1 A systematic review and meta-analysis of genetic parameters for complex quantitative

2 traits in aquatic animal species

3	Nguyen Hong Nguyen
4	University of the Sunshine Coast, Locked Bag 4, Maroochydore DC, QLD 4558, Australia
5	
6	Correspondence to: Dr Nguyen Hong Nguyen
7	Email: <u>NNguyen@usc.edu.au</u>
8	Tel: +61 7 5456 5138
9	
10	Abstract
11	A systematic review and meta-analysis of genetic parameters underlying inheritance and complex
12	biological relationships for quantitative traits are not available for aquatic animal species. I
13	synthesised and conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis of the published information from 1985
14	to 2017 on heritability, common full-sib effects and genetic correlations for quantitative characters
15	of biological importance (growth, carcass and flesh quality, disease resistance, deformity and
16	reproduction) for aquaculture species. A majority of the studies (73.5%) focussed on growth related
17	traits (body weight), followed by those on disease resistance (15.9%), whereas only a limited number
18	of studies (10.6%) reported heritability estimates for carcass and flesh quality, deformity or
19	reproduction characteristics. The weighted means of heritability for growth (weight, food utilisation
20	efficiency, maturity) and carcass (fillet weight and yield) traits were moderate. Resistance against
21	various bacteria, virus and parasites were moderately to highly heritable. Across aquatic animal

- 22 species, the weighted heritability for a range of deformity measures and reproductive traits
- 23 (fecundity, early survival) was low and not significantly different from zero. The common full-sibs (c²)

24 accounted for a large proportion of total variance for body traits but it was of smaller magnitude in 25 later phase of the growth development. The c^2 effects however were not significant or in many cases they were not reported for carcass and flesh quality attributes as well as survival and deformity. The 26 27 maternal genetic effects were not available for all traits studied especially for reproductive and early 28 growth characters. Genetic correlations between body and carcass traits were high and positive, 29 suggesting that selection for rapid growth can improve fillet weight, a carcass trait of paramount 30 importance. Body weight, the most commonly used selection criterion in aquatic animals, showed 31 non-significant genetic correlation with disease resistance, likely because both positive and negative 32 genetic associations between the two types of traits. Interestingly the genetic associations between growth and reproductive performance (fecundity) and fry traits (fry weight, fry survival) were 33 34 favourable. To date, there are still no published data on genetic relationships of carcass and flesh 35 quality with disease resistance or reproductive performance in any aquaculture species. Additionally, 36 the present study discussed new traits, including functional, immunological, behavioural and social 37 interaction as well as uniformity that are emerging as potential selection criteria and which can be 38 exploited in future genetic improvement programs for aquatic animals. 39 Keywords: Meta-analysis, heritability, genetic correlations, quantitative traits, growth, disease

40 resistance

41 Contents

42	I.	INTRODUCTION	4
43	II.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	5
44	1.	. Search strategy, selection criteria and traits	5
45	2.	. Meta-analysis	7
46	3.	. Estimation of weighted means of genetic parameters	9
47	4.	. Calculation of statistical power	10
48	III.	RESULTS	10
49	1.	. Characteristics of the data	10
50	2.	. Summary statistics	11
51	3.	. Factors affecting genetic parameters	12

52	4.	Heritability1	2
53	а	. Growth related traits1	3
54	b	. Carcass and flesh/eating quality1	3
55	C	Disease resistance1	4
56	d	. Reproduction1	5
57	е	. Deformity Error! Bookmark not defined	ł.
58	f.	New traits1	7
59	5.	Common full-sib effects1	7
60	6.	Heritability in contrasting environments1	8
61	7.	Genetic correlations1	8
62	а	. Correlations between body and carcass/flesh quality1	8
63	b	. Correlations between body weight and disease resistance1	9
64	C	. Correlations between body weight and deformity1	9
65	d	. Correlation between weight and reproduction2	0
66	е	. Correlation between weight and new traits2	1
67	8.	Sample size2	1
68	IV.	DISCUSSION2	1
69	1.	Does quantitative genetic basis of complex traits differ?2	2
70	2.	Can the traits studied show response to selection?2	2
71	3.	Are there heritable additive genetic components for new traits?2	3
72	4.	Does the heritability of traits differ between environments?2	3
73	5.	Are the maternal and common environmental effects important?2	4
74	6.	Is the sample size sufficient to detect significant heritability?2	5
75	7.	Were the heritabilities for important traits affected by publication bias?2	5
76	8.	Can selection for high performance also improve other traits?2	6
77	9.	Can traits showing antagonistic genetic relationships be improved simultaneously?2	7
78	10.	Limitations and gaps in the literature2	7
79	11.	New prospects to understand complex traits2	8
80	V. C	ONCLUSIONS2	9
81	VI.	FUTURE DIRECTIONS	0
82	VII.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	1
83	VIII.	REFERENCES	2
84			

86 I. INTRODUCTION

87 Quantitative genetic parameters (heritability, maternal and common full-sib effects, phenotypic and 88 genetic correlations) provide information needed for development of breeding objectives, design of 89 selection strategies as well as assessment of selective breeding genetic improvement programs. 90 Across aquatic animal species, heritability and trait correlations are often estimated in experimental 91 populations prior to selection in order to examine genetic characteristics of new traits before closed 92 nucleus breeding populations are initiated (Nguyen 2016; Olesen et al. 2003). As part of the process 93 of monitoring selective breeding programs, the genetic parameters are re-estimated to ensure the 94 continued response of traits under selection (i.e., selection criteria) and to predict possible changes 95 in correlated characters to refine the breeding strategy. A large number of studies have reported 96 heritabilities, maternal and common environmental effects and genetic correlations for traits of 97 economic importance (e.g., body weight and survival) in important aquaculture species such as 98 salmonids (Atlantic salmon, rainbow trout), carps, tilapias, shrimps, molluscs and newly cultured 99 species (section 2.1 below). By contrast, genetic inheritance of flesh quality, behaviour and fitness-100 related traits is not well documented, although a few recent studies shown heritable additive 101 genetic variations in sexual maturity, deformity and survival (Thoa et al. 2016), social interactions, 102 and behavioural response characteristics (Drangsholt et al. 2014). Genetic correlations between 103 growth performance and traits of commercial importance, such as flesh and eating quality, 104 reproduction, fitness and functional adaptation traits, are not well understood. It is thus not possible 105 to make any prediction of correlated changes in these traits to selection for high growth, a trait of 106 paramount importance in almost all genetic enhancement programs for aquaculture species. On the 107 other hand, measuring realised correlated response in these traits to selection is costly and time-108 consuming and an issue that remains unresolved because it requires multigenerational in-depth 109 pedigree data to assess the changes with confidence (Hamzah et al. 2014b; Nguyen et al. 2010a). 110 The possible changes on one trait to selection for another can be predicted from the knowledge of 111 genetic and phenotypic correlation estimates.

112 While there is a paucity of published information in the literature to aid our understanding of 113 genetic inheritance for commercial traits, especially those that are difficult to measure, a growing 114 number of attempts has been made to develop systematic breeding programs for new species, 115 including marine finfishes (Knibb et al. 2016) or spiny rock lobsters of high economic value (Nguyen 116 et al. 2018a). As a first step to start genetic breeding programs for a new species, simple questions 117 that breeders often ask is which traits they should select for, whether these traits are heritable and 118 can respond to selection, and whether there are any consequences of selection on other characters 119 of commercial interest. When the breeding program proceeds, expansion of the breeding goals also 120 requires accurate estimates of genetic parameters for candidate traits. To obtain a reliable set of 121 heritability and genetic correlations for new traits, rigorous experimental designs with reasonable 122 family structure and sample size (Lynch and Walsh 1998) should be used. Taking these issues 123 collectively, there is a need for a systematic review and meta-analysis of the published literature to 124 guide decisions on future design and development of genetic improvement programs for aquatic 125 animal species. Such a study has not been conducted for aquatic species, and weighted values of 126 heritability and estimated correlations for commercial characters are currently not available. 127 The aim of this study, thus, was to synthesise information about inheritance and relationships 128 among quantitative traits of economic importance in aquaculture species. Further, I also discussed 129 the experimental design (i.e., sample size) required to obtain reliable genetic parameter estimates as 130 well as proposing future directions to dissect genetic architecture of complex traits, especially novel 131 characters that are emerging as potential selection criteria in selective breeding programs for 132 aquaculture species.

133

134 II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

135 1. Search strategy, selection criteria and traits

136 A systematic search of public electronic databases (PubMed, ISI Web of Knowledge, CABI and Google 137 Scholar) using five keywords: "heritability, genetic parameters, correlations, variance and covariance 138 components and aquaculture species" was undertaken to identify studies published in international, 139 peer-reviewed journals from 1985 to 1st March 2017. Published work prior to 1985 on genetic 140 variation in quantitative traits in fish and shellfish was reviewed by Gjedrem (1983) and Gjerde 141 (1986). In this study, a total of 1,120 records was identified from the databases. They were selected 142 for inclusion in this review following the PRISMA (the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic 143 reviews and Meta-Analyses) protocol (Moher et al. 2009) (Supplementary file 1). Initially, their 144 abstracts were screened, 260 duplicate or irrelevant records (i.e., papers on human, plant or 145 terrestrial farmed animals or those did not report genetic parameter estimates) were excluded. The 146 remaining 860 articles were examined for their full text, and 304 records were further excluded on 147 the basis of the following criteria: 1) studies with less than 15 families due to their low statistical 148 power to obtain reliable genetic parameter estimates (see Section 2.4), 2) reports with negative 149 heritability or genetic and phenotypic correlations out of parameter space (-1 to 1), 3) studies 150 published in languages other than English, 4) obviously irrelevant literature (i.e., papers on aquatic 151 animals other than aquaculture species or those that reported traits that were not examined in this 152 review), and 5) non-peer reviewed materials or project reports. After the assessment, 556 articles 153 were assessed by studying their full texts and only 300 articles were eligible for inclusion in the 154 review (256 references were discarded, mainly due to 1-5 as above). Our final screening also 155 removed eight articles. A final list of 292 articles was used in our meta-analysis (full list of the 156 references is given in Supplementary file No.2).

The dataset was then extracted from these (292) papers that included name of authors (references),
Latin name of species, common species name, data records (observations), numbers of sires and
dams (family), mating design, analytic methods, age of the animal, basic statistics for traits (mean,
standard deviation and coefficient of variation), genetic parameters (heritability, common full-sib

161 effects, phenotypic and genetic correlations) and their associated standard errors reported for traits

162 of commercial importance.

- 163 Traits were grouped in six broad categories: 1) growth-related traits (body weight and feed
- utilisation efficiency), 2) carcass (fillet weight and fillet yield) and flesh quality (pH, colour, fat
- 165 content) traits, 3) reproductive characteristics (sexual maturity, female weight before or after
- spawning, fecundity, fry weight, fry survival), 4) diseases resistance (virus, bacteria, parasite or
- 167 others), and 5) fitness traits, mainly morphological deformity and survival during grow-out.

