

1 What matters to patients?: a timely question for Value Based Care

2 Meron Hirpa^{1¶}, Tinsay Woreta^{2¶}, Hilena Addis^{3&}, Sosena Kebede^{4&}

¹ Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, United States of America

² Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, United States of America

³ Clinical Research Unit, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

⁴ Johns Hopkins Community Physicians - Remington, Baltimore, Maryland, United States of America

¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

& These authors also contributed equally to this.

3 **Abstract**

4 **Background**

5 Our healthcare system is moving towards patient-centered and value-based care models that
6 prioritize health outcomes that matter to patients. However, little is known about what aspects
7 of care patients would prioritize when presented with choices of desirable attributes and
8 whether these patient priorities differ based on certain demographics.

9 **Objective**

10 To assess patients' priorities for a range of attributes in ambulatory care consultations across
11 five key health service delivery domains and determine potential associations between patient
12 priorities and certain demographic profiles.

13 **Methods**

14 Using a *What Matters to You* survey patients ranked in order of importance various choices
15 related to five health service domains (patient-physician relationship, personal responsibility,
16 tests/procedures, medications and cost). Subjects were selected from two Johns Hopkins
17 affiliated primary care clinics and a third gastroenterology subspecialty clinic over a period of 11
18 months. We calculated the percentage of respondents who selected each quality as their top 1-
19 3 choice. Univariate and multivariate analyses determined demographic characteristics
20 associated with patient priorities.

21 **Results**

22 Humanistic qualities of physicians, leading a healthy lifestyle, shared decision making (SDM) for
23 medications and tests/procedures and knowledge about insurance coverage were the most
24 frequently ranked choices. Privately insured and more educated patients were less likely to
25 rank humanistic qualities highly. Those with younger age, higher educational attainment and
26 private insurance had higher odds of ranking healthy lifestyle as a top choice. Those with more
27 education had higher odds of ranking SDM as a top choice.

28 **Conclusions**

29 Identifying what matters most to patients is useful as we move towards patient-centered and
30 value based care models. Our findings suggest that patients have priorities on qualities they
31 value across key health service domains. Multiple factors including patient demographics can
32 be predictors of these priorities. Elucidating these preferences is a challenging but a valuable
33 step in the right direction.

34

35 **Introduction**

36 Health systems are moving towards a Value Based Care (VBC) model of service delivery which
37 focuses on health outcomes and cost containment.[1-6] One commonly accepted definition of
38 value-based healthcare is “the creation and operation of a health system that explicitly

39 prioritizes health outcomes which matter to patients relative to the cost of achieving this
40 outcome.”[5] A related care philosophy, Patient Centered Care (PCC) also highlights the
41 importance of addressing what matters to patients during their healthcare experience.[7-11]
42 One challenge in the measurement of patient experience is the difficulty of differentiating
43 among multiple overlapping terms like satisfaction, engagement, perceptions, priorities, values
44 and preferences.[12-14] Patient preference and value can also be highly dynamic and
45 dependent on several factors including patients’ health status, and personal characteristics
46 such as education level.[16-19]
47 Despite the limitations of patient-reported measures, patient surveys can provide helpful data
48 to identify patient preferences and values. That in turn can improve the delivery of patient-
49 centered health services, quality of care and outcomes.[12,16,20]
50 Patients value both the *technical* (quality of clinical care: such as provider knowledge and skill)
51 and the *interpersonal* (quality of communication: such as Shared Decision Making) qualities of
52 care.[22-24] Multiple studies identify patient-provider communication to be the most important
53 aspect of care that patients value for high-quality health care regardless of variations in socio-
54 demographic or health characteristics of patients.[4,17,25-27] Some evidence exists that when
55 choosing a primary care physician, the majority of patients have a strong preference for
56 physicians of high technical quality if forced to make a tradeoff between interpersonal and
57 technical skills.[19,28-30]
58 How value of the various attributes of healthcare may vary by certain patient demographics
59 and reasons for presentation in the ambulatory primary care setting has been postulated
60 before.[15,31] There is data that suggests low-income patients, those with a high prevalence of

61 psychosocial problems and those feeling unwell have a preference for good communication and
62 personal interaction when compared to their counterparts.[11,15,32] Some studies have shown
63 that older patients are less likely to prioritize good communication[11,19] whereas other
64 studies show that older patients at the end of life valued effective communication and trust of
65 the provider.[32]

66 There is limited research examining how patients would prioritize a list of desirable attributes
67 about specific aspects of their care, if forced to make choices. To our knowledge no study has
68 examined patients' priorities across key healthcare domains that we tested with concurrent
69 assessment of demographic associations.

70 In this observational study, we assessed patients' priorities for a range of attributes in
71 ambulatory care consultations across 5 domains: patient-physician relationship, personal
72 responsibility, tests/procedures, medications and healthcare cost and then examined potential
73 association between patient priorities with certain demographic profiles.

74

75 **Methods**

76 **Survey Development**

77 We developed a 5-question survey instrument, *What Matters to You*, using 5 key health service
78 domains: patient-physician relationship, personal responsibility, tests/procedures, medications
79 and healthcare cost. These health service domains were previously used to determine level of
80 shared understanding between patients and their physicians.[23,33-36] We asked patients to
81 rank in order of importance various choices related to the 5 domains (see table 2 and Appendix).

