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#### Abstract

In this study we performed a genotype-phenotype association analysis of meiotic stability in ten autotetraploid Arabidopsis lyrata and A. lyrata/A. arenosa hybrid populations collected from the Wachau region and East Austrian Forealps. The aim was to determine the effect of eight meiosis genes under extreme selection upon adaptation to whole genome duplication. Individual plants were genotyped by high-throughput sequencing of the eight meiosis genes (ASY1, ASY3, PDS5b, PRD3, REC8, SMC3, ZYP1a/b) implicated in synaptonemal complex formation and phenotyped by assessing meiotic metaphase I chromosome configurations. Our results reveal that meiotic stability varied greatly (20$100 \%$ ) between individual tetraploid plants and was associated with segregation of a novel allele orthologous to the budding yeast RED1 chromosome axis protein, Asynapsis3 (ASY3), derived from A. lyrata. The adaptive ASY3 protein possesses a putative in-frame tandem duplication (TD) of a serinerich region upstream of the coiled-coil domain that has arisen at sites of DNA microhomology. The frequency of multivalents observed in plants homozygous for the ASY3 TD haplotype was significantly lower than plants heterozygous for $T D / N D$ (non-duplicated) ASY3 haplotypes. Chiasma distribution was significantly altered in the stable plants compared to the unstable plants with a shift from proximal and interstitial to predominantly distal locations. The number of HEI1O foci at pachtyene that mark class I crossovers was significantly reduced in meiotic nuclei from ASY3 TD homozygous plants compared to ASY3 ND/TD heterozygotes, indicating an adaptive consequence of the ASY3 TD allele. From the ten populations, fifty-eight alleles of these 8 meiosis genes were identified, demonstrating dynamic population variability at these loci which nevertheless exhibit signatures of strong hard selective sweeps. Widespread chimerism between alleles originating from A. lyrata/A. arenosa and diploid/tetraploids indicates that this group of rapidly evolving genes provide precise adaptive control over meiotic recombination in the tetraploids, the very process that gave rise to them.


## Author summary

Whole genome duplication can promote adaptability, but is a dramatic mutation usually resulting in meiotic catastrophe and genome instability. Here we focus on a case of coordinated stabilization of meiotic recombination in ten autotetraploid Arabidopsis lyrata and A. lyrata/A. arenosa hybrid populations from the Wachau region and East Austrian Forealps. We fuse population genomic data with a genotype-phenotype association study, concentrating on the effects of eight meiosis genes (ASY1, ASY3, PDS5b, PRD3, REC8, SMC3, ZYP1a/b) implicated in synaptonemal complex formation in the tetraploids under extreme selection. Our analysis demonstrates that a novel allele of the meiotic chromosome axis protein Asynapsis3 that contains an in-frame duplication of a serine-rich region is the major determinant of male meiotic stability. This adaptive restabilisation appears to be achieved by a reduction in the number of meiotic crossovers as well as a shift in their positioning towards the chromosome ends. Of the eight genes, fifty-eight alleles were identified, indicating dynamic population variability at these loci under extreme selection. In addition, widespread allelic chimerism between alleles originating from $A$. lyrata/A. arenosa and diploid/tetraploids indicates that this group of rapidly evolving genes provide precise adaptive control over meiotic recombination in the tetraploids, the very process that gave rise to them.

## Introduction

Whole genome duplication (WGD) occurs in all eukaryotic kingdoms, and is associated with adaptability, speciation and evolvability [1, 2]. At the same time, it is also one of the most dramatic mutations observed, usually resulting in catastrophic problems during meiosis, when ensuring stable chromosome segregation and genome integrity is paramount [3]. Because efficient meiosis is required for the formation of euploid gametes during sexual reproduction, selection acts strongly on standing variation from the progenitor diploids in newly arisen polyploids.

In allopolyploids (formed by both genome duplication and interspecies hybridization), loci required for correct chromosome pairing and recombination have been identified in wheat [4], oil seed rape [5, 6] and Arabidopsis suecica [7]. However, in autopolyploids (which form within-species, without hybridization), there has been no functional confirmation of any gene controlling correct chromosome pairing, synapsis and crossing over (CO), although we have detected clear signatures of extreme selection in eight meiosis genes associated with the synaptonemal complex (SC) (ASY1, ASY3, PDS5b, PRD3, REC8, SMC3, ZYP1a, ZYP1b) in the young autotetraploid Arabidopsis arenosa [8].

The SC is a tripartite protein structure consisting of two lateral elements and a central element, specific to meiotic prophase I that is required for normal levels of COs in the majority of sexually reproducing eukaryotes [9]. In Arabidopsis, the chromosome axes (which come to form the SC lateral elements) consist of a scaffold of cohesin proteins (SMC1, SMC3, PDS5, REC8 and SCC3)[1014] that organise sister chromatids into a loop/base conformation [15]. PRD3, the budding yeast MER2 homolog, is required for double-strand break (DSB) formation and is not an SC protein per se but may juxtapose the potential DSB site with the chromosome axis to promote inter-homolog recombination [16, 17]. In Sordaria, MER2 also transfers and releases recombination complexes to and from the SC central region [16]. The meiosis specific proteins ASY1, ASY3 and ASY4 load onto the chromosome axis defined by the cohesin scaffold, to promote inter-homolog recombination [18-20]. ASY1 and ASY3 are the functional homologs of budding yeast HOP1 and RED1 and, HORMAD1/2 and SCP2 in mammals, respectively, that facilitate correct chromosome pairing and synapsis, required for wild-type COs [19,

21, 22]. In Arabidopsis, synapsis is initiated by installation of the transverse filament proteins ZYP1a/b between homologous chromosomes, thus ensuring appropriate levels of COs [23].

Arabidopsis lyrata and $A$. arenosa represent powerful models for investigating adaptation to autopolyploidy, particularly their populations from the eastern Austrian Forealps, where interspecific hybridization and introgression is frequent [8] [24-26]. As a consequence, these populations represent 'natural mapping experiments' that can be studied to understand the relative contributions of the suite of alleles known to exhibit strong signatures of selection. These eight meiosis loci displaying highly differentiated alleles in A. arenosa were also reported in A. lyrata autotetraploids, along with signatures of extensive bidirectional gene flow [25]. At meiotic metaphase I in A. arenosa, chiasma frequency was reduced in autotetraploids carrying the derived alleles compared to the diploids, indicating an ongoing adaptive consequence of their evolution [8]. However, thus far, no formal confirmation of a direct effect on meiotic stabilisation in tetraploid A. arenosa or A. lyrata has been attributed to these evolved alleles.

In this study we fuse genomic, genetic and cytological approaches to investigate the effects of rapidly evolved adaptive haplotypes in these meiosis genes under strong selection. We measure the consequences of alternative evolved haplotypes at these loci in autotetraploid $A$. lyrata, $A$. arenosa, and natural introgressants of these species across a hybrid zone. Our analysis reveals functional evidence of a novel ASY3 haplotype that modulates meiotic recombination in both A. lyrata and A. arenosa autotetraploids, thus stabilising chromosome bivalent formation and genomic integrity.

## Results

## A metaphase 1 analysis to determine meiotic stability in A. lyrata/A. arenosa populations

A thorough examination of meiotic stability was performed on fifty-two plants obtained from individual maternal seed lines sampled from tetraploid populations covering a range of known
genomic backgrounds and demographic histories from the Wachau region and east Austrian Forealps. Relative genomic contributions (proportions of admixture) from A. lyrata and A. arenosa from sampled populations had previously been determined [25]. Sampled populations were: LIC, MOD, PIL, SCB, KAG, ROK (A. lyrata and A. lyrata-like hybrids, that contain $>50 \%$ genomic contribution from $A$. lyrata) and, TBG, SEN and WEK (A. arenosa dominant/A. lyrata introgressants, that contain >50\% genomic contribution from $A$. arenosa) (Fig 1). Meiotic stability was assessed in individual plants by performing cytological analyses on metaphase I (MI) chromosome spreads of pollen mother cells (PMCs). A rod bivalent forms when only one chiasma (the cytological manifestation of a CO) connects a homologous chromosome pair (Fig 2A, D1-3). A ring bivalent forms when chiasmata occur in both chromosome arms of homologous pairs (Fig 2A, D4). Quadrivalents are structures formed of four chromosomes, usually two pairs of homologous chromosomes and, multivalents form between multiple chromosomes either by chiasmata or interlocks (Fig 2C). As a control, chiasma frequency and distribution was scored at MI in diploid A. lyrata PMCs (Fig 2A). For the tetraploids, MI nuclei were scored as stable when 16 individual bivalents could be observed aligned on the MI plate and unstable if quadrivalents or multivalents were observed (Fig 2B-2C and S1 Fig). For each maternal line we scored blind the percentage of stable versus unstable nuclei, revealing a range from 20-100\% (Fig 2E). Furthermore, we scored chiasmata as distal, interstitial or proximal to the centromere based on chromosome bivalent shapes (Fig 2D1-4). In unstable nuclei, only a proportion of individual bivalents could be scored per nucleus (ranging from 1-11 per cell), that were not associated with other chromosomes (Fig 2C, dashed ellipse). A FISH analysis utilizing the 5S and 45S rDNA probes revealed that in the samples of unstable nuclei all chromosomes that could be scored were observed both associated with multivalents and unassociated with multivalents. From the total sixteen pairs of chromosomes per nucleus, seven did not hybridize with the 5 S and 45 S rDNA probes, five with the 5 S only, two with 45S only, and two with both 5 S and 45S. However, the FISH analysis revealed that there was a chromosome bias for those that could be scored in the unstable nuclei with an underrepresentation of chromosomes containing the 45S rDNA nucleolar organizing regions (NOR)
and an overrepresentation of chromosomes without the 45S rDNA. The expected frequency for bivalents that could be scored from the seventy seven chromosome counts based on a random expectation of occurrence from the proportion of labelled/unlabelled chromosomes was 34, 24, 9.5 and 9.5 for no probes, 5 S only, 45S only, and both $5 S$ and $45 S$, respectively, and the observed values were $46,21,6$ and 4 . A Chi-squared test revealed that these values were significantly different $\left(\chi_{[3]^{2}}{ }^{2}\right.$ $=9.54, P<0.05)$, indicating that chromosomes with the 45 S rDNA NOR were more likely to form multivalents (Fig 2C). As all chromosomes that could be scored were present in the sample from unstable nuclei, these were randomly grouped into pseudo-nuclei containing sixteen bivalents to determine chiasmata frequency and distribution, although with the caveat that these were less likely to form multivalents. Overall, significantly more chiasmata were observed in diploid A. lyrata MI bivalents than those from tetraploid stable or unstable nuclei $(1.52 \pm 0.3, \mathrm{n}=312$; versus $1.12 \pm 0.2$, $\mathrm{n}=960$ and $1.26 \pm 0.3, \mathrm{n}=590$, respectively, Mann Whitney Test, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). The frequency of distal chiasmata was not significantly different between bivalents in diploid and stable tetraploid nuclei, but was reduced in bivalents from unstable tetraploid nuclei (Fig 2F). Bivalents from stable tetraploid nuclei had significantly fewer interstitial and proximal chiasmata compared to bivalents from diploids and those from unstable nuclei, whereas interstitial and proximal chiasmata were not significantly different between bivalents from diploids and unstable tetraploid nuclei (Fig 2F).

