- 1 SMURF2 phosphorylation at Thr249 modifies the stemness and tumorigenicity of glioma
- 2 stem cells by regulating TGF-β receptor stability
- 4 Manami Hiraiwa^{1,8}, Kazuya Fukasawa^{1,8}, Takashi Iezaki^{1,8,9}, Hemragul Sabit², Tetsuhiro
- 5 Horie¹, Kazuya Tokumura¹, Sayuki Iwahashi¹, Misato Murata¹, Masaki Kobayashi¹,
- 6 Gyujin Park¹, Katsuyuki Kaneda³, Tomoki Todo⁴, Atsushi Hirao^{5,6}, Mitsutoshi Nakada²
- 7 and Eiichi Hinoi^{1,7,9}.

- 8 ¹Department of Bioactive Molecules, Pharmacology, Gifu Pharmaceutical University, Gifu,
- 9 501-1196, Japan.
- ²Department of Neurosurgery, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kanazawa University,
- 11 Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan.
- 12 ³Laboratory of Molecular Pharmacology, Division of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kanazawa
- 13 University Graduate School, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, 920-1192, Japan.
- ⁴Division of Innovative Cancer Therapy, Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo,
- 15 Tokyo, Japan.
- ⁵Cancer and Stem Cell Research Program, Division of Molecular Genetics, Cancer Research
- 17 Institute, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan.
- ⁶WPI Nano Life Science Institute (WPI-Nano LSI), Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa,
- 19 Japan.
- 20 ⁷United Graduate School of Drug Discovery and Medical Information Sciences, Gifu
- 21 University, Gifu, Japan.
- 22 8These authors equally contributed to this work.
- ⁹To whom correspondence should be addressed.
- 24 Mailing address: 1-25-4, Daigaku-nishi, Gifu, 501-1196, Japan
- 25 Tel/Fax: 81-(0)58-230-8123

26 E-mail: hinoi-e@gifu-pu.ac.jp

Running title: SMURF2 phosphorylation on stemness and tumorigenicity of GSCs

28 **Key words:** SMURF2, glioblastoma, glioma stem cells, Thr²⁴⁹ phosphorylation, TGF-β

29 receptor

27

31

33

Funding: This work was supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

(20H03407 to E.H.); and a grant from Japan Research Foundation for Clinical Pharmacology

32 (to E.H.).

Abstract

Glioma stem cells (GSCs) contribute to the pathogenesis of glioblastoma, the most malignant form of glioma. The implication and underlying mechanisms of SMAD specific E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 2 (SMURF2) on the GSC phenotypes remain unknown. We previously demonstrated that SMURF2 phosphorylation at Thr²⁴⁹ (SMURF2^{Thr249}) activates its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. Here, we demonstrate that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation plays an essential role in maintaining GSC stemness and tumorigenicity. *SMURF2* silencing augmented the self-renewal potential and tumorgenicity of patient-derived GSCs. The SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level was low in human glioblastoma pathology specimens. Introduction of the *SMURF2*^{T249A} mutant resulted in increased stemness and tumorgenicity of GSCs, recapitulating the *SMURF2* silencing. Moreover, the inactivation of SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation increases TGF-β receptor (TGFBR) protein stability. Indeed, *TGFBR1* knockdown markedly counteracted the GSC phenotypes by *SMURF2*^{T249A} mutant. These findings highlight the importance of SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation in maintaining GSC phenotypes, thereby demonstrating a potential target for GSC-directed therapy.

Introduction

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

SMAD specific E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 2 (SMURF2) is the E3 ubiquitin ligase responsible for specifying the substrates for ubiquitination and degradation by proteasomes (1, 2). Accumulating evidence indicates SMURF2 regulates a wide array of physiological processes, including cell proliferation, invasion, self-renewal, and migration, through its regulation of a variety of signaling pathways (3-5). The E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of SMURF2 is regulated at the post-transcriptional level through SUMOylation, methylation, and phosphorylation (6-8), as well as at the transcriptional level (9). We recently demonstrated that the phosphorylation of SMURF2 at Thr²⁴⁹ (SMURF2^{Thr249}) by extracellular signal-regulated kinase 5 (ERK5) plays an essential role in maintaining the stemness of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), which contributes to skeletogenesis (10). Mechanistically, SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation activates its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, which modifies the stability of SMAD proteins, which in turn transcriptionally activate the expression of SOX9, the principal transcription factor of skeletogenesis in MSCs. Gliomas, which represent approximately 80% of all primary malignant brain tumors in humans, can be categorized into four grades according to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification criteria: grade I, grade II, grade III, and grade IV (glioblastoma, GBM) (11, 12). GBM, the most malignant form of glioma, is one of the most aggressive and deadly types of cancer. Patients with GBM have a very poor prognosis, with a five-year survival rate of only 5.1% (13, 14). Glioma stem cells (GSCs), also known as glioma-initiating cells, are a subpopulation of tumor cells that exhibit stem cell-like capacities such as self-renewal and tumor-initiating capacities (15-17). Recent studies have determined that GSCs contribute to high rates of therapeutic resistance and rapid recurrence (18, 19), cancer invasion, immune evasion, tumor angiogenesis, and the recruitment of tumor-associated macrophages, which indicates that targeting GSCs is an efficacious strategy for improving GBM treatment (20-22).

