Title:

Shift work dynamics and division of labor: honeybee foraging and fanning tasks

Authors:

Manuel A. Giannoni-Guzmán¹, Tugrul Giray² and Jose L. Agosto-Rivera²

Affiliations:

- 1. Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA
- 2. Department of Biology, University of Puerto Rico Rio Piedras Campus, San Juan, PR

Corresponding author: Manuel A. Giannoni-Guzmán

e-mail: manuel.giannoni10@gmail.com

Key words: shift work, honeybees, Apis mellifera, foraging, fanning, task

1 Abstract:

2 In complex societies common social needs such as vigilance, care giving, resource gathering, 3 and production are attended around the clock. In humans, these services are constantly 4 provided using a shift work strategy where different individuals, or groups of individuals 5 perform their tasks at different times of the day. However, shift work strategy in job 6 organization in other social organisms remains unclear. Previous studies in honeybees for two 7 jobs support shift work for only pollen foragers and not for nursing behavior. Here we examined 8 shift work dynamics for three types of jobs performed by honeybee foragers. Specifically, we 9 studied pollen foragers, non-pollen foragers and bees fanning at the entrance of the colony, a 10 job important for orientation and temperature control. Major features of the observed shift work 11 were: 1) individuals can be divided into early and late shifts; 2) there are constant workers; 3) 12 based on job, shift work is performed by fewer or greater number of individuals; 4) shift work 13 of an individual is plastic and may change with age: 5) foraging and fanning shifts are coupled 14 yet dissociable. This study adds to the findings that shift work is not exclusive to modern 15 human societies and that a natural form of shift work exists in honeybees. These results 16 suggest that shift work in honeybees is a feature of worker division of labor. Future studies 17 aiming to further understand the structure, function and mechanism of this natural form of shift 18 work in honey bees not only could have an impact on agriculture but also may provide insight 19 into alternative forms of shift work strategies that may reduce the various health problems 20 associated with shift work in humans.

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

28 Introduction:

29 Principles that organize social work are common across social organisms (Gordon, 30 2007; Oster & Wilson, 1978). Specialization, based on ontogenetic, morphological or genetic 31 mechanisms, occurs in many social species (Fjerdingstad & Crozier, 2006; Jeanson, Fewell, Gorelick. & Bertram, 2007; O'Riain, Jarvis, Alexander, Buffenstein, & Peeters, 2000; 32 33 Robinson, 1992). Parallel processes performed by multiple agents result in networks. 34 Networks of individuals can modulate behavior via feedback regulation, that may depend on 35 order of task performance, such as foraging followed by nectar unloading and storage (Craig 36 et al., 2012; Jeanne, 1986; O'Donnell & Jeanne, 1992) or based on chemical communication 37 such as pheromones or cuticular hydrocarbons (Inoshita, Martin, Marion-Poll, & Ferveur, 38 2011; Pankiw, 2004; Sagili, Pankiw, & Metz, 2011). Spatial organization confines activities to 39 specific locations, often enhancing the effects of other mechanisms that organize work (Jandt 40 & Dornhaus, 2009; Mersch, Crespi, & Keller, 2013). Temporal organization, restricts the 41 performance of a task to a specific time period of the day and may have molecular, cellular 42 and behavioral correlates (C S Pittendrigh, 1993; Roenneberg, Wirz-Justice, & Merrow, 2003; 43 Southerton, 2006). At the behavioral level, various temporal organization strategies have 44 emerged throughout history. Among them, shift work strategies have become a mainstay in 45 modern human societies (Folkard, 2003a; Pati, Chandrawanshi, & Reinberg, 2001). However, 46 shift work has not been studied extensively in social insects.

47 Shift work is a method of organizing individuals or groups to perform specific tasks at 48 different times of the day such that these tasks can be continuously performed (IARC Working 49 Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 2010; Pati et al., 2001). 50 Professions such as health care, emergency response teams (e.g. firemen), transportation 51 and food service use various shift work strategies to provide these essential services around 52 the clock (Adan et al., 2012). Although shift work strategies succeed by providing many 53 benefits for society and employers, there are costs at the individual and social level. 54 Catastrophes such as the Chernobyl nuclear meltdown, Three Mile Island and the Exxon

Valdez oil spill, have been linked to errors associated with shift work (Akerstedt & Wright, 2009; Folkard, 2003b; Klerman, 2005; Mitler et al., 1988; Pati et al., 2001; S. M W Rajaratnam & Arendt, 2001). Studies examining the relationship of shift work and health problems provide convincing evidence that misalignment of circadian rhythms is one of the key contributors to many, if not all, of the negative effects associated with shift work (Knutsson, 1989; Shantha M W Rajaratnam, Howard, & Grunstein, 2013). It has thus become important to study what strategies other social organisms, use to achieve their 24/7 needs.

62 In honeybees, colony structure is best defined by castes with clear division of 63 labor system with diploid queens and haploid males (drones) attending reproductive tasks, 64 while sterile diploid workers perform all other jobs associated with colony maintenance 65 (Robinson, 1992; Mark L Winston, 1987). Within workers, division of labor is an age-related 66 process, where workers perform a series of tasks from the moment they emerge as adults 67 and change tasks as they age until they begin foraging (~21 days of age) (Seeley, 1985, 1995; 68 Mark L Winston, 1987). The rate of division of labor in workers has been shown to be 69 genetically, behaviorally and hormonally regulated and as a result, individuals of the same 70 age can be observed performing different tasks (Giray & Robinson, 1996; Giray, Guzman-71 Novoa, et al., 1999; Huang & Robinson, 1992; Leoncini et al., 2004).

72 In the colony tasks such as brood care, cleaning cells, fanning and foraging are 73 performed throughout the day, or for extended periods of time. Whether individuals are 74 constantly performing these tasks or if they use shift work strategies has been asked. Previous 75 work examined if nurses used shift work or similar strategies to take care of the brood. Moore 76 and colleagues (1998) marked and observed task performance of individual bees and found 77 that brood care is performed throughout the day without specific timing (Moore, Angel, 78 Cheeseman, Fahrbach, & Robinson, 1998). This coincides with the idea that the brood 79 releases pheromones that make honey bee workers lose their circadian rhythmicity such that 80 they feed the brood (Moore, 2001; Niiland & Hepburn, 1985; Yair Shemesh, Eban-Rothschild, 81 Cohen, & Bloch, 2010; Spangler, 1972; Stussi, 1972). Based on these studies nurses take

care and feed the brood, in a similar manner as human mothers take care of new-born children,
around the clock. However, this finding in brood care may not extend to other jobs in the
honeybee colony.

Fanning behavior is a task that workers perform to regulate the temperature of the colony, release Nasonov's pheromone and mature honey (Seeley, 1995; Mark L Winston, 1987). A study examining thermoregulation of the colony, focusing of fanning behavior, found that colonies with a uniform genetic background (originated from one male) are less efficient at maintaining temperature levels compared to colonies with a diverse genetic background (J C Jones, Myerscough, Graham, & Oldroyd, 2004). However, whether bees use a particular strategy to organize fanning throughout the day has remained unexplored.

