- 1 Inter- and intra-specific variation in hair cortisol concentrations of Neotropical
- 2 bats

3 Natalia I. Sandoval-Herrera^{1,2}, Gabriela F. Mastromonaco³, Daniel J. Becker⁴, Nancy B. Simmons⁵,

- 4 Kenneth C. Welch Jr.^{1,2}
- ¹Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- ²Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Ontario, Canada
- 7 ³Reproductive Sciences, Toronto Zoo, Ontario, Canada
- ⁴ Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019

⁵ Department of Mammalogy, Division of Vertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural
 History, New York, NY

11

12 Abstract- Quantifying hair cortisol has become popular in wildlife ecology for its practical 13 advantages for evaluating health. Before hair cortisol levels can be reliably interpreted however, it 14 is key to first understand the intrinsic factors explaining intra- and interspecific variation. Bats are 15 an ecologically diverse group of mammals that allow studying such variation. Given that many bat 16 species are threatened or have declining populations in parts of their range, non-invasive tools for 17 monitoring colony health and identifying cryptic stressors are needed to efficiently direct 18 conservation efforts. Here we describe intra- and interspecific sources of variation in hair cortisol 19 levels in 18 Neotropical bat species from Mexico and Belize. We found that fecundity is an 20 important ecological trait explaining interspecific variation in bat hair cortisol. Other ecological 21 variables such as colony size, roost durability, and basal metabolic rate did not explain hair cortisol 22 variation among species. At the individual level, females exhibited higher hair cortisol levels than 23 males, and the effect of body mass varied among species. Overall, our findings help validate and 24 accurately apply hair cortisol as a monitoring tool in free-ranging bats.

25

26 Introduction

Free-living animals face multiple natural and anthropogenic challenges that threaten their survival and thus are of considerable interest to ecophysiologists concerned with the study of effects of stress on vertebrates. One of the most extensively studied processes associated with response to stressors (biotic or abiotic environmental factors that disrupt homeostasis; Schulte, 2014) is the release of glucocorticoid (GC) hormones (Creagh and Brendan Delehanty, 2013; MacDougall-Shackleton *et al.*, 2019). GCs are known to facilitate the mobilization of energy required to cope 33 with stressors and, during normal conditions, play a key role in regulating growth, circadian 34 activity, and energy metabolism (review in Landys et al., 2006). Levels of GCs are commonly 35 employed as a biomarker of health or relative condition (Sapolsky et al., 2000; Wikelski and Cooke, 36 2006; Pearson Murphy, 2007; Busch and Hayward, 2009). GC secretion is a well-conserved process 37 across vertebrates and involves activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and 38 release of GCs from the adrenal glands to the blood stream (Norris and Carr, 2013). In mammals, 39 the primary GC is cortisol, which induces a cascade of events to maintain homeostasis at multiple 40 target tissues (Pearson Murphy, 2007; Boonstra, 2013). An acute increase in GC levels can benefit 41 an individual's survival (e.g., by allocating energy in defense and escape) yet if adverse conditions 42 remain, continuously elevated GCs in circulation can become pathological, causing immune suppression, neuronal cell death, and reproductive impairment(Sapolsky et al., 2000; Tilbrook, 43 44 2000; Wingfield and Romero, 2011; Hing et al., 2016).

45 Although many of the environmental challenges that wild populations experience are 46 chronic (e.g., prolonged food deprivation, climate change, habitat disturbance, pollution), studies of 47 stress physiology have focused on detecting acute stress by looking at GC levels in blood, urine, 48 and feces (Sheriff et al., 2011; Creagh and Brendan Delehanty, 2013). The rapid turnover of these 49 tissues, however, only gives short-term information of HPA activity over periods of hours or days 50 (Sheriff *et al.*, 2011) which may not be an appropriate time scale. Assessment of cortisol in tissues 51 with slower turnover rates, such as hair, may reflect circulating cortisol levels over longer periods 52 of several weeks or even months, which is the time scale over which chronic environmentally-53 induced stress would be expected to occur (Davenport et al., 2006; Macbeth et al., 2010; Ashley et 54 al., 2011; Mastromonaco et al., 2014). Cortisol is incorporated into developing hairs from the blood 55 stream during periods of active hair growth, allowing researchers to retrospectively examine 56 cortisol production at the time that a stressor or stressors were faced (Davenport et al., 2006; Pragst 57 and Balikova, 2006). Hair can be collected in a relatively non-invasive manner, is usually easily 58 accessible in relatively large amounts, and is easy to store and transport, all of which make it 59 particularly useful for wildlife studies, especially those involving threatened or endangered species 60 (Koren et al., 2002; Macbeth et al., 2010; Macbeth et al., 2012). Hair cortisol levels are not likely 61 affected by stress induced by capture and/or handling, which is one of the main limitations of blood 62 GC analysis (Russell et al., 2012). A single sample of hair can also provide complementary and 63 valuable information about ecology and behavior, including diet and movement (e.g., using stable 64 isotope analyses; Fraser et al., 2010; Sullivan et al., 2012; Voigt et al., 2012; Oelbaum et al., 2019), 65 condition (e.g. nutrition; Montillo et al., 2019), toxicant exposure (Hernout et al., 2016; Becker et

66 al., 2018), and molecular identification (Magioli et al., 2019), opening possibilities for more 67 integrative studies. However, analyses of hair samples can be challenging. Despite being a very 68 promising tool for assessing wildlife health, quantifying hair cortisol is a method that has 69 limitations, though these are largely based on lack of detailed knowledge of patterns of hair grown 70 (Meyer and Novak, 2012; Russell et al., 2012; Sharpley et al., 2012). For example, the exact time 71 scale reflected in any given sample will depend on the rate of hair growth and moulting patterns; 72 this information is unknown for most species, which makes the time window being evaluated 73 unclear (Koren et al., 2002; Fourie et al., 2016). Moreover, rates of cortisol incorporation to the hair 74 shaft are known to differ across body regions and among species (Sharpley et al., 2012; Acker et 75 al., 2018; Lavergne et al., 2020). Nevertheless, hair cortisol levels offer a potentially powerful tool 76 for assessing relatively long-term stress levels in mammals.

77 Hair cortisol and its correlation with natural and anthropogenic stressors has been explored 78 for different wild mammals, including rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta; Dettmer et al., 2012), 79 grizzly bears (Ursus arctos; Macbeth et al., 2010), reindeer/caribou (Rangifer tarandus; Ashley et 80 al., 2011), lynx (Lynx canadensis ;Terwissen et al., 2013), mongoose (Herpestes ichneumon; 81 Azevedo et al., 2019), and snowshoe hares (Lepus americanus; Lavergne et al., 2020). Although 82 most of these studies support hair cortisol as an informative measure of central HPA activity, they 83 also identified intrinsic factors such as age, sex, reproductive stage, and social status that modulate GCs levels in different contexts (Wingfield and Romero, 2011; Crespi et al., 2013; Hau et al., 84 85 2016). Not accounting for these intrinsic sources of variation in GC levels may lead to incorrect or 86 misleading estimates of the effects of stressors on individual fitness and population health (Sapolsky et al., 2000; Reeder and Kramer, 2005; Busch and Hayward, 2009; Wingfield and 87 88 Romero, 2011; Kalliokoski et al., 2019).

89 Ecological traits such as diet, fecundity, and lifespan, as well as phylogenetic relatedness, 90 have been proposed to explain differences in baseline cortisol levels in wild species (Wingfield and 91 Romero, 2011; Patterson et al., 2014). Evolution of different life-history strategies are also thought 92 to have led to different adaptations in HPA activity modulation so as to maximize individual fitness 93 within species (Bonier et al., 2009; Bonier and Martin, 2016). Bats are a very ecologically diverse 94 group comprising over 1,400 species that live in most terrestrial ecosystems and have a wide 95 variety of diets, use many different roost types, and have many different social systems (Kunz and 96 Fenton, 2005; Dumont et al., 2012; Gunnell and Simmons, 2012; Simmons and Cirranello, 2020). 97 This diversity provides the opportunity to study the ecological correlates of cortisol levels among 98 phylogenetically related species with different life-history traits. Few ecological correlates of GCs

99 have been evaluated simultaneously in mammalian groups in the context of cortisol studies, and 100 fewer studies have further related cortisol levels to life-history traits across multiple species from a 101 single mammalian clade. Among bats, variation in hair cortisol levels associated with seasonal food 102 availability has been studied in two species with contrasting diets, *Carollia perspicillata* and 103 *Desmodus rotundus* (Lewanzik *et al.*, 2012), but no other comparative studies have been conducted 104 within this order. Furthermore, little is known about the modulation of the stress response in bats, 105 despite Chiroptera being the second most speciose order of mammals.

