Diversity, activity and abundance of benthic microbes in the southeastern Mediterranean Sea: A baseline for monitoring

Maxim Rubin-Blum¹, Guy Sisma-Ventura¹, Yana Yudkovski¹, Natalia Belkin¹, Mor Kanari¹, Barak Herut¹, Eyal Rahav¹

¹ Israel Oceanographic and Limnological Research, Haifa, 3108000, Israel

Abstract

Microbes are key players in marine sediments, yet they are not accessed routinely by monitoring programs. Here, we investigate the spatial and vertical trends in the abundance, activity and diversity of benthic archaea, bacteria and fungi of the southeastern Mediterranean Sea (SEMS), based on ~150 samples collected by the National Monitoring Program in 2018-2020 in coastal, as well as deep-sea transects across the Israeli exclusive economic zone, using vertical profiles of short sediment cores (0-1, 1-2, 4-5, 9-10 and 19-20 cm below surface). Microbial abundance was usually low $(0.01 \times 10^8 \text{ to } 0.21 \times 10^8 \text{ cells gr}^{-1} \text{ sediment})$, while heterotrophic productivity was the highest in the nearshore stations (12±4 ng C gr⁻¹ sediment h⁻¹), as opposed to 0.5 ± 0.9 ng C gr⁻¹ sediment h⁻¹ at the offshore sites. Using amplicon sequencing of marker genes, we identified the changes in the diversity of microbes along environmental gradients, in the four dimensions (geographic location, seabed depth, distance from the sediment surface and time). We show high taxonomic diversity of bacteria and archaea (Shannon's H^{\prime} 5.0-6.9) and lesser diversity of fungi (Shannon's H' 0.2-4.8). We use DESeq2 analyses to highlight the role of ammonia-oxidizing Nitrososphaeria in the aerated sediments of the continental slope and deep bathyal plain stations and organotrophic lineages in coastal, shelf, slope, and abyssal plain sediments. Based on taxonomic diversity, we infer the metabolic potential of these communities. Analyses of fungi diversity and guilds suggest the prevalence of the saprotrophic and pathotrophic microfungi Ascomycota ($70\pm23\%$) and Basidiomycota ($16\pm18\%$) in the SEMS sediments. We provide a comprehensive baseline of benthic microbial populations in the SEMS and pledge for the use of microbial indices in biomonitoring of the marine environment.

Introduction

Microorganisms represent the 'unseen majority' in the marine environment, driving its biogeochemical cycles and supporting all higher trophic life forms (Falkowski et al. 2008, Orcutt et al. 2011, Hug et al. 2016, Thompson et al. 2017). The marine seabed is a hotspot for the activity and diversity of microbial organisms, including bacteria, archaea and micro-eukaryotes such as fungi (Bienhold et al. 2016). The biomass of these organisms is large: the top 50 cm of the marine seabed contains approximately 1×10^{29} viral, bacterial and archaeal cells, whose mass adds up to circa 1.7 petagram carbon (Danovaro et al. 2015), whereas the total subseafloor microbial abundance is estimated as 2.9×10^{29} cells of bacteria and archaea alone, which account for ~4.1 petagram carbon (Kallmeyer et al. 2012). Most of these microbes thrive under severe energy limitation (Hoehler & Jørgensen 2013, Lever et al. 2015). Through intricate metabolic interactions, the benthic microbial populations control budgets of carbon and other nutrients, as well as greenhouse gas emissions, affecting and being affected by climate change and other human activities (Orcutt

et al. 2011, Orsi 2018, Cavicchioli et al. 2019). Even though the vast majority of bacterial and archaeal taxa present in sediments have not been cultured, recent advances in nucleic acid sequencing methods and bioinformatics pour light on their diversity and function (Baker et al. 2021). Much less is known about the abundance, diversity and function of microbial eukaryotes in the marine sediments, such as fungi, protists, and microbial metazoans. Nonetheless, these lineages play an important ecological role (Keeling & Campo 2017, Orsi 2018, Amend et al. 2019, Bik 2019). Fungi, in particular, promote the degradation of difficult-to-degrade compounds, such as phytoplankton necromass and anthropogenic pollutants (Harms et al. 2011, Richards et al. 2012, Kracher et al. 2016).

Both abiotic and biotic pressures impose selection that shapes the seabed microbial community (Petro et al. 2017, Rocca et al. 2019). These seabed communities are primarily tailored by the availability of electron donors and acceptors, which is usually determined by the sedimentation rates and sediment permeability (Probandt et al. 2017, Orsi 2018, Hoshino et al. 2020). The seafloor is a natural reaction site for the turnover and chronic accumulation of nutrients and contaminants (Libralato et al. 2018), which affect the quality of this habitat. Diversity, physiology, and functional characteristics of benthic microbes change rapidly as a result of fluctuations in the quality of marine ecosystSEMS (Vezzulli et al. 2002, Nogales et al. 2011, Mason et al. 2014, Su et al. 2018), reflecting the type and extent of variation of change being imposed on the ecosystem (Caruso et al. 2016). The microbial assemblages are, in fact, in situ environmental sensors that capture environmental perturbations, as statistical analysis of natural microbial communities can be used to accurately identify environmental contaminants (Fortney et al. 2015, Astudillo-García et al. 2019). Changes in microbial communities not only reflect the environmental status but also affect the functionality of the marine ecosystem, often posing a severe threat to human well-being, health in particular (Chen et al. 2019). There is a burgeoning understanding that the microbial indices are an integral component of environmental protection programs, in particular, the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, descriptors such as D1 Biodiversity, D4 Foodwebs, D5 Eutrophication, D6 Seafloor integrity and D8 Contaminants) (Caruso et al. 2016). Baseline studies are crucial to accurately evaluate the response of marine microbes to environmental perturbations (Gutierrez 2018).

Here we focus on the microbial diversity in the seabed of the southeastern Mediterranean Sea (SEMS), the Levantine Basin offshore Israel. This area extends over the 22000 km² exclusive economic zone (EEZ), an area similar to that of Israel's main landmass. The modern SEMS offshore Israel transforms rapidly as a result of ongoing anthropogenic pressures, as well as global climate change (Sisma-Ventura et al. 2014, 2017, Bialik & Sisma-Ventura 2016, Ozer et al. 2017, Grossowicz et al. 2020). In the coastal SEMS, the most prominent anthropogenic perturbations include discharge of industrial pollutants, treated wastewater and sewage, as well as brines from desalination facilities (Belkin et al. 2015, Kress et al. 2016, Frank et al. 2017, Rahav & Bar-Zeev 2017). Stressors in the deep SEMS include the infrastructure development for fossil fuel production and communications, accumulation of human waste, in particular in designated dumpsites (Ramirez-Llodra 2020). Spill risks in the SEMS are substantial, as the ongoing

fossil fuel (mainly natural gas) extraction and the fact that millions of tons of petroleum and its byproducts, such as the gas condensate, are transported through Israel's EEZ.

The SEMS is one of the most oligotrophic marine environments on Earth, characterized by low primary production and chlorophyll-a concentrations, as well as extremely low levels of dissolved nutrients in surface waters and therefore very low inputs of particulate organic matter into the deep hydrosphere (Herut et al. 2000, Kress & Herut 2001, Krom et al. 2005, 2014, Berman-Frank & Rahav 2012, Hazan et al. 2018). Despite the ultraoligotrophic nature of this basin, sedimentation rates are substantial, in particular, offshore northern Israel (0.016 - 0.005 cm y⁻¹ at the depths of 1500-1900 m offshore Haifa, Katz et al., 2020). They are largely attributed to the lateral transport of sediments from the continental shelf which is fed into the slope and deep basin offshore northern Israel, but to a much lesser extent offshore the south and central Israel (Kanari et al., 2020). This lateral transport results in an unexpectedly high accumulation of potentially refractory particulate organic matter at the seafloor (Alkalay et al. 2020, Katz et al. 2020). The warm temperatures (13.5 to 15.5 °C), high salinities (~39.5 psu), and high nitrate+nitrite to phosphate ratio of ~ 28:1 of the SEMS deep water are aberrant to those of most global oceans (Herut et al. 2000, Kress & Herut 2001, Krom et al. 2004, 2005, 2014, Danovaro et al. 2010). These unusual characteristics of the SEMS may select for distinct microbial populations, whose responses to environmental perturbations may differ from those elsewhere.

Our knowledge of the sediment microbial communities in the SEMS is limited to several studies of diversity in the top 1 cm of deep sediments (Polymenakou et al. 2015, Keuter & Rinkevich 2016, Frank et al. 2019), while most of the seabed habitat (continental shelf and slope, vertical profiles) have not been explored systematically and coherently. Only a few studies evaluated the diversity of benthic microorganisms, in habitats that are affected by natural and anthropogenic stressors, such as the deep-sea natural gas seepage offshore Israel (Rubin-Blum et al. 2014b), biogenic methane accumulation in the shelf sediments (Vigderovich et al. 2019), hotspots of biological activity driven by iron cycling (Rubin-Blum et al. 2014a), and brine discharge from the seawater reverse osmosis desalination facilities (Frank et al. 2019). However, the methodologies in these studies are incongruent and often outdated, hindering their synthesis into modern indices. This study aims to establish the much-needed baseline of microbial abundance, activity and diversity in the SEMS offshore Israel, based on the yearly cruises of the National Monitoring Program of Israel's Mediterranean waters during the years 2018-2020. Here we focus on bacteria, archaea and fungi.

Materials and methods

<u>Sediment sampling</u>: The sediments were collected during three R/V *Bat Galim* cruises: April 2018, June 2019 (surface sediments only sampled) and June 2020, and a coastal cruise with R/V *MedEx* in July 2020 (**Figure 1**, **Table 1**). Shelf margin (35-140 m, hereafter "shelf"), continental slope (360-800 m, hereafter "slope") and deep bathyal plain (1100-1900 m, hereafter "deep") sediments were collected with the BX-650 box corer (Ocean Instruments,

USA), subsampled with short (~50 cm) push-corers and sliced (0-1, 1-2, 4-5, 9-10 and 19-20 cm below the seafloor, cmbsf). In the coastal cruise, surface sediments were collected with a grab, as box-coring is not feasible in the sandy seabed. Subsamples for DNA extraction and porewater analyses were stored at -20°C until processing, bacterial abundance and production were measured onboard.



Figure 1: Map showing the sampling sites of the Israel National Monitoring Program at the eastern Mediterranean Sea. (A) Location of the study area in the eastern Mediterranean; blue rectangle marks the study area of panel B. (B) map of the sampling transect stations: Haifa extended section stations (yellow), The Tel-Aviv extended section stations (blue) and the coastal transect stations (green); The bathymetric transects are presented in panel C and marked with white lines in panel B. The 17 offshore stations of the extended transect cover shelf, slope and deep habitats. The 6 stations of the coastal transect are mainly situated at river estuaries (Taninim, Alexander, Yarkon, Soreq). Site metadata is provided in Table 1. The Levant Jet System, which is the dominant sediment transport path in the study area, is marked in a yellow arrow (after Schattner et al., 2015). (C) The bathymetric profiles along the Haifa and Tel-Aviv extended sections, with the locations of the sampling stations on each profile (stations that are not directly on the transect path are projected onto the profile). Background bathymetry and bathymetric profile data after Kanari et al. (2020).

Transect	Site	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	Seabed depth (m)
	HS35	32.933	34.982	35
	HS80	32.940	34.931	80
р	HS120	32.941	34.913	120
nde	HS360	32.945	34.890	360
xter	HS800	32.946	34.844	800
a ex	H04	32.959	34.745	1100
laif	H05	32.946	34.461	1430
H	H06	33.149	34.159	1750
	G04	33.270	34.105	1800
	G05	33.347	33.914	1900
d	TA45	32.157	34.694	45
Aviv extended	TA80	32.174	34.657	80
	TA140	32.194	34.607	140
	TA360	32.226	34.534	360
	TA800	32.276	34.415	800
el-	TA1100	32.322	34.309	1100
Tel	TA1400	32.501	33.891	1400
	Dado6	32.791	34.951	6
	Dado30	32.796	34.926	30
	Taninim6	32.543	34.898	6
	Taninim30	32.555	34.878	30
Ι	Alexander6	32.399	34.861	6
sta	Alexander30	32.406	34.841	30
Coa	Yarkon6	32.105	34.771	6
•	Yarkon30	32.123	34.752	30
	Soreq6	31.943	34.704	6
	Soreq30	31.946	34.674	30
	Ashkelon6	31.686	34.558	6
	Ashkelon30	31.701	34.531	30

Table 1: Sediment sampling sites in the eastern Mediterranian Sea.

<u>Sediment properties and porewater chemistry:</u> Sediments were sliced at the desired intervals from the cores on-board immediately upon collection and placed in 50 ml acid-clean plastic tubes and kept frozen until centrifugation. Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined using the titration method (Gaudette et al. 1974) with minor amendments, namely using wet oxidation with potassium dichromate and sulfuric acid with the residual dichromate undergoing potentiometric titration with (NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂. The collected pore-water was filtered through sterile Swinnex filters (0.22-µm), transferred into 20 ml acid-washed plastic vials, and kept frozen (-20 °C) for nutrient analysis within a few weeks. Nutrients concentrations were determined using a three-channel segmented flow auto-analyzer system (AA-3 Seal

Analytical). The reproducibility of the analyses was determined using two certified reference materials (CRM): MOOS 3 and VKI 4.1 and 4.2. All measurements were within $\pm 5\%$ of the certified values. Porosity (ϕ) was calculated from dry sediment volume (V_s), sediment weight (V_w) and the water volume (W_v) using the following equation:

$$\varphi = \frac{W_v}{V_W + V_S}$$

<u>Microbial cell counts with flow cytometry</u>: Sediment samples (1-3 gr) were collected in 15 ml Falcon tubes containing 6 ml of sterile seawater (0.22 µm), fixed with microscopy-grade glutaraldehyde (1% final concentration, Sigma, G7651) and placed in 4 °C until analysis within a few weeks. Before analyses, the chelating agent sodium pyrophosphate (0.1% final concentration), and the detergent Tween 20 (0.5% final concentration) were added to reduce the adhesion of bacteria to the mineral and organic particles of the soils and mixed vigorously for 30 min. The samples were then sonicated for 1 min (Symphony, VWR) in a bath sonication to remove all the cells associated with the sand grains (Amalfitano & Fazi 2008, Araya et al. 2019). Sub-samples from the supernatant (200 µl) were then stained with 1 µl SYBR green (Applied BiosystSEMS cat #S32717) per 100 µl sample, and incubated for 10 min in the dark. Stained samples were analyzed by an Attune® (Applied BiosystSEMS) acoustic focusing flow cytometer (Frank et al. 2017).

<u>Heterotrophic secondary productivity</u>: Sediment samples (4-5 gr) were placed in 15 ml Falcon tube containing 3.5 ml of sterile seawater (0.22 μ m), spiked with 500 nmol ³H-leucine L⁻¹ (Perkin Elmer, specific activity 100 Ci mmol⁻¹) and incubated in the dark for 4 h. Samples were sonicated for 10 min in a bath sonication (Symphony, VWR) to remove all cells from the grains and 1ml of supernatant was distributed in triplicates to 2 ml Eppendorf tubes. Trichloroacetic acid (100%) was then added to each of the tubes which was later processed with the microcentrifugation technique (Smith et al. 1992). Disintegrations per minute (DPM) were counted using a TRI-CARB 2100 TR liquid scintillation counter (Packard). Blank background activity in TCA-killed samples was measured. Production rates were determined using a conversion factor of 1.5 kg C mol⁻¹ per every mole of leucine incorporated (Sisma-Ventura & Rahav 2019).

DNA extraction and amplicon sequencing: DNA was extracted from the sediment samples (~500 mg wet weight) with the PowerSoil DNA bead tubes (Qiagen, USA), using the FastPrep-24TM Classic (MP Biomedicals, USA) beadbeating to disrupt the cells (2 cycles at 5.5 m sec⁻¹, with a 5 min interval). The V4 region (~ 300 bp) of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified from the DNA (~50 ng) using the 515Fc/806Rc primers amended with CS1/CS2 tags (5'-ACACTGACGACATGGTTCTACAGTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA, 5'-

TACGGTAGCAGAGACTTGGTCTGGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT, (Apprill et al. 2015, Parada et al. 2016). PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 45 s, 30 cycles of denaturation (94 °C for 15 sec), annealing (15 cycles at 50 °C and 15 cycles at 60 °C for 20 sec) and extension (72 °C for 30 s). Two annealing

temperatures were used to account for the melting temperature of both forward (58.5-65.5 °C), and reverse (46.9-54.5 °C), primers. The nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) was amplified using the ITS1f (5'-ACACTGACGACATGGTTCTACACTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA) and ITS2 (5'-TACGGTAGCAGAGACTTGGTCTGCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC) primers (White et al. 1990). Reaction conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 60 s (Bokulich & Mills 2013). Library preparation from the PCR products and sequencing of 2x250 bp Illumina MiSeq reads was performed by HyLabs (Israel). The reads were deposited to the NCBI SRA archive under project number PRJNA694858.