168

169 2. Meta-analysis

Firstly, I derived standard errors (*s*) for heritability and common full-sib effects for studies in which
they were not reported. The theoretical *s* can be calculated based on the heritability estimate,
number of offspring per sire and number of sires (Falconer and Mackay 1996). It can be also
calculated approximately as 32h²/n where *n* is the number of data records (Koots et al. 1994).
Alternatively, the SE of the heritability and common full-sib estimates for these studies were
calculated by dividing weighted mean standard deviation (SDw) by the square root of the number of
records in the estimate, using Equation 1 (Borenstein et al. 2011).



where s is the standard error and n is the number of records for the ith estimate (i= 1,2,3...y).
Correlations among the three methods were greater than 0.9; hence only results based on the
number of data records (Equation 1) are used in this study. I then weighted each estimate of a
parameter from studies by their sample size or the inverse of variance. Both of the weighting
methods gave similar weighted mean heritability for growth or reproductive traits, but the standard

183 errors from the latter method (the inverse of the variance) were smaller than those from the former.

184 Therefore, weighted means for basic statistics (trait mean, SD, CV) for all traits were derived using

sample size as weight. On the other hand, weighted mean heritability and common full-sib effects

186 were obtained using the inverse of the variance as weight (Akanno et al. 2013; Safari et al. 2005).

187 To calculate the weighted mean estimate of phenotypic and genetic correlations between traits,

188 Fisher's Z transformation was applied to remove the dependency of the variance on the estimate

189 (Equation 2), with the standard error calculated in Equation 3. The weighted mean phenotypic and

190 genetic correlations (r_w) were back-transformed using Equation 4,

191
$$Z = \log \left[\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right]^{0.5}$$
 [2]

192
$$SE_Z = \sqrt{1/(n-3)}$$
 [3]

193
$$r_w = \frac{e^{2z} - 1}{e^{2z} + 1}$$
 [4]

where *r* is the correlations, *n* is number of records for phenotypic correlations or number of families
for genetic correlations and *z* is the weighted mean for the *Z* transformed correlations.

196

197 Heterogeneity: Heterogeneity among studies was assessed using three different statistical tests: Q, 198 H and I. The Q statistic (Cochran 1954) tests the null hypothesis that the true parameter estimate is 199 the same in all studies vs. the alternative that at least one of the estimates differs. It approximately 200 has a Chi-square distribution with k-1 degrees of freedom where k is the number of parameter 201 estimates. The statistic H different from one indicated heterogeneity of studies. In addition, the 202 inconsistency index I^2 statistic (ranging from 0 to 100%), which is defined as the percentage of 203 observed between-study variation that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance, was also used. Significant heterogeneity was determined by P < 0.1 or $l^2 > 50\%$. Although heterogeneity was not 204

significant for the majority of traits, random model was used to derive the weighted mean for the

206 parameter estimate (see section on random model).

207	Publication bias (small study effect): To assess the effect of publication bias, the Begger's funnel plot
208	and Egger regression asymmetry test were used. The funnel plot visually displays the standard error
209	of the parameter estimate of each study against its log value. The Egger test determine whether the
210	intercept deviated significantly from zero and an asymmetric plot indicate possible publication bias,
211	and the degree of asymmetry was tested using Egger's test ($P < 0.05$ indicated significant publication
212	bias) (Egger et al. 1997). Sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding studies with z-value greater
213	than 3 (one study at a time) to assess the influence of the individual study on the overall results.
214	
215	3 Estimation of weighted means of genetic parameters (heritability, common full-sib effect

215 3. Estimation of weighted means of genetic parameters (heritability, common full-sib effect
216 and correlations)

The weighted means of genetic parameters were obtained from a random effect model (Equation 5) in the metaphor package (Viechtbauer 2010). This model accounted for between- and within- study variation. Restricted maximum likelihood analysis was conducted in ASReml (Gilmour et al. 2009) to determine the relative contributions of between- and within-study variation to the weights

221 $y_i = \mu + r_i + e_i$

where y_i is the estimate of a parameter in the *i*th study, μ is the mean (weighted mean of the estimates), r_i is the random term to account for variation between studies and e_i is the error term (within-study variation). The components of r_i and e_i are assumed to be normally distributed with means of zero and variances of r_i^2 and V, respectively. For heritability and common full-sib effects, V $=\sigma_e^2/w_i$ where $w_i = 1/(\sigma_r^2 + r_i^2)$. The V for genetic correlations is $V = \sigma_e^2/(n-3)$ where n is the number of sires. The 95% confidence interval for the estimates was calculated from V, with those for genetic correlations being back transformed using equation 4.

[5]

229

230 4. Calculation of statistical power

I considered a simplest sib design in which *N* sires are each mated to *n* unrelated dams. A further
assumption was that the sire variance and error term was normally distributed. Power calculation of
this design for variance component estimation followed the formula given by Lynch and Walsh
(1998, page 887) as:

235
$$\Pr\left[F_{N-1,N(n-1)} > \frac{F_{N-1,N(n-1),(1-\alpha)}}{1+nh^2/(1-4h^2)}\right]$$
[6]

where *N* is number of sires. Each is mated with *n* dams. Alpha (α) is a chosen significance level (5, 1 or 0.1%) and h^2 is the heritability for the trait in question. *F* is the test statistic having *N* degrees of freedom for the numerator and *N*(*n*-1) for the denominator.

1 modelled four options with different numbers of sires and dams to calculate statistical power: 1) 8 sires and 16 dams, 2) 15 sires and 30 dams, 3) 30 sires and 60 dams, and 4) 45 sires and 90 dams. The ratio of males to females was based on the design of several breeding programs, although mating of one male to two females was not always successful. These parameters were used to calculate statistical power to detect a significant heritability at a probability of 95% or 99% ($\alpha = 0.05$ or 0.01) (Equation 6).

- 245
- 246 III. RESULTS
- 247 1. Characteristics of the data

Characteristics of the studies reviewed here are presented in Table 1. The species considered
included salmonids, carps, tilapias, crustaceans and molluscs (species composition is given in Table
1). A number of recent studies have been conducted in freshwater and marine finfishes and they
were classified as other fishes, including yellowtail kingfish, Atlantic charr, Asian and European

252 seabasses, seabream, common sole, yellow perch, flounder and turbot. Five major groups of traits 253 were examined, namely growth-related traits, carcass and flesh quality, disease resistance, 254 reproductive performance and fitness-related traits (mainly deformity and survival). 255 The average sample size (number of individuals) of the studies included in this review ranged from 256 3,296 to 27,933 (Table 1). The average number of sires and dams (or number of families) across 257 populations were 199 and 264, respectively. A majority of the studies applied single-pair mating 258 (with a hierarchical nested mating design with a ratio of one male to 2 females) or incomplete 259 (rectangular) factorial mating by set (e.g. male 1 mated with females 1 and 2, male 2 with females 2 260 and 3, and so on to male n with female 1 and n). Mass spawning also was practised for some species, 261 mainly in new aquaculture species including yellowtail kingfish, seabream, abalone and blue mussel, 262 where microsatellite DNA markers were used for parentage assignment. There is an increasing trend 263 in the number of studies that have used DNA markers for parentage assignment and pedigree 264 construction. Conventionally, individual identification in fish involved use of Passive Integrated 265 Transponder (PIT) tags, whereas visible implant elastomer (VIE) (or visible implant alphanumeric) in 266 combination with eye tags were used in crustacean species. A wide array of testing environments 267 during grow-out were used, predominantly ponds or cages. Only three studies employed 268 recirculating or intensive culture systems. 269 With the pedigree information available in almost all studies (96.9%), Restricted Maximum 270 Likelihood (REML) method applied to a single or multiple trait mixed model was performed to

estimate genetic parameters. Some studies reported realised heritability from selection experiments

272 (1.5%). A small proportion of the h^2 estimates was also obtained from conventional intraclass

273 correlation analysis (only three studies).

274

275 2. Summary statistics

276	Body weight is frequently recorded in selective breeding programs for aquaculture species ($n = 193$).
277	There is a growing interest regarding genetic improvement for disease resistance (n =55). Only a
278	limited number of studies investigated flesh/eating quality attributes ($n = 18$), reproduction traits
279	(n=9) and morphological deformity $(n=11)$ in aquaculture species. Limited samples sizes were
280	observed for quality, reproductive and fitness-traits, mainly because they are expensive or difficult
281	to measure. The coefficients of variation for reproductive traits were substantially larger than
282	growth and carcass/flesh quality characteristics (results not tabulated). The mean and standard
283	deviations are trait-, population-, or environment-specific because market weights of aquaculture
284	species are different or harvesting time to make measurements varied greatly among species;
285	hence, basic statistics for traits studied were not summarised.
286	
287	3. Factors affecting genetic parameters
288	The General linear model (GLM procedure) to examine the significance of fixed effects [including
289	species (salmonids, carps, tilapias, crustaceans, molluscs and other fishes), experimental design
290	(single-pair mating vs. mass spawning), statistical analytic method (REML, realised heritability and
291	intraclass correlation analysis) and age of the publication (or assemble data collected) relative to
292	2017] showed that only experimental design and analytic method were statistically significant for
293	body weight (<i>P</i> < 0.01 and 0.05, respectively) (Table 4).
294	
295	4. Heritability
296	
297	The number of studies, weighted mean heritabilities (± standard errors, S.E), between-study

variances, *Q*-statistics and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the estimate for different traits grouped

for all species are presented in Tables and 2. The results with specificities for some fish species areconsidered below.

301 a. Growth related traits

Regardless of whether analyses were conducted separately for each species or for all species
 together, the weighted heritabilities for growth-related traits (body weight) were moderate (0.22 –

304 0.33), suggesting that selective breeding is likely to prove successful for growth characters. A

305 number of studies calculated body shape based on body measurements (weight/ length or

depth/length) (Trong et al. 2013a) or from image analysis (Blonk et al. 2010). The average heritability

307 estimate for body shape was low to moderate, suggesting that changing this trait in aquaculture

308 species is possible as predicted from selection index theory (Nguyen et al. 2007). Prchal et al (2018)

also informed that selection for a trait connected to a trait of interest may have additional effect on

body shape. In addition to growth-related traits, the heritability for food utilisation efficiency (FUE)

was moderate (0.23 ± 0.10, 95% CI = 0.04 to 0.42). Direct selection for ratio traits (i.e., FUE) is,

312 however, difficult. This trait can be sometimes improved indirectly through selection for increased

- 313 growth or reduced body fat (Verdal et al. 2017).
- 314

b. Carcass and flesh/eating quality

315 A carcass trait of paramount importance in fish is fillet yield or edible tail meat for shrimp (Hung and 316 Nguyen 2014). In both fish and shrimp, fillet or edible meat weight is moderately heritable ($h^2 = 0.33$ 317 \pm 0.10), whereas the heritability estimate for fillet yield (or percentage of fillet over body weight) 318 was of lower magnitude (mean $h^2 = 0.20 \pm 0.13$, P > 0.05). Flesh and eating quality are complex 319 characteristics and they are strongly influenced by environmental factors before slaughter, such as 320 fasting, handling and post-mortem processing techniques (Hultmann et al. 2016). This is indicated by 321 the low and insignificant heritability for the important flesh and eating quality attributes (pH, protein content) included in this review (Table 2). Almost all studies engaged total fat content of fillet 322 (Hamzah et al. 2014b); information on fat deposits in different body compartments/locations could 323