106 Bat populations are declining worldwide due to ongoing habitat destruction and land use 107 changes, increased interaction with human environments and associated threats including wind 108 turbine fatalities, hunting and targeting killing, pesticide exposure, and emerging infectious diseases 109 such as white-nose syndrome (O'Donnell, 2000; Mickleburgh et al., 2002; Kunz et al., 2007; Frick 110 et al., 2010; Racey, 2013; Voigt and Kingston, 2015). Because many bat species are threatened or 111 have declining populations in parts of their range (IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, 2020), 112 non-invasive tools to monitor colony health and identify cryptic stressors are critically needed to 113 efficiently direct conservation efforts. It is essential to investigate the factors influencing baseline 114 GCs to properly detect elevated cortisol levels due to long-term stressors.

In this study, we describe intra- and interspecific sources of variation in baseline hair 115 116 cortisol levels in bats, which contributes to better understanding the potential for hair cortisol to be 117 an indicator of HPA activity in this taxon. We hypothesize that interspecific variation in hair 118 cortisol of bats will be greater than intraspecific variation, and that such heterogeneity will be best 119 explained by ecological traits directly related to energy expenditure, such as basal metabolic rate 120 (BMR), dietary guild, foraging behavior, and roost durability. We expect that species with high 121 energetic demands or less predictable energy acquisition (e.g., less reliable food sources) will have 122 higher hair cortisol. Specifically, we predict that: 1) a positive relationships between BMR and hair 123 cortisol; 2) bats that feed on fruit and nectar - which are energy-rich and readily available - will 124 have lower hair cortisol; 3) bats that actively hunt prey during flight, such aerial hawkers, will have 125 higher GC levels owing to greater energetic demands compared to gleaners that can hunt from 126 perches (Norberg and Rayner, 1987; Fenton, 1990); and 4) species using more ephemeral day roosts (e.g. foliage or crevices under exfoliating bark), will have higher hair cortisol than species using 127 128 more stable structures (Kunz and Fenton, 2005).

129 Material and methods

Study Sites - We sampled bats from northern Belize (Orange Walk District) and two 130 locations in Mexico (Colima and Chihuahua States). In each region, we sampled sites with different 131 levels of habitat fragmentation and agricultural intensity. We used the global Human Modification 132 133 Index (HMI; Kennedy et al., 2019) as a standardized measure of disturbance, using a 5 km buffer 134 around each collection site. The HMI is a cumulative measurement with possible values between 0 135 (no disturbance) and 1 (highest disturbance) that includes transportation, human settlement, 136 agriculture, extractive activities, and electric infrastructure (Kennedy et al., 2019). Sites were 137 classified as low (0 median HMI \leq 0.10), moderate (0.10 < median HMI \leq 0.40), high (0.40 < median HMI \leq 0.70), and very high (0.70 < median HMI \leq 1.00). At all sites, bats were captured 138 139 from 18:00 to 22:00 hrs using mist nets and from 18:00 to 5:00 using harp traps (only in Belize) set 140 along flight paths. Bats sampled during the day were captured in their roosts, mainly caves, using hand nets. We recorded sex, size (body mass [g], forearm length [mm]), and reproductive stage 141 142 (pregnant, active, inactive; Kunz and Parsons, 2009).

143 In Colima (west central Mexico) in March 2019 (dry season), we sampled bats roosting in 144 three caves surrounded by different levels of disturbance: Don Pancho Cave (moderate disturbance, 145 HMI=0.38), El Salitre Cave (high disturbance, HMI=0.44) and Coquimatlán Cave (high 146 disturbance, HMI=0.57; Fig 1). Don Pancho Cave, is located on San Agustin island, 1 km away 147 from the coast of Chamela Bay, Jalisco (19.5353°N, -105.0881°W). El Salitre Cave is near Los 148 Ortices village, Colima (19.083330°N,-103.726667°E). La Fábrica Cave is 6.4 km SW of 149 Coquimatlan town, Colima (19.1513°N,-103.8353°W). We refer here to these locations collectively 150 as central Mexico, we also sampled bats foraging close to pecan nut croplands near the town of 151 Jimenez, Chihuahua (northern Mexico). This region is entirely dedicated to the production of pecan 152 nuts with thousands of squared kilometers of cultivated land (Orona Castillo et al., 2018). We 153 visited one that farms using organic practices and another that farms with intensive use of 154 pesticides. However, the estimated HMI index was the same for the two sites (HMI=0.49, high 155 disturbance). We collected hair samples from three bat species (Antrozous pallidus, Tadarida 156 brasilensis, and Myotis velifer) at both northern Mexico sites.

Our field sites in Belize consisted of two forest patches of very different size located approximately 10 km apart and separated by a heterogeneous, largely agricultural landscape. Lamanai Archaeological Reserve (LAR) is a protected secondary semi-deciduous forest of 450 ha with a high canopy and with relatively low disturbance (HMI=0.17) (Herrera *et al.*, 2018). In contrast, the Ka'Kabish archeological site (KK) is a small remnant forest patch of about 45 ha surrounded by cattle pastures and local croplands (Fig 1). Although the landscape in Belize is

163 apparently disturbed and highly fragmented, agricultural activity and urban development is not as 164 intense as the field sites in Mexico, which is reflected in their moderate HMI scores (LAR: 0.17; 165 KK: 0.18). We collected hair samples from 13 different species (Table 1) in April 2018 and 2019 (dry season) at Belize sites. 166

167 *Ethical statement*

Field procedures followed guidelines for safe and humane handling of bats published by of 168 the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes and Bryan, 2016) and were approved by the 169 170 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the University of Georgia (A2014 04-016-Y3-A5), University of Toronto (20012113), and American Museum of Natural History 171 (AMNHIACUC-20180123). Fieldwork was authorized by the Belize Forest Department under 172 173 permits WL/2/1/18(16) and WL/1/19(06). Sample collection in Mexico was approved under the 174 permit #FAUT-0069.

- 175
- 176



- 177

178 Figure 1. Sampling sites in central Mexico and Belize, showing the use of land in the 179 surrounding areas. Sources: Sistema Nacional de Información Estadística y Geográfica de Mexico 180 (INEGI, 2013) and Biodiversity and Environmental Resource Data System for Belize (BERDS).

181 Sample collection

182 We trimmed a single hair sample (3-10 mg) from the scapular region on the back of each bat and stored resulting samples individually in 1-2 ml sample tubes. The amount of hair removed 183 184 from each bat depended on the hair density of each species. The hair shaft was carefully cut close to

the root avoiding removing skin or follicle tissue. From pilot analyses, we determined a minimum amount of 3 mg of hair was necessary to obtain values around 50% binding on the standard curve thereby accurately estimating cortisol concentration in the sample.

188 *Extraction and quantification of cortisol*

Hair samples were processed and analyzed at the Endocrinology Laboratory at the Toronto 189 190 Zoo following methods described by Acker et al., 2018. Each hair sample was spread apart and 191 weighed in a 7 mL glass scintillation vial. To avoid contamination with other biological fluids =, all 192 hair samples were washed with 100% methanol by vortexing in a tube for 10 s and immediately 193 removing the methanol using a pipettor. Immediately thereafter, 80% methanol in water (v:v) was 194 added to each sample, at a ratio of 0.005 g/mL. Samples were then mixed for 24 h on a plate shaker 195 (MBI Orbital Shaker; Montreal Biotechnologies Inc., Montreal, OC, Canada). After 24 hrs the vials 196 were centrifuged for 10 min at 2400g. The supernatants were pipetted off into clean glass vials and 197 dried down under air in a fume hood. The dried extracts were stored at -20 °C until analysis.

198 Samples were brought to room temperature prior to analysis. Reconstitution of the 199 desiccated extracts was done by adding phosphate buffer and vortexing for 10 s. Belize samples 200 were reconstituted neat (i.e. evaporated 150ul and reconstituted with 150ul) and Mexico samples 201 were reconstituted as follows: four species were neat, two species diluted 1:5 and one species 202 diluted 1:50 in phosphate buffer (Andreasson et al., 2015). Cortisol concentrations were determined 203 using an EIA (R4972, C. Munro, University of California, Davis); antibody and HRP dilutions were 204 1:10,200 and 1:33,400, respectively. All samples were centrifuged for 1 min at 1200g immediately 205 prior to dispensing onto the microtiter plate. Results are presented as nanograms of cortisol per 206 gram of hair.

