Buffering Agent Induced Lactose Content Increases via Growth
Hormone-Mediated Activation of Gluconeogenesis in Lactating
Goats
Authorship
Lin Li ¹ , MeiLin He ¹ , Ying Liu ¹ , Yuanshu Zhang ^{1,*}
Author Addresses
¹ Key Laboratory of Animal Physiology and Biochemistry, Ministry of Agriculture,
Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, PR China
Corresponding Author Contact Information
*Corresponding author. Yuanshu Zhang. E-mail address: zhangyuanshu@njau.edu.cn

Abstract:

Dairy goats are often fed a high-concentrate (HC) diet to meet lactation demands; however, long-term concentrate feeding is unhealthy and decreases milk yield and lactose content. Therefore, we tested whether a buffering agent increases the output of glucose in the liver and influences of lactose synthesis. In this study, sixteen lactating goats were randomly assigned to two groups: one group received a HC diets (Concentrate: Forage = 6:4, HG), and the other group received the same diet with a buffering agent added (0.2% NaHCO3, 0.1% MgO, BG) as a treatment for 19-weeks experimental period. The results showed that the total volatile fatty acids and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) declined in the rumen leading to the rumen pH was stabilized in the BG group. Milk yield and lactose content increased. The alanine aminotransferase, aspartate transaminase, alkaline phosphatase, pro-inflammatory cytokines, LPS and lactate content in the plasma was significantly decreased, whereas prolactin and growth hormone levels were increased. The hepatic vein content of glucose was increased. In addition, the expression of pyruvate carboxylase (PC),

- 39 phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) and glucose-6-phosphatase (G6PC) in
- 40 the liver was significantly up-regulated. In mammary gland, the glucose transporter
- 41 type-1, 8, 12 and sodium-glucose cotransporter-1 levels were increased. Cumulatively,
- 42 the buffering agent treatment increased blood concentrations of glucose via the
- 43 gluconeogenes and promoting their synthesis in the liver. It may contribute to
- 44 the increase in milk yield and lactose synthesis of lactating goats.
- 45 **Keywords**: Magnesium oxide, Sodium bicarbonate, high-concentrate diet, Hepatic
- 46 gluconeogenesis

47 Introduction

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

In the dairy industry, it is currently common practice to feed a high-concentrate (HC)

diet to lactating cows or goats to meet their energy requirements to support high milk

production. However, long-term high concentrate feeding is harmful to the health of

ruminants and leads to a decrease in milk yield [1]. It was reported that feeding of HC

diets to lactating cows causes a decline in the rumen pH if organic acids, such as

volatile fatty acid (VFA) and lactic acid, accumulate in the rumen[2]. Digestion of a

HC diets results in less production of saliva and bicarbonate, and reduced buffering

capacity coupled with greater accumulation of organic acids has been reported to

increase the incidence of subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA)[3]. A rumen pH of less

than 5.8 for over 4 h per day is used as a parameter to determine the occurrence of

SARA[4]. In addition, decreased rumen pH results in the release of

lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which originate from the cell-wall component of

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

gram-negative bacteria[5]. Previous studies have shown that LPS can translocate into the bloodstream from the digestive tract under conditions of high permeability, and after injury to the liver tissue [6]. In the ruminant, lactose constitutes about 40% of total solids in milk composition. As lactose maintains the osmolarity of milk, the rate of lactose synthesis serves as a major control of the volume of milk yield [7]. Glucose is the main precursor of lactose synthesis in the epithelial cell of the mammary gland, however, the mammary gland cannot synthesize glucose from other precursors due to the lack of glucose 6-phosphatase (G6PC)[8]. Therefore, the mammary gland is dependent on the blood supply for its glucose needs and as a consequence, mammary glucose uptake is a rate-limiting factor for milk yield[9]. Liver glycometabolism of ruminants is different from monogastric animals. In the lactating dairy cows, glucose is supplied primarily by hepatic gluconeogenesis to maintain stable blood glucose[10]. Dairy cows experience an increased demand for glucose to support whole body glucose metabolism and to supply glucose for lactose synthesis[11]. Therefore, liver gluconeogenesis plays an important role in the lactose synthesis of mammary gland. Buffering agent could enhance the acid base buffer capacity and has been used to prevent ruminant rumen SARA and improve the production performance. Previous studies indicated that the addition of sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3), magnesium oxide (MgO) to a diet could be given to lactating cows to increase the content of lactose, as well as milk yield[12]. It is well documented that dietary addition of 2% NaHCO3 could increase the buffering capacity and prevent the acidosis in rumen[13].

However, at present, the research of buffering agent is focused on the composition and production of milk from dairy cows. Furthermore, little is known regarding the mechanism of how a buffering agent improves milk yield and lactose content in goats. In this study, we created a buffering agent consisting of (0.2% NaHCO3, 0.1% MgO) and mixed it with a high-concentrate diet source that was fed to lactating goats. We then investigated the effect of this buffering agent on the development of SARA and

milk yield and lactose content to elucidate potential mechanisms for this phenomenon.

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval

88

89

90

99

100

101

102

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Nanjing Agricultural University 91 92 (Nanjing, People's Republic of China) approved all of the procedures (surgical 93 procedures and care of goats). The protocol of this study was reviewed and approved specifically, with the project number 2011CB100802. The slaughter and sampling 94 95 procedures strictly followed the 'Guidelines on Ethical Treatment of Experimental 96 Animals' (2006) no. 398 set by the Ministry of Science and Technology, China and the 97 'Regulation regarding the Management and Treatment of Experimental Animals' 98 (2008) no. 45 set by the Jiangsu Provincial People's Government.