324 have genetically different patterns (Kause et al. 2002; Tobin et al. 2006). Across the measures of fat 325 content reported in the literature, this trait had moderate heritability (mean h^2 = 0.17, P < 0.05). 326 Flesh colour in some fishes is an attribute of biological importance because it is positively correlated 327 with pH, water holding capacity and eating characteristics (mean $h^2 = 0.18$, P < 0.05) and also 328 because it affects market value. For example, deeply red salmon fillets are more highly valued than 329 whitish ones. In fish, flesh colour was subjectively measured based on a scoring scale or objectively 330 measured using a colour wheel or instrument. Norris and Cunningham (2007) reported higher 331 heritability for objective than subjective measurements of flesh colour in Atlantic salmon. Based on a 332 binary scale (visual observation of red or light colour), Nguyen et al. (2014) suggested that there is a 333 possibility for improving red body colour of both raw and cooked banana shrimp. 334 Other flesh and eating quality characteristics reported in the literature exhibited additive genetic 335 variation in muscle fibre types or fibre density in rainbow trout, with the estimate of heritability 336 ranging from 0.10 to 0.33 (Vieira et al. 2007). Two studies reported heritability for fatty acid 337 composition in Nile tilapia (Nguyen et al. 2010b) and Atlantic salmon (Leaver et al. 2011) and 338 another in Whiteleg shrimp (Nolasco-Alzaga et al. 2018). For these flesh/eating quality attributes, 339 the number of studies are very limited (<3); hence, the weighted heritability was not calculated. 340 341 Disease resistance c. 342 Heritability estimates for disease resistance were mainly reported from challenge test studies for 343 three types of pathogens (parasites, bacteria and virus). In practice, the resistance was measured as

survival rate of the challenged animals with *LD*₅₀ to a particular time point, after a period of 45-50

345 days. Only one study proposed an alternative approach to estimate disease resistance, using qPCR

346 analysis to measure HPV viral load of individual banana shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus merguiensis*)(Knibb

et al. 2015). I calculated weighted mean heritability separately for each disease type (bacterial,

348 parasitic and viral) and across all the three types of pathogens (Table 2). The results showed that a

349 significant heritable genetic component exists for a variety of diseases in fishes, shrimps and 350 molluscs. The magnitude of the heritability estimates was not significantly different among the three 351 disease types (Tables 2). Three studies (Camara et al. 2017; Degremont et al. 2015; Liang et al. 2017) 352 estimated heritability for disease resistance in mollusc (2 in oysters and 1 in clam). Six studies reported the heritability for indicators of stress resistance (i.e. cortisol level) or hypoxia 353 354 and oxidative stress resistance. The weighted heritability for these indicators of stress tolerance was 355 moderate (0.20 ± 0.11) but not significant (P > 0.05). 356 Across species, the moderate level of heritability for resistance against a range of diseases and 357 environmental stressors suggests that these traits would respond effectively to selection. Improving 358 disease resistance is necessary to reduce mass mortality and associated loss of weight and economic 359 opportunities for the aquaculture sector worldwide. Novel approaches (e.g., markers-assisted or 360 genome-based selection) should be considered as conventional method based on challenge tests is associated with ethic issues or due to some notifiable status of some diseases or other veterinarian 361 362 measures (Trinh et al. 2019). 363 364 d. Reproduction Reproductive traits reviewed here included sexual maturity, female weight prior to spawning, egg 365

366 size, fecundity (egg numbers or egg volume), larval survival and fry weight. In selective breeding 367 programs for many aquatic species, status of sexual maturity during the grow-out period was 368 recorded as a binary character and analysed using both linear and threshold mixed models. Across 369 the studies and species examined here, sexual maturity exhibited a low to moderate heritability 370 (weighted mean h^2 of 0.21 and confidence interval ranging from 0.04 to 0.38). However, the 371 heritability for age at sexual maturity was high (Crandell and Gall 1993; Gjerde 1984). The mean heritability for female weight was moderate ($h^2 = 0.35$). By contrast, the heritabilities estimated for 372 other reproductive traits were low and non-significant (Table 2). There were no differences in the 373

374 heritability estimates for reproductive characteristics between fishes (Gima et al. 2014; Thoa et al. 375 2017; Trong et al. 2013c) and shrimps (Caballero - Zamora et al. 2015; Macbeth et al. 2007). The 376 consistently low heritability for reproductive and fitness- related traits across aquatic species 377 suggests that response to selective breeding to improve these characters, albeit possible, may be 378 slow and difficult to achieve. In addition to the five important reproductive traits reviewed here, low 379 to moderate heritability was reported for gonad weight/gonad somatic index (Charo-Karisa et al. 380 2007) or spawning interval in Nile tilapia (Trong et al. 2013b). The heritability for egg diameter or 381 hatching rate was low (essentially not different from zero). Generally, reproductive traits are difficult 382 to be investigated for heritability, as these characters are greatly affected by environmental and other 383 variables (e.g., health status of fish, level of management of artificial reproduction) that bias the 384 genetic variation estimates. Thus this area of research deserves further studies across aquaculture 385 species. 386 387 e. Fitness-related traits 388 389 Two fitness related traits studied here were survival and deformity. Survival during grow-out showed 390 a low but significant additive genetic variation, with the heritability mean estimate of 0.14 (95% 391 confidence interval, CI from 0.08 to 0.21, P < 0.001) across species. Existence of the heritable

392 (additive) genetic component for survival during the early phase of growth (i.e., before physical

tagging or 1-2 months after hatching) has been reported for tilapia (Thoa et al. 2015) and rainbow

trout (Vehviläinen et al. 2012).

There are various forms of deformity in fishes such as lower jaw protrusion, nasal erosion, opercula distortion, cataracts, spinal abnormalities (lordosis, kyphosis, scoliosis, and ankylosis) as well as mouth and fin malformations. Estimates of heritability were reported for a range of deformity measures or for a specific type of deformity, such as humpback anterior dorsal fin, humpback

399	posterior dorsal fin and shortened tail fin in Atlantic salmon (Glover et al. 2005) or lordosis, cyphosis,
400	scoliosis and vertebral fusion in European seabass (Karahan et al. 2013). Synthesised results from the
401	literature showed that different measures of deformity can be considered as separate traits,
402	including studies in rainbow trout (Vehviläinen et al. 2012) and kingfish (Nguyen et al. 2016).
403	However, a range of measures of deformity was pooled in this study, mainly due to the limited
404	sample size (small number of studies). The weighted heritability estimate for this trait was low and
405	not significant (weighted mean $h^2 = 0.18 \pm 0.11$, $P > 0.05$).
406	
407	f. New traits
408	Novel traits considered in this review included behaviour, socially indirect genetic effects and
409	uniformity. A detailed description of measurement methods is given in Supplementary N.4. Recent
410	studies have attempted to qualify the level of genetic variation for these new traits, with the
411	heritability ranging from 2 to 10% for uniformity (Sae-Lim et al. 2015) and direct or indirect social
412	genetic traits (Nielsen et al. 2014). There is also evidence of genetic variability in personality-related
413	characters in rainbow trout (Millot et al. 2014). However, the heritability estimates for these
414	behavioural traits ranged from low in brown trout (Kortet et al. 2014) to moderate in Atlantic cod
415	(Drangsholt et al. 2014). A high heritability for boldness (risk-taking score) was, however, reported
416	for European seabass (Ferrari et al. 2016). There is a need for further studies to support a reliable
417	systematic meta-analysis for novel traits across farmed aquaculture species of economic
418	importance.
419	
420	5. Common full-sib effects
421	In aquatic species, different experimental designs have been utilized to estimate heritability-related
422	parameters for a range of traits of economic importance. Common full-sib effects (c^2) were a result

423	of separate family rearing of about one to two months until fish/shrimps reach a suitable size for
424	physical tagging (5-10 g in fish and 1-2 g in shrimps). The c^2 includes both common environmental
425	and maternal effects, accounting for approximately 5 – 14% of the total phenotypic variance across
426	species (Table 3). The c^2 effects show a tendency to diminish in later phase of growth. For carcass
427	and flesh quality traits, these effects were generally not significant. The c^2 effects were not
428	significant for eating quality characteristics or fillet fatty acid composition. Only one study (Hamzah
429	et al. 2014a) reported c^2 effects for reproductive traits, but the estimates were essentially zero.
430	Maternal genetic effects have not been reported for disease resistance or measures of deformity.
431	
432	6. Heritabilities in contrasting environments
433	Because expression of quantitative traits depends in part upon environmental factors, heritabilities
434	estimated in diverse environments may differ. Figure 2 presents standardized mean differences in
435	heritabilities for body weight between contrasting environments. There was non-significant
436	difference in the magnitude of heritability between the environments, suggesting that there is no (or
437	little) reduction in the additive genetic variance of body weight in the production environment
438	compared with the nucleus. Additionally, previous studies (Nguyen 2016; Sae-Lim et al. 2016)
439	showed that the between-environment genetic correlations for homologous body traits was positive
440	and high when the environments used in the nucleus and production were similar. However, when
441	the two environments differed, the genetic correlation estimates was low or moderate, suggesting
442	that the G×E interaction effects are potentially important for complex traits in aquaculture species.
443	
444	7. Genetic correlations

- 445
- a. Correlations between body and carcass/flesh quality

446	Certain traits of breeding interest are correlated with one another. Knowledge of such correlations is
447	needed to design purposeful breeding programs. Genetic correlations (r_g) between flesh quality and
448	body weight (the sole selection criterion of many breeding programs) are shown in Table 5. The
449	genetic correlations between fillet fat content and body weight were moderate to high and mostly
450	positive (mean r_g = 0.51 ± 0.06), except for the negative estimate reported in rainbow trout by Kause
451	et al. (2002). The estimated correlation between moisture and body weight was positive in
452	salmonids and tilapias, but negative in rainbow trout. Flesh or body colour exhibited a moderate to
453	high positive correlation with body weight in Atlantic salmon (Quinton et al. 2005) and banana
454	shrimp (Nguyen et al. 2014).
455	
456	b. Correlations between body weight and disease resistance
457	Genetic correlations between body weight and disease resistance were either positive or non-
458	significant in the literature (Table 6). Some studies, however, also reported negative (i.e.
459	antagonistic) genetic association between growth and disease resistance, for example ranging from -
460	0.01 to -0.33 in rainbow trout (Henryon et al. 2002) or -0.54 to -0.66 in Pacific white leg shrimp
461	(Gitterle et al. 2005). Recent studies showed weak or non-significant genetic relationship between
462	the two traits in rainbow trout (Yáñez et al. 2014) or shrimp (Phuthaworn et al. 2016). The weighted
463	mean genetic correlation between the two traits (weight and disease resistance) was not significant
464	(Table 6). When the analysis was conducted separately for each type of pathogen, a significant
465	genetic correlation was observed between body weight and resistance to parasites (r_g = 0.23).
466	
467	c. Correlations between body weight and deformity
468	The reported estimates of genetic correlations between deformity and growth traits varied from
469	negative (Gjerde et al. 2005) to positive (Karahan et al. 2013) or non-significant (Kolstad et al.