207 Species ecological traits

208 We compiled data on ecological traits considered relevant to cortisol mobilization from 209 previously published literature and databases. Values for traits are species-level averages and may 210 not reflect specific values at these sites (Table1). Data on Basal Metabolic Rate (BMR) was 211 extracted from the literature (Cruz-Neto et al., 2001; Genoud et al., 2018) and when not available 212 (n=2) the following formula was used for the estimation: $\ln BMR = 0.744 \times \ln mass(in g) +$ 213 1.0895 (Speakman and Thomas, 2003). Information on diet, foraging style, percentage of 214 invertebrates in the diet, and fecundity was extracted from the Elton Traits, PanTHERIA, and 215 Amniote Life History databases (Myhrvold et al., 2015; Wilman et 206 al., 2014). We collapsed variation in diet into two dietary niches: phytophagy (including nectarivores and frugivores) and 216 217 animalivory (insectivores and carnivores) because many bat species in our study have diets that 218 combine more than one food source within these categories (Fenton et al., 2001; Kunz and Fenton,

219 2005; Reid, 2009; Oelbaum et al., 2019). We also considered the percentage of invertebrates in the 220 diet of the animalivorous bats, which can vary significantly among species. Because foraging 221 behavior is a complex and plastic trait, we simplified this variable into two categories : aerial 222 foragers (i.e., hawkers) and gleaners (including species that glean plant products like fruit as well as 223 insects) since these behaviors may reflect differences in energetic demands associated with foraging 224 (Herrera et al., 2018). Because wing morphology can strongly influence the energetic costs of 225 flight, we also included the mean wing aspect ratio for each species (Norberg and Rayner, 1987; 226 Bullen et al., 2014). Fecundity was defined as the annual average fecundity (litter size × number of 227 litters per year). We estimated roost durability following the methods of Patterson et al. (2007), 228 where 1 indicates the most ephemeral and least protected roost types (e.g. rolled leaves and foliage) 229 and 6 indicates the most permanent and protected roost types (e.g. caves). For species known to 230 multiple use different kinds of roost, intermediate ranks were calculated, weighing roost categories 231 according to the relative frequency of use reported in the literature (Schneeberger et al., 2013). 232 Lifespan was drawn from the Animal Ageing and Longevity database(AnAge: The Animal Ageing 233 and Longevity Database, 2020) and DATLife (DATLife Database. Max-Planck Institute for 234 Demographic Research (Germany), 2020). For many of the species in these databases, longevity 235 estimates are based on captive animals, which likely overestimates life expectancy in the wild. 236 Because bats of a single species may live in colonies of varying sizes, and most values on colony 237 size are reported in ranges in the literature, we classified maximum colony sizes reported for each 238 species as small (1-50) medium (50- 500) or large (>500) sensu Santana et al. (2011).

239

240 *Data analysis*

241 We first used phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) models to evaluate the effect 242 of species-level ecological variables on hair cortisol concentrations while accounting for bat 243 phylogenetic relatedness. We used the *rotl* and *ape* packages in R to extract the bat phylogeny from 244 the Open Tree of Life and calculate branch lengths with Grafen's method (Paradis et al., 2004; 245 Michonneau *et al.*, 2016). We first fit a null PGLS model (intercept only) using the *nlme* package to 246 estimate phylogenetic signal as Pagel's λ (Pagel, 1999). We next fit a PGLS model with bat family 247 as the predictor to assess broad taxonomic patterns in hair cortisol. We then fit 15 PGLS univariate 248 models with, dietary niche, foraging behavior, roost durability, fecundity, lifespan, and colony size 249 as predictors. We also fit fivemultivariate PGLS models including: BMR + body mass, niche + 250 fecundity, niche + % invertebrates, niche + lifespan + fecundity, and niche + fecundity + colony. 251 We compared PGLS models with Akaike information criterion corrected for small sample sizes (AICc) and assessed fit with an adjusted R^2 (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). All PGLS models 252

included weighting by sampling variance to account for variable sample sizes per species (Pennell,2015).

255 We used generalized linear models (GLMs) to determine which individual- and habitat-256 level factors influence hair cortisol for each bat species. We first evaluated the relationship between 257 body mass and hair cortisol separately for each species. Next, we ran species-specific GLMs 258 including sex, reproductive stage, and site disturbance and predictors. Not all covariates were tested 259 for all species due to sample size restrictions. Total sample size and balanced sample sizes among 260 levels were considered to select the number of covariates to include in the model for each species. 261 We included disturbance in GLMs only for species present in more than one site (P. 262 mesoamericanus, P. mexicanus, M. waterhousii, T. brasiliensis, G. soricina, D. rotundus) since 263 disturbance was treated as constant within sites. The only genus sampled in both Belize and Mexico 264 was Pteronotus. The two species P. mesoamericanus (Belize) and P. mexicanus (Mexico) represent 265 lineages considered conspecific until a few years ago, but are now thought to represent distinct 266 species that diverged very recently based on molecular and morphometric evidence (Pavan and 267 Marroig, 2016). Because their phenotypes and ecology are still very similar, we treated these as 268 conspecific to test if there were differences in hair cortisol between representatives from the two 269 regions (Mexico and Belize). Tukey post-hoc tests were conducted for significant covariates. We 270 compared effect sizes across bat species by evaluating the degree of overlap in 95% confidence 271 interval for each GLM coefficient. All analyses used the natural logarithm of hair cortisol as the 272 response variable and assumed Gaussian errors. We report data as mean \pm SD, unless otherwise 273 noted.

274 **Results**

275 Ecological and evolutionary predictors of hair cortisol

276 We analyzed 262 hair samples from 18 different bat species representing five families in 277 Belize and Mexico (Table 1). Hair cortisol concentration across species varied by four orders of 278 magnitude, ranging from 36.6 ± 40.5 ng/g in *Eptesicus furinalis* to 24.6 ± 14.7 ng/g in *Leptonycteris* 279 *yerbabuenae* (Table1). Mean hair cortisol did not differ much across families (F = 1.84, p = 0.02, R^2 = 0.16), and family was not a good predictor of cortisol levels when compared to ecological and life 280 281 history traits (Table 2). We did not find strong phylogenetic signal in species-level mean hair 282 cortisol (Pagel λ =0). Using ecological traits, mean hair cortisol was best predicted by a model including both dietary niche and fecundity, although only fecundity had a significant effect ($F_{2,15}$ 283 =5.51; p=0.01; R²=0.34; Table 2). Annual fecundity explained 24% of the variance in Neotropical 284 285 bat mean hair cortisol. Species reported to have more than one pup per year had significantly lower

cortisol than bats having only one pup per year ($F_{1,16}$ =6.22; p=0.02; Fig 3). Other ecological traits including roost durability, foraging strata, and colony size were uninformative predictors (Table 2).

The lesser long-nosed bat (*Leptonycteris yerbabuenae*) showed particularly high hair cortisol (26,6 \pm 14, 8 ng/g). Because the high values of this species could bias inter-species comparisons, we assessed the sensitivity of our top models by excluding *L. yerbabuenae*. In Figure 3, we show how the coefficients from the PGLS top models with and without this species. In both cases, fecundity was the best ecological predictor of hair cortisol regardless of including *L. yerbabuenae* in the analyses.

294

295 Individual-level analyses of bat hair cortisol

296 When investigating intraspecific variation, we found positive relationships between body mass and hair cortisol in two species: *Pteronotus mesoamericanus* ($F_{1,24} = 7.34$; p = 0.010; R² = 297 0.23) and *Molossus nigricans* ($F_{1,4} = 96.52$; p = 0.002; $R^2 = 0.96$; Fig 5). The opposite trend was 298 found in *Pteronotus mexicanus* where heavier bats presented lower cortisol ($F_{1,33} = 7.97$; p<0.01; R^2 299 300 = 0.19). For *Desmodus rotundus*, only sex was a significant predictor of hair cortisol ($F_{1,19}$ = 4.39; p = 0.04; R^2 = 0.19). Male vampire bats had significantly lower hair cortisol than females (t₂₀ = 2.09; 301 302 p = 0.02). Similarly, variation in hair cortisol in the mustached bat (*P. mesoamericanus*) and the 303 mastiff bat (Molossus nigricans) was explained only by sex, with males having lower 304 concentrations than females ($t_4 = 2.68$; p = 0.01 and $t_{24} = -6.373$; p = 0.01, respectively; Fig.6). 305 When treating Pteronotus mesoamericanus (Belize) and P. mexicanus (Mexico) as one species, we found differences in hair cortisol between the two populations. Bats from Mexico had higher 306 cortisol than their counterparts in Belize ($F_{1,59} = 29.88$; p<0.01; $R^2 = 0.33$). Within Mexico, hair 307 cortisol in *P. mexicanus* was explained by site disturbance ($F_{2,31} = 72.35$; p<0.001): bats roosting in 308 309 Don Pancho cave (San Agustin island), a site with moderate disturbance (HMI = 0.38), showed 310 significantly higher hair cortisol than bats roosting in El Salitre and La Fabrica caves in Colima $(t_{20}=9.94 \text{ p}<0.01; t_{21}=-10.29, \text{Fig 1})$. There was no effect of sex $(t_{33}=-1.15 \text{ p}>0.31)$ or females' 311 reproductive stage ($F_{4,14}$ 3.26; p = 0.06) on hair cortisol in *P. mexicanus*. For other species such as 312 313 *Eptesicus furinalis* ($F_{1,12} = 2.451$; p = 0.64), *Leptonycteris yerbabuenae* ($F_{1,17}=0.52$; p=0.94), Saccopteryx billineata ($F_{2,17} = 0.18$; p = 0.83), Rhynchonycteris naso ($F_{2,11} = 2.60$; p = 0.12), 314 315 Glossophaga soricina ($F_{3,15} = 0.13$; p = 0.94), Macrotus waterhousii ($F_{2,18} = 0.24$; p = 0.78), 316 Sturnira parvidens ($F_{2,14} = 0.2052$, p = 0.81), and Antrozous pallidus ($F_{2,9} = 0.506$; p = 0.68), none of the traits examined were informative predictors of hair cortisol levels. 317 318



319

Figure 2. Cortisol concentration in hair samples from 18 Neotropical bat species grouped by family.