Bioinformatics and statistical analyses: Demultiplexed paired-end reads were processed in QIIME2 V2020.6 environment (Bolyen et al. 2019). Primers and sequences that didn't contain primers were removed with cutadapt (Martin 2011), as implemented in QIIME2. Reads were truncated based on quality plots, checked for chimeras, merged and grouped into amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) with DADA2 (Callahan et al., 2016), as implemented in QIIME2. The amplicons were classified with scikit-learn classifier that was trained either on Silva database V138 (16S rRNA, Glöckner et al., 2017) or fungal UNITE database V8.2 clustered at 99% (ITS, Abarenkov et al., 2020; Nilsson et al., 2019). Very few non-fungal eukarvotic sequences were identified when using the full UNITE database (fungi + other eukaryotes), thus annotations based on this database were not implemented. We also classified the ITS reads using BLAST against the UNITE database, using the 0.8 minimum identity threshold. Mitochondrial and chloroplast sequences were removed from the 16S rRNA amplicon dataset. Downstream calculation of alpha diversity indices (the richness estimator ACE - Abundance-based Coverage Estimator, and the biodiversity estimators Shannon and Simpson), beta diversity (non-metric multidimensional scaling, NMDS, based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity), statistical analyses (differential abundance, Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum tests, Dunn's post hoc tests), clustering and plotting were performed in R V3.6.3 (R Core Team 2021), using packages vegan (Oksanen et al. 2019), stats (R Core Team 2021), dunn.test (Dino, A., 2017, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dunn.test), phyloseq (McMurdie & Holmes 2013), ampvis2 (Andersen et al. 2018), microbiomeSeq (Ssekagiri 2020), DESeq2 (Love et al. 2014), cluster (Rousseeuw et al. 2016), factoextra (Kassambara & Mundt 2020), pvclust (Suzuki et al. 2019) and ggplot2 (Wickham 2009). Pathogen sequences were detected with 16SPIP (Miao et al. 2017). We used FUNGuild (Nguyen et al. 2016) to assign trophic modes and functional morphologies to fungal lineages.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.27.428413; this version posted January 27, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



Figure 2: Chemical parameters in the vertical profiles in the top 20 cm of the eastern Mediterranean Sea sediments, in Tel Aviv (A,C,E,G,I) and Haifa (B,D,F,H,J) transects. Porosity (A,B), ammonium (C,D), nitrate+nitrite (E,F), orthophosphate (G,H) and total organic carbon (I, J) estimates are shown. Error bars are the standard deviation values for measurements made in 2018 and 2020 (where applicable, as in 2018 we measured nutrient profiles only from the sediments of HS120, HS800, H05, G05, TA80, TA360, TA800 and TA1400 stations). ANOVA comparisons between these parameters in the continental shelf, continental slope and deep stations are shown in panel K. *** indicate p value < 0.001, suggesting that the measured parameters differ significantly between the seafloor features. TOC is total organic carbon.

Results and Discussion

Physicochemical parameters: To better understand the interplay between microbial populations and the physicochemical properties of the SEMS sediments, we estimated porosity, organic carbon (TOC), as well as porewater concentrations of ammonium (NH,⁻), nitrate+nitrite (NO_x), orthophosphate (PO,³⁻) in sediment profiles from the shelf, slope and deep stations (**Fig. 2**). Porosity profiles were consistent among the slope and deep stations, with the highest water content near the sediment surface (0-1 cm sections, $0.86\pm0.02\%$, **Fig. 2A,B**), decreasing to 0.5% in the shelf stations (HS35, 35m water depth). Porosity in the shelf profiles differed significantly from that of the shelf and slope (ANOVA, p<0.001, **Fig. 2K**). TOC content was significantly enriched in the slope samples; 0.8 ± 0.2 as opposed to 0.6 ± 0.1 and 0.6 ± 0.2 in shelf and deep sediments, respectively (ANOVA, p<0.001, **Fig. 2K**). TOC content usually declined in the deeper sections, although some irregularities in the profiles were found (**Fig. 2I,J**). When compared for stations with similar seabed depths of 360-1450 m, TOC did not differ between Haifa and Tel-Aviv transects (p>0.1).

We observed a marked variability in ammonium concentrations, which were the highest in the shelf and slope profiles and usually increased as a function of distance from the sediment surface, being particularly high in the slope sediments (up to 360 μ mol L⁻¹ at 19-20 cm below the seafloor, TA360 station, **Fig. 2C,D**). Both ammonium and orthophosphate concentrations were significantly lower in the deep sediments, in comparison to those of shelf and slope, while NOx concentrations didn't significantly differ between the seafloor domains stations (ANOVA, p<0.001, **Fig. 2K**). However, we detected high NOx concentrations next to the sediment-water interface at several shelf and slope stations (**Fig. 2E,F**). These values (often above 30 µmol L⁻¹) were considerably higher than the 1–5 µmol L⁻¹ usually measured in the aphotic water column of the SEMS, next to the seabed (Kress et al. 2014, Sisma-Ventura et al. 2021), suggesting nitrate production near the sediment surface. Complex biotic and abiotic mechanisms govern the availability of phosphate in the SEMS sediments (Gächter & Meyer 1993, Viktorsson et al. 2013), likely resulting in the highly heterogeneous phosphate profiles (**Fig. 2G,H**). Nevertheless, phosphate concentrations near the sediment surface were 3-fold higher in the shelf-slope stations compared to the deep basin stations, and these values were slightly above the ~0.2 µmol L⁻¹ concentrations measured in the water near the bottom (Kress et al. 2014, Sisma-Ventura et al. 2021).

<u>Abundance and activity of microbial cells in the SEMS sediments:</u> Estimates of bacterial and archaeal abundance at the sediment surface of the shelf, slope and deep stations ranged between 0.02×10^8 cells gr⁻¹ in Haifa port surface sediments (35 m seabed depth) to 0.21×10^8 cells gr⁻¹ sediment at the HS360 station (360 m seabed depth, **Fig. 3A**). These estimates are within the very low range of cell counts in sediments from the Mediterranean Sea and elsewhere (Danovaro et al. 2008, Rylkova et al. 2019). Cell numbers usually declined in deeper sections, although at some stations fluctuations were observed (**Fig. 3A,D**). Below the sediment surface, we occasionally found particularly high cell numbers in slope stations such as circa 0.08×10^8 cells gr⁻¹ at 19-20 cm sections of both Haifa and Tel-Aviv

transects (**Fig. 3D,E**). At the coastal stations, we estimated low microbial abundances, ranging from 0.003×10^8 to 0.024×10^8 cells gr⁻¹ sediment. The abundance of microbes in the sandy coastal sediments may be limited both by the lower porosity of 0.35-0.5% in the coastal sediments, as opposed to >0.7% at the deep seabed surface sediments (**Fig. 2**, Almagor, 1978; Katz and Crouvi, 2018; Schmidt et al., 1998) and the grain properties of the coastal sediments (Ahmerkamp et al. 2020).

We identified a gradual decline in the activity of microbes with increasing seabed depth (**Fig. 3B**) and distance from the sediment-water interface (**Fig. 3F**). This was also evident in the cell-specific activity, which was usually low (0.033-1.273, 0.017-0.160 and 0.009-0.087 fg C cell⁻¹ h⁻¹ at the surface sediments of the shelf, slope and deep stations, respectively, **Fig 3C**). These values generally are lower than the 0.5-2 fg C cell⁻¹ h⁻¹ cell-specific activity previously measured in the deep Mediterranean Sea sediments (Giovannelli et al. 2013). We often did not observe clear trends in profiles of specific activity as a function of distance from the sediment surface (**Fig. 3F**). Among the slope and deep stations, in which activity profiles were established, the highest specific activity was estimated at the 9-10 cm section of the 1900 m deep G05 station, which is surprising.

Despite the low cell numbers, the activity of the coastal microbes was high, ranging from 0.37 to 3.97 ng C h⁻¹ gr⁻¹ at the ~30 m depths, and between 7.9 and 18.3 ng C h⁻¹ gr⁻¹ at the 6-10 m deep stations (**Fig. 3B**). Given the low abundance and high activity, the cell-specific activity of the nearshore microbes was particularly high: it ranged between 0.1 and 14.3 fg C cell⁻¹ h⁻¹ at the ~30 m-deep stations and between 26.8 and 48.5 fg C cell⁻¹ h⁻¹ at the 6-10 m-deep stations (**Fig. 3C**). We note that the sediments at Ashkelon 30 m-deep station were black, and likely euxinic, thus the very low activity of microbiota in this section (0.4 ± 0.7 ng C h⁻¹ gr⁻¹) is likely attributed to the fact that we were unable to correctly estimate the activity of anaerobes (Bastviken & Tranvik 2001), or due to the reduced metabolic rates under oxygen limitation (Kristensen et al. 1995). To date, the accurate estimates of oxygen limitation post hoc (see below). Future oxygen and anaerobic activity measurements are needed to reconstruct the estimates of heterotrophic productivity more accurately.



Figure 3: Abundance, activity and cell-specific activity (secondary productivity estimated by incorporation of isotopically labeled leucine) of microbial cells, in the top 0-1 cm below the sediment surface (A-C), and in profiles in selected stations (D-G), based on 2018 and 2020 National Monitoring Program cruises. In panels F and G: 2020 - circles, 2018 - triangles.

Diverse bacteria and archaea inhabit the SEMS sediments: Bacteria and archaea populations in the SEMS were diverse (ACE, 305-1990; natural log-based Shannon's H', 4.98-6.91; Simpson's diversity index 0.980-0.998, Fig. 4). Alpha diversity metrics decreased in the deep stations, and all three parameters were significantly lower in the deep compared to slope stations (Kruskal–Wallis / post hoc Dunn's test, p<0.001). We found the lowest diversity in the 19-20 cm sections of stations deeper than 1500 m (ACE, 643±91; Shannon's H', 5.19±0.14; Simpson's diversity index, 0.983 ± 0.002) where a high bacterial activity was often found (Fig. 2). This may be either attributed to the specialization of microbial populations in a low-energy environment (Lever et al. 2015), or to the fact that the diversity in these samples, in which the cell counts were low, is limited by the sample size (although saturated rarefaction curves indicate that the sequencing depth was sufficient, Supplementary Fig. S1). We note that numerous factors, including sequencing method, protocol and depth, as well as the downstream analyses, for example, choosing a denoiser (Nearing et al. 2018) or rarefying (McMurdie & Holmes 2014, Cameron et al. 2020), may affect the alpha-diversity estimates from read abundance. Therefore, between-study comparisons are challenging. Yet, the species richness and evenness (Pielou's evenness index 0.91±0.01 in the 0-1 cm sections) described here are comparable with those identified with Illumina sequencing and DADA2 pipeline in the deep Mediterranean canyons and adjacent slopes offshore Italy (400-800 sequence variants, Pielou's evenness index > 0.9(Corinaldesi et al. 2019).



Figure 4: Bacteria and archaea alpha diversity parameters (abundance-based coverage estimator – ACE, natural logbased Shannon's H' and Simpson's diversity index) in the sediments of the eastern Mediterranean Sea, based on amplicon read abundance. Diversity decreases with water depth. In the deep stations (seabed depth > 1400 m), the lowest diversity is usually detected in the deeper section (20 cm below the seafloor). Note that the aberrant sample in the Simpson's diversity index panel corresponds to the 9-10 cm section from the TA800 station, in which Wosearchaeales were overrepresented (see **Supplementary Figure S4**).

The relative abundance of archaea and bacteria: Read abundance estimates suggest that bacteria were dominant in sediments from shallow stations (>97% read abundance at the nearshore 6-10 m water depth stations, 79-97% at the surface sediments from 30-45 m-deep stations). The archaeal fraction increased considerably with seabed depth, ranging between 20% and 40% at >1500 m-deep stations (**Supplementary Fig. S2**). These values are well within the range of archaeal relative abundance estimates quantified with catalyzed reporter deposition fluorescence in situ hybridization (CARD-FISH) (Lloyd et al. 2013), likely because V4 primers may not discriminate strongly against archaea (Wasimuddin et al. 2019). Bacteria to archaea ratios, in particular those of the surface sediments at the deep stations (2.7 ± 0.4 , **Fig. 5**), were comparable to the 2.2 ± 0.8 ratios estimated in the deep Mediterranean sediment surface using FISH (Giovannelli et al. 2013). The shifts from bacteria-dominated to archaea-enriched communities between shelf, slope and deep areas were observed in the 0-1, 1-2, 4-5, 9-10 cmbsf section, while in the 19-20 cm section this gradient was no longer trackable (**Fig. 5**).



Figure 5: The ratio of bacteria to archaea, based on read abundance. cmbsf – cm below the seafloor. See Supplementary Figure S2 for profiles.

<u>Beta-diversity estimates – biomes and changes along environmental gradients:</u> Clustering using principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) suggests that the samples group into biomes, which can be defined by the seabed depth and the distance from the surface of the sediment (**Fig. 6A**). These biomes do not necessarily comply with our definitions of the shelf (<200 m), slope (>200 <1000 m) and deep (> 1000 m) habitats. Shelf and slope communities often overlapped, indicating that they are shaped by similar environmental selection. These communities were significantly

different from those of deep and coastal stations (0-1 cm sections only, pairwise PERMANOVA, p<0.001). Using various statistics (**Supplementary Fig. S8**), we determined between 5 and 8 k-means clusters for microbial communities from the 0-1 cm sections (**Supplementary Fig. S9**). Using the simplest 5 cluster model, the clusters could be attributed to 6-10 m coastal, ~30 m coastal, shelf + slope offshore Haifa, slope offshore Tel-Aviv (800 m deep station only) and the deep biomes. Further separation into sub-biomes is plausible, based on both k-means and hierarchial clustering (**Supplementary Fig. S9,10**). We note that although clustering is feasible, in most cases, the SEMS microbial populations change gradually along environmental gradients, as suggested by the horseshoe-like distribution of points in the multidimensional scaling (**Fig. 6A**), (Morton et al. 2017). Given the complexity of this dataset, we further simplify the biome definitions to 'coastal' (all the stations from the coastal cruise), 'shelf' (60-140 m), 'slope' (360-800 m), and 'deep' (>1000 m) locations, for differential abundance analyses, but also consider the specific differences between the Tel-Aviv and Haifa slope stations, as well as between the nearshore <10 m-deep and ~30 m-deep sediments.

We did not observe major changes in beta diversity between most samples collected throughout the different years (2018-2020) from the same station and section, suggesting that these communities are stable over time, and often spatially homogeneous (likely for at least tens of meters, considering the precision of box core sampling based on dynamic positioning of the research vessel (**Fig. 6A**). Local fluctuations in the community structure at millimetric/centimetric scales may, however, may exist - for example, due to the presence of bioturbation (Rubin-Blum et al. 2014a). Seasonal fluctuations remain to be elucidated, as we sampled the sediments only in summer.