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

Transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) signaling, which is tightly regulated through protein ubiquitination (23, 24), has been shown to play a crucial role in maintaining the stemness and tumorigenicity of GSCs through several pathways including the SMAD-SOX4-SOX2 axis and the SMAD-LIF-JAK-STAT pathway (25, 26). SMAD7 acts as a scaffold protein to recruit SMURF2 to the TGF-β receptor (TGFBR) complex to facilitate its ubiquitination (27). This leads to the proteasome-mediated degradation of TGFBRs and the attenuation of TGF-β signaling. Ubiquitin-specific peptidase 15 (USP15), a deubiquitinating enzyme, binds to the SMAD7-SMURF2 complex and deubiquitinates and stabilizes TGFBR1, resulting in enhanced TGF-β signaling (28, 29). The balance between USP15 and SMURF2 activities determines the activity of TGF-\beta signaling and subsequent oncogenesis in GBM. Indeed, a deficiency in USP15 decreases the oncogenic capacity of GSCs due to the repression of TGF-β signaling (28); conversely, USP15 amplification confers poor prognosis in individuals with GBM (30). However, although SMURF2 should be assumed to play an opposite role from that of USP15, no reports have yet directly addressed the implication and underlying mechanisms of SMURF2 on the GSC phenotypes and subsequent glioma pathogenesis both in vivo and in vitro. In this study, we reveal that SMURF2 silencing by shRNA resulted in an augmentation of the self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs. The SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level was downregulated in GBM patients, regardless of the lack of marked changes in its mRNA and protein levels. Additionally, the SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level was lower in GSCs than that in differentiated glioma cells. The inactivation of SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation by a non-phosphorylatable mutant (SMURF2^{T249A} mutant) increased the selfrenewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs, thus mimicking the GSC phenotype in SMURF2 silencing. Mechanistically, SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation activates its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, which decreases the protein stability of TGFBR1 via proteasome-mediated degradation. Finally, TGFBR1 silencing rescues the increased self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs by inactivating SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation. Collectively, these findings highlight the importance of SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation in maintaining the stemness and tumorigenicity of GSCs; these findings also indicate that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation could be an important posttranslational modification in treatment strategies aimed at disrupting GSCs.

Results

Targeting SMURF2 promotes the self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs

We first elucidated the functional significance of SMURF2 in maintaining GSCs *in vitro* by targeting *SMURF2* expression using lentiviral shRNA (sh*SMURF2*) in TGS-01 and TGS-04 GSCs, which are human GBM patient-derived GSCs. Disruption of *SMURF2* with shRNA significantly increased GSC tumorsphere formation in both TGS-01 and TGS-04 GSCs (Fig. 1A). Additionally, an *in vitro* limiting dilution assay demonstrated that the self-renewal potential of GSCs was significantly increased by *SMURF2* silencing in both TGS-01 and TGS-04 GSCs (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, *SMURF2* knockdown resulted in the significant upregulation of the stem cell transcription factors SOX2 and SOX4 in TGS-01 GSCs, along with a marked reduction in the SMURF2 protein level (Fig. 1C). Conversely, disrupting *SMURF2* did not significantly alter cell apoptosis in TGS-01 GSCs (Fig. 1D).

We next examined whether *SMURF2* silencing could affect the tumorigenic potential of GSCs in an orthotopic xenograft mouse model. Equal numbers of TGS-01 GSCs transduced with either sh*SMURF2* or sh*Control* were intracranially injected into immunocompromised mice. The mice inoculated with the sh*SMURF2*-infected TGS-01 GSCs had a significantly shortened survival compared with the mice injected with the sh*Control*-infected cells (Fig. 1E). Moreover, the histological examination demonstrated that the mice inoculated with sh*SMURF2*-infected TGS-01 GSCs displayed larger tumors compared with the mice injected with sh*Control*-infected cells (Fig. 1F). Collectively, our findings in patient-derived GSCs *in vitro* and in the *in vivo* orthotopic xenograft model indicate the importance of SMURF2 in the self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs.

SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level is lower in human GBM tissues and human GBM

patient-derived GSCs

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

We next assessed whether our findings were relevant to clinical data in glioma patients using publicly available datasets and our clinical samples. No marked alterations of SMURF2 mRNA levels were found among grades II, III, and IV cancer or among classical, mesenchymal, and proneural tumors, according to the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (Fig. 2A). Moreover, in accordance with the lack of marked alterations of the SMURF2 mRNA levels in glioma specimens in the TCGA database, we confirmed that the SMURF2 protein level was comparable between control nonneoplastic brain tissue (NB), diffuse astrocytoma (grade II), anaplastic astrocytoma (grade III), and GBM (grade IV) in our clinical samples (Fig. 2B and 2C). These results led us to investigate whether the posttranslational modification of SMURF2 could be modified in human glioma specimens to reveal the functional importance of SMURF2 in the development and progression of gliomas. Given that our previous study reported that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation plays an essential role in maintaining the stemness of MSCs (10), we next examined the SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level in human glioma specimens. The SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level was significantly lower in the GBM (grade IV) and anaplastic astrocytoma (grade III) specimens than in NB specimens (Fig. 2B and 2D). Moreover, the SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level was negatively correlated with the protein level of SOX2, a stem cell transcription factor (31, 32), in glioma specimens (Fig. 2E). Further, we compared the SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level in GSCs and that in differentiated glioma cells. For this, TGS-01 and TGS-04 cells were cultured in neurosphere culture condition (for GSCs) or adherent culture condition (for differentiated glioma cells). Under neurosphere culture condition, TGS-01 and TGS-04 GSCs displayed a significant lower SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level, in addition to a higher SOX2 level and a lower GFAP level, when compared with TGS-01 and TGS-04 cells cultured under adherent culture condition

(Fig. 2F). Conversely, SMURF2 protein level was comparable between cells under the two culture conditions (Fig. 2F). Therefore, these results indicated that the SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation level was significantly lower in GSCs than that in differentiated glioma cells. Our experimental findings aligned with publicly available clinical data suggest that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation rather than SMURF2 levels (protein and mRNA) might be associated with tumor grade and glioma stemness in humans. Thus, SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation may serve as a prognostic marker of GBM.

SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation is implicated in the self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs

We next determined whether the SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation is implicated in the maintenance of GSCs *in vitro*. To this end, a T249A *SMURF2* mutant construct (hereafter referred to as *SMURF2*^{T249A}), in which threonine was replaced by alanine to prevent phosphorylation, was lentivirally infected in both TGS-01 and TGS-04 GSCs. The introduction of *SMURF2*^{T249A} significantly increased tumorsphere formation and the self-renewal potential in both TGS-01 and TGS-04 GSCs; conversely, these changes were significantly decreased after the introduction of wild-type SMURF2 (hereafter referred to as *SMURF2*^{WT}) (Fig. 3A and 3B). Additionally, an immunoblotting analysis revealed that the protein levels of SOX2 and SOX4 were significantly upregulated by *SMURF2*^{T249A} but significantly downregulated by *SMURF2*^{WT} (Fig. 3C). Conversely, cell apoptosis was not markedly altered by either *SMURF2*^{T249A} or *SMURF2*^{WT} in TGS-01 GSCs (Fig. 3D).

We next examined the impact of SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation on the tumorigenic potential of GSCs *in vivo*. Equal numbers of TGS-01 GSCs transduced with either *SMURF2*^{T249A} or *SMURF2*^{WT} were intracranially injected into immunocompromised mice. The inoculation of *SMURF2*^{T249A}-infected cells significantly shortened the survival of the mice

compared with the inoculation of empty vector (E.V.) -infected cells; conversely, their survival was significantly prolonged by the inoculation of *SMURF2*^{WT}-infected cells (Fig. 3E). Moreover, *SMURF2*^{T249A}-infected cells generated larger tumors than the control cells, whereas *SMURF2*^{WT}-infected cells generated smaller tumors (Fig. 3F). Immunoblotting analysis showed that the SOX2 level was significantly increased in the ipsilateral side than that in the contralateral side after inoculation of E.V.-infected cells and *SMURF2*^{T249A}-infected cells (Fig. 3G). The SOX2 level in the ipsilateral side was significantly decreased in mice inoculated with *SMURF2*^{WT}-infected cells than that in mice with E.V.-infected cells, whereas it tended to increase in mice inoculated with *SMURF2*^{T249A}-infected cells (Fig. 3G). Collectively, these results indicate that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation could regulate the self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs.

SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation modifies the TGF-β-SMAD2/3 axis by controlling TGFBR stability in GSCs

The self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs were activated by inactivating SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation, thus recapitulating GSC phenotypes by *SMURF2* silencing. The phosphorylation of SMURF2^{Thr249} activates its ubiquitin E3 ligase ability to accelerate the proteasomal degradation of SMAD proteins (SMAD1, SMAD2, and SMAD3) in MSCs to control the stemness; furthermore, the TGF-β/SMAD and BMP/SMAD axes play a crucial role in regulating the stemness and tumorigenicity of GSCs through the SMAD pathway (25, 33, 34). We therefore investigated whether SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation could regulate the TGF-β/SMAD and BMP/SMAD axes in GSCs. The protein levels of TGFBR1 and TGFBR2 and the phosphorylation level of SMAD2/3 were significantly increased by *SMURF2*^{T249A}; however, these levels were decreased by *SMURF2*^{WT} in TGS-01 GSCs (Fig. 4A). Conversely, the protein levels of BMPR2 and BMPR1A and the phosphorylation level of SMAD1/5/9 were not

significantly altered by either $SMURF2^{T249A}$ or $SMURF2^{WT}$ in TGS-01 GSCs (Fig. 4A). These results indicate that $SMURF2^{Thr249}$ phosphorylation may regulate the TGF- β -SMAD2/3 axis rather than the BMP-SMAD1/5/9 axis in GSCs.

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

To elucidate whether SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation controls TGFBR protein stability through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in GSCs, TGS-01 GSCs were treated with cycloheximide (CHX), a protein synthesis inhibitor, and the TGFBR1 and TGFBR2 protein levels were evaluated. The TGFBR1 and TGFBR2 protein levels gradually decreased and became almost undetectable within 8 hours of CHX treatment in E.V.-infected TGS-01 GSCs (Fig. 4B). The enforced expression of SMURF2^{T249A} prominently increased the stability of both the TGFBR1 and TGFBR2 proteins whereas the introduction of SMURF2WT destabilized their proteins in TGS-01 GSCs (Fig. 4B). We next investigated the role of SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation in SMURF2-dependent TGFBR protein degradation. Firstly, immunoprecipitation assay revealed that SMURF2 physically interacts with TGFBR1 in TGS-01 GSCs (Fig. 4C). Moreover, endogenous TGFBR1 ubiquitination was markedly elevated by the overexpression of SMURF2WT, but it was decreased by the enforced infection of SMURF2^{T249A} in TGS-01 GSCs (Fig. 4D). These results suggest that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation decreases the protein stability of TGFBR1 by enhancing its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, which in turn reduced TGF-β-SMAD2/3 signaling to repress the self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs.

TGFBR1 is a critical target through which SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation can regulate the self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs

We next examined whether the activation of TGF-β signaling by TGFBR protein stability could contribute to the regulation of self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs by SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation. *TGFBR1* silencing in TGS-01 GSCs significantly

attenuated the increased tumorsphere formation and self-renewal potential caused by the introduction of *SMURF2*^{T249A} (Fig. 5A and 5B). Additionally, *TGFBR1* knockdown significantly rescued the shortened duration of survival in mice bearing *SMURF2*^{T249A}-infected TGS-01 GSCs, resulting in an increased rate of prolonged survival (Fig. 5C and 5D). Finally, SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation was negatively correlated with the TGFBR1 protein levels in human glioma specimens (Fig. 5E). These results indicate that the phosphorylation of SMURF2^{Thr249} is important for regulating TGFBR1 protein stability to control the self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs.

