Analytical validation and performance characteristics of a 48-gene next-generation 1 sequencing panel for detecting potentially actionable genomic alterations in myeloid 2 neoplasms 3 (Analytical validation of a 48-gene next-generation sequencing panel for myeloid neoplasms) 4 5 Sun Hee Rosenthal^{1*}, Anna Gerasimova¹, Charles Ma¹, Hai-Rong Li¹, Andrew Grupe¹, Hansook 6 7 Chong¹, Allan Acab¹, Alla Smolgovsky¹, Renius Owen¹, Christopher Elzinga¹, Rebecca Chen¹, 8 Daniel Sugganth¹, Tracey Freitas², Jennifer Graham², Kristen Champion², Anindya Bhattacharya¹, Frederick Racke^{1*}, and Felicitas Lacbawan^{1*} 9 10 11 ¹ Department of Advanced Diagnostics, Quest Diagnostics, San Juan Capistrano, CA 12 ² Department of Molecular Oncology, med fusion, Lewisville, TX 13 *corresponding authors 14 Sun Hee Rosenthal: Sunhee.x.Rosenthal@questdiagnostics.com 15 Frederick Racke: Frederick.K.Racke@questdiagnostics.com 16 Felicitas Lacbawan: Felicitas.L.Lacbawan@questdiagnostics.com 17

Abstract

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Identification of genomic mutations by molecular testing plays an important role in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of myeloid neoplasms. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) is an efficient method for simultaneous detection of clinically significant genomic mutations with high sensitivity. However, due to lack of standard NGS protocols, the application of NGS for hematologic malignancies into clinical settings remains limited. We report development and validation of a 48-gene NGS panel for molecular profiling of myeloid neoplasms including acute myeloid leukemia (AML), myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), and myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN). Target regions were captured by hybridization with complementary biotinylated DNA baits, and NGS was performed on an Illumina NextSeq500 instrument. A bioinformatics pipeline that was developed in-house was used to detect single nucleotide variations (SNVs). insertions/deletions (indels), and FLT3 internal tandem duplications (FLT3-ITD). An analytical validation study was performed on 184 unique specimens for variants with allele frequencies ≥5%. Variants identified by the 48-gene panel were compared to those identified by a 35-gene hematologic neoplasms panel using an additional 137 unique specimens. The developed assay was applied to a large cohort (n=2.053) of patients with suspected myeloid neoplasms. Analytical validation yielded 99.6% sensitivity (95% CI: 98.9-99.9%) and 100% specificity (95% CI: 100%). Concordance of variants detected by the 2 tested panels was 100%. Among patients with suspected myeloid neoplasms (n=2.053), 54.5% patients harbored at least one clinically significant mutation: 77% in AML patients, 48% in MDS, and 45% in MPN. Together, these findings demonstrate that the assay can identify mutations associated with diagnosis. prognosis, and treatment options of myeloid neoplasms.

Introduction

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Myeloid neoplasms are a heterogeneous group of malignancies of the hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. Substantial clinical and genomic overlap exists among different subclasses of myeloid neoplasms that are currently classified by the World Health Organization (WHO): acute myeloid leukemia (AML), myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and overlap myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasms (MDS/MPN) [1]. Coupled with clinical, morphologic, and immunophenotypic abnormalities, identification of genetic alterations by molecular testing has an important role in the classification, risk stratification, and management of myeloid neoplasms [2]. For example, mutations in NPMI, CEBPA, and RUNXI can identify specific subclass of AML, and mutations in FLT3, IDH1, IDH2, and JAK2 can guide targeted therapies for AML [3]. For patients with MDS, identification of mutations in genes including TET2, SF3B1, ASXL1 and TP53 is particularly useful to help establish clonal hematopoiesis to make a definitive diagnosis of MDS [4]. In addition, for MPN, certain mutations such as JAK2 V617F or exon 12 mutation satisfy diagnostic criteria to help establish a diagnosis of MPN [5]; mutations in ASXL1, SRSF2, EZH2, IDH1, and IDH2 categorize patients to high molecular risk in Primary Myelofibrosis (PMF) [6]; and mutations in IDH2, U2AF1, EZH2, TP53, SH2B3, and SF3B1 indicate adverse prognostic value in Essential Thrombocythemia (ET) and Polycythemia Vera (PV) [7, 8]. Historically, single gene testing using Sanger sequencing or real-time PCR have been used to identify genetic alterations in myeloid neoplasms [9]. Although these methods are readily

adaptive and widely used in clinical laboratories, their major limitation is that very few selected mutations can be detected at a time. In recent years, whole genome sequencing (WGS) and whole exome sequencing (WES) studies have been used to identify oncogenic mutations in hundreds of genes, revealing a wide genetic heterogeneity in myeloid neoplasms [9]. Indeed, more molecular genetic markers have been added in the most recent revision of the WHO classification [1]. Since the number of mutations that can guide diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment options are increasing, using single-gene testing for myeloid malignancies is becoming impractical. Although WGS and WES are standard in research settings, targeted next generation sequencing (NGS) panel assays that are composed of genes associated with a disease and recurrently mutated are more commonly used in clinical settings. Compared to WGS or WES, targeted NGS assays are highly sensitive for detecting low-frequency variants and can identify a number of mutations that are critical in diagnosis and risk stratification in a relatively short time [10].

Although an increasing number of hematologic laboratories are in the process of integrating NGS procedures into the diagnostic algorithms of myeloid neoplasms, the application of NGS in clinical settings has certain challenges [11]. For example, many artifacts are known to arise during NGS library preparation, sequencing, and data analysis (eg, read mapping, variant calling) and these may cause challenges in discriminating true genetic alterations from artifacts caused by PCR, sequencing, and post-sequencing steps. In addition, technical difficulties hinder the ability to capture targets with high GC content, such as *CEBPA*, which is associated with poor prognosis of AML, or repetitive genomic regions, such as *FLT3*-ITD, which is associated with poor prognosis of AML in the absence of *MPN1* mutation [10, 12, 13-15]. The lack of uniform practice standards for quality assessment of NGS data also challenges implementation of

NGS in clinics [11]. As such, it is critical to carefully validate each clinical NGS assay for its defined performance requirements for the intended use.

In this study, we report development and validation of a 48-gene NGS panel for the detection of alterations that have a putative role in diagnosis, prognosis, or therapy of myeloid neoplasms. We also report our experience with the first 2,053 clinical specimens of suspected myeloid neoplasms submitted for the 48-gene panel and estimate the frequency of actionable alterations in a clinical laboratory.