Animals and experimental procedures

Sixteen healthy multiparous mid-lactating saanen goats (body weight, 39 ± 7 kg, mean \pm SEM, 3-4 weeks post-partum) at the age of 2-4 years were used in experiments. They were housed in individual stalls in a standard animal feeding house

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

at Nanjing Agricultural University (Nanjing, China). All goats were randomly divided into two groups: one group recieved a high-concentrate diet (Concentrate: Forage = 6:4, HG, n=8), and the other group received the same diet with a buffering agent added (0.2% NaHCO3, 0.1% MgO, purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, China, BG, n=8) as a treatment. The ingredients and nutritional composition of the diets are presented in Table 1. The animals were fed the respective diets for 19 weeks, and had free access to water during the experimental period. Prior to the initiation of the experiment, all of the goats were installed rumen fistula and hepatic catheters. Specific steps are as follows: First, all goats were installed rumen cannula, and indwelt with hepatic and portal vein catheters, under general anaesthesia by inhalation of isoflurane (2.5% in 1:1 mixture of oxygen and air; Abbott Scandinavia AB, Solna, Sweden). Reflexes (pupillary and palpebral) and respiratory rate were monitored before and during the surgery to verify anaesthetic depth. Next, a small cannula constructed from polyvinylchloride was inserted into the rumen of each goat[14]. After rumen fistula surgery, all goats were ruminally cannulated, fitted with indwelling catheters in the portal vein and hepatic vein[15]. At the end of these procedures the goats received an intramuscular injection of flunixin meglumine [2 mg (kg body weight)⁻¹], an anti-inflammatory and analgesic (Banamine; Mantecorp Ind. Quím. e Farm. Ltda, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) and their respiratory movements were monitored until they regained consciousness. Goats were continuously monitored for 1 h after the surgery, and all animals used in the experiments on the day after

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

presented in a good and stable clinical condition with no signs of inflammation or pain problems. After surgery, goats were observed for 2 weeks during recovery from the surgery. Sterilized heparin saline (500 IU/mL, 0.3 mL/time) was administered at 6-hour intervals every day until the end of the experiment to prevent catheters from becoming blocked. Rumen fluid collection and analysis Fifteen minutes prior to feed delivery and 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h after feed delivery on 7 consecutive days during week 19, 20 mL rumen fluids was collected with a nylon bag and the pH value was measured immediately with pH-meter. The rumen fluid was collected and each sample was transferred into a 50-mL sterile tube and kept on ice until transported to the laboratory for the initial processing before LPS determination. Another part of each rumen fluid sample was centrifuged at 3,200 × g for 10 min at 4°C immediately after collection and the supernatant was collected. To analyze VFA in ruminal fluid, a 5-mL aliquot was deproteinized with 1 mL of 25% metaphosphoric acid. These samples were stored at -20°C until analysis. The concentration of LPS in rumen fluid was measured by a Chromogenic End-point Tachypleus Amebocyte Lysate Assay Kit (Chinese Horseshoe Crab Reagent Manufactory Co. Ltd, Xiamen, China). Pretreated rumen fluid samples were diluted until their LPS concentrations were in the range of 0.1-1.0 endotoxin units (EU)/mL relative to the reference endotoxin.

VFA were measured using capillary column gas chromatography (GC-14B, Shimadzu,

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

Japan; Capillary Column: 30 m × 0.32 mm × 0.25 mm film thickness; Column temperature = 110° C, injector temperature = 180° C, detector temperature = 180° C). Plasma biochemical parameters analysis In the 19th week, blood samples were collected from the jugular vein, hepatic vein and portal vein blood in 10 mL vacuum tubes containing sodium heparin. Blood was centrifuged at 3000 x g for 15 min to separate the plasma, which was then stored at -20°C until analysis. The plasma glucose content was quantified using a Beckman Kurt AU5800 series automatic biochemical analyzer (Beckman Kurt, USA) at the General Hospital of Nanjing Military Region (Nanjing, China). The growth hormone (GH), tumor necrosis factor-a (TNF-a), interleukin 1β (IL-1β) concentration in the plasma were measured by radioimmunoassay with commercially available human radioimmunoassay kits purchased from Beijing North Institute of Biological Technology. The detection range of radioimmunoassay kits for GH (rabbit, B12PZA), TNF-a (rabbit, C06PZA) and IL-1β (rabbit, C09PDA) were 0.1-50 ng/mL, 1-10 ng/mL and 0.1-8.1 ng/mL, respectively. All of the procedures were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The analyses for the prolactin, glucocorticoids, histamine and lactate were performed using Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) kit (Shanghai Enzyme-linked Biotechnology Co. Ltd, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The detected range of ELISA kits for prolactin, glucocorticoids,

histamine and lactate were 5-2000 pg/mL, 0-80 ng/mL, 2-600 ng/mL and 0.1-30

mmol/mL, respectively. The LPS concentration were determined using a chromogenic endpoint assay (CE64406, Chinese Horseshoe Crab Reagent Manufactory Co., Ltd., Xiamen, China) with a minimum detection limit of 0.01 EU/mL. The procedures were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Milk composition analysis

Goats were milked at 8:30 h and 18:30 h, and the milk yield was recorded daily. A 50-mL milk sample was taken to determine the lactose content once a week (Milk-Testing™ Milkoscan 4000, FOSS, Denmark) at the Animal Experiment Center of College of Animal Science and Technology at the Nanjing Agricultural University.

Sample collection

In the 19th week, mammary gland tissues were obtained by biopsy after 4 h after the morning feeding. Local anesthesia (2% lidocaine hydrochloride) was administered into breast skin in a circular pattern surrounding the incision site, then a 2cm incision was made and mammary gland tissue was dissected. Tissue samples (500-800 mg) were rinsed with 0.9% saline, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and were used for RNA extraction. Goats were slaughtered after overnight fasting. Incisions were sutured, and antibiotics were administered intramuscularly to avoid infection.