470 2006)(Figure 3). Across the studies, the estimate was not significant (r_g = -0.14, CI = -0.56 to 0.29, P >

471 0.05). However, the genetic relationship between body weight and deformity varied with growth 472 phase and trait definitions, e.g. fast-growing rainbow trout fingerlings are prone to skeletal 473 deformities, while body weight and cataracts in the adult stage were negatively correlated (-0.52 to -474 0.62)(Vehviläinen et al. 2012). Nguyen et al. (2016) report that the degree of co-inheritance of 475 deformity varied with trait combinations (i.e. positive between weight and jaw malformations but 476 negative between weight and operculum). There is still very limited information regarding the 477 genetic correlation of deformity with traits of economic importance, suggesting that a detailed 478 recording of deformity characteristics would be necessary to characterize the genetic architecture of 479 malformation in aquaculture populations reared in different culture environments. 480 481 d. Correlation between weight and reproduction 482 The genetic correlation of body weight during grow-out and fecundity-related traits in females was 483 moderate and positive (Table 7). Selection for high growth is thus expected to bring about 484 favourable changes in reproductive performance (fecundity and fry weight) of the aquatic animals, 485 thereby, leading to greater production of fry and fingerlings for marketing. This is important only in 486 species or culture systems where the egg is the final product (e.g., sturgeon or salmon caviar). 487 However, interpretation of these results should be with caution because the relationship between 488 body weight and relative fecundity was not available in the literature. Further, the genetic 489 relationship between body weight and number of fry at hatching or fry mortality during the early 490 phase of rearing was weak and not significant in Coho salmon (Gall and Neira 2004), Nile tilapia 491 (Hamzah et al. 2014a) or giant freshwater prawn (Vu and Nguyen 2019). In summary, genetic 492 relationships between reproductive traits and growth deserve further studies, due to the limited 493 number of studies available in the literature as well many other factors involved, e.g., age of

494 maturation.

495

496

e. Correlation between weight and new traits

497 Currently, genetic associations of growth (or body weight) with behavioural and functional traits are
498 not well documented. This is an important area that merits future studies to support the possibility
499 of multi-trait selection and to gain knowledge to control unexpected changes from selection
500 programs for high productivity.

501

502 8. Sample size

A parameter-estimation experiment needs sufficient power in order to yield a reliable estimate for 503 504 design of an effective breeding program. The sample sizes required to obtain sufficient statistical 505 power to detect significant heritability are given in Table 8. The statistical power depends on the level of heritability (low, moderate or high) and the probability of detecting significant heritabilities 506 507 (95 or 99%). Statistical power increases with the number of sires and dams in the pedigree. For a 508 given sample size, statistical power is higher for greater heritabilities. Statistical power also differs 509 with levels of probability associated with detecting significant heritability. Across the three scenarios 510 studied, with the hierarchical nested design a minimum 30 sires and 60 dams are required to obtain 511 sufficient (>80%) power to detect a significant heritability estimate. Fewer than 30 families are not 512 recommended because under this mating (nested) scheme, the likelihood of detecting significant 513 heritability was low, ranging from 3.6 to 34.6%.

514

515 IV. DISCUSSION

This is the first systematic meta-analysis of genetic parameters for aquatic animal species. The
heritabilities, common full-sib effects and correlations provide information advancing our
understanding of genetic architecture of economically important traits in aquatic animal species. My
results also help to fill a gap in our knowledge regarding inheritance and relationships among

520 quantitative traits, providing knowledge to address challenges regarding genetic improvement of 521 aquaculture species. In addition, this systematic meta-analysis identified limitations and proposed suggestions for future studies as well as possibilities to utilise advanced statistical methods and 522 genome sequence technologies to understand complex quantitative traits of aquatic species. 523 524 Specifically, the results synthesised from this study provide answers to the following questions: 1. Does the quantitative genetic basis of complex traits differ? 525 526 The weighted mean of heritability estimated from the meta-analysis (Figure 1 and Table 2) showed 527 that quantitative genetic architecture differed among the five groups of traits reviewed. Growth-528 and carcass-related characters had moderate to high heritabilities. On the other hand, traits related 529 to quality (fat content, colour) or fitness (reproduction: fecundity, early survival) are lowly or 530 moderately heritable. Disease resistance to different types pf pathogens (bacterial, viral and 531 parasitic) exhibited substantial heritable genetic variance in a range of aquaculture species (Ødegård et al. 2011). My findings are consistent with the expectation of quantitative genetic and evolutionary 532 theory as well as with observations in terrestrial farmed animals and model species (Safari et al. 533 2005). 534 535

536 2. Can the traits studied show response to selection?

537 With abundant genetic variation in traits related to growth, genetic improvement of these 538 characters should prove successful, as demonstrated in several selection programs across aquatic 539 species ranging from fishes (Hamzah et al. 2014c) to crustaceans (Hung et al. 2013b) and molluscs 540 (Liu et al. 2015). Across aquaculture species, genetic gain has ranged from 8 – 22% per generation 541 (Gjedrem and Rye 2016; Nguyen 2016). On the other hand, selective breeding for other traits, such 542 as reproduction, fitness and deformity, may be more difficult; hence, systematic data recording of sibling information from multi-generational, in-depth pedigree populations is needed to obtain 543 reliable estimated breeding values for selection candidates. Genetic improvement for traits that 544

require sacrifice of animals such as flesh/eating quality or disease resistance is still difficult. Further, only sibling information can be used, thereby reducing accuracy of estimated breeding values and selection response. In these cases, genomic selection can potentially speed up genetic progress in traits that are difficult or expensive to measure (Boison et al. 2019).

549

550 3. Are there heritable additive genetic components for new traits?

551 In addition to the existence of useful additive genetic variance for fitness characters studied here 552 (maturity, survival, reproduction), the proportion of genetic relative to total phenotypic variance for behavioural, social and immunological traits ranges from 0.03 to 0.45 (Ferrari et al. 2016; Kortet et 553 554 al. 2014). These traits were not included in the meta-analysis, as only a limited number of studies are 555 available in the literature and, the data mostly were recorded from a limited number of animals in 556 shallow pedigrees of only one or two generations. Large-scale measurements for 557 behavioural/functional and adaptive traits (e.g. stress tolerance to environmental factors) are still 558 challenging due to the costs involved and sophistication of data recording, using video or laboratory-559 based tests. I also attempted to calculate the weighted heritability for these traits, but the estimates 560 had large standard errors and thus they were not significantly different from zero. To understand 561 quantitative genetic basis of traits that are expensive and difficult to measure (e.g., flesh and eating quality or disease resistance) in aquaculture species, development of new measurement methods is 562 required to enable routine large-scale data recording for species of commercial importance (see 563 section 4.7). 564

565

566 4. Does the heritability of traits differ between environments?

Heritability reported in various culture environments is mainly for growth related traits (weight ordaily gain). Although magnitude of the heritability estimate varied with environments, the weighted

569 effect size was small and not significant (Figure 2). However, there are exceptions in the literature 570 where there is a reduction in the heritability estimates for growth traits in poorly managed culture systems in comparison to those estimated in the nucleus under well-controlled environment 571 572 (Bentsen et al. 2012). Under these circumstances, the difference in the magnitude of the estimate 573 was mainly due to scaling effects. Previous reviews (Nguyen 2016; Sae - Lim et al. 2016) also 574 indicated that the genotype by environment $(G \times E)$ interaction was important when the production 575 system differs markedly from the selection environment (e.g., fresh vs. brackish water), while the 576 G×E was not significant when the two environments were similar. Even similar heritabilities in 577 different environments do not necessary indicate no environment because they can result in 578 different selection responses. A recent study in red tilapia reported significant impact of culture 579 environments on genetic gain (Nguyen et al. 2017). Hence, the G×E effect should be examined on 580 case by case basis to assist the design and conduct genetic improvement programs for aquaculture 581 species.

582

583 5. Are the maternal and common environmental effects important?

584 The significant c^2 effects for growth traits suggest that they should be included in statistical models 585 to account for upward bias in genetic parameter estimates (Joshi et al. 2018). They also should be 586 included in genetic evaluation systems to avoid any possible overestimation of breeding values as 587 demonstrated in several studies (Hung et al. 2013a; Oliveira et al. 2016). To reduce the c^2 effects in 588 genetic improvement programs, early communal rearing of all families should be practised as soon 589 as after birth. This approach can be implemented by using DNA markers (microsatellite or single 590 nucleotide polymorphism, SNP) for genetic tagging and construction of pedigrees (Ninh et al. 2013; 591 Whatmore et al. 2013).

593 6. Is the sample size sufficient to detect significant heritability?

594 Except for some studies where sample sizes were small (and the results were excluded from the 595 present meta-analysis), the majority of reports included in this review had sufficient statistical power 596 to detect significant heritability (h²) for traits with moderate to high heritability (i.e. growth traits) across aquaculture populations. The non-significant weighted h^2 for other traits (flesh quality, 597 fitness, reproduction) indicates that a much larger sample size and deeper pedigree are needed to 598 599 obtain reliable genetic parameter estimates for low heritability traits; priority should be given to 600 estimate genetic properties of these traits in future studies. Moreover, due to reproductive biology 601 of many aquaculture species where synchronised mating is still difficult, *in-vitro* fertilisation in 602 combination with advanced mating design such as factorial mating (Dupont-Nivet et al. 2006) should 603 be applied to enable the estimation of different variance components; this would allow separation 604 of additive genetics from non-additive genetic effects that are not widely understood in many fishes, 605 crustaceans and mollucs. Across species, the conventional quantitative genetic (infinitesimal) model 606 and family structure of aquaculture pedigrees did not allow a neat estimation of non-genetic 607 components (maternal and common environmental effects), but they could be important for fitness-608 related traits and worth considering in future studies.

609

610 7. Were the heritabilities for important traits affected by publication bias?

The effect of publication bias on the heritability, for example, of body weight or morphological deformity was not significant in this study (see the Begger's funnel plot and Egger regression asymmetry test in Supplementary File No.3). In addition, results of the sensitivity analysis performed by excluding studies with *z*-value greater than 3 (one study at a time or all together) gave almost identical heritability estimates for economically important traits (results not shown). This is also indicated by the narrow confidence interval, especially for body weight where the sample size for this trait was large. On the other hand, the effect of publication bias was present for other traits

618 (flesh quality attributes and reproduction), partially due to the limited sample size reported for these619 characters.

620

621 8. Can selection for high performance also improve other traits?

622 With the current knowledge, there is a good understanding of the genetic associations among body 623 traits (weight, length, width and depth) and those of growth traits with carcass yield, suggesting that 624 either weight or length can be used as alternative selection criterion, and that selection for one of 625 the two traits can simultaneously improve overall production performance of the animals (Hung and Nguyen 2014). Genetic correlations of body weight with carcass/flesh quality and reproductive traits 626 627 are consistent with realised correlated changes in both fishes (Hamzah et al. 2014a; Hamzah et al. 628 2014b) and shrimps (Hung et al. 2013b). These changes are desired from genetic improvement 629 perspectives. However, selection for high growth did not improve fillet yield in tilapia (Nguyen et al. 630 2010a) or caused insignificant changes in survival or fecundity per unit of female weight (Hamzah et 631 al. 2015; Hamzah et al. 2014a). When growth is not of interest, fillet yield can still be improved through sib or indirection selection by using various yield predictors (Prchal et al. 2018; Vu et al. 632 633 2019). Furthermore, there is evidence in at least five populations of freshwater species (carps, 634 tilapias and prawns) that selection for high growth didn't cause adverse impact on survival (Vu et al. 635 2017). Based on the weighted genetic correlation estimates, it can be predicted that selection for 636 high growth may not improve disease resistance across aquatic species, although the positive 637 genetic correlation estimates between the two traits were reported in some studies. Furthermore, 638 possible changes in fitness, social interaction or behavioural traits consequent to selection for high 639 productivity were not well understood, because majority of breeding programs for aquaculture 640 species have started rather recently, except for the two long run programs in Atlantic salmon in Norway (Gjedrem 2010) and Nile tilapia in the Philippines and then Malaysia (Hamzah et al. 2014a; 641 642 Ponzoni et al. 2011).