321 Error bars represent the Standard Error of the mean. The y axis is in log scale.

Family	Species	Region	N	Mass(g) Mean ± SD	Dietary niche	Foraging style	WA R	Roost durabilit	Fecundity	Colony	Lifespa n	Cortisol (ng/g)		
												Mean	±	SD
							, n	У	(inter/yr)	5120	(years)			
Emballonuridae	Rhynchonycteris naso	BZ	14	4.54 ± 1.47	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.5	2	>1	Small	5	639,57	±	392,10
	Saccopterix billineata	BZ	20	6.79 ± 1.12	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.1	2,4	1	Small	5	347,28	±	232,01
Molossidae	Molossus nigricans	BZ	5	35.00 ± 2.35	Animalivory	Aerial forager	11.1	4	1	Large	10	227,80	±	123,54
	Tadarida brasilensis	NMX	23	10.73 ± 0.63	Animalivory	Aerial forager	8.6	5,3	1	Large	10	1782,87	±	751,07
Mormoopidae	Pteronotus mesoamericanus	BZ	26	17.08 ± 2.06	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.7	5,6	1	Large	10	218,79	±	76,48
	Pteronotus mexicanus	CMX	35	13.37 ± 1.18	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.7	5,6	1	Large	10	1246,96	±	1663,56
Phyllostomidae	Desmodus rotundus	BZ	22	28.01 ±3.54	Animalivory	Gleaner	6.7	4,5	>1	Large	15	395,00	±	226,92
	Glossophaga soricina	BZ	19	10.33 ±1.87	Phytophagy	Gleaner	6.4	4,6	>1	Medium	10	99,41	±	117,86
	Leptonycteris yerbabuenae	CMX	18	22.26 ± 1.72	Phytophagy	Gleaner	7	5,6	1	Large	15	24614,50	±	14780,52
	Lophostoma evotis	BZ	1	19.00±	Animalivory	Gleaner	5.3	3	1	Small	20	3046,00	±	
	Macrotus waterhousii	CMX	23	14.31 ± 2.63	Animalivory	Gleaner	5.8	5,6	1	Medium	10	616,37	±	415,85
	Mimon cozumelae	BZ	3	25.00 ± 0	Animalivory	Gleaner	8.3	2,8	1	Small	20	2000,67	±	1284,91
	Sturnira parvidens	BZ	17	14.61 ± 1.55	Phytophagy	Gleaner	6.5	4	>1	Small	20	635,97	±	379,79
	Trachops cirrhosus	BZ	3	31.50 ± 0.50	Animalivory	Gleaner	6.3	3,9	1	Medium	10	147,10	±	22,36
Vespertilionidae	Antrozous pallidus	NMX	10	15.80 ± 1.70	Animalivory	Gleaner	6.5	4	>1	Small	10	42,79	±	17,46
	Eptesicus furinalis	BZ	7	7.57 ± 0.45	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.2	3	>1	Large	20	36,66	±	40,54
	Lasiurus ega	BZ	2	12.00 ± 4.24	Animalivory	Aerial forager	7.9	2,5	>1	Small	15	142,83	±	18,14
	Myotis velifer	NMX	11	8.98 ± 1.02	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.7	2,5	1	Large	10	211,65	±	170,79

 Table 1. Species-level ecological traits and hair cortisol data for 18 Neotropical bat species.

*Site: capture site BZ: Belize, NMX: Northern Mexico, CMX: Central Mexico; N: Number of hair sample analyzed for cortisol; Species

were assigned to two dietary niches: Animalivory (insectivorous, sanguinivorous and carnivorous) and phytophagy (frugivorous and nectarivorous)

325 species). Roost category assignment followed Patterson et al., 2011.WAR: Wing Aspect Ratio



328

Figure 3. *Left*: Cortisol concentration in hair samples from 18 Neotropical bat species according to diet and annual fecundity. Y axes are in log scale.*Top*: Mean hair cortisol by number of offspring per year; *Bottom*: Hair cortisol by dietary niche (animalivory or phytophagy). *Right*: Differences in parameter estimates for the PGLS model with and without *Leptonycteris yerbabuenae*. Bars indicate the 95% confidence interval. The reference values for each variable of the model are listed in parentheses.

Dietary guild

335

PGLS coefficients

- Table 2. PGLS models predicting hair cortisol (In transformed) in Neotropical bats. Models are
- ranked by \triangle AICc with the number of coefficients (k), Akaike weights (wi), and the adjusted R².

Model structure	df	ΔAICc	Wi	\mathbf{R}^2	
~ niche+ fecundity	2	0	0.412	0.34	
~ fecundity	4	1.08	0.247	0.24	
~ niche+ fecundity+ foraging style	5	3.30	0.081	0.30	
~ 1 (intercept only)	6	4.12	0.054	0	
~ niche+ fecundity+ lifespan	1	4.38	0.048	0.46	
~ roost durability	2	5.64	0.025	0	
~niche	3	5.68	0.024	0.01	
~BMR+ body mass	3	6.00	0.021	0	
~sample	2	6.39	0.017	0.33	
~foraging style	2	6.67	0.015	0	
~colony size	4	8.01	0.007	0.37	
~niche + invertebrate%	3	8.35	0.006	-0.03	
~BMR + foraging style	3	8.92	0.005	-0.08	
~family	5	9.12	0.004	0.16	
~foraging style + WAR	3	9.15	0.004	-0.1	
~lifespan	4	10.54	0.002	-0.06	

338





Figure 4. Relationship between hair cortisol concentration and body mass for eachNeotropical bat species. Lines represent the GLM fit for each species. The y axis is in log scale.

343

344



346

Figure 5. Mean hair cortisol concentration by sex for nine species of Neotropical bat species. Asterisks indicate species for which sex has a significant effect. Effects only shown for species with balanced sample sizes per sex. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Y axes are in log scale.

351 Discussion

352 Analysis of hair cortisol has become a popular method to study long-term stress in wild animals, offering several practical advantages (e.g minimally invasive collection, easy sample 353 354 storage and transport). An accurate interpretation of cortisol levels attributed to stress, however, 355 requires a good understanding of the intrinsic and extrinsic drivers of baseline variation. Factors 356 influencing hair cortisol in bats must be identified before hair cortisol can be used as a conservation 357 tool to assess effects of environmental conditions on bat population health. In this study, we present 358 the first quantification of hair cortisol in bats and describe relationships between hair cortisol levels 359 and both intrinsic and ecological traits. Cortisol in blood, feces, and hair are known to be highly correlated in various mammals (e.g. chimpanzees, chipmunks, and mice; Kalliokoski et al., 2019). 360 361 Therefore, although the concentration values are not directly comparable across different matrices, 362 the effects of covariates can still be compared with our results.

363 Overall, we found particularly high hair cortisol in most bat species compared to levels 364 reported in hair for other mammals of a similar body size (e.g., root vole [25-50g] = 1.2-6.05; 365 chipmunk [66-110g] = 40.27–260.22 ng/g of hair; Mastromonaco et al., 2014; Książek et al., 2017). 366 Previous studies in bats, examining plasma and feces, have also reported higher cortisol and 367 corticosterone levels relative to similar samples from other mammal species (Klose et al., 2006; 368 Lewanzik et al., 2012; Kelm et al., 2016; Hald, 2019). Some of the exceptional life history traits of bats, such as long lifespan and low fecundity, could explain why bats exhibit higher levels of GCs 369 370 compared to other mammals (Austad and Fischer, 1991). According to life-history theory, long-371 lived species with low reproduction rates are expected to prioritize their adult survival (i.e. future 372 offspring) over current reproduction (Stearns, 1992), which could in turn favor higher investment in 373 self maintenance that might be facilitated by high baseline levels of GCs (Ricklefs and Wikelski, 374 2002).

375 376

Ecological factors among species

377 Despite the similarity in the HPA hormonal pathways across vertebrates, baseline and 378 stress-induced GC levels are context and species-specific (Romero, 2004; French *et al.*, 2008; 379 Crespi *et al.*, 2013; Kalliokoski *et al.*, 2019). In light of this, it is not surprising that hair cortisol 380 levels in bats showed broad interspecific variation. The differences found could not be explained 381 solely by taxonomic family or phylogenetic relatedness (λ =0), which suggests that other 382 environmental and ecological factors are influencing hair cortisol in Neotropical bats.