Discussion

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

The E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of SMURF2 is regulated at the posttranslational level, including through phosphorylation (8, 35, 36). SMURF2 activity is inhibited by the phosphorylation at Tyr³¹⁴/Tyr⁴³⁴ by c-Src and Ser³⁸⁴ by ATM (35, 36). We recently demonstrated that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation by ERK5 activates its ubiquitin ligase activity and subsequently controls the stemness of MSCs through modulating the SMAD-SOX9 molecular axis, thus contributing to skeletogenesis (10). In this study, SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation controlled the stemness and tumorigenicity of GSCs by modulating the TGFBR-SMAD-SOX4 molecular axis, contributing to gliomagenesis (Fig. 5F), and $down regulating \ SMURF2^{Thr249} \ phosphorylation \ in \ human \ GBM \ tissues \ as \ well \ as \ human \ GBM$ patient-derived GSCs. Although further studies should be performed to identify the kinases and phosphatases responsible for controlling SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation in GSCs, our results demonstrated that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation may be a crucial post-translational modification for modulating the stemness and tumorigenicity of GSCs, thereby suggesting that molecules that modify the activities of kinases and/or phosphatases responsible for SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation could be novel potential GSC-targeting drugs. SMURF2 is considered to perform a dual role as a promoter and suppressor of tumors by regulating the stability of certain proteins involved in tumorigenesis in cell-dependent and context-dependent manners. SMURF2 interacts with and destabilizes H2AX, which plays a central role in DNA repair and genome stability, in glioma cells (37). SMURF2 silencing reduces the migration and invasion of breast carcinomas and colorectal cancer (3, 38). Moreover, SMURF2 is overexpressed in some types of ovarian cancer and breast cancer (4), and high levels of SMURF2 expression are related to poor prognosis in esophageal carcinomas (39), suggesting that SMURF2 acts as a tumor promoter in certain tumors. Conversely, mouse genetic studies have revealed that SMURF2 deficiency leads to an increase in the possibility of a wide

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

spectrum of tumors in various tissues and organs including the liver, blood, and lungs in aged mice (40), thus implicating SMURF2 as a potent tumor suppressor. However, the mechanisms underlying SMURF2 activity in human malignancies remain elusive because SMURF2 is rarely found mutated or deleted in cancers (41). Here, we show that the disruption of SMURF2 resulted in an enhancement of the self-renewal potential and tumorigenicity of GSCs, which are phenocopied by an inactivation of SMURF2 by a non-phosphorylatable mutant; conversely, the opposite reaction was observed through SMURF2 overexpression in GSCs. Moreover, SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation was markedly lower in the GBM pathology specimens, accompanied by no marked alteration in the SMURF2 protein level, irrespective of the unknown mechanism of downregulated SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation in GBM patients. Although we should investigate whether SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation has a prognostic value for glioma patients, SMURF2 could exert tumor suppressor functions in glioma pathogenesis, in which SMURF2 activity is controlled by SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation status rather than SMURF2 expression levels. The functional role of SMURF2 on tumorigenesis has been reported to be connected to its ability to regulate the protein stability of a variety of substrate repertories, in addition to altering the cellular distribution of SMURF2 (3, 40). For example, SMURF2 governs the chromatin organization, dynamics, and genome integrity by controlling the proteasomal degradation or the protein stability of its substrates including RNF20 or DNA topoisomerase IIa (40, 42), which in turn regulate tumorigenesis and tumor progression. Moreover, SMURF2 regulates the stability of pro-oncogenic transcription factors such as KLF5, YY1, and ID1 (43-45), in addition to regulating Wnt/β-catenin oncogenic signaling and KRAS oncoproteins (46-48). Although we show here that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation plays a crucial role in stemness and tumorigenicity by modulating TGF-β signaling through the ubiquitin-proteasomedependent degradation of TGFBR proteins in GSCs, it should be emphasized that additional molecular mechanisms might be involved in the control of tumorigenicity in GSCs by SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation.

In conclusion, SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation plays a crucial role in glioma pathogenesis by modulating TGF- β /SMAD signaling in GSCs. To our knowledge, this is the first preclinical study to investigate the functional role of SMURF2 on the function of cancer stem cells *in vivo*. Our findings improve our understanding of the molecular mechanism underlying the maintenance of the stemness and tumorigenicity of GSCs and suggest that SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation status could represent a novel target for drug development to treat not only gliomas but also malignant tumors associated with the aberrant expression or function of TGF- β signaling in humans.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and reagents

HEK293T cells were purchased from RIKEN BRC (#RCB2202). HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical #043-30085) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Human patient-derived GBM cell lines TGS-01 and TGS-04 were established as described previously (25). The use of these human materials and protocols were approved by the Ethics Committees of Gifu Pharmaceutical University, Kanazawa University, and the University of Tokyo. These cells were cultured in neurosphere medium containing DMEM/F12 (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical #048-29785) supplemented with GlutaMAX (Gibco #35050061), B27 supplement minus vitamin A (Gibco #12587010), 20 ng/ml recombinant human epidermal growth factor (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical #059-07873) and 20 ng/ml recombinant human basic fibroblast growth factor (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical #064-04541). These cells were differentiated in adherent culture medium containing DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum for 7 days.

Surgical specimens

A total of 46 primary glioma tissues were obtained from patients who underwent surgical removal of tumor. The specimens were reviewed and classified according to WHO criteria (49). Nonneoplastic healthy brain tissues adjacent to tumors were acquired. The tissues were homogenized in lysis buffer. All experiments were approved by the local Institutional Review Board of Kanazawa University (No. 2509) and all study participants provided written informed consent.