After 19 weeks, all goats were killed with neck vein injections of xylazine [0.5 mg (kg body weight)⁻¹; Xylosol; Ogris Pharme, Wels, Austria] and pentobarbital [50 mg (kg body weight)⁻¹; Release; WDT, Garbsen, Germany]. After slaughter, liver tissue was collected and washed twice with cold physiological saline (0.9% NaCl) to

remove blood. Livers were then transferred into liquid nitrogen and used for RNA and protein extraction.

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from liver samples using TRIzol reagent (15596026, Invitrogen, USA) and converted to cDNA using commercial kits (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). All PCR primers were synthesized by Generay Company (Shanghai, China); the primer sequences are listed in Table 2. PCR was performed using the AceQ qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix Kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) and the MyiQ2 Real-time PCR System (Bio-Rad, USA) with the following cycling conditions: 95°C for 2 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 30 sec. Glyeraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) served as a reference for normalization. The 2^{-□□Ct} method was used to analyse the real-time PCR results, and each gene mRNA level is expressed as the fold change relative to the mean value of the control group.

Western blot analysis

Total protein was extracted from frozen liver samples, and the concentration was determined using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). We isolated 30 µg of total protein from each sample, which was subjected to electrophoresis on a 10% SEMS-PAGE gel. The separated proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio Trace, Pall Co., USA). The blots were incubated with the following primary antibodies for overnight at 4°C at dilutions of 1:1000 in block: rb-anti-phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (rb-anti-PEPCK, #12940, CST),

rb-anti-glucose transporter type 1 (rb-anti-GLUT1, ab14683, Abacm) and rb-anti-glucose transporter type 12 (rb-anti-GLUT12, ab100993, Abacm). A rb-anti-GAPDH primary antibody (A531, Bioworld, China, 1:10,000) was also incubated with the blots to provide a reference for normalization. After washing the membranes, an incubation with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody was performed for 2 h at room temperature. Finally, the blots were washed, and the signal was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) using the LumiGlo substrate (Super Signal West Pico Trial Kit, Pierce, USA). The ECL signal was recorded using an imaging system (Bio-Rad, USA) and analyzed with Quantity One software (Bio-Rad, USA).

Statistical analysis

The results were expressed as mean \pm SEM. The data of ruminal pH and glucose in plasma of hepatic vein, portal vein and jugular vein were analyzed for differences due to diet, feeding time, and their interactions by Univariate using the General Linear Models of SPSS 11.0 for Windows (StatSoft Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA). The differences in milk yield, lactose content, plasma biochemical index, mRNA and protein expression between two groups were analyzed by Independent-Samples T test using the Compare Means of SPASS 11.0 for Windows (StaSoft Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA). Data were considered statistically significant if P < 0.05, P < 0.01. The numbers of replicates used for statistics are noted in the Tables and Figures.

Results 230 231 The buffering agent treatment increased daily milk yield and lactose content in 232 lactating goats We quantified the daily milk yield and lactose content in the milk of the two 233 234 experimental groups from 1 to 19 weeks of treatment. During week 1 to week 2, 235 there was no significant difference in average daily milk yield and lactose content between BG goats and HG goats. However, the average daily milk yield (P < 0.05)236 237 and lactose content (P < 0.01) increased significantly in the BG group from 3-19 238 weeks of treatment compared to the HG group (Fig. 1). 239 The buffering agent treatment stabilized ruminal fluid pH in lactating goats fed a 240 high-concentrate diet 241 After feeding 19 weeks, the dynamic pH curve in the BG group was higher than that 242 in the HG group during the long-term experiment. It showed that a pH value under 5.8 243 lasted for 6 h in the HG group, which indicated that SARA was successfully induced. The pH value of the BG group was significantly increased in comparison to those in 244 245 the HG group (P < 0.05). However, ruminal pH was affected significantly by 246 digesting time, while there was no interaction of digesting time and diet on ruminal 247 pH (P > 0.05; Fig. 2). VFA and LPS concentrations in rumen fluid 248

As shown in Table 3, BG goats showed significantly lower level of LPS concentration

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

in rumen fluid than HG goats. Concentrations of total VFA, propionate, butyrate in rumen fluid were significantly decreased in BG goats compared to HG (P < 0.05). However, the ratio of propionate to butyrate in the rumen was significantly elevated in the BG group (P < 0.05). The buffering agent treatment changed plasma hormone, enzyme, primary pro-inflammatory cytokines and metabolic produced in the lactating goats As shown in Table 4, the plasma content of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST) and alkaline phosphatase (AKP) were significantly lower in the BG group compared to the HG group (P < 0.05). Although the plasma content of lactic dehydrogenase (LDH) was declined, there was no significant difference between BG and HG groups. The pro-inflammatory cytokines including TNF-a and IL-1 β in the BG were significantly lower than that in the HG goats (P < 0.05). Meanwhile, we found that the metabolism products of LPS, histamine and lactate content were also lower in the BG goats compared to the HG goats. Among them, LPS and lactate were significant different (P < 0.05). Moreover, the BG goats showed significantly higher levels of GH and prolactin concentration in plasma than HG goats, while there was no significant difference of glucocorticoids concentration in plasma between BG and HG goats. The buffering agent treatment regulated enzymes required for glucose transfer in the mammary gland of lactating goats We found that the mRNA expression of glucose transporter type 1 (GLUT1), glucose

transporter type 8 (GLUT 8), glucose transporter type 12 (GLUT12) and sodium-glucose cotransporter 1 (SGLT1) were also higher in the BG goats compared to expression in the HG goats. In particular, expression of GLUT1 and SGLT1 were significantly higher than that in the HG goats (P < 0.05). The level of GLUT1 protein expression in the mammary gland was significantly up-regulated in BG goats compared to HG (P < 0.05). Additionally, there was a tendency increase in protein expression of GLUT12 in BG goats (Fig. 3).