To test if the physicochemical parameters are linked with the structure of archaeal and bacterial populations, we performed ANOVA on the terms of canonical correspondence (CCA) analysis model (seabed depth + cm below the surface + porosity + $[NH_4] + [NOx] + [PO_4] + \% TOC$, **Fig. 6B**). Community structure was primarily shaped by the seabed depth and the distance from the sediment-water interface (F=4.4 and F=2.7, respectively, p<0.001). The structure of the community also corresponded significantly (p<0.001) to porosity and ammonium concentration (F=1.8 for both terms). Our results thus indicate that permeability, which is linked to porosity (Gamage et al. 2011), may play an important role in shaping the microbial communities in the SEMS, as it has been previously suggested for sediments elsewhere (Probandt et al. 2017). Ammonia/ammonium is usually recycled in oxic sediments, fueling carbon fixation by Nitrososphaeria (previously Thaumarchaeota), yet it accumulates in the anoxic sediments, mainly due to turnover of proteins (Orsi 2018). Thus, ammonia/ammonium concentrations are tightly linked with sedimentation rates, and thus the distance from the shore and the seabed depth, as suggested by the opposite trends of the respective vectors on the CCA plot (**Fig. 6B**). Orthophosphate and nitrate+nitirite concentrations were more weakly linked to the structure of the microbial community (F=1.2 and F=1.4, p=0.036 and 0.031, for [PO_4] and [NOx], respectively). At the at shelf and slope stations (**Fig. 2**), where the sedimentation rates are expected to be high and we observed substantial microbial activity (**Fig. 3**), orthophosphate concentrations were also the highest, likely

due to the enhanced turnover of organic matter. While microbial activity can explain the release of nitrate from the oxic sediments (see below), we found that NOx were also enriched at the potentially anoxic sediments of, for example, 60 m-deep HS60 station (**Fig. 2**). This result hints at the possibility of anaerobic turnover of ammonia/ammonium in the shelf sediments, via microbe-mediated processes such as Feanmox and Sulfammox (Rios-Del Toro et al. 2018).

The relative abundance and predicted function of dominant archaeal and bacterial lineages in the SEMS sediments: Similar to oxic sediments elsewhere (Vuillemin et al. 2019), ammonia-oxidizing archaea (Nitrosopumilales, Nitrososphaeria) were often dominant at the shelf, slope and deep stations, and their abundance decreased in deeper sediment sections (**Fig. 5, Supplementary Figs. 3-6**). The Nitrosopumilales read abundance correlated positively with nitrate+nitrite (NOx) concentrations (Spearman's $\rho = 0.6$, adjusted p=0.002), and negatively with the concentration of ammonium (NH₄⁺, Spearman's $\rho = -0.7$, adjusted p=6x10⁻⁶, **Supplementary Fig. 7**), highlighting their involvement in nitrification and emphasizing the important role of archaeal recycling of ammonia/ammonium in the aerated sediments of the oligotrophic basins (Orsi 2018).

Woesearchaelaes, either fermenters of sugars and fatty acids or symbiotic syntrophs (Liu et al. 2018, Xiao et al. 2020), whose function in oxic sediments is still not fully understood, were abundant mainly in slope and deep sediments (**Fig. 7, Supplementary Figs. 3-6**). Potential degraders of detrital proteins Thermoprofundales (Thermoplasmata, Marine Benthic Group D) (Zhou et al. 2019) were present in the deep sections of the shelf sediments, which are most likely anoxic, while unknown Thermoplasmata lineages were dominant in the lower sections of sediments from the deep stations, where oxygen availability is uncertain (**Fig. 7, Supplementary Figs. 3-6**). We detected considerable read abundance of the anaerobic metabolic generalists Bathyarchaeia (Zhou et al. 2018) in the lower sections of the shelf, but also in some slope sediments (**Fig. 6, Supplementary Figs. 3-6**), suggesting that oxygen in these sections is low enough to ensure fitness of this lineage. The lower sections were also inhabited by mixotrophic anaerobes Hydrothermacheales (MBG-E) (Carr et al. 2019, Zhou et al. 2020), as well as Lokiarchaea and Odinarchaea (Asgardarchaeota) (Baker et al. 2021). DESeq2 analysis showed significant enrichment of Bathyarchaea and Odinarchaea in the shelf, as opposed to the slope sediments (**Fig. 8**).

Bacteria accounted for the majority of taxonomic diversity in the sediments (**Fig. 7, Supplementary Figs. 3-5**). In the deep sediments, Defluviicoccales, SAR202 and S085 Dehalococcoida lineages, Myxococcota lineage bacteriap25 (potentially has to be reclassified as Binatota, see below) and Gemmatimonadales were prominent (**Figs. 7 and 8 Supplementary Figs. 3-6**). The metabolic potential of these lineages in marine sediments is largely unknown, however, extensive exploration of their metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), which are often described in recent preprints, is ongoing. To our best knowledge, Defluviicoccales MAGs are yet to be described. Some Defluviicoccales consume acetate and catalyze denitrification to produce nitrous oxide (Wang et al. 2020). Both

SAR202 and S085 persist in deep oxic clays, where SAR202 may be the prominent degraders of the refractory organic matter (Vuillemin et al. 2020). Most SAR202 are described in the deep water column, where they are ubiquitous and specialize in the usage of recalcitrant organic compounds (Morris et al. 2004, Landry et al. 2017, Saw et al. 2020). The "bacteriap25" 16S rRNA sequence likely belongs to Binatota, in which aerobic methylotrophy and the ability to degrade alkanes have been suggested (Murphy et al. 2020). Methylomirabiliales were another potential methylotrophs that were not highly abundant (up to 3%), yet strongly enriched (log2 fold change 3, adjusted $p<1.4x10^{-9}$) at the deep stations (**Supplementary Fig. S6**). The most-well studied representative of this lineage, *Methylomirabilis oxyfera*, couples denitrification and methane oxidation (Wu et al. 2011), yet the role and niche of Methylomirabiliales in possibly oxic sediments are puzzling.

Continental slope sediments appear to cover a range of biomes: microbial communities from the HS360 and TA360 stations (~360 m depth), as well as HS800 (~800 m depth), resemble those of the shallower shelf stations, while those of TA800 (~800 m depth) are more similar to the deep ones (**Fig. 6, Supplementary Figs. S8-10**). These discrepancies likely reflect the differences in sediment transport regimes, based on proximity to the continental shelf, slope inclination and fluidity (Lubinevsky et al. 2017, Hyams-Kaphzan et al. 2018, Alkalay et al. 2020). The dominant sediment transport up to 350 m depths offshore Tel-Aviv is the along-shelf Levant Jet System (Schattner et al., 2015) which carries the shelf sediments from South to North. Offshore Haifa, the direction of this transport changes to the North-West direction and glides into the slope and deep basin (**Fig. 1b**; Kanari et al., 2020). The similarities of microbial communities along this path can be at least partially attributed to this feature. Comparison of taxonomic diversity in HS800 and TA800 stations revealed enrichment of anaerobic lineages such as exdeltaproteobacterial, sulfate-reducing bacteria clades Desulfobulbales, Syntrophobacterals and Sva1033 (Waite et al. 2020), as well as of potential chemoautotrophs Nitrospinales (Sun et al. 2019) and Ectothiorhodosirales (Nelson & Hagen 1995), as well as others at the HS800 sediments (**Supplementary Fig. S11**). Deep-sea lineages SAR202, Binatota, Methylomirabiliales and Woesearchaeales (see above) were enriched in TA800 sediments, suggesting that these communities are more similar to those of the deep bathyal plain biome.

Comparison of microbial community diversity in all the slope stations versus that of the deep ones revealed the pervasiveness of mainly anaerobic lineages in the slope sediments, including the ex-deltaproteobacterial taxa (Desfulforomonadales, Syntrophobacterals, Sva 1033 and Polyangiales), gammaproteobacterial lineages Vibrionales, Cellvibrionales (recently suggested to be included in the order Pseudomonadales, (Liao et al. 2020), UBA10353, BD7-8, KI89A and Tenderiales. We also found enrichment of potential degraders of polysaccharides and peptides Flavobacteriales (Zhang et al. 2019), which can be highly active in sediments (Sztejrenszus 2016), as well as enrichment of potentially saprophytic Latescibacteria (Farag et al. 2017), Rhizobiales, which can be involved in the degradation of hydrocarbons (Vandera & Koukkou 2017), and potentially methylotrophic Binatota lineage RCP2-54 (Murphy et al. 2020). We assume that bioturbation may play an important role in shaping the microbial

communities in these sediments. For example, Gammaproteobacterial lineages such as BD7-8 and Nitrosupumilales archaea were shown to correlate with bioirrigation rates, while the ex-deltaproteobacterial lineages are often associated with the reworking of organic matter (Deng et al. 2020). As different life domains in the sediment communities, e.g. foraminifera (Hyams-Kaphzan et al. 2018), >250 µm infauna (Lubinevsky et al. 2017), as well as fungi that are discussed below, are surveyed by the Israeli National Monitoring Program, future association studies are feasible.

In the shelf sediments, ex-deltaproteobacterial lineages (Syntrophobacterales, Sva0485, Desulfatiglandales) were prominent (**Fig. 8**). We also detected enrichment of Lentisphaerae and Dehalococcoidia lineages, which are associated with polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) contamination (Matturro et al. 2017, Rosato et al. 2020). In coastal sediments (sediment surface only), we found enrichment of photosynthetic lineages, including the oxygenic Cyanobacteriales and anoxygenic Chromatiales, which may co-exist due to a rapid depletion of oxygen at the sediment surface (**Supplementary Fig. S12**). This is likely the case for the coastal sediments, as our preliminary data collected in-situ at the 30 m-deep sediments at Haifa Bay (32°53'N 34°57'E) using in-situ oxygen microsensors (Unisense MiniProfiler MP4) indicate oxygen depletion within top 5 mm of the sediments (data not shown). We also detected enrichment of B2M28 and HOC36 Gammaproteobacteria, Actinomarinales and Gaiellales (Actinobactriota) and Pirellulales (Planctomycetota) in the coastal sediment surface. In the shallowest nearshore stations (6-10 m), Nitrosopumilales were mostly absent, while the putative aerobic organotrophic specialists, in particular Chitiniphagales and Verrucomicrobiales that can be prominent in disturbed sediments (Chen et al. 2020), were enriched (**Supplementary Fig. S13**). Mat-forming, potentially toxic cyanobacteria Leptolyngbyales that can accumulate heavy metals (Du et al. 2019, Zhu et al. 2020) were also enriched in these 6-10 m deep sediments (**Supplementary Fig. S13**).

We note that the assumptions of metabolic potential based on taxonomy may be inaccurate, particularly given the fact that the metabolic potentials of most marine microbes are either unknown or rest on genomic predictions. Even more so, some functions may not be evenly distributed among an environmental population of the same bacterial species (Hibbing et al. 2010). However, the knowledge of marine bacteria physiology accumulates rapidly, and the precision of functional predictions is expected to improve. Moreover, metagenomics, rather than a marker gene approach, may be used in the future to uncover both the phylogenetic and functional diversity in marine monitoring. This may be still not cost-effective, as for the highly diverse sediment communities deep sequencing coverage is required to define the function of specific taxa. However, shallow read-depth metagenomics is likely to perform better than the PCR-based marker gene diversity analyses, as they are not limited by primer specificity and allow detection of novel taxa (MacLeod et al. 2019).

Here, we attempted to predict metabolic properties with Tax4fun2, which appears to perform best for environmental data (Wemheuer et al. 2020). We considered only the "Metabolism" and "Environmental Information Processing" categories, most relevant for environmental microbes. This analysis found very few catabolic functions for the deepsea communities (likely as the metabolic functions of the deep-sea microbes are poorly represented in the reference database) and suggests enrichment of methane, propanoate, butanoate and pyruvate (mainly HS60 and HS120 stations), as well as fatty acid, benzoate and amino acid degradation categories in the shelf and slope stations (Supplementary Figures S14-18). These trends changed with increasing depth in the sediment, likely along oxygen gradients. Carbon fixation appears to play a role in some shelf and some deep sections. Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism was often enriched in the communities of the deep stations. This is primarily due to the high read abundance of Nitrosopumilales that are known to synthesize cobalamin, whose biosynthesis pathway is included in the "porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism" map 00860 in the KEGG database (Doxey et al. 2015). The multivariate analyses based on the functional predictions (Supplementary Figures S14-18) appear to distinguish between the aerobic communities in the deep sediments and the mostly anaerobic communities in the shelf and slope sediments, and for example, to highlight the discrepancies between the communities from slope stations offshore Tel-Aviv and Haifa. Although precise metabolic modeling of the sediment microbial communities in the SEMS still requires more data derived from omics, cultivation and physiological studies, our analyses suggest that in general, the function of these communities is predictable, and follows previously-described patterns (Orsi 2018).



Figure 6: Ordination analyses of bacterial and archaeal diversity. A) Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) of microbial communities, based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix. B) Canonical correspondence analysis. Samples are named as follows: station name_cmbsf. Forward tests for CCA1 and CC2 axes had a significant p-value < 0.001. '***' p<0.001 '**' p<0.01 '*' p<0.05.