Immunoblotting analysis

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

Cells were solubilized in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaF, 1% Nonidet P-40, pH 7.4) containing protease inhibitor cocktail. Samples were then subjected to SDS-PAGE, followed by transfer to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes and subsequent immunoblotting. The primary antibodies used were, anti-p-Smurf2^{Thr249} (#J1683BA260-5, 1:2000) (GenScript), anti-Phospho-Smad2 (Ser465/467) (#3101, 1:1000), anti-Smad2 (#5339, 1:1000), anti-TGF-β Receptor I (#3712, 1:1000), anti-TGF-β Receptor II (#79424, 1:1000), anti-Sox2 (#3579, 1:1000), anti-p-Smad1 (Ser463/465)/5 (Ser463/465)/9 (Ser465/467) (#13820, 1:1000), anti-Smad1 (#9512, 1:1000), anti-BMPR2 (#6979, 1:1000) and anti-Ubiquitin (#3936, 1:1000) (Cell Signaling Technologies), anti-β-actin (#sc-47778, 1:2000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-Sox4 (#AB5803, 1:1000) (EMD Millipore), anti-BMPR1A (#ab174815, 1:1000) and anti-SMURF2 (#ab94483, 1:1000) (Abcam). The primary antibodies were diluted with blocking solution (5% skim milk). The custom polyclonal p-Smurf2^{Thr249} antibody was generated (#J1683BA260-5) (GenScript). Briefly, two rabbits were injected with KLH-conjugated p-Smurf2^{Thr249} epitope, representing amino acids 244-258, emulsified in Freund's complete adjuvant, and then boosted 3 times at 14-day intervals with p-Smurf2^{Thr249} epitope. The images were acquired using ChemiDoc Touch Imaging System (Bio-Rad). Quantification was performed by densitometry using ImageJ.

Tumorsphere formation assay and in vitro limiting dilution assay

For sphere formation assay, single cell suspensions were prepared using StemPro Accutase (Gibco, #A1110501) and filtered through a 70 µm cell strainer (BD Biosciences). Cells were then plated in 96-well Costar ultra-low attachment plate (Corning) at 2 × 10³ cells per well with neurosphere medium mixed with 1% methylcellulose. Tumorsphere number were measured on day 7. For *in vitro* limiting dilution assay, cells were plated in 96-well plate at 1, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100 or 200 cells per well, with 10 replicates for each cell number. The presence

of tumorspheres in each well was examined on day 7. Cell images were captured using a BZ-X810 fluorescence microscope (Keyence) and analyzed for quantitating sphere numbers and sizes using BZ-X810 Analyzer software (Keyence). Limiting dilution assay analysis was performed using online software (http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/software/elda/). Sphere formation was estimated by scoring the number of spheres larger than 50 μm.

Orthotopic xenograft model of GSC-derived GBM and histology

Orthotopic xenograft model of GSC-derived GBM was generated by transplantation of 5 × 10⁴ TGS-01 GSCs into the brain of 4-week-old female nude mice (BALB/cSlc-nu/nu, SLC, Shizuoka, Japan). Briefly, a small burr hole was drilled in the skull 0.5 mm anterior and 2.0 mm lateral from bregma with a micro drill, and dissociated cells were transplanted at a depth of 3 mm below the dura mater. Mice were sacrificed at the indicated time points or upon occurrence of neurological symptoms. Mouse brains were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution, embedded in paraffin, and then sectioned at a thickness of 5 µm. Sections were stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). The sections were captured using a BZ-X810 fluorescence microscope (Keyence). All animal experiments were approved by the Committees on Animal Experimentation of Gifu Pharmaceutical University and Kanazawa University and performed in accordance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. The numbers of animals used per experiment are stated in the figure legends.

Generation of lentiviral vectors and infection

The lentiviral *SMURF2* mutant vector was previously generated (10). The oligonucleotides for *SMURF2* short hairpin RNA (shRNA) were synthesized (Supplementary Table), annealed, and inserted into the mCherry vector, and the shRNA vector for *TGFBR1* was obtained from Sigma (SHCLNG-NM 004612, TRCN0000196326). These vectors were then

transfected into HEK293T cells using the calcium carbonate method. Virus supernatants were collected 48 h after transfection and cells were then infected with viral supernatant for 24 h. Flow cytometry Cells were dissociated into single cells with StemPro Accutase (Gibco). Apoptosis assay was conducted using FITC-Annexin V Apoptosis Detection kit (BD Biosciences, #556547) and analyzed by BD FACS Verse and BD FACSuite software. Immunoprecipitation (IP) assay Cells were solubilized in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaF, 1% Nonidet P-40, pH 7.4) containing protease inhibitor cocktail. Samples were incubated with an antibody in lysis buffer for 24 h at 4 °C and subsequent IP with protein G-Sepharose. Immunoprecipitates were washed three times with lysis buffer and boiled in SDS sample buffer. Samples were then separated by SDS-PAGE, followed by transfer to PVDF membranes and subsequent immunoblotting. **Bioinformatics** Gene expression data from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) project was obtained and analyzed using GlioVis database (http://gliovis.bioinfo.cnio.es/).

Statistical analysis

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

Unless otherwise specified, Student's t-test and one-way ANOVA $post\ hoc$ Bonferroni test were used for statistical significance. Throughout this study, P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. For correlation analysis, we calculated Pearson's correlation coefficient.

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

Figure legends Figure 1. SMURF2 silencing promotes tumor growth and self-renewal of GSCs. TGS-01 and TGS-04 GSCs were infected with shSMURF2 (#1 and #2), followed by determination of (A) tumorsphere number (n=8), (B) stem cell frequency by in vitro limiting dilution assay (estimated frequencies of clonogenic cells in GSC tumorsphere were calculated by ELDA analysis), (C) protein levels of SOX2, SOX4, and SMURF2; β-ACTIN served as a loading control (n=3), and (D) cell apoptosis (n=3). (E) Development of gliomas after intracranial transplantation of shSMURF2-infected TGS-01 GSCs. Survival of mice was evaluated by Kaplan-Meier analysis (n=10). P value was calculated using a log-rank test. (F) Histological analyses of brains dissected at 30 days after intracranial transplantation. Tissue sections were stained with H&E (n=5). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, significantly different from the value obtained in cells infected with sh*Control*. N.S., not significant. Values are expressed as the mean \pm S.E. and statistical significance was determined using (A and C) the one-way ANOVA using the Bonferroni post hoc test, and (F) Student's t-test. Scale bar: 1 mm. Figure 2. SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation is decreased in anaplastic astrocytoma and GBM specimens, and is a negative correlation with stem cell marker. (A) mRNA expression of SMURF2 in each grade (grade II, n=226; grade III, n=244; grade IV, n=150) or subtype (classical (CL), n=199; mesenchymal (MES), n=166; proneural (PN), n=163) of glioma. The data was obtained and analyzed using GlioVis database. (B-D) Determination of protein levels of SMURF2 and pSMURF2^{Thr249} in human glioma samples. Nonneoplastic brain tissue (NB) (n=12), diffuse astrocytoma (DA) Grade II (n=9), anaplastic astrocytoma (AA) Grade III (n=9), glioblastoma (GBM) Grade IV (n=16). (E) Correlation between SOX2 and pSMURF2^{Thr249} in glioma samples. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, significantly different from the value obtained in NB. (F) TGS-01 and TGS-04 cells were cultured in neurosphere medium or adherent culture