The buffering agent treatment increased production of glucose in the liver

We next examined glucose in plasma obtained from the jugular vein, hepatic vein and portal vein of both treatment groups. After 19 weeks feeding, the jugular and hepatic vein content of glucose was significantly increased in the BG group compared to the HG group (P < 0.01). The portal vein content of glucose was increased, but there was no significant difference between BG and HG groups. Compared to HG group, we found that the glucose content of BG group was significantly higher in the hepatic vein compared to the portal vein (P < 0.05, Table 5). This indicates that more glucose is produced in the liver. It is possible that the synthesis of glucose was activated following treatment with the buffering agent.

The buffering agent treatment regulated enzymes required for gluconeogenesis

and GHR in the livers of lactating goats

We found that the mRNA expression of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) and pyruvate carboxylase (PC), glucose-6-phosphatase (G6PC) was higher in the BG

goats compared to expression in the HG goats. In particular, expression of PEPCK and G6PC were significantly higher than that in the HG goats (P < 0.05). The level of PEPCK protein expression in the liver was significantly up-regulated in BG goats compared to HG (P < 0.05). This is consistent with our previous observation that PEPCK mRNA expression increases in BG goats (Fig. 4). Taken together, these results suggested that treatment with the buffering agent promoted the gluconeogenesis in the liver. The level of GHR expression in the liver was significantly up-regulated in BG goats compared to HG (P < 0.05, Fig. 5).

Discussion

In recent years, dairy goats are often fed HC diets to meet the energy demand for high milk yields. However, the consumption of a HC diets is harmful to the health of dairy goats [16,17]. It's well documented that feeding HC diets to ruminants results in subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA), a common metabolic disease especially occurred in high-producing animals. The root cause is that excessive amounts of rapidly fermentable nonstructural carbohydrates increase the accumulation of organic acids and shift of microbial population in gastrointestinal tract in ruminants [18]. Moreover, an increased amount of fermentable carbohydrates, such as starch, pass through the forestomach to the intestinal tract in acidosis, which accelerates intestinal tract fermentation[19]. It ultimately affects the intestinal absorption of nutrients. Importantly, in accordance with previous research, long-term feeding a high-concentrate diet could induce the depression of the content of lactose and milk yield[20].

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

The NaHCO3 could increase the buffering capacity and prevent acidosis in the rumen. It was reported that the rumen pH profile improved and a higher yield of milk and milk solids when NaHCO3 was supplemented to a high-concentrate diet [21]. Previous studies indicated that the addition of NaHCO3 and MgO to restricted-roughage rations for goats could increase the content of lactose and milk yield [22]. Prolactin is involved in the development of the mammary gland, and the start and continuation of lactation by influencing lactogenesis. It is responsible for the synthesis of the lactose and milk production found in milk [23]. In our experiment, the duration of a rumen pH less than 5.8 lasted for 4h in the goats fed a high-concentrate diet. According to the definition of experimental SARA, HG goats were suffering from SARA disease. However, after feeding 19 weeks, the buffering agent added to the high-concentrate diet stabilized ruminal pH and prevented the occurrence of SARA. Meanwhile, a increase in the milk yield and lactose content was observed in the BG group. The concentrations of prolactin in blood was also markedly increased. Therefore, its increased levels in the blood are associated with milk yield and lactose content improvement. It is well known that the feeding of HC diets leads to the translocation of LPS from gram-negative bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract into the circulating blood. Other studies showed that feeding a diet containing 60% concentrate to lactating goats elevated blood LPS concentrations[24]. The increased levels of circulating LPS also can elevated the concentration of blood pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and TNF-α and activation of liver inflammatory responses [25,26]. The biochemical parameters

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

ALT, AST and AKP in peripheral blood are common indicators used to assess the status of liver function²⁷. In particular, ALT is a specific parameter that reflects hepatocyte damage. In the present study, we observed that feeding of a HC diets induced the massive release of LPS in the rumen, which could trigger a local or systemic inflammatory response after the translocation of LPS into the bloodstream. Furthermore, our data demonstrated that the feeding of a HC diets significantly increased the concentrations of LPS, TNF-α and IL-1β in the plasma. The increase in blood pro-inflammatory cytokines is consistent with the translocation of LPS and activation of inflammatory responses. In addition, the concentrations of ALT, ALP and AKP in peripheral blood were also higher in the HG goats compared to the BG goats. These results provide insight into feeding the HC diets resulted in the breach of hepatocytes releasing these enzymes into the circulation. Importantly, the results showed that those pro-inflammatory cytokines, LPS, TNF-α and IL-1β in the plasma of the BG goats were significantly lower than that in the HG goats. Therefore, we hypothesized that the buffering agent added to the high-concentrate diet reduced the release of the rumen LPS and stabilized the body health of lactating goats. Compared to monogastric animals, glucose is supplied primarily by hepatic gluconeogenesis to maintain stable blood glucose content in the ruminants¹⁰. Therefore, the liver plays a crucial physiological role in the body, and is responsible for glucose metabolism. Our study documented that feeding HC diet to lactating goats for a long time leads to the LPS-cytokines-induced the inflammatory response, and increases the consumption and catabolism of glucose in liver [28]. GH is a polypeptide

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

hormone synthesized and secreted by the anterior pituitary gland, which plays a key role in regulating ruminant mammary gland development and lactation [29]. It is important for regulating glycometabolism, in part through its promotion of gluconeogenesis in the liver [30]. The body's health is essential to the normal production of hormones. However, the increased translocation of LPS may into the brain via the blood enhances the inflammatory response, which might be ultimately affect on the levels of the growth hormone. PEPCK and G6PC are two key hepatic gluconeogenic enzymes the expression and activity of which are increased hepatic glucose output [31]. PC is the first regulatory enzyme in gluconeogenic pathway that converts pyruvate to oxaloacetate in gluconeogenesis [32]. Major glucose precursors of ruminant liver include propionate, amino acids and lactate. It has been documented that the increased proportion of propionate may be related to glycogenesis in ruminant. Because most VFA emerge in the portal vein after absorption from the digestive tract [33], alterations in proportion of propionate influence the gluconeogenesis in the liver. Therefore, the liver gluconeogenesis plays a crucial physiological role in maintaining the body blood sugar levels, as it is the main organ for glucose storage, in the form of glycogen, as well as endogenous glucose production [34]. Our results indicated that the buffering agent added to the high-concentrate diet significantly decreased the total VFA, propionate and butyrate levels in the ruminal fluid. However, the ratio of propionate to butyrate was increased in the BG group. We also observed that the buffering agent treatment promoted the expression of PEPCK, PC and G6PC, indicating that gluconeogenesis in the liver was increased. In addition, the BG diets