82 To determine whether priorities varied among subgroups of patients, we collected demographic
83 data including age, sex, ethnicity, highest level of education and type of medical insurance.

84 **Participants**

85 The subjects of this study were patients being evaluated at two Johns Hopkins affiliated primary
86 care clinics and a third gastroenterology subspecialty clinic: Johns Hopkins Community
87 Physicians-Remington (a primary care clinic in a suburban community in Baltimore), Johns
88 Hopkins Community Physicians-East Baltimore Medical Center (a primary care clinic in an urban
89 underserved community in East Baltimore) and Johns Hopkins Gastroenterology and Hepatology
90 Clinic (a gastroenterology outpatient clinic in a suburban community 30 minutes south of
91 Baltimore). The Johns Hopkins Institutional Review Board and the Johns Hopkins Community
92 Physicians Research and Projects Committee approved this study. All participants were advised,
93 verbally and in a written form, that their completion of the survey will serve as their consent to
94 be in the research study.

95

96 **Study Design, Sample Size and Data Collection**

97 From 7/1/2018-6/30/2019, a total of 338 patients were surveyed prior to seeing their physician
98 in clinic. A predominant number of patients surveyed (n=298) were primary care patients. After
99 patients were roomed for their visit, before seeing their physicians, patients were asked if they
100 would participate in a 5-10-minutes self-administered survey designed to assess their
101 preferences surrounding the healthcare service they receive.

102

103 **Measures**

104 Our main outcome measures were based on the participants' ranking of three to four important
105 qualities under each of the five domains in the order of their personal priority.

106 There are 3 specific outcome measures we looked at:

107 1) What specific qualities under each healthcare domain were most frequently ranked as the
108 number one choice.

109 2) What patients ranked as their second and third choices, as we recognized that we were
110 'forcing' patients to choose from a list of desirable attributes and wanted to assess whether there
111 would be clear "winners"

112 3) Patients' demographics as potential predictors of the most frequent top choice under each of
113 the five healthcare domains.

114

115 **Statistical Analysis**

116 Incomplete and erroneously completed questionnaires (n=112) were excluded from analysis.

117 Data from the accurately completed questionnaires (n=226, 196 of which were primary care
118 patients) were aggregated and analyzed using Excel and Stata 15.1. Some patients inadvertently
119 received a version of the survey that had 4 choices for question four instead of 5 and 4 choices
120 for question 5 instead of 3. Therefore, of the accurately completed surveys (n=226), an additional
121 53 and 95 surveys were excluded from analysis for questions 4 and 5, respectively. As a result,
122 when calculating percent respondents for questions 4 and 5, 173 surveys for question 4 and 132
123 surveys for question five were analyzed.

124 To assess which qualities were most important for patients under each of the five domains, the
125 percentage of respondents who selected each quality as their number one choice were

126 determined. Since patients were forced to prioritize among a list of desirable attributes, the
127 qualities that were ranked as the most frequent second and third choice were also determined.
128 For questions that had greater than or equal to four choices (Questions 1 and 4), the most
129 frequent first, second, and third choices were calculated while only the most frequent first and
130 second choices were calculated for questions that had three choices (Questions 2, 3 and 5).
131 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to determine whether patient
132 characteristics such as age, sex, race, education and insurance type were significant predictors of
133 the qualities most frequently ranked as number one for each of the five domains.
134 During analysis, for Question 1, the choices “Kindness” and “Efforts to connect with me as a
135 human being and not just as a patient” were combined under the heading “humanistic qualities”.
136 For Question 2, survey option “Learn as much as I can about my condition and be actively involved
137 in decision making” was categorized as “shared decision making”. For Question 4, survey options
138 “I want to know exactly what I am taking and why” and “I want to understand the side effects of
139 each medication thoroughly before accepting the prescription” were combined under the
140 heading “shared decision making”.

141

142 **Results**

143 **Table 1** shows the distribution of participants according to age, gender, race, education level
144 and health insurance. The mean age was 42.6 years. The study population was predominantly
145 female (77.9%); 54% had college or post graduate degrees, 45.9% had some college or below
146 education; and 74.1% were privately insured. There were about an equal percentage of Blacks
147 (41.6%) and Whites (44.7%).

148

149

Table 1 Demographics of Overall Study Population (N = 226)

Characteristic	N (%)
Age (years)	42.6* (19-83)
Sex	
Female	176 (77.9)
Male	50 (22.1)
Race	
Black	94 (41.6)
White	101 (44.7)
Other	31 (13.7)
Education	
High school or less	41 (18.1)
Some college	63 (27.9)
College graduate	32 (14.2)
Postgraduate degree	90 (39.8)
Insurance	
Medicaid	24 (10.9)
Medicare	27 (12.3)
Private	163 (74.1)
Other	6 (2.7)

150

151

*Mean (range)