Parted setting (annamperdowner (F)) - <td< th=""><th>Bacteria</th><th>Coastal</th><th></th><th></th><th>Shelf</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Slope</th><th>e</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Deep</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	Bacteria	Coastal			Shelf					Slope	e				Deep			
Protokacteria (annapore is produced or is produce	Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Cellvibrionales	- 4	2.2	1.5	0.8	0.3	0.4	1.5	1.2	1	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	
Planctomycotor. Planctomycoto	Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; BD7–8	- 3.6	1.6	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.1	1.4	1	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.1	0	0	0	
Actional equation is a constrained of a set	Planctomycetota; Planctomycetes; Pirellulales	- 4.9	1.7	1.3	1.2	1	0.6	0.9	1.1	0.9	1	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	
Protokateria frammpotokateria frameria frame	Actinobacteriota; Acidimicrobiia; Actinomarinales	- 3.3	1.3	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.2	1.2	0.7	1	0.8	0.6	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.1	
Bacterioriality Control playedInt <t< td=""><td>Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Incertae Sedis</td><td>- 2.1</td><td>1.5</td><td>0.9</td><td>0.5</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.2</td><td>1.4</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.1</td><td>0.6</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.9</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.1</td><td></td></t<>	Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Incertae Sedis	- 2.1	1.5	0.9	0.5	0.3	0.2	1.4	1.2	1.1	0.6	0.4	0.9	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	
Added series is intermanence langer law intermanence law is intermanene law is intermanence law is intermanence la	Bacteroidota; Bacteroidia; Cytophagales	- 1.7	1.6	1.4	0.9	0.4	0.5	1.3	1	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.1	
Protokolating commap resonance in the integraIndexI	Acidobacteriota; Thermoanaerobaculia; Thermoanaerobaculales	- 2.2	1.7	1.2	1.3	1.5	1	1	1	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8	
Planctomycotor: (M19)II <th< td=""><td>Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Nitrosococcales</td><td>- 1.9</td><td>1.8</td><td>1.8</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.4</td><td>0.7</td><td>1.8</td><td>1.7</td><td>1.4</td><td>1.3</td><td>0.9</td><td>1.5</td><td>1</td><td>0.6</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.1</td><td></td></th<>	Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Nitrosococcales	- 1.9	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.4	0.7	1.8	1.7	1.4	1.3	0.9	1.5	1	0.6	0.4	0.1	
Acido Acido Action function bias - 1 1 1 1 1 0	Planctomycetota; OM190	- 1.3	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.4	0.3	1.2	1.3	1	0.6	0.3	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.6	0.3	% read
Proteokacteria, Rhipotococieria, Rhipotokacteria, Rhipotokakacteria, Rhipotokacteria, Rhipotokacteria, Rhipotok	Acidobacteriota; Subgroup 22	- 1.1	1	1.1	1	1.1	0.5	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.5	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.1	abundance
Dead for the set of the	Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Rhizobiales	- 1.3	1.3	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	- 6
Were concretation of the sector of the se	Desulfobacterota; Desulfobulbia; Desulfobulbales	- 2	1.2	1.9	1.4	1.4	0.4	0.8	0.9	1.4	1.7	1.5	0.1	0	0	0	0	- 4
Choroki: Anacrolinea: SRI(01) 6.64 6.64 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.8 6.8	Verrucomicrobiota; Omnitrophia; Omnitrophales	- 0.3	0.3	0.4	0.7	1.3	1.2	0.7	1	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.9	1.1	1	0.7	0.6	
Chordex: phaloaceocidi, S0SCC </td <td>Chloroflexi; Anaerolineae; SBR1031</td> <td>- 0.4</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>- 2</td>	Chloroflexi; Anaerolineae; SBR1031	- 0.4	0.5	0.9	1.2	1.6	1.9	0.4	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5	- 2
Plane AcidometricipPlane (1)II <td>Chloroflexi; Dehalococcoidia; S085</td> <td>- 0</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>3.1</td> <td></td>	Chloroflexi; Dehalococcoidia; S085	- 0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	1.6	0.9	1	1.2	1.6	3.1	
Acido Action Subgroup 21 - 0 </td <td>Planctomycetota; Phycisphaerae; Phycisphaerales</td> <td>- 1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>0.8</td> <td></td>	Planctomycetota; Phycisphaerae; Phycisphaerales	- 1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	0.8	1	1.4	1.2	1	1	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.3	0.8	
Nitrospirial;	Acidobacteriota; Subgroup 21	- 0.1	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.9	1	0.9	0.5	0.3	2.2	2.4	2	1.1	0.6	
Gemmatimonadota; BD2-11 terrestrial_group 0 0.6 0.7 0.6 0.7 0.7 1.1 1.1 0.0 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.0 0.7	Nitrospirota; Nitrospiria; Nitrospirales	- 0.4	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.4	0.3	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.1	0.7	
Gemmatinonadets: Gemmatino	Gemmatimonadota; BD2-11 terrestrial_group	- 0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.7	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.6	
Planctomycetoia: Phycispharcae; MSBL9 - 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 <td>Gemmatimonadota; Gemmatimonadetes; Gemmatimonadales</td> <td>- 0.3</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.9</td> <td></td>	Gemmatimonadota; Gemmatimonadetes; Gemmatimonadales	- 0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.9	
Chlorodexi; Anaerolinaei; Anaerolinaeis; Anaerolinaeis; Mortophobacteria; Syntrophobacteria; Syntrophobacteria; Syntrophobacteria; Syntrophobacteria; Calastanaeis; SAR200 calastanaeis; SAR2000 calastanaeis; SAR200 calastanaeis; SA	Planctomycetota; Phycisphaerae; MSBL9	- 0.4	0.8	1.8	3	4.1	3.9	0.8	1.4	2.7	4.3	5.6	0.1	0.2	0.2	2.8	3.6	
Desultobacteria; Syntrophobacteria; Syntrophobacteria 9 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 3 2 2 3	Chloroflexi; Anaerolineae; Anaerolineales	- 1	1.4	1.7	2.9	3.8	3.6	0.5	0.9	1.9	2.4	3.1	0.3	0.4	0.4	1.2	2.1	
Desirio 45 20 32 35 45 37 08 11 16 20 31 0	Desulfobacterota; Syntrophobacteria; Syntrophobacterales	- 2.4	2.6	2.2	2.6	3.5	2.7	0.7	0.6	1	1.3	1.9	0.2	0	0	0.2	0.1	
Protoobacteria; Defluvincocales 0 <	Desulfobacterota; Desulfobacteria; Desulfobacterales	- 4.5	2.9	3.2	3.5	4.5	3.7	0.8	1.1	1.6	2.6	3.1	0.1	0	0	0.7	0.9	
Chloroflexi; Dehalococcidia; SAR202 clade - 0.3 0.4 0.7 0.4 0.2 0.3 1.4 1.5 0.7 0.4 0.5 4.6 4.3 3 2.7 2.4 Mycoccoca; bacteriap25 - 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.5 2.7 3.4 0.1 0.5 <td>Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Defluviicoccales</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>4</td> <td>5.4</td> <td></td>	Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Defluviicoccales	0.1	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.1	1.1	1.4	1	0.5	0.4	4.1	4.6	4.9	4	5.4	
Myxococcota; bacteriap25 01 03 03 03 03 03 03 04 06 1 11 05 03 21 3 41 41 33 NB1-j 29 32 33 29 29 10 25 27 34 31 26 3 39 45 41 26 Protobacteria; Alphaprotobacteria; Kioniollales 24 41 45 42 42 33 36 35 45 41 26 Archaea 1 1 26 34 48 <t< td=""><td>Chloroflexi; Dehalococcoidia; SAR202 clade</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.7</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.2</td><td>0.3</td><td>1.4</td><td>1.5</td><td>0.7</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.5</td><td>4.6</td><td>4.3</td><td>3</td><td>2.7</td><td>2.4</td><td></td></t<>	Chloroflexi; Dehalococcoidia; SAR202 clade	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.4	1.5	0.7	0.4	0.5	4.6	4.3	3	2.7	2.4	
NB1-j - 29 32 32 32 29 19 25 27 34 31 26 3 39 45 41 26 Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Steroidobacterales - 6 54 41 25 2 11 65 55 49 41 38 28 21 09 Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Klioniellales - 6 54 41 25 2 11 65 55 49 41 38 28 21 09 Archaea - <td>Myxococcota; bacteriap25</td> <td>- 0.1</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>3</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>3.3</td> <td></td>	Myxococcota; bacteriap25	- 0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	1	1.1	0.5	0.3	2.1	3	4.1	4.1	3.3	
Protoebacteria; Steroidobacteria; MGG-D (Thermoprofundales) 0.2 0.3 0.8 1.6 2.1 2.4 3.8 4.9 4.1 3.8 5.4 3.8 2.8 2.1 0.9 Proteobacteria; Steroidobacteria; Steroidobacteria; Steroidobacteria; Steroidobacteria; Moreachaedos 0.8 0.8 0.6 2.1 1.7 1.1 1.7 2.8 1.7 5.6 6.6 5.2 3.7 2.6 Thermoplasmato; Thermoplasmata; MBG-D (Thermoprofundales) 0.5 0.4 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.1 0.3 0.7 1.1 1.1 0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.7 0.6 0.0 0.0	NB1-j	- 2.9	3.2	3.3	2.9	2.9	1.9	2.5	2.7	3.4	3.1	2.6	3	3.9	4.5	4.1	2.6	
Protoebacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Kiloniellales 24 57 41 35 34 19 42 42 39 36 55 52 37 26 Archaea 1	Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Steroidobacterales	- 6	5.4	4.1	2.5	2	1.1	6.5	5.5	4.9	4.1	3.8	5.4	3.8	2.8	2.1	0.9	
ArchaeaII </td <td>Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Kiloniellales</td> <td>- 2.4</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>3.4</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>4.2</td> <td>4.2</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>5.9</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>3.7</td> <td>2.6</td> <td></td>	Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Kiloniellales	- 2.4	5.7	4.1	3.5	3.4	1.9	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.6	3.5	5.9	6.5	5.2	3.7	2.6	
Thermoplasmata; Thermoplasmata; Thermoplasmata; Thermoplasmata; Meg-D (Thermoprofundales) 02 03 0.8 1.6 2.1 2.4 01 0.3 1.1 1.7 2.8 1.7 5.1 7.5 8.6 1.2 9	Archaea		'		'	'			'	'	'		'		'	'	'	
Nanoarchaeota; Nanoarchaeia; Woesearchaeales 1.6 1 2 2.8 3.4 4.8 3.4 4.7 4.8 7 5.3 4.3 4.1 4.7 4.5 6.1 4.5 6.1 4.5 6.5<	Thermoplasmatota; Thermoplasmata; Thermoplasmata unkn. order	0.2	0.3	0.8	1.6	2.1	2.4	0.1	0.3	1.1	1.7	2.8	1.7	5.1	7.5	8.6	12	% read
Thermoplasmata; MBG-D (Thermoprofundales) 0.3 12 2.6 2.6 5.4 0 0.2 0.7 1.8 0 0 0 0.0 0.4 0.5 0.4 2.1 5.7 5.1 9.3 0.4 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.7 5.5 0.4 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.4 0.6 1 1.4 2.3 0.0	Nanoarchaeota; Nanoarchaeia; Woesearchaeales	1.6	1	2	2.8	3.4	4.8	3.4	4.7	4.8	7	5.3	4.3	4.1	4.7	4.5	6.1	abundance
Crenarchaeota; Bathyarchaeia; Bathyarchaeia; Hydrothermarchaeales; Hydrothermarchaeales; Hydrothermarchaeales; Hydrothermarchaeales; Lokiarchaeia; Lokiarchaeia; Lokiarchaeia; Co 0.5 0.4 2.1 5.7 5.1 9.3 0.4 0.6 1 1.4 2.3 0 0 0.2 1.1 1.5 1.6 1.6 0.2 0.4 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.1 0.3 0.7 1 1.1 0.0 <td>Thermoplasmatota; Thermoplasmata; MBG-D (Thermoprofundales)</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>5.4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>-20 -</td>	Thermoplasmatota; Thermoplasmata; MBG-D (Thermoprofundales)	0.3	0.3	1.2	2.5	2.6	5.4	0	0.2	0.2	0.7	1.8	0	0	0	0	0.4	-20 -
Hydrothermarchaeota; Hydrothermarchaeia; Hydrothermarchaeia; Hydrothermarchaeota; Hydrothermarchaeota; Hydrothermarchaeota; Lokiarchaeia; Lokiarchaeia; 0	Crenarchaeota; Bathyarchaeia; Bathyarchaeia	- 0.5	0.4	2.1	5.7	5.1	9.3	0.4	0.6	1	1.4	2.3	0	0	0	0.2	1.1	-15-
Asgardarchaeota; Lokiarchaeia 0.1 0.1 0.4 1.2 1.1 2.1 0.1 0.1 0.3 0.7 1 0 0 0.1 0.9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td>Hydrothermarchaeota; Hydrothermarchaeia; Hydrothermarchaeales</td><td>- 0</td><td>0.2</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.5</td><td>0.7</td><td>0.5</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.7</td><td>1</td><td>1.1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.7</td><td>-10-</td></t<>	Hydrothermarchaeota; Hydrothermarchaeia; Hydrothermarchaeales	- 0	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.7	1	1.1	0	0	0	0.1	0.7	-10-
Thermoplasmatics; Thermoplasmata; SG8-5 - 0 <td>Asgardarchaeota; Lokiarchaeia; Lokiarchaeia</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>- 5 -</td>	Asgardarchaeota; Lokiarchaeia; Lokiarchaeia	0.1	0.1	0.4	1.2	1.1	2.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.7	1	0	0	0	0.1	0.9	- 5 -
Asgardarchaeota; Odinarchaeia; Odinarchaeia; Odinarchaeia; MBG-A - 0 0 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.5 0 0.1 </td <td>Thermoplasmatota; Thermoplasmata; SG8-5</td> <td>- 0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.1</td> <td></td>	Thermoplasmatota; Thermoplasmata; SG8-5	- 0	0	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.5	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	0	0.1	
Crenarchaeota; Nitrososphaeria; MBG-A - 0	Asgardarchaeota; Odinarchaeia; Odinarchaeia	- 0	0	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	
Crenarchaeota; Nitrosophaeria; Nitrosophaeria	Crenarchaeota; Nitrososphaeria; MBG-A	- 0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0.1	0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	
$\begin{array}{c} cm \ below \ the \ sea 0 \ cm \ below \ the \ the \ the \ sea 0 \ cm \ below \ the \ t$	Crenarchaeota; Nitrosophaeria; Nitrosopumilales	4	16.1	13.3	7.8	4.9	3.7	22.6	17.5	15.2	9	8.3	22.6	20.8	19.3	17.1	9.4	
ு ் க ஜ் ் க ஜ் cm below the seafloor		- 1 -	0-1 -	1-2 -	4-5 -	-10 -	-20 -	0-1 -	1-2 -	4-5 -	-10 -	-20 -	0-1 -	1-2 -	4-5 -	-10 -	-20 -	
						σ	19	cm hel	ow the	seaflo	ത റ്ന	19				6	19	

Figure 7: The read abundance of bacterial (top, 30 most-abundant) and archaeal (bottom, 10 most-abundant) lineages at the order level in coastal, shelf, slope and deep stations (See Supplementary Figures S3-S6 for per-station results). Taxa are hierarchically clustered.



Figure 8: Differential abundance analysis (DESeq2), showing the 20 taxa at the order level that influenced the most the distinction in pairwise comparisons of deep/slope and slope/shelf communities (integrated over the sediment sections). Taxa are numbered by the rank of importance as detected by the random forest classifier. Taxa enriched in a biome (shelf, slope, deep) are marked by the respective color. Read counts are normalized as relative log abundance.

Fungal diversity in sediments: In 2020, we analyzed fungal diversity in the SEMS sediments, using the same DNA samples as for the analyses of bacteria and archaea. Fungal populations were usually less diverse than those of bacteria and archaea (ACE, 12-293; natural log-based Shannon's H', 0.2-4.8; Simpson's diversity index 0.07-0.98, Supplementary Fig. 19). Similar to bacteria and archaea communities, fungal alpha diversity appears to be lower in the samples from deeper stations and from sections that are more distant from the sediment surface (Supplementary Figs. 19 and 20). Ascomycota was the dominant phylum (10-100%, averaging 70±23%), followed by Basidiomycota (0-75.5%)averaging Chytridiomycota, 16±18%), while Mortierellomycota, Entorrhizomycota, Monoblepharomycota, Rozellomycota and Zoopagomycota were detected in some samples (Supplementary Fig. 21). We often could not identify fugal ASVs beyond the domain level (0-79.5%, averaging 13±20%), suggesting that a considerable fraction of SEMS fungi remains to be classified. This is similar to ITS amplicon-based study of fungal diversity in the Gulf of Mexico sediments, which showed the dominance of Ascomycota, but also found many poorlydefined fungi (Vargas-Gastélum et al. 2019).

The distribution of fungal lineages at the seabed depth and distance from the sediment surface gradients was much more chaotic than that of bacteria and archaea, indicating potential patchiness and highlighting the need for a better spatially-resolved study of fungal diversity (**Fig. 9A, Supplementary Figs. 21-25**). The vast majority of poorly-identified fungal ASVs were found in the slope sediments (**Fig. 9A, Fig. 10**), and the abundance of poorly-identified lineages negatively correlated with the seabed depth (**Supplementary Fig. 26**). To estimate the function of the fungal communities, we performed FUNguild analyses (**Fig. 9B,C**). While the trophic mode and morphology of most lineages were poorly defined, we observed a significant increase in the abundance of the putative saprotrophs and pathotrophs with both the increasing seabed depth and the distance from the sediment surface (**Fig. 9B**). Microfungus, facultative yeast and agaricoid morphologies also positively and significantly correlated with the abovementioned terms (**Fig. 9C**).

Fungal beta diversity did not follow the environmental gradients in the same manner as that of bacteria and archaea, however, some shifts in the community structure as a function of both the seabed depth and the distance from the sediment surface could be observed (**Supplementary Fig. 27A**). On-terms ANOVA of the CCA model suggests that only these two parameters corresponded significantly to the fungal community structure (F=1.6, p=0.001 and F=1.2, p=0.002 for the 'seabed depth' and 'distance from the sediment surface' terms, respectively, **Supplementary Fig. 27B**). This is in contrast to the fact that fungal diversity and abundance can correspond with the TOC content (Orsi et al. 2013), yet the abovementioned study examined this at a much wider range of TOC content estimates (0.01-3.7% wt, as opposed to 0.3-1.2% wt in this study).

Malasseziales (Basidiomycota) significantly increased with the distance from the sediment surface (**Supplementary Fig. 26**). This yeast is often found in deep-sea sediments (Raghukumar 2017). DESeq analyses suggest that this

lineage is enriched in the deep, in comparison to the slope sediments (**Fig. 10**). Bitunicate ascomycetes Capnodiales, which are often detected in marine and hypersaline environments, were prominent and their read abundance positively correlated with the seabed depth (**Supplementary Fig. 26**) and was more abundant in the shelf and slope, than in the deep sediments, based on the DESeq2 analyses (**Fig. 10**). True yeasts, such as Saccharomycetales, were prevalent in the shelf sediments (**Fig. 10**). Our results are in line with the consistent discovery of Capnodiales, Eurotiales and Saccharomycetales in the sediments of the Gulf of Mexico, using sequencing of the ITS1 amplicons (Vargas-Gastélum et al. 2019).



Figure 9: The read abundance of fungal taxa, trophic mode and growth morphology. 20 most-abundant fungal lineages at the order level in coastal, shelf, slope and deep stations are shown (A, see Supplementary Figures **S22-S25** for per-station results). Taxa are hierarchically clustered. The relative abundance of estimated trophic modes (B) and growth morphologies (C) in coastal, shelf, slope and deep stations are shown. For trophic mode and growth morphology, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (Spearman's ρ) with "seabed depth" and "cm below the sediment surface, cmbsf" are shown at the left (*,**, *** correspond to the adjusted p values <0.05, <0.005, <0.0005, respectively).