Materials and Methods

Gene selection

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

A total of 48 genes (S1 Table) frequently mutated and/or associated with known 94 diagnostic, prognostic, or therapeutic utilities for myeloid neoplasms at the time of test 95 96 development were selected: 42 genes for AML; 36 genes for MDS; and 26 genes for MPN. Of the 48 genes, 47 were analyzed by NGS: the entire coding regions were covered for 23 genes 97 (ATM, BCOR, BCORL1, BRAF, CDKN2B, CEBPA, CREBP[ATF2], CUX1, DDX41, ETNK1, 98 99 ETV6, GATA2, HRAS, IKZF1, KDM6A, NF1, PHF6, PTEN, STAG2, STAT3, STK11, TP53, and ZRSR2) and targeted exons were covered for 24 genes (ASXL1, CALR, CBL, CSF3R, DNMT3A, 100 EZH2, FLT3, GATA1, IDH1, IDH2, JAK2, KIT, KRAS, MPL, NPM1, NRAS, PTPN11, RUNX1, 101 SETBP1, SF3B1, SRSF2, TET2, U2AF1, and WT1). KMT2A (formerly known as MLL) partial 102 103 tandem duplication (PTD) analysis was supplemented by a long-range PCR method developed in 104 our laboratory using a previously reported primer set, a forward primer on exon 8 and a reverse

primer on exon 2 [16], to detect the 3 most frequent forms of *MLL*-PTD (NM_005933.1: exons $2\sim8$, $2\sim9$, and $2\sim10$) [17].

Validation specimens

A total of 184 unique specimens (**S2 Table**) were included: 96 whole blood, 20 bone marrow aspirate, 20 cell pellet, 17 FFPE, and 31 extracted DNA. The 184 specimens included 32 commercial controls, 25 from healthy individuals, and 127 clinical specimens previously tested by alternative analytical methods for indications of myeloid neoplasms (n=105), unknown history (n=18), and adjacent normal tissue from FFPE section (n=4). All patient specimens were de-identified before use. Alternative analytical methods included Sanger sequencing, fragment analysis for *FLT3*-ITD, and 4 different CLIA-validated NGS assays developed in our laboratory (see Alternative analytical methods, below). The 32 commercial controls included 6 multiplex mutation controls (5% Tier, Horizon discovery, Lafayette, CO), 19 controls with known mutation(s) within the 48 genes in the panel (Coriell Institute, Camden, NJ), and 7 well-characterized Genome In a Bottle (GIAB) reference specimens (Coriell Institute, Camden, NJ). For the 7 GIAB specimens, high-quality public sequence data were downloaded from http://ftp-trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/giab/ftp/release/ for comparison.

Alternative analytical methods

Sanger sequencing on *CEBPA* or *JAK2* were performed using gene-specific PCR primers for target amplification and incorporation of BigDye Terminator (Applied biosystems, Foster

City, CA, USA). T7 promoter and terminator sequences fused to gene specific primers were used for sequencing on an ABI 3730 fragment analyzer (Applied biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). FLT3-ITD fragment analysis was performed by Laboratory for Personalized Molecular Medicine (LabPMM, San Diego, CA) or in-house using a modified protocol based on one reported previously [18]. In brief, FLT3-ITD was PCR-amplified with a fluorescence-labeled forward primer, and a non-labeled reverse primer. The PCR products were analyzed using an ABI3730 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and the amplicons with a size greater than that of wild type (324-326 bp) were interpreted as positive for the FLT3-ITD. Four separate CLIA-validated NGS panel assays were used for the accuracy study to cover the variants detected by the 48-gene panel. The first, Leuko Vantage v1.0, is a 30-gene NGS test for somatic mutations in myeloid neoplasms using the Truseq Amplicon Cancer Panel kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA). It contains amplicons for hot-spot locations of the following genes: ASXL1, CALR, CBL, CEBPA, CSF3R, DDX41, DMNT3A, EZH2, FLT3, GATA1, IDH1, IDH2, JAK2, KDM6A, KIT, KRAS, MLL, MPL, NPM1, NRAS, PTPN11, RUNX1, SETBP1, SF3B1, SRSF2, TET2, TP53, U2AF1, WT1, and ZRSR2 [19]. The second, MyVantage, is a germline mutation panel of 34 cancer predisposition genes, including ATM and PTEN, and uses RNA bait capture method [20]. The third, Watson Genomics, is a 50-gene NGS test for solid tumor mutation using RNA bait capture-based NGS, and includes BRAF, CDKN2B, FLT3, HRAS, IDH1, JAK2, KIT, KRAS, NRAS, PTEN, PTPN11, and TP53. The fourth, MPN diagnostic cascading reflex test, includes hotspots in MPN, CALR, MPL, and CSF3R genes and employs multiplex PCR method and sequencing on Ion Torrent S5 XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Markham, ON).

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

DNA isolation, library preparation, and NGS

Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood, bone marrow, or cell pellet using Qiagen EZ1 kit or DSP DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON). FFPE DNA was extracted using OIAAMP DNA FFPE tissue kit (Oiagen, Mississauga, ON), or Arcturus PicoPure kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Markham, ON). Isolated genomic DNA was mechanically sheared to an average size of 250 bases using a Covaris instrument LE220 (Covaris Inc., Woburn, MA). The fragmented DNA was enzymatically repaired and end-modified with adenosine (NEBNext® UltraTM II End Repair/dA-Tailing Module, NEB, Ipswich, MA) and ligated (NEBNext® Ultra TM II Ligation Module, NEB, Ipswich, MA) with barcoded adapters (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coral, IL). The ligated products were size-selected (AMPure Beads, Agencourt, Beverley, MA) and amplified (GeneRead DNA I Amp Kit, Qiagen, Mississauga, ON); then the regions of interest were captured using biotinylated DNA baits (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coral, IL). The hybridized DNA fragments were enriched with streptavidin-attached magnet beads (Dynabeads M-270, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Markham, ON) and washed under increasing stringency to remove non-targeted DNA sequences (xGen® Lockdown® Reagents, Integrated DNA Technologies, Coral, IL). A second amplification was performed (KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix, Kapabiosystems, Wilmington, MA), followed by bead purification (AMPure Beads, Agencourt, Beverley, MA) to remove all unused primers and nucleotides. The prepared sequencing library was then quantified (Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Markham, ON) and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 sequencer, 2 x 150 cycles (NextSeq 500/550 Mid Output v2 kit, Illumina, San Diego, CA).

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

Bioinformatics processing

De-multiplexing and conversion of NextSeq500 BCL files were done by using Illumina's bcl2fastq software utility. The raw sequence reads in FASTQ files were then aligned to the Genome Reference Consortium human genome build 37(GRCh37) by using BWA alignment package. Reads were sorted and indexed using SAMtools with subsequent reads duplication removal by Picard Tools. Local realignment and base quality score recalibration were performed using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK). Mapped reads were further filtered by mapping score \geq 30 (\geq 99.9% accuracy) and base quality score \geq 20 (\geq 99% accuracy) before downstream analysis. This assay covered 98,809 bp from a total of 999 target regions, approximately100 bp for each target, across the 48 genes in the panel. Average and minimum depth of coverage for every region of interest (ROI) and depth of each targeted positions were computed using SAMtools Pysam. SNVs and short indels were called by MuTect2 and LoFreq. *CALR* indels and *FLT3*-ITD were called by PINDEL. Criteria used for specimen and variant quality control are provided in **S3 Table**.