152 **Table 2** shows the percentage of patient respondents who ranked each quality as number one
153 under each of the five domains. For question one assessing patient-physician relationship,
154 “humanistic qualities¹” (33%) was the most frequent number one choice while knowledge of
155 the physician and ability to explain things fully were tied at 23% as the second most frequent
156 top choice. For question number two assessing patient personal responsibility, leading a
157 healthy lifestyle (47%) was the most frequent top choice while shared decision making² (35%)
158 and following medical recommendations (18%) were the second and third top choices,
159 respectively. For question number three on tests and procedures, the most frequent top choice
160 was shared decision making (50%) while wanting all tests that could be helpful (43%) and only
161 wanting the absolute critical tests (7%) were the second and third top choices, respectively. On
162 question four assessing medications, shared decision making³ (80%) was the most frequent top
163 choice while wanting the absolute minimum medications (9%) and wanting any medication that

164 could help (9%) were the most frequent second choice. Wanting the freedom to try alternative
 165 medicine and herbal supplements (2%) was the least frequent choice. On question five
 166 assessing healthcare cost, knowing what insurance covers (57%) was the most frequent choice
 167 while knowing what charges are for (32%) and minimizing healthcare expenditure (11%) were
 168 the second and third choices, respectively.

169

170 Table 2 The percentage of respondents who selected each quality as top choice.

Patient-Physician Relationship N=226	Percent Respondents
Humanistic qualities ⁵	33%
Fund of knowledge	23%
Explaining things fully and in the way I understand	23%
Involving me in decision-making	8%
Being on time	7%
Spending adequate time with me	6%

Personal Responsibility N=226	Percent Respondents
Exercise, diet and lead a healthy lifestyle	47%
Shared decision making ⁶	35%
Follow medical recommendations given	18%

Tests and Procedures N=226	Percent Respondents
Shared decision making	50%
I want all the tests that could be helpful to understand my condition better	43%
I only want the absolute critical tests to be performed	7%

Medications N=173*	Percent Respondents
Shared decision making ⁷	80%

I want the absolute minimum that I need to take for my condition	9%
I want to take anything that can possibly help my condition	9%
I want the freedom to try alternative medicine and herbal supplements	2%

Healthcare Cost N=132**	Percent Respondents
I want to know what my health insurance covers	57%
I want to know exactly what I am being charged for	32%
I want to minimize my healthcare expenditure	11%

171

172 Combines respondents who picked the survey options “Kindness” and “Efforts to connect with me as a human
173 being and not just as a patient”.

174 ² Survey option was “Learn as much as I can about my condition and be actively involved in decision making”.

175 ³ Combines respondents who picked the survey options “I want to know exactly what I am taking and why” and “I
176 want to understand the side effects of each medication thoroughly before accepting the prescription”.

177 *Excluding 53 participants who were provided 4 choices instead of 5 choices for Question #4

178 **Excluding 95 participants who were provided 4 choices instead of 3 choices for Question #5

179

180 **Table 3** shows the top three most frequently selected qualities for each question.⁴ For question

181 one assessing patient-physician relationship, humanistic qualities was the most frequent first

182 (33%), second (24%) and third (30%) choice. For question number two assessing personal

183 responsibility, healthy lifestyle (47%) was the most frequent top choice while learning about

184 condition (38%) was the most frequent second choice. For tests and procedures, understanding

185 the importance of diagnostic tests was the most frequent first (50%) and second (39%) choice.

186 On question four assessing medications, understanding indication and side effects of

187 medications was the most frequent first (80%), second (57%) and third (41%) choice. For

188 question five on healthcare cost, knowing what insurance covers (58%) was the most frequent

189 first choice while understanding charges (43%) was the most frequent second choice.

190

191 Table 3 Top 1-3 qualities selected by respondents⁴

Questions	Most frequent first choice	Most frequent second choice	Most frequent third choice
-----------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------

Q 1 (Patient-physician Relationship) N = 226	Humanistic Qualities 33%	Humanistic Qualities 24%	Humanistic Qualities 30%
Q 2 (Personal Responsibility) N = 226	Healthy Lifestyle 47%	Learning about condition 38%	_____
Q 3 (Tests and Procedures) N = 226	Understand importance of diagnostic tests 50%	Understand importance of diagnostic test 39%	_____
Q 4 (Medications) N = 173*	Understand indication and side effects of medications 80%	Understand indication and side effects of medications 57%	Understand indication and side effects of medications 41%
Q 5 (Healthcare cost) N = 132**	Know what insurance covers 58%	Know what my charges are 43%	_____

192
193
194
195
196
197
198

⁴ For questions that had four or more choices (Questions 1 and 4), the most frequent first, second, and third choices were calculated while the most frequent first and second choices was calculated for questions that had three choices (Questions 2, 3 and 5).

