Persistent acetylation of newly synthesized histones inhibits DNA replication origin activity

Roch Tremblay^{1,2}, Yosra Mehrjoo^{1,2}, Antoine Simoneau^{1,2}, Mary McQuaid¹, Corey Nislow⁴, Guri Giaever⁴, Hugo Wurtele^{1,3*}

1. Centre de recherche de l'Hôpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont, 5415 boulevard de l'Assomption, Montréal, H1T 2M4, Canada

2. Molecular Biology Program, Université de Montréal, 2900 Édouard-Montpetit, Montréal, Québec, Canada, H3T 1J4

3. Department of Medicine, Université de Montréal, 2900 Édouard-Montpetit, Montréal, Québec, Canada, H3T 1J4

4. Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

* Corresponding author

Email: hugo.wurtele@umontreal.ca

ABSTRACT

Histone acetylation is a known regulator of DNA replication dynamics. In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, newly synthesized histone H3 deposited behind DNA replication forks are acetylated on lysine 56 (H3 K56ac) by the Rtt109 histone acetyltransferase. Two enzymes of the sirtuin family of deacetylases, Hst3 and Hst4, act redundantly to deacetylate this residue throughout chromosomes following S phase. Cells lacking Hst3 and Hst4 present constitutively acetylated H3 K56, which causes sensitivity to replication-blocking genotoxins and slow growth via mechanisms that remain poorly understood. Here, we present the results of a genome-wide screen aimed at identifying haploinsufficient genes that promote cell fitness in response to inhibition of sirtuins by nicotinamide (NAM). We find that heterozygous deletion alleles of genes involved in the regulation of DNA replication origins sensitize cells to NAM. Consistently, haploid cells harboring the hypomorphic temperature sensitive allele cdc7-4 present striking NAMinduced S phase progression defects at their semi-permissive temperature. We further show i) that Rap1-interacting factor 1 (Rif1), an inhibitor of Cdc7-dependent activation of replication origins, causes DNA damage and replication defects in cells exposed to NAM and in $hst3\Delta$ hst4 Δ mutants, and ii) that cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ triple mutant cells present strong synthetic temperature sensitivity associated with defective activation of replication origin and delayed S phase progression. Our data further demonstrate that such replication defects are not due to intra-S phase checkpoint activation leading to inhibition of origin activity. We finally show that Rtt109-dependent acetylation of histone H3 lysine 56 and its associated Rtt101-Mms1 ubiquitin ligase complex cause the DNA replication defects observed in cdc7-4 hst 3Δ hst 4Δ cells. Overall, these results argue that abnormal regulation of nascent chromatin structure negatively influences DNA replication initiation in cells presenting reduced Dbf4-dependent kinase activity including those experiencing replicative stress.

INTRODUCTION

DNA replication initiates at multiple origins throughout chromosomes during the S phase of the cell cycle [1]. During G1, Cdt1 and Cdc6 load the MCM helicase complex on DNA at origins of replication bound by the Origin Recognition Complex (ORC). At the beginning of S phase, cyclindependent (CDK) and Dbf4-dependent (DDK) kinase activities promote the recruitment of other factors including Cdc45 and the GINS complex to replication origins as well as the activation of the MCM helicase. Melting of origin DNA resulting from MCM helicase activity allows the formation of two replication forks (RF) that travel in opposite directions along chromosomal DNA. Depending on the timing of their activation in S phase, eukaryotic origins are classified as early, mid, or late. Such sequential activation of origins has been shown to result from the recycling of limiting replication initiation factors from early to mid, and then to late replicating genomic regions[2,3]. While the above-described temporal organization of DNA replication is evolutionarily conserved among eukaryotes, its functional relevance is incompletely understood.

RF progression can be halted upon encountering DNA lesions induced by any among a multitude of environmental or endogenous genotoxins [4]. This engenders a state of replicative stress which can forestall completion of chromosomal duplication, thereby causing genomic instability. Stalled RF cause the activation of Mec1 (ATR in humans), the apical kinase of the intra-S phase checkpoint response in yeast [4]. Mec1 then promotes activation of the kinase Rad53 via one of two pathways dependent upon either the RF component Mrc1 or the adaptor Rad9 [5]. Mec1 and Rad53 subsequently phosphorylate a multitude of substrates to i) promote the stability of stalled RF, and ii) to prevent further activation of the key replication factors Dbf4 and Sld3, which prevents the activation of the MCM helicase at origins that have not yet fired [7]. Such inhibition of origin activity is thought to prevent the accumulation and eventual collapse of stalled RF during periods of genotoxic stress [8].

Histone post-translational modifications are critical determinants of DNA replication dynamics and origin activity [9,10]. Of interest here, histone lysine acetylation has been shown to both promote and inhibit origin activity depending on the identity of the modified residue and/or chromosomal context [11]. The sirtuin family of histone deacetylases is well-conserved throughout evolution, and several of its members influence DNA replication and repair [12]. The yeast

Saccharomyces cerevisiae possesses 5 sirtuins; the founding member, Sir2, and Homologues of Sir Two 1 through 4 (Hst1-4) [12]. Sir2 targets histone H4 lysine 16 acetylation (H4 K16ac) thereby repressing the firing of origins at the rDNA locus and telomeres [13,14]. Hst1, which can also target H4 K16ac, forms a complex with Sum1 and Rfm1 and positively regulates a subset of origins genome-wide [15,16]. While the impact of Hst2 on DNA replication has not been directly assessed, at least some of the functions of this sirtuin are known to be partially redundant with those of Sir2, as overexpressed Hst2 rescues gene silencing defects caused by $sir2\Delta$ [17,18].

The only known histone substrate of the redundant sirtuins Hst3 and Hst4 is acetylated H3 lysine 56 (H3 K56ac) [19]. This modification is catalysed by the acetyltransferase Rtt109 on newly synthesized histones H3 before their deposition onto nascent DNA during S-phase [20,21]. After completion of DNA replication, Hst3 and Hst4 remove H3 K56ac chromosome-wide such that most nucleosomes do not harbor H3 K56ac at the start of the next S phase. While under normal circumstances the bulk of H3 K56ac is removed by Hst3 during G2, Hst4 can compensate for its absence. As such, the stoichiometry of H3 K56ac approaches 100% throughout the cell cycle in *hst3*Δ *hst4*Δ double mutants [19,22]. Such constitutive H3 K56ac has been shown to cause spontaneous DNA damage, thermosensitivity, and increased sensitivity to genotoxins that cause replicative stress [19,23,24]. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the striking phenotypes of *hst3*Δ *hst4*Δ cells remain poorly understood.

Nicotinamide (NAM) is a non-competitive pan-inhibitor of sirtuins [25]. Our group previously performed genetic screens in *S. cerevisiae* with the goal of identifying genes whose homozygous deletion (i.e. complete loss-of-function) confers either fitness defects or advantages in response to NAM-induced sirtuin inhibition and consequent H3 K56 hyperacetylation [26]. These screens revealed that genes encoding regulators of the DNA replication stress response promote resistance to NAM-induced elevation in H3 K56ac caused by inhibition of Hst3 and Hst4 [26,27]. While the mechanisms are unclear, previous data indicate that cells lacking HST3 are defective in the maintenance of artificial chromosomes harboring a reduced number of DNA replication origins [28], further linking H3 K56ac with regulation of DNA replication dynamics. Here, we present the results of a genome-wide haploinsufficiency screen aimed at identifying genes modulating cell fitness in response to NAM-induced sirtuin inhibition. Overall, our results indicate that i) factors promoting DNA replication origin activation are critical for survival in the

absence of Hst3 and Hst4 activity, and ii) abnormal persistence of the acetylation of new histones deposited into chromatin during S phase compromises the activity of replication origins in yeast.

RESULTS

A genetic screen to identify genes modulating cellular fitness in response to NAM.

We performed a screen using the pooled strains of the heterozygote diploid yeast collection to identify haploinsufficient genes that affect cell fitness upon NAM-induced inhibition of sirtuins (Supplementary Table 1). Using a Z-score cut-off of +/- 2.58 (99% cumulative percentage), the screen identified 131 and 58 genes whose heterozygosity caused reduced or increased fitness, respectively, during propagation for 20 generations in YPD medium containing 41 mM NAM (Figure 1A). This list of genes presents only modest overlap with that obtained from our previously published screen using the homozygote deletion strain collection (Figure 1B), suggesting that most of the genes identified in the latter screen are not haploinsufficient with regards to NAM sensitivity. This lack of overlap between screens performed on the homozygous and heterozygous deletion collections is consistent with our previous observations [29]. Gene Ontology (GO) term analysis of genes whose heterozygosity sensitizes cells to NAM revealed an obvious enrichment in DNA replication and DNA damage response pathway, whereas terms reflecting proteasome-related and catabolic processes were associated with mutations promoting enhanced fitness upon NAM exposure (Figure 1C and Supplementary Tables 2-3).

We next sought to validate individual heterozygous mutations representing the main categories of "hits" identified in the screen. In these two-strains competitions, WT and heterozygote diploid mutant strains of interest were mixed in a 1:1 ratio and incubated for 20 generations in YPD +/- NAM. Appropriate dilutions of cells were then plated on YPD-agar +/-G418 and the ratio of the number of heterozygote mutant (G418-resistant) vs WT (G418-sensitive) colonies was calculated (Figure 1D). This competition analysis confirmed the expected impact of heterozygous mutation causing diminished cell fitness in NAM-containing medium, thereby validating our screening strategy. In contrast, significant improvement in growth was not observed for individual heterozygote mutants expected to elevate fitness (Supplementary Figure 1). We note that heterozygote mutations causing improved fitness in response to NAM displayed generally

lower absolute Z-scores than those reducing fitness (Figure 1A, Supplementary Table 1). This may reflect modest differences in growth that might be challenging to detect in 1:1 vs genome-wide competition. In view of the above considerations, we decided to focus on further characterization of genes whose heterozygosity reduced cell fitness in response to NAM.

Deregulation of DNA replication origin activity sensitizes cells to NAM

As mentioned previously, cells lacking Hst3 are defective in the maintenance of an artificial chromosome harboring reduced number of DNA replication origins, revealing a potential link between this sirtuin and origin activity whose mechanistic basis is unknown [28]. Interestingly, several essential DNA replication genes identified in the screen as promoting NAM resistance are involved in the assembly of the pre-replication complex (*ORC3, ORC5, CDC6* and *MCM4*) or regulation of origins activation (*CDC7, DBF4, SLD3* and *GLC7*). We chose to focus on the relationship between NAM sensitivity and the role of Cdc7-Dbf4 (DDK) in promoting origin activation. Consistent with results from the screen, haploid cells expressing hypomorphic temperature sensitive alleles of *DBF4* (*dbf4-1*) and *CDC7* (*cdc7-4*) are sensitized to NAM when incubated at the semi-permissive temperature of 30°C (Figure 2A). Our data also indicate that *bob1-1 cdc7*\Delta cells, which harbor a mutation in MCM5 that bypasses DDK-dependent MCM phosphorylation for origin activation [30], are not NAM-sensitive (Figure 2B), arguing that the MCM complex is likely to be the relevant target of DDK in this context. Overall, these results suggest that impaired activation of DNA replication origins sensitizes cells to NAM.