643 9. Can traits showing antagonistic genetic relationships be improved simultaneously?

644 A number of traits included in this review showed antagonistic relationships that are not desired. For 645 instance, based on the weighted genetic correlation estimate between body weight and sexual maturity, it can be predicted that selection for increased harvest body weight may produce early-646 647 maturing fish. On the one hand, the concomitant changes in the early maturity are desired for newly domesticated species in captivity (e.g., marine fishes). On the other, precocious reproduction in 648 649 tilapia leads to low growth performance of stocked fish as a consequence of over-crowding and feed 650 competition in production ponds. Under these circumstances, a restricted (or desired) selection 651 index can be applied to simultaneously improve both traits (Nguyen et al. 2016; Sae-Lim et al. 2012). 652 Multi-trait selection (Janssen et al. 2017) is recommended to maximise productivity and revenue as 653 well as sustain long-term genetic improvement in order to meet future demand for high quality 654 seafood by consumers and to adapt to environmental challenges.

655

656 10. Limitations and gaps in the literature

657 Only a few reports on heritability were found for traits that are expensive or difficult to measure, 658 such as flesh/eating quality, behaviour and adaptive traits, across aquaculture species. The genetic 659 association of these new traits with growth performance is inconclusive as the correlation estimates 660 are not sufficient to support a systematic meta-analysis. To gain reliable estimates and better 661 understanding about genetic relationships among these traits, a larger sample size from 662 multigenerational pedigreed populations is needed. Until the present, objective measurements of 663 traits that require slaughter of the animals are still not common in selective breeding programs for 664 aquaculture species. For example, the application of near or mid infra-red spectroscopy may reduce 665 costs of analysing fillet fatty acids in fish (Nguyen et al. 2010b), or quantitative real time PCR could be used to quantify viral copy numbers as an alternative approach to study disease resistance in 666 667 shrimps (Phuthaworn et al. 2016). Challenges still remain to make objective and effective

measurements of flesh/eating quality and behavioural/physiological traits in aquatic species. The
availability of imaging technologies (X-ray, computed tomography CT) or machine vision systems also
enables detailed examination of quality traits and deformities in fish at a reasonably low cost
(Saberioon et al. 2016). In the near future, laboratory-generated data such as qPCR to quantify viral
load/or titre level to select for disease resistance, and molecular genetic information or genome
sequence, are expected to become available to study genetic architecture of new traits in aquatic
species.

- 675
- 676 11. New prospects for understanding complex traits

677 In addition to the application of new measurements/data recording methods (section 4.8), the 678 development of statistical approaches and algorithms such as restricted maximum likelihood 679 method (Thompson 2008) applied to a multi-trait mixed model (Henderson 1975) helped to improve 680 the accuracy of the genetic parameter estimates reported in the literature in comparison to the 681 conventional analysis of variance (ANOVA) used 20-30 years ago. Recent expansions of the mixed model methodology have provided opportunities to study new traits, including social indirect 682 683 genetic effects (Bijma 2014) or double hierarchical linear generalised model (Rönnegård and Lee 684 2013) to reduce environmental variation and improve uniformity (Hill and Mulder 2010) for aquatic 685 species. The application of random regression analysis or cure survival model may help to 686 understand disease tolerance and resistance in a range of species (Kause and Ødegård 2012). 687 Structural equation models (Valente et al. 2010) can be applied to dissect complex biological 688 relationships among traits, such as milk or fillet fatty acids (Bouwman et al. 2014). Particularly, the 689 advent of high through-put whole genome sequencing and 'omic' related technologies coupled with 690 better computational methods provide opportunities to better understand genetic architecture of 691 quantitative complex traits in aquatic species. Genomic or genome-based selection has 692 revolutionized breeding of dairy cattle and other livestock and plant sectors world-wide (Van

693	Eenennaam et al. 2014). Recent studies in aquaculture species indicated that the accuracy of
694	genomic prediction for quantitative traits was moderate to high (Nguyen et al. 2018b; Tsai et al.
695	2017; Yoshida et al. 2018), thereby the potential opportunities of genomic selection can offer to
696	enhance aquaculture breeding in the near future.
697	
698	V. CONCLUSIONS
699	Meta-analysis of information concerning genetic parameters of complex quantitative traits in
700	aquatic species revealed that:
701	1. The weighted means heritabilities (h^2) for body and carcass traits in aquaculture species
702	were moderate, whereas they were low and not significant for flesh quality attributes,

704 parasitic diseases was moderately heritable. The h^2 estimates for fecundity and deformity 705 were low and not significantly different from zero.

except fillet fat content and fillet (or body) colour. Resistance against bacterial, viral and

- 2. There were prospects to investigate new functional traits, namely behaviour, adaptation,
- 707 uniformity, social interaction indirect genetic effects and immune response. There is very
- 708 limited published information regarding genetic relationships between these novel
- 709 characters and growth (or other traits) to enable multi-trait selection in future breeding
- 710 programs.

703

- 711 3. The genetic correlations of body weight with colour and fillet fat content were moderate to
 712 high and positive. However, the estimates between growth and other flesh- quality
- 713 attributes were weak and not significant. There was insignificant genetic association
- 714between growth and disease resistance. Genetic relationship of reproductive traits with
- body weight and growth-related characteristics merit further studies.
- The maternal and common environmental effect (*c*²) accounted for 5 to 14% of the total
 phenotypic variance for growth-related traits, whereas it was not significant for flesh-quality

718		attributes or reproductive characteristics, due to low number of investigations or non-
719		optimal experimental design.
720	5.	The effect of publication bias was not important for growth traits and deformity. On the
721		other hand, it was apparent for disease resistance, flesh-quality attributes and reproductive
722		characteristics.
723	6.	There was small to moderate heterogeneity in the heritability and c^2 effects for the majority
724		of the traits studied. By contrast, the Q-statistics were significant for the genetic correlation
725		estimates.
726	7.	While results from the present meta-analysis provide fundamental information regarding
727		genetic architecture of quantitative complex traits, genetic parameters should be estimated
728		for each population before genetic improvement programs are initiated for a new species
729		because the additive variation is different among populations within an individual species
730		and it varies with environments and generations. The effect of genotype by environment is
731		potentially important for complex traits, especially when the environments used in the
732		nucleus differ from those practised under field production systems.
733		
734		
735	VI.	FUTURE DIRECTIONS
736	1.	Future research should focus on novel characteristics that are emerging as potential
737		selection criteria in genetic improvement programs, such as flesh/eating quality and new
738		traits of commercial interest such as behaviour, adaptation, immune response and disease
739		resistance.
740	2.	Little is known about genetic relationships among these traits with body weight which
741		justifies further studies.

742	3.	Large sample size and multigenerational data from long term genetic improvement
743		programs would increase the accuracy of genetic parameter estimates, especially for traits
744		with low heritability (e.g., reproduction and deformity).
745	4.	Laboratory based data, such as viral load quantified by qPCR and molecular genetic
746		information or genome sequence should be increasingly incorporated into selective
747		breeding programs for aquatic species
748	5.	New methods of measurements should be applied to enable large-scale routine data
749		collection for traits that are difficult or expensive to measure
750	6.	Whole genome sequencing provides options to dissect the genetic architecture of
751		quantitative complex traits across species.
752	7.	Dissecting the quantitative genetic architecture of novel traits also requires development of
753		new means of measurements to enable large-scale data recording as well as effective tools
754		to manage pedigrees.
755		
756	VII.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
757	The au	thor was funded by the Collaborative Research Network (CRN) from the Commonwealth
758	Goverr	ment of Australia and the University of the Sunshine Coast (USC).
759		
760	Supple	mentary files
761	Supple	mentary file No.1: PRISMA diagram flow to assess eligibility of studies included in the meta-
762	analysi	S
763	Supple	mentary file No.2: A full list of references included in the review and analysis
764	Supple	mentary file No.3: Funnel plot to assess publication bias and sensitivity analyses
765	Supple	mentary file No.4: Detailed description of measurement methods for novel traits

766 VIII. REFERENCES

767	Akanno E, Schenkel F, Quinton V, Friendship R, Robinson J (2013) Meta-analysis of genetic
768	parameter estimates for reproduction, growth and carcass traits of pigs in the tropics.
769	Livestock Science 152:101-113
770	Bentsen HB, Gjerde B, Nguyen NH, Rye M, Ponzoni RW, de Vera MSP, Bolivar HL, Velasco RR, Danting
771	JC, Dionisio EE (2012) Genetic improvement of farmed tilapias: Genetic parameters for body
772	weight at harvest in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) during five generations of testing in
773	multiple environments. Aquaculture 338:56-65
774	Bijma P (2014) The quantitative genetics of indirect genetic effects: a selective review of modelling
775	issues. Heredity 112:61-69
776	Blonk R, Komen J, Tenghe A, Kamstra A, Van Arendonk J (2010) Heritability of shape in common sole,
777	Solea solea, estimated from image analysis data. Aquaculture 307:6-11
778	Boison SA, Gjerde B, Hillestad B, Makvandi-Nejad S, Moghadam H (2019) Genomic and
779	transcriptomic analysis of amoebic gill disease resistance in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.).
780	Frontiers in genetics 10:68
781	Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JP, Rothstein HR (2011) Introduction to meta-analysis. John Wiley
782	& Sons,
783	Bouwman AC, Valente BD, Janss LL, Bovenhuis H, Rosa GJ (2014) Exploring causal networks of bovine
784	milk fatty acids in a multivariate mixed model context. Genetics Selection Evolution 46:2
785	Caballero - Zamora A, Cienfuegos - Rivas EG, Montaldo HH, Campos - Montes GR, Martínez -
786	Ortega A, Castillo - Ju $lpha$ rez H (2015) Genetic parameters for spawning and growth traits in
787	the Pacific white shrimp (Penaeus (Litopenaeus) vannamei). Aquaculture Research 46:833-
788	839
789	Camara MD, Yen S, Kaspar HF, Kesarcodi-Watson A, King N, Jeffs AG, Tremblay LA (2017) Assessment
790	of heat shock and laboratory virus challenges to selectively breed for ostreid herpesvirus 1
791	(OsHV-1) resistance in the Pacific oyster, <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> . Aquaculture 469:50-58
792	Charo-Karisa H, Bovenhuis H, Rezk MA, Ponzoni RW, van Arendonk JAM, Komen H (2007) Phenotypic
793	and genetic parameters for body measurements, reproductive traits and gut length of Nile
794	tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) selected for growth in low-input earthen ponds. Aquaculture
795	273:15-23
796	Cochran WG (1954) The combination of estimates from different experiments. Biometrics 10:101-
797	129
798	Crandell PA, Gall GAE (1993) The genetics of age and weight at sexual maturity based on individually
799	tagged rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquaculture 117:95-105
800	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(93)90126-J
801	Degremont L, Lamy J-B, Pepin J-F, Travers M-A, Renault T (2015) New insight for the genetic
802	evaluation of resistance to Ostreid herpesvirus infection, a worldwide disease, in Crassostrea
803	gigas. PloS one 10:e0127917
804 805	Drangsholt TMK, Damsgård B, Olesen I (2014) Quantitative genetics of behavioral responsiveness in
805 806	Atlantic cod (<i>Gadus morhua L</i> .). Aquaculture 420–421:282-287
806 807	doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.11.004</u> Dupont-Nivet M, Vandeputte M, Haffray P, Chevassus B (2006) Effect of different mating designs on
807	inbreeding, genetic variance and response to selection when applying individual selection in
808 809	fish breeding programs. Aquaculture 252:161-170
810	Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple,
810	graphical test. Bmj 315:629-634
812	Falconer D, Mackay T (1996) Introduction to quantitative genetics. Harlow: Longman
813	Ferrari S, Horri K, Allal F, Vergnet A, Benhaim D, Vandeputte M, Chatain B, Bégout M-L (2016)
814	Heritability of boldness and hypoxia avoidance in European seabass, <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> .
815	PloS one 11:e0168506