92 In the case of foraging, bees use the full daylight period in order to gather the various 93 resources that colonies need on a daily basis. Through the use of sun compass navigation (R 94 M Goodwin & Lewis, 1987; von Frisch, 1967), time memory (Moore & Doherty, 2009; Moore, 95 Van Nest, & Seier, 2011; B. N. Van Nest & Moore, 2012) and circadian rhythms(Bloch & 96 Robinson, 2001; Cheeseman et al., 2012; Eban-Rothschild & Bloch, 2012; Y Shemesh, Cohen, 97 & Bloch, 2007; Yair Shemesh et al., 2010), bees predict the availability of different resources 98 throughout the day. Individual workers can specialize in the collection of a specific resource 99 such as pollen, nectar or water (Fewell & Page, 1993; Robinson & Page, 1989; Seeley, 1995). 100 Studies examining the underlying factors of this resource specialization have found genetic, 101 neuroendocrine and behavioral differences between pollen and nectar specialists (Barron, 102 Maleszka, Vander Meer, & Robinson, 2007; Erber, Hoormann, & Scheiner, 2006; Giray, 103 Galindo-Cardona, & Oskay, 2007; Page & Erber, 2002; Scheiner, Barnert, & Erber, 2003; 104 Scheiner, Page, & Erber, 2001; Scheiner, Plückhahn, Oney, Blenau, & Erber, 2002; Scheiner, 105 Toteva, Reim, SÃ,vik, & Barron, 2014; Taylor, Robinson, Logan, Laverty, & Mercer, 1992; 106 Wagener-Hulme, Kuehn, Schulz, & Robinson, 1999).

107

In contrast to brood care, in a recent study, researchers captured incoming pollen

108 foragers in the morning and afternoon for four days and genotyped them with microsatellite 109 DNA markers (Kraus, Gerecke, & Moritz, 2011). They uncovered that a small percentage of 110 pollen foragers from specific patrilines were only captured in the morning, while foragers from 111 other patrilines were only captured in the afternoon. This finding suggests that some pollen 112 foragers make their foraging trips in shifts and this behavior is in part influenced by the genetic 113 origin of the individual (Kraus et al., 2011). Organization of shift work for pollen foraging and 114 potentially other jobs can be examined through direct observations as was done for nursing.

115 Here we present a comprehensive analysis of foraging and fanning behavior in 116 honeybee workers to determine the presence and organization of shift work. In this study, we 117 1) determined whether a shift work strategy is evident in pollen, non-pollen foraging and 118 behaviors and if so, 2) describe the behavioral characteristics of this shift work. We performed 119 direct behavioral observations at the hive entrance workers of an age cohort. Our central 120 hypothesis was that if foragers perform specific tasks in shifts then we would observe groups 121 of individuals performing these behaviors at specific times of the day. To address specific 122 characteristics of shift work we conducted our observations over most of the foraging life of 123 the age cohort. In this way, we could examine the degree of plasticity associated with shift 124 work and whether the organization of shift workers varies between different jobs. Lastly, we 125 examined possible relationships of the temporal allocation between foraging and fanning tasks 126 for each individual.

127 Materials and Methods

128 1. Observation ramp

A two-story hive with a naturally mated queen was fitted with an extended entrance ramp with a glass top measuring 45cm wide and 40cm in length (Giray et al., 2007). Briefly, to train the bees to the entrance ramp, we first installed the ramp without the glass top. Two days following the placement of the ramp a piece of glass of 5cm in length was lined with colored tape and placed in the ramp. The following days the length of the glass was slowly extended

until it covered the full length of the ramp. The glass top assured a narrow space within whichbees were unable to cover each other or walk upside down.

136 2. Bees

137 Honeybee workers were obtained from 2 healthy colonies (collection colonies) with a 138 naturally mated queen at the University of Puerto Rico Bee Research Facility at the Gurabo 139 Experimental Agriculture Station. From each of the colonies, we marked three groups of 500 140 bees (n=3,000 marked individuals) with a three-day interval between each marking group. To 141 mark, we extracted 2 brood frames with large numbers of capped brood in the afternoon. The 142 frames were gently brushed to remove the attending nurses and transported to our laboratory 143 incubator (Thermo Scientific Precision Incubator 815), where they remained overnight. Bees 144 that had emerged on the following morning were extracted and individualized by applying a 145 colored numbered tag in the thorax and a paint dot (acrylic, Testors[®]: TES1127TT, 146 TES1146TT and TES1172TT) in the abdomen identifying the Age cohort. After marking, bees 147 were placed inside of the colony that had been previously fitted with the observation ramp.

148

149 3. Observation periods

150 Observations were twice a day for 14 days, from 9:00-11:00 and from 14:00-16:00, in a 151 similar manner as in a previous study (Krauss et al., 2011). In addition, these periods were 152 chosen to prevent the overlap of foraging trips between observation periods. Researchers 153 have observed that the duration of foraging trips can range from 4-25 min on average but 154 foraging trips longer than 50 minutes have been recorded ((Mattu, Raj, & Thakur, 2012; Partap, 155 Shukla, & Verma, 2000; Singh, 2009; Wagner, Van Nest, Hobbs, & Moore, 2013). Before each 156 observation period began, a thin coating of petroleum jelly (Vaseline[®]) was applied to the glass 157 to prevent bees from walking upside down. Colonies were observed sequentially during the summer, in this manner colony 1 observations took place from May 25th – June 7th, 2012 while 158 colony 2 observations took place from June 28th – July 11th, 2012. During observation the 159

160 entry, exit and fanning behavior of each individual was recorded in a laboratory notebook with161 an accompanying time stamp, and later transcribed to JMP for data analysis.

During the 14-day observation periods, for colony 1, of the 1,500 marked individuals we were able to observe a total of 1,030 bees and recorded 5,102 individual observations. For the same duration, 535 of 1,500 marked bees were observed in colony 2 and a total of 2,698 individual observations were recorded. Observations for colony 2 took place during Puerto Rico's rainy season, and constant interruptions due to weather conditions may account for the differences in the number of observations. Our methodology allowed us to record, on average5 direct behavioral observation for each of more than a thousand individuals.

169 4. Morningness ratio

170 To establish if bees perform shift work for each of the observed behaviors (foraging trips 171 or fanning) we tabulated the number of observations during the morning observation periods 172 and afternoon observation periods for each individual. We then calculated the ratio of morning 173 observations over the total observations. This formula was modified from that previously 174 described and used by Moore et al. (1998). In this manner, individuals that mainly forage or 175 fan in the afternoon would have morningness ratios close to 0 (afternoon shift), while those 176 that forage or fan mainly in the morning would have a ratio close to 1 (morning shift). Similarly, 177 if individuals have no temporal preference for performing a specific task, they would have a 178 ratio close to 0.5 (no shift).

179 5. Foraging patterns

To answer if bees' preference to forage in the morning or afternoon changed as they aged, we examined each individual's foraging trip observations in scatterplots. We identified five foraging pattern phenotypes: morning; afternoon; morning-afternoon, who began in the morning and after some time switched to the afternoon; afternoon-morning, began in the afternoon and switched in the morning; and constant. For an individual to be included in a foraging pattern their observations had to span for a period of 6 days or more and the majority

of these had to have occurred within the 12-19 days of age to control for any possible biasfewer observations on an individual may generate.

188 6. Data Analysis

189 For both foraging trips and fanning behavior only individuals with 3 or more observations 190 were considered for data analysis. We also excluded individuals for whom all observations 191 were taken on the same day. Comparison of the observed frequency distributions of the 192 morningness ratio for foraging trips and fanning behavior, for each colony, was statistically 193 compared using chi-square goodness of fit with theoretical frequencies from a binomial 194 distribution that assumes no shift work (null hypothesis). To compare the observed 195 distributions of each colony (foraging trips or fanning) we utilized the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test 196 of distributions. Median test was used to compare the foraging pattern frequency distributions, 197 the mean trips taken, the probability of taking a foraging trip and the mean number of trips in 198 a foraging period. For the correlations of the foraging and fanning morningness ratios, pairs 199 of observations from the same day were tested with Kendall's tau association test. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software program JMP (SAS Institute 200 201 Inc.). Figures were prepared using GraphPad PRISM 6.00, GraphPad software, La Jolla 202 California USA and R (R Core Team).