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

mm.

medium, followed by determination of protein levels of SOX2, GFAP, SMURF2 and pSMURF2^{Thr249}; β -ACTIN served as a loading control (n=3). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, significantly different from the value obtained in Sphere. N.S., not significant. Values are expressed as the mean \pm S.E. and statistical significance was determined using (A) Tukey's Honest Significant Difference test, (C and D) the one-way ANOVA using the Bonferroni post hoc test, and (F) Student's t-test. r, Pearson's correlation coefficient. Figure 3. SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation regulates tumor growth and self-renewal of GSCs. TGS-01 and TGS-04 GSCs were infected with SMURF2^{WT} or SMURF2^{T249A}, followed by determination of (A) tumorsphere number (n=8), (B) stem cell frequency by in vitro limiting dilution assay, (C) protein levels of SOX2, SOX4, and SMURF2 (n=3), and (D) cell apoptosis (n=3). (E) Development of gliomas after intracranial transplantation of SMURF2WT- or SMURF2^{T249A}-infected TGS-01 GSCs. Survival of mice was evaluated by Kaplan-Meier analysis (*n*=14). *P* value was calculated using a log-rank test. (F) Histological analyses of brains dissected at 30 days after intracranial transplantation. Tissue sections were stained with H&E (n=5). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, significantly different from the value obtained in cells infected with E.V.. (G) Determination of protein levels of SOX2 in the brain of ipsilateral (Ipsi.) side of inoculation and contralateral (Cont.) side at 40 days after intracranial transplantation; β-ACTIN served as a loading control (n=3). **P < 0.01, significantly different from the value obtained in Cont. side inoculated E.V.-infected cells. ##P < 0.01, significantly different from the value obtained in Cont. side inoculated SMURF2^{T249A} -infected cells. $^{\dagger}P < 0.05$, significantly different from the value obtained in Ipsi. side inoculated E.V.-infected cells. N.S., not significant. Values

are expressed as the mean \pm S.E. and statistical significance was determined using (A, C and F)

the one-way ANOVA using the Bonferroni post hoc test, (G) Tukey-Kramer test. Scale bar: 1

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

Figure 4. SMURF2^{Thr249} phosphorylation regulates ubiquitin-dependent degradation of TGFBR protein. (A) TGS-01 GSCs were infected with SMURF2^{WT} or SMURF2^{T249A}, followed by determination of protein levels by immunoblotting (n=3). (B) TGS-01 GSCs were infected with SMURF2WT or SMURF2T249A, and treated with cycloheximide (CHX) at 50 µg/ml for indicated hours, followed by immunoblotting (n=4). (C) Immunoprecipitation assay was performed in TGS-01 GSCs (n=3). (D) TGS-01 GSCs were infected with SMURF2WT or SMURF2^{T249A}, and subsequent immunoprecipitation with anti-TGFBR1 antibody, followed by determination of Ubiquitin with anti-Ubiquitin antibody (n=3). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, significantly different from the value obtained in cells infected with E.V.. N.S., not significant. Values are expressed as the mean \pm S.E. and statistical significance was determined using the one-way ANOVA using the Bonferroni post hoc test. Figure 5. TGFBR1 silencing restores the promotive effect of SMURF2^{T249A} on GSC **phenotypes.** TGS-01 GSCs were infected with SMURF2^{T249A} and/or shTGFBR1, followed by determination of (A) tumorsphere number (n=8), (B) stem cell frequency by in vitro limiting dilution assay. (C) Development of gliomas after intracranial transplantation of SMURF2^{T249A}and/or shTGFBR1-infected TGS-01 GSCs. Survival of mice was evaluated by Kaplan-Meier analysis (n=5). P value was calculated using a log-rank test. (D) Survival extension rate. (E) Correlation between TGFBR1 and pSMURF2^{Thr249} in glioma samples. (F) Schematic model of the findings of this study. Phosphorylation of SMURF2^{Thr249} enhances ubiquitin-dependent degradation of TGFBR1 protein, which results in the repression of SMAD2/3-SOX4/2 axis, leading to the inhibition of stemness and tumorigenicity of GSCs. (A and B) *P < 0.05, **P < 0.050.01, significantly different from the value obtained in cells infected with E.V./sh*Control*. *P < 0.05, significantly different from the value obtained in cells infected with

 $SMURF2^{T249A}/shControl$. Values are expressed as the mean \pm S.E. and statistical significance was determined using the two-way ANOVA using the Bonferroni post hoc test. r, Pearson's correlation coefficient. Scale bar: 1 mm.

