RESEARCH ARTICLE

1

4

7

14

1617

19

22

2 Compositionally distinct nuclear pore complexes of functionally

- 3 distinct dimorphic nuclei in ciliate Tetrahymena
- 5 Masaaki Iwamoto¹, Hiroko Osakada¹, Chie Mori¹, Yasuhiro Fukuda², Koji Nagao³,
- 6 Chikashi Obuse³, Yasushi Hiraoka^{1,4,5} and Tokuko Haraguchi^{1,4,5,*}
- 8 ¹Advanced ICT Research Institute, National Institute of Information and
- 9 Communications Technology (NICT), Kobe 651-2492, Japan.
- ²Graduate School of Agriculture, Tohoku University, Osaki, Miyagi 989-6711, Japan.
- ³Graduate School of Life Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 001-0021, Japan.
- ⁴Graduate School of Frontier Biosciences, Osaka University, Suita 565-0871, Japan.
- ⁵Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan.
- *Author for correspondence: tokuko@nict.go.jp
- 18 **RUNNING TITLE:** *Tetrahymena* nuclear pore complex
- 20 **KEY WORDS:** FG-Nup, Nuclear dimorphism, Nuclear envelope, Nucleoporin,
- 21 Y-complex

- 23 **SUMMARY STATEMENT:** Our study demonstrates compositional and structural
- 24 differences of the nuclear pore complex between the functionally differentiated
- 25 macronucleus and micronucleus within a single cytoplasm of ciliated protozoa.

ABSTRACT

The nuclear pore complex (NPC), a gateway for nucleocytoplasmic trafficking, is composed of about 30 different proteins called nucleoporins. It remains unknown whether the NPCs within a species are homogeneous or vary depending on the cell type, or physiological condition. Here, we present evidence for compositionally distinct NPCs that form within a single cell in a binucleated ciliate. In *Tetrahymena thermophila*, each cell contains both a transcriptionally-active macronucleus (MAC) and a germline micronucleus (MIC). By combining *in silico* analysis, mass spectrometry analysis for immuno-isolated proteins, and subcellular localization analysis of GFP fused proteins, we identified numerous novel components of MAC and MIC NPCs. Core members of the Nup107-160 scaffold complex were enriched in MIC NPCs. Strikingly, two paralogs of Nup214 and of Nup153 localized exclusively to either MAC or MIC NPCs. Furthermore, the transmembrane components Pom121 and Pom82 localize exclusively to MAC and MIC NPCs, respectively. Our results argue that functional nuclear dimorphism in ciliates is likely to depend on compositional and structural specificity of NPCs.

INTRODUCTION

41

42 Ciliated protozoa maintain two distinct nuclei within the same cytoplasm: a somatic 43 macronucleus (MAC) and a germline micronucleus (MIC) (Fig. 1A) (Eisen et al., 2006; 44 Orias et al., 2011; Karrer, 2012). The polyploid MAC is transcriptionally active, and its 45 acentromeric chromosomes segregate during cell division by a spindle-independent 46 amitotic process. In contrast, the diploid MIC has transcriptionally inert, centromeric 47 chromosomes that segregate by canonical mitosis. In Tetrahymena thermophila, DNA 48 replication in the MIC and MAC occurs during non-overlapping periods in the cell cycle. 49 Thus, nuclear dimorphism in ciliates involves non-equivalent regulation of multiple 50 activities in two distinct nuclei (Orias, 2000; Goldfarb and Gorovsky, 2009). This is 51 likely to require targeted transport of components to the MIC vs. MAC, for which 52 differences in the NPCs may be important determinants. 53 Previously, we analyzed 13 Tetrahymena nucleoporins (Nups), and discovered that 54 four paralogs of Nup98 were differentially localized to the MAC and MIC (Iwamoto et al., 55 2009). The MAC- and MIC-specific Nup98s are characterized by Gly-Leu-Phe-Gly 56 (GLFG) and Asn-Ile-Phe-Ans (NIFN) repeats, respectively, and this difference is 57 important for the nucleus-specific import of linker histones (Iwamoto et al., 2009). The 58 full extent of compositional differentiation of MAC and MIC NPCs could not, however, 59 be assessed, since only a small subset of the expected NPC components were detected. 60 NPCs have been studied in eukaryotes including rat (Cronshaw et al., 2002), 61 Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Rout et al., 2000), Aspergillus nidulans (Osmani et al., 2006), 62 Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Asakawa et al., 2014), Arabidopsis thaliana (Tamura et al., 63 2010), and Trypanosoma brucei (Degrasse et al., 2009; Obado et al., 2016) (Table S1). The NPC structure has an 8-fold rotational symmetry, and is made up of roughly 30 64 65 known Nups organized into subcomplexes (Alber et al., 2007; Bui et al., 2013) (Fig. S1). 66 The Nup93 complex in mammalian cells (Nic96 in S. cerevisiae) forms a stable scaffold composed of Nup93^{ScNic96}, Nup205^{ScNup192}, Nup188^{ScNup188}, Nup155^{ScNup170} or ScNup157, and 67 Nup53/Nup35/MP-44^{ScNup53} or ScNup59 (Grandi et al., 1997; Hawryluk-Gara et al., 2005; 68 69 Amlacher et al., 2011). A second stable scaffold in mammals, the Nup107-160 complex 70 (called the Y-complex or Nup84 complex in S. cerevisiae) is composed of conserved subunits Nup107^{ScNup84}, Nup160^{ScNup120}, Nup133^{ScNup133}, Nup96^{ScNup145C}, Nup85^{ScNup85}, 71 72 Seh1, and Sec13, together with species-specific subunits (Siniossoglou et al., 1996;

- Lutzmann et al., 2002; Loiodice et al., 2004). Peripheral to the scaffolds are Phe-Gly (FG)
- 74 repeat-bearing Nups, whose disordered FG-repeat regions constitute the central channel,
- 75 with FG repeats interacting with nuclear transport receptors (Terry and Wente, 2009).
- 76 Three transmembrane (TM) Nups anchoring the NPC to the mammalian nuclear
- membrane are NDC1, gp210, and POM121 (Greber et al., 1990; Hallberg et al., 1993;
- 78 Stavru et al., 2006) (in yeast: Ndc1, Pom152, and Pom34 (Winey et al., 1993; Wozniak et
- 79 al., 1994; Miao et al., 2006)). A distinct nucleoplasmic basket is formed with
- 80 Tpr^{ScMlp1/Mlp2} (Cordes et al., 1997; Strambio-de-Castillia et al., 1999).
- Based on prior analysis, *T. thermophila* appeared to lack homologs of many widely
- 82 conserved NPC components. These included scaffold Nups (mammalian Nups205, 188,
- 83 160, 133, 107, 85, and 53, among others) from the Nup93 and Y-complexes. Similarly,
- homologs of FG-Nups Nup214, 153, 62, and 58 were also not detected, as were TM Nups
- 85 except for gp210. These NPC components may have evaded homology-based searches
- 86 due to extensive sequence divergence, given the large evolutionary distance between
- 87 ciliates and animals, fungi, and plants.
- 88 To address these ambiguities and to better understand NPC differentiation in T.
- 89 thermophila, we attempted comprehensive identification of Nups. First, we analyzed
- 90 proteins affinity-captured with known Nups. Furthermore, we mined updated genome
- and protein databases for characteristic Nup sequences or conserved domains, using in
- 92 silico structure prediction. The resulting expanded catalog of Tetrahymena Nups,
- combined with localization data, sheds new light on the extent to which NPC architecture
- can vary within a single species, and even in a single cytoplasm.