A HEI10 immunocytological analysis was performed at late pachytene to confirm whether there was a difference in CO frequency between stable and unstable nuclei (Fig 2G-I). MAU8.11 (98\% stability) and SEN2.2 (21\% stability) were selected as extreme examples of meiotic stability (S1 Table). During pachytene HEI1O marks class I CO sites [27] and in our analysis HEI1O also marked heterochromatic DNA, which was not scored as designated class I CO sites. In stable MAU8.11 nuclei, an average of 20.4 HEI10 foci per pachytene ( $n=30$ ) were scored and in the unstable SEN2.2 nuclei, an average of 22.5 HEI10 ( $n=30$ ) were scored, revealing that the unstable nuclei had significantly greater numbers of HEI10 foci (Wilcoxon rank sum test, $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) (Fig 21 ). Stable nuclei contained an average of 1.28 HEI10 foci/bivalent, whereas the unstable nuclei contained an average of 1.4 HEl 10 foci/bivalent.

## Association of haplotypes with meiotic stability in A. lyrata/A. arenosa tetraploids

The fifty-two tetraploid plants phenotyped for male meiotic stability were then genotyped for the proportion of each meiosis gene haplotype by high-throughput sequencing. Accurate genotyping required obtaining precise population reference sequences from published genomic data from these populations [25]. Degenerate primers were used to amplify full length gene amplicons of the eight meiosis genes from the fifty-two tetraploid plants (including exons and introns) for construction of Nextera LITE libraries. Libraries were barcoded per plant and sequenced by MiSeq, generating an average sequence depth across all loci of >2000x, from which we determined the proportion of each haplotype per plant by SNP frequency. Because the coding regions of all eight meiosis genes from representative populations were cloned and Sanger sequenced (described below in 'Adaptive polymorphisms in meiosis genes'), it was possible to resolve individual haplotypes. As these plants were drawn from a diversity of wild populations it was not surprising that an average of 7.25 alleles were identified for each of the eight meiosis genes. Given the limited sample size of fifty-two individuals it was not possible to statistically interrogate associations between all individual haplotypes for the eight genes. Consequently, similar haplotypes were collapsed together and classified into two groups: haplotypes with derived tetraploid alleles, and those with ancestral diploid alleles. Derived tetraploid haplotypes were those possessing conserved polymorphisms compared to the diploid reference sequences. For each gene (except ZYP1b, which was homozygous in all populations tested) a large proportion of the individuals carried four derived tetraploid haplotypes, whilst the others carried a mixture of derived tetraploid and diploid haplotypes. We therefore tested whether the presence of diploid haplotypes influenced meiotic stability. To do this, we classified the allele state at each of the eight meiosis genes in each individual tetraploid as either homozygous (i.e. exclusively either derived tetraploid haplotypes, or alternatively ancestral diploid haplotypes) or heterozygous (individuals harbouring both ancestral and derived alleles together at a given locus), and
tested for any associations between these genotypes and meiotic stabilty by cytological analysis (Bonferroni corrected pairwise Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon; S1 Table). This revealed that only the meiotic chromosome axis gene Asynapsis3 (ASY3) had a significant effect on meiotic stability (Fig 3). Plants that were heterozygous for the ASY3 ancestral diploid haplotype and the derived tetraploid haplotype had significantly more unstable male metaphase I nuclei than plants homozygous for the derived ASY3 haplotype ( 4 n Hom $\neq 88.9$, IQR $=15.1, n=41, \neq 66$, IQR $=41.7, n=11, p=0.008$ ). There was a large range of meiotic stability within the ASY3 heterozygotes, so smaller effects from the other seven meiosis genes cannot be excluded. For example SMC3 showed a trend, whereby the ancestral diploid allele may be associated with lower meiotic stability than the tetraploid homozygotes or diploid/tetraploid allele heterozygotes, although sampling sizes were not great enough to statistically confirm this trend.

## Adaptive polymorphisms in meiosis genes

Previous studies have inferred polymorphic amino acids in meiosis genes between diploids and tetraploids in $A$. arenosa and $A$. lyrata by aligning short read sequences to the $A$. lyrata reference, but could not infer contiguous autotetraploid alleles [8, 25, 28]. To overcome this and resolve individual haplotypes, we amplified, cloned and Sanger sequenced the coding regions of the eight meiosis genes from diploid $A$. arenosa SNO and $A$. lyrata PER populations, tetraploid $A$. arenosa, SEN, TBG and WEK populations, as well as A. lyrata KAG and MAU populations. This approach provided high-resolution sequence polymorphism data for a total of three hundred and twenty cDNA transcripts, consisting of fifty-eight alleles with an average of seven alleles for each of the eight meiosis genes, thus allowing us to detect both structural variation including indels and divergent SNP variation (Fig S2, S2-4 Tables). The eight encoded proteins associated with the synaptonemal complex are conserved at the secondary and tertiary amino acid level, rather than at the primary sequence [29]. It is therefore difficult to infer functional or non-functional amino acid polymorphisms with complete
confidence, but results from KinasePhos 2.0 and NetPhos3.1 suggest that overall $45 \%$ of those we detect were either loss or gain of putative serine/threonine phosphosites and $55 \%$ were nonphosphosites. The ASY3 derived adaptive allele and ZYP1b exhibited the greatest quantity of residue changes compared to the diploids that were conserved in tetraploid populations (45 and 44, respectively) (SFig 4), whilst SMC3 had none (although there were population specific SMC3 SNPs). Of the 45 polymorphic residues between the ancestral A. lyrata diploid ASY3 allele and the derived tetraploid ASY3 allele, 27 were due to a tandem duplication (TD allele) in a serine-rich region of the protein. The serine-rich region is upstream of the coiled-coil domain, possessing putative ATM/CKII phosphosites and a predicted SUMO site (K556, GPS-SUMO), and the TD allele contains 14 serines in this region, compared to 7 in the ancestral A. lyrata non-duplicated allele (ND) (Fig 4A). As the ASY3 TD allele had highest sequence similarity to the diploid A. lyrata ASY3 ND allele, to investigate the provenance of the adaptive tandem duplication, we exhaustively screened the local diploid A. lyrata population (PER) geographically adjacent to the A. Iyrata autotetraploid LIC and MOD populations (Fig 1). One hundred and twenty-eight plants from the diploid $A$. lyrata PER population were screened, but the ASY3 TD allele was not identified, indicating the current absence (or vanishingly low frequency) of the $T D$ allele, although it did reveal the presence of a deletion (DEL) allele at $7 \%$ frequency, where the entire serine-rich region is absent. We therefore cloned and sequenced genomic DNA from ASY3 ND, $T D$ and $D E L$ and aligned them. This revealed a 78bp region of exon 2 is duplicated in-frame in the ASY3 TD allele that is missing in the ASY3 DEL allele between two AGAGA sites that possess DNA microhomology. We speculate that this DNA microhomology may have been instrumental in the formation of the ASY3 TD allele by homologous DNA repair through a replication error or during meiotic recombination (Fig 4B).