Acknowledgements This work was supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (20H03407 to E.H.); and a grant from Japan Research Foundation for Clinical Pharmacology (to E.H.). 483 **Disclosure:** The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

479

480

481

482

484

References

- 487 1. Lin X, Liang M, Feng X-H. Smurf2 Is a Ubiquitin E3 Ligase Mediating Proteasome-dependent Degradation of Smad2 in Transforming Growth Factor-β Signaling. Journal of Biological Chemistry **2000**;275:36818-22
- 2. Zhang Y, Chang C, Gehling DJ, Hemmati-Brivanlou A, Derynck R. Regulation of Smad degradation and activity by Smurf2, an E3 ubiquitin ligase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **2001**;98:974-9
- Jin C, Yang Y-A, Anver MR, Morris N, Wang X, Zhang YE. Smad Ubiquitination Regulatory Factor 2 Promotes Metastasis of Breast Cancer Cells by Enhancing Migration and Invasiveness. Cancer Research 2009:69:735-40
- 496 4. Koganti P, Levy-Cohen G, Blank M. Smurfs in Protein Homeostasis, Signaling, and Cancer. Frontiers in Oncology **2018**;8:295
- Wu Q, Huang JH, Sampson ER, Kim K-O, Zuscik MJ, O'Keefe RJ, et al. Smurf2 induces degradation of GSK-3β and upregulates β-catenin in chondrocytes: A potential mechanism for Smurf2-induced degeneration of articular cartilage. Experimental Cell Research 2009;315:2386-98
- Chandhoke AS, Karve K, Dadakhujaev S, Netherton S, Deng L, Bonni S. The ubiquitin ligase Smurf2
 suppresses TGFβ-induced epithelial–mesenchymal transition in a sumoylation-regulated manner. Cell
 Death & Differentiation 2016;23:876-88
- 504 7. Cha B, Park Y, Hwang BN, Kim S-Y, Jho E-H. Protein Arginine Methyltransferase 1 Methylates Smurf2.
 505 Molecules and Cells **2015**;38:723-8
- 506 8. Choi YH, Kim Y-J, Jeong HM, Jin Y-H, Yeo C-Y, Lee KY. Akt enhances Runx2 protein stability by regulating Smurf2 function during osteoblast differentiation. FEBS Journal **2014**;281:3656-66
- 508 9. Ohashi N, Yamamoto T, Uchida C, Togawa A, Fukasawa H, Fujigaki Y, *et al.* Transcriptional induction of Smurf2 ubiquitin ligase by TGF-β. FEBS Letters **2005**;579:2557-63
- 510 10. Iezaki T, Fukasawa K, Horie T, Park G, Robinson S, Nakaya M, *et al.* The MAPK Erk5 is necessary for proper skeletogenesis involving a Smurf-Smad-Sox9 molecular axis. Development **2018**;145:dev164004
- 512 11. Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Truitt G, Boscia A, Kruchko C, Barnholtz-Sloan JS. CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Other Central Nervous System Tumors Diagnosed in the United States in 2011–2015. Neuro-Oncology 2018;20:iv1-iv86
- 515 12. Parkin DM. Global cancer statistics in the year 2000. THE Lancet Oncology 2001;2:533-43
- 516 13. Omuro A, DeAngelis LM. Glioblastoma and Other Malignant Gliomas: A Clinical Review. JAMA **2013**;310:1840-50
- 518 14. Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Kruchko C, Barnholtz-Sloan JS. Primary brain and other central nervous system tumors in Appalachia: regional differences in incidence, mortality, and survival. Journal of Neuro-Oncology **2019**;142:27-38
- Venere M, Fine HA, Dirks PB, Rich JN. Cancer stem cells in gliomas: Identifying and understanding the apex cell in cancer's hierarchy. Glia **2011**;59:1148-54
- 523 16. Waghmare I, Roebke A, Minata M, Kango-Singh M, Nakano I. Intercellular Cooperation and Competition in Brain Cancers: Lessons FromDrosophilaand Human Studies. STEM CELLS Translational Medicine 2014;3:1262-8
- Bhat KPL, Balasubramaiyan V, Vaillant B, Ezhilarasan R, Hummelink K, Hollingsworth F, et al.
 Mesenchymal Differentiation Mediated by NF-κB Promotes Radiation Resistance in Glioblastoma
 Cancer Cell 2013:24:331-46
- 529 18. Meyer M, Reimand J, Lan X, Head R, Zhu X, Kushida M, *et al.* Single cell-derived clonal analysis of human glioblastoma links functional and genomic heterogeneity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **2015**;112:851-6
- 532 19. Patel AP, Tirosh I, Trombetta JJ, Shalek AK, Gillespie SM, Wakimoto H, *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq highlights intratumoral heterogeneity in primary glioblastoma. Science **2014**;344:1396-401
- Lathia JD, Mack SC, Mulkearns-Hubert EE, Valentim CLL, Rich JN. Cancer stem cells in glioblastoma. Genes & Development **2015**;29:1203-17
- Zhou W, Ke SQ, Huang Z, Flavahan W, Fang X, Paul J, *et al.* Periostin secreted by glioblastoma stem cells recruits M2 tumour-associated macrophages and promotes malignant growth. Nature Cell Biology **2015**;17:170-82
- 539 22. Cheng L, Huang Z, Zhou W, Wu Q, Donnola S, Liu JK, *et al.* Glioblastoma Stem Cells Generate Vascular Pericytes to Support Vessel Function and Tumor Growth. Cell **2013**;153:139-52
- 541 23. Imamura T, Oshima Y, Hikita A. Regulation of TGF-β family signalling by ubiquitination and deubiquitination. Journal of Biochemistry **2013**;154:481-9
- Tang L-Y, Zhang YE. Non-degradative ubiquitination in Smad-dependent TGF-beta signaling. Cell & Bioscience **2011**;1:43