RESULTS

95 96

97

The Nup93 complex includes a unique Nup205 ortholog and a novel central

98 channel FG-Nup

- 99 In mammalian cells, the Nup93 complex (Fig. 1B) is composed of Nup93, Nup205,
- 100 Nup188, Nup155, and Nup53 (Fig. S1) (Grandi et al., 1997; Hawryluk-Gara et al., 2005).
- In *Tetrahymena*, we previously identified homologs for Nup93 (*Tt*Nup93; Gene Model
- 102 identifier TTHERM_00622800) and Nup155 (TtNup155; TTHERM_00760460), and
- found them distributed to MAC and MIC NPCs (Iwamoto et al., 2009). To identify other
- 104 Nup93 complex components, we used mass spectrometry to analyze anti-GFP

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

immunoprecipitates from Tetrahymena expressing GFP-TtNup93 (Fig. 1C). All of the proteins listed in Table S2 as 'hypothetical protein' were examined by Blast search for similarities to known Nups of other species. In addition, all of the 'hypothetical proteins' were examined by expression profile analysis in the *Tetrahymena* Functional Genomics Database (TetraFGD) web site (http://tfgd.ihb.ac.cn/) (for details see the "Microarray" page of the TetraFGD: http://tfgd.ihb.ac.cn/tool/exp (Miao et al., 2009)) (also see Materials and Methods). When either the Blast search or the expression profile analysis (details described below) found similarities to any known Nups, we examined its subcellular localization in T. thermophila by ectopically expressing GFP fused proteins. By these analyses we found Nup308 (TTHERM_00091620) and the novel protein TTHERM 00194800 (*Tt*Nup58: Nup58 in Fig. 1D and Table S2). Nup308, a protein of 2675 amino acid residues, was previously identified as a Tetrahymena-specific Nup, but it was not assigned to a subcomplex (Iwamoto et al., 2009). Based on PSIPRED analysis, Nup308 is composed of GLFG repeats forming an N-terminal disordered structure (residues 1-570), followed by a large C-terminal α-helix-rich region (residues 571–2675) (Fig. 2). To identify potential Nup308 counterparts, we looked for Nups in other species with similar distributions of secondary structures. Interestingly, a large α-solenoid domain is a predicted feature of both Nup205 and Nup188, conserved core members of the Nup93 complex (Kosova et al., 1999; Andersen et al., 2013), although these proteins do not have FG repeats. To investigate whether this structural similarity between Tetrahymena Nup308 and Nup205 and Nup188 homologs in other species reflected shared evolutionary origins, we performed a phylogenetic analysis. Nup308 formed a clade with Nup205 orthologs, supported by a bootstrap probability of 72%, but not with Nup188 orthologs (Fig. S2). Nup188 appears absent in *Tetrahymena*, since we failed to find any candidates in either the database or in our mass spectrometry data. Taken together, our results strongly suggest that Nup308 belongs to the Nup93 complex and is orthologous to human Nup205, but has acquired an unusual GLFG repeat domain. Consistent with this assignment, GFP-Nup308 localized similarly to GFP-TtNup93, being equally distributed between MAC and MIC NPCs (Iwamoto et al., 2009). Nup candidate identified in TtNup93 pulldowns second TTHERM_00194800. This small protein (45 kDa deduced molecular weight) is

138

139

140

141

142

143

144145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

composed of an N-terminal FG-repeat region and a C-terminal coiled-coil region (Fig. 2), which are characteristics of central channel FG-Nups that are tethered by Nup93 (Chug et al., 2015). The secondary structure characteristics of the novel *Tetrahymena* Nup are highly similar to those of Nup62 and Nup58, central channel proteins in yeast and vertebrates that interact with Nup93 (Grandi et al., 1993, 1997). Because another protein was found as an Nup62 ortholog (described below), this protein is the likely *Tetrahymena* ortholog of Nup58; therefore, we named it *Tt*Nup58 (Nup58 in Fig. 1D,E). Newly identified members of the Y-complex are likely homologs of conserved Nups The vertebrate's Y-complex (Fig. 3A) contains 10 distinct proteins (Orjalo et al., 2006; Mishra et al., 2010), of which 3 had identified homologs in *Tetrahymena* (*Tt*Seh1, TtSec13, TtNup96) (Iwamoto et al, 2009). To investigate whether the remaining seven are present in *Tetrahymena* but had been overlooked due to sequence divergence, we carried out mass spectrometric analysis of anti-GFP immunoprecipitates from cells expressing the known Y-complex GFP-tagged Nups described below. First, in precipitates of GFP–TtSeh1, we identified an 86 kDa protein orthologous to Nup85 (Table S3) with a short stretch of four predicted β-strand blades at the N-terminus followed by an α-solenoid domain (Fig. 2). That architecture is typical of Nup85 orthologs that are Y-complex components in other organisms (Brohawn et al., 2008). We therefore tentatively named the *Tetrahymena* protein *Tt*Nup85. GFP–*Tt*Nup85 localized to NPCs in both the MAC and MIC (Figs 3B and S3A). We then immunoprecipitated GFP-TtNup85, and identified two novel candidate Y-complex core members. Both proteins are composed of a β-strand-rich N-terminal half and an α-helical-rich C-terminal half. This domain architecture is characteristic of the Y-complex components Nup160 and Nup133 (Berke et al., 2004; Devos et al., 2004), and we tentatively named the *Tetrahymena* proteins *Tt*Nup160 and *Tt*Nup133 (Fig. 2 and Table S4). GFP–TtNup160 and GFP–TtNup133 localized to NPCs in both nuclei, like other Y-complex components (Figs 3B and S3A). Another conserved Y-complex component is Nup107, which interacts with Nup96. To search for the *Tetrahymena* homolog we used GFP–*Tt*Nup96 as bait and identified a 168 109 kDa protein (Table S5) that is rich in predicted α -helices like human Nup107 (Fig. 2). 169 The protein, tentatively named TtNup107, localized as a GFP-tagged construct to NPCs 170 of both nuclei (Figs 3B and S3A). 171 The genes encoding all members of the Y-complex except for Nup96 are 172 co-expressed and exhibit sharp expression peaks at 2 h (C-2) after two cell strains with 173 different mating-types were mixed for conjugation (for details see the "Microarray" page 174 of the TetraFGD: http://tfgd.ihb.ac.cn/tool/exp (Miao et al., 2009)) (Fig. 3C). In contrast, 175 TtNup96 exhibits an expression peak at 4 h (C-4). This difference in the timing of 176 expression between TtNup96 and the other Y-complex components may be related to a 177 unique aspect of TtNup96 gene structure: TtNup96 is expressed as part of a single 178 transcription unit together with MicNup98B, under the promoter of the MicNup98B gene 179 (Iwamoto et al., 2009). 180 Three other components of the human Y-complex were not detected in our studies: 181 Nup43, Nup37, and ELYS. These components may be species-specific (Bilokapic and 182 Schwartz, 2012; Rothballer and Kutay, 2012), and genuinely absent from *Tetrahymena*. 183 They are also absent from S. cerevisiae (Alber et al., 2007) (see Table S1), supporting this 184 idea. 185 186 Y-complex components show biased localization to the MIC 187 As previously reported, GFP-tagged Nup93 complex members and some of the central 188 channel Nups (TtNup93, TtNup308, and TtNup54) were distributed equally between 189 MAC and MIC NPCs, judging by fluorescence intensities (Iwamoto et al., 2009). In 190 striking contrast, all Y-complex components so far identified exhibit distinctively biased 191 localization to the MIC nuclear envelope (NE) compared to the MAC NE (Fig. 3B). 192 Fluorescence intensities in the MIC were 2.69–3.96 times higher than those in the MAC 193 (Fig. 3B). This biased localization of Y-complex components may be caused by 194 overexpression of the components due to ectopically expressing the GFP-tagged proteins 195 in addition to the expression of endogenously untagged ones. To address this issue, we 196 examined the localization of Nup160-GFP, Nup133-GFP, and Seh1-mCherry expressed 197 from endogenous loci under the control of their native promoters, and therefore expressed 198 at physiological levels. All three proteins showed biased localization, as found for the