## Meiosis gene flow between A. lyrata/A. arenosa tetraploid populations

We categorized the admixture proportions of the tetraploid populations into A. lyrata dominant (LIC, MOD, SCB, KAG, PIL and ROK) or A. arenosa dominant (WEK, SEN and TBG), based on a demographic analysis [25]. The Sanger sequenced coding regions of the eight meiosis genes provided high quality reference data to determine allelic origin and to infer the direction of gene flow. Maximum likelihood and Bayesian phylogenetic analyses showed that for each gene these sequences clustered cleanly according to ploidy (S5-6 Fig). The ancestral diploid sequences were further divided into A. lyrata or A. arenosa. Tetraploid sequences clustered into separate alleles irrespective of species, although population differences persisted (S5-6 Fig). The ASY3 TD allele that associates with meiotic stability clusters with the A. lyrata diploid sequence (S5B Fig), as does PDS5b (S5C Fig). Conversely, ASY1, PRD3, REC8, SMC3 and ZYP1a/b had highest homology with diploid A. arenosa (S5A, B, D-H Fig). The adaptive A. lyrata ASY3 TD allele is present at 99\% frequency in tetraploid A. arenosa populations tested and 95\% in tetraploid A. lyrata populations (Fig 5). The analysis revealed a small number of ancestral diploid $A$. arenosa and $A$. lyrata alleles in these populations, except ZYP1b that was completely homozygous. In contrast, the ASY1 allele derived from diploid $A$. arenosa had a frequency of $94 \%$ in $A$. arenosa tetraploid and $93 \%$ in $A$. lyrata tetraploid, indicating bidirectional gene flow (Fig 5), as well as bidirectional gene flow of ancestral SMC3 and REC8 diploid alleles in the tetraploids (Fig 5).

## Widespread chimerism in meiosis gene alleles

Our analysis of Sanger and MiSeq data identified a novel chimeric allele of ZYP1b in all tetraploid populations; chimeric PRD3 in SCB, SEN and WEK; and chimeric PDS5b in ROK. While rare chimeras could potentially result from PCR artefacts [30], these alleles have the same breakpoints in multiple individuals which suggests alterations in the genomic DNA through homologous DNA repair in planta [31]. At the 3' end of all ZYP1b tetraploid alleles we detect evidence for a 474bp gene conversion (GC) to ZYP1a (S7A Fig). There is also evidence of GC in PRD3 between A. arenosa and A.
lyrata ancestral diploid alleles (S7B Fig). In eleven plants from A. arenosa and A. lyrata populations, the first 740bp of the diploid PRD3 allele is more similar to the diploid A. arenosa than to A. lyrata (7 vs 21 SNPs), while the remaining 625bp of coding sequence has a higher similarity to diploid $A$. lyrata than to $A$. arenosa ( 5 vs 24 SNPs). In PDS5b, at the $5^{\prime}$ end of tetraploid alleles from five $A$. arenosa plants we observe evidence for a GC of the first two exons to the diploid allele, providing evidence of GC (or CO) between ploidy levels (S8A Fig). In addition, analysis of genome resequencing data [25] revealed a 3' GC from a diploid ASY1 A. lyrata allele to a tetraploid A. arenosa allele in the KAG population (S8B Fig). The widespread presence of such evidence of gene conversion products in these loci exhibiting the most dramatic signatures of selection suggests a mechanism by which the peaks of differentiation generally found in this system are so narrow [3, 8, 25, 32, 33], despite a recent origin, and possible bottleneck, of the tetraploids [25].

## Discussion

Here we aimed to determine the impact of strongly selected meiosis alleles that underwent recent selective sweeps on the rapid evolution of autotetraploid meiotic stability in A. lyrata/A. arenosa hybrids and introgressants and to trace their evolutionary origin. By associating genotypic and cytological phenotypic data we provide evidence that ASY3 is the major locus currently stabilising autotetraploid male meiosis in these populations. We identified structural variation of meiosis alleles including a novel derived, ASY3 allele with a tandem duplication (TD) in a serine-rich region that underlies the stable chromosome meiotic phenotype in the tetraploids, as well as novel ASY1, PDS5b, PRD3 and ZYP1b chimeric alleles between diploids and tetraploids and A. arenosa and A. lyrata origins.

A cytological metaphase I (MI) analysis revealed that chiasmata in the autotetraploids were significantly reduced in both stable and unstable nuclei compared to diploid A. lyrata. Moreover, chiasma frequencies in meiotically stable nuclei were significantly reduced in regions proximal and interstitial to the centromere. A shift in chiasma distribution may reflect a fundamental mechanism
for meiotic adaptation to autopolyploidy [34]. The unstable nuclei occur due to unregulated meiotic recombination between multiple chromosomes, either homologous or non-homologous. All chromosomes appeared to associate with multivalents, although there was a bias for the 45S rDNA containing chromosomes, which may be due to the NORs clustering during prophase $I$, thus bringing non-homologous chromosomes into close proximity. A reduction in numbers of chiasmata in stable nuclei was supported by an immunocytological approach counting HEI10 foci that mark designated class I COs. HEI10 foci numbers were significantly lower in the stable pachytene nuclei (20.4 HEI10 foci per cell) compared to the unstable nuclei (22.5 HEI10 foci per cell)( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ).

Our genotype-phenotype association study revealed that among these eight meiosis genes, the allele state of the structurally variable meiotic chromosome axis protein ASY3 was the major factor governing whether nuclei were stable. We hypothesise that the ancestral diploid ASY3 ND allele promotes high levels of proximal and interstitial chiasmata, but in the tetraploid it acts dominantly over the evolved ASY3 TD allele, promoting interstitial and proximal chiasmata as well as complex chromosome structures including multivalents. Such multivalents have previously been observed in diploid A. thaliana ZYP1 ${ }^{R N A i}$ lines where the authors postulated that chiasmata may have formed between extensive duplications with high sequence similarity on non-homologous chromosomes [23]. The tandemly duplicated serine-rich region in the ASY3 TD allele may function in a manner similar to the budding yeast N-terminus MSH4 degron that destabilizes the protein until it is phosphorylated [35]. Further studies are required to determine if the serine-rich region destabilizes the ASY3 protein thus creating a hypomorphic variant or whether these sites are phosphorylated. The analysed brassica SC phosphoproteome [36] did not recover peptides for ASY3 in the serine-rich region, although similar (serine-aspartic acid) residues recovered from ASY1 were phosphorylated. The ASY3 TD allele also contains 19 derived residues outside the serine-rich region, of which 10 are predicted phosphosite gains or losses, that cannot be ruled out as functionally important along with unknown trans effects. Chiasmata are distalized in A. thaliana chromosome axis mutants asy1, asy3 and asy4, presumably due to telomere proximity enabling sufficient inter-homolog pairing, whereas a complete meiotic axis
is required to promote high levels of recombination between spatially separated regions in nuclei along the arms of the chromosomes [19, 20, 37].

From our data and extensive literature we can speculate a model wherein the serine-rich duplicated region destabilizes the ASY3 TD protein and may target the protein for degradation by the proteasome [35, 38-40]. A destabilized protein may be hypomorphic to the ancestral ASY3 ND allele as there less protein may be available to bind to the chromosome axes and/or it could be less effective at promoting interhomolog recombination when bound at the axis. A hypomorphic protein may act similarly to ASY1, ASY3 and ASY4 mutants in distalizing chiasmata [19, 20, 37]. However, when heterozygous with the dominant ancestral ASY3 ND allele, rates of inter-homolog and nonhomologous recombination increase. We hypothesise that axis components that favour interstitial and proximal recombination in diploids promote associations with non-homologous chromosomes in the tetraploids, especially in regions with high sequence homology. However, for stable bivalents, once a distal CO site is designated, CO interference may prevent further COs forming. In budding yeast, the Topoll interference pathway requires SUMOylation of Topoll and Red1, the ASY3 orthologue [22, 41]. The serine-rich duplicated region in ASY3 possesses a predicted SUMO site, which could play a role in protein function, although this hypothesis needs functional testing.

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the ASY3 TD allele most likely originated from diploid $A$. lyrata, consistent with [25]. A screen of the diploid A. lyrata population geographically adjacent to the tetraploids for the ASY3 TD did not detect the allele, but instead identified one with the same region deleted (DEL). The ASY3 DEL allele coding region is in-frame and contains a second deletion in the coiled-coil. It may be a coincidence that the same region is lost and gained in the ASY3 alleles, or that this sequence is more susceptible to DNA replicative errors. The region of interest is flanked by DNA microhomology (AGAGA) that is positioned at the putative exchange points and may have led to DNA polymerase slippage or aberrant replication fork repair. It is unlikely to have occurred during meiotic recombination due to the low level of sequence homology. However, we observe numerous examples of apparent gene conversion that appear to have arisen during meiotic recombination in ASY1, PRD3,

PDS5b and ZYP1a/b between both species and ploidies. The bidirectional gene flow between $A$. arenosa and A. lyrata tetraploids has enlarged the gene pool for beneficial alleles to be borrowed and selected upon and the novel gene converted chimeric alleles may precisely coalesce advantageous sequences from differing origins for adaptation, although this would require further testing with an even larger sample size.

Evidence suggests that the origin of the adaptive ASY3 TD allele in the tetraploid populations is relatively recent, but as it is under extreme selection, it has spread extensively and introgressed within tight boundaries in the A. lyrata/A. arenosa hybrid genomes tested [25]. Our current analysis has provided haplotype-level sequence data that supports this hypothesis. The orthologous diploid ASY3 alleles from A. arenosa and A. lyrata are highly divergent, and yet the tetraploid ASY3 TD allele is very similar among tetraploid populations. We speculate that is therefore possible that preceding the origin of the ASY3 TD allele, gene flow of adaptive alleles from A. arenosa (ASY1, PRD3, REC8, SMC3 and ZYP1a/b) was necessary to establish meiotic stability in the nascent $A$. lyrata tetraploids, but has since been relaxed due to the presence of the predominant ASY3 TD allele.