203 **Results**:

204 Foragers use two temporal strategies to gather resources for the hive.

To determine if all foragers go out throughout the day or if groups of individual bees forage at different times of the day, we calculated the number of morning observations over the total number of observations (morningness ratio) for each forager. Since foraging in African-hybrids, such as the ones used in this study, can start as early as 11 days of age (Giray, Huang, Guzman-Novoa, & Robinson, 1999; M L Winston, 2003; Mark L Winston, 1987), we used the data observations from 17 days of age onward. Consistent with our hypothesis,

211 our results revealed that more than 40% of the individuals exclusively foraged either in the 212 morning or afternoon, now on referred to as shift workers (Figure 1A). In addition, to shift 213 workers, we also observed constant workers, which foraged both in the morning and afternoon. 214 To determine if the observed shift work ratios were significantly different from chance, the 215 observed distribution was compared with a theoretical binomial distribution that assumed the 216 absence of shifts. This comparison using Pearson's X^2 resulted in significant differences for 217 both colonies sampled, suggesting that groups of workers forage at different times of the day 218 (colony 1: Pearson's X^2 = 1009.53, p<< 0.01, n=227; colony 2: Pearson's X^2 = 647.73, p << 219 0.01, n=142). Statistical comparison using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical analysis was 220 also performed to compare the observed distributions of the sampled colonies. This resulted 221 in significant differences between the observed distributions of each colony (Kolmogorov-222 Smirnov two-sided test, D=0.1671, p=0.02), suggesting possible colony-colony differences in 223 shift work.

224 Further examination of our data set revealed that the number of observations between 225 shift workers and constant workers varied greatly. We hypothesized that constant workers, 226 who forage throughout the day, would perform at least twice the foraging trips than shift 227 workers, who only forage at specific times of the day. To test this hypothesis, we took into 228 account the number of observation periods, that constant workers would be observed in both 229 periods and the proportion of constant workers that were observed we predicted that constant 230 workers would be responsible for ~75% of the observed foraging trips. Consistent with our 231 prediction, constant workers account for more than 80% of our observed foraging trips, while 232 shift workers performed less than 20% of foraging trips observed (Figure 1B).



234 Figure 1. Exclusive shifts in morning and afternoon are present foraging task. (A) Frequency 235 distribution of observed morningness ratio for per cent of individuals (shaded bars) compared to a 236 theoretical binomial distribution (white bars) revealed that more than 40% of sampled individuals 237 foraged exclusively in the morning or afternoon as pointed as pointed by arrows for 1) colony 1 238 and 2) colony 2. Goodness of fit test revealed significant differences between the observed and theoretical distributions (colony 1: Pearson's $X^2 = 1009.53$, p<< 0.01, n=227; colony 2: Pearson's 239 $X^2 = 647.73$, p << 0.01, n=142). Comparison between the observed distributions of individuals for 240 241 each colony via Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-tailed test revealed significant differences between the 242 observed morningness ratio distributions (D=0.17, p=0.02). (B) Frequency distribution of 243 morningness ratio and the present of trips observed (shaded bars) reveals that less than 20% of 244 trips are made by foragers who exclusively forage in the morning or afternoon as pointed. 245 Comparison of each of the observed distribution with a theoretical binomial distribution (white bars) 246 revealed significant differences between the observed and theoretical distributions (colony 1: 247 Pearson's X^2 = 52.46, p<< 0.01, n=227; colony 2: Pearson's X^2 = 54.73, p << 0.01, n=142). 248 Comparison between the per cent of trips for each colony via the Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-tailed 249 test revealed significant differences each observed distribution (D=0.31, p<<0.01).

250

251 Shift workers within pollen foragers represent a small subset of individuals

252 Previous work presenting genetic evidence for shift work in foragers was restricted to 253 pollen foragers (Kraus et al., 2011). In our experiments we observed marked foragers in 254 general and were able to discern between pollen and non-pollen foragers. By separating 255 pollen and non-pollen foragers we found within both pollen and non-pollen foragers there are 256 individuals foraging in shifts (Figure 2). In the case of pollen foragers less than 10% perform 257 foraging in shifts, which is consistent to the genetic work previously published (Kraus et al., 258 2011). Conversely, 36% percept of non-pollen individuals exclusively forage in the morning or afternoon (Figure 2), suggesting that non-pollen foraging has a stronger shift worker 259 260 component than pollen foraging.



²⁶¹

262 Figure 2. Shift work allocation depends on foraging specialization were only 8% of pollen 263 foragers present a shift. A) Frequency distribution of observed morningness ratio for pollen 264 specialists of colony 1 (shaded bars) compared to a theoretical binomial distribution (white bars) of the null hypothesis. Goodness of fit test reveals significant differences between the observed 265 and theoretical distributions (Pearson's X^2 = 49.59, p<< 0.01, n=50). B) Frequency distribution of 266 267 observed morningness ratio of non-pollen specialists of colony 1 (shaded bars) compared to a theoretical binomial distribution (white bars) of the null hypothesis (Pearson's $X^2 = 382.41$, p < 0.01. 268 269 n=117). Pollen specialists compose less than 20% of individuals that perform foraging exclusively 270 in the morning or afternoon

271

272 Foraging shifts may change as bees age

273 Since division of labor in honey bee workers is a complex age based process (Seeley, 274 1985, 1995; Mark L Winston, 1987), we hypothesized that age-related plasticity may be 275 evident in worker shifts. Our approach to address this interest was to examine those 276 individuals for which data was collected over a 6-day time period. Our analysis described five 277 distinct behavioral patterns, which we sorted into different groups: 1) individuals that preferred 278 to forage during one of the periods (morning or afternoon), classified as static shift workers, 279 and 2) individuals that foraged indiscriminately in either period, classified as constant workers 280 (Figure 3 A). In addition, a third foraging pattern was observed, where individuals presented 281 a shift and after some time changed from that shift to the opposite and classified as changing 282 shift workers (morning-afternoon, afternoon-morning) (Figure 3A). Comparing the frequency 283 of each of the foraging patterns shows that constant workers represent more than 60% of the 284 observed foraging population (Figure 3B). We further studied individuals who changed shifts 285 to establish if there was a specific time window in the forager's life for this change and whether 286 the nature of this change in shift is endogenous or exogenous in origin. By establishing the 287 age at which each of the observed individuals changed shift we were able to establish the age 288 range that presents the highest probability a forager changes shift (Figure 3C). Our results 289 revealed that approximately 75% of individuals change shifts from 11-19 days of age, the early 290 stage of the individuals foraging life.





Figure 3. Shift work in foraging is plastic and can change with age. A) Examples of the 5 foraging patterns obtained from honeybee entry and exit data from entrance observations: 1) Static Shifts (Morning and Afternoon); 2) Changing shifts (Morning-Afternoon Afternoon-Morning); and and 3) Constant foragers. B) Proportion of individuals changing shifts (morning to afternoon or afternoon to morning) at different age blocks. No significant differences were found between the colonies. C) Foraging pattern distribution of sampled individuals. Non selective individuals makeup more than 60% of our sample group, 20-25% while approximately of individuals change shifts once during their life and around 15% of individuals have static shifts. Comparison between colonies via contingency analysis did not reveal significant differences.

316 *Fanning is performed in shifts*

317 While our results show that foraging is performed in shifts in honeybee colonies, 318 whether the observed shift work is endogenously driven or regulated by environmental factors, 319 such as flowers, was not distinguishable in our data set. For this reason, we analyzed if fanning 320 behavior at the entrance of the colony was done in shifts. Given the narrow regulation of 321 temperature in honeybee colonies we hypothesized that fanning behavior at the entrance of 322 the colony would be performed by some individuals in shifts and by others constantly 323 throughout the day. Consistent with this hypothesis, our results show that some workers 324 perform fanning in shifts, while others were observed fanning throughout the day (Figure 4). 325 Comparison between the theoretical binomial distribution for no shift work and the observed distribution via Pearson's X^2 resulted in significant differences for both colonies sampled 326 (colony 1: X^2 = 258.91, p < 0.001, n=45; colony 2: X^2 = 529.69 p < 0.001, n=22; Figure 4). In 327 328 addition, comparison between colonies via Kolmogorov-Smirnov test resulted in significant 329 differences between the observed distributions for the colonies (D = 0.346, $p \le 0.05$). The finding 330 that fanning is also performed in shifts and colonies differ in distribution of individuals, suggest that 331 shift work may be endogenously driven.