overexpressed GFP-tagged proteins (compare the images in Fig. 3B and Fig. S3B),

suggesting that the biased localization is not caused by overexpression of the tagged

proteins. Because the NPC density is similar in the MAC and MIC (Fig. S1 in Iwamoto et

al. (2009)), the relative concentration of Y-complex components in the MIC NE suggests

that the Y-complex is present at higher copy number per NPC in the MIC compared to the

204 MAC (Fig. 3D).

201

202

203

205206

207

Newly-detected FG-Nups include nucleus-specific and common

components

208 FG-Nups were originally characterized as nucleoporins with domains containing

209 extensive repeats of phenylalanine-glycine (FG) that function in nucleocytoplasmic

210 transport. More recently, we reported a remarkable difference in MAC and MIC NPCs

211 regarding the repeat signature present in four Nup98 paralogs. The repeat signature of

212 MacNup98A and -B is mostly GLFG, while that of MicNup98A and -B is mostly NIFN

213 (Fig. 2) (Iwamoto et al., 2009; 2010; 2015). We have now taken advantage of the recently

214 improved annotation of the *Tetrahymena* Genome Database Wiki

215 (http://ciliate.org/index.php/home/welcome), to search for sequences bearing repeats that

are similar to those of FG-Nups in other species. We found five candidate FG-Nups.

Based on the molecular size and the positions of predicted α -helices, β -strands, and

218 FG-repeat regions, we designated four of these proteins as MicNup214

219 (TTHERM_00992810), MacNup214 (TTHERM_00755929), MicNup153

220 (TTHERM_00647510), and MacNup153 (TTHERM_00379010): GFP-fusions of

221 MicNup214 and MacNup214 were exclusively localized to the MIC and MAC,

222 respectively (Fig. 4A,B). Fluorescent protein (GFP or mNeon)-fusions of MicNup153

were mostly localized to the MIC and secondarily to the MAC in most growing cells (Fig.

4A), although it was exclusively localized to the MIC in some cells (Fig. S3C).

225 GFP-fusions of MacNup153 were exclusively localized to the MAC (Fig. 4B). The

localization of the fifth candidate FG-Nup (*Tt*Nup62: Nup62 in Fig. 4C), like the novel

227 nucleoporin TtNup58 (Nup58 in Fig. 4C) identified as a central channel protein

228 (discussed above), was less specific.

A striking feature of the Nup214 paralogs is that they contain the same

230 nucleus-specific repeat motifs described earlier for TtNup98 paralogs. Like the

231 MIC-specific Nup98 paralogs, MicNup214 contains NIFN repeats (the last N is mostly Q

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255256

257

258

259

260

261

262

in this protein), while MacNup214 contains FG repeats (Fig. 2). This difference may be an important determinant for selective protein transport to the MAC and MIC, as previously shown for TtNup98s (Iwamoto et al., 2009). We note that MacNup214 lacks a β-strand-rich N-terminal region that is found in other Nup214 orthologs (Weirich et al., 2004; Napetschnig et al., 2007) (Fig. 2). In contrast, MicNup153 and MacNup153 do not differ markedly from one another in their molecular features (Fig. 2). Because the N-terminus domain of human Nup153 is involved in its NPC localization (Enarson et al., 1998), we speculate that the N-terminal domains of MicNup153 and MacNup153 may also be involved in their nucleus-specific localization in *Tetrahymena*. Further study is required to elucidate their nucleus-specific localization. While the expression of this set of FG-Nups is upregulated during conjugation (Fig. 4D), the MIC-specific components tend to be expressed 2 h earlier than MAC-specific ones. For example, MicNup214 expression peaks at 2 h in conjugation (C-2) vs. MacNup214 at C-4; similarly, MicNup153 peaks at C-6 vs. MacNup153 at C-8 (Fig. 4D). The earlier expression of MIC-specific components compared with MAC-specific ones may reflect a selective requirement for MIC-specific NPCs during early stages of conjugation, such as the crescent stage (Sugai and Hiwatashi, 1974). In contrast, the later expression of MAC-specific components probably reflects formation of the new MACs that occurs in the later stages of conjugation. The fifth candidate FG-Nup identified by this screen was a 39 kDa protein (TTHERM_01122680). This protein is composed of an N-terminal FG-repeat region and a C-terminal coiled-coil region with the characteristics of central channel FG-Nups and is assigned as a Nucleoporin NSP1/NUP62 family protein (IPR026010) (Fig. 2). Consequently, this protein is the likely *Tetrahymena* ortholog of Nup62; therefore, we named it *Tt*Nup62. The GFP-tagged protein was distributed to both nuclei (Nup62 in Fig. 4C), similarly to the central channel Nups TtNup58 (Figs 1E and 4C) and TtNup54 (Iwamoto et al., 2009), although TtNup62 was slightly enriched in the MAC NE, whereas TtNup58 was slightly enriched in the MIC NE. The expression profile of TtNup62 was similar to that of TtNup58, with an expression peak after 4 h of conjugation (C-4) (Fig. 4D).

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

TtNup62 has relatively few repeats in its FG motif compared with homologs such as human Nup62 and S. cerevisiae Nsp1 (Fig. 2), although it has several FX repeats (X=N, Q, A or T in the case of this protein). A feature unique to *Tetrahymena* is the presence of GLFG repeats in Nup308, an ortholog of Nup205. The Nup93 complex containing Nup205 anchors Nup62 (Vollmer and Antonin, 2014), and it is likely that the Tetrahymena Nup93 complex containing Nup308 anchors TtNup62. Thus, we hypothesize that the GLFG repeats present in Nup308 compensate for the low number of FG repeats of *Tt*Nup62 presents in the central channel. Nup88, Nup185, and Tpr We used a variety of strategies to identify additional Nups. Homology searches against InterPro (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) revealed a gene (TTHERM 00455610) with a conserved Nup88 domain "TtNup88 (PTHR13257:SF0)" (Fig. 2) and an expression profile similar to those of some other *Tetrahymena* Nups (Fig. 5A). Localization of a GFP-fusion to NPCs was highly biased, albeit not exclusive, to the MAC (Fig. 5C). We therefore named this protein TtNup88, which is known to localize to the cytoplasmic side of the NPC in other species (Fig. 5B). As Nup88 in other species is known to interact with Nup214 and Nup98 (Fornerod et al., 1997), TtNup88 may contribute to the nucleus-specific localization of Nup214 and Nup98 paralogs. TTHERM_00755920 (encoding a 185 kDa protein), which lies adjacent to the open reading frame (ORF) of MacNup214, attracted our interest because its predicted molecular structure resembled those of large scaffold Nups such as Nup160, Nup155, and Nup133, and because its expression profile is similar to those of some other *Tetrahymena* Nups (Fig. 5A). A GFP-fusion localized to NPCs, with a bias to the MAC (Fig. 5D). Based on its predicted molecular weight, we named this protein Nup185. Nup185 contains a conserved domain 'Nucleoporin (SSF117289)' (Fig. 2), which is generally found near the N-terminal regions of Nup155 and Nup133 homologs. The expression peak of Nup185 appeared at C-6 (Fig. 5A). To assess the location of Nup185 within the NPC architecture, we identified interacting proteins by immunoprecipitating GFP-Nup185. One interacting protein was TTHERM 00268040, which bears predicted coiled-coil motifs throughout its entire sequence (Fig. 2) and is thus similar to the nuclear basket component, Tpr (Fig. 5B).