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

increased glucose content in hepatic vein. The plasma GH and GHR levels were also increased in the BG goats: elevated GH increases the glucose content and activity of gluconeogenesis in the liver. Meanwhile, the buffering agent added to the HC diet inhibited the consumption of glucose and stabilized the liver health of lactating goats. Taken together, these findings suggest that the feeding of BG diets can promote liver gluconeogenesis by the increased proportion of propionate in the rumen, and the increased entry of glucose into the blood through the hepatic vein. In lactating animals, providing glucose for the mammary gland is a metabolic priority because glucose is the primary precursor for lactose synthesis in the mammary gland. Once taken up by the lactating mammary epithelial cell, glucose is either used in the synthesis of lactose or processed by glycolysis to provide energy. Lactose is synthesized from free glucose and uridine diphosphate (UDP)-galactose by lactose synthase catalysis [35]. The mammary gland itself cannot synthesize glucose from other precursors because of the lack of glucose-6-phosphatase [8,36]. Therefore, the mammary gland is dependent on the blood supply for its glucose needs. In addition, lactose maintains the osmolarity of milk, the rate of lactose synthesis serves as a major factor influencing milk yield. It is also indicated that lactose synthesis and milk yield show a linear or positive correlation with glucose uptake in the mammary gland of goats and cows [37,38]. Glucose uptake in the mammary gland is increased dramatically during lactation. As is known, glucose transport across the plasma membranes of mammalian cells is carried out by 2 distinct processes: facilitative transport, mediated by a family of facilitative glucose transporters (GLUT); and sodium-dependent transport, mediated by the Na+/glucose cotransporters (SGLT) [39]. An early study demonstrated that facilitated GLUT 1, GLUT 8, GLUT 12 and SGLT1 have different expression in mammary gland [40]. The GLUT1 is ubiquitously expressed in lactating cow tissues, being most abundant in the mammary gland and kidney and lowest in the omental fat and skeletal muscle [41]. SGLT1 play a important role in glucose transport of Golgi membrane [42]. In our experiment, we found that the glucose content in the plasma of the jugular vein was increased in the BG group compared to the HG group. GLUT 1, GLUT 8, GLUT 12 and SGLT1 expression in mammary gland were also elevated in the BG goats. Moreover, the level of GLUT 1 protein was significantly enhanced in mammary gland of BG goats. Taken together, it is indicated that the buffering agent added to the high-concentrate diet led to the translocation of more glucose from the peripheral blood into the mammary epithelial cells and thereby increased the milk yield and lactose content.

Conclusions

In summary, we systematically investigated the effects of the buffering agent on milk quality of lactating goats and found milk yield and lactose content were increased. Furthermore, the blood GH and prolactin levels were increased in the BG goats: elevated GH increases the hepatic gluconeogenesis and activity. Activated gluconeogenesis promotes levels of blood glucose released from the liver (Figure 9). Thus, the increased glucose in the hepatic vein during of goats fed a BG diets may be play a key role in increasing the milk yield and lactose synthesis of lactating goats. However, the GLUT1, 8, 12 and SGLT1 in mammary gland were also elevated in BG

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

goats. It is possible that the buffering agent added to the HC diet inhibited the release of inflammatory cytokine and stabilized the mammary gland health of lactating goats. Then it causes to the increase in glucose transporters in the mammary gland and prolactin levels in the blood, which could also increase the lactose content in milk. Therefore, further research is needed to elucidate the underlying mechanism. **Author contributions** L.L. performed the experiment and drafted the manuscript. M.H., and Y.L. performed the experiment and analysed the data. Y.Z. contributed to experimental design and manuscript revision. L.L., and Y.Z. conceived the idea, designed the experiment and finalized the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Acknowledgements All authors thank Xiangzhen Shen (Department of clinical veterinary, Nanjing Agricultural University) for technical help during animal experimentation. **Compliance with Ethical Standards** The study was approved by the ethical committee of Nanjing agricultural university. **Funding** This work was supported by grants from the National Basic Research Program of China (project No. 2011CB100802), the National Nature Science Foundation of China (project No.31172371) and the Priority Academic Program Development of

Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD).

Competing interests

444

445

449

- The authors declare that they have no financial, personal or professional interests that
- 447 would have influenced the content of the paper or interfered with their objective
- assessment of the manuscript.