332

333 Figure 4. Exclusive morning and afternoon shifts are present in fanning task. A) Frequency 334 distribution of the observed morningness ratio for colony 1 (shaded bars) of fanning behavior in 335 the observation ramp. The observed distribution was compared to a theoretical binomial 336 distribution (white bars) that assumes no shift work. Goodness of fit test revealed significant 337 differences between the observed and theoretical distributions $(X^2 = 258.91, p < 0.01, n = 45).$ 338 B) Frequency distributions of the observed morningness ratio (shaded bars) and theoretical 339 binomial distribution (white bars for fanning behavior of colony 2. Consistent with the result from 340 colony 1, Goodness of fit test showed significant differences between the observed and the 341 binomial distribution (X^2 =529.69, p<<0.01, n=22). Comparison between the observed distributions for fanning behavior via Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-tailed test revealed significant differences 342 343 between the frequency distributions of each colony (D= 0.346, p < 0.05).

344

345 Endogenous relationship of foraging and fanning shifts

- 346 To examine how foraging and fanning shifts may be related we compared foraging and
- 347 fanning morningness ratio of individuals that performed both foraging and fanning during our

348 observations. This analysis resulted in a positive correlation between foraging and fanning shifts, 349 suggesting that shift in one behavior influences the shift in the other (Figure 5A). However, upon 350 closer inspection we observed that there were individuals had a shift for foraging but not for fanning 351 and vice versa. Individuals that present shifts in both foraging and fanning behavior or lacked shifts 352 were classified as presenting a non-dissociable shift, while individuals with shift in either foraging 353 or fanning behavior were classified as dissociable shifts. By doing this we found that ~30% of 354 individuals present a dissociable shift (Figure 5B). These results suggest that foraging and fanning 355 shifts are processes that are connected yet dissociable.



356

Figure 5. Foraging and fanning shifts are coupled yet dissociable behaviors. A) Pearson correlation of foraging and fanning morningness ratios for individuals that performed both tasks resulted in a positive correlation (R²=0.57, p<<0.01, n=46). The size of the dots is representative of the number of individuals in each data point. **B)** Per cent of individuals who's foraging and fanning correlates (coupled) compared with those that do not correlate (dissociated).

363

364 **Discussion**:

- 365 The most significant finding of this study is that different shift work strategies contribute
- to the organization of different jobs in the honeybee colony. Foraging bees take advantage of
- the full daylight period to collect resources for the colony (Moore & Doherty, 2009; Moore &
- Rankin, 1983; Byron N. Van Nest & Moore, 2012; von Frisch, 1967; Wagner et al., 2013; Mark

369 L Winston, 1987). Before our findings, it was not clear if foraging was performed continuously 370 by each individual throughout the day or if distinct sub-groups (shifts) foraged at different times 371 of the day. Here we show that both of these strategies are present in honey bee colonies, i.e. 372 there are foragers that constantly work throughout the daylight period (Constant workers) and 373 groups of foragers that only work in the morning or the afternoon (Shift workers) (Figure 1). In 374 addition, we characterize various features of the honeybee shift work strategy. We observed 375 that the demography of shift workers varies from task to task (Figure 2) and can be divided 376 into individuals that maintain the same shift as they age, and those that change from one shift 377 period to another (Figure 3). We also show that fanning, another task performed by workers, 378 has a similar composition, with some individuals performing the job constantly throughout the 379 day, and others doing so in shifts (Figure 4). Interestingly, around 60% of individuals, that were 380 observed foraging and fanning, showed the same shift for both behaviors (Figure 5), 381 suggesting that the shifts are coupled yet dissociable from one another.

382 We found that more than 40% of the individuals perform foraging trips exclusively in 383 either the morning or the afternoon, while the remaining individuals (constant workers) forage 384 throughout the daylight period (Figure 1). A previous study exploring the temporal organization 385 of brood care found that nurses work around the clock (Moore et al., 1998). Their finding is 386 consistent with the lack of circadian rhythmicity of nurses in the colony and the constant 387 demand of brood care, regulated by brood pheromones (Yair Shemesh et al., 2010). In 388 contrast, foragers are thought to rely on their circadian rhythms and time memory to 389 successfully collect different resources and return to the colony (Moore & Doherty, 2009; 390 Byron N. Van Nest & Moore, 2012; Wagner et al., 2013). The presence of both types of 391 foragers (constant, shift workers) may be adaptive to the colony, and it could potentially result 392 in the daylight period being more efficiently utilized by foragers.

Consistent with our hypothesis that the majority of foraging would be performed by constant workers, our results show that constant workers perform the majority (~80%) of foraging trips (Figure 1). We expected that constant workers would perform at least twice the

396 number of foraging trips than shift workers. By taking into account that we had 2 observation 397 periods, that constant workers will be observed at both periods, and the proportion of shift 398 workers and constant workers in our sample, we expected that constant workers would 399 perform ~75% of foraging trips. Since the predicted proportion of trips was similar to the predicted value (75% predicted vs. 80% obtained), the observed differences in workload 400 401 between shift workers and constant workers can be accredited to 1) the higher proportion of 402 constant workers and 2) the two potential observation periods for constant workers. It is likely 403 that shift work was not uncovered directly until now since the majority of studies examining 404 foragers at the colony or in artificial feeders make observations throughout the day, and until 405 recently did not identify each individual. This combined with the low percentage of foraging 406 flights taken by shift workers would significantly reduce the probability of collecting and 407 observing shift workers in previous experimental setups.

408 Since honey bee foragers match their foraging activity to the time when the resource 409 they are collecting is at the peak of production and establish a time memory of this event that 410 allows them to anticipate resource availability (Moore & Doherty, 2009; Moore & Rankin, 1983; 411 Moore, Siegfried, Wilson, & Rankin, 1989; Moore et al., 2011; Byron N. Van Nest & Moore, 412 2012; Wagner et al., 2013), it is possible that shift workers and constant workers visit groups 413 of resources that are available at different times during the day. Evidence supporting this 414 comes from the fact that, the temporal availability and duration of a resource, such as nectar 415 or pollen, varies from flower to flower (Kleber, 1935; Linnaeus, 1755; Parker, 1926; von Buttel-416 Reepen, 1903). In addition, bees foraging to a food source that is available at noon or late in 417 the afternoon have been shown to scout the food source on average up to 4 hours, prior to 418 the resource availability on earlier days (Moore & Doherty, 2009; Moore & Rankin, 1993; 419 Moore et al., 1989). Furthermore, once the resource a forager is exploiting closes for the day, 420 the forager goes into the hive and does not take additional foraging flights for the day (Körner, 421 1940; Moore et al., 1989; Seeley, 1995; von Buttel-Reepen, 1903; von Frisch, 1940). It is 422 possible that constant workers in our study are foraging to food sources available early in the

423 afternoon, while afternoon shift workers are foraging to food source available in the late 424 evening, but further studies are needed to test this hypothesis.