816 Gall GA, Neira R (2004) Genetic analysis of female reproduction traits of farmed coho salmon 817 (Oncorhyncus kisutch). Aquaculture 234:143-154 Gilmour AR, Gogel B, Cullis B, Thompson R, Butler D (2009) ASReml user guide release 3.0. VSN 818 819 International Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK 820 Gima ME, Gima A, Hutson A, Chaimongkol A, Beam R, Perera DA, Dunham RA (2014) Realized 821 heritability and response to selection for fecundity, hatching rate and fry/Kg for channel 822 catfish females (Ictalurus punctatus) induced to ovulate and fertilized with blue catfish 823 (Ictalurus furcatus) males for the production of hybrid catfish embryos. Aquaculture 824 420:S36-S41 825 Gitterle T, Salte R, Gjerde B, Cock J, Johansen H, Salazar M, Lozano C, Rye M (2005) Genetic 826 (co)variation in resistance to White Spot Syndrome Virus (WSSV) and harvest weight in 827 Penaeus (Litopenaeus) vannamei. Aquaculture 246:139-149 828 Gjedrem T (1983) Genetic variation in quantitative traits and selective breeding in fish and shellfish. 829 Aquaculture 33:51-72 830 Gjedrem T (2010) The first family - based breeding program in aquaculture. Reviews in Aquaculture 831 2:2-15 832 Gjedrem T, Rye M (2016) Selection response in fish and shellfish: a review. Reviews in 833 Aquaculture:DOI: 10.1111/raq.12154 834 Gjerde B (1984) Response to individual selection for age at sexual maturity in Atlantic salmon. 835 Aquaculture 38:229-240 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(84)90147-9 836 Gjerde B (1986) Growth and reproduction in fish and shellfish. Aquaculture 57:37-55 837 Gjerde B, Pante MJR, Baeverfjord G (2005) Genetic variation for a vertebral deformity in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Aquaculture 244:77-87 838 839 Glover K, Aasmundstad T, Nilsen F, Storset A, Skaala Ø (2005) Variation of Atlantic salmon families 840 (Salmo salar L.) in susceptibility to the sea lice Lepeophtheirus salmonis and Caligus 841 elongatus. Aquaculture 245:19-30 842 Hamzah A, Mekkawy W, Khaw HL, Nguyen NH, Yee HY, Abu Bakar KR, Mohd Nor SA, Ponzoni RW 843 (2015) Genetic parameters for survival during the grow-out period in the GIFT strain of Nile 844 tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) and correlated response to selection for harvest weight. 845 Aquaculture Research:doi: 10.1111/are.12859 doi:10.1111/are.12859 846 Hamzah A, Nguyen NH, Mekkawy W, Khaw HL, Yee HY, Abu Bakar KR, Ponzoni RW, Mohd Nor SA 847 (2014a) Genetic parameters and correlated responses in female reproductive traits in the 848 GIFT strain. Aquaculture Research:doi: 10.1111/are.12608 doi:10.1111/are.12608 849 Hamzah A, Nguyen NH, Mekkawy W, Ponzoni RW, Khaw HL, Yee HY, Abu Bakar KR, Mohd Nor SA 850 (2014b) Flesh characteristics: estimation of genetic parameters and correlated responses to 851 selection for growth rate in the GIFT strain. Aquaculture Research:doi: 10.1111/are.12667 doi:10.1111/are.12667 852 853 Hamzah A, Ponzoni RW, Nguyen NH, Khaw HL, Yee HY, Nor SAM (2014c) Genetic evaluation of the 854 Genetically Improved Farmed Tilapia (GIFT) strain over ten generations of selection in 855 Malaysia. Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science 37:411-429 856 Henderson CR (1975) Best linear unbiased estimation and prediction under a selection model. 857 Biometrics 31:423-447 858 Henryon M, Jokumsen A, Berg P, Lund I, Pedersen PB, Olesen NJ, Slierendrecht WJ (2002) Genetic 859 variation for growth rate, feed conversion efficiency, and disease resistance exists within a 860 farmed population of rainbow trout. Aquaculture 209:59-76 861 Hill WG, Mulder HA (2010) Genetic analysis of environmental variation. Genetics Research 92:381-862 395 Hultmann L, Tobiassen T, Aas-Hansen Ø, Phu TM, Rustad T (2016) Muscle quality and proteolytic 863 864 enzymes of farmed Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) during storage: Effects of pre-slaughter handling and increased storage temperature. Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology 865 25:540-554 866

867 868 869	Hung D, Nguyen NH (2014) Modeling meat yield based on measurements of body traits in genetically improved giant freshwater prawn (GFP) <i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i> . Aquaculture International 22:619-631
870	Hung D, Nguyen NH, Ponzoni RW, Hurwood DA, Mather PB (2013a) Quantitative genetic parameter
871	estimates for body and carcass traits in a cultured stock of giant freshwater prawn
872	(<i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i>) selected for harvest weight in Vietnam. Aquaculture 404–
873	405:122-129 doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.04.027</u>
874	Hung D, Vu NT, Nguyen NH, Ponzoni RW, Hurwood DA, Mather PB (2013b) Genetic response to
875	combined family selection for improved mean harvest weight in giant freshwater prawn
876	(<i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i>) in Vietnam. Aquaculture 412-413:70-73
877	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.07.015
878	Janssen K, Berentsen P, Besson M, Komen H (2017) Derivation of economic values for production
879	traits in aquaculture species. Genetics Selection Evolution 49:5
880	Joshi R, Woolliams J, Gjøen H (2018) Maternal, dominance and additive genetic effects in Nile tilapia;
881	influence on growth, fillet yield and body size traits. Heredity:1
882	Karahan B, Chatain B, Chavanne H, Vergnet A, Bardon A, Haffray P, Dupont - Nivet M, Vandeputte M
883	(2013) Heritabilities and correlations of deformities and growth - related traits in the
885	European sea bass (<i>Dicentrarchus labrax, L</i>) in four different sites. Aquaculture Research
885	44:289-299
886 887	Kause A, Ødegård J (2012) The genetic analysis of tolerance to infections: a review. Frontiers in genetics 3:262
888 889	Kause A, Ritola O, Paananen T, Mäntysaari E, Eskelinen U (2002) Coupling body weight and its composition: a quantitative genetic analysis in rainbow trout. Aquaculture 211:65-79
890 891	Knibb W, Miller A, Quinn J, D'Antignana T, Nguyen NH (2016) Comparison of lines shows selection response in kingfish (<i>Seriola lalandi</i>). Aquaculture 452:318-325
892	Knibb W, Quinn J, Kuballa A, Powell D, Remilton C, Nguyen NH (2015) Yearly, pond, lineage and
893	family variation of hepatopancreatic parvo-like virus (HPV) copy number in banana shrimp
894	<i>Fenneropenaeus merguiensis</i> . Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 128:73-79
895	Kolstad K, Thorland I, Refstie T, Gjerde B (2006) Body weight, sexual maturity, and spinal deformity
896	in strains and families of Atlantic cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) at two years of age at different
897 898	locations along the Norwegian coast. ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil 63:246-252
899	Koots KR, Gibson JP, Smith C, Wilton JW (1994) Analyses of published genetic parameter estimates
900	for beef production traits. 1. Heritability. Animal Breeding Abstracts 62:309-338
901	Kortet R, Vainikka A, Janhunen M, Piironen J, Hyvärinen P (2014) Behavioral variation shows
902	heritability in juvenile brown trout <i>Salmo trutta</i> . Behavioral ecology and sociobiology
903	68:927-934
904	Leaver MJ, Taggart JB, Villeneuve L, Bron JE, Guy DR, Bishop SC, Houston RD, Matika O, Tocher DR
905	(2011) Heritability and mechanisms of n– 3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acid deposition
906	in the flesh of Atlantic salmon. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics
907	and Proteomics 6:62-69
908	Liang B, Jiang F, Zhang S, Yue X, Wang H, Liu B (2017) Genetic variation in vibrio resistance in the
909 910	clam <i>Meretrix petechialis</i> under the challenge of <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> . Aquaculture 468:458-463
911	Liu J, Lai Z, Fu X, Wu Y, Bao X, Hu Z, Lai M (2015) Genetic parameters and selection responses for
912	growth and survival of the small abalone <i>Haliotis diversicolor</i> after four generations of
913	successive selection. Aquaculture 426:58-64
914	successive selection. Aquaculture 436:58-64 Lynch M, Walsh B (1998) Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits vol 1. Sinauer Sunderland,
915 916 917	Macbeth M, Kenway M, Salmon M, Benzie J, Knibb W, Wilson K (2007) Heritability of reproductive traits and genetic correlations with growth in the black tiger prawn <i>Penaeus monodon</i> reared in tanks. Aquaculture 270:51-56