- 545 25. Ikushima H, Todo T, Ino Y, Takahashi M, Miyazawa K, Miyazono K. Autocrine TGF-β Signaling 546 Maintains Tumorigenicity of Glioma-Initiating Cells through Sry-Related HMG-Box Factors. Cell Stem Cell 2009;5:504-14
- 548 26. Peñuelas S, Anido J, Prieto-Sánchez RM, Folch G, Barba I, Cuartas I, *et al.* TGF-β Increases Glioma-549 Initiating Cell Self-Renewal through the Induction of LIF in Human Glioblastoma. Cancer Cell 550 **2009**;15:315-27
- 551 27. Kavsak P, Rasmussen RK, Causing CG, Bonni S, Zhu H, Thomsen GH, *et al.* Smad7 Binds to Smurf2 to Form an E3 Ubiquitin Ligase that Targets the TGFβ Receptor for Degradation. Molecular Cell **2000**;6:1365-75
- Eichhorn PJA, Rodón L, Gonzàlez-Juncà A, Dirac A, Gili M, Martínez-Sáez E, et al. USP15 stabilizes
 TGF-β receptor I and promotes oncogenesis through the activation of TGF-β signaling in glioblastoma.
 Nature Medicine 2012;18:429-35
- 557 29. Iyengar PV, Jaynes P, Rodon L, Lama D, Law KP, Lim YP, *et al.* USP15 regulates SMURF2 kinetics through C-lobe mediated deubiquitination. Scientific Reports **2015**;5:14733
- 559 30. Oikonomaki M, Bady P, Hegi ME. Ubiquitin Specific Peptidase 15 (USP15) suppresses glioblastoma cell growth via stabilization of HECTD1 E3 ligase attenuating WNT pathway activity. Oncotarget 2017:8:110490-502
- 562 31. Song W-S, Yang Y-P, Huang C-S, Lu K-H, Liu W-H, Wu W-W, *et al.* Sox2, a stemness gene, regulates tumor-initiating and drug-resistant properties in CD133-positive glioblastoma stem cells. Journal of the Chinese Medical Association **2016**;79:538-45
- 565 32. Guerra-Rebollo M, Garrido C, Sánchez-Cid L, Soler-Botija C, Meca-Cortés O, Rubio N, *et al.* Targeting of replicating CD133 and OCT4/SOX2 expressing glioma stem cells selects a cell population that reinitiates tumors upon release of therapeutic pressure. Scientific Reports **2019**;9
- 568 33. Sachdeva R, Wu M, Johnson K, Kim H, Celebre A, Shahzad U, *et al.* BMP signaling mediates glioma stem cell quiescence and confers treatment resistance in glioblastoma. Scientific Reports **2019**;9
- 570 34. Caja L, Tzavlaki K, Dadras MS, Tan EJ, Hatem G, Maturi NP, *et al.* Snail regulates BMP and TGFβ pathways to control the differentiation status of glioma-initiating cells. Oncogene **2018**;37:2515-31
- 572 35. Tang L-Y, Thomas A, Zhou M, Zhang YE. Phosphorylation of SMURF2 by ATM exerts a negative feedback control of DNA damage response. Journal of Biological Chemistry **2020**;295:18485-93
- 574 36. Sim WJ, Iyengar PV, Lama D, Lui SKL, Ng HC, Haviv-Shapira L, *et al.* c-Met activation leads to the establishment of a TGFβ-receptor regulatory network in bladder cancer progression. Nature Communications **2019**;10
- 577 37. Du C, Hansen LJ, Singh SX, Wang F, Sun R, Moure CJ, et al. A PRMT5-RNF168-SMURF2 Axis Controls H2AX Proteostasis. Cell Reports 2019;28:3199-211.e5
- 579 38. Klupp F, Giese C, Halama N, Franz C, Lasitschka F, Warth A, *et al.* E3 ubiquitin ligase Smurf2: a prognostic factor in microsatellite stable colorectal cancer. Cancer Management and Research **2019**; Volume 11:1795-803
- 582 39. Fukuchi M, Fukai Y, Masuda N, Miyazaki T, Nakajima M, Sohda M, *et al.* High-Level Expression of the Smad Ubiquitin Ligase Smurf2 Correlates with Poor Prognosis in Patients with Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Cancer Research **2002**;62:7162-5
- 585 40. Blank M, Tang Y, Yamashita M, Burkett SS, Cheng SY, Zhang YE. A tumor suppressor function of Smurf2 associated with controlling chromatin landscape and genome stability through RNF20. Nature Medicine **2012**;18:227-34
- 588 41. Emanuelli A, Manikoth Ayyathan D, Koganti P, Shah PA, Apel-Sarid L, Paolini B, *et al.* Altered Expression and Localization of Tumor Suppressive E3 Ubiquitin Ligase SMURF2 in Human Prostate and Breast Cancer. Cancers **2019**;11:556
- 591 42. Emanuelli A, Borroni AP, Apel-Sarid L, Shah PA, Ayyathan DM, Koganti P, et al. Smurf2-Mediated
 592 Stabilization of DNA Topoisomerase IIα Controls Genomic Integrity. Cancer Research 2017;77:4217-27
- 593 43. Du JX, Hagos EG, Nandan MO, Bialkowska AB, Yu B, Yang VW. The E3 Ubiquitin Ligase SMAD Ubiquitination Regulatory Factor 2 Negatively Regulates Krüppel-like Factor 5 Protein. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2011;286:40354-64
- Jeong HM, Lee SH, Yum J, Yeo C-Y, Lee KY. Smurf2 regulates the degradation of YY1. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **2014**;1843:2005-11
- 598 45. Kong Y, Cui H, Zhang H. Smurf2-mediated ubiquitination and degradation of Id1 regulates p16 expression during senescence. Aging Cell **2011**;10:1038-46
- Kim S, Jho E-H. The Protein Stability of Axin, a Negative Regulator of Wnt Signaling, Is Regulated by Smad Ubiquitination Regulatory Factor 2 (Smurf2). Journal of Biological Chemistry **2010**;285:36420-6
- 602 47. Shukla S, Allam US, Ahsan A, Chen G, Krishnamurthy PM, Marsh K, *et al.* KRAS Protein Stability Is Regulated through SMURF2: UBCH5 Complex-Mediated β-TrCP1 Degradation. Neoplasia **2014**;16:115-28

- 605 48. Kim SE, Yoon JY, Jeong WJ, Jeon SH, Park Y, Yoon JB, *et al.* H-Ras is degraded by Wnt/β-catenin signaling via β-TrCP-mediated polyubiquitylation. Journal of Cell Science **2009**;122:842-8
- 49. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, Von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK, et al. The 2016
 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Acta
 Neuropathologica 2016;131:803-20