425 Alternatively, constant foragers could be classified as reticent foragers, who wait in the 426 dance floor for a food source to be announced and forage as recruited by other individuals 427 (Moore et al., 2011; Wagner et al., 2013). Another possibility is that the observed shift work 428 strategy stems from the availability of stable food sources around the colony. In this scenario, 429 foragers could specialize to more efficiently exploit a particular food source at its highest 430 production point of the day, thus encouraging a shift work strategy. In contrast, a habitat where 431 resources are scarce and constantly changing would foster foragers taking foraging trips at all 432 times. Evidence for this notion stems from studies of different honey bee subspecies in Turkey, 433 where Apis mellifera syriaca, which originate from an arid habitat with mild winters, presented 434 higher flower fidelity than A.m. carnica and A.m. caucasica, which inhabit mountain regions 435 with cold winters and short summers (Cakmak et al., 2010). Since the experiments in the 436 previous study were performed in the same location it is likely that flower fidelity has a genetic 437 component and this component may play a role in the shift work strategy that we observe in 438 the current study.

439 Genotyping efforts by Kraus and colleagues (2011) suggested that shift work might be 440 present and strongly affected by patrilineal genotype. Our findings are consistent with their 441 measures, as pollen foragers with shift make up approximately 8% of the observed pollen 442 specialists (Figure 2A). In contrast, approximately 36% of non-pollen foragers observed 443 presented either a morning or afternoon shift (Figure 2B). This difference in the proportion of 444 shift workers could be the result of intrinsic factors that differentiate pollen and non-pollen 445 foragers, environmental factors such as resource availability or a combination of both. Studies 446 examining resource specialization in foragers demonstrate intrinsic differences between 447 pollen and nectar foragers, such as genetic background, sucrose responsiveness, phototaxis and octopamine titters (Barron et al., 2007; Erber et al., 2006; Giray et al., 2007; Page & Erber, 448 449 2002; Scheiner et al., 2003, 2001, 2002, 2014; Taylor et al., 1992; Wagener-Hulme et al.,

450 1999). Given the similarity of proportion of shift work in this and the Kraus et. al study, it is 451 likely that shift work in foraging may be dependent on foraging specialization. Alternatively, 452 since pollen and nectar availability varies throughout the day from one flower to another (R.M. 453 Goodwin, 1986; Linnaeus, 1755; Nakamura & Seeley, 2006; Stone, Willmer, & Alexandra 454 Rowe, 1998), it is possible that the difference between pollen and non-pollen foragers stems 455 from the availability of the particular resource a forager exploits. Future studies will examine 456 how resource availability affects foraging timing and strategies and will explore if differences 457 in patrilineal origin of non-pollen foragers influences their foraging shift.

458 We found that a group of individuals may begin foraging in either the morning or 459 afternoon shift and over time switch shifts (Figure 3A). This switch is more probable to occur 460 early in the foraging life (Figure 3C). This mechanism may be linked to epigenetic, hormonal, 461 developmental or morphological changes occurring after the onset of foraging behavior 462 (Brown, Napper, & Mercer, 2004; Farris, Robinson, & Fahrbach, 2001; Withers, Fahrbach, & 463 Robinson, 1995). Since honeybee colonies need to constantly adapt to changes in the outside 464 environment and resource availability, having a foraging force that can adjust at a moment's notice may result in a constant flow of resources into the colony. Alternatively, it is possible 465 466 that changes in the timing of foraging result from the disappearance of the resource the bee 467 was exploiting, causing her to visit a new resource that may be available at a different time. 468 Although much work remains to be done, both of these scenarios are consistent with the idea 469 that shift work may be plastic and thus adopts to the colony's constant needs.

While our findings show that some individuals perform foraging in shifts, our direct observations of foraging behavior cannot determine if shifts are intrinsic or a function of external factors. While assaying foraging we also observed fanning at the entrance of the colony. To our surprise, we found that some individuals fanned exclusively in the morning or afternoon, while others had no preference for a specific shift (Figure 4). The observed shifts in fanning suggest that shift work may have one or more intrinsic drivers. One of these drivers may be genetic variation among individuals in the colony, as previously described for pollen

477 foragers (Kraus et al., 2011). Previous studies looking at genetic variation within fanning bees 478 found that colonies with natural genetic variation have a more rigorous control of temperature 479 inside of the colony (via fanning) in comparison with colonies that originate from a single 480 artificially inseminated queen (J C Jones et al., 2004; Julia C Jones, Nanork, & Oldroyd, 2007; 481 Su et al., 2007). Furthermore, evidence suggests that genetic variation in the colony increases 482 overall colony fitness (Mattila & Seeley, 2007). Taken together, our data on fanning task and 483 that of previous studies, it is possible that shift work in honeybees has one or more intrinsic 484 mechanisms driving it. If this driver or drivers have a genetic component, the study of single-485 cohort colonies may result in the loss of one or both shifts in foraging and fanning tasks.

486 Since some of the marked individuals we observed foraging also fanned, we explored 487 the potential relationship of shift work between these tasks. Our results revealed that while a 488 proportion of individuals (30%) perform foraging and fanning behaviors at different time 489 periods, the remaining individuals presented the same shift for both foraging and fanning 490 (Figure 5). This suggests that while these tasks may share a relationship with regards to shifts, 491 they can be dissociated from one another (Figure 5B). This difference between foraging and 492 fanning shifts could be explained by differences in the influences of endogenous (genetic 493 background, life stage) and exogenous factors (light, temperature, resource availability, colony 494 needs). Previous work done using the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster, uncovered 495 experimental proof of the multiple circadian oscillator hypothesis originally proposed by Dan 496 and Pittendrigh (Colin S. Pittendrigh & Daan, 1976; Stoleru, Peng, Agosto-Rivera, & Rosbash, 497 2004). This hypothesis states that complex multicellular organisms possess various 498 independent or loosely coupled circadian pacemakers (C. S. Pittendrigh, 1972). In the case 499 of the fruit fly researchers uncovered that different cells were responsible for the morning and 500 evening activity peaks in locomotor behavior (Stoleru et al., 2004). Similarly, we hypothesize 501 that each task (foraging and fanning) is under a set of different circadian oscillators and while 502 the oscillators may be in synchrony in some individuals, this may vary across individuals.

503 Based on our observation of a shift work strategy in foraging and fanning tasks, we 504 posit that the use of this strategy may confer various benefits to honeybee colonies. The use 505 of shift work in foraging will allow the colony to take advantage of stable resources available 506 throughout the day. Constant workers could enhance the efficiency of shift workers by being 507 ready to forage when a food source is announced, thus increasing the number of foraging 508 flights to the particular food source. Although having shift work can provide a number of 509 benefits to the hive, it may have negative effects on the individual. For example, if we presume 510 that phase differences in the circadian clock underlie the observed shift work, then some 511 individuals may be desynchronized with respect to environmental cycles. A number of studies 512 in humans have shown that individuals with evening chronotypes have increased susceptibility 513 to a number of disorders such as circadian misalignment, cancer and depression (Adan et al., 514 2012; Antunes, Levandovski, Dantas, Caumo, & Hidalgo, 2010; Davis & Mirick, 2006; Dibner, 515 Schibler, & Albrecht, 2010; Lépine & Briley, 2011; Reinberg, Touitou, Lewy, & Mechkouri, 516 2010). In the case of honeybees, shift work could potentially have negative effects on the 517 individual workers. Future studies will look at dissecting the relationship between shift work in 518 foraging and fanning behavior and circadian rhythms in bees (Giannoni-Guzmán et al., 2014).

519 In conclusion, this study shows for the first time direct behavioral evidence of shift work 520 strategy being used in foraging and fanning tasks in honeybee colonies and characterize 521 behavioral components of this shift work strategy. These findings reveal yet a new layer of 522 social and temporal organization of honeybee colonies. Future studies may aim to understand 523 the specific genetic components and neural mechanisms underlying shift work. Since 524 honeybees use their endogenous circadian clock to predict time of day, the relationship 525 between circadian rhythms and shift work is an area of great interest. Studying this relationship 526 may eventually provide clues on how to attack the negative consequences of imposed shift 527 work in humans.