The A. lyrata autotetraploid populations contain plants with variable levels of meiotic stability as well as relatively high frequencies of diploid-like alleles. The diploid alleles may persist due to: a) continuous gene flow via unreduced gametes [42]; b) being beneficial in certain environmental conditions e.g. high altitudes [43]; c) advantageous during female meiosis (COs are reduced in $A$. thaliana female compared to male [44]; d) in the case of SMC3, diploid alleles may be beneficial for male meiosis; e) inability to purge genetic load in autotetraploids [45] and; f) limited effect on overall male pollen fecundity, due to an excess of grains transmitted, despite variable quality.

Taken together, our data indicate multiple mechanisms for rapid meiotic evolution in autotetraploid A. lyrata. They reveal a predominant association of a duplication of the serine-rich region in the ASY3 TD allele with MI stability. Furthermore, tetraploid A. lyrata has introgressed ASY1 PRD3, REC8, SMC3 and ZYP1a/b alleles from A. arenosa by gene flow. Finally, novel chimeric genes of ASY1, PDS5b, PRD3, and ZYP1a/b have arisen evidently through gene conversion, suggesting highly
dynamic mechanisms to generate variation that may be selected upon by evolution to ensure meiotic success in these populations.

## Material and Methods

## Cloning and sequencing of meiosis gene transcripts

Plants were grown from seed to obtain fresh flower buds from diploid A. arenosa SNO and A. lyrata PER populations, and tetraploid A. arenosa, SEN, TBG and WEK and A. lyrata KAG and MAU populations [25]. These buds were collected, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80C until RNA extraction. Total RNA was extracted using a Bioline ISOLATE II RNA Plant Kit (Bioline Ltd, London, UK), following manufacturer's instructions, eluting into a final volume of $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ nuclease free water. Concentration and purity were determined using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (LabTech International, Lewes, UK) and one microgram of total RNA was electrophoresed on a non-denaturing $1 \%(w / v)$ agarose gel to check for degradation. First strand cDNA was reverse transcribed from $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA using a Quantitect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) that incorporates genomic DNA removal prior to reverse transcription. The coding regions of 8 meiosis genes (ASY1, ASY3, PRD3, PDS5b, REC8, SMC3, ZYP1a and ZYP1b) were amplified by PCR using $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primers (S Table 5) designed using HiSeq data [33] and Platinum ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Taq DNA Polymerase High Fidelity (ThermoFisher Scientific, MA, USA). PCR conditions were as follows: $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 35 cycles of $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $15 \mathrm{~s}, 60-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s and $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-5 \mathrm{~min}$ (see S 5 Table), with a final extension of $68{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5-10 min. PCR products were electrophoresed on a $2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ agarose gel, and single bands of the expected size were excised and purified with a Monarch ${ }^{\circ}$ DNA Gel Extraction kit (New England Biolabs, MA, USA). Purified PCR products were cloned into pCR-XL-TOPO ${ }^{\text {m }}$ vector using a TOPO ${ }^{\text {m }} \mathrm{XL}$ PCR Cloning Kit following the manufacturer's instructions. For each gene a total of 8 clones from each plant were isolated from overnight LB cultures using an ISOLATE II Plasmid Mini Kit (Bioline) prior to sequencing with universal M13F and M13R primers by GATC Biotech (Konstanz, Germany). Nucleotide
sequences of the cDNAs were processed in Geneious 11.1 .2 (https://www.geneious.com) to remove vector and low-quality sequence before using BLASTN to search the June 2010 (v.1.0/INSDC) assembly of the North American A. lyrata reference genome [46] and NCBI nonredundant (nr) database for confirmation that the obtained cDNAs were the expected gene transcripts. Primer walking was then used to sequence the entire length of the transcript. For each meiosis gene, cDNAs from each population were aligned with the respective Ensembl gene predictions from the $A$. lyrata reference genome (S6 Table), and to act as outgroups, A. thaliana transcripts obtained from The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR) using the MUSCLE 3.8.425 plugin in Geneious 11.1.2 with default settings [47]. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using a maximum likelihood (ML) method with PhyML v3.3 (Guindon et al, 2010) and bootstrap testing (1000 replicates). The best nucleotide substitution method was determined with the Find Best-Fit Substitution Model in Mega v10.0 (Kumar et al, 2018). The ML phylogenetic trees were confirmed by a Bayesian approach with MrBayes v3.2.6 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001) and bootstrap testing of 1000 replicates.

## Meiotic haplotype genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from leaf material of each of the 52 plants in the study using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) and eluting into $100 \mu$ l nuclease free water. Full length coding regions of each of the 8 meiosis genes (including introns) were amplified from this genomic DNA by PCR using Platinum ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ SuperFi ${ }^{\text {tm }}$ Green PCR Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific) and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primers (S5 Table) designed against the Sanger sequenced cDNA of the 8 meiosis genes (see above). PCR conditions were as follows: $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , followed by 35 cycles of $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60-63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 s and $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2.5-10$ $\min$ (see S5 Table), with a final extension of $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5-10 min. PCR products were electrophoresed on a $1 \%(w / v)$ agarose gel, and single bands of the expected size were excised and purified with a Monarch DNA Gel Extraction kit (New England Biolabs). Libraries were constructed using 1ng of input DNA in a Low Input, Transposase Enabled (LITE) pipeline developed at the Earlham Institute (Norwich,

UK) and based on the Illumina Nextera kits (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) [48]. Each library was constructed using unique 9 bp dual index combinations allowing samples to be multiplexed. Pooled libraries were size selected between 600 and 750 bp on a BluePippin (Sage Science, Beverly, MA, USA) 1.5\% Cassette and then sequenced with a $2 \times 250$ bp read metric on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer.

MiSeq fastq files were imported into Geneious 11.1.2 (https://www.geneious.com) and R1 and R2 reads paired. Quality trimming of reads was performed with the BBDuk Adaptor/Quality Trimming v.37.64 plugin with default settings (Min quality:20; Min overlap:20; Min length:20). For each of the 52 individuals, trimmed reads were mapped to each of the 8 meiosis genes ( S 6 Table) from the North American A. lyrata reference genome [46] using Geneious 11.1.2 Read Mapper (Medium sensitivity; 5 iterations and default settings). SNPs relative to the reference genome genes were then called (Minimum Variant Frequency 0.25 ; Maximum Variant P-value $6 \times 10^{-6}$ ) and used to identify and determine the proportion of $2 n$ and $4 n$ alleles for each gene per plant using a set of allele specific SNPs (as revealed from the Sanger sequencing of meiosis gene transcripts described above; S7 Table). Allele specific indels (eg ASY3 TD) were identified by associated SNPs.

## Cloning and sequencing of ASY3 alleles

Genomic DNA was extracted from diploid A. lyrata PER plants as above and a short section of ASY3 was PCR amplified using $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primers (S5 Table) and MyTaq ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ Red Mix (Bioline). PCR conditions were as follows: $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 35 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s and $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , with a final extension of $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 mins. PCR products were electrophoresed on a $2 \%(w / v)$ agarose gel and the lower band ( $\sim 125 \mathrm{bp}$ ) corresponding to a partial ASY3 DEL allele was excised and purified with a Monarch ${ }^{\ominus}$ DNA Gel Extraction kit (New England Biolabs). Purified PCR products were cloned into $\mathrm{pCR}^{\text {m" }} 4-\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circ}$ vector using a TOPO $^{\text {m" }}$ TA Cloning ${ }^{\text {m" }}$ for Sequencing Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) following manufacturer's instructions. A total of 12 clones were isolated, purified and Sanger sequenced as above. Nucleotide sequences were processed in Geneious 11.1.2
(https://www.geneious.com) to remove vector and low-quality sequence before aligning with ASY3 $N D / T D$ transcript alleles as described above. Primers designed against the partial $D E L$ sequence were used to obtain the $3^{\prime}$ end of the transcript from $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} A S Y 3 N D / D E L$ heterozygous $2 \mathrm{n} A$. lyrata (PER) floral bud total RNA using a GeneRacer ${ }^{\text {rm }}$ Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) following manufacturer's instructions. Purified PCR products were cloned into $\mathrm{pCR}^{\text {T" }} 4-T O P O^{\circ}$ vector, sequenced and processed as above.

ASY3 ND, TD and DEL alleles were PCR amplified from genomic DNA extracted from diploid and tetraploid A. lyrata respectively using $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primers designed against ASY3 cDNA sequences obtained above (S5 Table) and Q5 ${ }^{\circ}$ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs). PCR conditions were as follows: $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 35 cycles of $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10 \mathrm{~s}, 63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s and $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 min , with a final extension of $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . PCR products were electrophoresed on a $1 \%(w / v)$ agarose gel, and single bands of the expected size were excised and purified as above. Purified PCR products were cloned into pDrive (Qiagen) and sequenced by Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany).

## Cytology

Chromosome spreads were performed [49] [25] on all populations used in this study. The HEI10 immunocytological analysis was performed using the protocol [50] with anti-AtSMC3 rat and antiAtHEI10 rabbit antibodies described in [51]. Nikon Eclipse Ci and Ni-E microscopes installed with NIS Elements software were used to capture images of chromosomes.