References

- 450 (1) Xu T, Tao H, Chang G, Zhang K, Xu L & Shen X (2015). Lipopolysaccharide
- derived from the rumen down-regulates stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 expression and
- 452 alters fatty acid composition in the liver of dairy cows fed a high-concentrate diet.
- 453 *BMC* veterinary research **11**,
- 454 (2) Chen Y & Oba M (2012). Variation of bacterial communities and expression of
- Toll-like receptor genes in the rumen of steers differing in susceptibility to subacute
- 456 ruminal acidosis. *Vet Microbiol* **159**, 451-459.
- 457 (3) Emmanuel DG, Dunn SM, Ametaj BN. Feeding high proportions of barley grain
- 458 stimulates an inflammatory response in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 2008;91(2):606–14.
- 459 (4) Beauchemin KA, Yang WZ & Rode LM (2003). Effects of particle size of
- alfalfa-based dairy cow diets on chewing activity, ruminal fermentation, and milk
- 461 production. *J Dairy Sci* **86**, 630-643.
- 462 (5) Tao S, Tian J, Cong R, Sun L, Duanmu Y, Dong H, Ni Y & Zhao R (2015).
- 463 Activation of cellular apoptosis in the caecal epithelium is associated with increased

- oxidative reactions in lactating goats after feeding a high-concentrate diet. Exp
- 465 *Physiol* **100**, 278-287.
- 466 (6) Duanmu Y, Cong R, Tao S, Tian J, Dong H, Zhang Y, Ni Y & Zhao R (2016).
- 467 Comparative proteomic analysis of the effects of high-concentrate diet on the
- hepatic metabolism and inflammatory response in lactating dairy goats. J Anim Sci
- 469 *Biotechnol* **7**, 1.
- 470 (7) Neville MC, Allen JC & Watters C (1983). The mechanisms of milk secretion.
- 471 Lactation. Springer US 49, 102.
- 472 (8) Threadgold LC & Kuhn NJ (1979). Glucose-6-phosphate hydrolysis by lactating
- 473 rat mammary gland. *Int J Biochem* **10**, 683-685.
- 474 (9) Kronfeld DS (1982). Major metabolic determinants of milk volume, mammary
- efficiency, and spontaneous ketosis in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci 65, 2204-2212.
- 476 (10) Reynolds CK (2006). Production and metabolic effects of site of starch digestion
- in dairy cattle. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol* **130**, 78-94.
- 478 (11) Li WQ, Bu DP, Wang JQ, Nan XM, Sun P & Zhou LY (2013). Effect of two
- different diets on liver gene expression associated with glucose metabolism in dairy
- 480 cows. *Livest Sci* **158**, 223-229.
- 481 (12) Lingxin D & Runhou Z (2000). Effects of supplementing by-pass protein and
- buffer additives in the diet of lactating cows on milk output and composition. Xibei
- 483 Nonglin Keji Daxue Xuebao (China).

- 485 (13) Islam SMS, Hossain MA, Hashim MMA, Sarker MSA & Paul AK (2014).
- 486 Effects of sodium bicarbonate on induced lactic acidosis in Black Bengal
- 487 goats. Wayamba J Anim Sci 6, 1044-1057.
- 488 (14) Stoddard GE, Allen NN, Hale WH, Pope AL, Sorensen DK & Winchester WR
- 489 (1951) A permanent rumen fistula cannula for cows and sheep. J Anim Sci 10,
- 490 417-423.

- 491 (15) Huntington GB, Reynolds CK & Stroud BH (1989). Techniques for measuring
- blood flow in splanchnic tissues of cattle. *J Dairy Sci* **72**, 1583-1595.
- 493 (16) Tao S, Han Z, Tian J, Cong R, Duanmu Y, Dong H, Ni Y & Zhao R (2016).
- Downregulation of prostaglandin E2 is involved in hindgut mucosal damage in
- lactating goats fed a high-concentrate diet. Exp Physiol 101, 272-281.
- 496 (17) Li L, Cao Y, Xie Z, Zhang Y (2017). A High-Concentrate Diet Induced Milk Fat
- Decline via Glucagon-Mediated Activation of AMP-Activated Protein Kinase in
- 498 Dairy Cows. *Sci Rep* **7**, 44217.
- 499 (18) Plaizier JC, Krause DO, Gozho GN & McBride BW (2008). Subacute ruminal
- acidosis in dairy cows: The physiological causes, incidence and consequences. *The*
- 501 *Vet J* **176**, 21-31.
- 502 (19) Li S, Khafipour E, Krause DO, Kroeker A, Rodriguez-Lecompte JC, Gozho GN
- 503 & Plaizier JC (2012). Effects of subacute ruminal acidosis challenges on

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

fermentation and endotoxins in the rumen and hindgut of dairy cows. J Dairy Sci 95, 294-303. (20) Chang G, Zhang K, Xu T, Jin D, Seyfert HM, Shen X & Zhuang S (2015). Feeding a high-grain diet reduces the percentage of LPS clearance and enhances immune gene expression in goat liver. BMC Vet Res 11, 1. (21) Cruywagen CW, Taylor S, Beya MM & Calitz T (2015). The effect of buffering dairy cow diets with limestone, calcareous marine algae, or sodium bicarbonate on ruminal pH profiles, production responses, and rumen fermentation. J Dairy Sci 98, 5506-5514. (22) Lee MJ & Hsu AL (1991). Effect of supplementation of sodium bicarbonate and magnesium oxide in diet on lactating performance and rumen characteristics of dairy goats. J Chin Soc Anim Sci 20, 431-442. (23) Alipanah M, Kalashnikova L & Rodionov G (2007). Association of prolactin gene variants with milk production traits in Russian Red Pied cattle. Iran J Biotechnol 5, 158-161. (24) Dong H, Wang S, Jia Y, Ni Y, Zhang Y, Zhuang S, Shen X & Zhao R (2013). Long-term effects of subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) on milk quality and hepatic gene expression in lactating goats fed a high-concentrate diet. *PLoS One* **8**, e82850. (25) Chang G, Zhuang S, Seyfert HM, Zhang K, Xu T, Jin D, Guo J & Shen X (2015).