Rap1-Interacting Factor 1 (Rif1) acts in concert with the phosphatase Glc7 to reverse DDKdependent MCM phosphorylation, thereby inhibiting origin activation [31–34]. Moreover, a previous screen performed by our group identified *RIF1* among the few yeast genes whose homozygous deletion improved cell fitness in NAM-containing medium [26]. Interestingly, we found that NAM-induced growth defects and accumulation of cells in S phase is rescued by deletion of *RIF1* (Figure 2C and G). Moreover N-terminal truncation or mutations in the Glc7interacting motif (*rif1*-RVxF/SILK) of Rif1, both of which were previously shown to partially suppress the temperature sensitivity of *cdc7-4* mutants by eliminating Rif1 binding to Glc7 [33,35], also strongly suppressed the NAM sensitivity of *cdc7-4* cells (Figure 2C). Overall, these data are

consistent with the notion that Rif1/Glc7-dependent dephosphorylation sensitizes cells with reduced DDK activity to NAM.

We and others previously showed that NAM treatment causes replicative stress and DNA damage in yeast [19,26,27]. Since lack of MCM phosphorylation by DDK causes sensitivity to replicative stress-inducing drugs [36,37], we tested the impact of *RIF1* deletion on NAM-induced DNA damage. Compared to WT, *rif1* Δ cells presented reduced NAM-induced histone H2A S129 phosphorylation and Rad52-YFP foci formation (Figure 2D-E), two well-known markers of replicative stress-induced DNA damage [38,39]. Importantly, lack of Rif1 did not compromise the formation of ionizing radiation (IR)-induced Rad52 foci, which are not primarily caused by replication-associated DNA damage. We note that, in addition to its role in regulating DNA replication, Rif1 is known to limit telomere length by inhibiting telomerase activity [40]. Since we previously showed that cells with short telomeres are sensitive to NAM-induced sirtuin inhibition [27], we considered the possibility that abnormal telomere elongation in *rif1* Δ cells might favor NAM resistance. Contrary to this notion, deletion of *RIF1* suppressed NAM-induced growth and S phase progression defects in telomerase-defective *est2* Δ cells (Figure 2F), indicating that the role of Rif1 in modulating NAM sensitivity is independent of its influence on telomere length.

Lack of Hst3/Hst4 activity inhibits the initiation of DNA replication in *cdc7-4* cells in a Rif1dependent manner

We previously showed that NAM-induced DNA damage is attributable to inhibition of H3 K56ac deacetylation by Hst3 and Hst4 [26]. We therefore tested the impact of Rif1 on the phenotypes caused by lack of *HST3* and *HST4*. We found that deletion of *RIF1* rescues the temperature sensitivity of *hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ cells as well as the synthetic lethality of *hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ *sir2* Δ without affecting the levels of H3 K56ac (Figure 3A-B). We note that for unknown reasons *hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ cells are only temperature sensitive in S288C-derived genetic backgrounds and not in W303 (our unpublished observations; e.g., compare Figure 3A and 3G). Because of this, while most of the experiments involving *hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ were done in W303-derived strains, certain experiments including the one presented in Figure 3A were done in the BY4741 background (see Supplementary Table 4 for a description of the yeast strains used in each figure of this study).

We previously demonstrated that transient exposure to methyl methane sulfonate, an alkylating agent that generates DNA replication blocking lesions such as 3-methyl adenine, prevents timely completion of S phase in $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells [24]. DNA content flow cytometry analyses revealed that deletion of RIF1 noticeably rescued the S phase progression delay caused by transient MMS exposure in $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ double mutants (Figure 3C), consistent with the notion that Rif1 compromises DNA replication completion in these cells. Nevertheless, deletion of RIF1 did not rescue the sensitivity of $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ to MMS (Figure 3D), which is possibly due to the fact that Rif positively modulates the stability of stalled RF [41]. We also found that $rifl\Delta$ reduced spontaneous formation of Rad52 foci and histone H2A S129 phosphorylation in hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells (Figure 3E-F), indicating that, in the absence of exogenous replicative stress-inducing genotoxins, Rif1 activity causes DNA damage in Hst3/Hst4-deficient cells. The above data suggest that Rif1/Glc7-mediated reversal of DDK-dependent phosphorylation, and consequent inhibition of origins of DNA replication, contribute to the phenotypes of cells lacking Hst3 and Hst4. Consistent with this idea, deletion of HST3 and HST4 considerably exacerbated the temperature sensitivity of cdc7-4 mutant cells in a Rif1-dependent manner (Figure 3G), and rif1A diminished H2A S129 phosphorylation in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ mutants (Figure 3F). Deletion of either HST3 or HST4 alone did not increase the temperature sensitivity of cdc7-4 (Figure 3H), in accord with the known functional redundancy of Hst3/4 with respects to histone deacetylation [19,22].

We next evaluated DNA replication dynamics in cdc7-4 cells lacking Hst3/Hst4. cdc7-4 $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells displayed strong Rif1-dependent S phase progression inhibition at the semipermissive temperature of 30°C compared to either $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ or cdc7-4 (Figure 4A). As expected, this phenotype was rescued by deletion of *RIF1* (Figure 4A) or expression of plasmidborne copy of *HST3* (Figure 4B). The observed DNA replication defect does not appear to result from compromised release from alpha factor-mediated G1 arrest, since asynchronously growing cdc7-4 $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells accumulated in early S when incubated at 30°C (Figure 4C). Moreover, the budding index of cdc7-4 $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells released from alpha factor-mediated G1 block toward S phase at 30°C was comparable to that of cdc7-4 cells (\approx 80%) even though the former cells present barely detectable S phase progression (Figure 4D-E). The above data indicate that cdc7-4 $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ can enter S phase at the semi-permissive temperature but fail to synthesize DNA efficiently.

The very limited extent of DNA synthesis observed in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ vs cdc7-4 cells raised the possibility that activation of early replication origins might be compromised in the absence of Hst3 and Hst4. Using quantitative PCR, we found that, compared to cdc7-4 mutants, duplication of DNA was inhibited at multiple early origins in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ vs cdc7-4 cells 30 minutes after release from alpha factor arrest at 30°C (Figure 4F). This represents a strong delay in origin activation rather than permanent inhibition since cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells eventually initiate replication and complete S phase when incubated for longer periods at 30°C (240 minutes post-release from alpha factor arrest; Figure 4G-H). To independently confirm the above results, we used a previously described method based on alkaline gel electrophoresis and southern blotting to detect nascent DNA formation at the efficient early origin ARS305 [6]. Strikingly, the results indicate that minimal nascent DNA was formed at this origin within 1 h of release from alpha factor arrest at 30°C in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells when compared to cdc7-4 mutants (Figure 4I). Taken together, the results reveal that lack of Hst3/4 activity compromises the activation of origins of replication in cells presenting reduced DDK activity.

Inhibition of origin activity is not due to intra S phase checkpoint activation in *cdc7-4 hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ cells

One of the key roles of intra S phase checkpoint signalling is to limit the activation of origins in response to replication stress [6,42], which occurs in part via negative regulation of DDK activity depending on the phosphorylation of Dbf4 by Rad53 [7]. Cells lacking Hst3/4 activity are well-known to present spontaneous DNA damage and constitutive activation of Rad53 [19,23,24,26,27]. We therefore sought to evaluate if the striking S phase progression delay observed in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells is caused by elevated Rad53 activation at the semi-permissive temperature of cdc7-4 (30°C). While cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells constitutively present some Rad53 phosphorylation, no detectable elevation in Rad53 phosphorylation-induced electrophoretic mobility shift was observed upon release of cells from alpha factor arrest toward S phase at 30°C, either in the presence or absence of the replication-blocking genotoxin hydroxyurea (HU; Figure 5A-B). This result is consistent with the notion that few if any RF are progressing in these conditions (Figure 4F, I). This, in turn, is expected to reduce the number of stalled replication forks

in either HU-treated or untreated conditions thereby compromising the activation of intra S phase checkpoint kinases.

Mrc1 and Rad9 are key mediators of intra S phase checkpoint activation [5]. We found that deletion of RAD9 led to modestly increased S phase progression, but only at later time points, in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells (Figure 5C). This suggests that Rad9 might contribute to the maintenance rather that the establishment of the replication defects observed in cdc7-4 hst 3Δ hst 4Δ cells over longer time periods. In contrast, expression of a mutated allele of Mrc1 (Mrc1-AQ) which compromises its role in the intra S phase checkpoint [43] does not detectably improved S phase progression in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells even at later time points (Figure 5D). We further found that inhibition of Mec using caffeine [44,45] completely abrogated Rad53 phosphorylation, as expected, but did not reverse the strong inhibition of DNA replication progression of cdc7-4 hst 3Δ *hst4* Δ mutants (Figure 5E-F). Importantly, caffeine exposure did not prevent *cdc7-4* cells from completing DNA replication at 30°C (Figure 5F). Expression of Dbf4 and Sld3 variants that cannot be phosphorylated by Rad53 was previously shown to abrogate intra S phase checkpointdependent inhibition of origin activity in yeast [7]. We found that introducing such mutated alleles of DBF4 and SLD3 in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells does not alleviate their S phase progression defects at 30°C (Figure 5G). Taken together, the data argue that intra S phase checkpoint activity does not underlie the incapacity of cdc7-4 hst 3Δ hst 4Δ mutants to initiate DNA replication in a timely manner when incubated at the semi-permissive temperature for cdc7-4.