## Protein predictions

Protein post-translational predictions were provided by KinasePhos2.0 [52] and NetPhos3.1 [53] and SUMO sites were predicted by GPS-SUMO [54].

## Statistical analyses and Map drawing

Statistical analysis was performed using the R Stats package. Mann-Whitney Wilcoxon tests were performed with function wilcox.test. Bonferoni adjusted $p$ values were calculated using the function p.adjust. The map was drawn using ggmap [55].

## Data availability

All sequences in this study including cDNA transcripts and genomic DNA sequences have been deposited in the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank databases under accession numbers MN512718 - MN513026 and MN520243 - MN520257. MiSeq amplicon reads have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) database under BioProject ID PRJNA575228.
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## Figure captions

Fig 1. Map of maternal seed lines collection sites from the eastern Austrian fore Alps and Wachau
valley. Collection sites are indicated as circles for tetraploid plants and an $X$ for diploids. Circle size
represents the numbers of plants sampled and analysed from each site and the shading from red to blue indicates the relative amounts of admixture between $A$. lyrata and $A$. arenosa populations determined in [25].

Fig 2. Cytological analysis of meiotic metaphase 1 stability, chiasma frequency and distribution. (A) Diploid A. lyrata bivalents stained with DAPI (blue) 5S rDNA (red) and 45S rDNA (green). (B) Stable autotetraploid meiotic metaphase I, stained with DAPI (blue) 5 S rDNA (red) and 45S rDNA (green). (C) Unstable autotetraploid meiotic metaphase I, stained with DAPI (blue) 5S rDNA (red) and 45S rDNA (green) exhibiting one multivalent and one bivalent highlighted by the ellipse with white dashes that can be scored. (D) Four bivalents from A, with diagrammatic representations of homologous chromosomes in different shades of blue physically linked by chiasmata, shown by white crosses. (E) Histogram of the frequency of meiotic stability in individual plants from all populations. (F) Comparison of chiasmata position and frequency of $A$. lyrata bivalents from diploid (red), stable tetraploid (green) and, unstable tetraploid (blue). (G) Representative image of HEI10 foci at late pachytene in a stable nucleus, immunolocalised with SMC3 and counterstained with DAPI. (H) Representative image of HEI10 foci at late pachytene in an unstable nucleus, immunolocalised with SMC3 and counterstained with DAPI. (I) HEI10 counts from stable and unstable pachytene nuclei. Bars for $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}$ and $\mathrm{H}=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig 3. Association analysis of meiotic chromosome stability at metaphase I with meiosis gene haplotypes. Individual plants are collapsed into groups containing either homozygous 4 n derived (blue), $2 n / 4 n$ heterozygous (orange) or $2 n$ homozygous ancestral (green) haplotypes from all populations tested. Each data point represents the male meiotic stability of an individual plant for each specific haplotype.

Fig. 4. Structural variants of the three major A. lyrata ASY3 alleles. (A) The serine-rich region (red box) with serines highlighted in bold in the non-duplicated (ND) ancestral diploid allele, absence in the deletion (DEL) diploid allele and the tandemly duplicated (TD) derived tetraploid allele possessing two
serine-rich regions (red boxes) and putative SUMO sites at K517, K531 and K556. (B) Major structural variation at the ASY3 locus suggesting that DNA microhomology (highlighted yellow) between the ancestral alleles may have led to the formation of the $T D$ allele (underline=exon, blue=region of duplication).

Fig 5. Contrasting origins and polymorphisms of adaptive meiosis gene haplotypes in tetraploid introgressants of A. lyrata/A. arenosa. Haplotype frequencies of adaptive meiosis alleles from $A$. lyrata (Ly) and A. arenosa (Ar) populations.

## Supplemental Tables

## S1 Table．Genotype and phenotype data

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  <br>  <br>  <br>  호호 호ㅎㅜㅗ 호 호 호 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 㕊 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E． |  |
|  |  |  |  ల్లెల等等等等毞等等等等 <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 툳 } \\ & \text { ci } \\ & \text { 年 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 툴 } \\ & \text { 年喿 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 툴 툴 } \\ & \text { 空 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | ※̊ |  |  |  | ¢ั¢ |  | 玉ิํํ |  |
|  | ¢ٌำ． |  |  |  |  |  | ㅍ ㄲ | ¢0¢0． |
|  | $\infty \rightarrow+$ |  |  | ニ $97 \sim$－ | －n | กッமmமの | $\rightarrow$ | ¢ $\infty$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\infty \sim$ |  |
| 흔 |  |  |  | ま 080 \％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ |  | の | ®๐ร์ |
|  |  |  |  |  | N゙N |  | ホ̛̃ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Sigma}$ | z | － | \％ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 引 | $\cong$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\Sigma}$ |

## S2 Table

| ASY3 | Substitution | Property change | Phospho change <br> (NetPhos 3.1) | Phospho change <br> (KinasePhos 2.0) | Domain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Q16H | Polar to basic | DNA-PK to PKC | No |  |
|  | T54P | Polar to unique | No | NKII/PDK deletion |  |



Amino acid substitutions conserved in all tetraploids tested relative to diploid A. lyrata (PER). Putative addition of phosphosites are highlighted in blue and loss of phosphosites highlighted in yellow.

S3 Table.

| Substitution | Property change | Phospho change (NetPhos 3.1) | Phospho change (KinasePhos2.0) | Domain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L84S | Aliphatic to polar | CDK5/p38MAPK addition | CK1 addition |  |
| R147L | Basic to aliphatic | No | No |  |
| S149G | Polar to unique | PKA deletion | GRK deletion |  |
| R162T | Basic to polar | No | PLK1 addition |  |
| L172Q | Aliphatic to polar | No | No |  |
| K298N | Basic to polar | CKII addition | No |  |
| N321K | Polar to basic | No | No |  |
| K342N | Basic to polar | No | No |  |
| A352T | Aliphatic to polar | PKC addition | PKA addition |  |
| F360R | Aromatic to basic | No | No |  |
| Q380H | Polar to basic | ATM to PKC | No |  |
| Q404P | Polar to unique | No | No |  |
| K410T | Basic to polar | No | GRK addition |  |
| T414P | Polar to unique | No | PKC/CDK deletion |  |
| A467V | Conserved | No | No |  |
| T4781 | Polar to aliphatic | CKII deletion | PKC/CDK deletion |  |
| M482T | Aliphatic to polar | No | GRK addition |  |
| N494T | Conserved | DNA-PK deletion | GRK addition |  |
| S525R | Polar to basic | PKA to p38MAPK | ATM deletion |  |
| K530N | Basic to polar | No | No |  |
| S538P | Polar to unique | CKII deletion | ATM deletion |  |

Amino acid substitutions in ASY3 of 2 n A. arenosa (SNO) relative to 2 n A. lyrata (PER). Putative addition of phosphosites are highlighted in blue and loss of phosphosites highlighted in yellow.

## S4 Table.

| Locus | Substitution | Property change | Phospho change (NetPhos 3.1) | Phospho change (KinasePhos2.0) | Domain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASY1 | F273S | Aromatic to polar | No | Addition of ATM | PHD finger |
|  | R314H | Conserved | No | Increased probability of ATM in 4n | PHD finger |
|  | Q567R | Polar to basic | DNA-PK <br> deletion | Decreased probability of ATM in 4n |  |
| PRD3 | L35 deletion | Aliphatic deletion | No | No |  |
|  | R36 <br> deletion | Basic deletion | No | No |  |
|  | S37 <br> deletion | Polar deletion | DNA-PK <br> deletion | ATM deletion |  |
|  | Q38 <br> deletion | Polar deletion | No | No |  |
|  | S108Y | Polar to aromatic | CDC2 deletion | ATM deletion |  |
|  | L128 deletion | Aliphatic deletion | No | No |  |
|  | A129S | Aliphatic to polar | ATM addition | ATM addition |  |
|  | T263M | Polar to aliphatic | p38MAPK <br> deletion | CDC2 deletion |  |
|  | T272K | Polar to basic | PKC deletion | GRK deletion |  |
|  | V273A | Conserved | No | No |  |
|  | P309T | Unique to polar | No | GRK addition |  |
|  | Q310R | Polar to basic | No | No |  |
|  | R325S | Basic to polar | CDC2 addition | ATM addition |  |
|  | F375C | Aromatic to polar | No | No |  |
| REC8 | L224R | Aliphatic to basic | No | No |  |
|  | H225Q | Basic to polar | No | No |  |
|  | A256V | Conserved | No | No |  |
|  | A276T | Aliphatic to polar | PKC addition | GRK addition |  |
|  | S351T | Conserved | No | ATM to GRK |  |
|  | R353H | Conserved | No | No |  |
|  | Q370H | Polar to basic | No | No |  |
|  | Q423H | Polar to basic | No | No |  |