Figure 10: Differential abundance analysis (DESeq2), showing fungal taxa distinction distinct in pairwise comparisons of deep/slope and slope/shelf communities (integrated over the sediment sections). Taxa enriched in a biome (shelf, slope, deep) are marked by the respective color. Read counts are normalized as relative log abundance. **Conclusions**

To our best knowledge, this large-scale study provides the most comprehensive overview of microbial abundance, activity and diversity in the marine sediments in the warm and ultraoligotrophic eastern Mediterranean Sea. These populations appear to be stable over time based on DNA analyses (although seasonal changes remain to be elucidated), but change drastically along horizontal and vertical gradients. These changes are most likely determined by the organic matter load, availability of electron acceptors and permeability. While microbial diversity in the shelf

and deep-sea sediments follows the previously-described patterns (Orsi 2018), the continental slope sediments appear to be unusually active, and to harbor unexpected abundance and diversity of microorganisms. This activity translates to the release of nutrients into the water column, potentially supporting additional microbial activity above at the benthic boundary (Gächter & Meyer 1993, Viktorsson et al. 2013).

Changes in the bottom morphology between the northern and the southern provinces of the Levant basin, as well as different regimes of sediment transport, determine the inputs of bioavailable organic matter to the slope and deep biomes (Kanari et al. 2020, Katz et al. 2020). In particular, the communities of the 800 m-deep bottom slope stations were distinct between the northern (substantial lateral transport from the shelf) and the southern (limited to no lateral transport) transects. In the northern Haifa transect HS800 station, the communities were more similar to those of shallower stations in both transects, likely because they are situated along the same sediment transport path (**Fig. 1b**; Schattner et al., 2015, Kanari et al., 2020). This corresponds to the biotopes defined with analyses of foraminiferal assemblages, in which the communities from circa 900 m-deep station offshore Haifa clustered with those of ~300 m-deep southern stations (Hyams-Kaphzan et al. 2018). This trend was also observed with the analyses of metazoan infaunal communities (Lubinevsky et al. 2017). This unusual biotope, named "upper continental slope 1", comprised foraminiferal lineages that are adopted to high organic matter loads and oxygen deprivation. Using amplicon sequencing of microbial marker genes, we identified facultative anaerobic lineages such as Desulfobacterota in the near-surface 0-1 cm sections of the HS800 sediments, as in 360 m-deep stations, but not in those of the TA800 station (**Supplementary Fig. S6**).

Coastal eutrophication appears to play an important role in shaping the nearshore communities. For example, the nearshore sediments in southern Israel are affected by both the prominent influx of sewage and wastewater effluents, as well as the discharge of brine from the Ashkelon desalination facility (Powley et al. 2016, Frank et al. 2019). Among all the sediments we collected, only those of near-surface (0-1 cm) from the 30 m-deep station offshore Ashkelon were black and thus likely euxinic. Desulfobacterota anaerobes were suggested to be good indicators of habitats with deteriorated environmental status. However, these lineages hallmark most of the nearshore habitats, as well as those of the continental slope in the SEMS (**Fig. 7**). In habitats with the unusually high nutrient and carbon inputs, archaeal taxa, including Bathyarchaea and Thermoprofundales (Marine Benthic Group D), which were present either at the sediment surface of the 30-m deep station offshore Ashkelon, or in the deeper sections of the continental shelf sediments (**Supplementary Fig. S4**), are good candidate indicators of eutrophication.

These examples suggest that the analyses of bacterial and archaeal diversity are at least as sensitive to environmental gradients as the analyses of foraminifera and metazoan communities, and can perform well in biomonitoring. As our knowledge of microbial metabolic potential advances, the educated discovery of microbial function based on taxonomy becomes feasible to some extent, as it is displayed by our results of Tax4Fun analyses (**Supplementary**

Figs. S14-S18). This is crucial for the interpretation of microbial community data from the environmental quality status assessment perspective (Cordier et al. 2019). As costs of nucleotide sequencing decrease, metagenomics, which can reveal both the taxonomic and functional diversity of the microbial communities, and are not biased by primer selectivity, will further expand the knowledge of marine microbiota and may be routinely integrated into biomonitoring. Metatranscritomics can further reveal the metabolic potential of these communities and used to elucidate the rapid responses of these communities to acute perturbations. Microbial diversity analyses, incorporated into monitoring programs, via either amplicon sequencing of environmental DNA or omics, may not only contribute to our understanding of ecology, functionality and dynamics of the marine habitat but also pour light on relevant human health-related issues via the discovery of pathogens (Supplementary Note 1).

While analyses of bacteria and archaea taxonomic diversity provide a solid basis for future monitoring activities and understanding of the functionality in the SEMS basin, we did not observe such a clear trend using the analyses of fungal diversity. It likely requires an extended sampling approach, with a larger number of biological repeats, and/or extraction of DNA from larger sediment volumes. Yet, the further discovery of fungal taxonomic, and especially functional diversity is important for our understanding of the functionality of sediment biota in the SEMS. The first insight into the fungal taxonomic diversity, as well as interpretation of its functional and morphological guilds, provides a basis for future studies.

We surmise that this is the much-needed baseline, which will further support the activities of the National Monitoring Program of Israel's Mediterranean waters and provide a foundation for the study of the functionality of biota in the sediments of the SEMS. Further studies of microbial response to specific perturbations, both experimental and based on naturally-occurring events, will allow further development of microbial indices for biomonitoring.

Author contributions: M. R-B, G. S-V, E.R. and B.H. conceived this study. M. R-B, G. S-V, E.R., Y.Y., N.B., M.K. and E.R. collected analyzed the samples and curated the data. M. R-B. performed bioinformatics analyses. M. R-B wrote the paper with the contributions of all co-authors.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank all individuals who helped during the expeditions, including onboard technical and scientific personnel, and the captain and crew of the E/Vs *Bat Galim* and *MedEx*. This study was funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology grant (proposal # 001126) to M. R-B, G. S-V and E.R., and the National Monitoring Program of Israel's Mediterranean waters.

References

- Abarenkov K, Zirk A, Piirman T, Pöhönen R, Ivanov F, Nilsson RH, Kõljalg U (2020) UNITE QIIME release for Fungi 2. Version 04.02.2020. UNITE Community.
- Ahmerkamp S, Marchant HK, Peng C, Probandt D, Littmann S, Kuypers MMM, Holtappels M (2020) The effect of sediment grain properties and porewater flow on microbial abundance and respiration in permeable sediments. Sci Rep 10:3573.
- Alkalay R, Zlatkin O, Katz T, Herut B, Halicz L, Berman-Frank I, Weinstein Y (2020) Carbon export and drivers in the southeastern Levantine Basin. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr 171:104713.
- Almagor G (1978) Geotechnical properties of the sediments of the continental margin of Israel. SEPM J Sediment Res Vol. 48:1267–1274.
- Amalfitano S, Fazi S (2008) Recovery and quantification of bacterial cells associated with streambed sediments. J Microbiol Methods 75:237–243.
- Amend A, Burgaud G, Cunliffe M, Edgcomb VP, Ettinger CL, Gutiérrez MH, Heitman J, Hom EFY, Ianiri G, Jones AC, Kagami M, Picard KT, Quandt CA, Raghukumar S, Riquelme M, Stajich J, Vargas-Muñiz J, Walker AK, Yarden O, Gladfelter AS (2019) Fungi in the marine environment: Open questions and unsolved problSEMS. MBio 10:e01189-18.
- Andersen KS, Kirkegaard RH, Karst SM, Albertsen M (2018) Ampvis2: an R package to analyse and visualise 16S rRNA amplicon data. bioRxiv:299537.
- Apprill A, Mcnally S, Parsons R, Weber L (2015) Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. Aquat Microb Ecol 75:129–137.
- Araya C, Cazorla A, Reche I (2019) Detachment procedure of bacteria from atmospheric particles for flowcytometry counting. Bio-protocol 9:e3273.
- Astudillo-García C, Hermans SM, Stevenson B, Buckley HL, Lear G (2019) Microbial assemblages and bioindicators as proxies for ecosystem health status: potential and limitations. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 103:6407–6421.
- Baker BJ, Appler KE, Gong X (2021) New microbial biodiversity in marine sediments. Ann Rev Mar Sci 13:161–175.
- Bastviken D, Tranvik L (2001) The leucine incorporation method estimates bacterial growth equally well in both oxic and anoxic lake waters. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:2916–2921.
- Belkin N, Rahav E, Elifantz H, Kress N, Berman-Frank I (2015) Enhanced salinities, as a proxy of seawater desalination discharges, impact coastal microbial communities of the eastern Mediterranean Sea. Environ Microbiol 17:4105–4120.
- Berman-Frank I, Rahav E (2012) Nitrogen fixation as a source for new production in the Mediterranean Sea: a review. In: *Life in the Mediterranean Sea: A Look at Habitat Changes*, 1st ed. Nova Science Publishers, NY, p 199–226
- Bialik OM, Sisma-Ventura G (2016) Proxy-based reconstruction of surface water acidification and carbonate saturation of the Levant Sea during the Anthropocene. Anthropocene 16:42–53.
- Bienhold C, Zinger L, Boetius A, Ramette A (2016) Diversity and biogeography of bathyal and abyssal seafloor bacteria. PLoS One 11:e0148016.
- Bik HM (2019) Microbial metazoa are microbes too. mSystSEMS 4:e00109-19.
- Bokulich NA, Mills DA (2013) Improved selection of internal transcribed spacer-specific primers enables quantitative, ultra-high-throughput profiling of fungal communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:2519–2526.
- Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H, Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ, Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodríguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Da Silva R, Diener C, Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M, Estaki M, Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo J, Hillmann B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch AK, Jiang L, Kaehler BD, Kang K Bin, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koester I, Kosciolek T, Kreps J, Langille MGI, Lee J, Ley R, Liu Y-X, Loftfield E, Lozupone C, Maher M, Marotz C, Martin BD, McDonald D, McIver LJ, Melnik A V., Metcalf JL, Morgan SC, Morton JT, Naimey AT, Navas-Molina JA, Nothias LF, Orchanian SB, Pearson T, Peoples SL, Petras D, Preuss ML, Pruesse E, Rasmussen LB, Rivers A, Robeson MS, Rosenthal P, Segata N, Shaffer M, Shiffer A, Sinha R, Song SJ, Spear JR, Swafford AD,

Thompson LR, Torres PJ, Trinh P, Tripathi A, Turnbaugh PJ, Ul-Hasan S, van der Hooft JJJ, Vargas F, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Vogtmann E, von Hippel M, Walters W, Wan Y, Wang M, Warren J, Weber KC, Williamson CHD, Willis AD, Xu ZZ, Zaneveld JR, Zhang Y, Zhu Q, Knight R, Caporaso JG (2019) Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol 37:852–857.

- Cameron ES, Schmidt PJ, J-M Tremblay B, Müller KM (2020) To rarefy or not to rarefy: Enhancing microbial community analysis through next-1 generation sequencing. bioRxiv:2020.09.09.290049.
- Carr SA, Jungbluth SP, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Stepanauskas R, Woyke T, Rappé MS, Orcutt BN (2019) Carboxydotrophy potential of uncultivated Hydrothermarchaeota from the subseafloor crustal biosphere. ISME J:1457–1468.
- Caruso G, La Ferla R, Azzaro M, Zoppini A, Marino G, Petochi T, Corinaldesi C, Leonardi M, Zaccone R, Fonda Umani S, Caroppo C, Monticelli L, Azzaro F, Decembrini F, Maimone G, Cavallo RA, Stabili L, Hristova Todorova N, K. Karamfilov V, Rastelli E, Cappello S, Acquaviva MI, Narracci M, De Angelis R, Del Negro P, Latini M, Danovaro R (2016) Microbial assemblages for environmental quality assessment: Knowledge, gaps and usefulness in the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive. Crit Rev Microbiol 42:883–904.
- Cavicchioli R, Ripple WJ, Timmis KN, Azam F, Bakken LR, Baylis M, Behrenfeld MJ, Boetius A, Boyd PW, Classen AT, Crowther TW, Danovaro R, Foreman CM, Huisman J, Hutchins DA, Jansson JK, Karl DM, Koskella B, Mark Welch DB, Martiny JBH, Moran MA, Orphan VJ, Reay DS, Remais J V., Rich VI, Singh BK, Stein LY, Stewart FJ, Sullivan MB, van Oppen MJH, Weaver SC, Webb EA, Webster NS (2019) Scientists' warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate change. Nat Rev Microbiol. 17: 569–586.
- Chen Y-J, Leung PM, Bay S, Hugenholtz P, Kessler A, Shelley G, Waite D, Cook P, Greening C (2020) Metabolic flexibility allows generalist bacteria to become dominant in a frequently disturbed ecosystem. BioRxiv:945220.
- Cordier T, Lanzén A, Apothéloz-Perret-Gentil L, Stoeck T, Pawlowski J (2019) Embracing environmental genomics and machine learning for routine biomonitoring. Trends Microbiol 27:387–397.
- Corinaldesi C, Tangherlini M, Rastelli E, Buschi E, Lo Martire M, Danovaro R, Dell'Anno A (2019) High diversity of benthic bacterial and archaeal assemblages in deep-Mediterranean canyons and adjacent slopes. Prog Oceanogr 171:154–161.
- Danovaro R, Company JB, Corinaldesi C, D'Onghia G, Galil B, Gambi C, Gooday AJ, Lampadariou N, Luna GM, Morigi C, Olu K, Polymenakou P, Ramirez-Llodra E, Sabbatini A, Sardà F, Sibuet M, Tselepides A (2010) Deep-sea biodiversity in the Mediterranean Sea: the known, the unknown, and the unknowable. PLoS One 5:e11832.
- Danovaro R, Corinaldesi C, Filippini M, Fischer UR, Gessner MO, Jacquet S, Magagnini M, Velimirov B (2008) Viriobenthos in freshwater and marine sediments: A review. Freshw Biol 53:1186–1213.
- Danovaro R, Corinaldesi C, Rastelli E, Dell'Anno A (2015) Towards a better quantitative assessment of the relevance of deep-sea viruses, Bacteria and Archaea in the functioning of the ocean seafloor. Aquat Microb Ecol 75:81–90.
- Deng L, Bölsterli D, Kristensen E, Meile C, Su CC, Bernasconi SM, Seidenkrantz MS, Glombitza C, Lagostina L, Han X, Jørgensen BB, Røy H, Lever MA (2020) Macrofaunal control of microbial community structure in continental margin sediments. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:15911–15922.
- Doxey AC, Kurtz DA, Lynch MDJ, Sauder LA, Neufeld JD (2015) Aquatic metagenomes implicate Thaumarchaeota in global cobalamin production. ISME J 9:461–471.
- Du X, Liu H, Yuan L, Wang Y, Ma Y, Wang R, Chen X, Losiewicz M, Guo H, Zhang H (2019) The diversity of cyanobacterial toxins on structural characterization, distribution and identification: A systematic review. Toxins (Basel) 11:530.
- Falkowski PG, Fenchel T, Delong EF (2008) The microbial engines that drive Earth's biogeochemical cycles. Science 320:1034–1039.
- Farag IF, Youssef NH, Elshahed MS (2017) Global distribution patterns and pangenomic diversity of the candidate phylum "Latescibacteria" (WS3). Appl Environ Microbiol 83: e00521-17.
- Fortney JL, Mehlhorn TL, Lowe KA, Earles JE, Phillips J, Techtmann SM, Joyner DC, Elias DA, Bailey KL, Hurt RA, Preheim SP, Sanders MC, Yang J, Mueller MA, Brooks S, Watson DB, Zhang P, He Z, Dubinsky EA, Adams PD, Arkin AP, Fields MW, Zhou J, Alm EJ, Hazen TC (2015) Natural bacterial communities serve as quantitative geochemical bBiosensors. MBio 6:1–13.