- 295 TTHERM 00268040 fused with GFP localized equivalently to MAC and MIC NPCs 296 (Fig. 5E). This protein is a likely ortholog of human Tpr; therefore, we named it TtTpr. 297 Nup185 did not interact with any members of the Y- or Nup93 complexes (Table S6). 298 299 The transmembrane Nups Pom121 and Pom82 show nucleus-specific 300 localization 301 Some but not all of the transmembrane (TM) Nups are conserved between vertebrates and 302 yeasts: the former have POM121, gp210, and NDC1 (Cronshaw et al, 2002; Stavru et al, 303 2006), while the latter have Pom34, Pom152, and Ndc1 (Rout et al, 2000; Asakawa et al, 304 2014). The only reported TM Nup in T. thermophila is gp210 (Iwamoto et al., 2009). 305 Because all *Tetrahymena* Nups identified so far have a similar expression pattern, in 306 which a large expression peak appears during early conjugation stage (Figs 3C, 4C and 307 5A), we used expression profiling and TM domain search to identify possible TM Nups 308 TetraFGD and **TMHMM** the updated the Server 309 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM-2.0/), respectively. Using this approach, we 310 found two candidate TM Nups. Each has one TM domain and an FG-repeat region 311 ("TtPom121" and "TtPom82" in Fig. 6A). Their expression profiles are shown in Fig. 6B. 312 One of the TM Nup candidates (TTHERM_00312730; TtPom121) has an 313 N-terminal TM domain and C-terminal FG repeats (Fig. 6A, middle) with a deduced 314 molecular weight of 129 kDa. These attributes are very similar to those of vertebrate 315 POM121 (compare top and middle parts of Fig. 6A) (Rothballer and Kutay, 2012). 316 TtPom121 fused with GFP at its C-terminus (TtPom121–GFP) localized specifically to 317 MAC NPCs (Fig. 6C, upper). Consequently, this protein is the likely *Tetrahymena* 318 ortholog to human POM121; therefore, we named it *Tt*Pom121. 319 Notably, when GFP was fused with the N-terminus of TtPom121 at a region close to 320 the TM domain (GFP–TtPom121), the tagged protein localized in the MAC nucleoplasm, 321 but not in MAC NPCs or the MIC nucleoplasm (Fig. 6C, lower). This result suggests that 322 TtPom121 bears a MAC-specific nuclear localization signal (NLS) in its N-terminal 323 region. Similarly, POM121 homologs in vertebrates have NLS sequences in the 324 N-terminal region (Yavuz et al., 2010; Funakoshi et al., 2011).
 - exclusively to MIC NPCs (Fig. 6D, upper). This protein has predicted molecular features

In contrast, the other TM Nup candidate (TTHERM 00375160; TtPom82) localized

325

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351352

353

354

355

356

357

358

that have not been reported in Nups from any other organism: a TM domain near the C-terminus, central coiled-coil, and N-terminal FG repeats (Fig. 6A, bottom). We named this protein TtPom82 according to its predicted molecular weight (82 kDa). A construct lacking the TM domain showed diffuse cytoplasmic localization (Fig. 6D, lower), suggesting that MIC NPC-specific localization of TtPom82 does not depend on the MIC-specific nuclear transport of TtPom82. This result suggests that TtPom121 and TtPom82 use different mechanisms to target to the MAC and MIC NPCs. Next, we performed immuno-electron microscopy (iEM) for the Pom proteins using anti-GFP antibody in order to know their sub-NPC localization. Intriguingly, their sub-NPC localizations were opposite; Pom121 was exclusively localized to the nuclear side of the MAC NPC (Fig. 6E), whereas Pom82 was exclusively localized to the cytoplasmic side of the MIC NPC (Fig. 6F). Given the difference in molecular features, their behaviors when the TM domain function was disrupted, and their sub-NPC localizations, Pom121 and Pom82 are unlikely to be functional homologs of each other. Taken together, these findings lead to the conclusion that MAC and MIC NPCs contain distinct TM components (Fig. 6G,H). The protein components of MAC and MIC NPCs are summarized in Fig. 7. One TM Nup, found in both fungi and animals but missing from our Tetrahymena catalog, is Ndc1. We identified a potential Ndc1 homolog in TTHERM_00572170, a protein with six predicted TM domains that is co-transcribed with other Nups (see http://tfgd.ihb.ac.cn/search/detail/gene/TTHERM_00572170). However, neither N- nor C-terminal GFP fusions of this protein localized to NPCs (Fig. S3D). Therefore, Tetrahymena NPCs may lack Ndc1. Similarly, Ndc1 has not been detected in Trypanosoma NPCs (Obado et al., 2016). The permeability of the nuclear pore differs between MAC and MIC To better understand the functional consequences of structural differences between MAC and MIC NPCs, we examined the relative pore exclusion sizes by asking whether probes of different sizes could gain access to each nucleoplasm. GFP (approx. 28 kDa) was excluded only from MICs, whereas GFP-GST (more than 100 kDa due to its oligomerization) was excluded from both MACs and MICs (Fig. S4A). In addition, FITC-dextran of 40 kDa could enter MACs, whereas 70-kDa FITC-dextran was

completely excluded (Fig. S4B). These results indicate that MAC pores exclude molecules greater than approximately 50 kDa, which is similar to the permeability size limit of nuclear pores in other species (Paine et al., 1975; Gorlich and Mattaj, 1996; Keminer and Peters, 1999). On the other hand, MIC pores impose a much smaller exclusion size, and exclude molecules of even 10–20 kDa (Fig. S4B). This difference in exclusion size may be due to differences between the protein composition and structural arrangement of NPCs of these dimorphic nuclei.

DISCUSSION

366

367

368

369

371

377

381

382

384 385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393 394

395

396

We have now identified 28 nucleoporins in the ciliate *T. thermophila*: 15 Nups reported here, and 13 in our previous study (Iwamoto et al., 2009). This total comprises 24 different Nups for the MAC and MIC: this number includes 18 Nups commonly localized 370 in both nuclei, 4 Nups with nucleus-specific homologues (Nup214, Nup153, Nup98A, and Nup98B), and TtPom82 and TtPom121. This total is somewhat smaller than the 372 roughly 30 Nups known in other eukaryotes, e.g. 34 in human and in Drosophila 373 melanogaster, 27 in Caenorhabditis elegans, 33 in S. pombe, and 35 in S. cerevisiae 374 (Rothballer and Kutay, 2012; Asakawa et al., 2014). The deficit in *T. thermophila* Nups is 375 due to the absence of homologs for Nup358, GLE1, hCG1/Nup42, Nup43, Nup37, 376 Centrin-2, Nup53, TMEM33, ELYS, and Aladin. Similarly, the protist *Trypanosoma* brucei is missing Nup358, GLE1, hCG1/Nup42, Nup37, Centrin-2, TMEM33, and ELYS, 378 and 25 Nups in total have been identified by interactome analysis (DeGrasse et al, 2009; 379 Obado et al., 2016). One conserved Nup identified in Trypanosoma but not Tetrahymena 380 is Nup53 (TbNup65 (XP_822630.1)) (Obado et al., 2016). This raises the question of whether a T. thermophila Nup53 homolog eluded our search due to sequence or structural divergence. Alternatively, T. thermophila may have lost a Nup that is not essential for 383 viability.