*Excluding 53 participants who were provided 4 choices instead of 5 choices for Question #4

**Excluding 95 participants who were provided 4 choices instead of 3 choices for Question #5

199 **Table 4** shows univariate analysis for demographic predictors of the most frequent top choice
200 for each question (Q1: “humanistic qualities”; Q2: “healthy lifestyle”; Q3: “shared decision
201 making”; Q4: “shared decision making” and Q5: “knowing insurance coverage”). When
202 assessing patient-physician relationship, patients with college and above degrees and those
203 with private insurance were less likely to rank humanistic qualities as their top choice compared
204 to their references (0.55, CI 0.31-0.98 and 0.26, CI 0.11-0.64, respectively). For question two
205 assessing patient personal responsibility, those 45 and older were less likely to rank healthy
206 lifestyle as their number one choice when compared to those younger than 35 (0.20, CI 0.10-
207 0.41 and 0.29, CI 0.11-0.77). Participants who identified their race as “Other”, those who had a
208 college and above education and privately insured patients had higher odds of ranking healthy
209 lifestyle as their number one choice compared to their references (2.55, CI 1.11-5.87; 4.25, CI
210 2.28-7.91 and 8.42, CI 2.42-29.33, respectively). When assessing preferences on tests and

211 procedures, shared decision making was less likely to be ranked as a number one choice by
 212 those older than 65 (0.35, CI 0.13-0.99) but more likely to be ranked as a top choice by those
 213 with college and above education (2.01, CI 1.14-3.55) when compared to their respective
 214 references. With regards to medications, those who identified their race as “Other” had lower
 215 odds of choosing shared decision making as their top choice when compared to Blacks (0.24, CI
 216 0.08-0.71). We saw no significant predictors for question five that assessed healthcare cost.

217 Table 4 Univariate analysis for Predictors of Most Frequent Top Choice for Each Question

	Question #1 Patient-physician Relationship	Question #2 Personal Responsibility	Question #3 Tests and Procedures	Question #4 Medications	Question #5 Healthcare cost
Most frequent top choice	Humanistic Qualities	Healthy Lifestyle	Shared Decision Making	Shard Decision Making ³	Know Insurance coverage
	OR (95% C.I.)	OR (95% C.I.)	OR (95% C.I.)	OR (95% C.I.)	OR (95% C.I.)
< 35	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
35-44	0.80 (0.39-1.64)	0.53 (0.27-1.05)	1.48 (0.75-2.93)	0.44 (0.15-1.26)	1.04 (0.42-2.58)
45-64	0.69 (0.34-1.41)	0.20 (0.10-0.41)	0.97 (0.50-1.87)	0.38 (0.14-1.03)	1.08 (0.45-2.60)
>= 65	1.11 (0.43-2.88)	0.29 (0.11-0.77)	0.35 (0.13-0.99)	0.28 (0.07-1.16)	0.88 (0.27-2.83)
Sex					
Female	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Male	0.83 (0.42-1.64)	0.68 (0.36-1.29)	0.59 (0.31-1.13)	0.61 (0.26-1.41)	0.57 (0.25-1.30)
Race					
Black	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
White	0.90 (0.50-1.62)	1.71 (0.97-3.02)	1.55 (0.88-2.72)	0.63 (0.26-1.53)	0.65 (0.30-1.38)
Other	0.76 (0.31-1.83)	2.55 (1.11-5.87)	1.57 (0.69-3.55)	0.24 (0.08-0.71)	0.31 (0.10-1.04)
Education					
Some college Or below College and Above	1 [Reference] 0.55 (0.31-0.98)	1 [Reference] 4.25 (2.28-7.91)	1 [Reference] 2.01 (1.14-3.55)	1 [Reference] 1.03 (0.46-2.30)	1 [Reference] 0.51 (0.25-1.06)
Insurance					
Medicaid	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Medicare	0.49 (0.16-1.50)	4.12 (0.98-17.38)	0.42 (0.13-1.33)	0.79 (0.16-4.00)	2.98 (0.74-11.93)
Private	0.26 (0.11-0.64)	8.42 (2.42-29.33)	1.14 (0.49-2.70)	0.57 (0.16-2.08)	0.96 (0.38-2.44)
Other	0.71 (0.12-4.3)	3.5 (0.44-28.14)	2 (0.31-13.06)	-	4.58 (0.46-45.61)

218
 219 ³Combines respondents who picked the survey options “I want to know exactly what I am taking and why” and
 220 “I want to understand the side effects of each medication thoroughly before accepting the prescription”.
 221

222 **Table 5** shows multivariate analysis of demographic predictors of the most frequent top choice
 223 for each question (Q1: “humanistic qualities”; Q2: “healthy lifestyle”; Q3: “shared decision

224 making”; Q4: “shared decision making” and Q5: “knowing insurance coverage”).The lower odds
 225 of choosing “humanistic qualities” associated with private insurance compared to having
 226 Medicaid persisted here (0.21, CI 0.07-0.65) but higher education dropped out when controlling
 227 for all other demographic characteristics. In the personal responsibility domain, higher odds
 228 associated with private insurance and higher education (5.73, CI 1.36-24.27 and 2.98, CI 1.34-
 229 6.59 respectively) as well as the lower odds of older age choosing healthy lifestyle (0.23, CI
 230 0.11-0.51 and 0.32, CI 0.10-0.97) persisted compared to their reference groups respectively.
 231 When controlled for other factors, having “Other” race dropped out as a significant predictor
 232 whereas being on Medicare appeared to have significantly higher odds of association with
 233 choosing a healthy lifestyle compared to the Medicaid insured, although still with a very wide
 234 Confidence Interval (5.98, CI 1.24-28.93). For tests and procedures, having college and above
 235 education remained associated with higher odds of choosing SDM (2.30, CI 1.06-4.99)
 236 compared to lower educational attainment. In the Medication category having “Other” race
 237 persisted as having higher odds of choosing SDM compared to Blacks (0.16, CI 0.04-0.61). The
 238 healthcare cost category remained without significant association with any of the
 239 demographics we tested in both uni and multi-variate analyses.