Variant call comparison

An additional 137 unique specimens that were submitted for the 48-gene panel or a 35-gene panel, which was independently developed and analytically validated for hematologic neoplasms (HemeSEQ, med fusion, Lewisville, TX), were subsequently sequenced by the other panel. The 35-gene panel uses Illumina TruSeq custom-amplicon library preparation chemistry, which is sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq. A total of 33,812 bp in 26 genes overlapped between the 2 assays; genes included *ASXL1*, *CALR*, *CBL*, *CSF3R*, *DNMT3A*, *ETV6*, *EZH2*, *FLT3*, *IDH1*,

IDH2, JAK2, KIT, KRAS, MPL, NPM1, NRAS, PHF6, RUNX1, PTEN, SETBP1, SF3B1, SRSF2, TET2, TP53, U2AF1, and WT1. Each of the 137 specimens (22 whole blood and 115 bone marrow) were selected because they harbored at least one pathogenic mutation within the overlapping genes.

Clinical specimens

A total of 2,053 consecutive patient specimens submitted for the 48-gene NGS panel were included in this study. Patient results were de-identified before analysis. Based on the clinical information submitted, indications for testing included AML (23.9%, n=490), MDS (49.6%, n=1,018), or MPN (26.5%, n=545). Patient characteristics are presented in **Table 1**. This retrospective study was exempt from Institutional Review Board oversight, as determined by the Western Institutional Review Board.

Table 1. Patient characteristics

	AML	MDS	MPN	Total
Number of patients	490	1,018	545	2,053
% of total	23.9	49.6	26.5	100.0
Male, %	61.6	61.5	57.8	60.5
Female, %	38.4	38.5	42.2	39.5
Median age (Min, Max)	58 (2, 89)	60 (2, 89)	55.5 (14, 69)	58 (2, 89)

206

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

Variant classification

For the 2,053 clinical cases, clinical interpretation of variants was performed in conjunction with a third-party annotation group (N-of-One, Concord, MA). Detected variants were classified in the following categories based on the 2017 guideline recommendations by AMP/ASCO/CAP [21]: Tier I, strong clinical significance; Tier II, potential clinical significance; Tier III, uncertain clinical significance; and Tier IV, benign or likely benign. A pathologist or a licensed clinical laboratory director reviewed the results and the clinical annotation, edited information as deemed necessary for specific cases and indications, and signed out the results for reporting. For this study, variants were considered clinically significant if they provided prognostic or diagnostic information for the disease or were clinically actionable. Variants were considered clinically actionable when a targeted therapy or an experimental drug was available for the disease or other disease.

Results

Assay performance

The analytical validation study was performed on 184 unique specimens for a total of 427 trials in 20 consecutive sequencing runs. On average, each specimen generated 11.8 million reads; 100% (SD=8.8%) of reads mapped to the reference sequence (hg19); 65% (SD=8.8%) of reads were on-target; and average coverage depth across target regions was 1.767 (SD=875) (S4

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

Table). Average and median coverage of each target region across all validation specimens are plotted in Fig 1A. Of the 184 specimens, 181 (98.3%) specimens exceeded the coverage requirement in 422 trials (98.8%) and 3 specimens (1 whole blood, 1 FFPE, and 1 extracted DNA) failed in 5 trials. This result demonstrated that the developed workflow is robust for routine clinical testing and compatible with different specimen types. Fig 1. Target coverage depth. (A) Mean and median coverage of each target region across all validation trials (n=427). Target regions are sorted by chromosomal location. Targets on X chromosome are marked with a dotted line. (B) NA12878 coverage across all target regions from 17 independent setups. Target regions are sorted by % GC (orange dot). Standard deviation of coverage is shown (black bar). (C) Percentage of specimens that passed a base quality Q20 coverage of 100X, 250X, or 500X. We next assessed coverage at each target region as a function of target region % GC using a control specimen NA12878, which was tested 17 times. Throughout the whole % GC spectrum (range: 12%-87%), we observed narrow coverage distribution, even in the extreme end of % GC (Fig 1B). In addition, we reviewed specimen pass rates of each target for Q20 coverage of 500X, 250X, or 100X (Fig 1C). A limited number of target regions did not achieve 500X coverage in >10% of validation specimens. Those regions included STAG2 exon 11, 16, and 21,

all of which were situated near polyA tracts and represented 0.36% (354/98,809bp) of our target

capture region. All 3 target regions achieved 100X coverage in 100% of validation sets and 250X coverage in >96% of validation sets.

Precision

To test inter-assay precision, 22 specimens that harbored at least one pathogenic mutation by alternative methods and a negative control (NA12878) were analyzed 3 times in 3 independently prepared sequencing runs. A total of 141 variants (98 SNVs, 39 indels, and 4 *FLT3*-ITD) were detected and were 100% concordant among 3 repeated runs (**S5A Table**). As expected, no reportable variant was detected from the negative control (NA12878) after applying variant filtering rules (**S3 Table**). Intra-assay precision was tested on 9 specimens that were tested in triplicate within a run. A total of 40 variants (30 SNVs, 8 indels, and 2 *FLT3*-ITD) were detected and all were concordant among the triplicates (**S5B Table**). In addition, detected variant frequency among replicates was reproducible within coefficient of variation <0.2 in 98.3% and <0.25 in 100% of variants (n=181) tested in the precision studies (**Figs 2A and 2B**). In summary, both intra- and inter-assay variant call concordance was 100%.

Fig 2. (A) Inter-assay precision of 141 variants from 22 specimens repeated 3 times. The mean of the detected variant frequencies (orange dot) with standard deviation (closed vertical bar) and coefficients of variations (blue dot) are shown. (B) Intra-assay precision of 40 variants from 9 specimens replicated 3 times. The mean of the detected variant frequencies (orange dot) with standard deviation (closed vertical bar) and coefficients of variations (blue dot) are shown.

Accuracy

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

A total of 140 unique specimens harboring variant(s) identified by alternative analytical methods, such as Sanger sequencing, fragment analysis, and other CLIA validated NGS assays, were analyzed for a total of 237 trials (S6 Table). The identified 165 unique variants by alternative methods consisted of 97 SNVs, 49 indels (1-33 bp insertions, 1-52 bp deletions), and 19 FLT3-ITDs (18-117 bp). From the 237 trials, collectively, 405 variants of various frequencies were analyzed including 229 SNVs, 117 indels, and 59 FLT3-ITDs in 29 genes (Figs 3A, 3B). All expected SNV and indel variants were accurately detected. If variant frequency was provided by an alternative method (n=106), frequency of detected variant was highly concordant with expected (R^2 =0.966, **Fig 3C**). In addition, all expected *FLT3*-ITD variants from 59 trials of 27 unique specimens were detected by this assay (**Table 2**). In 18.5% (5/27) of *FLT3*-ITD positive specimens, additional ITD sizes that were not reported by a fragment analysis method were detected; this result may indicate higher sensitivity of NGS assay for FLT3-ITD detection. Fig 3. (A) Number and type of variant used for accuracy study per gene. (B) Variant frequency distribution used for accuracy study. Variant frequency not provided by alternative method is categorized as undetermined. (C) Variant frequency concordance. Compared only if variant frequency is known by alternative method.