918 Millot S, Péan S, Labbé L, Kerneis T, Quillet E, Dupont-Nivet M, Bégout M-L (2014) Assessment of 919 genetic variability of fish personality traits using rainbow trout isogenic lines. Behavior 920 genetics 44:383-393 921 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P (2009) Preferred reporting items for systematic 922 reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS med 6:e1000097 923 Nguyen N, Whatmore P, Miller A, Knibb W (2016) Quantitative genetic properties of four measures 924 of deformity in yellowtail kingfish Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833. Journal of fish diseases 925 39:217-228 926 Nguyen NH (2016) Genetic improvement for important farmed aquaculture species with a reference 927 to carp, tilapia and prawns in Asia: achievements, lessons and challenges. Fish and Fisheries 928 17:483-506 doi:10.1111/faf.12122 929 Nguyen NH, Fitzgibbon QP, Quinn J, Smith G, Battaglene S, Knibb W (2018a) Can metamorphosis 930 survival during larval development in spiny lobster Sagmariasus verreauxi be improved 931 through quantitative genetic inheritance? BMC genetics 19:27 932 Nguyen NH, Hamzah A, Thoa NP (2017) Effects of Genotype by Environment Interaction on Genetic 933 Gain and Genetic Parameter Estimates in Red Tilapia (Oreochromis spp.). Frontiers in 934 genetics 8:82 935 Nguyen NH, Khaw HL, Ponzoni RW, Hamzah A, Kamaruzzaman N (2007) Can sexual dimorphism and 936 body shape be altered in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) by genetic means? Aquaculture 937 272:S38-S46 938 Nguyen NH, Ponzoni RW, Abu-Bakar KR, Hamzah A, Khaw HL, Yee HY (2010a) Correlated response in 939 fillet weight and yield to selection for increased harvest weight in genetically improved 940 farmed tilapia (GIFT strain), Oreochromis niloticus. Aquaculture 305:1-5 941 Nguyen NH, Ponzoni RW, Yee HY, Abu-Bakar KR, Hamzah A, Khaw HL (2010b) Quantitative genetic 942 basis of fatty acid composition in the GIFT strain of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) 943 selected for high growth. Aquaculture 309:66-74 944 Nguyen NH, Premachandra H, Kilian A, Knibb W (2018b) Genomic prediction using DArT-Seq 945 technology for yellowtail kingfish Seriola lalandi. BMC genomics 19:107 946 Nguyen NH, Quinn J, Powell D, Elizur A, Thoa NP, Nocillado J, Lamont R, Remilton C, Knibb W (2014) 947 Heritability for body colour and its genetic association with morphometric traits in Banana 948 shrimp (Fenneropenaeus merguiensis). BMC genetics 15:132 949 Nielsen HM, Monsen BB, Ødegård J, Bijma P, Damsgård B, Toften H, Olesen I (2014) Direct and social 950 genetic parameters for growth and fin damage traits in Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua). Genet 951 Sel Evol 46 952 Ninh NH, Ponzoni RW, Nguyen NH, Woolliams JA, Taggart JB, McAndrew BJ, Penman DJ (2013) A 953 comparison of communal and separate rearing of families in selective breeding of common 954 carp (Cyprinus carpio): Responses to selection. Aquaculture 408-409:152-159 955 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aguaculture.2013.06.005 956 Nolasco-Alzaga HR, Perez-Enriquez R, Enez F, Bestin A, Palacios-Mechetnov E, Haffray P (2018) 957 Quantitative genetic parameters of growth and fatty acid content in the hemolymph of the 958 Whiteleg shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei. Aquaculture 482:17-23 959 Norris A (2007) Analysis of phenotypic and genetic parameters of muscle fibre number and its 960 relationship to flesh quality traits. Aquaculture 272:S296-S297 961 Ødegård J, Baranski M, Gjerde B, Gjedrem T (2011) Methodology for genetic evaluation of disease 962 resistance in aquaculture species: challenges and future prospects. Aquaculture Research 963 42:103-114 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02669.x Olesen I, Gjedrem T, Bentsen H, Gjerde B, Rye M (2003) Breeding programs for sustainable 964 965 aquaculture. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 13:179-204 966 Oliveira CAL, Ribeiro RP, Yoshida GM, Kunita NM, Rizzato GS, Oliveira SN, Santos AI, Nguyen NH 967 (2016) Correlated changes in body shape after five generations of selection to improve 968 growth rate in a breeding program for Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus in Brazil. Journal of

969 970	Applied Genetics:1-7. DOI 10.1007/s13353-13016-10338-13355 doi:10.1007/s13353-016- 0338-5
971	Phuthaworn C, Nguyen NH, Quinn J, Knibb W (2016) Moderate heritability of hepatopancreatic
972	parvovirus titre suggests a new option for selection against viral diseases in banana shrimp
973	(Fenneropenaeus merguiensis) and other aquaculture species. Genetics Selection Evolution
974	48:64
975	Ponzoni RW, Nguyen NH, Khaw HL, Hamzah A, Bakar KRA, Yee HY (2011) Genetic improvement of
976	Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) with special reference to the work conducted by the
977	WorldFish Center with the GIFT strain. Reviews in Aquaculture 3:27 - 41
978	Prchal M, Kause A, Vandeputte M, Gela D, Allamellou J-M, Kumar G, Bestin A, Bugeon J, Zhao J,
979	Kocour M (2018) The genetics of overwintering performance in two-year old common carp
980	and its relation to performance until market size. PloS one 13:e0191624
981	Quinton CD, McMillan I, Glebe BD (2005) Development of an Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) genetic
982	improvement program: Genetic parameters of harvest body weight and carcass quality traits
983	estimated with animal models. Aquaculture 247:211-217
984	Rönnegård L, Lee Y (2013) Exploring the potential of hierarchical generalized linear models in animal
985	breeding and genetics. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics 130:415-416
986	Saberioon M, Gholizadeh A, Cisar P, Pautsina A, Urban J (2016) Application of machine vision
987	systems in aquaculture with emphasis on fish: state of the - art and key issues. Reviews in
988	Aquaculture
989	Sae-Lim P, Kause A, Janhunen M, Vehviläinen H, Koskinen H, Gjerde B, Lillehammer M, Mulder HA
990	(2015) Genetic (co) variance of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) body weight and its
991	uniformity across production environments. Genetics Selection Evolution 47:1-10
992	Sae-Lim P, Komen H, Kause A, Van Arendonk J, Barfoot A, Martin K, Parsons J (2012) Defining desired
993	genetic gains for rainbow trout breeding objective using analytic hierarchy process. Journal
994	of animal science 90:1766-1776
995	Sae - Lim P, Gjerde B, Nielsen HM, Mulder H, Kause A (2016) A review of genotype - by -
996	environment interaction and micro - environmental sensitivity in aquaculture species.
997	Reviews in Aquaculture 8:369-393
998	Safari E, Fogarty N, Gilmour AR (2005) A review of genetic parameter estimates for wool, growth,
999	meat and reproduction traits in sheep. Livestock Production Science 92:271-289
1000	Thoa NP, Hamzah A, Nguyen NH (2017) Genetic variation and correlated changes in reproductive
1001	performance of a red tilapia line selected for improved growth over three generations.
1002	Animal reproduction science 184:94-101
1003	Thoa NP, Knibb W, Ninh NH, Van Dai N, Nhat PH, Toan LM, Nguyen NH (2015) Genetic variation in
1004	survival of tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus, Linnaeus</i> , 1758) fry during the early phase of
1005	rearing in brackish water environment (5–10 ppt). Aquaculture 442:112-118
1006	doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.02.040</u>
1007	Thoa NP, Ninh NH, Knibb W, Nguyen NH (2016) Does selection in a challenging environment produce
1008	Nile tilapia genotypes that can thrive in a range of production systems? Scientific Reports
1009	6:21486 doi:21410.21038/srep21486 doi:10.1038/srep21486
1010	http://www.nature.com/articles/srep21486#supplementary-information
1011	Thompson R (2008) Estimation of quantitative genetic parameters. Proceedings of the Royal Society
1012	of London B: Biological Sciences 275:679-686
1013	Tobin D, Kause A, Mäntysaari EA, Martin SA, Houlihan DF, Dobly A, Kiessling A, Rungruangsak-
1014	Torrissen K, Ritola O, Ruohonen K (2006) Fat or lean? The quantitative genetic basis for
1015	selection strategies of muscle and body composition traits in breeding schemes of rainbow
1016	trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>). Aquaculture 261:510-521
1017	Trinh TT, Nguyen NH, Nguyen HH, Wayne K, Nguyen NH (2019) Genetic variation in disease
1018	resistance against White Spot Syndrome Virus (WSSV) in Liptopenaeus vannamei. Frontiers
1019	in Genetics 10:264

1020	Trọng TQ, Mulder HA, van Arendonk JAM, Komen H (2013a) Heritability and genotype by
1021	environment interaction estimates for harvest weight, growth rate, and shape of Nile tilapia
1022	(Oreochromis niloticus) grown in river cage and VAC in Vietnam. Aquaculture 384–387:119-
1023	127 doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.12.022</u>
1024	Trong TQ, van Arendonk JA, Komen H (2013b) Genetic parameters for reproductive traits in female
1025	Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus): I. Spawning success and time to spawn. Aquaculture
1026	416:57-64
1027	Trong TQ, van Arendonk JA, Komen H (2013c) Genetic parameters for reproductive traits in female
1028	Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus): II. Fecundity and fertility. Aquaculture 416:72-77
1029	Tsai H-Y, Matika O, Edwards SM, Antolín–Sánchez R, Hamilton A, Guy DR, Tinch AE, Gharbi K, Stear
1030	MJ, Taggart JB (2017) Genotype imputation to improve the cost-efficiency of genomic
1031	selection in farmed Atlantic salmon. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics 7:1377-1383
1032	Valente BD, Rosa GJ, de los Campos G, Gianola D, Silva MA (2010) Searching for recursive causal
1033	structures in multivariate quantitative genetics mixed models. Genetics 185:633-644
1034	Van Eenennaam AL, Weigel KA, Young AE, Cleveland MA, Dekkers JC (2014) Applied animal
1035	genomics: results from the field. Annu Rev Anim Biosci 2:105-139
1036	Vehviläinen H, Kause A, Kuukka - Anttila H, Koskinen H, Paananen T (2012) Untangling the positive
1037	genetic correlation between rainbow trout growth and survival. Evolutionary applications
1038	5:732-745
1039	Verdal H, Komen H, Quillet E, Chatain B, Allal F, Benzie JA, Vandeputte M (2017) Improving feed
1040	efficiency in fish using selective breeding: a review. Reviews in Aquaculture:DOI:
1041	10.1111/raq.12202
1042	Viechtbauer W (2010) Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J Stat Softw 36:1-48
1043	Vieira VL, Norris A, Johnston IA (2007) Heritability of fibre number and size parameters and their
1044	genetic relationship to flesh quality traits in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Aquaculture
1045	272:S100-S109
1046	Vu NT, Nguyen NH (2019) Quantitative genetic changes in reproductive performance of giant
1047	freshwater prawn after 10 years of selection for increased growth rate. Reproduction in
1048	Domestic Animals 54:199-206
1049	Vu NT, Trong TQ, Nguyen NH (2017) Effects of selection for fast growth on survival rate during grow-
1050	out phase in giant freshwater prawn (Macrobrachium rosenbergii). BMC genetics 18:56
1051	Vu NT, Van Sang N, Phuc TH, Vuong NT, Nguyen NH (2019) Genetic evaluation of a 15-year selection
1052	program for high growth in striped catfish Pangasianodon hypophthalmus. Aquaculture
1053	509:221-226
1054	Whatmore P, Nguyen NH, Miller A, Lamont R, Powell D, D'Antignana T, Bubner E, Elizur A, Knibb W
1055	(2013) Genetic parameters for economically important traits in yellowtail kingfish Seriola
1056	lalandi. Aquaculture 400–401:77-84
1057	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.03.002
1058	Yáñez JM, Lhorente JP, Bassini LN, Oyarzún M, Neira R, Newman S (2014) Genetic co-variation
1059	between resistance against both Caligus rogercresseyi and Piscirickettsia salmonis, and body
1060	weight in Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>). Aquaculture 433:295-298
1061	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.06.026
1062	Yoshida GM, Bangera R, Carvalheiro R, Correa K, Figueroa R, Lhorente JP, Yáñez JM (2018) Genomic
1063	prediction accuracy for resistance against <i>Piscirickettsia salmonis</i> in farmed rainbow trout.
1064	G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics 8:719-726
1065	

1067 Figures

- 1068 Figure 1: Weighted mean heritability (h²) for body weight and the maternal and common
- 1069 environmental full-sib effects (c²) in six groups of species studied.
- 1070 Figure 2: Forest plot of the heritability difference between contrasting environments (Q-statistic =
- 1071 22.530, p-value = 0.605) and between-study variance = 0.03
- 1072 Figure 3: Weighted genetic correlations (r_g) of body weight with measures of deformity in fish and
- shrimp (between-study variance = 0.32 and P > 0.05)
- 1074

1075 Glossary

Quantitative complex traits are influenced by both genetics and the environment, which can be
 expressed on continuous numerical scale (e.g. body weight) or non-continuous (meristic and
 threshold traits).