240

241 Table 5 Multivariate Analysis for Predictors of Most Frequent Top Choice for Each Question

	Question #1 Patient-physician Relationship	Question #2 Personal Responsibility	Question #3 Tests and Procedures	Question #4 Medications	Question #5 Healthcare cost
Most frequent top choice	Humanistic Qualities	Healthy Lifestyle	Shared Decision Making	Shard Decision Making ³	Know Insurance coverage
	OR (95% C.I.)	OR (95% C.I.)	OR (95% C.I.)	OR (95% C.I.)	OR (95% C.I.)
Age (in years)					
< 35	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
35-44	0.84 (0.39-1.80)	0.49 (0.23-1.03)	1.47 (0.71-3.01)	0.33 (0.10-1.03)	1.24 (0.47-3.32)

45-64	0.61 (0.27-1.36)	0.23 (0.11-0.51)	1.24 (0.60-2.58)	0.27 (0.09-0.86)	0.74 (0.26-2.11)
>= 65	0.98 (0.33-2.93)	0.32 (0.10-0.97)	0.29 (0.08-1.01)	0.19 (0.04-0.1.02)	0.68 (0.17-2.66)
Sex					
Female	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Male	0.72 (0.34-1.50)	0.86 (0.42-1.77)	0.57 (0.29-1.14)	0.64 (0.25-1.61)	0.58 (0.24-1.42)
Race					
Black	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
White	1.84 (0.82-4.12)	0.67 (0.32-1.44)	1.56 (0.75-3.23)	0.65 (0.21-2.01)	0.84 (0.30-2.32)
Other	1.49 (0.51-4.30)	0.81 (0.29-2.24)	1.45 (0.56-3.77)	0.16 (0.04-0.61)	0.46 (0.12-1.85)
Education					
Some college or below	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
College and Above	0.66 (0.30-1.45)	2.98 (1.34-6.59)	2.30 (1.06-4.99)	1.72 (0.58-5.12)	0.47 (0.16-1.37)
Insurance					
Medicaid	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Medicare	0.42 (0.13-1.37)	5.98 (1.24-28.93)	0.46 (0.14-1.57)	0.96 (0.16-5.85)	3.36 (0.78-14.49)
Private	0.21 (0.07-0.65)	5.73 (1.36-24.27)	0.50 (0.17-1.48)	0.47 (0.09-2.53)	1.77 (0.53-5.90)
Other	0.81 (0.13-5.13)	4.74 (0.50-45.22)	2.97 (0.42-20.95)	-	6.71 (0.61-74.20)

242
243
244
245
246

³ Combines respondents who picked the survey options “I want to know exactly what I am taking and why” and “I want to understand the side effects of each medication thoroughly before accepting the prescription”.

247 Discussion

248 Our study showed that in the patient-physician domain, humanistic quality was the most
249 frequently ranked top 1-3 choice. This is consistent with other research findings which
250 document that patient-physician interaction is viewed by most patients to be a highly
251 important aspect of quality care.[4,17,23] The higher value our patient population seems to
252 place on their physicians’ humanistic over technical qualities such as the physician’s fund of
253 knowledge could be explained by the fact that this survey was conducted in the ambulatory
254 setting where a higher proportion of patients who may require significant emotional support
255 are seen, an association that has been documented before.[11,19] Another possible reason why
256 our patients showed a stronger preference for humanistic quality over technical quality is that
257 patients who come to reputable healthcare settings may assume that they will be cared for by

258 practitioners with superior technical abilities and hence tend to focus on their humanistic
259 qualities instead.[37]

260 Although humanistic qualities appeared to be a highly valued choice for the domain of patient-
261 physician relationship across the board, our uni and multi-variate analyses did show that the
262 odds of choosing humanistic qualities was much lower for patients who had higher educational
263 level (OR 0.55, CI 0.31-0.98) and or who were privately insured (OR 0.26, CI 0.11-0.64) as
264 compared to lower educational level and Medicaid insured, a finding that has been noted
265 before.[11,31] This may suggest that patients from lower socio-economic standing may have
266 reasons to prioritize humanistic qualities in their care providers either because they don't
267 typically encounter this quality or because they may have increased needs for it due to their life
268 circumstances.

269 In the personal responsibility domain, our findings of high correlation between prioritizing
270 exercise, diet and leading a healthy lifestyle over other qualities with younger age, and higher
271 educational attainment has been noted before.[38-41] This may be explained by the fact that,
272 younger people are more agile, and a higher socio-economic standing (implied by higher
273 educational attainment) may afford better access to healthy amenities as well as the fact that
274 higher socio-economic standing may also confer the psychological space needed for people to
275 prioritize healthy lifestyle over other concerns that may be at the top of their mind.[42-46] The
276 higher odds of choosing healthy lifestyle seen in our multivariate analysis for those who have
277 Medicare and Private insurance compared to the Medicaid insured (OR 5.98, CI 1.24-28.9 and
278 OR 5.73, CI 1.36-24.27) is a significant finding and may once again be related to access to

279 amenities in our patient population though this conclusion may carry less certainty for general
280 interpretation due to the high confidence intervals.