Table 2. FLT3-ITD used for accuracy study

291

292

293

294

295

296

Sample	FLT3-ITD length (bp)	Number trial(s)	Detected	Additional FLT3-ITD detected (bp)
BM08	36	3	yes	-
BM15	57	3	yes	-
BM17	57	3	yes	-
BM19	60	3	yes	-
CP04	45	1	yes	-
CP17	24	1	yes	-
CP20	21, 27, 36, 117	1	yes	48
WB09	30	11	yes	33, 81
WB32	21	1	yes	-
WB45	96	6	yes	-
WB46	72	3	yes	-
WB47	51, 114	4	yes	-
WB48	63	1	yes	-
WB50	18, 63, 90	4	yes	-
WB51	33, 57	1	yes	-
WB52	21, 51, 90	1	yes	-
WB53	18	1	yes	-
WB54	36	1	yes	24
WB56	24	1	yes	-
WB57	48	1	yes	33
WB58	30	1	yes	-
WB59	36	1	yes	-
WB60	51, 54	1	yes	-
WB61	24	2	yes	-
WB62	66	1	yes	-
WB63	24	1	yes	-
WB64	84	1	yes	27

BM, bone marrow; CP, cell pellet; WB, whole blood

We extended the accuracy study to 7 well-characterized GIAB reference specimens by comparing variant calls made by our pipeline with publicly available data. The variant

concordance study was limited to those regions where high-quality public sequence data were available, approximately 62% to 86% of our target regions for each GIAB reference specimen (**Table 3**). Population SNVs that would normally be excluded from reporting were also included for this study. Across the 7 reference specimens, a total of 464,162 bp were analyzed. All 142 expected variants were correctly detected (**Table 3**), and no false positives were called, yielding 100% sensitivity (95% CI: 97.3-100%) and 100% specificity (95% CI: 100%). Review of the detected variant frequency at the expected homozygous and heterozygous positions revealed the mean observed variant frequency to be 99.9% (N=70, SD=0.1) and 49.6% (N=72, SD=2.6), respectively, demonstrating good agreement with the expected values.

Table 3. Variant call concordance study using Genome in a Bottle reference specimens

Specimen	Overlapping regions: High confidence sequence from GIAB	Number of variants	% Concordance
NA12878	86,423 bp	23	100
NA24143	62,850 bp	16	100
NA24149	62,924 bp	20	100
NA24385	62,815 bp	22	100
NA24631	63,047 bp	21	100
NA24694	63,070 bp	19	100
NA24695	63,033 bp	21	100
Total	464,162 bp	142	100

Analytical sensitivity

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

In order to evaluate the analytic sensitivity of the assay, 5 multiplex mutation control specimens (5% Tier, Horizon discovery) were tested for a total of 16 trials. Collectively, 124 expected variants (104 SNVs and 20 indels) with variant allele frequency (VAF) ranging from 3.8% to 25% were identified (S7A Table). All expected variants were detected when expected VAF was either at 5% (71/71) or >5% (35/35). However, 89% (16/18) of expected variants were detected when expected VAF was <5%. In addition, DNA from each of 5 well-characterized GIAB reference specimens was mixed with NA12878 DNA in a proportion of 10% test specimen and 90% NA12878. From heterozygous or homozygous variants unique to test specimens, a total of 64 variants (63 SNVs and 1 insertion) were created with VAF 10% or 5% (S7B Table). A total of 119 variants were tested from the 5 mixed specimens of which 4 specimens were repeated twice. All (50/50) variants were detected at 10% VAF and 95.6% (66/69) variants were detected at 5% VAF. Similarly, 9 specimens harboring variants (2 SNVs and 10 indels) identified by alternative analytical methods were serially diluted with a GIAB control (NA12878) up to 64fold, yielding an expected VAF well below 5% (S7C Table). All expected variants were detected when expected VAF was >5% (n=45). However, when expected VAF was below 5%, only 41% (2/8 at <3.0%, 5/9 at 3.1-3.4%) of expected variants were detected. In addition, 3 FLT3-ITD positive specimens were serially diluted up to 16-fold with NA12878. We considered FLT3-ITD positive when at least one ITD size is called. All dilution series yielded correct ITD calls (S7D Table). As the orthogonal method does not provide

absolute *FLT3*-ITD frequency, in order to estimate sensitivity, we simulated expected variant frequency based on the relative fraction of different ITD sizes in a specimen, WB52. WB52 contained 3 different ITD sizes (21b, 51b, and 90b) with relative ITD fraction of 1.4, 75.7, and 23.0%. The major ITD size (51b) was detected from all dilution series including 16-fold dilution with simulated VAF of 4.7% (16-fold dilution of 75.7%). This result demonstrated that *FLT3*-ITD detection sensitivity to be at least 5%.

A summary of analytical sensitivity study results is provided in **Table 4**. Inspection of the discordant variants from this study (12 at \leq 3.1% VAF and 3 at 5% VAF) showed that those variants had been detected by a variant caller, but the calls were filtered out because of low frequency (\leq 3%) or low variant count (\leq 25) (**S8 Table**).

Table 4. Summary of analytical sensitivity study result

Study	Expected VAF			Tatal
	<5%	5%	>5%	Total
Multiplex mutation control	89 (16/18)	100 (71/71)	100 (35/35)	98 (122/124)
GIAB dilution	N/A	96 (66/69)	100 (50/50)	97 (116/119)
Positive specimen dilution	41 (7/17)	N/A	100 (58/58)	87 (65/75)
Total	66 (23/35)	98 (137/140)	100 (143/143)	95 (303/318)

Performance is provided as % detected (no. detected/no. expected). N/A, not applicable.

Collectively, in this validation, a total of 154 unique specimens were analyzed for 830 known variants (588 SNVs, 167 indels, and 75 *FLT3*-ITDs) of VAF \geq 5%, including results

described in the accuracy section. Almost all variants (99.6%, 827/830) of VAF \geq 5% variants were correctly detected, resulting in analytical sensitivity of 99.6% (95% CI: 98.9-99.9%). Analytical sensitivity for various VAFs for each variant type is summarized in **Fig 4** and **S9 Table**. In conclusion, the variant detection limit for this assay is 5% for SNV, indels (including *CALR* 52 bp deletion), and *FLT3*-ITD.

Fig 4. Analytical sensitivity of the assay for various VAFs for each variant type. Analytical sensitivity percentage represents the proportion of detected variants out of all variants tested at a given VAF.