383 Among all the ecological traits evaluated, annual fecundity was the best predictor of hair 384 cortisol. Species with lower fecundity showed higher concentrations of cortisol in hair. We are not 385 aware of any comparable studies systematically examining interspecific variation in cortisol in 386 relation to fecundity in other mammal groups, so we cannot evaluate the generality of our findings 387 in this group. Our results, however, agree with findings from studies in birds, where species with 388 low clutch size and few breeding events also showed higher circulating GCs (Bókony et al., 2009; 389 Ouyang et al., 2011). Life history theory would predict that, for species with lower fecundity, the 390 value of each offspring is higher than in species with relatively high fecundity (Lendvai et al., 391 2007). Therefore, parents of more valuable broods would be predicted to be more "willing" to 392 invest in offspring survival, which might be facilitated by high baseline GC levels (Bókony et al., 393 2009). The role of GCs as mediators of the adaptive energy allocation in offspring is complex and 394 requires considering other species-specific aspects such as reproductive strategies, reproductive 395 patterns, and seasonality (Wingfield and Sapolsky, 2003). Data on these factors are currently

limited for many Neotropical bat species, making it difficult to properly explore these interactions.

Although diet explained additional variation in Neotropical bat hair cortisol, this variable wasuninformative; hair cortisol did not vary significantly between our simplified dietary guilds.

399 Glucocorticoids play a key role in metabolic function, facilitating fuel mobilization (e.g. glucose, 400 fatty acids) under normal and challenging conditions (Kuo et al., 2015). A positive relationship 401 between resting metabolic rate (RMR) and plasma cortisol levels has been reported for various 402 mammalian species (including four species of bats), and this relationship has been suggested as a 403 general pattern for mammals (Haase et al., 2016). Due to the limited data on RMR for our study 404 species, we used basal metabolic rate (BMR) as an indicator of energy expenditure. Different to 405 what we expected, BMR was not an informative factor for cortisol variation in hair among the bats 406 in our study. The positive relationship between cortisol levels and metabolic rate previously found 407 in plasma might be obscured in studies of hair cortisol like ours, due to confounding factors such as 408 moulting cycles and cortisol deposition rate. In addition, obtaining accurate basal metabolic rates in 409 wildlife species (particularly free-ranging animals) is challenging, which raises questions about the 410 quality of BMR data, especially in comparative studies (Genoud et al., 2018). For future studies, a 411 more realistic and informative indicator of energy turnover in free-ranging animals is the Daily 412 Energy Expenditure (Speakman, 1997), which integrates the energy allocated in different activities 413 such as foraging, commuting and thermoregulation (Butler et al., 2004).

414 The relationship between body condition and GC release has been widely evaluated, because weight loss is one of the early responses to long-term stress in many species (Kitaysky et 415 416 al., 1999; Angelier et al., 2009; Dickens and Romero, 2013). However, the direction of the effect of 417 body condition on cortisol is context and species-dependent (Crespi et al., 2013). We used body 418 mass as an indicator of body condition because it is a more informative metric than other indexes in 419 bats (McGuire et al., 2018). We found different directions of the effect of body mass on hair 420 cortisol. For three of the studied species (Molossus nigricans, Pteronotus mesoamericanus and 421 Saccopteryx bilineata), heavier individuals showed higher concentrations of hair cortisol. In 422 contrast, Pteronotus mexicanus showed a negative relationship between body mass and cortisol.

One species that stood out for its particularly high levels of cortisol was *Leptonycteris yerbabuenae*. This species is known to be highly mobile and migratory (Horner *et al.*, 1998; Buecher and Sidner, 2013). Migration was not considered in our analyses because the degree to which bats may migrate seasonally is unclear for many of the species in our sample. Migratory behavior, however, could explain such high cortisol concentrations in *L. yerbabuenae*. La Fábrica caves in Colima, one of our field sites, is known to be one of the starting points of the annual

migration of *L. yerbabuenae* (Medellin *et al.*, 2018). The role of GCs during migration has been
widely studied in birds, fish, and some large mammals, but not in bats (Holberton, 1999; Romero,
2002; Wada, 2008). We hypothesize that premigratory fattening could explain the high hair cortisol
levels observed in *L. yerbabuenae*, and we encourage future studies to address this question.

433 Consistent with other studies, we found differences in hair cortisol levels between sexes, 434 albeit for only four of our 18 studied species: Desmodus rotundus, Myotis nigricans, Pteronotus 435 mexicanus, and Pteronotus mesoamericanus. For these species, females showed higher cortisol than 436 males, a trend that appears to hold for many mammalian species (Bechshøft et al., 2011; Hau et al., 437 2016; Rakotoniaina et al., 2017; Dettmer et al., 2018). Higher baseline levels in females can be 438 explained by the differential regulation of gonadal steroid hormones (estrogen and androgens) on 439 HPA axis activity. While estradiol, which is more abundant in females than males, enhances 440 cortisol release, androgens tend to inhibit its production (Handa et al. 1994). Females have also 441 shown differences in HPA axis activity depending on their life history stage, GCs being higher 442 during the late stages of their pregnancy (Reeder et al., 2004). Studies in a fruit-eating bat (Artibeus 443 *jamaicensis*) and little brown myotis (*Myotis lucifugus*) have reported higher levels of plasma GCs 444 in pregnant females (Reeder and Kramer, 2005; Klose et al., 2006). Contrary to their findings, we 445 did not find reproductive state to influence hair cortisol in our female-only model (i.e., for 446 Pteronotus mesoamericanus in Belize). However, it may have been difficult to detect an effect 447 given the low number of pregnant females in our sample (n=5, 24%) and the fact that moulting 448 might not occur in conjunction with mating.

449 Bats have been proposed as good indicators of habitat quality due to their ecological 450 diversity, wide distribution, and potential sensitivity to disturbance (Jones et al., 2009; Stahlschmidt 451 and Brühl, 2012). However, a clear correlation between environmental disturbance and cortisol 452 levels in bats has not been previously reported. Prior studies that examined cortisol in blood did not 453 find differences between bats roosting in agricultural versus urbanized areas (Wada et al., 2010; 454 Allen et al., 2011; Kelm et al., 2016). To assess relationships between disturbance and GCs over 455 longer timescales and without sensitivity to capture stress, we compared hair cortisol in three 456 species found in sites with varying fragmentation and agricultural activities. We found an effect of 457 disturbance in only one of these species, *Pteronotus mexicanus*, which we sampled only in Mexico. 458 Bats roosting in Don Pancho Cave island, a site classified as having intermediate disturbance, 459 showed the highest concentrations of cortisol (Fig.1). We speculate that the high levels found in this 460 population could reflect differences in the cave microhabitat compared to the other caves in our 461 Mexican sample. Don Pancho Cave is a narrow crevice estimated to have a higher colony size

462 (100,000 individuals from 6 species; Téllez et al., 2018) than the other sampled caves El Salitre 463 (~10,000 individuals from 10 species; Torres-Flores et al., 2012) and La Fábrica (>5000 individuals 464 from four species). The higher density of bats living in the Don Pancho cave may mean that there 465 are increased agonistic social interactions in this population (Creel et al., 2013), that the risk of 466 parasite exposure is increased (Postawa and Szubert-Kruszyńska, 2014), and parasite transmission 467 rates are higher (Langwig et al., 2012). Based on studies in other mammals, all of these factors 468 might explain the high cortisol levels found in the Don Pancho Cave population of *P. mexicanus*; 469 however, the influence of these factor on hair cortisol in bats is still unknown.

470 Physiological responses to chronic stress in wildlife are difficult to unravel and predict 471 unless multiple responses at different levels of biological organization are evaluated simultaneously 472 (Dickens and Romero, 2013). Hair cortisol offers great potential as a tool to monitor health in wild 473 populations, particularly those already identified at risk (Kalliokoski *et al.*, 2019). For instance, 474 chronically elevated cortisol levels have been linked to greater susceptibility to infection and 475 disease severity (Davy et al., 2017). Periodic surveys of hair cortisol could therefore help identify 476 periods when bats might be more vulnerable to infection (e.g. white nose syndrome). Further, such 477 surveys might also inform when individuals are more likely to shed zoonotic pathogens (e.g. 478 henipaviruses and filoviruses; Plowright et al., 2008; Davy et al., 2017; McMichael et al., 2017; 479 Kessler et al., 2018).

480

Conclusions

The current study reports cortisol levels in hair of 18 Neotropical bat species from two 481 482 countries and serves as a reference for future research using this method in wild bat populations. 483 We found that fecundity and potentially diet are important ecological traits explaining interspecific 484 variation in bat hair cortisol. Within species, female bats exhibited higher cortisol than males, and 485 the effect of body mass varied among species. Other factors that may be important at the individual 486 level, such as parasite load and colony size, should be considered in future studies to have a more 487 complete understanding of sources of variation on baseline GC levels within species. Importantly, 488 studies looking at hair growth rate and moulting cycles in Neotropical bat species are imperative to 489 give an accurate interpretation of hair cortisol as a biomarker of stress response. Applied properly, 490 hair cortisol quantification is a powerful non-invasive technique with multiple potential applications 491 in bat ecology, physiology, and conservation. Our findings and ongoing work will help to validate 492 and apply hair cortisol as a monitoring tool in wild bat populations.