Constitutive histone H3 lysine 56 acetylation causes replication defects in cdc7-4 cells

Constitutive acetylation of histone H3 lysine 56 (H3 K56ac) was previously shown to cause most of the phenotypes associated with $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ mutants, including their temperature and DNA damage sensitivity [19,22,23]. H3 K56ac strictly depends on the Rtt109 histone acetyltransferase [46]. We found that deletion of *RTT109* rescued DNA replication progression and growth of *cdc7-*4 $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells at semi-permissive temperatures for *cdc7-4* (between 28°C and 30°C; Figure 6A-C). We next sought to directly implicate H3 K56ac in the phenotypes of *cdc7-4* $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells by expressing mutant histone H3 in which lysine 56 has been replaced by a non-acetylable arginine residue (H3 K56R). We tried to engineer appropriate histone mutant strains by deleting both copies of the genes encoding histone H3 (*HHT1* and *HHT2*) and expressing one copy of the

HHT1 gene with or without a mutation resulting in expression of the H3 K56R variant. We failed to generate either an H3 WT- or H3 K56R-expressing cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ strain using the standard method described above, suggesting that abnormal histone gene copy number may be lethal in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells. We therefore replaced only *HHT1* by either WT or K56R alleles and left the endogenous copy of HHT2 intact to reduce H3 K56ac levels without changing histone gene dosage (Figure 6D-E). This strategy produced viable cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ strains in which H3 K56ac is either unchanged or noticeably reduced (Figure 6D-E). Strikingly, we observed a strong rescue of the temperature sensitivity of cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ upon expression of H3 K56R (compared to control cells expressing a WT version of H3; Figure 6D). Taken together, the above results indicate that constitutive Rtt109-dependent H3 K56ac inhibits growth and DNA replication in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells.

Genetic and biochemical data indicate that Rtt109 and H3K56ac act at least in part by modulating the activity of a ubiquitin ligase complex composed of the Rtt101, Mms1 and Mms22 subunits [47–50]. Indeed, deletion of the genes encoding subunits of this complex is known to partially suppress the phenotypes of $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells [47], although the precise mechanisms linking constitutive acetylation of nucleosomal H3 K56ac and the Rtt101/Mms1/Mms22 complex remain elusive. We found that deletion of either *RTT101* or *MMS1* suppresses the synthetic temperature sensitivity of cdc7-4 $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ mutant cells. We were unable to generate cdc7-4 $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ mms22 Δ cells, suggesting that yet to be determined synthetic interactions between these loci prevent viability. Nevertheless, our results implicate Rtt101-Mms1-containing complexes in H3 K56ac-dependent modulation of DNA replication origin dynamics.

DISCUSSION

New histones are acetylated on several lysine residues which promotes efficient assembly of nucleosomes on replicated DNA [51–53]. In yeast, virtually all new histones H3 are acetylated on K56, leading to a genome-wide wave of histone acetylation at this residue during S phase [19,46]. H3 K56ac promotes timely formation of new nucleosomes by favoring the interaction of new histones with the chromatin assembly factors CAF1 and Rtt106 [51]. While this "pre-deposition" function of H3 K56ac in nucleosome assembly is well-established, several observations indicate that H3 K56ac plays other biological roles following its incorporation into chromatin. Indeed, lack

of nucleosomal H3 K56ac deacetylation in $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells causes sensitivity to replicationblocking drugs and poor growth, suggesting that chromatin-associated H3 K56ac influences the cellular response to replicative stress [22,23]. Moreover, cells have evolved elaborate mechanisms to degrade Hst3 in response to genotoxin exposure during S phase [54,55], suggesting that the ensuing persistence of H3 K56ac may somehow be beneficial to the DNA damage response. Nevertheless, while several cellular pathways have been genetically linked to nucleosomal H3 K56ac [23,24,26,27,47], the molecular basis of the sensitivity of $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ mutants to replicative stress, as well as the role of H3 K56ac persistence after DNA damage, remain poorly understood.

We previously showed that NAM elevates H3K56ac, thereby causing DNA damage and replicative stress similar to the situation observed for $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells [26]. In accord with this, the screen presented here reveals that a large proportion of genes whose heterozygous inactivation confer NAM sensitivity participate in DNA replication. Our data further indicate that i) reduced activity of Cdc7 and Dbf4 causes growth defects in the presence of NAM, and ii) firing of early/efficient origins of DNA replication is strongly diminished in cdc7-4 hst 3Δ hst 4Δ cells at the semi-permissive temperature for cdc7-4. Deletion of RIF1 suppressed the inability of cdc7-4 hst3 Δ $hst4\Delta$ to initiate replication and grow at the semi-permissive temperature of cdc7-4, in accord with the known role of Rif1 in promoting Glc7-dependent dephosphorylation of MCM complexes thereby inhibiting origin activation [33]. Moreover, lack of Rif1 also suppressed NAM-induced DNA damage, as well as the temperature sensitivity, spontaneous DNA damage, and elevated frequency of Rad52 foci in $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells. Finally, we note that homozygous deletion of RIF1 was found to confer improved fitness in response to NAM in our previously published screen [26]. The above data are intriguing in light of the fact that Rif1 has been reported to promote the stability of stalled RF [41], which suggests that the contribution of limited origin activity to the phenotypes caused by elevated H3 K56ac overrides the negative impact of $rifl\Delta$ on replicative stress responses. We also note that published reports indicating that cells lacking Hst3 are unable to maintain a yeast chromosome harboring a reduced number of replication origins, which supports the notion that elevated H3 K56ac negatively influences the ability of cells to replicate their chromosomes under conditions where the number of active origins is limited [28,56].

It is now well-known that histone acetylation and chromatin structure influences DNA replication origin firing efficacy and timing of activation during S phase [10,57]. For example,

cells lacking the histone deacetylase Sir2 exhibit elevated origin activation at the ribosomal DNA locus and in subtelomeric regions [13,58]. Targeting the GCN5 acetyltransferase to an origin was also shown to favor its activation, while deletion of the Rpd3 deacetylase caused late origins to fire earlier in S phase [59]. While in the above-mentioned examples hyperacetylation was shown to promote origin activity, in contrast to hyperacetylation of H3 K56ac, elevated histone acetylation has also been reported to exert a negative influence on origin activity. For example, Sir2 and Rpd3 had opposite effects on the activation of origins located within the rDNA array [11], clearly indicating that histone acetylation can either promote or inhibit origin activity depending on chromosomal context.

RF stalling activates the kinases Mec1 and Rad53, which then phosphorylate a multitude of substrates to effect the various roles of the intra-S phase checkpoint [60]. Rad53 phosphorylates the replication proteins Dbf4 and Sld3 to inhibit the firing of replication origins which have not yet been activated [7]. In apparent contrast to our results, a previously published report revealed that $hst3\Delta$ hst4 Δ cells present elevated activation of late origins in cells released from G1 toward S phase in medium containing the replication-blocking ribonucleotide reductase inhibitor HU [11]. However, this was not specific to $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells; indeed, such effect was found to be an indirect consequence of elevated spontaneous DNA damage and constitutive Rad53 activity in various replicative stress response mutants, leading to Rad53-dependent elevation of dNTP pools and consequent HU-resistant DNA replication progression [61]. On the other hand, several observations presented here indicate that constitutive H3 K56ac can influence origin firing in a Rad53-independent manner. First, cdc7-4 hst 3Δ hst 4Δ cells do not display elevated Rad53 phosphorylation when released from G1 arrest toward S phase at the semi-permissive temperature for cdc7-4. Secondly, mutations or treatments that compromise Rad53 activation, or the ability of this kinase to phosphorylate Sld3 and Dbf4, do not rescue defective initiation of replication in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ mutants. Taken together, the above data argue that the impact of constitutive H3 K56ac on origin activity does not require prior replication fork stalling and ensuing Rad53 activity, and that this histone modification can act before widespread initiation of replication origins in early S phase.

While our data argue that elevated H3 K56ac inhibits DNA replication initiation in a Rad53-independent manner, our results indicate that it does so only under conditions that reduce

DDK activity. Paradoxically, such conditions are met upon activation of the intra S phase checkpoint response; it is therefore expected that H3 K56ac-dependent inhibition of origins would contribute to S phase progression defects in $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells only once RF emanating from early origins have been stalled, leading to Rad53 activation and consequent reduction of DDK activity. In other words, constitutive H3 K56ac and Rad53 activation are expected to act synergistically to inhibit late origin firing during genotoxic stress, thus interfering with the ability of cells to complete DNA replication. Consistent with this notion, we and others previously showed that i) $hst3\Delta$ hst4 Δ cells cannot complete DNA replication in a timely manner after transient exposure to genotoxic drugs during S phase [24], ii) hst 3Δ hst 4Δ cells present strong and persistent activation of Rad53 upon DNA damage [23,24,26], iii) limiting Rad53 activation partially rescues the phenotypes of $hst3\Delta$ hst4 Δ mutants [23,24,26,62], and iv) elevating the firing of late origins of replication by overexpression of Cdc45, Sld3 and Sld7 can rescue certain phenotypes caused by constitutive H3 K56ac [27,62]. Our data also show that deletion of RAD9 partially rescues defective S phase progression in cdc7-4 hst 3Δ hst 4Δ cells, but only at later time points. It is plausible that eventual initiation of a limited number of RF may cause spontaneous activation of Rad53 under these conditions, which in turn might maintain S phase progression defects caused by constitutive H3 K56ac over long periods of time. The combined negative effects of Rad53 activation and elevated H3 K56ac on origin activity is expected to force RF to travel unusually long distances before encountering a converging fork. Consequently, a substantial fraction of persistently stalled RF will not be "rescued" by converging forks, leading to under-replicated chromosomal regions, RF collapse, DNA damage, and eventual arrest in G2/M, which are all observed in *hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ cells [12,19,23,24].