Variant call comparison study

To increase per-sample data comparison efficiency, we compared variants of an additional 137 unique specimens identified by the validated 48-gene NGS panel for myeloid neoplasms to those detected by an independently developed and analytically validated 35-gene NGS panel for hematologic neoplasms (HemeSEQ, med fusion, Lewisville, TX). The 137 specimens were selected because they harbored at least one pathogenic mutation within overlapping genes (n=26) between the 2 assays. From the 137 specimens (141 trials), a total of 1,094 variants (278 unique) of various frequencies were concordantly detected by the 2 assays: 1,007 (219 unique) SNVs and 87 (59 unique) indels (**Fig 5A**). In this study, benign variants (eg, common population SNVs) were also included. In addition, agreement in VAF between the 2

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

assays was good (R²=0.986) (**Fig 5B**). Collectively, a total of 4.8 million individual base calls (33,812 bp X 141 specimens) were compared, including 1,094 variants and resulted in 100% sensitivity (95% CI: 99.7-100%) and 100% specificity (95% CI: 99.9-100%). Fig 5. Variant call concordance study between the 48-gene panel for myeloid neoplasms and 35-gene panel for hematologic neoplasms. (A) Number and type of variants compared per gene. (B) Scatter plot of VAFs (n=1,094) across 141 trials detected by the 48-gene panel (x-axis) and 35-gene panel (y-axis). Long-term test reproducibility To evaluate long-term reproducibility, we examined variant calls for a multiplex mutation control (HD728) that was repeatedly tested, 119 times over 10 months, as a mutation positive control. The mutation control contained 7 alterations of ≥5% VAF (6 SNVs and 1 deletion, **Table S7A**). All alterations were successfully detected in all repeat tests, including 5 alterations occurring at 5% VAF. Detected VAF remained stable over the extended time period (Fig 6) with coefficient of variation less than 0.1x (range: 0.04-0.1x). Fig 6. Detected variant frequency of a mutation-positive control (HD728) from 119 repeat **tests over 10 months.** Expected variant frequency is indicated along with variant name.

Detection of clinically actionable alternations

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

Retrospective analysis was performed on the mutation profiling of 2,053 consecutive, deidentified unique patient specimens submitted for the 48-gene NGS panel. In total, 98.3% (2,018/2,053) of specimens were successfully tested upon initial testing and the remaining 35 specimens passed QC criteria upon repeat test. Based on the clinical information submitted, indications for testing included 490 AML (23.9%), 1,018 MDS (49.6%) and 545 MPN (26.5%). At least one pathogenic mutation was detected in 55.6% (1,142/2,053) of patient specimens. AML patients had the highest positive rate (81.2%, 398/490), followed by MDS (49.0%, 499/1,018) and MPN (45.0%, 245/545). A median of 2 mutations (range 1-12) were detected per positive patient: 3 (1-12) in AML, 2 (1-9) in MDS, and 2 (1-7) in MPN. Collectively 2,799 pathogenic mutations (Tier I or Tier II) were found in 44 genes (Fig 7). The most frequently mutated genes, which were found in at least 10% of patients for each indication, were TET2, ASXL1, TP53, FLT3, NPM1, DNMT3A, IDH2, RUNX1 and NRAS in AML; TET2, SF3B1, and ASXL1 in MDS; and JAK2, TET2, and ASXL1 in MPN (S1 Fig). The genomic alterations identified across 2,023 specimens are depicted in Fig 8. Fig 7. Pathogenic mutations (Tier I or Tier II) detected in 44 genes from the total cohort of 2,053 patients by the 48-gene NGS panel for myeloid neoplasms.

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

Fig 8. Identified Tier I and Tier II mutations in 44 genes from the total cohort of 2,053 patients detected by the 48-gene NGS panel for myeloid neoplasms. Each column in the x=axis represents a patient. Only patients with at least 1 mutation (n=1,142) are shown. The percent of patients with Tier I and Tier II mutations in the indicated gene is presented. Based on functionally related categories (**Fig 8**), genes involved in epigenetics (ASXL1, BCOR, BCROL1, DNMT3A, EZH2, IDH1, IDH2, KDM6A, and TET2) were the most frequently mutated group, detected in 43% (n=875/2,053) of patients. Genes involved in signal transduction (BRAF, CALR, CBL, CSF3R, FLT3, JAK2, KIT, KRAS, MPL, NF1, NRAS, PTPN11, and STAT3), RNA splicing (SF3B1, SRSF2, U2AF1, and ZRSR2), and transcription factor (CEBPA, ETV6, GATA1, GATA2, IKZF1, KMT2A, PHF6, RUNX1, SETBP1, and WT1) accounted for 27% (n=574/2,053), 22% (n=444/2,053), and 13% (n=272/2,053) of patients, respectively. These findings are consistent with the mutational frequency of gene groups in myeloid neoplasms as reported in literature [22-26]. In 41.7% (856/2.053) of patients, at least 1 actionable mutation was identified (Fig 9): 27.5% (n=565) of patients harbored mutations for which a targeted therapy is available either in the disease (n=126) or another disease (n=439); and 40.1% (n=823) patients contained mutations for which an experimental drug is available. For this study, variants were considered clinically actionable when a targeted therapy or an experimental drug was available for the disease or other disease. In addition, 34.6% of patients (n=711) had mutations with prognostic significance, and 36.4% (n=748) of patients had mutations with diagnostic significance. In total, the assay

identified clinically significant mutations in 51.7% (1,062/2,053) of patients. Mutations were considered clinically significant if they provided prognostic or diagnostic information for the disease or were clinically actionable.

Discussion

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

Molecular profiling can help diagnose, classify, and guide treatment of myeloid neoplasms [27-28]. In this study, we reported development and validation of a 48-gene NGS panel for molecular profiling of myeloid neoplasms. The assay demonstrated good inter- and intra-assay precision for SNVs, indels including CALR 52 bp deletion, and FLT3-ITDs (Fig 2, S5A and S5B Tables). In addition, the assay detected 827 of 830 variants with VAF \geq 5% reported by alternative analytical methods for a sensitivity of 99.6% (95% CI: 98.9-99.9%) (Fig. 4. S8 Table). False positive calls with low frequency in low complexity sequence regions are a relatively common phenomenon in NGS [11]. The possibility of detecting this type of event has been reduced by using bioinformatic filtering process established based on the results of a training set of 100 unique normal patient specimens (data not shown). The specificity of this assay appears to approach 100% as no false positive call was made in 7 GIAB accuracy studies across 0.4 million bp (**Table 3**) and in a cross-platform study using 137 unique specimens across 4.8 million bp (Fig 5). In clinical settings, specimen types submitted for an assay may vary. Thus, an ideal assay must be able to deal with a wide range of specimen types appropriate for the assay. Overall, our assay produced robust results (98.8% pass rate) for all specimen types (**Table S2**), suggesting

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

that DNA recovered from various specimen types can be successfully and accurately sequenced by this protocol. In NGS, the limitation of a target enrichment method often leads to low coverage in genes with high GC content, and this may cause sub-optimal assay accuracy. Supplementary single-gene assays are recommended to improve low coverage targets in some clinical assays [29]. In this study, we demonstrated a broad reportable range of the 48-gene panel even in extreme % GC spectrum (Fig 1B). For example, despite the very high GC content of CEBPA gene (up to 87% GC within a 100-bp window), hybridization enrichment coupled with careful bait design achieved an excellent result: median depth of 1,918X. During our development, direct comparison of capture technologies demonstrated superior performance of DNA bait over RNA bait for targets with high GC contents (S2 Fig). During our validation, we showed that VAF of SNVs and indels detected by our assay were highly concordant with ones observed by reference methods (Fig 3C, Fig 5B), indicating our assay is accurate in variant quantification. Long-term test reproducibility of an analytical method is critical in clinical settings following initial validation; consistent variant allele frequencies over a 10-month period in a positive control specimen highlighted the long-term reproducibility of our assay (Fig 6). FLT3-ITD is inherently difficult to detect using NGS approaches [15, 30]. In our validation studies, we also identified FLT3-ITDs of varying lengths (range: 18-117 bp) with 100% sensitivity and specificity (Table 2). In some trials, additional ITD size(s) were detected, and we reasoned that our assay is more sensitive than the method compared, fragment analysis. In our study of 2,053 clinical patients, 55.6% had at least one pathogenic variant and 51.7% harbored clinically significant mutations with prognostic, diagnostic, or therapeutic relevance (Fig 9). The clinical utility of our assay is underscored by our ability to identify clinically significant variants in specific diseases. For example, from our cohort of 490 patients