281 Shared decision making (SDM) was the most frequently ranked top 1-3 choice for both “tests
282 and procedures” and “medications” domains. The strong patient preference for SDM we found
283 confirms the similar finding that has been noted before.[47,48] Clinicians will need to pay more
284 attention to this aspect of care in the future as they will begin to see better informed patients
285 come prepared to engage in decision making rather than to passively receive physician
286 recommendations. The higher odds of choosing SDM by those with higher education in Q3 is
287 also consistent with the evidence that better informed patients are likely to value and engage in
288 SDM.[49,50] Explaining the higher odds we saw for choosing SDM for Q4 among those with
289 “other” race would require a sub-subgroup analysis that was not performed here. In addition,
290 loss of 53 surveys in this question may have reduced the power to detect other potentially
291 significant associations in this category.

292 A question that asks patients to indicate their preference for knowing what their insurance
293 covers and one that asks their preference to knowing what they are being charged for (two
294 choices for Q5) is potentially confusing as one choice could be seen as a subset of the other.
295 Despite that, it is clear that virtually all patients do care about the cost of care, especially the
296 portion covered by insurance and/or themselves. Only a minority of those surveyed (11%)
297 prioritized minimizing their healthcare expenditure which may indicate a related concept to the
298 common health economics observation of moral hazard- where insured patients (virtually all
299 our patients) may typically lack an incentive to prioritize healthcare cost minimization.

300 Our study has some weaknesses. The survey is liable to the inherent weakness of developing
301 similar surveys discussed in the introduction. We attempted to mitigate some of that by
302 designing it using similar survey concepts published previously,[33] and piloting the instrument
303 before rolling out the project. Our survey population was mostly privately insured females
304 which may limit the generalizability of some of our findings, but we have demonstrated
305 statistically significant results from our logistic regressions that is worth replicating in a larger
306 study to evaluate these findings. Incomplete and inaccurate completion of surveys that were
307 excluded may have caused selection bias in our patient samples in addition to reducing our
308 power in the analysis of results. Several patients who erroneously completed the surveys
309 ranked multiple choices equally. Although this may be due to our survey design needing more
310 clarity (as in for Q5) one of the inherent difficulty of accurately capturing patient priorities is
311 their unwillingness to trade between quality attributes, a finding seen in studies with discrete
312 choice experiments.[11] Given the move towards a patient centered model of care delivery, it
313 will be important for the future to develop a validated instrument that captures what matters
314 to patients in different settings.

315 Our study contributes to the growing body of evidence that patient centeredness and
316 understanding patient priorities are essential for value-based care. Our findings are in line with
317 other published studies that suggest that humanistic qualities,[4,17,23] healthy lifestyle,[38-41]
318 and shared decision making[47,48] are important. In addition, our results extend what is known
319 by showing that patients still prioritize these qualities even when offered equally attractive
320 alternatives, and these priorities are associated with certain patient level factors.

321 In conclusion, the delivery of effective and quality medical care requires understanding of what
322 most matters to patients. The task of deciphering the multiple factors that may affect patient
323 priorities for what they value is a real challenge and may be criticized for having biases related
324 to wording and context.[16] However, it is still a useful endeavor that can help clarify further
325 what we may be able to achieve in our move towards a Value Based Care model that
326 incorporates patients' experience.

327

328 **Acknowledgments** We would like to thank all patient participants who were surveyed. We also
329 thank Leah Jager, PhD for assistance with statistical analysis and Rachael Lebo for assistance
330 with literature review.

331

332 REFERENCES

- 333 1. Porter M, Pabo E, Lee T. Redesigning Primary Care: A Strategic Vision To Improve Value
334 By Organizing Around Patients' Needs. *Health Affairs*. 2013;32(3):516-525.
- 335 2. Porter M. What Is Value in Health Care?. *New England Journal of Medicine*.
336 2010;363(26):2477-2481.
- 337 3. Tseng E, Hicks L. Value Based Care and Patient-Centered Care: Divergent or
338 Complementary?. *Current Hematologic Malignancy Reports*. 2016;11(4):303-310.
- 339 4. Mohammed K, Nolan M, Rajjo T, Shah N, Prokop L, Varkey P et al. Creating a Patient-
340 Centered Health Care Delivery System: A Systematic Review of Health Care Quality From
341 the Patient Perspective. *American Journal of Medical Quality*. 2016;31(1):12-21.
- 342 5. Shah A. Value-Based Health Care, a Global Assessment. *The Economist Intelligence Unit*.
343 2016.
- 344 6. Putera I. Redefining Health: Implication for Value-Based Healthcare Reform. *Cureus*
345 [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.1067>
- 346 7. Patterson K. Why understanding what matters to the patient matters. *Journal of*
347 *Integrated Care* [Internet]. 2017;25(1):17-25. Available from:
348 <https://doi.org/10.1108/jica-08-2016-0027>
- 349 8. Wolfe A. Institute of Medicine Report: Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health Care
350 System for the 21st Century. *Policy, Politics & Nursing Practice*. 2001;2(3):233–235.
- 351 9. Picker NRC. Eight Dimensions of Patient-Centered Care. *NRC Picker*. 2008.

