F1000Research 2:191.
- 619 78. Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797.
- 521 79. Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312–1313.
- 80. Matsen FA, Kodner RB, Armbrust EV. 2010. pplacer: Linear time maximum-likelihood and
   Bayesian phylogenetic placement of sequences onto a fixed reference tree. BMC Bioinformatics.
- 625 81. Clarke KR, Gorley RN. 2015. PRIMER v7: User Manual/Tutorial. Prim Plymouth UK 192 p.

**Table 1.** Comparison of the relative abundances (%) of the most abundant taxa (phylum level) in all the samples (n=200) with the 34 MeHg hotspots based on 16S rRNA sequences. Relative abundances of classes under phylum *Proteobacteria* are listed with indent (SD: Standard deviation)

Most abundant taxa	Mean ± SD		Maximum		Minimum	
	All samples	Hotspots	All samples	Hotspots	All samples	Hotspots
Acidobacteria	36.11 ±10.53	25.57 ±8.77	73.64	49.29	8.10	9.40
Proteobacteria	$13.99 \pm 4.03$	$16.56 \pm 2.96$	28.13	27.60	2.90	8.87
Alphaproteobacteria	$6.83 \pm 3.01$	$7.13 \pm 2.81$	16.43	13.95	1.77	2.66
Deltaproteobacteria	$3.31 \pm 1.69$	$3.56 \pm 1.38$	13.36	7.15	0.71	1.30
Gammaproteobacteria	$2.06 \pm 1.33$	$1.48 \pm 0.76$	7.15	3.66	0.24	0.35
Betaproteobacteria	$1.78 \pm 2.13$	$4.14 \pm 2.47$	11.11	10.46	0.00	0.65
<b>Epsilonproteobacteria</b>	$0.01 \pm 0.03$	$0.03 \pm 0.06$	0.30	0.24	0.00	0.00
Planctomycetes	$8.18 \pm 4.21$	$5.82 \pm 2.77$	24.82	11.64	1.36	1.95
Bacteroidetes	$6.61 \pm 5.24$	$11.38 \pm 7.92$	51.60	51.60	0.41	1.60
Parcubacteria	$6.35 \pm 4.19$	$9.01 \pm 5.14$	26.36	24.47	0.06	2.13
Verrucomicrobia	$6.28 \pm 2.78$	$5.30 \pm 2.31$	14.89	10.64	0.65	0.65
Thaumarchaeota	$3.96 \pm 2.77$	$2.53 \pm 2.44$	18.44	14.83	0.00	0.00
Actinobacteria	$3.11 \pm 2.38$	$2.94 \pm 1.62$	19.86	6.03	0.47	0.89
Chlamydiae	$2.83 \pm 2.56$	$1.31 \pm 1.08$	22.87	3.71	0.24	0.30
Chloroflexi	$2.60 \pm 3.18$	$7.16 \pm 5.18$	17.79	15.19	0.00	0.12
Others	$9.97 \pm 0.89$	$12.41 \pm 1.66$	17.14	8.98	0.00	0.00

**Table 2.** Moderate  $(0.5 \le R < 0.7)$  to weak  $(0.3 \le R < 0.5)$  Pearson correlations between families and %MeHg in all samples based on 16S rRNA. Families potentially involved in Hg methylation were marked in bold.

Families	Correlations with %MeHg	
Unclassified Fibrobacterales	0.56	
Methanothrix	0.54	
Unclassified Ignavibacteriales	0.52	
Spirochaetaceae	0.52	
Holophagaceae	0.50	
Anaerolineaceae	0.41	
Lentimicrobiaceae	0.40	
Syntrophobacteraceae	0.39	
Unclassified Phycisphaerales	0.37	
Methanosarcinaceae	0.37	
Methanoregulaceae	0.35	
Desulfobulbaceae	0.35	
Porphyromonadaceae	0.35	
Rhodobiaceae	0.33	
Unclassified Clostridiales	0.32	
Gemmatimonadaceae	0.30	
Syntrophaceae	0.30	
Unclassified Omnitrophica	0.30	
Nitrosomonadaceae	0.30	
Desulfobacteraceae	0.30	
Dehalococcoidaceae	0.30	
Unclassified Obscuribacterales	-0.30	
Unclassified Solibacterales	-0.33	
Tepidisphaeraceae	-0.38	