528

529 Acknowledgements:

530	We would like to thank honeybee specialist Gabriel Diaz for honeybee colony care. Dr.
531	Arian Avalos, Emmanuel Rivera, Liz Hernandez, Jonathan Aleman-Rios and Carlos Vazquez
532	for help with the experiments. Thanks to Dr. Arian Avalos and Luis de Jesus for their
533	comments and suggestions. We would also like to recognize the director, Manuel Diaz and
534	the personnel of the Gurabo Experimental Agriculture Station of the University of Puerto Rico
535	at Mayaguez for use of facilities at "Casa Amarilla". This work was sponsored by the National
536	Science Foundation (NSF) awards 1026560, 1633184, 1707355 and the National Institute of
537	Health (NIH) 2R25GM061151-13, P20GM103475.
538	
539	
540	
541	
542	
543	
544	
545	
546	
547	
548	
549	

550 **References**:

- Adan, A., Archer, S. N., Hidalgo, M. P., Di Milia, L., Natale, V., & Randler, C. (2012). Circadian
 typology: a comprehensive review. *Chronobiology International*, 29(9), 1153–1175.
 http://doi.org/10.3109/07420528.2012.719971
- 554Akerstedt, T., & Wright, K. P. (2009). Sleep Loss and Fatigue in Shift Work and Shift Work555Disorder.SleepMedicineClinics,4(2),257–271.556http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsmc.2009.03.001
- Antunes, L. C., Levandovski, R., Dantas, G., Caumo, W., & Hidalgo, M. P. (2010). Obesity
 and shift work: chronobiological aspects. *Nutr Res Rev*, 23(1), 155–168.
 http://doi.org/10.1017/S0954422410000016
- Barron, A. B., Maleszka, R., Vander Meer, R. K., & Robinson, G. E. (2007). Octopamine
 modulates honey bee dance behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*of the United States of America, 104(5), 1703–7.
 http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0610506104
- Bloch, G., & Robinson, G. E. (2001). Chronobiology. Reversal of honeybee behavioural
 rhythms. *Nature*, *410*(6832), 1048. http://doi.org/10.1038/35074183
- Brown, S. M., Napper, R. M., & Mercer, A. R. (2004). Foraging experience, glomerulus volume,
 and synapse number: A stereological study of the honey bee antennal lobe. *Journal of Neurobiology*, 60(1), 40–50. http://doi.org/10.1002/neu.20002
- Cakmak, I., Song, D. S., Mixson, T. A., Serrano, E., Clement, M. L., Savitski, A., ... Wells, H.
 (2010). Foraging response of turkish honey bee subspecies to flower color choices and
 reward consistency. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 23(2), 100–116.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-009-9199-7
- 573 Cheeseman, J. F., Winnebeck, E. C., Millar, C. D., Kirkland, L. S., Sleigh, J., Goodwin, M., ...
 574 Warman, G. R. (2012). General anesthesia alters time perception by phase shifting the
 575 circadian clock. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*576 of America, 109(18), 7061–7066. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1201734109
- 577 Craig, D. P. A., Grice, J. W., Varnon, C. A., Gibson, B., Sokolowski, M. B. C., & Abramson, C.
 578 I. (2012). Social reinforcement delays in free-flying honey bees (Apis mellifera L.). *PloS*579 *One*, 7(10), e46729. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046729
- Davis, S., & Mirick, D. K. (2006). Circadian disruption, shift work and the risk of cancer: a
 summary of the evidence and studies in Seattle. *Cancer Causes Control*, *17*(4), 539–545.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-005-9010-9
- 583 Dibner, C., Schibler, U., & Albrecht, U. (2010). The mammalian circadian timing system:
 584 organization and coordination of central and peripheral clocks. *Annual Review of* 585 *Physiology*, 72, 517–49. http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-021909-135821
- Eban-Rothschild, A., & Bloch, G. (2012). Honeybee Neurobiology and Behavior, 31–45.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-2099-2
- 588 Erber, J., Hoormann, J., & Scheiner, R. (2006). Phototactic behaviour correlates with gustatory

- responsiveness in honey bees (Apis mellifera L.). *Behav Brain Res*, 174(1), 174–180.
 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2006.07.023
- 591 Farris, S. M., Robinson, G. E., & Fahrbach, S. E. (2001). Experience- and Age-Related 592 Outgrowth of Intrinsic Neurons in the Mushroom Bodies of the Adult Worker Honeybee.
- 593
 J.
 Neurosci.,
 21(16),
 6395–6404.
 Retrieved
 from

 594
 http://www.jneurosci.org/content/21/16/6395.short
 from
 from
 from
- Fewell, J. H., & Page, R. E. (1993). Genotypic variation in foraging responses to environmental
 stimuli by honey bees, Apis mellifera. *Experientia*, 49(12), 1106–1112.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/BF01929923
- Fjerdingstad, E. J., & Crozier, R. H. (2006). The Evolution of Worker Caste Diversity in Social
 Insects. http://doi.org/10.1086/499545
- Folkard, S. (2003a). Shift work, safety and productivity. *Occupational Medicine*, 53(2), 95–101.
 http://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqg047
- Folkard, S. (2003b). Shift work, safety and productivity. *Occupational Medicine*, 53(2), 95–101.
 http://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqg047
- Giannoni-Guzmán, M. A., Avalos, A., Marrero Perez, J., Otero Loperena, E. J., Kayım, M.,
 Medina, J. A., ... Agosto-Rivera, J. L. (2014). Measuring individual locomotor rhythms in
 honey bees, paper wasps and other similar-sized insects. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, *217*(Pt 8), 1307–15. http://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.096180
- 608 Giray, T., Galindo-Cardona, A., & Oskay, D. (2007). Octopamine influences honey bee
 609 foraging preference. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, *53*(7), 691–698.
 610 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2007.03.016
- Giray, T., Guzman-Novoa, E., Aron, C. W., Zelinsky, B., Fahrbach, S. E., & Robinson, G. E.
 (1999). Genetic variation in worker temporal polyethism and colony defensiveness in the
 honey bee, Apis mellifera. *Behavioral Ecology*, *11*(1), 44–55. http://doi.org/Doi
 10.1093/Beheco/11.1.44
- Giray, T., Huang, Z.-Y., Guzman-Novoa, E., & Robinson, G. E. (1999). Physiological
 correlates of genetic variation for rate of behavioral development in the honeybee, Apis
 mellifera. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol*, 47, 17–28.
- Giray, T., & Robinson, G. E. (1996). Common endocrine and genetic mechanisms of
 behavioral development in male and worker honey bees and the evolution of division of
 labor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
 93(21), 11718–11722. Retrieved from
 http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=38124&tool=pmcentrez&rend
 ertype=abstract
- Goodwin, R. M. (1986). Kiwifruit flowers: Anther dehiscence and daily collection of pollen by
 honey bees. New Zealand Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 14(4), 449–452.
 http://doi.org/10.1080/03015521.1986.10423064
- Goodwin, R. M., & Lewis, D. (1987). Honeybees use a biological clock to incorporate sun
 positions in their waggle dances after foraging under heavy overcast skies. *New Zeland*

629 *Entomologist*, *10*, 138–140.