The mechanism linking H3 K56ac to origin activity is currently unknown. As mentioned previously, Mec1 activation promotes degradation of Hst3, which causes H3 K56ac to persist during late S and G2 [54,55]. It is possible that such persistence might contribute to Mec1- and Rad53-dependent inhibition of replication origins. Interestingly, we demonstrated that deletion of *RTT101* or *MMS1*, which encode subunits of a ubiquitin ligase complex previously genetically linked to H3 K56ac [47,48], rescues the synthetic temperature sensitivity of *hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ *cdc7-4*. Since deletion of either *RTT101* or *MMS1* does not influence H3 K56ac levels [47], it is likely that the effect of H3 K56ac is not strictly dependent on modulation of chromatin structure at origins. Rtt101 recruitment to chromatin upon DNA damage was shown to depend at least partly on the

H3 K56 acetyltransferase Rtt109 [50]. Moreover, Mms1 has been reported to interact directly with the Origin Recognition Complex subunit Orc5 [63], although the biological relevance of this interaction is unclear. The above considerations raise the possibility that DNA damage-induced persistence of H3 K56ac might modulate Rtt101/Mms1 activity, leading to regulation of Orc5-bound origins. Further experiments will be required to test the validity of such model, and to precisely ascertain the mechanistic basis of the impact of H3 K56ac on DNA replication dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

H. W. is the recipient of a Chercheur-boursier Sénior scholarship from Fonds de la Recherche du Québec-Santé (award #281795; https://frq.gouv.qc.ca). This work was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant and Discovery Accelerator Supplement (RGPIN-2019-05082, RGPAS-2019-00009; https://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca) and by a Fonds de la Recherche du Québec-Nature et Technologies grant (2018-PR-206098; https://frq.gouv.qc.ca) to H.W. C. N. is supported by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation (CFI; https://www.innovation.ca/) and is a Canada Research Chairs (CRC; https://www.chairs-chaires.gc.ca/) Tier 1 Chair. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. We thank Dr David Shore (Université de Genève) for providing yeast strains, Dr Alain Verreault (Université de Montréal) for the generous gift of anti-H3K56ac and anti-H2A S129-P antibodies, and Dr Elliot A. Drobetsky (Université de Montréal) for critical reading of the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests exist.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Yeast strains and growth conditions. Yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table 1 and were generated and propagated using standard yeast genetics methods. Yeast strains used in Supplementary Figure 1 were taken from the heterozygote yeast deletion collection

(ThermoFisher) For nicotinamide (NAM) treatments, asynchronously growing cells were centrifuged and resuspended at 0.01-0.1 OD/mL in YPD or synthetic medium containing 20 mM NAM (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were incubated on a shaker for indicated time. Cells synchronization in G1 was performed by incubating MATa yeasts in medium containing 2 μ g/mL alpha-factor for 90 minutes followed by the addition of a second dose of 2 μ g/mL of alpha-factor for another 75 minutes. Cells were then washed once in YPD or synthetic medium and released in S phase in medium supplemented with 5 μ g/mL pronase (Protease from *Streptomyces griseus*, Sigma-Aldrich). For ionizing irradiation, exponentially growing cells were exposed to 40 Gy followed by a 60-minute incubation at 30°C prior to sample collection. For methyl methane sulfonate (MMS) treatment, cells were first synchronized in G1 using alpha factor, then incubated in YPD containing 0.01% MMS (Sigma -Aldrich) and 5 μ g/mL pronase at a density of 1 OD₆₃₀/mL for 60 minutes. After treatment, cells were washed twice with YPD containing 2.5% sodium thiosulfate (Bioshop), followed by incubation in YPD. Caffeine (Sigma-Aldrich) was used at a concentration of 0.15%.

Strain			
name	Genotype	Figure	Reference
	BY4743 MATa/α his3d1/his3d1 leu2d0/leu2d0		
AE54	LYS2/lys2d0 met15d0/MET15 ura3d0/ura3d0	1D	This study
BW55	BY4743 MATa/α <i>ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 his3Δ1 lys2Δ0/LYS+</i> <i>met15Δ0/MET15+ can1Δ::LEU2+-MFA1pr-</i> <i>HIS3/CAN1+ cdc6Δ::KANMX/CDC6</i>	1D	Het. Diploid yeast collection
BW57	BY4743 MATa/α <i>ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 his3Δ1 lys2Δ0/LYS+</i> <i>met15Δ0/MET15+ can1Δ::LEU2+-MFA1pr-</i> <i>HIS3/CAN1+ cdc7Δ::KANMX/CDC7</i>	1D	Het. Diploid yeast collection
BW65	BY4743 MATa/α <i>ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 his3Δ1 lys2Δ0/LYS+</i> <i>met15Δ0/MET15+ can1Δ::LEU2+-MFA1pr-</i> <i>HIS3/CAN1+ dbf4Δ::KANMX/DBF4</i>	1D	Het. Diploid yeast collection
BW69	BY4743 MATa/α ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 his3Δ1 lys2Δ0/LYS+ met15Δ0/MET15+ can1Δ::LEU2+-MFA1pr- HIS3/CAN1+ orc5Δ::KANMX/ORC5	1D	Het. Diploid yeast collection

TABLE 1. Yeast strains used in this study

			Het.
	BY4743 MATa/ α ura3 Δ 0 leu2 Δ 0 his3 Δ 1 lys2 Δ 0/LYS+		Diploid
BW61	met15 Δ 0/MET15+ can1 Δ ::LEU2+-MFA1pr-		1
	HIS3/CAN1+ rfa14::KANMX/RFA1	1D	yeast
	<i>.</i>	1D	collection
	BY4743 MATa/α <i>ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 his3Δ1 lys2Δ0/LYS</i> +		Het.
BW63	$met15\Delta 0/MET15 + can1\Delta$::LEU2+-MFA1pr-		Diploid
D 11 03	$HIS3/CAN1 + rfa2\Delta$::KANMX/RFA2		yeast
	11155/CALVI + 1/u22KALVINA/KI 1/2	1D	collection
	$DV4742 MAT_{0}/a = 240 low 240 hig 241 hig 240/LVS +$		Het.
DW50	BY4743 MATa/ α ura3 $\Delta 0$ leu2 $\Delta 0$ his3 $\Delta 1$ lys2 $\Delta 0/LYS+$		Diploid
BW59	$met15\Delta0/MET15+ can1\Delta::LEU2+-MFA1pr-$		yeast
	HIS3/CAN1+sld3A::KANMX/SLD3	1D	collection
			Het.
	BY4743 MATa/ α ura3 Δ 0 leu2 Δ 0 his3 Δ 1 lys2 Δ 0/LYS+		Diploid
BX4	met15 Δ 0/MET15+ can1 Δ ::LEU2+-MFA1pr-		yeast
	HIS3/CAN1+slx4 <i>∆</i> ::KANMX/SLX4	1D	collection
			Het.
	BY4743 MATa/α $ura3\Delta 0 leu2\Delta 0 his3\Delta 1 lys2\Delta 0/LYS+$		
BX6	met15 Δ 0/MET15+ can1 Δ ::LEU2+-MFA1pr-		Diploid
	HIS3/CAN1+ pph3A::KANMX/PPH3	15	yeast
	11	1D	collection
	BY4743 MATa/α <i>ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 his3Δ1 lys2Δ0/LYS</i> +		Het.
BW79	$met15\Delta0/MET15+ can1\Delta::LEU2+-MFA1pr-$		Diploid
Duit	$HIS3/CAN1 + yku70\Delta$::KANMX/YKU70		yeast
	11155/CAIVI + yku/02KAIVIMX/1K0/0	1D	collection
	$PV4742 MAT_{0}/g ung 240 low 240 hig 241 hig 240/LVS =$		Het.
BW81	BY4743 MATa/ α ura3 $\Delta 0$ leu2 $\Delta 0$ his3 $\Delta 1$ lys2 $\Delta 0/LYS+$		Diploid
BW81	$met15\Delta0/MET15+ can1\Delta::LEU2+-MFA1pr-$		yeast
	HIS3/CAN1+ srs24::KANMX/SRS2	1D	collection
			Het.
	BY4743 MATa/ α ura3 Δ 0 leu2 Δ 0 his3 Δ 1 lys2 Δ 0/LYS+		Diploid
BW73	met15 Δ 0/MET15+ can1 Δ ::LEU2+-MFA1pr-		yeast
	HIS3/CAN1+ taf5 <i>A</i> ::KANMX/TAF5	1D	collection
			Het.
	BY4743 MATa/ α ura3 Δ 0 leu2 Δ 0 his3 Δ 1 lys2 Δ 0/LYS+		Diploid
BW75	met15 Δ 0/MET15+ can1 Δ ::LEU2+-MFA1pr-		1
	HIS3/CAN1+ taf12A::KANMX/TAF12		yeast
	-	1D	collection
AV46	W303 MATa ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-		511 643
10	$11,15 \text{ ura3d } GAL \text{ psi+} bar1\Delta GAL-MHT$	2A	[11,64]
AV49	W303 MATa ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-		
	11,15 ura3d GAL psi+ bar1∆ dbf4-1 GAL-MHT	2A	[11,64]
BY47	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
	trp1-1 can1-100	2A-2C	This study
DV 40	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
BY49	trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4	2A-2C	This study
DV74	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
BY/D	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 bob1-1</i>	2B	This study
			1110 50000

	$W_{202} MAT_{2} = d_{2} 2 1 m_{2}^{2} 2 1 h_{2}^{2} 2 11 15 h_{2}^{2} 2 112$		
BY77	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112	2B	This study
	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 bob1-1 cdc7∆::HIS3</i> W303 MATa <i>ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112</i>	2D, 3B-D, 3F-	This study
CD28	<i>trp1-1 can1-100</i>	20, 36-0, 36- Н, 4А, 5А-С	This study
		3F-H, 4A, 4C-	
CD30	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112	I, 5A-G, 6A-C,	
CD30	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4</i>	6F	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112	2D, 3B-D, 3F-	This study
CD31	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 rif1d::NATMX</i>	G, 4A	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112	0, 4/1	This study
CD32	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 rif1d::NATMX</i>	3F-G, 4A	This study
	W303 MATα <i>ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112</i>	51 0, 41	This study
BY51	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 rif1d::NATMX</i>	2C	This study
	W303 MATα <i>ade</i> 2-1 <i>ura</i> 3-1 <i>his</i> 3-11,15 <i>leu</i> 2-3-112	20	This study
BY53	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 rif1d::NATMX</i>	2C	This study
DVCC	W303 MATa <i>ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112</i>	-	<u> </u>
BX29	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 rif1d2-176-13MYC::HIS3MX6</i>	2C	[35]
DV00	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
BX33	trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 rif1-RVxF/SILK	2C	[35]
000	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
G26	trp1-1 can1-100 ADE2 RAD5 RAD52-YFP	2E	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
BI43	trp1-1 can1-100 ADE2 RAD5 RAD52-YFP		
	rif1d::URA3MX	2E	This study
AZ61	BY4743 MATa/α <i>ura3Δ0/ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0/leu2Δ0</i>		
AZ01	$his3\Delta 1/his3\Delta 1 \ est2d::HPHMXMX/EST2$	2F-G	This study
	BY4743 MATa/α <i>ura3Δ0/ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0/leu2Δ0</i>		
BL35	his3∆1/his3∆1 est2d::HPHMXMX/EST2		
	rif1d::URA3MX/RIF1	2F-G	This study
	BY4743 MATa <i>ura3∆0 leu2∆0 his3∆1</i>		
	hst3 <i>A</i> ::HPHMXMX hst4 <i>A</i> ::NATMX [pCEN-		
BB35	HST3::URAJ	3A	This study
	BY4743 MATa $ura3\Delta 0 \ leu2\Delta 0 \ his3\Delta 1$		
D120	hst3 <i>A</i> ::HPHMXMX hst4 <i>A</i> ::NATMX sir2 <i>A</i> ::KANMX	2 4	
BJ38	$rif1\Delta$::HIS3MX [pCEN-HST3::URA]	3A	This study
	BY4743 MATa $ura3\Delta 0 leu2\Delta 0 his3\Delta 1$		
BJ41	hst3 <i>A</i> ::HPHMXMX hst4 <i>A</i> ::NATMX sir2 <i>A</i> ::KANMX	2 4	This study.
DJ41	<i>[pCEN-HST3::URA]</i> BY4743 MATa <i>ura3∆0 leu2∆0 his3∆1</i>	3A	This study
	$hst3\Delta$::HPHMXMX hst4 Δ ::NATMX rif1 Δ ::HIS3MX		
BJ42	pCEN-HST3::URA]	3A	This study
DJ+2	W303 MATa <i>ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112</i>		This study
CF56	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 rtt109L</i> :: <i>KANMX6</i>	3B, 6A, 6C	This study
	W303 MATa <i>ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112</i>	3B-D, 3F-G,	ins study
CD29	$trp1-1 can1-100 hst3\Delta$::HIS5+ $hst4\Delta$::KANMX	4A, 5A-C, 6A	This study
	191 1 Canti 100 115152	$111, 511 \cup, 011$	This study