A role of nucleus-specific Nups

We previously reported that the GLFG-repeat and NIFN-repeat domains in MacNup98s and MicNup98s, respectively, are involved in the nucleus-specific transport of linker histones (histone H1 and MLH, respectively), arguing that these nucleus-specific Nups are determinants of nucleus-specific transport (Iwamoto et al., 2009). Importantly, we can now expand this argument, since our expanded catalog shows that all NPC subunits that are nucleus-specific are FG-Nups: Nup214, Nup153, Nup98 and Pom-s. Since the FG-repeats interact with nuclear transport receptors such as importin-β family proteins (Allen et al., 2001; Isgro and Schulten, 2005; Liu and Stewart, 2005; Tetenbaum-Novatt et al., 2012), specificity for the MAC or MIC is likely to be determined in cooperation with importin-βs. This idea is also supported by the presence of nucleus-specific importin family proteins (Malone et al., 2008).

It is interesting to note that both MAC- and MIC-specific Nups contain atypical repeat motifs: NIFN, but also more subtle variations on the FG-repeat: FN, FQ, FA, FS and so on (Fig. 2). Because the NIFN-repeat domain of MicNup98A is known to function in blocking misdirected nuclear transport of MAC-specific linker histones (Iwamoto et al., 2009), the atypical FG-repeats may similarly be involved in controlling nucleus-specific transport of particular proteins. However, importin-βs that preferentially interact with the NIFN-repeat and their cargos have not been found, and thus the complete role of the NIFN-repeat motif in nucleus-specific transport remains to be elucidated.

The nucleus-specific Nups generate obvious structural differences between MAC and

A role of biased Nups to build different NPC structures

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405 406

407

427

428

408 MIC NPCs. However, these different components have to be integrated into two NPC 409 scaffold structures that are constructed of the same components. One way to make 410 different structures from the same components may be to incorporate different amounts 411 components, leading to different structures that allow 412 localization/assembly of nucleus-specific components. The localization of the 413 Y-complex (Fig. 3B) and Nup88 (Fig. 5C) was highly biased to either MICs or MACs, 414 respectively. Thus, these biased components may be critical for directing assembly of 415 MAC- or MIC-type NPCs. Consistent with this idea, Nup98 homologs in vertebrates 416 interact with the Y-complex components Nup96 (Hodel et al., 2002) and Nup88 (Griffis 417 et al., 2003). This model raises the question of how structurally similar paralogs in 418 *Tetrahymena* can differentially recruit nucleus-specific FG-Nups. 419 The copy number of the Y-complex within individual NPCs differs between the 420 MAC and MIC (Fig. 3B,D), indicating that at least two NPC structures with different 421 Y-complex stoichiometries can form in ciliates. This quantitative difference in 422 Y-complex incorporation may be directed by membrane Nups. The nucleus-specific TM 423 Nups, Pom121 and Pom82, are currently strong candidates for initiating NPC assembly 424 on the nuclear membrane. In vertebrates, Pom121 binds the Y-complex through a 425 Nup160 homolog (Mitchell et al., 2010). In Tetrahymena, TtPom121 and TtPom82 may 426 differentially affect Y-complex integration into MAC or MIC NPCs. This model can be

extended to biased integration of Nup98 paralogs, since Pom121 has been shown to

directly bind Nup98 (Mitchell et al., 2010), supporting our idea that biased Nups and

nucleus-specific Nup98 paralogs cooperate to build two distinct NPCs. In this model, the acquisition of specialized Pom proteins might have been one of the most crucial evolutionary events for generating nuclear dimorphism in ciliates. Taken overall, our study contributes to understanding the diversity of NPC architectures in eukaryotes, including potential functional and evolutionary aspects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

434

435

448

449

450451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463464

In silico genomic database analysis and secondary structure prediction

We searched for candidates Nups using protein BLAST on the NCBI website and 436 437 Tetrahymena Genome Database Wiki (http://ciliate.org/index.php/home/welcome) 438 (Eisen et al., 2006; Stover et al., 2012). Expression profiles based on microarray data 439 (http://tfgd.ihb.ac.cn/tool/exp) were obtained from the TetraFGD (http://tfgd.ihb.ac.cn/) 440 (Miao et al., 2009). We identified the candidate proteins as Nups when the expression 441 profile satisfied two conditions: First, the amount of expression is lower in vegetative 442 stages than in conjugation stages. Second, expression peaks appear in between C-2 and 443 C-8 stages of conjugation. Secondary structures and transmembrane domains were 444 predicted by PSIPRED (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/) and the TMHMM Server 445 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM-2.0/), respectively. Coiled-coil regions were 446 **PBIL** prediction predicted by Coiled-Coils 447 (https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/npsa automat.pl?page=npsa lupas.html) SIB

DNA construction

cDNAs were amplified by PrimeSTAR reagent (Takara, Kyoto, Japan) from the reverse transcripts prepared from the total RNA fraction of vegetative or conjugating cells as described previously (Iwamoto et al., 2009). The cDNAs were digested with *Xho*I and *Apa*I, and cloned into the pIGF1 vector to ectopically express them as N-terminal GFP-tagged proteins (Malone et al., 2005). The pIGF1C vector with the multi-cloning site at the 5' site of the GFP-coding sequence was generated by modifying the pIGF1 vector, and used to ectopically express GFP-tagged Nup58 and Pom121 as C-terminal GFP-tagged proteins: the cDNAs of these Nups were cloned into the pIGF1C vector using the *Xho*I and *Kpn*I sites. To endogenously express Nups tagged with a fluorescent protein at the C-termini of the macronuclear ORFs, MicNup214, Nup160, and Nup133 were tagged with GFP using a pEGFP-neo4 vector (Mochizuki, 2008) (a kind gift from Dr. K. Mochizuki, IMBA), MicNup153 was tagged with mNeon using a p2xmNeon 6xmyc Neo4 vector (a kind gift from Dr. Turkewitz, Univ. of Chicago), and

COILS (http://embnet.vital-it.ch/software/COILS_form.html). Conserved domains were

searched for using InterPro (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/).

- 465 Seh1 was tagged with mCherry using a pmCherry-pur4 vector (Iwamoto et al., 2014).
- Primers used in this study are listed in Table S7.