- 630 Gordon, D. M. (2007). Control without hierarchy. *Nature*, *446*(7132), 143. 631 http://doi.org/10.1038/446143a
- Huang, Z. Y., & Robinson, G. E. (1992). Honeybee colony integration: worker-worker
 interactions mediate hormonally regulated plasticity in division of labor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 89(24), 11726–9.
 Retrieved
- 636 http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=50629&tool=pmcentrez&rend 637 ertype=abstract
- IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. (2010). Painting,
 firefighting, and shiftwork. *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans / World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer*, 98,
 9–764.
- Inoshita, T., Martin, J. R., Marion-Poll, F., & Ferveur, J. F. (2011). Peripheral, central and
 behavioral responses to the cuticular pheromone bouquet in Drosophila melanogaster
 males. *PloS One*, *6*(5), e19770. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019770
- Jandt, J. M., & Dornhaus, A. (2009). Spatial organization and division of labour in the
 bumblebee Bombus impatiens. *Animal Behaviour*, 77(3), 641–651.
 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.11.019
- Jeanne, R. L. (1986). The organization of work in Polybia occidentalis: costs and benefits of
 specialization in a social wasp. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *19*(5), 333–341.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00295706
- Jeanson, R., Fewell, J. H., Gorelick, R., & Bertram, S. M. (2007). Emergence of increased
 division of labor as a function of group size. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 62(2),
 289–298. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-007-0464-5
- Jones, J. C., Myerscough, M. R., Graham, S., & Oldroyd, B. P. (2004). Honey bee nest
 thermoregulation: diversity promotes stability. *Science*, *305*(5682), 402–404.
 http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1096340
- Jones, J. C., Nanork, P., & Oldroyd, B. P. (2007). The role of genetic diversity in nest cooling
 in a wild honey bee, Apis florea. *Journal of Comparative Physiology. A, Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology,* 193(2), 159–65.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-006-0176-8
- Kleber, E. (1935). Hat das Zeitgedächtnis der Bienen Biologische Bedeutung? Zeitschrift Für
 Vergleichende Physiologie, 22(2), 221–262. http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00586500
- Klerman, E. B. (2005). Clinical aspects of human circadian rhythms. *Journal of Biological Rhythms*, 20(4), 375–386. http://doi.org/10.1177/0748730405278353
- Knutsson, A. (1989). Shift work and coronary heart disease. *Scand J Soc Med Suppl, 44*, 1–
 36. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2683043
- 667 Körner, I. (1940). Zeitgedächtnis und Alarmierung bei den Bienen. Zeitschrift Für 668 Vergleichende Physiologie, 27(4), 445–459.

- Kraus, F. B., Gerecke, E., & Moritz, R. F. a. (2011). Shift work has a genetic basis in honeybee
 pollen foragers (Apis mellifera L.). *Behavior Genetics*, 41(2), 323–328.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/s10519-010-9382-9
- Leoncini, I., Conte, Y. Le, Costagliola, G., Plettner, E., Toth, A. L., Wang, M., ... Robinson, G.
 E. (2004). Regulation of behavioral maturation by a primer pheromone produced by adult
 worker honey bees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *101*(50), 17559–17564. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407652101
- Lépine, J.-P., & Briley, M. (2011). The increasing burden of depression. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 7(Suppl 1), 3–7. http://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S19617
- Linnaeus, C. (1755). Philosophia Botanica. 1751. IT Trattner Viennae.
- Mattila, H. R., & Seeley, T. D. (2007). Genetic diversity in honey bee colonies enhances
 productivity and fitness. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *317*(5836), 362–4.
 http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1143046
- Mattu, V., Raj, H., & Thakur, M. (2012). Foraging Behavior Of Honeybees On Apple Crop And
 Its Variation With Altitude In Shimla Hills Of Western Himalaya, India. *International Journal of Science & Nature*, *3*(1), 296–301.
- Mersch, D. P., Crespi, A., & Keller, L. (2013). Tracking individuals shows spatial fidelity is a
 key regulator of ant social organization. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *340*(6136), 1090–3.
 http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1234316
- Mitler, M. M., Carskadon, M. a, Czeisler, C. a, Dement, W. C., Dinges, D. F., & Graeber, R. C.
 (1988). Catastrophes, sleep, and public policy: consensus report. *Sleep*, *11*(1), 100–9.
 Retrieved from
- http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2517096&tool=pmcentrez&re
 ndertype=abstract
- Moore, D. (2001). Honey bee circadian clocks: behavioral control from individual workers to
 whole-colony rhythms. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, *47*, 843–857.
- Moore, D., Angel, J. E., Cheeseman, I. M., Fahrbach, S. E., & Robinson, G. E. (1998).
 Timekeeping in the honey bee colony: integration of circadian rhythms and division of
 labor. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol*, *43*, 147–160.
- Moore, D., & Doherty, P. (2009). Acquisition of a time-memory in forager honey bees. Journal
 of Comparative Physiology. A, Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral
 Physiology, 195(8), 741–751. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-009-0450-7
- Moore, D., & Rankin, M. A. (1983). Diurnal changes in the accuracy of the honeybee foraging
 rhythm. *The Biological Bulletin*, *164*(March), 471–482. http://doi.org/10.2307/1541256
- Moore, D., & Rankin, M. A. (1993). Light and Temperature entrainment of a locomotor rhythm
 in honeybees. *Physiological Entomology*, *18*, 271–278.
- Moore, D., Siegfried, D., Wilson, R., & Rankin, M. A. (1989). The Influence of Time of Day on
 the Foraging Behavior of the Honeybee, Apis mellifera. *Journal of Biological Rhythms*,
 4(3), 305–325.

Moore, D., Van Nest, B. N., & Seier, E. (2011). Diminishing returns: the influence of experience
 and environment on time-memory extinction in honey bee foragers. *Journal of Comparative Physiology. A, Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology*,
 197(6), 641–51. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-011-0624-y

Nakamura, J., & Seeley, T. D. (2006). The functional organization of resin work in honeybee
colonies. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 60(3), 339–349.
http://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-006-0170-8

- Nijland, M. J. M., & Hepburn, H. R. (1985). Ontogeny of a circadian rhythm in the cluster
 temperature of honeybees. *South African Journal of Science*.
- O'Donnell, S., & Jeanne, R. L. (1992). Lifelong patterns of forager behaviour in a tropical
 swarm-founding wasp: effects of specialization and activity level on longevity. *Animal Behaviour*, 44(6), 1021–1027. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80314-8
- O'Riain, M. J., Jarvis, J. U., Alexander, R., Buffenstein, R., & Peeters, C. (2000). Morphological
 castes in a vertebrate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 97(24), 13194–7. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.24.13194
- Oster, G. F., & Wilson, E. O. (1978). *Caste and ecology in the social insects*. Princeton
 University Press.
- Page, R. E., & Erber, J. (2002). Levels of behavioral organization and the evolution of division
 of labor. *Die Naturwissenschaften*, 89(3), 91–106. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12046634
- Pankiw, T. (2004). Brood pheromone regulates foraging activity of honey bees (Hymenoptera:
 Apidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 97(3), 748–51. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15279247
- Parker, R. L. (1926). The collection and utilization of pollen by the honeybee. *Mem. Cornell Agric. Exp. Stn.*
- Partap, U., Shukla, A., & Verma, L. (2000). Comparative Foraging Behaviour of Apis cerana
 and Apis mellifera in Pollinating Peach and Plum Flowers in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. *Conference, Kathmandu*. Retrieved from
 http://lib.icimod.org/record/22911/files/c_attachment_201_2648.pdf
- 737Pati, A. K., Chandrawanshi, A., & Reinberg, A. (2001). Shift work: consequences and738management. Current Science, 81(1), 32–52. Retrieved from <Go to</td>739ISI>://WOS:000169943800016
- Pittendrigh, C. S. (1972). Circadian Surfaces and the Diversity of Possible Roles of Circadian
 Organization in Photoperiodic Induction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 69(9), 2734–2737. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.69.9.2734
- Pittendrigh, C. S. (1993). Temporal organization: reflections of a Darwinian clock-watcher.
 Annual Review of Physiology, 55, 16–54. http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.55.1.17