		3B-D, 3F-H,	
CD33	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112	4A-I, 5A-G,	
CD35	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 hst3∆::HIS5+ hst4∆::KANMX cdc7-4</i>	4A-1, 5A-0, 6A-F	This study
	BY4741 MATa $ura3\Delta 0 \ leu2\Delta 0 \ his3\Delta 1 \ met15\Delta 0$	UA-I	
CC60		20	This study.
CC00	Rad52-GFP::HIS3MX	3E	This study
000	BY4741 MATa $ura3\Delta 0 \ leu 2\Delta 0 \ his 3\Delta 1 \ met 15\Delta 0$	20	This starter
CC62	Rad52-GFP::HIS3MX rif1A::KANMX	3E	This study
	BY4741 MATa $ura3\Delta 0 \ leu2\Delta 0 \ his3\Delta 1 \ met15\Delta 0$		
00(4	Rad52-GFP::HIS3MX hst3∆::HPHMXMX		T 1 · 1
CC64	hst4A::NATMX	3E	This study
	BY4741 MATa $ura3\Delta 0 \ leu2\Delta 0 \ his 3\Delta 1 \ met 15\Delta 0$		
9944	Rad52-GFP::HIS3MX hst3A::HPHMXMX	25	
CC66	hst4 <i>Δ</i> ::NATMX rif1 <i>Δ</i> ::KANMX	3E	This study
~~ ~ ~	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
CD35	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 hst3∆</i> :: <i>HIS5+ hst4∆</i> :: <i>KANMX cdc7-4</i>		
	<i>rif1∆::NATMX</i>	3F-G, 4A	This study
			From
K11	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		Alain
1111	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 hst3∆</i> :: <i>HIS5</i> +		Verreault's
		3H	lab
CB63	W303 MATα ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
CD05	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 hst3Δ</i> :: <i>HIS5+</i>	3Н	This study
CH64	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
01104	trp1-1 can1-100 hst4∆::KANMX	3H	This study
CH67	W303 MATα ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
01107	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 hst4∆</i> ::KANMX cdc7-4	3H	This study
K13	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
K15	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 hst3∆::HIS5+ hst4∆::KANMX</i>	3F, 6C	[19]
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
CA15	trp1-1 can1-100 hst3∆::HIS5+ hst4∆::KANMX		
	<i>rif1∆::NATMX</i>	3F, 4A	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
CF50	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 hst3∆</i> :: <i>HIS5+ hst4∆</i> :: <i>KANMX cdc7-4</i>		
	[pCEN-HST3-URA3]	4B	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
CF48	trp1-1 can1-100 rad9 Δ ::HPHMXMX hst3 Δ ::HIS5+		
	hst4 <i>\Delta</i> ::KANMX	5C	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
CF23	trp1-1 can1-100 rad9∆::HPHMX cdc7-4	5C	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
	trp1-1 can1-100 rad9∆::HPHMX cdc7-4		
CF26	$hst3\Delta$::HIS5+ $hst4\Delta$::KANMX	5C	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		•
	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 mrc1_::HIS5+ pRS405-</i>		
	mrc1aq::LEU2	1	1

	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
	trp1-1 can1-100 hst3∆::HIS5+ hst4∆::KANMX		
CG27	$mrc1\Delta$:: $HIS5+[pRS405-mrc1aq::LEU2]$	5D	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
	trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 hst3 Δ ::HIS5+ hst4 Δ ::KANMX		
CG23	$mrc1\Delta$::HIS5+ [pRS405-mrc1aq::LEU2]	5D	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
	trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 hst3 Δ ::HIS5+ hst4 Δ ::KANMX		
	dbf4A::TRP1 his3::PDBF4-dbf4-4A::HIS3 sld3-38A-		
CH44	10his-13MYC::KANMX4	5G	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		¥
	trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 dbf4∆::TRP1 his3::PDBF4-		
CG53	dbf4 4A::HIS3 sld3-38A-10his-13MYC::KANMX4	5G	This study
	W303 MATα ade2-1 ura3-52 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		ž
W43	trp1-1 can1-100	6A-C	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
CD78	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 cdc7-4 rtt109Δ</i> ::KANMX	6A-C	This study
	W303 MATa <i>ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112</i>	011 0	
CG14	$trp1-1 can1-100 hst3\Delta$::HIS5+ $hst4\Delta$::KANMX cdc7-4		
014	rtt109/::KANMX	6A-C	This study
	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		1 mis staay
	$trp1-1$ can1-100 hht1-hhf1 Δ ::LEU2 trp1::HHT1-		
CH73	$HHF1::TRP1 hst3\Delta::HIS5+ hst4\Delta::KANMX cdc7-4$	6D-E	This study
011/0	W303 MATα <i>ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112</i>	02 2	1 mis staay
	$trp1-1$ can1-100 hht1-hhf1 Δ ::LEU2 trp1::HHT1		
	$K56R-HHF1::TRP1 hst3\Delta::HIS5+hst4\Delta::KANMX$		
CH72	cdc7-4	6D-E	This study
011/2	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112	02 2	
	$trp1-1$ can1-100 hht1-hhf1 Δ ::LEU2 hht2-		
B52	hhf2 <i>A</i> ::KANMX3 trp1::HHT1-HHF1::TRP1	6E	[55]
202	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112	02	
	$trp1-1$ can1-100 hht1-hhf1 Δ ::LEU2 hht2-		
B51	hhf2 Δ ::KANMX3 trp1::HHT1 K56R-HHF1::TRP1	6E	[55]
201	W303 MATα <i>ade</i> 2-1 <i>ura</i> 3-1 <i>his</i> 3-11,15 <i>leu</i> 2-3-112	02	[00]
CH59	<i>trp1-1 can1-100 rtt101\Delta::URA3MX cdc7-4</i>	6F	This study
eney	W303 MATα <i>ade</i> 2-1 <i>ura</i> 3-1 <i>his</i> 3-11,15 <i>leu</i> 2-3-112	01	This study
	$trp1-1 can1-100 rtt101\Delta::URA3MX hst3\Delta::HIS5+$		
CH55	hst42::KANMX cdc7-4	6F	This study
01133	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		ins study
CH68	$trp1-1 can1-100 mms1\Delta$:: URA3MX cdc7-4	6F	This study
C1100	W303 MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3-112		
	$trp1-1 can1-100 mms1\Delta::URA3MX hst3\Delta::HIS5+$		
CH71	hst42::KANMX cdc7-4	6F	This study
CII/I	1151721. AANIMA CUC/-4	UI.	

Genome-wide fitness screen. The heterozygote diploid yeast fitness screen was realized as described [65–67]. Briefly, pools of the yeast hetetozygote diploid deletion mutant collection (BY4743 background) were incubated at 30°C in YPD +/- 41 mM NAM. Cells were collected after 20 generations. PCR reactions were performed on extracted DNA to amplify sequence barcodes, and products were used to probe high-density oligonucleotide Affymetrix TAG4 DNA microarrays. Hybridization, washing, staining, scanning and intensity values calculation were performed as described [65–67]. For Z-score calculation, the intensity value of each mutant was divided by the standard deviation. Gene ontology (GO) Term Finder tool was used from the Saccharomyces Genome Database to identify cellular processes affected by NAM treatment [68,69]. Processes identified were considered significant if P-values ≤ 0.01 . REViGO was used to summarized significant GO term identified by removing redundant ones [70]. Top 1% genes (Z-score > 2.58 or < -2.58) were compared to a previously published screen (performed on homozygote diploid mutants [26]) using Venn diagrams.

Competitive growth assay

 0.0005 OD_{630} of heterozygous deletion and WT diploid yeast cultures were mixed and incubated in YPD +/- 41 mM NAM at 25°C in a 96-well plate. Throughout the incubation, OD₆₃₀ were taken and cells were diluted appropriately to prevent saturation of the culture. After 20 generations, 0.01 OD₆₃₀ of cells was spread on YPD-agar +/- G418 plates. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 48 h and colonies were then counted. The following formula was used to describe growth +/- NAM:

((NAM:G418)/(NAM:YPD))/((YPD:G418)/(YPD:YPD))

NAM:G418 is the number of colonies from cells that were grown in YPD + 41 mM NAM and then plated on YPD + 200 μ g/mL G418. NAM:YPD is the number of colonies from cells grown on YPD + 41 mM NAM and then plated on YPD. YPD:G418 is the number of colonies from cells grown on YPD and then plated on YPD + 200 μ g/mL G418. YPD:YPD is the number of colonies from cells grown on YPD and then plated on YPD.

Yeast growth assays. For growth in liquid medium, cells were grown to saturation in YPD in a 96-well plate. Cells were then diluted in fresh medium to 0.0005 OD_{630} /ml in 100 µL of YPD containing appropriate concentrations of NAM (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were then incubated at the indicated temperature for 48-72 h. OD_{630} was then determined using a Biotek EL800 plate reader equipped with Gen5 version 1.05 software. Wells containing YPD were used as blanks. For spot growth assays on solid media, cells were grown in YPD in a 96-well plate to equivalent OD_{630} . Cells were serially diluted 1:5 and spotted on medium containing nicotinamide (Sigma-Aldrich), methyl methane sulfonate (Sigma-Aldrich), hydroxyurea (BioBasics), or 5-Fluoroorotic acid (Bioshop). Plates were incubated at the indicated temperature for 48-72 h.

Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry. DNA content/cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry was performed as described previously [71]. Flow cytrometry was performed using a BD Biosciences FACSCalibur instrument equipped with CellQuest software. Data were analyzed using FlowJo 10.8.1 (FlowJo, LLC).