480

481

Expression of GFP–Nups in *Tetrahymena* cells

- 469 Conjugating cells were subjected to transfection by electroporation using a Gene Pulser II
- 470 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) as described previously (Iwamoto et al., 2014; 2015). The
- 471 resulting cell suspension was cultivated for 18 h and then treated with selection drugs,
- 472 paromomycin sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 120 μg/ml when using pIGF1,
- pIGF1C, pEGFP-neo4, and p2xmNeon_6xmyc_Neo4 vectors, or puromycin
- 474 dihydrochloride (Fermentek, Jerusalem, Israel) at 200 µg/ml when using a
- 475 pmCherry-pur4 vector. Cadmium chloride was also added at 0.5 µg/ml to induce the
- 476 expression of drug resistant genes for pEGFP-neo4, p2xmNeon_6xmyc_Neo4, and
- 477 pmCherry-pur4 vectors. Resistant cells usually appeared within a few days after the drug
- was added. We checked that at least 5 independent clones (*i.e.*, grown in 5 different wells)
- exhibited the same intracellular localization of each GFP–Nup.

Immunoprecipitation

- 482 For immunoprecipitation, GFP-Nup-expressing cells in logarithmic growth were
- 483 pretreated with 0.5 mM PMSF for 30 min at 30°C and then collected by centrifugation.
- 484 The cells were resuspended at 2.5×10^6 cells/ml in homogenization buffer composed of
- 485 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM PMSF, and Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail
- 486 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), and then homogenized with sonication on ice.
- 487 The supernatant obtained after centrifugation at 10,000×g for 15 min was pretreated with
- 488 Protein A Sepharose to absorb non-specifically bound proteins. After removal of the
- beads by low-speed centrifugation, the supernatant was incubated with 50 µg anti-GFP
- 490 rabbit polyclonal antibody (#600-401-215, Rockland Immunochemicals, Limerick, PA)
- 491 for 2 h at 4°C. To collect immunoprecipitated target proteins of interest, fresh Protein A
- 492 Sepharose was added, incubated for another 2 h at 4°C, and then collected by
- 493 centrifugation. After brief washing with homogenization buffer, the Sepharose beads
- were incubated with NuPAGE sample buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA)

19

495 to elute bound proteins. The proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE.

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

Mass-spectrometry analysis The gel sample lane was cut into several pieces, and each treated with trypsin. The trypsinized peptide sample was subjected to liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) using the LXQ linear ion trap (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA) equipped with a Magic 2002 and nanospray electrospray ionization device (Michrom BioResources, Auburn, CA and AMR, Tokyo, Japan), as described previously (Obuse et al., 2004). The LC-MS/MS data were searched by Mascot (Matrix Science, London, UK) with a non-redundant T. thermophila specific database (25,131 sequences) constructed from the nr NCBI database. The resulting files were loaded into Scaffold software (Proteome Software, Portland, OR) for comparing identified proteins between samples. Microscopic observation Intracellular localizations of GFP-tagged Nups were observed by fluorescence microscopy (IX-70; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Images were taken using the DeltaVision microscope system (GE Healthcare, Issaquah, WA) with oil-immersion objective lens UApo40 (NA=1.35) (Olympus). Line profiles of fluorescence intensity were obtained using a measurement tool included in the DeltaVision system. Background fluorescence measured cytoplasm as an averaged value of 5×5 pixels was subtracted from the peak values of fluorescence on the NE. Indirect Immunofluorescence staining Tetrahymena cells expressing GFP-tagged Nups were first fixed with cold methanol for 20 min, and then additionally fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 20 min. After treated with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), cells were treated with 5 µg/ml anti-GLFG monoclonal antibody 21A10 for 2-3 hrs (Iwamoto et al., 2013). After washing with PBS, cells were treated with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG at 1/1000 dilution for 1 h (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Images of forty z-sections with a 0.2-um interval were taken for cells using the DeltaVision microscope system with oil-immersion objective lens PlanApoN60OSC (NA=1.4) (Olympus), and were processed by deconvolution using SoftWoRx software equipped with the microscope.

Immuno-electron microscopy

Tetrahymena cells expressing GFP-tagged Nups were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 30 min. After washing 3 times with PBS, they were permeabilized with 0.1% saponin for 15 min at room temperature. After treatment with 1% BSA, cells were incubated with anti-GFP polyclonal antibody (Rockland Immunochemicals) at 1/200 dilution for 2 hrs, washed three times with PBS, then incubated with FluoroNanogold-anti rabbit Fab' Alexa Fluor 594 (Nanoprobes, Yaphank, NY) at 1/400 dilution for 1 h. The immunolabelled cells were fixed with 2.5% (w/v) glutaraldehyde (Nacalai tesque, Kyoto, Japan) for 1 h. After washing with 50 mM HEPES (pH 5.8) they were incubated with silver enhancement reagent (Tange et al., 2016) for 7 min. The reaction was stopped by washing three times with distilled water. Then the cells were post-fixed with 1% OsO₄ for 15 min, electron stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 1 h, dehydrated with sequentially increased concentrations of ethanol, and embedded in epoxy resin (Epon812). The ultrathin sections sliced from the resin block were stained with 4% uranyl acetate for 15 min and lead citrate (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 min, and observed by a transmission electron microscope JEM-1400 (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) with an acceleration voltage of 80 kV.

544 **Acknowledgements** 545 We thank Tetrahymena Stock Center at Cornell University, Tetrahymena Functional 546 Genomics Database, Tetrahymena Genome Database Wiki, Drs. K. Mochizuki and A.P. 547 Turkewitz for providing materials or valuable information. We thank S. Shibata and N. 548 Shirai for technical assistance of LC-MS/MS analysis. We also thank Drs. D.B. 549 Alexander, H. Asakawa, A.P. Turkewitz, S.O. Obado and M.P. Rout for critical reading 550 of this paper. 551 552 **Competing interests** 553 No competing interests declared. 554 555 **Author contributions** 556 MI, HO, CM, YF, and KN performed the experiments. MI, KN, CO, YH and TH 557 designed the experiments. All authors examined and discussed the data, and MI, CO, YH, 558 and TH wrote the manuscript. 559 560 **Funding** 561 This work was supported by grants from the Japan Science and Technology Agency to 562 TH and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Kakenhi Grant Numbers JP24570227, 563 JP15K07066 to MI, JP15H01462 to KN, JP20114006, JP25116004 to CO, JP26116511, 564 JP16H01309, JP26251037 to YH, and JP23114724, JP26291007, JP25116006 to TH.

- 565 References
- Alber, F., Dokudovskaya, S., Veenhoff, L. M., Zhang, W., Kipper, J., Devos, D.,
- Suprapto, A., Karni-Schmidt, O., Williams, R., Chait, B. T. et al. (2007). The
- molecular architecture of the nuclear pore complex. *Nature* **450**, 695-701.
- Allen, N. P., Huang, L., Burlingame, A. and Rexach, M. (2001). Proteomic analysis of
- nucleoporin interacting proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 29268-29274.
- Amlacher, S., Sarges, P., Flemming, D., van Noort, V., Kunze, R., Devos, D. P.,
- Arumugam, M., Bork, P. and Hurt, E. (2011). Insight into structure and assembly of
- the nuclear pore complex by utilizing the genome of a eukaryotic thermophile. *Cell*
- **146**, 277-289.
- Andersen, K. R., Onischenko, E., Tang, J. H., Kumar, P., Chen, J. Z., Ulrich, A.,
- Liphardt, J. T., Weis, K. and Schwartz, T. U. (2013). Scaffold nucleoporins Nup188
- and Nup192 share structural and functional properties with nuclear transport receptors.
- 578 Elife 2, e00745.
- 579 Asakawa, H., Yang, H.-J., Yamamoto, T. G., Ohtsuki, C., Chikashige, Y.,
- Sakata-Sogawa, K., Tokunaga, M., Iwamoto, M., Hiraoka, Y. and Haraguchi, T.
- 581 (2014). Characterization of nuclear pore complex components in fission yeast
- *Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Nucleus* **5**, 149-162.
- Berke, I. C., Boehmer, T., Blobel, G. and Schwartz, T. U. (2004). Structural and
- functional analysis of Nup133 domains reveals modular building blocks of the nuclear
- 585 pore complex. *J. Cell Biol.* **167**, 591-597.
- Bilokapic, S. and Schwartz, T. U. (2012). Molecular basis for Nup37 and ELY5/ELYS
- recruitment to the nuclear pore complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**,
- 588 15241-15246.
- 589 Brohawn, S. G., Leksa, N. C., Spear, E. D., Rajashankar, K. R. and Schwartz, T. U.
- 590 (2008). Structural evidence for common ancestry of the nuclear pore complex and
- 591 vesicle coats. *Science* **322**, 1369-1373.
- 592 Bui, K. H., von Appen, A, DiGuilio, A. L., Ori, A., Sparks, L., Mackmull, M. T.,
- Bock, T., Hagen, W., Andrés-Pons, A., Glavy, J. S. et al. (2013). Integrated
- structural analysis of the human nuclear pore complex scaffold. *Cell* **155**, 1233-1243.