- Frank H, Fussmann KE, Rahav E, Bar Zeev E (2019) Chronic effects of brine discharge from large-scale seawater reverse osmosis desalination facilities on benthic bacteria. Water Res 151:478–487.
- Frank H, Rahav E, Bar-Zeev E (2017) Short-term effects of SWRO desalination brine on benthic heterotrophic microbial communities. Desalination 417:52–59.
- Gächter R, Meyer JS (1993) The role of microorganisms in mobilization and fixation of phosphorus in sediments. Hydrobiologia 253:103–121.
- Gamage K, Screaton E, Bekins B, Aiello I (2011) Permeability-porosity relationships of subduction zone sediments. Mar Geol 279:19–36.
- Gaudette HE, Flight WR, Toner L, Folger DW (1974) An inexpensive titration method for the determination of organic carbon in recent sediments. SEPM J Sediment Res Vol. 44:249–253.
- Giovannelli D, Molari M, D'Errico G, Baldrighi E, Pala C, Manini E (2013) Large-scale distribution and activity of prokaryotes in deep-sea surface sediments of the Mediterranean Sea and the adjacent Atlantic ocean. PLoS One 8:e72996.
- Glöckner FO, Yilmaz P, Quast C, Gerken J, Beccati A, Ciuprina A, Bruns G, Yarza P, Peplies J, Westram R, Ludwig W (2017) 25 years of serving the community with ribosomal RNA gene reference databases and tools. J Biotechnol 261:169–176.
- Grossowicz M, Ofir E, Shabtay A, Wood J, Biton E, Belkin N, Frid O, Sisma-Ventura G, Kress N, Berman-Frank I, Gal G (2020) Modeling the effects of brine outflow from desalination plants on coastal food-webs of the Levantine basin (eastern Mediterranean Sea). Desalination 496:114757.
- Gutierrez T (2018) Preparing for the next big oil spill at sea : A microbiological perspective. J Mar Microbiol 2:13–14.
- Harms H, Schlosser D, Wick LY (2011) Untapped potential: Exploiting fungi in bioremediation of hazardous chemicals. Nat Rev Microbiol 9:177–192.
- Hazan O, Silverman J, Sisma-Ventura G, Ozer T, Gertman I, Shoham-Frider E, Kress N, Rahav E (2018) Mesopelagic prokaryotes alter surface phytoplankton production during simulated deep mixing experiments in Eastern Mediterranean Sea Waters. Front Mar Sci 5:2018.00001.
- Herut B, Almogi-Labin A, Jannik N, Gertman I (2000) The seasonal dynamics of nutrient and chlorophyll a concentrations on the SE Mediterranean shelf-slope. Oceanol Acta 23:771–782.
- Hibbing ME, Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Peterson SB (2010) Bacterial competition: Surviving and thriving in the microbial jungle. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:15–25.
- Hoehler TM, Jørgensen BB (2013) Microbial life under extreme energy limitation. Nat Rev Microbiol 11:83–94.
- Hoshino T, Doi H, Uramoto G, Wörmer L, Adhikari RR, Xiao N, Morono Y, D'Hondt S, Hinrichs K-U, Inagaki F (2020) Global diversity of microbial communities in marine sediment. Proc Natl Acad Sci:201919139.
- Hug LA, Baker BJ, Anantharaman K, Brown CT, Probst AJ, Castelle CJ, Butterfield CN, Hernsdorf AW, Amano Y, Ise K, Suzuki Y, Dudek N, Relman DA, Finstad KM, Amundson R, Thomas BC, Banfield JF (2016) A new view of the tree of life. Nat Microbiol 1:1–6.
- Hyams-Kaphzan O, Lubinevsky H, Crouvi O, Harlavan Y, Herut B, Kanari M, Tom M, Almogi-Labin A (2018) Live and dead deep-sea benthic foraminiferal macrofauna of the Levantine basin (SE Mediterranean) and their ecological characteristics. Deep Res Part I Oceanogr Res Pap 136:72–83.
- Kallmeyer J, Pockalny R, Adhikari RR, Smith DC, D'Hondt S (2012) Global distribution of microbial abundance and biomass in subseafloor sediment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:16213–16216.
- Kanari M, Tibor G, Hall JK, Ketter T, Lang G, Schattner U (2020) Sediment transport mechanisms revealed by quantitative analyses of seafloor morphology: New evidence from multibeam bathymetry of the Israel exclusive economic zone. Mar Pet Geol 114:104224.
- Kassambara A, Mundt F (2020) Factoextra: Extract and visualize the results of multivariate data analyses. R package version 1.0.7. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=factoextra.
- Katz T, Crouvi O (2018) Sediment flux dynamics over the shallow (25 m depth) shelf of the Mediterranean Sea along the Israeli coast. Mar Geol 406:1–11.
- Katz T, Weinstein Y, Alkalay R, Biton E, Toledo Y, Lazar A, Zlatkin O, Soffer R, Rahav E, Sisma-Ventura G, Bar T, Ozer T, Gildor H, Almogi-Labin A, Kanari M, Berman-Frank I, Herut B (2020) The first deep-sea mooring station in the eastern Levantine basin (DeepLev), outline and insights into regional sedimentological processes. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr 171:104663.
- Keeling PJ, Campo J del (2017) Marine protists are not just big bacteria. Curr Biol 27:R541–R549.

- Keuter S, Rinkevich B (2016) Spatial homogeneity of bacterial and archaeal communities in the deep eastern Mediterranean sea surface sediments. Int Microbiol 19:109–119.
- Kracher D, Scheiblbrandner S, Felice AKG, Breslmayr E, Preims M, Ludwicka K, Haltrich D, Eijsink VGH, Ludwig R (2016) Extracellular electron transfer systSEMS fuel cellulose oxidative degradation. Science 352:1098–1101.
- Kress N, Gertman I, Herut B (2014) Temporal evolution of physical and chemical characteristics of the water column in the Easternmost Levantine Basin (Eastern Mediterranean Sea) from 2002 to 2010. J Mar Syst 135:6–13.
- Kress N, Herut B (2001) Spatial and seasonal evolution of dissolved oxygen and nutrients in the Southern Levantine Basin (Eastern Mediterranean Sea): chemical characterization of the water masses and inferences on the N: P ratios. Deep Sea Res Part I Oceanogr Res Pap 48:2347–2372.
- Kress N, Shoham-Frider E, Galil BS (2016) Twenty two years of sewage sludge marine disposal monitoring in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea: Impact on sediment quality and infauna and the response to load reduction. Mar Pollut Bull 110:99–111.
- Kristensen E, Ahmed SI, Devol AH (1995) Aerobic and anaerobic decomposition of organic matter in marine sediment: Which is fastest? Limnol Oceanogr 40:1430–1437.
- Krom MD, Herut B, Mantoura RFC (2004) Nutrient budget for the Eastern Mediterranean: Implications for phosphorus limitation. Limnol Oceanogr 49:1582–1592.
- Krom MD, Kress N, Berman-Frank I, Rahav E (2014) Past, present and future patterns in the nutrient chemistry of the Eastern Mediterranean. In: *The Mediterranean Sea: Its History and Present Challenges*. Goffredo S, Dubinsky Z (eds) Springer, Dodrecht, p 49–68
- Krom MD, Woodward SEMS, Herut B, Kress N, Carbo P, Mantoura RFC, Spyres G, Thingsted TF, Wassmann P, Wexels-Riser C, Kitidis V, Law C, Zodiatis G (2005) Nutrient cycling in the south east Levantine basin of the eastern Mediterranean: Results from a phosphorus starved system. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr 52:2879–2896.
- Landry Z, Swa BK, Herndl GJ, Stepanauskas R, Giovannoni SJ (2017) SAR202 genomes from the dark ocean predict pathways for the oxidation of recalcitrant dissolved organic matter. MBio 8: 00413-17.
- Lever MA, Rogers KL, Lloyd KG, Overmann J, Schink B, Thauer RK, Hoehler TM, Jørgensen BB (2015) Life under extreme energy limitation: A synthesis of laboratory- and field-based investigations. FSEMS Microbiol Rev 39:688–728.
- Liao H, Lin X, Li Y, Qu M, Tian Y (2020) Framework of orders Cellvibrionales, Oceanospirillales, Pseudomonadales , and Alteromonadales in class Gammaproteobacteria through phylogenomic tree analysis. mSystSEMS 5:e00543-20.
- Libralato G, Minetto D, Lofrano G, Guida M, Carotenuto M, Aliberti F, Conte B, Notarnicola M (2018) Toxicity assessment within the application of in situ contaminated sediment remediation technologies: A review. Sci Total Environ 621:85–94.
- Liu X, Li M, Castelle CJ, Probst AJ, Zhou Z, Pan J, Liu Y, Banfield JF, Gu JD (2018) Insights into the ecology, evolution, and metabolism of the widespread Woesearchaeotal lineages. Microbiome 6:102.
- Lloyd KG, May MK, Kevorkian RT, Steen AD (2013) Meta-analysis of quantification methods shows that archaea and bacteria have similar abundances in the subseafloor. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:7790–7799.
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.
- Lubinevsky H, Hyams-Kaphzan O, Almogi-Labin A, Silverman J, Harlavan Y, Crouvi O, Herut B, Kanari M, Tom M (2017) Deep-sea soft bottom infaunal communities of the Levantine Basin (SE Mediterranean) and their shaping factors. Mar Biol 164:0.
- MacLeod F, S. Kindler G, Lun Wong H, Chen R, P. Burns B (2019) Asgard archaea: Diversity, function, and evolutionary implications in a range of microbiomes. AIMS Microbiol 5:48–61.
- Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal 17:10.
- Mason OU, Scott NM, Gonzalez A, Robbins-Pianka A, Bælum J, Kimbrel J, Bouskill NJ, Prestat E, Borglin S, Joyner DC, Fortney JL, Jurelevicius D, Stringfellow WT, Alvarez-Cohen L, Hazen TC, Knight R, Gilbert JA, Jansson JK (2014) Metagenomics reveals sediment microbial community response to Deepwater Horizon oil spill. ISME J 8:1464–75.

- Matturro B, Frascadore E, Rossetti S (2017) High-throughput sequencing revealed novel Dehalococcoidia in dechlorinating microbial enrichments from PCB-contaminated marine sediments. FSEMS Microbiol Ecol 93:1–10.
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2013) Phyloseq: An R Package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One 8:e61217.
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2014) Waste not, want not: Why rarefying microbiome data is inadmissible. PLoS Comput Biol 10.
- Miao J, Han N, Qiang Y, Zhang T, Li X, Zhang W (2017) 16SPIP: A comprehensive analysis pipeline for rapid pathogen detection in clinical samples based on 16S metagenomic sequencing. BMC Bioinformatics 18:16–20.
- Morris RM, Rappé MS, Urbach E, Connon SA, Giovannoni SJ (2004) Prevalence of the Chloroflexi-related SAR202 bacterioplankton cluster throughout the mesopelagic zone and deep ocean. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:2836–2842.
- Morton JT, Toran L, Edlund A, Metcalf JL, Lauber C, Knight R (2017) Uncovering the horseshoe effect in microbial analyses. mSystSEMS 2:00166–16.
- Murphy CL, Dunfield PF, Sheremet A, Spear JR, Woyke T, Elshahed MS, Youssef NH (2020) Methylotrophy, alkane-degradation, and pigment production as defining features of the globally distributed yet-uncultured phylum Binatota. bioRxiv:296780.
- Nearing JT, Douglas GM, Comeau AM, Langille MGI (2018) Denoising the Denoisers: an independent evaluation of microbiome sequence error-correction approaches. PeerJ 6:e5364.
- Nelson DC, Hagen KD (1995) Physiology and biochemistry of symbiotic and free-living chemoautotrophic sulfur bacteria. Integr Comp Biol 35:91–101.
- Nguyen NH, Song Z, Bates ST, Branco S, Tedersoo L, Menke J, Schilling JS, Kennedy PG (2016) FUNGuild: An open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild. Fungal Ecol 20:241–248.
- Nilsson RH, Larsson KH, Taylor AFS, Bengtsson-Palme J, Jeppesen TS, Schigel D, Kennedy P, Picard K, Glöckner FO, Tedersoo L, Saar I, Kõljalg U, Abarenkov K (2019) The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: Handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D259–D264.
- Nogales B, Lanfranconi MP, Piña-Villalonga JM, Bosch R (2011) Anthropogenic perturbations in marine microbial communities. FSEMS Microbiol Rev 35:275–298.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet GF, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H (2019) Vegan: Community Ecology Package.
- Orcutt BN, Sylvan JB, Knab NJ, Edwards KJ (2011) Microbial Ecology of the Dark Ocean above, at, and below the Seafloor. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 75:361–422.
- Orsi W, Biddle JF, Edgcomb V (2013) Deep sequencing of subseafloor eukaryotic rRNA reveals active fungi across marine subsurface provinces. PLoS One 8:e56335.
- Orsi WD (2018) Ecology and evolution of seafloor and subseafloor microbial communities. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:671–683.
- Ozer T, Gertman I, Kress N, Silverman J, Herut B (2017) Interannual thermohaline (1979–2014) and nutrient (2002–2014) dynamics in the Levantine surface and intermediate water masses, SE Mediterranean Sea. Glob Planet Change 151:60–67.
- Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA (2016) Every base matters: Assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples. Environ Microbiol 18:1403–1414.
- Petro C, Starnawski P, Schramm A, Kjeldsen KU (2017) Microbial community assembly in marine sediments. Aquat Microb Ecol 79:177–195.
- Polymenakou PN, Christakis CA, Mandalakis M, Oulas A (2015) Pyrosequencing analysis of microbial communities reveals dominant cosmopolitan phylotypes in deep-sea sediments of the eastern Mediterranean Sea. Res Microbiol 166:448–457.
- Probandt D, Knittel K, Tegetmeyer HE, Ahmerkamp S, Holtappels M, Amann R (2017) Permeability shapes bacterial communities in sublittoral surface sediments. Environ Microbiol 19:1584–1599.

R Core Team (2021) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

Raghukumar S (2017) Fungi in Coastal and Oceanic Marine EcosystSEMS. Springer International Publishing,

Cham.

- Rahav E, Bar-Zeev E (2017) Sewage outburst triggers *Trichodesmium* bloom and enhance N₂ fixation rates. Sci Rep 7:4367.
- Ramirez-Llodra E (2020) Deep-sea ecosystSEMS: Biodiversity and anthropogenic impacts. In: *The Law of the Seabed*. Brill | Nijhoff, p 36–60
- Richards TA, Jones MDM, Leonard G, Bass D (2012) Marine fungi: Their ecology and molecular diversity. Ann Rev Mar Sci 4:495–522.
- Rios-Del Toro EE, Valenzuela EI, López-Lozano NE, Cortés-Martínez MG, Sánchez-Rodríguez MA, Calvario-Martínez O, Sánchez-Carrillo S, Cervantes FJ (2018) Anaerobic ammonium oxidation linked to sulfate and ferric iron reduction fuels nitrogen loss in marine sediments. Biodegradation 29:429–442.
- Rocca JD, Simonin M, Blaszczak JR, Ernakovich JG, Gibbons SM, Midani FS, Washburne AD (2019) The microbiome stress project: Toward a global meta-analysis of environmental stressors and their effects on microbial communities. Front Microbiol 9:3272.
- Rosato A, Barone M, Negroni A, Brigidi P, Fava F, Xu P, Candela M, Zanaroli G (2020) Microbial colonization of different microplastic types and biotransformation of sorbed PCBs by a marine anaerobic bacterial community. Sci Total Environ 705:135790.
- Rousseeuw P, Struyf A, Hubert M, Studer M, Roudier P (2016) Cluster Analysis Basics and Extensions. R package version 2.1.0.
- Rubin-Blum M, Antler G, Shemesh E, Tsadok R, Goodman-Tchernov BN, Austin Jr. JA, Coleman DF, Ben-Avraham Z, Tchernov D (2014a) First evidence for the presence of iron oxidizing zetaproteobacteria at the Levantine continental margins. PLoS One 9:e91456.
- Rubin-Blum M, Antler G, Turchyn A V., Tsadok R, Goodman-Tchernov BN, Shemesh E, Austin J a, Coleman DF, Makovsky Y, Sivan O, Tchernov D (2014b) Hydrocarbon-related microbial processes in the deep sediments of the Eastern Mediterranean Levantine Basin. FSEMS Microbiol Ecol 87:780–796.
- Rylkova OA, Gulin SB, Pimenov N V. (2019) Determination of the Total Microbial Abundance in Black Sea Bottom Sediments Using Flow Cytometry. Microbiology 88:700–708.
- Saw JHW, Nunoura T, Hirai M, Takaki Y, Parsons R, Michelsen M, Longnecker K, Kujawinski EB, Stepanauskas R, Landry Z, Carlson CA, Giovannoni SJ (2020) Pangenomics analysis reveals diversification of enzyme families and niche specialization in globally abundant SAR202 bacteria. MBio 11:e02975-19.
- Schattner, U., M. Gurevich, M. Kanari, and M. Lazar (2015), Levant jet system—effect of post LGM seafloor currents on Nile sediment transport in the eastern Mediterranean, Sedimentary Geology, 329, 28-39.
- Schmidt JL, Deming JW, Jumars PA, Keil RG (1998) Constancy of bacterial abundance in surficial marine sediments. Limnol Oceanogr 43:976–982.
- Sisma-Ventura G, Bialik OM, Yam R, Herut B, Silverman J (2017) PCO₂ variability in the surface waters of the ultra-oligotrophic Levantine Sea: Exploring the air–sea CO₂ fluxes in a fast warming region. Mar Chem 196:13–23.
- Sisma-Ventura G, Kress N, Silverman J, Gertner Y, Ozer T, Biton E, Lazar A, Gertman I, Rahav E, Herut B (2021) Post-eastern Mediterranean transient oxygen decline in the deep waters of the southeast Mediterranean Sea supports weakening of ventilation rate. Front Mar Sci 7:598686.
- Sisma-Ventura G, Rahav E (2019) DOP stimulates heterotrophic bacterial production in the oligotrophic southeastern Mediterranean coastal waters. Front Microbiol 10:1913.
- Sisma-Ventura G, Yam R, Shemesh A (2014) Recent unprecedented warming and oligotrophy of the eastern Mediterranean Sea within the last millennium. Geophys Res Lett 41:5158–5166.
- Smith DC, Smith DC, Azam F, Azam F (1992) A simple, economical method for measuring bacterial protein synthesis rates in seawater using 3H-leucine. Mar Microb food web 6:107–114.
- Ssekagiri A (2020) MicrobiomeSeq: Microbial community analysis in an environmental context.. R package version 0.1. http://www.github.com/umerijaz/microbiomeSeq.
- Stinson LF, Keelan JA, Payne MS (2019) Identification and removal of contaminating microbial DNA from PCR reagents: impact on low-biomass microbiome analyses. Lett Appl Microbiol 68:2–8.
- Su Z, Dai T, Tang Y, Tao Y, Huang B, Mu Q, Wen D (2018) Sediment bacterial community structures and their predicted functions implied the impacts from natural processes and anthropogenic activities in coastal area. Mar Pollut Bull 131:481–495.
- Sun X, Kop LFM, Lau MCY, Frank J, Jayakumar A, Lücker S, Ward BB (2019) Uncultured Nitrospina-like

species are major nitrite oxidizing bacteria in oxygen minimum zones. ISME J 13:2391–2402.
Suzuki R, Yoshikazu T, Shimodaira H (2019) Pvclust: Hierarchical clustering with p-values via multiscale bootstrap resampling. R package version 2.2-0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pvclus.