- 352 10. Blount A. Getting to Patient-Centered Care. Patient-Centered Primary Care [Internet].
353 2019. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17645-7_1
- 354 11. Little P, Everitt H, Williamson I, Warner G, Moore M, Gould C et al. Observational study of
355 effect of patient centredness and positive approach on outcomes of general practice
356 consultations. *BMJ*. 2001;323(7318):908-911.
- 357 12. LaVela S, Gallan A. Evaluation and measurement of patient experience. *Patient*
358 *Experience Journal*. 2014;1(1):28-36.
- 359 13. Brennan P, Strombom I. Improving Health Care by Understanding Patient Preferences:
360 The Role of Computer Technology. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics*
361 *Association*. 1998;5(3):257-262.
- 362 14. Dirksen C, Utens C, Joore M, van Barneveld T, Boer B, Dreesens D et al. Integrating
363 evidence on patient preferences in healthcare policy decisions: protocol of the patient-
364 VIP study. *Implementation Science*. 2013;8(1).
- 365 15. Fletcher R, O'Malley M, Earp J, Littleton T, Fletcher S, Greganti M et al. Patients' Priorities
366 for Medical Care. *Medical Care*. 1983;21(2):234-242.
- 367 16. Manary M, Boulding W, Staelin R, Glickman S. 2013. The Patient Experience and Health
368 Outcomes. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2013;368(3):201-203.
- 369 17. Paddison C, Abel G, Ronald M, Elliott M, Lyratzopoulos G, Campbell J. Drivers of Overall
370 Satisfaction with Primary Care: Evidence from the English General Practice Patient
371 Survey. *Health Expectations: An International Journal of Public Participation in Health*
372 *Care and Health Policy* 2015;18(5):1081-1092.
- 373 18. Scott A, Smith R. Keeping the Customer Satisfied: Issues in the Interpretation and Use of
374 Patient Satisfaction Surveys. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care: Journal of*
375 *the International Society for Quality in Health Care / ISQua* 1994;6(4):353-559.
- 376 19. Murakami G, Imanaka Y, Kobuse H, Lee J, Goto E. Patient perceived priorities between
377 technical skills and interpersonal skills: their influence on correlates of patient
378 satisfaction. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*. 2010;16(3):560-568.
- 379 20. Russo S, Jongerius C, Faccio F, Pizzoli S, Pinto C, Veldwijk J et al. Understanding Patients'
380 Preferences: A Systematic Review of Psychological Instruments Used in Patients'
381 Preference and Decision Studies. *Value in Health*. 2019;22(4):491-501.
- 382 21. Flott, K, Graham C, Darzi A, Mayer E. 2017. Can We Use Patient-Reported Feedback to
383 Drive Change? The Challenges of Using Patient-Reported Feedback and How They Might
384 Be Addressed. *BMJ Quality & Safety*. 2017;26(6):502-507.
- 385 22. Peter E. Concepts of trust in patients with serious illness focused on physician
386 interpersonal and technical competence. *Evidence-Based Nursing*. 2001;4(3):95
- 387 23. Wensing M, Jung H, Mainz J, Olesen F, Grol F. 1998. A Systematic Review of the
388 Literature on Patient Priorities for General Practice Care. Part 1: Description of the
389 Research Domain. *Social Science & Medicine*. 1998;47(10):1573-1588.
- 390 24. Vahdat S, Hamzehgardeshi L, Hessam S, Hamzehgardeshi Z. Patient Involvement in
391 Health Care Decision Making: A Review. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*.
392 2014;16(1).
- 393 25. Anderson Rr, Barbara A, Feldman S. What Patients Want: A Content Analysis of Key
394 Qualities That Influence Patient Satisfaction. *The Journal of Medical Practice*
395 *Management: MPM*. 2007;22(5):255-61.