Families	Örebro	Balsjö	Strömsjöliden
	% of <i>hgcA</i> reads	% of <i>hgcA</i> reads	% of <i>hgcA</i> reads
Unclassified Deltaproteobacteria	43.24±37.11	44.85±30.09	55.69±18.23
Geobacteraceae	26.79±31.09	24.62±22.22	39.40±18.96
Unclassified Bacteria	10.72±17.45	25.58±33.67	$1.43 \pm 1.02$
Ruminococcaceae	9.12±18.23	$1.52 \pm 2.30$	$0.15 \pm 0.04$
Unclassified	$6.62 \pm 8.65$	$2.37 \pm 3.86$	1.27±2.98
Unclassified Euryarchaeota	$0.84 \pm 2.22$	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	$0.01 \pm 0.02$
Desulfovibrionaceae	$0.83 \pm 1.28$	$0.16 \pm 0.03$	$0.02 \pm 0.04$
Unclassified Methanomicrobiales	$0.49 \pm 1.21$	$0.06 \pm 0.09$	$0.03 \pm 0.12$
Syntrophaceae	$0.35 \pm 0.45$	$0.05 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
Methanomassiliicoccaceae	$0.31 \pm 0.53$	$0.02 \pm 0.00$	$0.13 \pm 0.05$
Methanoregulaceae	$0.20 \pm 0.03$	$0.06 \pm 0.03$	$0.00 \pm 0.01$
Syntrophomonadaceae	$0.17 \pm 0.13$	$0.02 \pm 0.03$	$0.13 \pm 0.04$
Unclassified Desulfovibrionales	$0.14 \pm 0.15$	$0.02 \pm 0.05$	$0.03 \pm 0.04$
Unclassified Clostridiales	$0.06 \pm 0.22$	$0.51 \pm 0.19$	$0.08 \pm 0.07$
Unclassified Firmicutes	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
Unclassified Desulfuromonadales	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	$0.10 \pm 0.03$	$0.39 \pm 0.32$
Desulfobulbaceae	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	$0.01 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.01$
Desulfuromonadaceae	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.00 \pm 0.04$	$0.00 \pm 0.04$
Syntrophorhabdaceae	$0.01 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.02$	$0.00 \pm 0.06$
Unclassified Deferrisoma	$0.01 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	1.18±0.98
Desulfarculaceae	$0.00 \pm 0.02$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.02$
Pelobacteraceae	$0.00 \pm 0.01$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.07 \pm 0.03$

**Figure 1.** Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) of microbial community composition of all samples (family level based on 16S rRNA) overlaid with families (black lint) and geochemical factors (dotted brown line) moderately correlated with biotic ordination (correlation coefficients > 0.5) (%MeHg: MeHg/THg). Relative dissimilarities (or distances) among the samples were computed according to the resemblance matrix calculated on fourth rooted family reads.

**Figure 2.** Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) of potential Hg methylators (family level based on hgcA) in 34 hotspots overlaid with geochemical factors that were moderately correlated with the biotic ordination positions (correlation coefficients > 0.5)

**Figure 3.** Phylogenetic relationships of *Deltaproteobacterial hgcA* sequences in the studied forest soils. The 20 most abundant *Deltaproteobacteria* are in blue. The OTUs taxonomically assigned as *Geobacter* are indicated in the plot "*Geobacter sp.*". OTUs non-taxonomically assigned are presented as "OTU". Reference genomes are marked in brown. The tree was generated using RAxML (version 8.2.4) with the PROTGAMMLG model and the autoMR to choose the number of necessary bootstraps (750). Please see details of the collapsed tree in the Fig. S2.