|  | D436G | Acidic to unique | No | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H538Q | Basic to polar | No | No |
|  | N585K | Conserved | DNA-PK deletion | No |
| SMC3 | There are no amino acid differences between alleles. |  |  |  |
| ZYP1a | S19P | Polar to unique | PKA deletion | ATM deletion |
|  | N23K | Polar to basic | No | No |
|  | M24T | Aliphatic to polar | PKC addition | GRK addition |
|  | S28P | Polar to unique | PKC deletion | ATM deletion |
|  | N29T | Conserved | No | GRK addition |
|  | Q31P | Polar to unique | No | No |
|  | V168D | Aliphatic to acidic | No | No |
|  | N208K | Polar to basic | No | No |
|  | F290V | Aromatic to aliphatic | No | No |
|  | G361S | Unique to polar | CKII addition | ATM |
|  | E419V | Acidic to aliphatic | No | No |
|  | N452K | Polar to basic | CKII addition | No |
|  | A460V | Conserved | No | No |
|  | L483S | Aliphatic to polar | No | ATM addition |
|  | Q511N | Conserved | No | No |
|  | T526A | Polar to aliphatic | No | GRK deletion |
|  | A531V | Conserved | No | No |
|  | A605E | Aliphatic to acidic | PKC deletion | No |
|  | S771A* | Polar to aliphatic | PKC deletion | ATM deletion |
|  | A846P | Aliphatic to unique | No | No |
| ZYP1b | S19P | Polar to unique | PKA deletion | ATM deletion |
|  | S28P | Polar to unique | PKC deletion | ATM deletion |
|  | D100G | Acidic to unique | No | No |
|  | V140G | Aliphatic to unique | No | No |


| R238H | Conserved | No | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L279S | Aliphatic to polar | CKII addition | ATM addition |
| D299E | Conserved | CKI addition | No |
| A389T | Aliphatic to polar | No | GRK addition |
| L405S | Aliphatic to polar | CKII addition | ATM addition |
| T410A | Polar to aliphatic | PKC deletion | CKII/PDK deletion |
| K416Q | Basic to polar | CKII deletion | No |
| E419V | Acidic to aliphatic | No | No |
| G429K | Unique to basic | CKI deletion | CKII to GRK |
| Y453C | Aromatic to polar | No | No |
| T455K | Polar to basic | No | GRK deletion |
| A460V | Conserved | No | No |
| L483S | Aliphatic to polar | No | ATM addition |
| V668A | Conserved | No | No |
| S710G | Polar to unique | CKII deletion | ATM deletion |
| D724N | Acidic to polar | No | No |
| S729 deletion | Polar deletion | PKC deletion | ATM deletion |
| 1730 <br> deletion | Aliphatic deletion | No | No |
| K731 deletion | Basic deletion | No | No |
| V732 <br> deletion | Aliphatic deletion | No | No |
| A746V | Conserved | No | No |
| T747R | Polar to basic | PKC deletion | PKC/CDK deletion |
| T750A | Polar to aliphatic | CKII deletion | PKC/CDK deletion |
| T763A | Polar to aliphatic | No | CKII/PDK deletion |
| V765E | Aliphatic to acidic | p38MAPK <br> addition | No |
| S767P | Conserved | No | Aurora deletion |
| N771K | Polar to basic | No | No |
| L787P | Aliphatic to polar | No | No |


| G807E | Unique to <br> acidic | No | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P810S | Unique to <br> polar <br> Polar to <br> aliphatic | PKC addition | ATM addition |
| T819A | No | CKII/PDK <br> deletion |  |
| S828R | Polar to basic | PKC to PKA | ATM deletion |
| L831V | Conserved | No | No |
| M836 | Aliphatic | p38MAPK | No |
| addition | addition <br> Kaddition | No |  |
| K839Q | Basic to polar <br> I841V | No | No |
| E844G | Acidic to <br> unique | No | No |
| T845A | Polar to <br> aliphatic | No | No |
| A846G | Aliphatic to <br> unique | No | GRK deletion |
| A848T | Aliphatic to <br> polar | PKC addition | GRK addition |
| A851P | Aliphatic to <br> polar | No | No |

Amino acid substitutions conserved in all tetraploids tested relative to diploid A. arenosa (SNO). Putative addition of phosphosites are highlighted in blue and loss of phosphosites highlighted in yellow.
*This aa polymorphism is also in the ZYP1a C terminus of the gene converted ZYP1b

S5 Table.

| Primer name | 5'-3' sequence | Annealing temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Extension time (mins) | Purpose in study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASY1_151F | AGCTGAAGGAAGCAGAGATCACTGAG | 65 | 2 | ASY1 cDNA cloning |
| ASY1_TBG_R1 | TCAATTAGCTTGAGATTTCTGACGCTTCG | 65 | 2 | ASY1 cDNA cloning |
| ASY1_Ref_R1 | TCAATTAGCCTGAGATTTCTGACGCTTG | 65 | 2 | ASY1 cDNA cloning (2n lyrata only) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { ASY3 } \\ \text { coding_TBG_F1 } \end{array}$ | ATGAGCGACTATAGAAGCTTCGGC | 60 | 2.5 | ASY3 cDNA cloning ( $4 n$ and $2 n$ arenosa) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { ASY3 } \\ \text { coding_TBG_R1 } \end{array}$ | TCAATCATCCCGCAAACATTCTGCGAC | 60 | 2.5 | ASY3 cDNA cloning (4n only) |
| ASY3 <br> coding_Ref_F1 | ATGAGCGACTATAGAAGCTACGGC | 60 | 2.5 | ASY3 cDNA cloning (2n lyrata only) |
| ASY3 <br> coding_Ref_R1 | TCAATCATCCCTCAAACATTCTGCGAC | 60 | 2.5 | ASY3 cDNA cloning (2n only) |
| PDS5 coding_152F | GAAAACTCCGACGCAGATTGTTTCCG | 60 | 4.5 | PDS5b cDNA cloning |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { PDS5 } \\ \text { coding_Ref_R1 } \end{array}$ | GTTCTCTGTCTACGACTTCTTCTGAGTC | 60 | 4.5 | PDS5b cDNA <br> cloning |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRD3 } \\ & \text { coding_TBG_F1 } \end{aligned}$ | ATGAATATAAACAAAGCCTGCGATCTG | 61 | 3.5 | PRD3 cDNA cloning |
| PRD3 coding_R1 | CATGAGTTGTTCCAATAGTTCATTCAGAATC | 61 | 3.5 | PRD3 cDNA cloning |
| SMC coding F2 | CGAAGGATTTAAGAGTTACAAAGAGCAAGTTG | 60 | 3.5 | SMC3 cDNA cloning |
| SMC coding R1 | CGAGAAAGATCAGTCCCACGATACCTGA | 60 | 3.5 | SMC3 cDNA cloning |
| SYN1 coding_F1 | GGATGGCCGCTACGTTGCAC | 60 | 2 | REC8 cDNA cloning |
| SYN1 <br> coding_TBG_R1 | TTACATGTTTGGTCCTCTAGCAATGAG | 60 | 2 | REC8 cDNA cloning |
| ZYP1a coding Ref F1 | CGGCGATGAAGAGCTTAGATAAACC | 60 | 3 | ZYP1a cDNA cloning |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZYP1a coding R1 | TCAATCAAATGCATACGGATCATCAGCG | 60 | 3 | ZYP1a cDNA cloning |
| ZYP1b coding Ref F1 | GGCGATGAAGAGCTTGGATCAACT | 60 | 3 | ZYP1b cDNA cloning |
| ZYP1b coding R1 | TCAATCAAATGCATAGGGATCATCAGCA | 60 | 3 | ZYP1b cDNA cloning |
| ASY3_6701F | TGCCAACTTAGGTCGCAAAAGCACAG |  |  | ASY3 cDNA sequencing |
| PDS5_925F | ACTGATCAGGTCGATGTGC |  |  | PDS5b cDNA sequencing |
| PDS5_2028F | AGATGCTTCCGAGGCAG |  |  | PDS5b cDNA sequencing |
| PDS5_3663R | CTGTACAACGATGATGGCA |  |  | PDS5b cDNA sequencing |
| PRD3_2459F | GACTAGCTTGAAGATGCCTGA |  |  | PRD3 cDNA sequencing |
| PRD3_5159R | CAAGAACTAAGTCATATTCCTCAG |  |  | PRD3 cDNA sequencing |
| SMC3_824F | CCTTAGATGAGTCCCTGAAAGAGC |  |  | SMC3 cDNA sequencing |
| SMC3_2392R | GAACTAGGCAAACTATCATCG |  |  | SMC3 cDNA sequencing |
| ZYP1_1124F | AGTGTTCAGTTGAGTGCAG |  |  | ZYP1a/ZYP1b cDNA sequencing |
| ASY1_all_671F | CGTTAATCAGCTGGAGTTGTTGC | 60 | 2.5 | ASY1 PCR for MiSeq |
| ASY1_all_R2 | AGGTGGTTATATGGTGTCTGATAGAGG | 60 | 2.5 | ASY1 PCR for MiSeq |
| ASY3_all_F | CGGCAGTAACTATCACCCATCAAGTC | 63 | 2.5 | ASY3 PCR for MiSeq |
| ASY3_all_R | GCGACAATCATCTTCAGCTGCAG | 63 | 2.5 | ASY3 PCR for MiSeq |
| PDS5_152F | GAAAACTCCGACGCAGATTGTTTCCG | 55 | 10 | PDS5b PCR for MiSeq |
| PDS5_all_R1 | CAATATTGTCACTTGTGTCGGTC | 55 | 10 | PDS5b PCR for MiSeq |
| PRD3_all_F2 | AAGCCTGCGATCTGAAATCTAT | 55 | 3 | PRD3 PCR for MiSeq |