Hepatic TLR4 signaling is activated by LPS from digestive tract during SARA, and

- epigenetic mechanisms contribute to enforced TLR4 expression. Oncotarget 6,
- 525 38578.
- 526 (26) Duanmu Y, Cong R, Tao S, Tian J, Dong H, Zhang Y, Ni Y & Zhao R (2016).
- 527 Comparative proteomic analysis of the effects of high-concentrate diet on the
- hepatic metabolism and inflammatory response in lactating dairy goats. J Anim Sci
- 529 *Biotechnol* **7**, 5.
- 530 (27) Sevinc M, Basoglu A, Birdane FM & Boydak M (2001). Liver function in dairy
- cows with fatty liver. Revue de Medecine Veterinaire (France).
- 532 (28) Jiang X, Zeng T, Zhang S & Zhang Y (2013). Comparative proteomic and
- bioinformatic analysis of the effects of a high-grain diet on the hepatic metabolism
- in lactating dairy goats. *PloS one* **8**, e80698.
- 535 (29) Akers RM (2006). Major advances associated with hormone and growth factor
- regulation of mammary growth and lactation in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci 89,
- 537 1222-1234.
- 538 (30) Emmison N, Agius L & Zammit VA (1991). Regulation of fatty acid metabolism
- and gluconeogenesis by growth hormone and insulin in sheep hepatocyte cultures.
- Effects of lactation and pregnancy. *Biochem J* **274**, 21-26.
- 541 (31) Lochhead PA, Salt IP, Walker KS, Hardie DG & Sutherland C (2000).
- 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide riboside mimics the effects of insulin on the
- expression of the 2 key gluconeogenic genes PEPCK and

- glucose-6-phosphatase. *Diabetes* **49**, 896-903.
- 545 (32) Pershing RA, Moore SEM, Dinges AC, Thatcher WW & Badinga L (2002). Short
- 546 communication: hepatic gene expression for gluconeogenic enzymes in lactating
- dairy cows treated with bovine somatotropin. *J Dairy Sci* **85**, 504-506.
- 548 (33) Bergman EN (1990). Energy contributions of volatile fatty acids from the
- gastrointestinal tract in various species. *Physiol Rev* **70**, 567-590.
- 550 (34) Sharabi K, Tavares CD, Rines AK & Puigserver P (2015). Molecular
- pathophysiology of hepatic glucose production. *Mol Aspects Med* **46**, 21-33.
- 552 (35) Watkins WM & Hassid WZ (1962). Synthesis of Lactose by Particulate Enzyme
- Preparations from Guinea Pig and Bovine Mammary Glands. *Sci* **136**, 329.
- 554 (36) Scott RA, Bauman DE & Clark JH (1976). Cellular gluconeogenesis by lactating
- bovine mammary tissue. J Dairy Sci **59**, 50-56.
- 556 (37) Cant JP, Trout DR, Qiao F & Purdie NG (2002). Milk synthetic response of the
- bovine mammary gland to an increase in the local concentration of arterial
- 558 glucose. *J Dairy Sci* **85**, 494-503.
- 559 (38) Huhtanen P, Vanhatalo A & Varvikko T (2002). Effects of abomasal infusions of
- 560 histidine, glucose, and leucine on milk production and plasma metabolites of dairy
- cows fed grass silage diets. *J Dairy Sci* **85**, 204-216.
- 562 (39) Zhao FQ & Keating AF (2007). Functional properties and genomics of glucose
- transporters. Curr Genomics 8, 113-128.

564 (40) Zhao FQ & Keating AF (2007). Expression and regulation of glucose transporters
 565 in the bovine mammary gland. *J Dairy Sci* 90, E76-E86.

(41) Zhao FQ, Glimm DR & Kennelly JJ (1993). Distribution of mammalian facilitative glucose transporter messenger RNA in bovine tissues. *Int J Biochem* **25**, 1897-1903.

(42) Faulkner A, Chaiyabutr N, Peaker M, Carrick DT & Kuhn NJ (1981). Metabolic significance of milk glucose. *J Dairy Res* **48**, 51-56.

Table

Table 1. Composition and nutrient levels of experimental diets

Concentrate : Forage ratio 6 : 4				
Ingredient (%)				
Leymus chinensis	27.00			
Alfalfa silage	13.00			
Corn	23.24			
Wheat bran	20.77			
Soybean meal	13.67			
Limestone	1.42			
NacL	0.30			
Premix ^a	0.60			

Total	100.00
Nutrient levels ^b	
Net energy/(MJ.kg-1)	6.71
Crude protein/%	16.92
Neutral detergent fiber/%	31.45
Acid detergent fiber/%	17.56
Calcium/%	0.89
Phosphorus/%	0.46

⁵⁷⁵ a. Providedper kg of diet:VA 6000IU/kg, VD 2500IU/kg, VE 80mg/kg, Cu 6.25 mg/kg, Fe 62.5

b. Nutrient levels were according to National Research Council (NRC,2001).

Table 2. Primer sequences and product size

Target genes	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Products/bp
CCDC	CCCACAGCTTCAACAAACTCTT	230
G6PC	GATGTCCATGCCATTCTCCTT	
DEDGIA	CCCTACTCTCCCGGGATGGAAAGT	306
PEPCK	GCCCTCCGAAGATGATGCCCTCAA	
D.C.	CCCACAGCTTCAACAAACTCTT	352
PC	GATGTCCATGCCATTCTCCTT	
CL LITT	AGACACCTGAGGAGCTGTTC	233
GLUT1	GACATCACTGCTGGCTGAAG	
GL LITTO	TGGCATCTACAAGCCCTTCA	244
GLUT8	ACCATGACCACACCTGACAA	

 $[\]label{eq:mgkg} 576 \qquad \text{mg/kg, Zn 62.5 mg/kg, Mn 50mg/kg, I 0.125 mg/kg, Co 0.125 mg/kg.}$

GLUT12	ACGTGACCATGGTACCTGTT	321
OLO 112	TCCCAAGTTCATACCCCACC	
SGLT1	GCAAGAGAGTCAATGAGCCG	235
SGLII	ATGGCCAGGATGACGATGAT	
GHR	TTGGAATACTTGGGCTAACA	262
GHK	GACCCTTCAGTCTTCTCATCG	
GAPDH	GGGTCATCATCTCTGCACCT	177
GAPDH	GGTCATAAGTCCCTCCACGA	

Abbreviations: PC, pyruvate carboxylase; PEPCK, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; G6PC, glucose-6-phosphatase; GLUT1, glucose transporter type 1; GLUT8, glucose transporter type 8; GLUT12, glucose transporter type 12; SGLT1, sodium-glucose cotransporter 1; GHR, growth hormone receptor.