Immunoblotting. 4 OD of cells were pelleted and frozen at -80°C prior whole-cell extraction. Cells were extracted using 0.1M NaOH for 5 minutes at room temperature as described before [72] or using standard tri-chloroacetic acid (TCA) and glass beads method [73]. Protein extracts were quantified using bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (Pierce). SDS-PAGE and transfer were performed using standard methods. Anti-H3 (ab1791) and anti-Rad53 (ab104232) were purchased from abcam. Anti-H3K56ac (AV105) and anti-H2A-S129-P (AV137) antibodies were generously provided by Dr. Alain Verreault (Université de Montréal, Canada). Goat anti-rabbit (BioRad; cat: 1705046), goat anti-mouse (Bio Rad; cat: 1705047) and goat anti-rat (Abcam; cat: ab97057) were used as secondary antibodies. Protein visualization was realized by chemiluminescence using Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate. Images were captured using an Azure c600 chemiluminescence Imaging System.

Fluorescence microscopy. Cells were fixed in 0.1M of potassium phosphate buffer pH 6.4 containing 3.7% formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) and slides were prepared as described [48].

Images were taken by fluorescence microscopy using a 60X objective (numerical aperture [NA], 1.42) on DeltaVision instrument (GE Healthcare). Images analysis was performed using SoftWoRx 7 software and FIJI 1.53.

Measurement of DNA content by quantitative PCR. Genomic DNA from 1 OD₆₃₀ of cells was extracted and purified as described [74]. 3 ng of DNA was used per qPCR reaction (qPCR Master Mix, NEB). PCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7500 instrument (software version 2.3). PCR primers are listed in Table 1. Briefly, qPCR signal for a given origin was first normalized to the signal obtained from the NegV locus (ChrV: 532538-532516) [62]. This region is located \approx 12 Kb from ARS521, an origin which has not been detected to be active in several studies according to OriDB (http://cerevisiae.oridb.org/) and \approx 18 kb from ARS522, a subtelomeric origin of replication activated in late S. As such, the NegV locus is expected to be replicated in very late S, and therefore to generally remain unreplicated in a majority of *cdc7-4* and *cdc7-4 hst3 hst4* cells 30 minutes post-release from G1 arrest toward S phase. The NegV-normalized S phase signal was divided by the NegV-normalized signal obtained from alpha factor arrested (G1) cells. Complete replication of an origin is therefore expected to result in a ratio of S phase over G1 signal of 2.

Primer Name	Sequence (5'-3')
ARS305_qPCR_F	TACACGGGGGGCTAAAAACGG
ARS305_qPCR_R	GCACTTTGATGAGGTCTCTAGC
ARS607_qPCR_F	GGCTCGTGCATTAAGCTTGT
ARS607_qPCR_R	CACGCCAAACATTGCATTTA
ARS1211_qPCR_F	TTGGGCTAGGAGAAAGTGGC
ARS1211_qPCR_R	CGAACGCAATGTGCCAAGAA
ARS315_qPCR_F	TTCTTCGCGCGTCAACTTTC
ARS315_qPCR_R	TTTCTTGGCGCTACGATGTG
ARS300-F	TCACCCATCTCTCACCATCA

TABLE 2: PCR	primers use	d in this	study.
--------------	-------------	-----------	--------

ARS300-R	GATGGGCGTTATGCGTAAAT
NegV_qPCR_F	TAATTGCTGAGCGTTGCATGTT
NegV_qPCR_R	GCCTCTACAGTACCGTGGGGAGA
ARS305_probe_F	ATCGTGTAAGCTGGGGTGAC
ARS305_probe_R	AGTGGCGTTAGGTTCAATGC

Alkaline gel electrophoresis and Southern blotting. Samples were denatured by heating at 70°C in loading buffer (30 mM NaOH, 1 mM EDTA, 3% Ficoll 400, 0.01% bromocresol green). Denatured DNA was run in a 1% agarose gel in alkaline electrophoresis buffer (30 mM NaOH, 2 mM EDTA) at 3 V/cm. Southern blotting was performed using a digoxygenin (DIG)-labeled probe as described [75]. The ARS305 probe was generated by PCR using primers ARS305_probe_F and ARS305_probe_R (Table 1) and the PCR DIG Labeling Mix (Roche). Membranes were imaged using an Azure c600 chemiluminescence Imaging System.

Statistical analysis. Data are represented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) unless otherwise specified. All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8. Statistical tests used are described in figure legends.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. A screen to identify haploinsufficent genes modulating cell fitness upon NAM exposure. (A) Z-score of individual heterozygote diploid yeast strains after 20 generations in medium containing 41mM NAM. GO-terms of genes for which the Z-scores is > 2.58 or < -2.58 were further analyzed in C. (B) Venn diagram comparing the heterozygote diploid screen presented in this article with a previously published screen using homozygote diploid deletion strains [26]. (C) GO-term associated with genes presenting Z-scores > 2.58 or < -2.58. (D) Growth competition assay for selected heterozygote mutant strains in 1:1 competition with a WT. WT and

mutant cells were mixed 1:1 and grown in YPD +/- 41 mM NAM for 20 generations. The fraction of mutant/WT cells in the culture was assessed by plating on selective medium followed by colony counting. Colors and numbers below strain names refer to GO-terms listed in C.

FIGURE 2. Compromised DDK-dependent activation of origins of DNA replication sensitizes cells to NAM. (A) Haploid *dbf4-1* and *cdc7-4* cells are sensitive to NAM at their semipermissive temperature. Cells were incubated at 30°C in medium containing the indicated concentration of NAM. OD_{630} readings were taken at 72 h to evaluate cell proliferation (see Methods). (B) *bob1-1 cdc7* Δ cells are not sensitive to NAM. 5-fold serial dilutions of cells were spotted on YPD-agar and incubated at the indicated temperature. (C) The Glc7-interacting motif of Rif1 causes NAM sensitivity in cdc7-4 cells. Cells were treated as in B. (D) NAM-induced phosphorylation of H2A-S129 is reduced upon deletion of RIF1. WT and rif1 Δ cells were exposed to 20 mM NAM for 8 h at 30°C before harvest for immunoblotting. (E) Deletion of *RIF1* reduces the frequency of Rad52-YFP foci in response to NAM, but not upon IR treatment. Exponential growing cells were treated in medium containing 20 mM NAM for 8h at 30°C, or exposed to 40 Grey of ionizing radiations followed by incubation for 1 h at 30°C. Samples were then taken for fluorescence microscopy. Graph bars represent mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments. (F) rif1 Δ rescues the NAM sensitivity of est2 Δ strains. OD₆₃₀ readings of treated vs untreated cells after 48h of growth in 96-wells plates is presented. Graph bars represent mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments each containing 4 technical replicates. **: p value < 0.01 and ***: p value < 0.001, Student's *t*-test. (G) *RIF1* deletion reduces NAM-induced S phase accumulation. Exponentially growing cells were incubated at 25°C in YPD containing 20 mM NAM for 8 h. Samples were taken for flow cytometry analysis of DNA content.

FIGURE 3. The activity of replication origins influences the phenotypes of $hst3\Delta$ hst4 Δ cells. (A) Deletion of *RIF1* rescues the temperature sensitivity of $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells (in the BY4741) genetic background) as well as the synthetic lethality of $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ $sir2\Delta$ triple mutant. 5-fold serial dilutions of cells were spotted on the indicated medium and incubated at the indicated temperature. (B) Rif1 does not modulate H3 K56ac levels. Exponentially growing cells in YPD at 25°C were harvested and processed for immunoblotting. (C) RIF1 deletion improves S phase progression of $hst3\Delta$ hst4 Δ cells after transient exposure to MMS. Exponentially growing cells at 25°C in YPD were arrested in G1 using alpha factor and released toward S in medium containing 0.01% of MMS for 90 minutes (MMS time point). After MMS inactivation using sodium thiosulfate, cells were released in fresh YPD. Samples were taken for flow cytometry analysis of DNA content at the indicated time points. As: asynchronous. (D) Deletion of RIF1 does not rescue the sensitivity of $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells to MMS. 5-fold serial dilutions of cells were spotted on the indicated media and incubated at the indicated temperature. (E) RIF1 elevates the frequency of spontaneous Rad52 foci formation in $hst3\Delta$ $hst4\Delta$ cells. Rad52-GFP foci was assessed by fluorescence microscopy in exponentially growing cells at 25°C. Graph bars represent mean value \pm SEM of 10 independent experiments. **: p value < 0.01 Student's *t*-test. (F) Deletion of *RIF1* reduces intra-S phase checkpoint activation in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ strain. Exponentially growing cells in YPD at 25°C were harvested for immunoblotting. MMS: Cells were exposed to 0.03% MMS for 1 h prior to harvesting as control. (G) $hst3\Delta$ hst4 Δ cdc7-4 cells present strong synthetic temperature sensitivity. 5-fold serial dilutions of cells were spotted on YPD-agar and incubated at the indicated temperature. (H) Individual deletion of HST3 or HST4 gene in cdc7-4 does not cause

synthetic temperature sensitivity. 5-fold serial dilutions of cells were spotted on YPD-agar and incubated at the indicated temperature.

FIGURE 4. Deletion of HST3 and HST4 inhibits the activation of origins of replication in cdc7-4 cells. (A) S phase progression is impaired in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells at the semipermissive temperature for cdc7-4. Exponentially growing cells were arrested in G1 at 25°C and released toward S phase at 30°C. Samples were taken for DNA content analysis by flow cytometry at the indicated time points. As: Asynchronous exponentially growing cells. (B) Expression of Hst3 restores S phase progression of cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells at 30°C. Cells were treated as in A. (C) Incubation of asynchronous cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells at 30°C causes them to accumulate in early S phase. Asynchronously growing cells were transferred from 25°C to 30°C for the indicated time and harvested for DNA content determination by flow cytometry. (D-E) cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells accumulating with DNA content near 1N at 30°C are budded. (D) Cells were treated as in A and processed for DNA content analysis by flow cytometry. (E) Budding index of cells harvested in D. 200 cells were manually inspected per condition. (F) Activation of early origin of DNA replication is compromised in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells released from G1 toward S phase at the semi-permissive temperature for cdc7-4 (30°C). Cells were treated as in A and harvested 30 minutes post-release toward S phase at 30°C. DNA was extracted and processed for quantitative PCR analysis as described in Material and Methods. qPCR signal for a given origin was normalized to that obtained from the NegV locus (which is expected to be unreplicated in most cells 30 minutes post-release from G1 toward S). Normalized signals were then divided by the normalized signals obtained from alpha factor arrested (G1) cells. Graph bars represent mean \pm SEM of five independent experiments. *: p value < 0.05 and **: p value < 0.01, Student's t-test. (G) cdc7-4

hst3 Δ *hst4* Δ eventually complete S phase with delayed kinetics. Cells were treated as in A. (H) Origin DNA is duplicated with delayed kinetics in *cdc7-4 hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ cells. As in F, except that cells were released toward S phase in presence of 200 mM HU for 120 minutes at 30°C prior harvest. Graph bars represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. (I) Formation of nascent DNA is inhibited at the early origin ARS305 origin in *cdc7-4 hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ cells. Cells were treated as in A. DNA samples were run on alkaline gels followed by Southern blotting to detect short ssDNA fragments generated at ARS305 upon origin activation.