| PRD3_all_R2 | CCAGTTTGAGTCTATTGCATCCA | 55 | 3 | PRD3 PCR for MiSeq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMC3_all_F1 | TATTATCGAAGGATTTAAGAGTTACAAAGAGC | 58 | 4 | SMC3 PCR for MiSeq |
| SMC3_all_R1 | GGTATCGTGGGACTGATCTTTCTCG | 58 | 4 | SMC3 PCR for MiSeq |
| SYN1_coding_F1 | GGATGGCCGCTACGTTGCAC | 55 | 3 | REC8 PCR for MiSeq |
| SYN1_all_R1 | GGTCCTCTAGCAATGAGAATGTC | 55 | 3 | REC8 PCR for MiSeq |
| ZYP1_all_F1 | CCTCCGGAAGTTTCTCGAAT | 59 | 3 | ZYP1a/ZYP1b PCR for MiSeq |
| ZYP1_all_R1 | AATCAAATGCATAGGGATCATCAGC | 59 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZYP1a/ZYP1b } \\ & \text { PCR for MiSeq } \end{aligned}$ |
| ASY3_DEL_2960F | GCGTCAGATACAGAAAGGAGATTGCT | 63 | 4 | ASY3 DEL gDNA cloning |
| ASY3_DEL_900R | ATAGCATTTAACCAGACAATACAGGAG | 63 | 4 | ASY3 DEL gDNA cloning |
| Exon1_SD_F2 | TTCTCAAGGGACAACAGGCCAAGCGTC | 69 | 0.5 | Partial ASY3 DEL cDNA cloning |
| Exon2_SD_R2 | TGGCCTAACACTGGTGAATCTTCTCCTGAACC | 69 | 0.5 | Partial ASY3 DEL cDNA cloning |
| ASY3_DEL_2960F | GCGTCAGATACAGAAAGGAGATTGCT | 55 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASY3 DEL } 3^{\prime} \\ & \text { RACE-PCR } \end{aligned}$ |

Primers used for cloning and sequencing.

## S6 Table.

| EnsembIPlants ID | Gene | Location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scaffold_201546.1 | ASY1 | Chr2:12427364:12431683 |
| Fgenesh2_kg.4_2929 | ASY3 | Chr4:22845898:22850613 |
| Scaffold_202722.1 | PDS5b | Chr2:17706052:17715900 |
| Scaffold_0001_57 | PRD3 | Chr1:220193:225616 |
| Fgenesh1_pg.C_scaffold_4000806 | SMC3 | Chr4:11123922:11131646 |
| Fgenesh1_pm.C_scaffold_6000400 | REC8 | Chr6:2001440:2005979 |
| Fgenesh00000004233 | ZYP1a | Chr1:9779047:9784303 |
| Scaffold_102525.1 | ZYP1b | Chr1:9786597:9791542 |

Meiosis genes from A.lyrata reference genome

S7 Table.

| Meiosis gene allele | SNP sequence | SNP ID | A. lyrata reference genome location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASY1 2n lyrata | CGGGGAAGGAIACG | ASY1 SNP1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2: } 12428792- \\ & 12428806 \end{aligned}$ |
| ASY1 2n arenosa | CGGG工̧AAGGACACG | ASY1 SNP2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2: } 12428792- \\ & 12428806 \end{aligned}$ |
| ASY1 4n lyrata and arenosa | GAGCCTCACAGGACA | ASY1 SNP3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2: 12427576- \\ & 12427591 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| ASY3 2n lyrata | GAGCAAGAGCAATACTCCACCATT <br> AATACAGAAAGGAGATTGC | ASY3 SNP1 <br> ASY3 SNP2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4: 22848787- \\ & 22848810 \\ & 4: 22848338- \\ & 22848356 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| ASY3 2n arenosa | GTCTCATCGGAAATTAGGGACTCTG IGAAGATTTAGTTCTGTCAGATCCG CCATTTTGAAGGAGG工 | ASY3 SNP3 ASY3 SNP4 <br> ASY3 SNP5 | 4: 2284956922849593 <br> 4: 22848150- <br> 22848174 <br> 4: 22846495- <br> 22846510 |
| ASY3 4n lyrata and arenosa | CACCTTGGAGGTCTCCGAGATCITCTCA TCGGAAATC | ASY3 SNP6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4: } 22849579- \\ & 22849615 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRD3 2n lyrata | CAACAAGCATCTCAGCAACTTCGATCTC <br> GTATTCTCAGGGA <br> AAAAĆTGCCTGAGCAGCGTG <br> CACCTGAACCAAGGGTTCAGGTTCCAA CAGC | PRD3 SNP1 <br> PRD3 SNP2 <br> PRD3 SNP3 <br> PRD3 SNP4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1: 225203- \\ & 225230 \\ & 1: 225174- \\ & 225186 \\ & 1: 223598- \\ & 223618 \\ & 1: 223713- \\ & 223743 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| PRD3 2n arenosa | CAAGCATCTCAGCAGCTTCGATCTC <br> CGCCTGAACCAAGGGTTCAGGTTCCAA CAGI | PRD3 SNP5 <br> PRD3 SNP6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1: 225203- \\ & 225227 \\ & 1: 223713- \\ & 223743 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| PRD3 4n lyrata and arenosa | CAAGCATCTCAGCAACAGTCG | PRD3 SNP7 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1: 225195- \\ 225227 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| SMC3 2n <br> lyrata | ITGGACGAAGAGAAAGAAGAACTG <br> GAAATTTTCAATCG <br> GCTGACTTGACAGAGCG | SMC3 SNP1 <br> SMC3 SNP2 <br> SMC3 SNP3 | 4: 11129747- <br> 11129770 <br> 4: 11129155- <br> 11129168 <br> 4: 11128183- <br> 11128199 |
| SMC3 2n arenosa | ATCAGGCGTTGGACTTI | SMC3 SNP4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4: } 11123952- \\ & 11123968 \end{aligned}$ |


| SMC3 4n lyrata and arenosa | AGAGCTTCAGATGE | SMC3 SNP5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4: 11129271- } \\ & 11129284 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDS5b 2n <br> lyrata | CCTGCTAAGCTTGCCACTTC CAAGCATGGTCTTCTTAAG <br> IATTCAGCTGCTGA | PDS5b SNP1 <br> PDS5b SNP2 <br> PDS5b SNP3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2: 17714482- \\ & 17714501 \\ & 2: 17715276- \\ & 17715294 \\ & 2: 17711927- \\ & 17711940 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| PDS5b 2n arenosa | CTCTCATTGAAAA <br> CAAGCATGGTCTTCTTAAA | PDS5b SNP4 PDS5b SNP5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2: } 17714470- \\ & 17714480 \\ & 2: 17715276- \\ & 17715294 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| PDS5b 4n <br> lyrata and arenosa | ITCTCATTCAAAGCTG <br> AAAGCATGGCCTTCTTAAA <br> CTGCTAAGCTTGCCACTTI | PDS5b SNP6 <br> PDS5b SNP7 <br> PDS5b SNP8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2: } 17714467- \\ & 17714482 \\ & \\ & 2: 17715276- \\ & 17715294 \\ & 2: 17714482- \\ & 17714500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| REC8 2n lyrata | GGAAATTAATGGAGCTTGGCGAACAAA <br> AGCTGTTCCGGATCCCACC <br> ATGGCAATTACATGGA <br> ATTACCTGAAAAACGI <br> ICCTGAAACTATTAACCGCCAGGCTGCT GATATTAATGTCACG | REC8 SNP1 <br> REC8 SNP2 <br> REC8 SNP3 <br> REC8 SNP4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6: } 2002341- \\ & 2002386 \\ & \text { 6: } 2002713- \\ & 2002728 \\ & \text { 6: } 2005415- \\ & 2005430 \\ & \text { 6: } 2004988- \\ & 2005030 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| REC8 2n lyrata and arenosa | CCACGAGCAACAGGAG <br> CAAAAAAAAGAGCAAGAAA | REC8 SNP5 <br> REC8 SNP6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6: } 2004088- \\ & 2004103 \\ & \text { 6: } 2004254- \\ & 2004274 \end{aligned}$ |
| REC8 2n arenosa | AA﹎TTCTTACCCGCCT <br> CAGCGCAGTGACACA <br> CCCTGAAACTATTAACCGCCAAGGCTGAT <br> ATAAATGTCACGCCG | REC8 SNP7 <br> REC8 SNP8 <br> REC8 SNP9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6: } 2004543- \\ & 2004558 \\ & \text { 6: } 2004875- \\ & 2004889 \\ & \text { 6: } 2004988- \\ & 2005033 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| REC8 4n lyrata and arenosa | TCCTGAAACTATTAACCGCCAIGCTGCT GATATTAATGTCACACCA ATCCTGATCTCTGTGCAGA | REC8 SNP10 <br> REC8 SNP11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6: } 2004988- \\ & 2005033 \\ & \text { 6: } 2004702- \\ & 2004720 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| ZYP1a 2n lyrata | GAAGGATCAGGCGGCI <br> GAAAAAGTCATIGGAGCATGTT | ZYP1a SNP1 <br> ZYP1a SNP2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 9784082- \\ & 9784097 \\ & 1: 9783815- \\ & 9783836 \end{aligned}$ |