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

with indications of AML, TET2 had the highest mutation rate (17% of patients) followed by ASXL1, TP53, FLT3, NPM1, SRSF2, DNMT3A, IDH2, RUNX1 and NRAS (10~15%), frequencies similar to literature [26]. Among those frequently mutated genes, ASXL1, RUNX1, TP53, and FLT3-ITD mutations have been associated with poor prognosis, whereas NPM1 mutations in the absence of FLT3-ITD have been associated with favorable outcomes in AML [31]. In the 2016 revision of the WHO classification, AML with an NPM1 mutation is recognized as a subtype of AML; and AML with an RUNXI mutation has been added as a provisional category of AML [1]. In addition, FDA-approved targeted therapies for AML are available for FLT3-ITD and IDH2, as well as IDH1 [32] which was mutated in 5% of patients in our study. From our cohort of 1,018 patients with indications of MDS, TET2, SF3B1, and ASXL1 were the most frequently mutated genes (in >10% of patients), followed by SRSF2, TP53, DNMT3A, and RUNXI (in >5% of patients), similar to Haferlach and colleague's study on the mutational profiles of 944 patients with MDS [25]. Among those genes, ASXL1 and TP53 mutations have been associated with poor prognosis [33], whereas SF3B1 mutation in MDS patients with ringed sideroblasts has been associated with favorable prognosis [34]. All of the frequently mutated genes have been associated with clonal hematopoiesis, and support the diagnosis of several different myeloid malignancies, including MDS, when found in combination with other diagnostic features [35, 36]. While there are currently no therapies directly targeting mutated genes in MDS, hematologic malignancies harboring SF3B1 and SRSF2 mutations have been reported to be sensitive to splicing factor 3B subunit 1 (SF3b155) inhibitors, which are in clinical development [37, 38].

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

Certain mutations in the MPN-associated genes satisfy subclassification of the disease. In our study of 545 patients with indications of MPN, JAK2 was the most frequently mutated gene (23% of patients) followed by TET2 (12%) and ASXL1 (10%), frequencies similar to literature [39, 40]. CALR, CSF3R, and MPL were mutated in 4%, 3%, and 1% patients, respectively. Presence of a mutation in JAK2, CALR, and MPL is among the major criteria for the diagnosis of myelofibrosis (MF) or essential thrombocythemia (ET), while presence of JAK2 V617 or exon 12 mutations is among the major criteria for the diagnosis of polycythemia vera (PV) [1, 41, 42]. Activating CSF3R mutations have been found in the majority of chronic neutrophilic leukemias (CNLs) [43]. FDA-approved therapies for JAK2 mutations in PV and MF are available [44]. In addition, mutations in CALR exon 9, CSF3R, and MPL have been shown to be sensitive to Jak inhibitors [45-47]. For BCR-ABL1-negative MPN, common mutations of JAK2, CALR, and MPL genes are often examined as diagnostic targets using a cascade single-gene assay [42]. In our cohort of 545 patients with indications of MPN, 159 patients (29%) were positive for those 3 genes. Detection of an additional clinically significant mutation is more common in an NGS panel assay than single-gene tests owing to the multiplicity of genes tested. An additional 86 patients (16%) who were negative for those 3 genes were positive for pathogenic mutations in other genes in the panel. Of the 86 patients, 23 had mutations in genes that can aid diagnosis when found in combination with other diagnostic features (CBL, NRAS, and PTPN11) [1, 8, 36], 43 had mutations in genes with poor prognosis (ASXL1, EZH2, IDH2, NRAS, SETBP1, SRSF2, TP53, and U2AF1), and 29 had mutations in genes for which a therapy is available (BRAF, CSF3R, IDH2, KRAS, NF1, NRAS, PTPN11, and STAG2). In addition, of the 159 patients who were positive for those 3 genes, 84 patients had additional mutation(s) in 16 other genes. Among the

16 genes, 6 genes (*IDH1*, *IDH2*, *KRAS*, *NF1*, *NRAS*, and *STAG2*) are linked to available therapies in other diseases [27, 48]. Combinations of Jak inhibitors with other targeted therapies may be relevant for those patients who harbor additional mutations.

In contrast to single-gene assays, NGS allows assessment of co-occurring mutations that might have heterogeneity of response to targeted therapy and survival. According to the recent National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines, AML patients with *FLT3*-ITD and *NPM1* double mutation (AML *FLT3*-ITD+/*NPM1*+) are categorized as favorable and intermediate risk levels, depending on the allelic ratio of *FLT3*-ITD, for whom allogenic stem cell transplantation

double mutation (AML FLT3-ITD+/NPM1+) are categorized as favorable and intermediate risk levels, depending on the allelic ratio of FLT3-ITD, for whom allogenic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is not obligated. Loghavi and colleagues reported that AML FLT3-ITD+/NPM1+ patients with a DNMT3A mutation had shorter event-free survival compared to those in other mutation groups [49]. Similarly, recent studies have suggested that AML patients with concomitant DNMT3A R882+/FLT3-ITD+/NPM1+ mutations had a very poor prognosis, and allo-HSCT could moderately improve their survival [50, 51]. Our study cohort included 21 AML FLT3-ITD+/NPM1+ patients, 4 of whom had a DNMT3A R882 mutation. In addition, Ardestani and colleagues reported that *DNMT3A* R882 mutations alone do not affect the clinical outcomes of AML patients, but when accompanied by FLT3-ITD mutations, overall survival was reduced, even after allo-HSCT [52]. In our study, 5 of 26 DNMT3A R882-positive AML patients had FLT3-ITD. These results support the clinical utility of our assay for detecting mutations that can alter prognostic and therapeutic significance when they occur in combination.

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

Conclusions

We have developed and validated a 48-gene NGS assay that can detect SNVs, indels, and *FLT3*-ITD with high sensitivity and specificity. The assay detects variants with clinical significance from a substantial proportion of patients tested. The developed assay may be used to guide more precise and targeted therapeutic strategies, possibly leading better treatment outcomes for patients with myeloid neoplasms.

Acknowledgments

Authors thank Kevin Qu and Quoclinh Nguyen for technical assistance; Joseph Catanese and Feras Hantash for technical supervision; Andy Le, Trina Nguyen, and Suzette Utulo for clinical data generation; Lucas Xu for data query; Andrew Hellman and Melissa Smith for critical review of the manuscript.