FIGURE 5. S phase progression defects of cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ is not due to the activation of the checkpoint. (A-B) Rad53 activation is inhibited cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ upon release from G1 arrest toward S phase in the presence of HU. Cells were arrested in G1 using alpha factor at 25°C and released toward S phase at 30°C in presence or absence of 200 mM HU. (A) Cells were harvested for 60 minutes post-release toward S and processed for immunoblotting. (B) Samples were also taken for DNA content analysis by flow cytometry. As: Asynchronously growing cells. (C) RAD9 deletion modestly improves S phase progression of cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells, but only at later time points. Exponentially growing cells were arrested in G1 using alpha factor at 25°C and released toward S at 30°C. Samples were taken for DNA content analysis by flow cytometry at the indicated time points. (D) Mutation of *MRC1* that inhibit its functions in the intra S phase checkpoint response (mrc1-AQ) does not improve S phase progression of cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells at 30°C. Cells were treated and collected as in C. (E-F) Caffeine does not improve S phase progression of cdc7-4 hst 3Δ hst 4Δ at 30°C. Exponentially growing cells were arrested in G1 using alpha factor at 25°C and released toward S phase at 30°C in YPD +/- 200 mM HU and +/- 0.15% caffeine. (E) After 60 minutes in S, cells were harvested for immunoblotting. (F) Samples were

taken for DNA content analysis by flow cytometry at the indicated time points. (G) The *dbf4-4A sld3-38A* mutations do not improve S phase progression of *cdc7-4 hst3* Δ *hst4* Δ cells at 30°C. Cells were treated as in C.

FIGURE 6. Constitutive H3K56 acetylation, Rtt101, and Mms1 cause S phase progression defects and synthetic temperature sensitivity in cdc7-4 hst31 hst41 cells. (A-B) Deletion of RTT109 rescues S phase progression in cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells at the semi-permissive temperature for cdc7-4 of 29°C. Exponentially growing cells were arrested in G1 at 25°C and released toward S for 60 minutes at 29°C. Samples were taken for DNA content analysis by flow cytometry. (B) Violin plot representation the Sytox Green value (DNA content) per cell from the 60 minutes time point in A. Red bars represent the median and quartiles. ****: p value < 0.0001 Mann-Whitney test. (C) RTT109 deletion rescue the synthetic temperature sensitivity of cdc7-4 $hst3\Delta$ hst4 Δ cells. 5-fold serial dilutions of cells were spotted on YPD-agar and incubated at the indicated temperature. (D-E) Reducing H3 K56ac levels rescues mutant the synthetic temperature sensitivity of cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells. cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ HHT2 strains expressing either HHT1 or HHT1-K56R were generated. (D) 5-fold serial dilutions of cells were spotted on YPD-agar and incubated at the indicated temperature. (E) Exponentially growing cells at 25°C were processed for immunoblotting. (F) RTT101 or MMS1 deletion rescue the synthetic temperature sensitivity of cdc7-4 hst3 Δ hst4 Δ cells. Cells were treated as in C.

REFERENCES

- 1. Remus D, Diffley JF. Eukaryotic DNA replication control: Lock and load, then fire. Current Opinion in Cell Biology. 2009;21: 771–777. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2009.08.002
- Tanaka T, Umemori T, Endo S, Muramatsu S, Kanemaki M, Kamimura Y, et al. Sld7, an Sld3-associated protein required for efficient chromosomal DNA replication in budding yeast. EMBO J. 2011;30: 2019–2030. doi:10.1038/emboj.2011.115
- 3. Mantiero D, Mackenzie A, Donaldson A, Zegerman P. Limiting replication initiation factors execute the temporal programme of origin firing in budding yeast. EMBO J. 2011;30: 4805–4814. doi:10.1038/emboj.2011.404
- 4. Branzei D, Foiani M. The checkpoint response to replication stress. DNA Repair. 2009;8: 1038–1046. doi:16/j.dnarep.2009.04.014
- 5. Bacal J, Moriel-Carretero M, Pardo B, Barthe A, Sharma S, Chabes A, et al. Mrc1 and Rad9 cooperate to regulate initiation and elongation of DNA replication in response to DNA damage. EMBO J. 2018;37. doi:10.15252/embj.201899319
- 6. Santocanale C, Diffley JF. A Mec1- and Rad53-dependent checkpoint controls late-firing origins of DNA replication. Nature. 1998;395: 615–618. doi:10.1038/27001
- 7. Zegerman P, Diffley JFX. Checkpoint-dependent inhibition of DNA replication initiation by Sld3 and Dbf4 phosphorylation. Nature. 2010;467: 474–478. doi:10.1038/nature09373
- 8. Toledo LI, Altmeyer M, Rask M-B, Lukas C, Larsen DH, Povlsen LK, et al. ATR prohibits replication catastrophe by preventing global exhaustion of RPA. Cell. 2013;155: 1088–1103. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.043
- Smith OK, Aladjem MI. Chromatin Structure and Replication Origins: Determinants Of Chromosome Replication And Nuclear Organization. J Mol Biol. 2014;426: 3330–3341. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2014.05.027
- Méchali M, Yoshida K, Coulombe P, Pasero P. Genetic and epigenetic determinants of DNA replication origins, position and activation. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2013;23: 124–131. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2013.02.010
- 11. Yoshida K, Bacal J, Desmarais D, Padioleau I, Tsaponina O, Chabes A, et al. The histone deacetylases sir2 and rpd3 act on ribosomal DNA to control the replication program in budding yeast. Mol Cell. 2014;54: 691–697. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2014.04.032
- 12. Brachmann CB, Sherman JM, Devine SE, Cameron EE, Pillus L, Boeke JD. The SIR2 gene family, conserved from bacteria to humans, functions in silencing, cell cycle progression, and chromosome stability. Genes Dev. 1995;9: 2888–2902.

- Pasero P, Bensimon A, Schwob E. Single-molecule analysis reveals clustering and epigenetic regulation of replication origins at the yeast rDNA locus. Genes Dev. 2002;16: 2479–2484. doi:10.1101/gad.232902
- 14. Stevenson JB, Gottschling DE. Telomeric chromatin modulates replication timing near chromosome ends. Genes Dev. 1999;13: 146–151.
- Irlbacher H, Franke J, Manke T, Vingron M, Ehrenhofer-Murray AE. Control of replication initiation and heterochromatin formation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by a regulator of meiotic gene expression. Genes Dev. 2005;19: 1811–1822. doi:10.1101/gad.334805
- Weber JM, Irlbacher H, Ehrenhofer-Murray AE. Control of replication initiation by the Sum1/Rfm1/Hst1 histone deacetylase. BMC Molecular Biology. 2008;9: 100. doi:10.1186/1471-2199-9-100
- 17. Cockell MM, Perrod S, Gasser SM. Analysis of Sir2p Domains Required for rDNA and Telomeric Silencing in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 2000;154: 1069–1083.
- Lamming DW, Latorre-Esteves M, Medvedik O, Wong SN, Tsang FA, Wang C, et al. HST2 mediates SIR2-independent life-span extension by calorie restriction. Science. 2005;309: 1861–1864. doi:10.1126/science.1113611
- 19. Celic I, Masumoto H, Griffith WP, Meluh P, Cotter RJ, Boeke JD, et al. The sirtuins hst3 and Hst4p preserve genome integrity by controlling histone h3 lysine 56 deacetylation. Curr Biol. 2006;16: 1280–1289. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.06.023
- 20. Driscoll R, Hudson A, Jackson SP. Yeast Rtt109 promotes genome stability by acetylating histone H3 on lysine 56. Science. 2007;315: 649–652. doi:10.1126/science.1135862
- Fillingham J, Recht J, Silva AC, Suter B, Emili A, Stagljar I, et al. Chaperone control of the activity and specificity of the histone H3 acetyltransferase Rtt109. Mol Cell Biol. 2008;28: 4342–4353. doi:10.1128/MCB.00182-08
- Maas NL, Miller KM, DeFazio LG, Toczyski DP. Cell cycle and checkpoint regulation of histone H3 K56 acetylation by Hst3 and Hst4. Mol Cell. 2006;23: 109–119. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2006.06.006
- 23. Celic I, Verreault A, Boeke JD. Histone H3 K56 hyperacetylation perturbs replisomes and causes DNA damage. Genetics. 2008;179: 1769–1784. doi:10.1534/genetics.108.088914
- 24. Simoneau A, Delgoshaie N, Celic I, Dai J, Abshiru N, Costantino S, et al. Interplay Between Histone H3 Lysine 56 Deacetylation and Chromatin Modifiers in Response to DNA Damage. Genetics. 2015. doi:10.1534/genetics.115.175919
- Sauve AA, Wolberger C, Schramm VL, Boeke JD. The Biochemistry of Sirtuins. Annual Review of Biochemistry. 2006;75: 435–465. doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.74.082803.133500