493 Acknowledgments

We thank Christine Gilman, Patricia Medd, and Paula Mackie for their assistance with the cortisol assays. We also thank Brock Fenton, Sara Ketelsen, Neil Duncan, the numerous members of the Lamanai bat research team, and the staff of the Lamanai Field Research Center for their assistance with bat capture, field logistics, and permits in Belize.

498 Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant [#386466] to KCW. GM was supported by the Toronto Zoo Foundation (GM), DJB was funded by the ARCS Foundation and the American Museum of Natural History Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Fund, and NBS was supported by the Taxonomic Mammalogy Fund of the American Museum of Natural History.

505 **References**

- Acker M, Mastromonaco G, Schulte-Hostedde AI (2018) The effects of body region, season and
 external arsenic application on hair cortisol concentration. *Conserv Physiol* 6: coy037.
- Allen LC, Turmelle AS, Widmaier EP, Hristov NI, Mccracken GF, Kunz TH (2011) Variation in
 Physiological Stress between Bridge- and Cave-Roosting Brazilian Free-Tailed Bats. *Conserv*
- 510 *Biol* 25: 374–381.
- 511AnAge:TheAnimalAgeingandLongevityDatabase(2020).512https://genomics.senescence.info/species/ (last accessed 4 March 2020).
- Andreasson U, Perret-Liaudet A, van Waalwijk van Doorn LJC, Blennow K, Chiasserini D,
 Engelborghs S, Fladby T, Genc S, Kruse N, Kuiperij HB, *et al.* (2015) A practical guide to
 immunoassay method validation. *Front Neurol* 6: 179.
- Angelier F, Holberton RL, Marra PP (2009) Does stress response predict return rate in a migratory
 bird species? A study of American redstarts and their non-breeding habitat. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 276: 3545–3551.
- Ashley NT, Barboza PS, Macbeth BJ, Janz DM, Cattet MRL, Booth RK, Wasser SK (2011)
 Glucocorticosteroid concentrations in feces and hair of captive caribou and reindeer following
 adrenocorticotropic hormone challenge. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 172: 382–391.
- Austad SN, Fischer KE (1991) Mammalian Aging, Metabolism, and Ecology: Evidence From the
 Bats and Marsupials. Journal of Gerontology: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES.
- Azevedo A, Bailey L, Bandeira V, Dehnhard M, Fonseca C, De Sousa L, Jewgenow K (2019) Age,
 sex and storage time influence hair cortisol levels in a wild mammal population. *PLoS One* 14.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0221124
- Bechshøft T, Sonne C, Dietz R, Born EW, Novak MA, Henchey E, Meyer JS (2011) Cortisol levels
 in hair of East Greenland polar bears. *Sci Total Environ* 409: 831–834.
- 529 Becker DJ, Chumchal MM, Broders HG, Korstian JM, Clare EL, Rainwater TR, Platt SG, Simmons
- 530 NB, Fenton MB (2018) Mercury bioaccumulation in bats reflects dietary connectivity to
- aquatic food webs. *Environ Pollut* 233: 1076–1085.
- Bókony V, Lendvai ÁZ, Likér A, Angelier F, Wingfield JC, Chastel O (2009) Stress Response and
 the value of reproduction: Are birds prudent parents? *Am Nat*.

- Bonier F, Martin PR (2016) How can we estimate natural selection on endocrine traits? Lessons
 from evolutionary biology. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci.*
- Bonier F, Martin PR, Moore IT, Wingfield JC (2009) Do baseline glucocorticoids predict fitness?
 Trends Ecol Evol.
- Boonstra R (2013) The ecology of stress: a marriage of disciplines. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12048
- 539 Buecher DC, Sidner R (2013) Merging Science and Management in a Rapidly Changing World:
- Biodiversity and Management of the Madrean Archipelago III and 7th Conference on
 Research and Resource Management in the Southwestern Deserts; 2012 May 1-5; Tucson, AZ.
 Archipelago III.
- Bullen RD, McKenzie NL, Cruz-Neto AP (2014) Aerodynamic power and mechanical efficiency of
 bat airframes using a quasi-steady model. *CEAS Aeronaut J* 5: 253–264.
- Burnham K, Anderson D (2002) A practical information-theoretic approach. In: Model Selection
 and Multimodel Inference, 2nd Edition. Springer New York.
- Busch DS, Hayward LS (2009) Stress in a conservation context: A discussion of glucocorticoid
 actions and how levels change with conservation-relevant variables. *Biol Conserv*.
- Butler PJ, Green JA, Boyd IL, Speakman JR (2004) Measuring metabolic rate in the field: The pros
 and cons of the doubly labelled water and heart rate methods. *Funct Ecol.*
- 551 Creagh BW, Brendan Delehanty RB (2013) Reality as the leading cause of stress: Rethinking the
 552 impact of chronic stress in nature. *Funct Ecol* 27: 11–23.
- 553 Creel S, Dantzer B, Goymann W, Rubenstein DR (2013) The ecology of stress: effects of the social
 554 environment. *Funct Ecol* 27: 66–80.
- Crespi EJ, Williams TD, Jessop TS, Delehanty B (2013) Life history and the ecology of stress: how
 do glucocorticoid hormones influence life-history variation in animals? *Funct Ecol* 27: 93–
 106.
- 558 Cruz-Neto AP, Garland T, Abe AS (2001) Diet, Phylogeny, and Basal Metabolic Rate in
 559 Phyllostomid Bats. Zoology.
- 560 DATLife Database. Max-Planck Institute for Demographic Research (Germany) (2020).
 561 https://datlife.org/ (last accessed 4 March 2020).
- 562 Davenport MD, Tiefenbacher S, Lutz CK, Novak MA, Meyer JS (2006) Analysis of endogenous

563	cortisol concentrations in the hair of rhesus macaque	es. Gen Com	<i>p Endocrinol</i> 147: 255–261
-----	---	-------------	----------------------------------

- 564 Davy CM, Mastromonaco GF, Riley JL, Baxter-Gilbert JH, Mayberry H, Willis CKR (2017)
- 565 Conservation implications of physiological carry-over effects in bats recovering from white-566 nose syndrome. *Conserv Biol* 31: 615–624.
- 567 Dettmer AM, Murphy AM, Guitarra D, Slonecker E, Suomi SJ, Rosenberg KL, Novak MA, Meyer
 568 JS, Hinde K (2018) Cortisol in Neonatal Mother's Milk Predicts Later Infant Social and
 569 Cognitive Functioning in Rhesus Monkeys. *Child Dev* 89: 525–538.
- Dickens MJ, Romero LM (2013) A consensus endocrine profile for chronically stressed wild
 animals does not exist. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2013.06.014
- 572 Dumont ER, Dávalos LM, Goldberg A, Santana SE, Rex K, Voigt CC (2012) Morphological
 573 innovation, diversification and invasion of a new adaptive zone. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 279:
 574 1797–1805.
- Fenton MB (1990) The foraging behaviour and ecology of animal-eating bats. *Can J Zool* 68: 411–
 422.
- Fenton MB, Bernard E, Bouchard S, Hollis L, Johnston DS, Lausen CL, Ratcliffe JM, Riskin DK,
 Taylor JR, Zigouris J (2001) The bat fauna of Lamanai, Belize: roosts and trophic roles. J *Trop Ecol* 17: 511–524.
- Fourie NH, Brown JL, Jolly CJ, Phillips-Conroy JE, Rogers J, Bernstein RM (2016) Sources of
 variation in hair cortisol in wild and captive non-human primates. *Zoology* 119: 119–125.
- Fraser KC, McKinnon EA, Diamond AW (2010) Migration, diet, or molt? interpreting stablehydrogen isotope values in neotropical bats. *Biotropica* 42: 512–517.
- French SS, Fokidis HB, Moore MC (2008) Variation in stress and innate immunity in the tree lizard
 (Urosaurus ornatus) across an urban-rural gradient. *J Comp Physiol B Biochem Syst Environ Physiol* 178: 997–1005.
- Frick WF, Pollock JF, Hicks AC, Langwig KE, Reynolds DS, Turner GG, Butchkoski CM, Kunz
 TH (2010) An Emerging Disease Causes Regional Population Collapse of a Common North
 American Bat Species. *Science (80-)* 329: 679–682.
- Genoud M, Isler K, Martin RD (2018) Comparative analyses of basal rate of metabolism in
 mammals: data selection does matter: Comparative analyses of basal rate of metabolism. *Biol*