References

1. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, Thiele J, Borowitz MJ, Clara DB, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. Blood. 2016;127(20): 2391-2405.

- 2. Tremblay D, Sokol K, Bhalla S, Rampal R, Mascarenhas JO. Implications of mutation
- profiling in myeloid malignancies-Part 1: Myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid
- leukemia. Oncology (Williston Park). 2018;32(4): e38-e44.
- 3. Döhner H, Weisdorf DJ, Bloomfield CD. Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N Engl J Med.
- 564 2015;373(12): 1136-1152.
- 4. Kennedy JA, Ebert BL. Clinical Implications of Genetic Mutations in Myelodysplastic
- 566 Syndrome. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(9): 968-974.
- 5. Barbui T, Thiele J, Gisslinger H, Kvasnicka HM, Vannucchi AM, Guglielmelli P, et al.
- The 2016 WHO classification and diagnostic criteria for myeloproliferative neoplasms:
- document summary and in-depth discussion. Blood Cancer J. 2018;8(2): 15.
- 6. Vannucchi AM, Lasho TL, Guglielmelli P, Biamonte F, Pardanani A, Pereira A, et al.
- Mutations and prognosis in primary myelofibrosis. Leukemia. 2013;27(9): 1861-1869.
- 7. Tefferi A, Lasho TL, Guglielmelli P, Finke CM, Rotunno G, Elala Y, et al. Targeted deep
- sequencing in polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia. Blood Adv. 2016;1(1):
- 574 21-30.
- 8. McClure RF, Ewalt MD, Crow J, Temple-Smolkin RL, Pullambhatla M, Sargent R, et al.
- Clinical Significance of DNA Variants in Chronic Myeloid Neoplasms: A Report of the
- Association for Molecular Pathology. J Mol Diagn. 2018;20(6): 717-737.
- 9. Kuo FC, Mar BG, Lindsley RC, Lindeman NI. The relative utilities of genome-wide,
- gene panel, and individual gene sequencing in clinical practice. Blood. 2017;130(4): 433-
- 580 439.

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

10. Duncavage EJ, Tandon B. The utility of next-generation sequencing in diagnosis and monitoring of acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes. Int J Lab Hematol. 2015;37(Suppl 1): 115-121. 11. Bacher U, Shumilov E, Flach J, Porret N, Joncourt R, Wiedemann G, et al. Challenges in the introduction of next-generation sequencing (NGS) for diagnostics of myeloid malignancies into clinical routine use. Blood Cancer J. 2018;8(11): 113. 12. Ng CWS, Kosmo B, Lee PL, Lee CK, Guo J, Chen J, et al. CEBPA mutational analysis in acute myeloid leukaemia by a laboratory-developed next-generation sequencing assay. Journal of Clinical Pathology. 2018;71: 522-531. 13. Griffith M, Miller CA, Griffith OL, Krysiak K, Skidmore ZL, Ramu A, et al. Optimizing cancer genome sequencing and analysis. Cell Syst. 2015;1(3): 210-223. 14. Spencer DH, Abel HJ, Lockwood CM, Payton JE, Szankasi P, Kelley TW, et al. Detection of FLT3 internal tandem duplication in targeted, short-read-length, nextgeneration sequencing data. J Mol Diagn. 2013;15: 81-93. 15. Au CH, Wa A, Ho DN, Chan TL, Ma ES. Clinical evaluation of panel testing by nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) for gene mutations in myeloid neoplasms. Diagn Pathol. 2016;11: 11. doi: 10.1186/s13000-016-0456-8. 16. Strout MP, Marcucci G, Bloomfield CD, Caligiuri MA. The partial tandem duplication of ALL1 (MLL) is consistently generated by Alu-mediated homologous recombination in acute myeloid leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95(5): 2390-2395. 17. Steudel C, Wermke M, Schaich M, Schäkel U, Illmer T, Ehninger G, et al. Comparative analysis of MLL partial tandem duplication and FLT3 internal tandem duplication

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

mutations in 956 adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2003;37: 237-251 18. Zwaan CM, Meshinchi S, Radich JP, Veerman AJ, Huismans DR, Munske L, et al. FLT3 internal tandem duplication in 234 children with acute myeloid leukemia: prognostic significance and relation to cellular drug resistance. Blood. 2003; 102: 2387-2394. 19. Leisch M, Jansko B, Zaborsky N, Greil R, Pleyer L. Next Generation Sequencing in AML-On the Way to Becoming a New Standard for Treatment Initiation and/or Modulation? Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(2): 252. doi: 10.3390/cancers11020252. 20. Rosenthal SH, Sun W, Zhang K, Liu Y, Nguyen O, Gerasimova A, et al., Development and Validation of a 34-Gene Inherited Cancer Predisposition Panel Using Next-Generation Sequencing. BioMed Research International. 2020; doi.org/10.1155/2020/3289023. 21. Li MM, Datto M, Duncavage EJ, Kulkarni S, Lindeman NI. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017;19(1): 4-23. 22. Carbonell D, Suárez-González J, Chicano M, Andrés-Zayas C, Triviño JC, Rodríguez-Macías G, et al. Next-Generation Sequencing Improves Diagnosis, Prognosis and Clinical Management of Myeloid Neoplasms. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(9): 1364. doi: 10.3390/cancers11091364.

23. Papaemmanuil E, Gerstung M, Bullinger L, Gaidzik VI, Paschka P, Roberts ND, et al. 624 Genomic Classification and Prognosis in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N Engl J Med. 625 2016;374(23): 2209-2221. 626 24. Lars Bullinger, Konstanze Döhner, Hartmut Döhner, Genomics of Acute Myeloid 627 Leukemia Diagnosis and Pathways. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2017; 35(9): 934-946. 628 25. Haferlach T, Nagata Y, Grossmann V, Okuno Y, Bacher U, Nagae G, et al. Landscape of 629 genetic lesions in 944 patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Leukemia. 2014;28(2): 630 631 241-247. 632 26. The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Genomic and Epigenomic Landscapes of Adult De Novo Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368: 2059-2074. 633 27. Tremblay D, Sokol K, Bhalla S, Rampal R, Mascarenhas JO. Implications of Mutation 634 635 Profiling in Myeloid Malignancies-PART 1: Myelodysplastic Syndromes and Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Oncology (Williston Park). 2018;32(4): e38-e44. 636 28. Shumilov E, Flach J, Kohlmann A, Banz Y, Bonadies N, Fiedler M, et al. Current status 637 and trends in the diagnostics of AML and MDS. Blood Rev. 2018 Nov;32(6):508-519. 638 29. Bean LJH, Funke B, Carlston CM, Gannon JL, Kantarci S, Krock BL, et al. Diagnostic 639 gene sequencing panels: from design to report—a technical standard of the American 640 College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). Genet Med (2019) 641 doi:10.1038/s41436-019-0666-z. 642 643 30. Garcia EP, Minkovsky A, Jia Y, Ducar MD, Shivdasani P, Gong X, et al. Validation of OncoPanel: A Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Assay for the Detection of Somatic 644 Variants in Cancer. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2017;141(6): 751-758. 645