Pittendrigh, C. S., & Daan, S. (1976). A functional analysis of circadian pacemakers in
 nocturnal rodents - V. Pacemaker structure: A clock for all seasons. *Journal of Comparative Physiology ??? A.* http://doi.org/10.1007/BF01417860

- Rajaratnam, S. M. W., & Arendt, J. (2001). Health in a 24-h society. *Lancet*, 358(9286), 999–
 1005. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(01)06108-6
- Rajaratnam, S. M. W., Howard, M. E., & Grunstein, R. R. (2013). Sleep loss and circadian
 disruption in shift work: health burden and management. *The Medical Journal of Australia*,
 199(8). http://doi.org/10.5694/mja13.10561
- Reinberg, A., Touitou, Y., Lewy, H., & Mechkouri, M. (2010). Habitual moderate alcohol
 consumption desynchronizes circadian physiologic rhythms and affects reaction-time
 performance. *Chronobiology International*, 27(9-10), 1930–42.
 http://doi.org/10.3109/07420528.2010.515763
- Robinson, G. E. (1992). Regulation of division of labor in insect societies. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 37, 637–65. http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.37.010192.003225
- Robinson, G. E., & Page, R. E. (1989). Genetic determination of nectar foraging, pollen
 foraging, and nest-site scouting in honey bee colonies. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 24(5), 317–323. http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00290908
- Roenneberg, T., Wirz-Justice, A., & Merrow, M. (2003). Life between clocks: daily temporal
 patterns of human chronotypes. *Journal of Biological Rhythms*, *18*(1), 80–90.
 http://doi.org/10.1177/0748730402239679
- Sagili, R. R., Pankiw, T., & Metz, B. N. (2011). Division of labor associated with brood rearing
 in the honey bee: how does it translate to colony fitness? *PloS One*, *6*(2), e16785.
 http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016785
- Scheiner, R., Barnert, M., & Erber, J. (2003). Variation in water and sucrose responsiveness
 during the foraging season affects proboscis extension learning in honey bees. *Apidologie*, 34(1), 67–72. http://doi.org/10.1051/apido:2002050
- Scheiner, R., Page, R. E., & Erber, J. (2001). The effects of genotype, foraging role, and sucrose responsiveness on the tactile learning performance of honey bees (Apis mellifera
 L.). *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 76(2), 138–50. http://doi.org/10.1006/nlme.2000.3996
- Scheiner, R., Plückhahn, S., Oney, B., Blenau, W., & Erber, J. (2002). Behavioural pharmacology of octopamine, tyramine and dopamine in honey bees. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 136(2), 545–53. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12429417
- Scheiner, R., Toteva, A., Reim, T., SÃ,vik, E., & Barron, A. B. (2014). Differences in the
 phototaxis of pollen and nectar foraging honey bees are related to their octopamine brain
 titers. *Frontiers in Physiology*, *5*. http://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2014.00116
- Seeley, T. D. (1985). *Honeybee ecology: a study of adaptation in social life.* Princeton
 University Press.
- Seeley, T. D. (1995). The Wisdom of the Hive. *Harvard University Press, …* Retrieved from
 http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:The+Wisdom+of+the+
 Hive#2
- 787 Shemesh, Y., Cohen, M., & Bloch, G. (2007). Natural plasticity in circadian rhythms is

mediated by reorganization in the molecular clockwork in honeybees. *FASEB*, 21(10),
2304–2311. http://doi.org/10.1096/fj.06-8032com

- Shemesh, Y., Eban-Rothschild, A., Cohen, M., & Bloch, G. (2010). Molecular dynamics and
 social regulation of context-dependent plasticity in the circadian clockwork of the honey
 bee. *The Journal of Neuroscience : The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*,
 30(37), 12517–12525. http://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1490-10.2010
- Singh, M. (2009). Foraging behaviour of the Himalayan honeybee (Apis cerana F.) on flowers
 of Fagopyrum esculentum M. and its impact on grain quality and yield. *Ecoprint: An International Journal of Ecology*, 15, 37–46. Retrieved from
 http://www.nepjol.info/index.php/ECO/article/viewArticle/1940
- Southerton, D. (2006). Analysing the Temporal Organization of Daily Life:: Social Constraints,
 Practices and their Allocation. Sociology, 40(3), 435–454.
 http://doi.org/10.1177/0038038506063668
- Spangler, H. G. (1972). Daily Activity Rhythms of Individual Worker and Drone Honey Bees.
 Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 65(5), 1073–1076.
- Stoleru, D., Peng, Y., Agosto-Rivera, J. L., & Rosbash, M. (2004). Coupled oscillators control
 morning and evening locomotor behaviour of Drosophila. *Nature*, *431*(7010), 862–868.
 http://doi.org/10.1038/nature02926
- Stone, G. N., Willmer, P., & Alexandra Rowe, J. (1998). Partitioning of pollinators during
 flowering in an African Acacia community. *Ecology*, 79(8), 2808–2827.
 http://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[2808:POPDFI]2.0.CO;2
- Stussi, T. (1972). [Ontogenesis of circadian rhythm in energetic consumption in bees]. *Archives Des Sciences Physiologiques*, 26(2), 161–73. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4650830
- Su, S., Albert, S., Zhang, S., Maier, S., Chen, S., Du, H., & Tautz, J. (2007). Non-destructive
 genotyping and genetic variation of fanning in a honey bee colony. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, *53*(5), 411–7. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2007.01.002
- Taylor, D., Robinson, G. E., Logan, B., Laverty, R., & Mercer, A. (1992). Changes in brain
 amine levels associated with the morphological and behavioural development of the
 worker honeybee. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, *170*(6), 715–721.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00198982
- Van Nest, B. N., & Moore, D. (2012). Energetically optimal foraging strategy is emergent
 property of time-keeping behavior in honey bees. *Behavioral Ecology*, 23(3), 649–658.
 http://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ars010
- Van Nest, B. N., & Moore, D. (2012). Energetically optimal foraging strategy is emergent
 property of time-keeping behavior in honey bees. *Behavioral Ecology*.
 http://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ars010
- von Buttel-Reepen, H. B. (1903). Die stammesgeschichtliche entstehung des bienenstaates
 sowie beiträge zur lebensweise der solitären u. sozialen bienen (hummeln, meliponinen,
 etc.).: Vortrag gehalten auf dem Zoologen-kongress in Giessen (1902). Stark erweitert,

- 828 *mit anmerkungen und zusätz*. G. Thieme.
- von Frisch, K. (1940). Die Tänze und das Zeitgedächtnis der Bienen im Widerspruch.
 Naturwissenschaften, 28(5), 65–69.
- von Frisch, K. (1967). *The Dance Language and Orientation of Bees*. Cambridge, MA.:
 Harvard University Press.
- Wagener-Hulme, C., Kuehn, J. C., Schulz, D. J., & Robinson, G. E. (1999). Biogenic amines
 and division of labor in honey bee colonies. *J Comp Physiol A*, *184*(5), 471–479.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/s003590050347
- Wagner, A. E., Van Nest, B. N., Hobbs, C. N., & Moore, D. (2013). Persistence, reticence and
 the management of multiple time memories by forager honey bees. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 216(Pt 7), 1131–1141. http://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.064881
- Winston, M. L. (1987). *The biology of the honey bee*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard UniversityPress.
- Winston, M. L. (2003). The Biology and Management of Africanized Honey Bees. Retrievedfrom
- http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.en.37.010192.001133?journalC
 ode=ento
- Withers, G. S., Fahrbach, S. E., & Robinson, G. E. (1995). Effects of experience and juvenile
 hormone on the organization of the mushroom bodies of honey bees. *Journal of Neurobiology*, 26(1), 130–144.
- 848