- 1079 Closed breeding nucleus is a traditional breeding scheme where replacement animals come from
 1080 the nucleus and no animals outside the nucleus are added to the population.
- Functional traits are morphological, biochemical, physiological, structural, phenological or
 behavioural characteristics that influence organism performance or fitness.
- Heritability estimates the degree of variation in a phenotypic trait in a population that is due
 to genetic variation between individuals in that population
- 1085 Common full-sib effects are due to non-additive genetic, maternal and environmental effects and
 1086 common family-tank/hapa effects, as a result of separate rearing of each family before physical
 1087 tagging
 1088
- **Phenotypic correlation** is a measure of the association between phenotypic values of characters
- 1090 Genetic correlation is a measure of the association between breeding values of quantitative1091 characters
- **Random regression analysis** is a statistical method used to analyse repeated measurements over
 time or age (also termed as longitudinal data) to account for the mean and covariance structure that
 may change with time.
- 1095 **Cure survival models** are used for modelling time-until-death data that include a fraction of non-1096 affected individuals, i.e., those that are liable to die due to the infection.
- Structural equation models, also known as path models, are used to understand the correlation
 structure of continuously variable data
- 1099 **Double hierarchical linear generalised model (DHGLM)** is an extension of generalized linear model
- to include a structure for one or more variance components and/or the residual variance. The
 method is used for estimation in models with genetically structured heterogeneity of residual
- 1102 variance for large quantitative data sets

Species	No. of studies	Age of pub years relative to 2017	Average data records	Average no of sires	Average no of dams	Design	Analytic methods
Salmonids	40	11.9	27,933	104	69	S, M, C	REML
Tilapias	34	7.9	16,096	164	223	S	IC, REML, h ² _R
Carps	8	8.8	4,208	166	279	S, M, C	REML
Other fishes	31	5.9	3,296	122	95	S, M, C	REML
Crustacean	24	7.0	41,135	294	392	S	REML
Molluscs	12	8.7	6,189	112	172	S, M	IC, REML, h ² _R
Across	150	8.7	19,357	199	264	S, M, C	IC, REML, h ² _R
species							

1104 Table 1: Data characteristics for reports on genetic parameters for growth related traits

1105 Salmonids = Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), rainbow trout

1106 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), brown trout (*Salmo trutta*), Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and European

1107 whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus L.*)

Tilapias = Nile (*Oreochromis niloticus*), blue (*Oreochromis aureus*), red (*Oreochromis sp*) and African
indigenous (*Oreochromis shiranus*) tilapia

1112 Other fishes include yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*), seabream (*Sparus aurata*), Asian seabass

1113 (Lates calcarifer), European seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax), Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua), common

sole (*Solea solea*), striped catfish (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*), Channel catfish (*Ictalurus*

1115 *punctatus*), turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*), Yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*)

1116 Crustaceans = Whiteleg shrimp (*Penaeus (Litopenaeus) vannamei*), tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*),

1117 giant freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*), banana prawn (*Fenneropenaeus merguiensis*)

1118 and redclaw crayfish (Cherax quadricarinatus)

1119

1120 Molluscs = Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*), Sydney rock oyster (*Saccostrea glomerata*), tropical

1121 oyster (Saccostrea cucullata), Pearl oyster (Pinctada fucata), silver-lip Pearl oyster (Pinctada

1122 maxima), Chinese Pearl oyster (Pinctada martensii), Chilean mussel (Mytilus chilensis),

1123 Mediterranean mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*), small abalone (*Haliotis diversicolor*), South African

abalone (*Haliotis midae*), red abalone (*Haliotis rufescens*), tropical abalone (*Haliotis asinina*), scallop

1125 (Placopecten magellanicus), Japanese scallop (Patinopecten yessoensis), catarina scallop (Argopecten

1126 ventricosus) and Manila clam (Ruditapes philippinarum)

1127 S = Single pair mating with known parental identities to maintain pedigree using conventional

1128 physical tags (Passive Integrated Transponder, PIT tags for fish and visible implant elastomer, VIE for

1129 crustaceans), M = Mass spawning using DNA markers for parentage assignment, and C = Combined

1130 conventional and molecular genetic pedigree methods

1131 IC = Intraclass correlation, REML = Restricted Maximum Likelihood Method under the mixed model 1132 framework, and h_{R}^{2} = realised heritability

- 1133
- 1134

 ¹¹¹⁰ Carps = common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), rohu carp (*Labeo rohita*), silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys* 1111 *molitrix*)

- Table 2: Number of literature estimates (N), weighted mean heritability (h^2), between study variance 1135
- (σ_B^2) , Q statistics and 95% confidence interval (CI) of the heritability estimate for economic traits 1136
- across aquaculture species. 1137

Traits	Ν	h² ± s.e.	$\sigma_{\!B}^2$ variance	Q-statistic	95% CI of <i>h</i> ²
Growth traits					
Body weight	193	0.30±0.02***	0.14×10 ⁻⁵	82.0	0.27 – 0.33
Feed utilisation	14	0.23±0.10*	0.92×10 ⁻¹	5.5	0.04 - 0.42
Carcass and flesh					
quality traits			_		
Fillet weight	8	0.33±0.10***	0.10×10 ⁻⁶	0.69	0.14 - 0.51
Fillet yield	3	0.20±0.13 ^{ns}	0.40×10 ⁻¹	1.31	-0.05 – 0.45
рН	1	0.15±0.14 ^{ns}	0.01×10 ⁻⁶	0.00	-0.13 – 0.32
Colour	11	0.18±0.07*	0.51×10 ⁻¹	1.84	0.04 – 0.32
Protein content	5	0.05±0.14 ^{ns}	0.63×10 ⁻³	0.01	-0.22 – 0.33
Fat content	18	0.17±0.07*	0.10×10 ⁻⁶	3.98	0.03 - 0.31
Reproductive					
traits					
Sexual maturity	9	0.21±0.09*	0.10×10⁻ ⁶	1.1	0.04 – 0.38
Female weight	7	0.35±0.13**	0.49×10 ⁻²	1.66	0.11 - 0.60
Fecundity	9	0.14±0.12 ^{ns}	0.18×10 ⁻⁶	0.03	-0.10 – 0.37
Fry weight	2	0.09±0.13 ^{ns}	0.19×10 ⁻⁴	0.02	-0.16 – 0.35
Fry survival	6	0.08±0.14 ^{ns}	0.89×10 ⁻⁴	0.16	-0.19 – 0.36
Disease					
resistance					
Virus	27	0.27±0.04***	0.58×10⁻ ⁶	11.9	0.18 – 0.35
Bacteria	21	0.32±0.05***	0.18×10⁻ ⁶	13.5	0.23 – 0.41
Parasites	15	0.20±0.06**	0.16×10⁻ ⁶	4.5	0.09 – 0.31
Others	5	0.20±0.11 ^{ns}	0.68×10 ⁻²	2.3	-0.01 - 0.42
All diseases	55	0.26±0.02**	0.20×10 ⁻⁵	67.5	0.22 – 0.30
Fitness related					
traits					
Deformity	11	0.18±0.11 ^{ns}	0.43×10 ⁻³	0.84	-0.04 – 0.39
Survival	31	0.14±0.03***	0.45×10⁻⁵	21.0	0.08 - 0.21

1138

- 1140 Table 3: Number of literature estimates (*N*), weighted mean maternal and common environmental
- effects (c^2), between study variance (σ_B^2), Q statistics and 95% confidence interval (CI) for economic
- 1142 traits across aquaculture species.

Traits	Ν	c² ± s.e.	σ_B^2 variance	Q-statistic	95% CI of <i>c</i> ²
Growth traits			-		
Body weight	45	0.10±0.02**	0.97×10 ⁻⁵	12.7	0.05 - 0.14
Feed utilisation	2	0.14±0.17 ^{ns}	0.15×10 ⁻⁶	0.01	-0.18 - 0.47
Carcass and flesh quality traits					
Fillet weight	2	0.07±0.09 ^{ns}	0.36×10 ⁻²	0.15	-0.10 - 0.25
Reproductive traits					
Sexual maturity	1	0.090±0.04 ^{ns}	0.00×10 ⁻⁵	0.01	-0.18 – 0.26
Fitness related traits					
Survival	6	0.04±0.05 ^{ns}	0.10×10 ⁻⁶	0.32	-0.05 – 0.13

1144

1143

Traits	N	R ²	Species	Design	Analysis method	Assemble data colelcted
Body weight	193	0.65	1.4	3.6**	3.1*	0.3
Survival	31	0.93	2.4	0.2	0.5	0.1
Sexual maturity	9	0.87	3.9	n.a.	n.a.	6.6

1146 Table 4: F-value of factors included in the analysis of heritability for growth related traits studied

1147 **P*<0.05*,* ***P*<0.01.

1148 These effects on other traits (feed utilisation, flesh quality, reproduction, disease resistance and 1149 deformity) were also not significant

1150

1151

- 1153 Table 5: Number of literature estimates (*N*), weighted mean genetic correlations of flesh quality
- traits with body weight (r_g), between study variance (σ_B^2), Q statistics and 95% confidence interval
- 1155 (CI) of the genetic correlation estimate

Traits	N	r _g	$\sigma_{\!B}^2$ variance	Q-statistic	95% CI
Protein	2	0.02	0.02	13	-0.17 – 0.21
Fat	12	0.51***	0.16	2474	0.33 – 0.67
Moisture	4	-0.19	0.02	81	-0.32 – -0.06
рН	1	0.01	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Colour	6	0.31**	0.29	2605	-0.08 – 0.62

1156 **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001

1157

1159	Table 6: Number of literature estimates (N), weighted mean genetic correlations of disease
------	--

1160 resistance with body weight (r_g), between study variance (σ_B^2), *p*-value of *Q* statistics and 95%

1161 confidence interval (CI)

Traits	N	r _g	$\sigma_{\!B}^2$ variance	Q-statistic	95% CI
Bacteria	8	0.09	0.04	<0.001	-0.03 - 0.21
Virus	8	0.02	1.60	<0.001	-0.63 – 0.68
Parasites	10	0.24*	0.19	<0.001	-0.12 – 0.59
Across types of pathogens	26	0.13	0.72	<0.001	-0.07 – 0.33

1163	Table 7: Number of literature estimates (N	, weighted mean genetic correlations of reproductive
------	--	--

traits with body weight (r_g), between study variance (σ_B^2), Q statistics and 95% confidence interval

1165 (CI)

Traits	Ν	r _g	$\sigma_{\!B}^2$ variance	Q-statistic	95% CI
WBS	3	0.31***	0.00	0.44	0.29 – 0.33
ES	3	0.36**	0.05	213	0.12 – 0.56
TNE	5	0.20*	0.04	212	0.02 – 0.36
EV	2	0.50**	0.14	389	0.04 – 0.79
NFH	3	0.04 ^{ns}	0.01	27	-0.04 - 0.18

1166 WBS= weight before spawning, ES= egg size (measured as diameter or volume), TNE= total number of

eggs, EV= total volume of eggs, NFH= number of fry hatched

1168 *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 and ns = non-significant

1169

1170 Table 8: Number of sires and dams required in a nested design (one male is mated two females) Option 1 Option 2 Option 3 P = 0.05P = 0.01P = 0.05P = 0.01P = 0.05P = 0.0145 Sires 15 15 15 15 30 30 30 30 45 45 45 30 30 30 30 60 60 60 60 90 90 90 90 Dams h^2 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.20 0.07 0.20 0.07 0.07 Power 35.7 82.8 16.5 67.1 87.6 99.8 73.4 99.8 99.6 100 98.4 99.9

1171