31. Grossmann V, Schnittger S, Kohlmann A, Eder C, Roller A, Dicker F, et al. A novel 646 hierarchical prognostic model of AML solely based on molecular mutations. Blood. 647 2012;120(15): 2963-2972. 648 32. Lai C, Doucette K, Norsworthy K. Recent drug approvals for acute myeloid leukemia. J 649 Hematol Oncol. 2019;12(1): 100. doi: 10.1186/s13045-019-0774-x. 650 33. Hou HA, Tsai CH, Lin CC, Chou WC, Kuo YY, Liu CY, et al. Incorporation of 651 mutations in five genes in the revised International Prognostic Scoring System can 652 improve risk stratification in the patients with myelodysplastic syndrome. Blood Cancer 653 654 J. 2018;8(4): 39. doi: 10.1038/s41408-018-0074-7. 34. Migdady Y, Barnard J, Ali NA, Steensma DP, DeZern A, Roboz G, et al. Clinical 655 Outcomes with Ring Sideroblasts and SF3B1 Mutations in Myelodysplastic Syndromes: 656 657 MDS Clinical Research Consortium Analysis, Clinical Lymphoma Myeloma and Leukemia. 2018;18(8): 528-532. 658 35. Kwok B, Hall JM, Witte JS, Xu Y, Reddy P, Lin K, et al. MDS-associated somatic 659 mutations and clonal hematopoiesis are common in idiopathic cytopenias of 660 undetermined significance. Blood. 2015;126(21): 2355-2361. 661 36. Malcovati L, Gallì A, Travaglino E, Ambaglio I, Rizzo E, Molteni E, et al. Clinical 662 significance of somatic mutation in unexplained blood cytopenia. Blood. 2017;129(25): 663 3371-3378. 664 665 37. Cazzola M, Rossi M, Malcovati L. Biologic and clinical significance of somatic mutations of SF3B1 in myeloid and lymphoid neoplasms. Blood. 2013;121(2): 260-269. 666

38. Obeng EA, Stewart C, Abdel-Wahab O. Altered RNA Processing in Cancer Pathogenesis

and Therapy. Cancer Discov. 2019;9(11): 1493-1510.

667

668

- 39. Song J, Hussaini M, Zhang H, Shao H, Qin D, Zhang X, et al. Comparison of the
- Mutational Profiles of Primary Myelofibrosis, Polycythemia Vera, and Essential
- Thrombocytosis. Am J Clin Pathol. 2017;147(5): 444-452.
- 40. Schischlik F, Kralovics R. Mutations in myeloproliferative neoplasms their significance
- and clinical use. Expert Review of Hematology. 2017;10(11): 961-973.
- 41. Rumi E, Cazzola M. Diagnosis, risk stratification, and response evaluation in classical
- 675 myeloproliferative neoplasms. Blood. 2017;129(6): 680–692.
- 42. Mejía-Ochoa M, Acevedo Toro PA, Cardona-Arias JA. Systematization of analytical
- studies of polycythemia vera, essential thrombocythemia and primary myelofibrosis, and
- a meta-analysis of the frequency of JAK2, CALR and MPL mutations: 2000–2018. BMC
- 679 Cancer. 2019;19: 590. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-5764-4.
- 43. Maxson JE, Gotlib J, Pollyea DA, Fleischman AG, Agarwal A, Eide CA, et al.
- Oncogenic CSF3R mutations in chronic neutrophilic leukemia and atypical CML. N Engl
- 682 J Med. 2013; 368(19): 1781-1790.
- 44. Mascarenhas J, Hoffman R. Ruxolitinib: the first FDA approved therapy for the treatment
- of myelofibrosis. Clin Cancer Res. 2012;18(11): 3008-3014.
- 45. Tefferi A, Pardanani A. Myeloproliferative Neoplasms: A Contemporary Review. JAMA
- 686 Oncol. 2015;1(1): 97-105.
- 46. Schieber M, Crispino JD, Stein B. Myelofibrosis in 2019: moving beyond JAK2
- inhibition. Blood Cancer J. 2019;9(9): 74. doi: 10.1038/s41408-019-0236-2.
- 47. Vainchenker W, Leroy E, Gilles L, Marty C, Plo I, Constantinescu SN. JAK inhibitors
- for the treatment of myeloproliferative neoplasms and other disorders. F1000Res. 2018;7:
- 691 82. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.13167.1.

48. Economides MP, Verstovsek S, Pemmaraju N. Novel Therapies in Myeloproliferative Neoplasms (MPN): Beyond JAK Inhibitors. Curr Hematol Malig Rep. 2019 Oct;14(5): 460-468. 49. Loghavi S, Zuo Z, Ravandi F, Kantarjian HM, Bueso-Ramos C, Zhang L, et al. Clinical features of de novo acute myeloid leukemia with concurrent DNMT3A, FLT3 and NPM1 mutations. J Hematol Oncol. 2014;7: 74. doi: 10.1186/s13045-014-0074-4. 50. Huang Y, Hu J, Lu T, Luo Y, Shi J, Wu W, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia patient with FLT3-ITD and NPM1 double mutation should undergo allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in CR1 for better prognosis. Cancer Manag Res. 2019;11: 4129-4142. 51. Su L. The Impact of DNMT3A/FLT3-ITD/NPM1 on Patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia after Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. Turk J Haematol. 2019;36(1): 64-66. 52. Ardestani MT, Kazemi A, Chahardouli B, Mohammadi S, Nikbakht M, Rostami S, et al. FLT3-ITD Compared with DNMT3A R882 Mutation Is a More Powerful Independent Inferior Prognostic Factor in Adult Acute Myeloid Leukemia Patients After Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Turk J Haematol. 2018;35(3): 158–167.

Supporting information

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

S1 Table. Genes included in the 48-gene NGS panel.

713 S2 Table. Specimens used for validation studies. 714 S3 Table. Criteria used for specimen and variant quality control. 715 S4 Table. Summary of sequencing metrics for validation specimens (n=428,184 unique). 716 S5A Table. Inter-assay precision study variant concordance. 717 S6 Table. Specimens used for accuracy study. 718 S7A Table. Variant call concordance study using multiplex mutation control specimens. 719 S7B Table. GIAB reference specimen dilution study. 720 S7C Table. Positive specimen dilution study. 721 S7D Table. *FLT3-ITD* positive specimen dilution study. 722 S8 Table. Summary of discordant variant. 723 S9 Table. Analytical sensitivity for various % VAF for each variant type. 724 S1 Fig. % patient with mutation per gene for AML (A), MDS (B), and MPN (C). Pathogenic (Tier I 725 and Tier II) mutations were included. 726 S2 Fig. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) for CEBPA (A) and CUX1 (B) comparing target 727 capture performance between RNA bait (top panel) and DNA bait (bottom panel). Even coverage 728 distribution was achieved using DNA bait whereas low to no coverage was observed using RNA bait for

high % GC targets. % GC in 100bp window is color-coded.

729