- 396 26. Epstein R, Franks P, Fiscella K, Shields C, Meldrum S, Kravitz R, et al. 2005. Measuring
397 Patient-Centered Communication in Patient–Physician Consultations: Theoretical and
398 Practical Issues. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2005;61(7):1516–1528.
- 399 27. Doyle C, Reed J, Woodcock T, Bell D. Understanding What Matters to Patients--
400 Identifying Key Patients' Perceptions of Quality. *JRSM Short Reports*. 2010;1(1):1–6.
- 401 28. Fung C, Elliott M, Hays R, Kahn K, Kanouse D, McGlynn E, et al. 2005. Patients'
402 Preferences for Technical versus Interpersonal Quality When Selecting a Primary Care
403 Physician. *Health Services Research*. 2005;40(4):957–977.
- 404 29. Tokunaga J, Imanaka Y, Nobutomo K. Effects of Patient Demands on Satisfaction with
405 Japanese Hospital Care. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care: Journal of the*
406 *International Society for Quality in Health Care / ISQua*. 2000;12(5): 395–401.
- 407 30. Cheraghi-Sohi S, Hole A, Mead N, McDonald R, Whalley D, Bower P et al. What Patients
408 Want from Primary Care Consultations: A Discrete Choice Experiment to Identify
409 Patients' Priorities. *Annals of Family Medicine*. 2008;6(2):107–115.
- 410 31. DeLia D, Hall A, Prinz T, Billings J. What Matters to Low-Income Patients in Ambulatory
411 Care Facilities? *Medical Care Research and Review: MCRR*. 2004;61(3):352–375.
- 412 32. Heyland D, Dodek P, Rocker G, Groll D, Gafni A, Pichora D et al. What Matters Most in
413 End-of-Life Care: Perceptions of Seriously Ill Patients and Their Family Members. *CMAJ:*
414 *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2006;174(5):627–633.
- 415 33. Kebede S, Shihab HM, Berger ZD, Shah NG, Yeh H-C, Brotman DJ. Patients' Understanding
416 of Their Hospitalizations and Association With Satisfaction. *JAMA Internal Medicine*.
417 2014;174(10):1698–1700.
- 418 34. Orom H, Underwood W, Cheng Z, Homish DL, Scott I. Relationships as Medicine: Quality
419 of the Physician-Patient Relationship Determines Physician Influence on Treatment
420 Recommendation Adherence. *Health Services Research*. 2018;53(1):580–596
- 421 35. Jallinoja P, Absetz P, Kuronen R, Nissinen A, Talja M, Uutela A, et al. The dilemma of
422 patient responsibility for lifestyle change: Perceptions among primary care physicians
423 and nurses. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*. 2007;25(4):244–249
- 424 36. Hoffmann TC, Del Mar C. Patients' expectations of the benefits and harms of treatments,
425 screening, and tests: a systematic review. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2015;175:274–286.
- 426 37. Wu C-C. The impact of hospital brand image on service quality, patient satisfaction and
427 loyalty. *African Journal of Business Management*. 2011;5:4873–4882.
- 428 38. Salmela SM, Vahasarja KA, Villberg JJ, Vanhala MJ, Saaristo TE, Lindstrom J, et al.
429 Perceiving Need for Lifestyle Counseling: Findings from Finnish individuals at high risk of
430 type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;35(2):239–241
- 431 39. Lakerveld J, Ijzelenberg W, Tulder MWV, Hellemans IM, Rauwerda JA, Rossum ACV, et al.
432 Motives for (not) participating in a lifestyle intervention trial. *BMC Medical Research*
433 *Methodology*. 2008;8(1):17.
- 434 40. Chinn D, White M, Howel D, Harland J, Drinkwater C. Factors associated with non-
435 participation in a physical activity promotion trial. *Public Health*. 2006;120(4):309–319.
- 436 41. Bukman AJ, Teuscher D, Feskens EJM, Baak MAV, Meershoek A, Renes RJ. Perceptions on
437 healthy eating, physical activity and lifestyle advice: opportunities for adapting lifestyle
438 interventions to individuals with low socioeconomic status. *BMC Public Health*.
439 2014;14(1).

- 440 42. Mullainathan S, Shafir E. Scarcity: Why Having Too Little Means So Much. Macmillan
441 2013.
- 442 43. Bukman AJ, Teuscher D, Feskens EJM, Baak MAV, Meershoek A, Renes RJ. Perceptions on
443 healthy eating, physical activity and lifestyle advice: opportunities for adapting lifestyle
444 interventions to individuals with low socioeconomic status. BMC Public Health.
445 2014;**14**(1).
- 446 44. Bertoni AG, Foy CG, Hunter JC, Quandt SA, Vitolins MZ, Whitt-Glover MC. A Multilevel
447 Assessment of Barriers to Adoption of Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH)
448 among African Americans of Low Socioeconomic Status. Journal of Health Care for the
449 Poor and Underserved. 2011;22(4):1205–1220
- 450 45. Inglis V, Ball K, Crawford D. Why do women of low socioeconomic status have poorer
451 dietary behaviours than women of higher socioeconomic status? A qualitative
452 exploration. Appetite 2005;45:334–43.
- 453 46. Gray PM, Murphy MH, Gallagher AM, Simpson EEA. Motives and Barriers to Physical
454 Activity Among Older Adults of Different Socioeconomic Status. Journal of Aging and
455 Physical Activity. 2016;24(3):419–429.
- 456 47. Chwning B, Bylund CL, Shah B, Arora NK, Gueguen JA, Makoul G. Patient preferences for
457 shared decisions: A systematic review. Patient Education and Counseling. 2012;86(1):9–
458 18.
- 459 48. Shay LA, Lafata JE. Where is the evidence? A systematic review of shared decision making
460 and patient outcomes. Med Decis Making. 2015;35:114–131.
- 461 49. Vick S, Scott A. Agency in health care. Examining patients' preferences for attributes of
462 the doctor–patient relationship. J Health Econ. 1998;17:587–605.
- 463 50. Say R, Murtagh M, Thomson R. Patients' preference for involvement in medical decision
464 making: a narrative review. Patient Educ Couns. 2006;60:102–14.