- 592 *Rev* 93: 404–438.
- 593 Gunnell GF, Simmons NB (2012) Evolutionary History of Bats: Fossils, Molecules and
 594 Morphology. Evolutionary History of Bats: Fossils, Molecules and Morphology. Cambridge
 595 University Press.
- Haase CG, Long AK, Gillooly JF (2016) Energetics of stress: Linking plasma cortisol levels to
 metabolic rate in mammals. *Biol Lett* 12. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2015.0867
- Hald HA (2019) Cortisol Measurements in Female Whiskered Bats (Myotis Mystacinus) during an
 Extraordinarily Warm and Dry Norwegian Summer. NTNU.
- Hau M, Casagrande S, Ouyang JQ, Baugh AT (2016) Glucocorticoid-Mediated Phenotypes in
 Vertebrates: Multilevel Variation and Evolution. *Adv Study Behav* 48: 41–115.
- Hernout B V., McClean CJ, Arnold KE, Walls M, Baxter M, Boxall ABA (2016) Fur: A noninvasive approach to monitor metal exposure in bats. *Chemosphere* 147: 376–381.
- Herrera JP, Duncan N, Clare E, Fenton MB, Simmons N (2018) Disassembly of Fragmented Bat
 Communities in Orange Walk District, Belize. *Acta Chiropterologica* 20: 147.
- Hing S, Narayan EJ, Thompson RCA, Godfrey SS (2016) The relationship between physiological
 stress and wildlife disease: Consequences for health and conservation. *Wildl Res.*
- Holberton RL (1999) Changes in patterns of corticosterone secretion concurrent with migratory
 fattening in a neotropical migratory bird. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 116: 49–58.
- Horner MA, Fleming TH, Sahey CT (1998) Foraging behaviour and energetics of a nectar-feeding
 bat, Leptonycteris curasoae (Chiroptera: Phyllostomidae). *J Zool* 244: 575–586.
- 612 INEGI (2013) Uso de suelo y vegetación. *Datos vectoriales escala 1250 000 Ser V* 1–84.
- 613IUCNRedListofThreatenedSpecies(2020).614https://www.iucnredlist.org/search?query=bats&searchType=species(last accessed 4 July6152020).
- Jones G, Jacobs DS, Kunz TH, Wilig MR, Racey PA (2009) Carpe noctem: The importance of bats
 as bioindicators. *Endanger Species Res* 8: 93–115.
- Kalliokoski O, Jellestad FK, Murison R (2019) A systematic review of studies utilizing hair
 glucocorticoids as a measure of stress suggests the marker is more appropriate for quantifying
 short-term stressors. *Sci Rep* 9: 1–14.

- 621 Kelm DH, Popa-Lisseanu AG, Dehnhard M, Ibáñez C (2016) Non-invasive monitoring of stress
- 622 hormones in the bat Eptesicus isabellinus Do fecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentrations
- 623 correlate with survival? *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 226: 27–35.
- Kennedy CM, Oakleaf JR, Theobald DM, Baruch□Mordo S, Kiesecker J (2019) Managing the
 middle: A shift in conservation priorities based on the global human modification gradient. *Glob Chang Biol* 25: 811–826.
- Kessler MK, Becker DJ, Peel AJ, Justice N V., Lunn T, Crowley DE, Jones DN, Eby P, Sánchez
 CA, Plowright RK (2018) Changing resource landscapes and spillover of henipaviruses. *Ann NYAcad Sci* 1429: 78–99.
- Kitaysky AS, Wingfield JC, Piatt JF (1999) Dynamics of food availability, body condition and
 physiological stress response in breeding Black-legged Kittiwakes. *Funct Ecol* 13: 577–584.
- Klose SM, Smith CL, Denzel AJ, Kalko EKV (2006) Reproduction elevates the corticosterone
 stress response in common fruit bats. *J Comp Physiol A Neuroethol Sensory, Neural, Behav Physiol* 192: 341–350.
- Koren L, Mokady O, Karaskov T, Klein J, Koren G, Geffen E (2002) A novel method using hair for
 determining hormonal levels in wildlife. *Anim Behav*.
- Książek A, Zub K, Szafrańska PA, Wieczorek M, Konarzewski M (2017) The nexus of hair
 corticosterone level, immunocompetence, metabolic rates and overwinter survival in the root
 vole, Microtus oeconomus. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 250: 46–53.
- 640 Kunz T., Fenton M. (2005) Bat Ecology. University of Chicago Press.
- Kunz TH, Arnett EB, Erickson WP, Hoar AR, Johnson GD, Larkin RP, Strickland MD, Thresher
 RW, Turtle MD (2007) Ecological impacts of wind energy development on bats: Questions,
 research needs, and hypotheses. *Front Ecol Environ*.
- Kunz TH, Parsons S (2009) Ecological and Behavioral Methods for the Study of Bats. Johns
 Hopkins University Press.
- Kuo T, McQueen A, Chen TC, Wang JC (2015) Regulation of glucose homeostasis by
 glucocorticoids. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 872: 99–126.
- Landys MM, Ramenofsky M, Wingfield JC (2006) Actions of glucocorticoids at a seasonal baseline
 as compared to stress-related levels in the regulation of periodic life processes. *Gen Comp*

650 *Endocrinol.*

- Langwig KE, Frick WF, Bried JT, Hicks AC, Kunz TH, Marm Kilpatrick A (2012) Sociality,
 density-dependence and microclimates determine the persistence of populations suffering
 from a novel fungal disease, white-nose syndrome. *Ecol Lett* 15: 1050–1057.
 Lavergne SG, Peers MJL, Mastromonaco G, Majchrzak YN, Nair A, Boutin S, Boonstra R (2020)
- Hair cortisol as a reliable indicator of stress physiology in the snowshoe hare: Influence of
 body region, sex, season, and predator–prey population dynamics. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 294:
 113471.
- Lendvai ÁZ, Giraudeau M, Chastel O (2007) Reproduction and modulation of the stress response:
 An experimental test in the house sparrow. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 274: 391–397.
- Lewanzik D, Kelm DH, Greiner S, Dehnhard M, Voigt CC (2012) Ecological correlates of cortisol
 levels in two bat species with contrasting feeding habits. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*.
 doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2012.02.021
- Macbeth BJ, Cattet MRL, Stenhouse GB, Gibeau ML, Janz DM (2010) Hair cortisol concentration
 as a noninvasive measure of long-term stress in free-ranging grizzly bears (Ursus arctos):
 considerations with implications for other wildlife. *Can J Zool* 88: 935–949.
- MacDougall-Shackleton SA, Bonier F, Romero LM, Moore IT (2019) Glucocorticoids and "Stress"
 Are Not Synonymous. *Integr Org Biol* 1. doi:10.1093/iob/obz017
- Magioli M, Bovo AAA, Alberici V, De Barros Ferraz KMPM (2019) The use of hair traps as a
 complementary method in mammal ecology studies. *Mammalia* 83: 144–149.
- Mastromonaco GF, Gunn K, McCurdy-Adams H, Edwards DB, Schulte-Hostedde AI (2014)
 Validation and use of hair cortisol as a measure of chronic stress in eastern chipmunks
 (Tamias striatus). *Conserv Physiol* 2: cou055–cou055.
- McGuire LP, Kelly LA, Baloun DE, Boyle WA, Cheng TL, Clerc J, Fuller NW, Gerson AR,
 Jonasson KA, Rogers EJ, *et al.* (2018) Common condition indices are no more effective than
 body mass for estimating fat stores in insectivorous bats. *J Mammal* 99: 1065–1071.
- McMichael L, Edson D, Smith C, Mayer D, Smith I, Kopp S, Meers J, Field H (2017) Physiological
 stress and Hendra virus in flying-foxes (Pteropus spp.), Australia. *PLoS One* 12.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0182171

- 679 Medellin RA, Rivero M, Ibarra A, De La Torre JA, Gonzalez-Terrazas TP, Torres-Knoop L,
- 680 Tschapka M (2018) Follow me: Foraging distances of Leptonycteris yerbabuenae (Chiroptera:
- 681 Phyllostomidae) in Sonora determined by fluorescent powder. *J Mammal* 99: 306–311.
- Meyer JS, Novak MA (2012) Minireview: Hair cortisol: A novel biomarker of hypothalamic pituitary- adrenocortical activity. *Endocrinology*.
- Michonneau F, Brown JW, Winter DJ (2016) rotl: an R package to interact with the Open Tree of
 Life data. *Methods Ecol Evol* 7: 1476–1481.
- 686 Mickleburgh SP, Hutson AM, Racey PA (2002) A review of the global conservation status of bats.
 687 *Oryx* 36: 18–34.
- Montillo M, Caslini C, Peric T, Prandi A, Netto P, Tubaro F, Pedrotti L, Bianchi A, Mattiello S
 (2019) Analysis of 19 minerals and cortisol in red deer hair in two different areas of the stelvio
 national park: A preliminary study. *Animals* 9: 492.
- 691 Norberg UM, Rayner JM V. (1987) Ecological morphology and flight in bats (Mammalia;
 692 Chiroptera): wing adaptations, flight performance, foraging strategy and echolocation. *Philos*693 *Trans R Soc London B, Biol Sci* 316: 335–427.
- Norris DO, Carr JA (2013) An Overview of Chemical Bioregulation in Vertebrates. In: Vertebrate
 Endocrinology. Elsevier, pp 1–22.
- O'Donnell CFJ (2000) Conservation status and causes of decline of the threatened New Zealand
 Long-tailed Bat Chalinolobus tuberculatus (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). *Mamm Rev* 30: 89–
 106.
- Oelbaum PJ, Fenton MB, Simmons NB, Broders HG (2019) Community structure of a Neotropical
 bat fauna as revealed by stable isotope analysis: Not all species fit neatly into predicted guilds. *Biotropica* 51:719–730.
- Orona Castillo I, Sangerman-Jarquín DM, Fortis Hernández M, Vázquez Vázquez C, Gallegos
 Robles MÁ (2018) Producción y comercialización de nuez pecanera (Carya illinoensis Koch)
 en el norte de Coahuila, México. *Rev Mex Ciencias Agrícolas* 4: 461–476.
- Ouyang JQ, Sharp PJ, Dawson A, Quetting M, Hau M (2011) Hormone levels predict individual
 differences in reproductive success in a passerine bird. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 278: 2537–2545.
- 707 Pagel M (1999) Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature*.

- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K (2004) APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R
 language. *Bioinforma Appl NOTE* 20: 289–290.
- Patterson SH, Hahn TP, Cornelius JM, Breuner CW (2014) Natural selection and glucocorticoid
 physiology. *J Evol Biol* 27: 259–274.
- Pavan AC, Marroig G (2016) Integrating multiple evidences in taxonomy: species diversity and
 phylogeny of mustached bats (Mormoopidae: Pteronotus). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 103: 184–
 198.
- Pearson Murphy BE (2007) Glucocorticoids, Overview. In: Encyclopedia of Stress. Elsevier Inc.,
 pp 198–210.
- Pennell MW (2015) Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and Their Application in
 Evolutionary Biology: Concepts and Practice. Edited by László Zsolt Garamszegi. Syst *Biol* 64: 161–163.
- Plowright RK, Field HE, Smith C, Divljan A, Palmer C, Tabor G, Daszak P, Foley JE (2008)
 Reproduction and nutritional stress are risk factors for Hendra virus infection in little red
 flying foxes (Pteropus scapulatus). *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 275: 861–869.
- Postawa T, Szubert-Kruszyńska A (2014) Is parasite load dependent on host aggregation size? The
 case of the greater mouse-eared bat Myotis myotis (Mammalia: Chiroptera) and its parasitic
 mite Spinturnix myoti (Acari: Gamasida). *Parasitol Res* 113: 1803–1811.
- Pragst F, Balikova MA (2006) State of the art in hair analysis for detection of drug and alcohol
 abuse. *Clin Chim Acta*.
- Racey PA (2013) Bat Conservation: Past, Present and Future. In: Bat Evolution, Ecology, and
 Conservation. Springer New York, New York, NY, pp 517–532.
- Rakotoniaina JH, Kappeler PM, Kaesler E, Hämäläinen AM, Kirschbaum C, Kraus C (2017) Hair
 cortisol concentrations correlate negatively with survival in a wild primate population. *BMC Ecol* 17: 1–13.
- Reeder DAM, Kosteczko NS, Kunz TH, Widmaier EP (2004) Changes in baseline and stressinduced glucocorticoid levels during the active period in free-ranging male and female little
 brown myotis, Myotis lucifugus (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 136:
 260–269.

- 737 Reeder DM, Kramer KM (2005) Stress in Free-Ranging Mammals: Integrating Physiology,
- Ecology, and Natural History. *J Mammal* 86: 225–235.
- Reid F. (2009) A field guide to the mammals of Central America & Southeast Mexico 301.
- 740 Ricklefs RE, Wikelski M (2002) The physiology/life-history nexus. *Trends Ecol Evol*.
- Romero LM (2002) Seasonal changes in plasma glucocorticoid concentrations in free-living
 vertebrates. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 128: 1–24.
- Romero LM (2004) Physiological stress in ecology: Lessons from biomedical research. *Trends Ecol Evol.*
- Russell E, Koren G, Rieder M, Van Uum S (2012) Hair cortisol as a biological marker of chronic
 stress: Current status, future directions and unanswered questions. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*37: 589–601.
- Santana SE, Dial TO, Eiting TP, Alfaro ME (2011) Roosting Ecology and the Evolution of Pelage
 Markings in Bats. *PLoS One* 6: e25845.
- Sapolsky RM, Romero LM, Munck AU (2000) How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses?
 Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. *Endocr Rev.*
- Schneeberger K, Czirj??k G??, Voigt CC (2013) Measures of the Constitutive Immune System Are
 Linked to Diet and Roosting Habits of Neotropical Bats. *PLoS One* 8.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054023
- Schulte PM (2014) What is environmental stress? Insights from fish living in a variableenvironment. *J Exp Biol*.
- Sharpley CF, Mcfarlane JR, Slominski A (2012) Stress-linked cortisol concentrations in hair: what
 we know and what we need to know. *Rev Neurosci* 23: 111–121.
- Sheriff MJ, Dantzer B, Delehanty B, Palme R, Boonstra R (2011) Measuring stress in wildlife:
 Techniques for quantifying glucocorticoids. *Oecologia* 166: 869–887.
- Sikes RS, Bryan JA (2016) 2016 Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use
 of wild mammals in research and education. *J Mammal* 97: 663–688.
- Simmons NB, Cirranello AL (2020) Bat Species of the World: A taxonomic and geographic
 databasebatnames | home. https://batnames.org/ (last accessed 22 June 2020).

- Speakman J., Thomas D. (2003) Physiological Ecology and Energetics of Bats. In: Kunz T, Fenton
 M, eds. Bat Ecology. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 430–490.
- 767 Speakman JR (1997) Doubly Labeled Water Theory and Practice. Doubly labelled water: theory
 768 and practice. Chapman & Hall Ltd, London,UK.
- Stahlschmidt P, Brühl CA (2012) Bats as bioindicators the need of a standardized method for
 acoustic bat activity surveys. *Methods Ecol Evol* 3: 503–508.
- 771 Stearns SC (1992) The Evolution of Life Histories. Oxford University, Oxford.
- Sullivan AR, Bump JK, Kruger LA, Peterson RO (2012) Bat-cave catchment areas: using stable
 isotopes (δD) to determine the probable origins of hibernating bats. *Ecol Appl* 22: 1428–1434.
- Téllez HLA, Iñiguez-Dávalos LI, Olvera-Vargas M, Vargas-Contreras JA, Herrera-Lizaola OA
 (2018) Bats associated to caves in Jalisco, Mexico. *Therya* 9: 29–40.
- Terwissen C V., Mastromonaco GF, Murray DL (2013) Influence of adrenocorticotrophin hormone
 challenge and external factors (age, sex, and body region) on hair cortisol concentration in
 Canada lynx (Lynx canadensis). *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 194: 162–167.
- Tilbrook A (2000) Effects of stress on reproduction in non-rodent mammals: the role of
 glucocorticoids and sex differences. *Rev Reprod* 5: 105–113.
- Torres-Flores JW, López-Wilchis R, Soto-Castruita A (2012) Population dynamics, roost selection
 and reproductive patterns of some cave bats from Western of Mexico. *Rev Biol Trop* 60:
 1369–1389.
- Voigt CC, Kingston T (2015) Bats in the anthropocene. In: Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation
 of Bats in a Changing World. Springer International Publishing, pp 1–9.
- Voigt CC, Voigt-Heucke SL, Schneeberger K (2012) Isotopic Data Do Not Support Food Sharing
 Within Large Networks of Female Vampire Bats (Desmodus rotundus). *Ethology* 118: 260–
 268.
- 789 Wada H (2008) Glucocorticoids: Mediators of vertebrate ontogenetic transitions. *Gen Comp* 790 *Endocrinol.*
- Wada H, Yates DE, Evers DC, Taylor RJ, Hopkins WA (2010) Tissue mercury concentrations and
 adrenocortical responses of female big brown bats (Eptesicus fuscus) near a contaminated
 river. *Ecotoxicology* 19: 1277–1284.

- Widmaier EP, Harmer TL, Sulak AM, Kunz TH (1994) Further characterization of the pituitaryadrenocortical responses to stress in Chiroptera. *J Exp Zool* 269: 442–449.
- 796 Wikelski M, Cooke SJ (2006) Conservation physiology. *Trends Ecol Evol* 21: 38–46.
- 797 Wingfield JC, Romero LM (2011) Adrenocortical Responses to Stress and Their Modulation in
- 798 Free-Living Vertebrates. In: Comprehensive Physiology. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken,
- 799 NJ, USA, pp 211–234.
- Wingfield JC, Sapolsky RM (2003) Reproduction and resistance to stress: When and how. J
 Neuroendocrinol.
- 802

Soupplementary material





808