- 595 Chug, H., Trakhanov, S., Hülsmann, B. B., Pleiner, T. and Görlich, D. (2015).
- 596 Crystal structure of the metazoan Nup62•Nup58•Nup54 nucleoporin complex. *Science*
- **350**, 106-110.
- 598 Cordes, V. C., Reidenbach, S., Rackwitz, H. R. and Franke, W. W. (1997).
- Identification of protein p270/Tpr as a constitutive component of the nuclear pore
- 600 complex-attached intranuclear filaments. *J. Cell Biol.* **136**, 515-529.
- 601 Cronshaw, J. M., Krutchinsky, A. N., Zhang, W., Chait, B. T. and Matunis, M. J.
- 602 (2002). Proteomic analysis of the mammalian nuclear pore complex. J. Cell Biol. 158,
- 603 915-927.
- DeGrasse, J. A., DuBois, K. N., Devos, D., Siegel, T. N., Sali, A., Field, M. C., Rout,
- M. P. and Chait, B. T. (2009). Evidence for a shared nuclear pore complex
- architecture that is conserved from the last common eukaryotic ancestor. *Mol. Cell.*
- 607 *Proteomics* **8**, 2119-2130.
- 608 Devos, D., Dokudovskaya, S., Alber, F., Williams, R., Chait, B. T., Sali, A. and Rout,
- 609 **M. P.** (2004). Components of coated vesicles and nuclear pore complexes share a
- 610 common molecular architecture. *PLOS Biol.* **2**, e380.
- 611 Eisen, J. A., Coyne, R. S., Wu, M., Wu, D., Thiagarajan, M., Wortman, J. R.,
- Badger, J. H., Ren, Q., Amedeo, P., Jones, K. M. et al. (2006). Macronuclear
- genome sequence of the ciliate *Tetrahymena thermophila*, a model eukaryote. *PLOS*
- 614 *Biol.* **4**, e286.
- Enarson, P., Enarson, M., Bastos, R. and Burke, B. (1998). Amino-terminal
- sequences that direct nucleoporin nup153 to the inner surface of the nuclear envelope.
- 617 *Chromosoma* **107**, 228-236.
- 618 Fornerod, M., van Deursen, J., van Baal, S., Reynolds, A., Davis, D., Murti, K. G.,
- **Fransen, J. and Grosveld, G.** (1997). The human homologue of yeast CRM1 is in a
- dynamic subcomplex with CAN/Nup214 and a novel nuclear pore component Nup88.
- 621 *EMBO J.* **16**, 807-816.
- 622 Funakoshi, T., Clever, M., Watanebe, A. and Imamoto, N. (2011). Localization of
- Pom121 to the inner nuclear membrane is required for an early step of interphase
- nuclear pore complex assembly. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **22**, 1058-1069.
- 625 Goldfarb, D. S. and Gorovsky, M. A. (2009). Nuclear dimorphism: two peas in a pod.
- 626 *Curr. Biol.* **19**, R449-R452.

- 627 Gorlich, D. and Mattaj, I. W. (1996). Nucleocytoplasmic transport. Science 271,
- 628 1513-1518.
- 629 Grandi, P., Dang, T., Pané, N., Shevchenko, A., Mann, M., Forbes, D. and Hurt, E.
- 630 (1997). Nup93, a vertebrate homologue of yeast Nic96p, forms a complex with a novel
- 631 205-kDa protein and is required for correct nuclear pore assembly. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **8**,
- 632 2017-2038.
- 633 **Grandi, P., Doye, V. and Hurt, E. C.** (1993). Purification of NSP1 reveals complex
- formation with "GLFG" nucleoporins and a novel nuclear pore protein NIC96. EMBO
- 635 *J.* **12**, 3061-3071.
- 636 **Greber, U. F., Senior, A. and Gerace, L.** (1990). A major glycoprotein of the nuclear
- pore complex is a membrane-spanning polypeptide with a large lumenal domain and a
- 638 small cytoplasmic tail. *EMBO J.* **9**, 1495-1502.
- 639 Griffis, E. R., Xu, S. and Powers, M. A. (2003). Nup98 localizes to both nuclear and
- 640 cytoplasmic sides of the nuclear pore and binds to two distinct nucleoporin
- 641 subcomplexes. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **14**, 600-610.
- Hallberg, E., Wozniak, R. W. and Blobel, G. (1993). An integral membrane protein of
- the pore membrane domain of the nuclear envelope contains a nucleoporin-like region.
- 644 *J. Cell Biol.* **122**, 513-521.
- Hawryluk-Gara, L. A., Shibuya, E. K. and Wozniak, R. W. (2005). Vertebrate Nup53
- interacts with the nuclear lamina and is required for the assembly of a
- 647 Nup93-containing complex. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **16**, 2382-2394.
- Hodel, A. E., Hodel, M. R., Griffis, E. R., Hennig, K. A., Ratner, G. A., Xu, S. and
- Powers, M. A. (2002). The three-dimensional structure of the autoproteolytic, nuclear
- pore-targeting domain of the human nucleoporin Nup98. *Mol. Cell* **10**, 347-358.
- 651 **Isgro, T. A. and Schulten, K.** (2005). Binding dynamics of isolated nucleoporin repeat
- 652 regions to importin-β. *Structure* **13**, 1869-1879.
- 653 Iwamoto, M., Asakawa, H., Hiraoka, Y. and Haraguchi, T. (2010). Nucleoporin
- Nup98: a gatekeeper in the eukaryotic kingdoms. *Genes Cells* **15**, 661-669.
- 655 Iwamoto, M., Asakawa, H., Ohtsuki, C., Osakada, H., Koujin, T., Hiraoka, Y. and
- 656 **Haraguchi, T.** (2013). Monoclonal antibodies recognize Gly-Leu-Phe-Gly repeat of
- nucleoporin Nup98 of *Tetrahymena*, yeasts, and humans. *Monoclon. Antib.*
- 658 *Immunodiagn. Immunother.* **32**, 81-90.