|  | GAGACATGTCTTTAAGATTAGI <br> GTCCACTACTTGGTAGCTGAGTTGACTG AAC <br> GGATTIGTTTGGCAAAAGAGG | ZYP1a SNP3 <br> ZYP1a SNP4 <br> ZYP1a SNP5 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1: 9783449- \\ 9783470 \\ 1: 9782764- \\ 9782794 \\ 1: 9782127- \\ 9782146 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZYP1a 2n arenosa | GAAGGATCAGGCGGCG GAAAAAGTCAATGGAGCATGTI AAGACATGTCTTTAAGATTAGT <br> ITCCACTACTTGGTAGCTGAGTTGACTG AAC <br> AGATTTGTTGGCAAAAGA | ZYP1a SNP6 ZYP1a SNP7 ZYP1a SNP8 ZYP1a SNP9 ZYP1a SNP10 | $1: 9784082-$ 9784097 $1: 9783815-$ 9783836 $1: 9783449-$ 9783470 $1: 9782764-$ 9782794 $1: 9782129-$ 9782146 |
| ZYP1a 4n lyrata and arenosa | AGAATTGGAGGAGCTCAAACTCGAGAA ACAACG <br> GTTGCTGCAAAAAGACAGAGATCTTGC ATTGGAI CAAGAGCAAGAAGTGAACTCIAAI | ZYP1a SNP11 <br> ZYP1a SNP12 <br> ZYP1a SNP13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 9783296- \\ & 9783328 \\ & \\ & 1: 9782660- \\ & 9782693 \\ & 1: 9780647- \\ & 9780670 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { ZYP1b } 2 n$ <br> lyrata | GGTGATCAACTTACTGA ACTGCTAAAGAAGAAAT ACAACTGCAGCTGAA GACCTTGTTAGGATCCA ATCAAGGGAAAGTTTGA GAATTATCAAGGAGCTGTCCIT AAACAICGATGGCCG | ZYP1b SNP1 ZYP1b SNP2 ZYP1b SNP3 ZYP1b SNP4 ZYP1b SNP5 ZYP1b SNP6 ZYP1b SNP7 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1: 9787261- \\ 9787277 \\ 1: 9787505- \\ 9787521 \\ 1: 9787931- \\ 9787945 \\ 1: 9788027- \\ 9788043 \\ 1: 9788198- \\ 9788214 \\ 1: 9789400- \\ 9789421 \\ 1: 9791421- \\ 9791435 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\text { ZYP1b } 2 n$ <br> arenosa | GAACTGTTTGAAAA AAGGAGCATGAGAGTAA CAGGAGCATGAGAGTAA AAACAGTGATGGCC AAACAGCGATGGCC | ZYP1b SNP8 ZYP1b SNP9 ZYP1b SNP10 ZYP1b SNP11 ZYP1b SNP13 | 1: $9788090-$ 9788104 $1: 9789916-$ 9789932 $1: 9789916-$ 9789932 $1: 9791421-$ 9791434 $1: 9791421-$ 9791434 |


| ZYP1b 4n lyrata and arenosa | AAAGGATCAGGCGGCG | ZYP1b SNP14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: 9784064- } \\ & 9784087 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IAGAGTTAGGCAAAAGGAAGG | ZYP1b SNP15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 9787188- \\ & 9787208 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | GGCAAATGCGAGACATITCTTTAAG | ZYP1b SNP16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 9787473- \\ & 9787497 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | GCAAAAGAAACACCTGTGTCAAAAATA TTG | ZYP1b SNP17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 9790679- \\ & 9790708 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | CGAAGCGAGCATGTAAGAGTGAGGGC AGACAATA | ZYP1b SNP18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 9790525- \\ & 9790558 \end{aligned}$ |

Specific SNPs used to discriminate $2 n(A$. lyrata and A. arenosa) and $4 n$ meiosis alleles are underlined. The SNP IDs relate to the SNPs in the annotated alignments in Fig S5.
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| ASY1 | ASY3 | PDS5B | PRD3 | REC8 | SMC3 | ZYP1a | ZYP1b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

自 4 n Hom 官 Het 审 2n Hom



| Hyrata |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Derived | \(\begin{aligned} \& Arenosa <br>

\& Derived\end{aligned} $$
\begin{aligned} & \text { Lyrata } \\
& \text { Ancestral }\end{aligned}
$$ $$
\begin{aligned} & \text { Arenosa } \\
& \text { Ancestral }\end{aligned}
$$\) Chimera
A. Examples of stable metaphase I chromosome spreads

B. Examples of unstable metaphase I chromosome spreads


S1 Fig. Examples of stable (A) and unstable (B) male meiotic metaphase 1 chromosome spreads. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{M}$

## Nucleotide alignments

## A



B


## C











## D




G




SFig 2. Nucleotide alignments of $\operatorname{ASY1}$ (A), $A S Y 3$ (B), PDS5b (C), PRD3 (D), REC8 (E), SMC3 (F), ZYP1a $(\mathrm{G})$ and ZYP1b $(\mathrm{H})$ in diploid and autotetraploid $A$. arenosa and $A$. lyrata, in addition to $A$. thaliana. The location of diploid and autotetraploid allele specific SNPs are indicated. Black bars indicate SNPs relative to the consensus sequence (not shown).

A

A.arenosa 2 n (SNO) ASY1 пип
A.lyrata $2 n$ (PER) ASY1

$\qquad$
A.arenosa 4 n (WEK) ASY1 $\quad$ en er

|  | 200 | 220 | 240 | 2610 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 3610 | 379 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consensus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.arenosa 2n (SNO) ASY1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.lyrata 2n (PER) ASY1 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.lyrata 2n (REF) ASY1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.lyrata 4n (KAG) ASY1 | \| 【 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.arenosa 4n (TBG) ASY1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.arenosa 4n (WEK) ASY1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 399 | 419 | 439 | 4599 | 479 | 499 | 519 | 539 | 559 |  | 596 |



## B



A.lyrata 2 n (PER) ASY3_ND ппи $\boldsymbol{\text { п }}$
A.lyrata 2n (PER) ASY3_ND A.lyrata $2 n$ (REF) ASY3_ND
A.arenosa $2 n$ (SNO) ASY3
A.lyrata $4 n$ (KAG) ASY3_SD



C


## Amino acid polymorphisms

D


E

A.arenosa 2 n (SNO) REC8 A.lyrata $2 n$ (PER) REC8
A.lyrata $2 n$ (REF) REC8 $\qquad$
$\qquad$
A.lyrata 4n (KAG) REC8
$\qquad$
A. arenosa $4 n$ (TBG) REC8 $\qquad$



## Amino acid polymorphisms

F


G


SFig 3. In silico translation alignments of ASY1 (A), ASY3 (B), PRD3 (C), PDS5b (D), REC8 (E), ZYP1a (F) and ZYP1b (G) showing conserved amino acid polymorphisms in autotetraploids compared to ancestral diploid alleles. Gains, losses and no change of predicted phosphosites are indicated in blue, yellow and green respectively.


SFig 4. Summary of conserved amino acid polymorphisms in derived autotetraploid proteins compared to ancestral diploids including gains and losses of predicted serine/threonine phosphosites by KinasePhos2.0 and NetPhos3.1
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AT1G67370.1_ASY1
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AT1G77600_PDS5



SFig 5. Phylogenetic trees of meiosis genes indicating origin of alleles selected in the autotetraploids constructed using a Bayesian approach with MrBayes v3.2.6. (A) ASY1, (B) ASY3, (C) PDS5b, (D) PRD3, (E) REC8, (F) SMC3, (G) ZYPla, (H) ZYPlb. Bayesian posterior probabilities are indicated at the internodes of each branch (1000 replicates). The dissimilarity scale showing substitutions per nucleotide is located at the bottom of each tree.

## PhyML

A


## C

D


PhyML


SFig 6. Phylogenetic trees of meiosis genes indicating origin of alleles selected in the autotetraploids constructed using a maximum likelihood approach with PhyML v3.3. (A) ASY1, (B) ASY3, (C) PDS5b, (D) PRD3, (E) REC8, (F) SMC3, (G) ZYP1a, (H) ZYP1b. Maximum likelihood bootstrap values are indicated at the internodes of each branch (1000 replicates). The dissimilarity scale showing substitutions per nucleotide is located at the bottom of each tree.
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SFig 7. Nucleotide alignments showing examples of putative SC gene conversionmediated protein polymorphisms. (A) ZYP1 gene conversion (or CO) between ZYP1a (grey) and ZYP1b (red) in autotetraploid ZYP1b alleles, (B) gene conversion between PRD3 diploid A. lyrata (green) and A. arenosa (green) in tetraploid A. arenosa. Coloured bars in each sequence represent base specific SNPs relative to ancestral diploid alleles (Green $=\mathrm{A}$, Blue $=\mathrm{C}$, Black $=\mathrm{G}, \operatorname{Red}=\mathrm{T})$.

A



SFig 8. Nucleotide alignments showing examples of putative SC gene conversionmediated protein polymorphisms. (A) gene conversion (or CO ) between PDS5b diploid (yellow) and autotetraploid (green) alleles. (B) Gene conversion (or CO) between ASY1 diploid A. lyrata (yellow) and autotetraploid A. arenosa (green). Coloured bars in each sequence represent base specific SNPs relative to ancestral diploid alleles (Green $=\mathrm{A}$, Blue $=\mathrm{C}$, Black $=\mathrm{G}$, Red $=\mathrm{T}$ ).