Table 3. Effects of the buffering agent treatment on rumen fermentation parameters in goats

Item	BG	HG	P-alue
LPS, EU/mL	25201 ± 3398	43395 ± 4723	0.002**
Total VFA, mM	90.20 ± 3.55	116.37 ± 8.14	0.04*
Acetate, mM	58.28 ± 2.45	65.48 ± 5.45	0.39
Propionate, mM	17.01 ± 0.25	22.45 ± 1.51	0.03*
Butyrate, mM	12.65 ± 1.77	18.36 ± 1.79	0.02*
Acetate: Propionate	3.41 ± 0.58	2.9 ± 0.21	0.11

Propionate: Butyrate 1.34	± 0.05 1.22	± 0.12 0.	03*
---------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-----

Abbreviations: HG, high-concentrate diet group; BG, buffering agent group; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; VFA, volatile fatty acid. Values are shown as means \pm SEM, n = 8/group. * *P < 0.05, ** *P < 0.01 compared with the HG group.

Table 4. Effects of the buffering agent treatment on plasma enzyme, primary pro-inflammatory cytokines, metabolic produced and hormone of lactating goats

Item	BG	HG	P-alue
Plasma biochemical parameter	•		
ALT (IU/L)	40.33 ± 2.84	77.67 ± 10.44	0.03*
AST (IU/L)	43.33 ± 4.48	71.33 ± 8.67	0.04*
LDH (IU/L)	233.66 ± 16.45	243.66 ± 13.54	0.66
AKP (IU/L)	109.67 ± 17.07	213.5 ± 20.50	0.02*
TNF-a (ng/mL)	2.47 ± 0.30	4.61 ± 0.48 *	0.03*
IL-1 β (ng/mL)	0.74 ± 0.03	0.79 ± 0.05	0.04*
LPS (EU/mL)	1.82 ± 0.14	3.76 ± 1.13	0.04*
Histamine (ng/mL)	1.99 ± 0.06	2.11 ± 0.09	0.09
Lactate (mmol/L)	0.95 ± 0.05	1.39 ± 0.16 *	0.04*
Hormone levels			
Prolactin (pg/mL)	436.57 ± 37.78	373.29 ± 30.59	0.04*
Glucocorticoids (ng/mL)	10.2 ± 1.67	9.8 ± 2.56	0.08
Growth hormone (ng/mL)	0.94 ± 0.08	0.67 ± 0.03	0.03*

Abbreviations: BG, buffering agent group; HG, high-concentrate diet group; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate transaminase; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; AKP, alkaline

phosphatase; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor-a; IL-1β, interleukin 1β; LPS, lipopolysaccharide;

Values are shown as means \pm SEM, n = 8/group. *P < 0.05 compared with the HG group.

Table 5. The average concentrations of glucose in plasma of hepatic vein, portal vein and jugular vein of lactating goats

Glucose (mmol/L)	BG	HG		Effect, 1	p-value
	<u> </u>	Diet	Time	Diet × Time	
Hepatic vein					
Oh	$3.34 \pm 0.37*$	3.01 ± 0.18	0.003	0.292	0.636
4h	$3.35 \pm 0.37*$	3.15 ± 0.18			
8h	$3.44 \pm 0.37*$	3.07 ± 0.18			
Portal vein					
Oh	3.27 ± 0.11	3.26 ± 0.13	0.102	0.902	0.494
4h	3.28 ± 0.12	3.27 ± 0.12			
8h	3.27 ± 0.09	3.25 ± 0.15			
Jugular vein					
Oh	3.30 ± 0.05	3.27 ± 0.09	0.002	0.890	0.579
4h	3.33 ± 0.24	3.29 ± 0.12			
8h	3.34 ± 0.14	3.25 ± 0.04			

Abbreviations: HG, high-concentrate diet group; BG, buffering agent group. Values are shown as

means \pm SEM, n = 8/group. *P < 0.05 compared with the HG group.

598

599

593

594

595

596

601 Figure 1. Comparison of the average weekly lactose content and milk yield 602 between the buffering agent (BG) and high-concentrate diet (HG) groups. Values 603 are shown as means \pm SEM, n = 8/group. *P < 0.05 compared with the HG group. 604 Figure 3. pH value in ruminal fluid after 19 weeks feeding regime. Data were 605 analyzed for differences due to diet, time, and their interactions by Univariate using the General 606 Linear Models of SPSS 11.0 for Windows (StatSoft Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA). Values are mean ± 607 SEM, n = 8/group. *P < 0.05 compared with the HG group. 608 Figure 3. Effects of buffering agent treatment on the expression of mammary 609 gland glucose transfer genes in lactating goats. The experiments were repeated three 610 times. Values are shown as means \pm SEM, n = 3. *P < 0.05 compared with the HG group. 611 Figure 4. Effects of buffering agent treatment on the expression of liver 612 gluconeogenesis genes in lactating goats. The experiments were repeated three times. 613 Values are shown as means \pm SEM, n = 3. *P < 0.05, compared with the HG group. 614 Figure 5. Effects of buffering agent treatment on the expression of GHR in livers 615 of lactating goats. The experiments were repeated three times. Values are shown as means \pm 616 SEM, n = 3. *P < 0.05 compared with the HG group. 617 Figure 6. Growth hormone activates the gluconeogenesis to regulate glucose 618 synthesis in the liver. Abbreviations: PEPCK, phosphoenolpyruvate Carboxykinase; PC,

pyruvate carboxylase; G6PC, glucose-6-phosphatase.