- 26. Simoneau A, Ricard É, Weber S, Hammond-Martel I, Wong LH, Sellam A, et al. Chromosome-wide histone deacetylation by sirtuins prevents hyperactivation of DNA damage-induced signaling upon replicative stress. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1537
- Simoneau A, Ricard É, Wurtele H. An interplay between multiple sirtuins promotes completion of DNA replication in cells with short telomeres. PLoS Genet. 2018;14: e1007356. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007356
- Irene C, Theis JF, Gresham D, Soteropoulos P, Newlon CS. Hst3p, a histone deacetylase, promotes maintenance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae chromosome III lacking efficient replication origins. Mol Genet Genomics. 2016;291: 271–283. doi:10.1007/s00438-015-1105-8
- 29. Barazandeh M, Kriti D, Nislow C, Giaever G. The cellular response to drug perturbation is limited: comparison of large-scale chemogenomic fitness signatures. BMC Genomics. 2022;23: 197. doi:10.1186/s12864-022-08395-x
- 30. Hardy CF, Dryga O, Seematter S, Pahl PM, Sclafani RA. mcm5/cdc46-bob1 bypasses the requirement for the S phase activator Cdc7p. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997;94: 3151–3155.
- Davé A, Cooley C, Garg M, Bianchi A. Protein Phosphatase 1 Recruitment by Rif1 Regulates DNA Replication Origin Firing by Counteracting DDK Activity. Cell Reports. 2014;7: 53–61. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.02.019
- 32. Hayano M, Kanoh Y, Matsumoto S, Renard-Guillet C, Shirahige K, Masai H. Rif1 is a global regulator of timing of replication origin firing in fission yeast. Genes Dev. 2012;26: 137–150. doi:10.1101/gad.178491.111
- Mattarocci S, Shyian M, Lemmens L, Damay P, Altintas DM, Shi T, et al. Rif1 controls DNA replication timing in yeast through the PP1 phosphatase Glc7. Cell Rep. 2014;7: 62– 69. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.03.010
- 34. Hiraga S-I, Alvino GM, Chang F, Lian H-Y, Sridhar A, Kubota T, et al. Rif1 controls DNA replication by directing Protein Phosphatase 1 to reverse Cdc7-mediated phosphorylation of the MCM complex. Genes Dev. 2014;28: 372–383. doi:10.1101/gad.231258.113
- 35. Hafner L, Lezaja A, Zhang X, Lemmens L, Shyian M, Albert B, et al. Rif1 Binding and Control of Chromosome-Internal DNA Replication Origins Is Limited by Telomere Sequestration. Cell Rep. 2018;23: 983–992. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.113
- 36. Stead BE, Brandl CJ, Davey MJ. Phosphorylation of Mcm2 modulates Mcm2–7 activity and affects the cell's response to DNA damage. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39: 6998–7008. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr371
- 37. Stead BE, Brandl CJ, Sandre MK, Davey MJ. Mcm2 phosphorylation and the response to replicative stress. BMC Genet. 2012;13: 36. doi:10.1186/1471-2156-13-36

- 38. Downs JA, Lowndes NF, Jackson SP. A role for Saccharomyces cerevisiae histone H2A in DNA repair. Nature. 2000;408: 1001–1004. doi:10.1038/35050000
- Lisby M, Rothstein R, Mortensen UH. Rad52 forms DNA repair and recombination centers during S phase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98: 8276–8282. doi:10.1073/pnas.121006298
- 40. Marcand S, Gilson E, Shore D. A Protein-Counting Mechanism for Telomere Length Regulation in Yeast. Science. 1997 [cited 7 Jan 2022]. doi:10.1126/science.275.5302.986
- 41. Hiraga S-I, Monerawela C, Katou Y, Shaw S, Clark KR, Shirahige K, et al. Budding yeast Rif1 binds to replication origins and protects DNA at blocked replication forks. EMBO Rep. 2018;19. doi:10.15252/embr.201846222
- 42. Yekezare M, Gómez-González B, Diffley JFX. Controlling DNA replication origins in response to DNA damage inhibit globally, activate locally. J Cell Sci. 2013;126: 1297–1306. doi:10.1242/jcs.096701
- 43. Osborn AJ, Elledge SJ. Mrc1 is a replication fork component whose phosphorylation in response to DNA replication stress activates Rad53. Genes Dev. 2003;17: 1755–1767. doi:10.1101/gad.1098303
- 44. Hall-Jackson CA, Cross DA, Morrice N, Smythe C. ATR is a caffeine-sensitive, DNAactivated protein kinase with a substrate specificity distinct from DNA-PK. Oncogene. 1999;18: 6707–6713. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1203077
- 45. Osman F, McCready S. Differential effects of caffeine on DNA damage and replication cell cycle checkpoints in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Mol Gen Genet. 1998;260: 319–334. doi:10.1007/s004380050901
- 46. Han J, Zhou H, Horazdovsky B, Zhang K, Xu R-M, Zhang Z. Rtt109 Acetylates Histone H3 Lysine 56 and Functions in DNA Replication. Science. 2007;315: 653–655. doi:10.1126/science.1133234
- 47. Collins SR, Miller KM, Maas NL, Roguev A, Fillingham J, Chu CS, et al. Functional dissection of protein complexes involved in yeast chromosome biology using a genetic interaction map. Nature. 2007;446: 806–810. doi:10.1038/nature05649
- 48. Wurtele H, Kaiser GS, Bacal J, St-Hilaire E, Lee E-H, Tsao S, et al. Histone h3 lysine 56 acetylation and the response to DNA replication fork damage. Mol Cell Biol. 2012;32: 154–172. doi:10.1128/MCB.05415-11
- 49. Han J, Zhang H, Zhang H, Wang Z, Zhou H, Zhang Z. A Cul4 E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Regulates Histone Hand-Off during Nucleosome Assembly. Cell. 2013;155: 817–829. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.014

- 50. Roberts TM, Zaidi IW, Vaisica JA, Peter M, Brown GW. Regulation of Rtt107 Recruitment to Stalled DNA Replication Forks by the Cullin Rtt101 and the Rtt109 Acetyltransferase. Mol Biol Cell. 2008;19: 171–180. doi:10.1091/mbc.E07-09-0961
- 51. Li Q, Zhou H, Wurtele H, Davies B, Horazdovsky B, Verreault A, et al. Acetylation of Histone H3 Lysine 56 Regulates Replication-Coupled Nucleosome Assembly. Cell. 2008;134: 244–255. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.06.018
- 52. Burgess RJ, Zhou H, Han J, Zhang Z. A Role for Gcn5 in Replication-Coupled Nucleosome Assembly. Molecular Cell. 2010;37: 469–480. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.01.020
- 53. Hammond-Martel I, Verreault A, Wurtele H. Chromatin dynamics and DNA replication roadblocks. DNA Repair (Amst). 2021;104: 103140. doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2021.103140
- 54. Thaminy S, Newcomb B, Kim J, Gatbonton T, Foss E, Simon J, et al. Hst3 is regulated by Mec1-dependent proteolysis and controls the S phase checkpoint and sister chromatid cohesion by deacetylating histone H3 at lysine 56. J Biol Chem. 2007;282: 37805–37814. doi:10.1074/jbc.M706384200
- 55. Masumoto H, Hawke D, Kobayashi R, Verreault A. A role for cell-cycle-regulated histone H3 lysine 56 acetylation in the DNA damage response. Nature. 2005;436: 294–298. doi:10.1038/nature03714
- 56. Theis JF, Irene C, Dershowitz A, Brost RL, Tobin ML, di Sanzo FM, et al. The DNA Damage Response Pathway Contributes to the Stability of Chromosome III Derivatives Lacking Efficient Replicators. PLoS Genet. 2010;6. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001227
- 57. Unnikrishnan A, Gafken PR, Tsukiyama T. Dynamic changes in histone acetylation regulate origins of DNA replication. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010;17: 430–437. doi:10.1038/nsmb.1780
- Hoggard T, Müller CA, Nieduszynski CA, Weinreich M, Fox CA. Sir2 mitigates an intrinsic imbalance in origin licensing efficiency between early- and late-replicating euchromatin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117: 14314–14321. doi:10.1073/pnas.2004664117
- Vogelauer M, Rubbi L, Lucas I, Brewer BJ, Grunstein M. Histone acetylation regulates the time of replication origin firing. Mol Cell. 2002;10: 1223–1233. doi:10.1016/s1097-2765(02)00702-5
- 60. Labib K, De Piccoli G. Surviving chromosome replication: the many roles of the S-phase checkpoint pathway. Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci. 2011;366: 3554–3561. doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0071
- 61. Davidson MB, Katou Y, Keszthelyi A, Sing TL, Xia T, Ou J, et al. Endogenous DNA replication stress results in expansion of dNTP pools and a mutator phenotype. EMBO J. 2012;31: 895–907. doi:10.1038/emboj.2011.485
- 62. Gershon L, Kupiec M. A novel role for Dun1 in the regulation of origin firing upon hyperacetylation of H3K56. PLoS Genet. 2021;17: e1009391. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009391

- Mimura S, Yamaguchi T, Ishii S, Noro E, Katsura T, Obuse C, et al. Cul8/Rtt101 Forms a Variety of Protein Complexes That Regulate DNA Damage Response and Transcriptional Silencing. J Biol Chem. 2010;285: 9858–9867. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.082107
- 64. Tanaka S, Nakato R, Katou Y, Shirahige K, Araki H. Origin Association of Sld3, Sld7, and Cdc45 Proteins Is a Key Step for Determination of Origin-Firing Timing. Current Biology. 2011;21: 2055–2063. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.11.038
- 65. Ericson E, Hoon S, St Onge RP, Giaever G, Nislow C. Exploring gene function and drug action using chemogenomic dosage assays. Methods Enzymol. 2010;470: 233–255. doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(10)70010-0
- 66. Smith AM, Durbic T, Oh J, Urbanus M, Proctor M, Heisler LE, et al. Competitive genomic screens of barcoded yeast libraries. J Vis Exp. 2011. doi:10.3791/2864
- Pierce SE, Davis RW, Nislow C, Giaever G. Genome-wide analysis of barcoded Saccharomyces cerevisiae gene-deletion mutants in pooled cultures. Nat Protoc. 2007;2: 2958–2974. doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.427
- 68. Boyle EI, Weng S, Gollub J, Jin H, Botstein D, Cherry JM, et al. GO::TermFinder--open source software for accessing Gene Ontology information and finding significantly enriched Gene Ontology terms associated with a list of genes. Bioinformatics. 2004;20: 3710–3715. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bth456
- 69. Cherry JM, Hong EL, Amundsen C, Balakrishnan R, Binkley G, Chan ET, et al. Saccharomyces Genome Database: the genomics resource of budding yeast. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40: D700-705. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1029
- 70. Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. REVIGO Summarizes and Visualizes Long Lists of Gene Ontology Terms. PLoS ONE. 2011;6: e21800. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021800
- 71. Haase SB, Reed SI. Improved flow cytometric analysis of the budding yeast cell cycle. Cell Cycle. 2002;1: 132–136.
- 72. Kushnirov VV. Rapid and reliable protein extraction from yeast. Yeast. 2000;16: 857–860. doi:10.1002/1097-0061(20000630)16:9<857::AID-YEA561>3.0.CO;2-B
- 73. Grallert A, Hagan IM. Preparation of Protein Extracts from Schizosaccharomyces pombe Using Trichloroacetic Acid Precipitation. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2017;2017: pdb.prot091579. doi:10.1101/pdb.prot091579
- 74. Dymond JS. Preparation of genomic DNA from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Methods Enzymol. 2013;529: 153–160. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-418687-3.00012-4
- 75. Viterbo D, Marchal A, Mosbach V, Poggi L, Vaysse-Zinkhöfer W, Richard G-F. A fast, sensitive and cost-effective method for nucleic acid detection using non-radioactive probes. Biol Methods Protoc. 2018;3. doi:10.1093/biomethods/bpy006