Sztejrenszus SY (2016) Effect of humic substances on microbial community composition and iron reduction in marine sediments. Universität Bremen

Thompson LR, Sanders JG, McDonald D, Amir A, Ladau J, Locey KJ, Prill RJ, Tripathi A, Gibbons SM, Ackermann G, Navas-Molina JA, Janssen S, Kopylova E, Vázquez-Baeza Y, González A, Morton JT, Mirarab S, Xu ZZ, Jiang L, Haroon MF, Kanbar J, Zhu Q, Song SJ, Kosciolek T, Bokulich NA, Lefler J, Brislawn CJ, Humphrey G, Owens SM, Hampton-Marcell J, Berg-Lyons D, McKenzie V, Fierer N, Fuhrman JA, Clauset A, Stevens RL, Shade A, Pollard KS, Goodwin KD, Jansson JK, Gilbert JA, Knight R, Agosto Rivera JL, Al-Moosawi L, Alverdy J, Amato KR, Andras J, Angenent LT, Antonopoulos DA, Apprill A, Armitage D, Ballantine K, Bárta J, Baum JK, Berry A, Bhatnagar A, Bhatnagar M, Biddle JF, Bittner L, Boldgiv B, Bottos E, Boyer DM, Braun J, Brazelton W, Brearley FQ, Campbell AH, Caporaso JG, Cardona C, Carroll JL, Cary SC, Casper BB, Charles TC, Chu H, Claar DC, Clark RG, Clayton JB, Clemente JC, Cochran A, Coleman ML, Collins G, Colwell RR, Contreras M, Crary BB, Creer S, Cristol DA, Crump BC, Cui D, Daly SE, Davalos L, Dawson RD, Defazio J, Delsuc F, Dionisi HM, Dominguez-Bello MG, Dowell R, Dubinsky EA, Dunn PO, Ercolini D, Espinoza RE, Ezenwa V, Fenner N, Findlay HS, Fleming ID, Fogliano V, Forsman A, Freeman C, Friedman ES, Galindo G, Garcia L, Garcia-Amado MA, Garshelis D, Gasser RB, Gerdts G, Gibson MK, Gifford I, Gill RT, Giray T, Gittel A, Golyshin P, Gong D, Grossart HP, Guyton K, Haig SJ, Hale V, Hall RS, Hallam SJ, Handley KM, Hasan NA, Haydon SR, Hickman JE, Hidalgo G, Hofmockel KS, Hooker J, Hulth S, Hultman J, Hyde E, Ibáñez-Álamo JD, Jastrow JD, Jex AR, Johnson LS, Johnston ER, Joseph S, Jurburg SD, Jurelevicius D, Karlsson A, Karlsson R, Kauppinen S, Kellogg CTE, Kennedy SJ, Kerkhof LJ, King GM, Kling GW, Koehler A V., Krezalek M, Kueneman J, Lamendella R, Landon EM, Lanede Graaf K, LaRoche J, Larsen P, Laverock B, Lax S, Lentino M, Levin II, Liancourt P. Liang W, Linz AM, Lipson DA, Liu Y, Lladser ME, Lozada M, Spirito CM, MacCormack WP, MacRae-Crerar A, Magris M, Martín-Platero AM, Martín-Vivaldi M, Martínez LM, Martínez-Bueno M, Marzinelli EM, Mason OU, Mayer GD, McDevitt-Irwin JM, McDonald JE, McGuire KL, McMahon KD, McMinds R, Medina M, Mendelson JR, Metcalf JL, Meyer F, Michelangeli F, Miller K, Mills DA, Minich J, Mocali S, Moitinho-Silva L, Moore A, Morgan-Kiss RM, Munroe P, Myrold D, Neufeld JD, Ni Y, Nicol GW, Nielsen S, Nissimov JI, Niu K, Nolan MJ, Noyce K, O'Brien SL, Okamoto N, Orlando L, Castellano YO, Osuolale O, Oswald W, Parnell J, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Petraitis P, Pfister C, Pilon-Smits E, Piombino P, Pointing SB, Pollock FJ, Potter C, Prithiviraj B, Quince C, Rani A, Ranjan R, Rao S, Rees AP, Richardson M, Riebesell U, Robinson C, Rockne KJ, Rodriguezl SM, Rohwer F, Roundstone W, Safran RJ, Sangwan N, Sanz V, Schrenk M, Schrenzel MD, Scott NM, Seger RL, Seguinorlando A, Seldin L, Seyler LM, Shakhsheer B, Sheets GM, Shen C, Shi Y, Shin H, Shogan BD, Shutler D, Siegel J, Simmons S, Sjöling S, Smith DP, Soler JJ, Sperling M, Steinberg PD, Stephens B, Stevens MA, Taghavi S, Tai V, Tait K, Tan CL, Tas N, Taylor DL, Thomas T, Timling I, Turner BL, Urich T, Ursell LK, Van Der Lelie D, Van Treuren W, Van Zwieten L, Vargas-Robles D, Thurber RV, Vitaglione P, Walker DA, Walters WA, Wang S, Wang T, Weaver T, Webster NS, Wehrle B, Weisenhorn P, Weiss S, Werner JJ, West K, Whitehead A, Whitehead SR, Whittingham LA, Willerslev E, Williams AE, Wood SA, Woodhams DC, Yang Y, Zaneveld J, Zarraonaindia I, Zhang Q, Zhao H (2017) A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551:457-463.

- Vandera E, Koukkou AI (2017) Bacterial community response to hydrocarbon contamination in soils and marine sediments: a critical review of case studies. In: *Microbial Ecotoxicology*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, p 185–226
- Vargas-Gastélum L, Chong-Robles J, Lago-Lestón A, Darcy JL, Amend AS, Riquelme M (2019) Targeted ITS1 sequencing unravels the mycodiversity of deep-sea sediments from the Gulf of Mexico. Environ Microbiol 21:4046–4061.
- Vezzulli L, Chelossi E, Riccardi G, Fabiano M (2002) Bacterial community structure and activity in fish farm sediments of the Ligurian Sea (Western Mediterranean). Aquac Int 10:123–141.
- Vigderovich H, Liang L, Herut B, Wang F, Wurgaft E, Rubin-Blum M, Sivan O (2019) Evidence for microbial iron reduction in the methanic sediments of the oligotrophic southeastern Mediterranean continental shelf. Biogeosciences 16:3165–3181.

Viktorsson L, Ekeroth N, Nilsson M, Kononets M, Hall POJ (2013) Phosphorus recycling in sediments of the

central Baltic Sea. Biogeosciences 10:3901-3916.

Vuillemin A, Kerrigan Z, D'Hondt S, Orsi W (2020) Chloroflexi persisting for millions of years in oxic and anoxic deep-sea clay. bioRxiv:116590.

- Vuillemin A, Wankel SD, Coskun ÖK, Magritsch T, Vargas S, Estes ER, Spivack AJ, Smith DC, Pockalny R, Murray RW, D'Hondt S, Orsi WD (2019) Archaea dominate oxic subseafloor communities over multimillionyear time scales. Sci Adv 5:eaaw4108.
- Waite DW, Chuvochina M, Pelikan C, Parks DH, Yilmaz P, Wagner M, Loy A, Naganuma T, Nakai R, Whitman WB, Hahn MW, Kuever J, Hugenholtz P (2020) Proposal to reclassify the proteobacterial classes Deltaproteobacteria and Oligoflexia, and the phylum Thermodesulfobacteria into four phyla reflecting major functional capabilities. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol:4213.
- Wang Z, Pane VE, Criddle CS (2020) Metabolic model of nitrite reduction to nitrous oxide coupled to alternating consumption and storage of glycogen and polyhydroxyalkanoate. Bioresour Technol Reports 9:100370.
- Wasimuddin KS, Schlaeppi K, Ronchi F, Leib SL, Erb M, Ramette A (2019) Evaluation of primer pairs for microbiome profiling across a food chain from soils to humans within the One Health framework. bioRxiv:843144.
- Wemheuer F, Taylor JA, Daniel R, Johnston E, Meinicke P, Thomas T, Wemheuer B (2020) Tax4Fun2: prediction of habitat-specific functional profiles and functional redundancy based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. Environ Microbiome 15:11.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. PCR Protoc:315–322.
- Wickham H (2009) Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Wu ML, Ettwig KF, Jetten MSM, Strous M, Keltjens JT, Van Niftrik L (2011) A new intra-aerobic metabolism in the nitrite-dependent anaerobic methane-oxidizing bacterium *Candidatus* "Methylomirabilis oxyfera." Biochem Soc Trans 39:243–248.
- Xiao J, Zhang Y, Chen W, Xu Y, Zhao R, Tao L, Wu Y, Zhang Y, Xiao X, Zhu R (2020) Diversity and biogeography of Woesearchaeota: A comprehensive analysis of multi-environment data. bioRxiv:2020.08.09.243345.
- Zhang H, Yoshizawa S, Sun Y, Huang Y, Chu X, González JM, Pinhassi J, Luo H (2019) Repeated evolutionary transitions of flavobacteria from marine to non-marine habitats. Environ Microbiol 21:648–666.
- Zhou Z, Liu Y, Lloyd KG, Pan J, Yang Y, Gu JD, Li M (2019) Genomic and transcriptomic insights into the ecology and metabolism of benthic archaeal cosmopolitan, Thermoprofundales (MBG-D archaea). ISME J 13:885–901.
- Zhou Z, Liu Y, Xu W, Pan J, Luo Z-H, Li M (2020) Genome- and community-level interaction insights into carbon utilization and element cycling functions of Hydrothermarchaeota in hydrothermal sediment. mSystSEMS 5:e00795-19.
- Zhou Z, Pan J, Wang F, Gu J-D, Li M (2018) Bathyarchaeota: globally distributed metabolic generalists in anoxic environments. FSEMS Microbiol Rev 42:639–655.
- Zhu F, Yang M, Luo Z xi, Yu R lian, Hu G ren, Yan Y (2020) Bioaccumulation and biotransformation of arsenic in Leptolyngbya boryana. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27:29993–30000.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Note 1 - The discovery of microbial pathogens in the eastern Mediterranean Sea sediments: Given that coastal pathogens may be introduced to the coastal waters (Cohen et al. 2020), and as our coastal transect stations were located mainly in river estuaries which can transport pathogens from the land to the sea, we evaluated if human pathogens can be found. In all the samples, we found usually <10 reads which could be assigned to pathogens, most of which were sporadically distributed between the samples and likely accounted for lab contaminants or "kitome" (Stinson et al. 2019). Only reads assigned to *Vibrio vulnificus* were found mainly in the coastal samples, and were generally more abundant (up to 0.013%, as opposed to <0.006% read abundance for all other pathogens, Supplementary Table **16SPIP**). *V. vulnificus* is a marine pathogens in coastal sediments is plausible (discovery of human pathogens in deep sediments would have been surprising), as no contamination events were adjacent to the sampling (sewage outbursts in Israel usually occur in Winter, as rainfall in Summer is scant). In heavily contaminated environments, however, analyses of 16S rRNA amplicons can detect numerous pathogens (Littman et al. 2020). Eutrophication and pollution in coastal areas not only lead to the enrichment of pathogens, but also to the persistence of antibiotic-resistant strains, which can be detected with metagenomics (Chen et al. 2019).

- Chen CY, Wu KM, Chang YC, Chang CH, Tsai HC, Liao TL, Liu YM, Chen HJ, Shen ABT, Li JC, Su TL, Shao CP, Lee C Te, Hor LI, Tsai SF (2003) Comparative genome analysis of *Vibrio vulnificus*, a marine pathogen. Genome Res 13:2577–2587.
- Chen J, McIlroy SE, Archana A, Baker DM, Panagiotou G (2019) A pollution gradient contributes to the taxonomic, functional, and resistome diversity of microbial communities in marine sediments. Microbiome 7:104.
- Cohen R, Paikin S, Rokney A, Rubin-Blum M, Astrahan P (2020) Multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in coastal water: an emerging threat. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 9:169.
- Littman RA, Fiorenza EA, Wenger AS, Berry KLE, van de Water JAJM, Nguyen L, Aung ST, Parker DM, Rader DN, Harvell CD, Lamb JB (2020) Coastal urbanization influences human pathogens and microdebris contamination in seafood. Sci Total Environ 736:139081.
- Stinson LF, Keelan JA, Payne MS (2019) Identification and removal of contaminating microbial DNA from PCR reagents: impact on low-biomass microbiome analyses. Lett Appl Microbiol 68:2–8.

Supplementary Figures



Sample Size

Supplementary Figure S1: Rarefaction curves – bacteria and archaea. Samples collected in 2019, which were sequenced to a considerably lower depth, were excluded from alpha-diversity analyses.



Supplementary Figure S2: Relative (read) abundance of archaea at a function of the seabed depth (A), distance from the sediment surface in Haifa (B) and Tel-Aviv (C) transects.



Supplementary Figure S3: The read abundance of top, 30 most-abundant bacterial and archaeal lineages at the order level in coastal stations. Taxa are hierarchically clustered.



Supplementary Figure S4: The read abundance of top, 30 most-abundant bacterial and archaeal lineages at the order level in shelf stations. Taxa are hierarchically clustered.

		F	IS360				H	IS800					TA360				٦	A800		
NB1-J (ex-Deltaproteobacteria)	1.7	3.6	4.1	3.6	2	2.8	2.3	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.9	3	2.9	4.3	3.3	2.6
Alphaproteobacteria; Kiloniellales	4.8	5.1	5.1	5.7	5.4	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.4	5.2	3.7	3.3	3.5	3.6	4.1	3.7	4.1	3.1	1.6	0.4
Gammaproteobacteria; Steroidobacterales	6.4	8.7	7.7	6.7	5	6.8	5.1	5.1	4.6	4.4	5.8	5	4.6	4.6	5.1	7	4.8	3.6	1.9	1.3
Nanoarchaeia; Woesearchaeales	1.2	3	2.3	2.3	3.9	2.5	3.2	5.1	7.2	3.3	3.2	2.6	2.7	2.4	3.9	5.8	9.1	7.8	13.8	9.2
Gammaproteobacteria; BD7-8	1.9	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.4	1.5	1.3	0.8	0.3	0.3	1.7	1.1	1	1.1	0.6	0.7	0.3	0.2	0	0
Bacteroidia; Cytophagales	1.6	1.4	0.8	0.7	0.3	1.4	1.5	0.6	0.5	0.2	1.3	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.4	1	0.6	0.9	0.4	0.2
Gammaproteobacteria; KI89A clade	1.6	0.8	1	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.1	1	0.7	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.1	1.1	1	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.1	0
Gammaproteobacteria; Incertae Sedis	1.3	1.7	1.8	0.7	0.8	1.8	1.1	1.2	0.8	0.4	1.6	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.1	0
Gammaproteobacteria; Cellvibrionales	3.1	2.3	2.1	1.5	1.2	1.7	1.4	1.1	0.6	0.3	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.1	1	0.1	0	0.2	0	0
Bacteroidia; Flavobacteriales	2.8	1.5	1.1	0.8	0.3	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.4	0.3	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0	0
Gammaproteobacteria; Nitrosococcales	1.4	2	1.8	1.8	1.2	2	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.7	1.2	1	1.4	1.1	2.2	1.7	1.4	0.6	0.1
Acidibacteria Subgroup 2	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.7	0.7	0.5	1	1.2	1.1	0.6	0.9	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.7	1.3	1.2	1.1	0.5
Phycisphaerae; Phycisphaerales	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.4	1.1	1.4	1.1	1	0.9	8.0	1.1	1	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.7	1.2	1.4
OM190 (Planctomycetes)	0.9	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.2	1	1.4	0.8	0.6	0.2	1.2	1	1	1	0.8	1.5	1.7	1.4	0.3	0.1
BD2-11 terrestrial group;	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.5	1.3	1	1	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.9	1	0.4	0.6
Acidimicrobiia; Actinomarinales	- 1	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.7	1.3	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.5	1.7	0.8	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.7	1.4	0.9	0.4
Thermoanaerobaculia; Thermoanaerobaculales	1.3	0.9	1.6	1.2	1.6	0.9	0.9	1	0.8	0.8	1	1.3	1.6	2	1.6	1	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.7
Planctomycetes; Pirellulales	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.4	1	1.6	1.2	0.8	0.6	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.3	0.8	0.8	0.5	1	0.8
Anaerolineae; SBR1031	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.7	1.5	0.4	1.3	0.9	1.1	0.7	0.6	1	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	1	1.5
Alphaproteobacteria; Rhizobiales	0.7	0.7	0.7	1	1.1	0.7	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.5	8.0	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7
Syntrophobacteria; Syntrophobacterales	1.2	1	1.7	2.1	3	1	0.8	1.2	1.2	3.3	0.7	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.5	0.2	0	0.2	0.8	0.3
Bathyarchaeia order	0.2	0	0.4	0.6	2.2	0.4	0.3	0.9	1.4	3.4	0.9	1.7	2.4	2.6	1.8	0	0	0	0.6	1.9
Desulfobulbia; Desulfobulbales	- 2.5	2	3.6	3	3.4	0.4	0.4	1.3	1.7	1.5	0.7	1.7	1.8	2.6	2.2	0	0	0	0.2	0
Desulfobacteria; Desulfobacterales	- 1.7	1.4	2.7	3.5	4.8	0.9	1.1	2	1.9	2.9	1.1	2	2	2.9	2.7	0	0	0.2	2.6	2.6
Anaerolineae; Anaerolineales	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.9	3.8	0.6	0.7	2.3	2.8	3.2	8.0	1.9	2.3	2.5	2.8	0.2	0.2	1.3	2.1	2.9
Omnitrophia; Omnitrophales	- 0.3	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.7	2.4	1.4	1.9	1.5
Alphaproteobacteria; Defluviicoccales	0.3	1.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.7	1.2	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.5	2.3	2.7	1.9	0.7	0.5
Dehalococcoidia; SAR202 clade	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.1	1	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	3.3	3.8	1.2	0.7	1.3
Phycisphaerae; MSBL9 -		2.9	2.9	3.4	2.2	1.3	1.3	3.7	3.8	4.3	0.9	2.1	1.9	2	4	0	0	2.5	7.4	10.1
Nitrososphaeria; Nitrosopumilales	22.3	18.5	14.2	13.4	8.2	21.6	18.4	14	10.6	11.8	22.	13.3	12.6	9.5	11.4	24.2	20.2	19.3	4.6	1.9
20 15 10 5 % Read Abundance	, 	2'	5-	10	20-		2-	5 -	10	20		, 'v	5	10 -	20-	,	2'	5-	10	20 -
	cm below the seafloor																			

Supplementary Figure S5: The read abundance of top, 30 most-abundant bacterial and archaeal lineages at the order level in slope stations. Taxa are hierarchically clustered.



Supplementary Figure S6: The read abundance of top, 30 most-abundant bacterial and archaeal lineages at the order level in deep stations. Taxa are hierarchically clustered.

	seabed depth	cmbsf	NOx	$\mathrm{NH}_{_4}$	PO_4	TOC	porosity	
Thermoplasmata order 1	_ **	***			*			
Woesearchaeales	- ***	**	*	**	**			
Vicinamibacterales	_ ***	**	**	***	***		***	
Thermoplasmata order 2	_ **	***	***			***	***	
Thermoanaerobaculales	-			*			*	
Syntrophobacterales	- ***		**	***	***		***	
Sva0485	***	***	***	***	***		***	
Subgroup 9 (Acidobacteriota)	***	***		***		**	***	
Subgroup 22 (Acidobacteriota)	- ***			***	***			
Subgroup 21 (Acidobacteriota)	- ***	**	*	***	***		***	
Steroidobacterales	-	***	**		***	*	***	
SBR1031 (Anaerolineae)	- ***	***	***	***	**		**	
SAR324	- ***			***	***			
SAR202	- ***	**	**	***	***		***	
S085 (Dehalococcoida)	_ ***	***		***	*			
Rhizobiales	_ ***			***	**			
Pla3 (Planctomycetota)	- ***	*	***	***	***		*	
Pirellulales	_ ***	*		***	*			
Phycisphaerales	- ***	*		***	**		*	
PAUC43f (Gemmatimonadota)	-			*	*			
Omnitrophales	- *			**	*			
OM190 (Planctomycetota)	- *	***	***	***	*		***	
Nitrospirales	_ ***	***	***	***	***		**	Correlation
Nitrosopumilales	_ ***	***	***	***	***		***	_
Nitrosococcales	- ***	***		*			***	0.5
NB1-j	***			***	**			0.0
MSBL9 (Planctomycetota)	***	***	***	***	***	_	**	0.0
Methylomirabilales	_ ***			***	**			
MBMPE27 (Gammaproteobacteria)	- ***			***	***			0.5
MBGD (Thermoprofundales)	- ***	***	***	***	***		***	
Lokiarchaeia	- ***	***	***	***	***		***	
Latescibacterota	- ***		*	***	*			
Kiloniellales	- ***	**	**	***	***			
KI89A (Gammaproteobacteria)	_ ***	***	*	*	_		***	
Gemmatimonadales	- ***	*		***	***	*		
Gammaproteobacteria Incertae Sedis	_ ***	***	**			**	***	
Desulfobulbales	- ***		*	***	**		*	
Desulfobacterales	- ***	**	***	***	***		***	
Desulfatiglandales	- ***	***	***	***	***		***	
Defluviicoccales	- ***		***	***	***		*	
Cytophagales	***	***	***				**	
Cellvibrionales	_ ***	**		***	*			
BD2-11 (Gemmatimonadota)	***	414	*	***	***			
Bathyarchaeia	- ***	***	***	***	***		***	
bacteriap25 (Myxococcota)	-	***		***	***			
A1-s2-59 (Gammaproteobacteria)	- **	***	**	***	***		***	
Argenticatenales	- ***	***	***	***	**		***	
Anaerolineales		***	***	+++	**		***	
Aminicenantales	+++		**	***	+++			
Actinomarinales	- ^^^				~~~			

Supplementary Figure S7: Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (Spearman's ρ) for the bacterial and archaeal taxa read abundancies (order level, top 30) and environmental parameters. *,**, *** correspond to the adjusted p values (<0.05, <0.005, <0.0005).



Supplementary Figure S8: Determining optimal k-means clusters dor sediment samples from the 0-1 cm sections, using 3 methods: gap statistic (top), average silhouette (middle) and elbow (bottom). 5 to 8 optimal clusters are suggested.



Supplementary Figure S9: Principal component analysis based on the covariance of read abundances in samples from 0-1 cm sections, showing clusters determined by k-means clustering (5-8 clusters).



Supplementary Figure S10: Hierarchical clustering of 0-1 cm below the seafloor samples (pvclust, correlation distance based on sum-normalized read abundance, average clustering method). AU (approximately unbiased) p-values are shown (higher numbers indicate better clustering support).



Supplementary Figure S11: Differential abundance analysis (DESeq2), showing the 20 taxa at the order level that influenced the most the distinction in pairwise comparisons of communities at the 800 m depth (HS800, purple, TA800, blue). Taxa are numbered by the rank of importance as detected by random forest classifier. Read counts are normalized as relative log expression (in this case, abundance).



Supplementary Figure S12: Differential abundance analysis (DESeq2), showing the 20 taxa at the order level that influenced the most the distinction in pairwise comparisons of communities at coastal (green) and shelf (grey) sediments (only 0-1 cm sections). Taxa are numbered by the rank of importance as detected by random forest classifier. Read counts are normalized as relative log expression (in this case, abundance).



Supplementary Figure S13: Differential abundance analysis (DESeq2), showing the 20 taxa at the order level that influenced the most the distinction in pairwise comparisons of communities at 6-10 m coastal (light green) and 30-35 m coastal (dark green) sediments (only 0-1 cm sections). Taxa are numbered by the rank of importance as detected by random forest classifier. Read counts are normalized as relative log expression (in this case, abundance).



Supplementary Figure S14: The estimated functional diversity of archaea and bacteria 0-1 cm below the seafloor. Principal component analysis (PCA, based on variance-covariance of the top 50 functions identified by Tax4fun2).



Supplementary Figure S15: The estimated functional diversity of archaea and bacteria 1-2 cm below the seafloor. Principal component analysis (PCA, based on variance-covariance of the top 50 functions identified by Tax4fun2).



Supplementary Figure S16: The estimated functional diversity of archaea and bacteria 4-5 cm below the seafloor. Principal component analysis (PCA, based on variance-covariance of the top 50 functions identified by Tax4fun2).



Supplementary Figure S17: The estimated functional diversity of archaea and bacteria 9-10 cm below the seafloor. Principal component analysis (PCA, based on variance-covariance of the top 50 functions identified by Tax4fun2).



Supplementary Figure S18: The estimated functional diversity of archaea and bacteria 19-20 cm below the seafloor. Principal component analysis (PCA, based on variance-covariance of the top 50 functions identified by Tax4fun2).



Supplementary Figure S19: Alpha diversity parameters of fungi (abundance-based coverage estimator – ACE, natural log-based Shannon's H' and Simpson's diversity index) in the sediments of the eastern Mediterranean Sea.



Supplementary Figure S20: Rarefaction curves – bacteria and archaea. Samples collected in 2019, which were sequenced to a considerably lower depth, were excluded from alpha-diversity analyses.



cm below the seafloor

Supplementary Figure S21: The read abundance of fungi phyla.

Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Agaricales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Trichosphaeriales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Coniochaetales Ascomycota: Dothideomycetes: Dothideales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Polyporale: Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; unidentified Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Onygenales Basidiomycota; Tremellomycetes; Tremellales Basidiomycota; unidentified; unidentified Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Chaetothyriales Basidiomycota; Microbotryomycetes; Sporidiobolales Basidiomycota; Geminibasidiomycetes; Geminibasidiales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Sordariales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Phallales Ascomycota; unidentified; unidentified Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Microascales Basidiomycota; Wallemiomycetes; Wallemiales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Glomerellales Basidiomycota; Tremellomycetes; Cystofilobasidiales Ascomycota; Leotiomycetes; Thelebolales Chytridiomycota; Rhizophydiomycetes; Rhizophydiales Basidiomycota; Malasseziomycetes; Malasseziales Ascomycota; Leotiomycetes; Helotiales Ascomycota; Saccharomycetes; Saccharomycetales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Hypocreales Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Eurotiales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Boletales unidentified; unidentified; unidentified Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Pleosporales Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Capnodiales



Supplementary Figure S22: The read abundance of the 30 most abundant fungi orders in coastal sediments.

Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Hypocreales Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Eurotiales Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Pleosporales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Agaricales Ascomycota; Saccharomycetes; Saccharomycetales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Xylariales Basidiomycota; Wallemiomycetes; Wallemiales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; unidentified Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Geastrales Ascomycota; Leotiomycetes; Helotiales Basidiomycota; Tritirachiomycetes; Tritirachiales Entorrhizomycota; Entorrhizomycetes; Entorrhizales Basidiomycota; Malasseziomycetes; Malasseziales Basidiomycota; Cystobasidiomycetes; Incertae_sedis Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Trichosphaeriales Ascomycota; Pezizomycetes; Pezizales Basidiomycota: Microbotryomycetes: Sporidiobolales Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Chaetothyriales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Boletales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Polyporales Mortierellomycota; Mortierellomycetes; Mortierellales Ascomvcota; Leotiomvcetes; Thelebolales Basidiomycota; Geminibasidiomycetes; Geminibasidiales Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Onygenales Chytridiomycota; Chytridiomycetes; unidentified Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; unidentified Ascomycota; unidentified; unidentified Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Sordariales Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Capnodiales unidentified; unidentified; unidentified



Supplementary Figure S23: The read abundance of the 30 most abundant fungi orders in continental shelf sediments.

Ascomycota: Sordariomycetes: Hypocreales Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Pleosporales Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Eurotiales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Glomerellales Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Dothideales Basidiomycota; Wallemiomycetes; Wallemiales Basidiomycota; Geminibasidiomycetes; Geminibasidiales Mortierellomycota; Mortierellomycetes; Mortierellales Ascomycota; Leotiomycetes; Thelebolales Basidiomycota; Cystobasidiomycetes; Cystobasidiales Ascomvcota; Sordariomvcetes; Xvlariales Basidiomycota: Tremellomycetes: Cystofilobasidiales Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Chaetothyriales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Microascales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Polyporales Basidiomycota; Malasseziomycetes; Malasseziales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Boletales Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; unidentified Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Trichosphaeriales Ascomycota; Leotiomycetes; Helotiales Ascomvcota: Eurotiomvcetes: Onvgenales Ascomycota; Saccharomycetes; Saccharomycetales Ascomycota; unidentified; unidentified Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Capnodiales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; unidentified Basidiomycota; Microbotryomycetes; Sporidiobolales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Agaricales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Russulales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Sordariales unidentified; unidentified; unidentified



Supplementary Figure S24: The read abundance of the 30 most abundant fungi orders in continental shelf sediments.

Basidiomycota; Wallemiomycetes; Wallemiales Basidiomycota; Tremellomycetes; Cystofilobasidiales Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Eurotiales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Sordariales Ascomycota; unidentified; unidentified Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Chaetothyriales Ascomycota; Leotiomycetes; Helotiales Ascomycota; Pezizomycetes; Pezizales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Xylariales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Boletales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Cantharellales Basidiomycota; Geminibasidiomycetes; Geminibasidiales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Auriculariales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; unidentified Basidiomycota: Exobasidiomycetes: Entylomatales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Glomerellales Ascomycota; Eurotiomycetes; Onygenales Basidiomycota; Microbotryomycetes; Sporidiobolales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Polyporales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Hymenochaetales Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Dothideales Basidiomycota; Malasseziomycetes; Malasseziales unidentified; unidentified; unidentified Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Trichosphaeriales Ascomycota; Saccharomycetes; Saccharomycetales Ascomycota; Sordariomycetes; Hypocreales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Russulales Basidiomycota; Agaricomycetes; Agaricales Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Pleosporales Ascomycota; Dothideomycetes; Capnodiales



Supplementary Figure S25: The read abundance of the 30 most abundant fungi orders in the deep sediments.



Supplementary Figure S26: Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (Spearman's ρ) for the fungal taxa read abundancies (order level, top 30) and environmental parameters. *,**, *** correspond to the adjusted p values (<0.05, <0.005, <0.0005).



Supplementary Figure S27: Principal coordinates (PCoA, Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, A) and canonical correspondence (CCA, B) analyses of fungal diversity in samples collected in 2020. Samples are named as follows: station name_cmbsf. In the CCA plot, only the first axis (CCA1) had a significant p-value < 0.001. '**' p<0.001 '**' p<0.01.