- 659 Iwamoto, M., Koujin, T., Osakada, H., Mori, C., Kojidani, T., Matsuda, A.,
- Asakawa, H., Hiraoka, Y. and Haraguchi, T. (2015). Biased assembly of the nuclear
- pore complex is required for somatic and germline nuclear differentiation in
- 662 Tetrahymena. J. Cell Sci. 128, 1812-1823.
- Iwamoto, M., Mori, C., Kojidani, T., Bunai, F., Hori, T., Fukagawa, T., Hiraoka, Y.
- and Haraguchi, T. (2009). Two distinct repeat sequences of Nup98 nucleoporins
- characterize dual nuclei in the binucleated ciliate *Tetrahymena*. Curr. Biol. 19,
- 666 843-847.
- **Iwamoto, M., Mori, C., Hiraoka, Y. and Haraguchi, T.** (2014). Puromycin resistance
- gene as an effective selection marker for ciliate *Tetrahymena*. *Gene* **534**, 249-255.
- 669 **Karrer, K. M.** (2012). Nuclear dualism. *Methods Cell Biol.* **109**, 29-52.
- Keminer, O. and Peters, R. (1999). Permeability of single nuclear pores. *Biophys. J.* 77,
- 671 217-228.
- Kosova, B., Panté, N., Rollenhagen, C. and Hurt, E. (1999). Nup192p is a conserved
- nucleoporin with a preferential location at the inner site of the nuclear membrane. J.
- 674 *Biol. Chem.* **274**, 22646-22651.
- 675 Liu, S. M. and Stewart, M. (2005). Structural basis for the high-affinity binding of
- 676 nucleoporin Nup1p to the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* importin-β homologue, Kap95p.
- 677 J. Mol. Biol. **349**, 515-525.
- 678 Lutzmann, M., Kunze, R., Buerer, A., Aebi, U. and Hurt, E. (2002). Modular
- 679 self-assembly of a Y-shaped multiprotein complex from seven nucleoporins. EMBO J.
- **21**, 387-397.
- 681 Loiodice, I., Alves, A., Rabut, G., Van Overbeek, M., Ellenberg, J., Sibarita, J. B.
- and Doye, V. (2004). The entire Nup107-160 complex, including three new members,
- is targeted as one entity to kinetochores in mitosis. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **15**, 3333-3344.
- 684 Malone, C. D., Anderson, A. M., Motl, J. A., Rexer, C. H. and Chalker, D. L. (2005).
- 685 Germ line transcripts are processed by a Dicer-like protein that is essential for
- developmentally programmed genome rearrangements of *Tetrahymena thermophila*.
- 687 *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **25**, 9151-9164.
- 688 Malone, C. D., Falkowska, K. A., Li, A. Y., Galanti, S. E., Kanuru, R. C., LaMont, E.
- 689 G., Mazzarella, K. C., Micey, A. J., Osman, M. M., Piotrowski, N. K. et al. (2008).
- Nucleus-specific importin alpha proteins and nucleoporins regulate protein import and

- nuclear division in the binucleate *Tetrahymena thermophila*. *Eukaryot*. *Cell* **7**,
- 692 1487-1499.
- 693 Miao, M., Ryan, K. J. and Wente, S. R. (2006). The integral membrane protein
- 694 Pom34p functionally links nucleoporin subcomplexes. *Genetics* **172**, 1441-1457.
- 695 Miao, W., Xiong, J., Bowen, J., Wang, W., Liu, Y., Braguinets, O., Grigull, J.,
- Pearlman, R. E., Orias, E. and Gorovsky, M. A. (2009). Microarray analysis of gene
- expression during the *Tetrahymena thermophila* life cycle. *PLOS ONE* **4**, e4429.
- 698 Mishra, R. K., Chakraborty, P., Arnaoutov, A., Fontoura, B. M. and Dasso, M.
- 699 (2010). The Nup107-160 complex and γ-TuRC regulate microtubule polymerization at
- 700 kinetochores. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **12**, 164-169.
- 701 Mitchell, J. M., Mansfeld, J., Capitanio, J., Kutay, U. and Wozniak, R. W. (2010).
- 702 Pom121 links two essential subcomplexes of the nuclear pore complex core to the
- 703 membrane. *J. Cell Biol.* **191**, 505-521.
- 704 **Mochizuki, K.** (2008). High efficiency transformation of *Tetrahymena* using a
- codon-optimized neomycin resistance gene. *Gene* **425**, 79-83.
- Napetschnig, J., Blobel, G. and Hoelz, A. (2007). Crystal structure of the N-terminal
- domain of the human protooncogene Nup214/CAN. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104,
- 708 1783-1788.
- 709 Obado, S. O., Brillantes, M., Uryu, K., Zhang, W., Ketaren, N. E., Chait, B. T., Field,
- 710 **M. C. and Rout, M. P.** (2016). Interactome mapping reveals the evolutionary history
- of the nuclear pore complex. *PLOS Biol.* **14**, e1002365.
- 712 Obuse, C., Iwasaki, O., Kiyomitsu, T., Goshima, G., Toyoda, Y. and Yanagida, M.
- 713 (2004). A conserved Mis12 centromere complex is linked to heterochromatic HP1 and
- outer kinetochore protein Zwint-1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **6**, 1135-1141.
- 715 **Orias, E.** (2000). Toward sequencing the *Tetrahymena* genome: exploiting the gift of
- nuclear dimorphism. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 47, 328-333.
- 717 Orias, E., Cervantes, M. D. and Hamilton, E. P. (2011). Tetrahymena thermophila, a
- 718 unicellular eukaryote with separate germline and somatic genomes. *Res. Microbiol.*
- 719 **162**, 578-586.
- 720 Orjalo, A. V., Arnaoutov, A., Shen, Z., Boyarchuk, Y., Zeitlin, S. G., Fontoura, B.,
- 721 **Briggs, S., Dasso, M. and Forbes, D. J.** (2006). The Nup107-160 nucleoporin

- complex is required for correct bipolar spindle assembly. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 17,
- 723 3806-3818.
- Osmani, A. H., Davies, J., Liu, H. L., Nile, A. and Osmani, S. A. (2006). Systematic
- deletion and mitotic localization of the nuclear pore complex proteins of Aspergillus
- 726 *nidulans. Mol. Biol. Cell* **17**, 4946-4961.
- Paine, P. L., Moore, L. C. and Horowitz, S. B. (1975). Nuclear envelope permeability.
- 728 *Nature* **254**, 109-114.
- Rothballer, A. and Kutay, U. (2012). SnapShot: the nuclear envelope II. Cell 150, 1084.
- Rout, M. P., Aitchison, J. D., Suprapto, A., Hjertaas, K., Zhao, Y. and Chait, B. T.
- 731 (2000). The yeast nuclear pore complex: composition, architecture, and transport
- 732 mechanism. *J. Cell Biol.* **148**, 635-651.
- 733 Siniossoglou, S., Wimmer, C., Rieger, M., Doye, V., Tekotte, H., Weise, C., Emig, S.,
- Segref, A. and Hurt, E. C. (1996). A novel complex of nucleoporins, which includes
- 735 Sec13p and a Sec13p homolog, is essential for normal nuclear pores. *Cell* **84**, 265-275.
- 736 Stavru, F., Hülsmann, B. B., Spang, A., Hartmann, E., Cordes, V. C. and Görlich, D.
- 737 (2006). NDC1: a crucial membrane-integral nucleoporin of metazoan nuclear pore
- 738 complexes. *J. Cell Biol.* **173**, 509-519.
- 739 Stover, N. A., Punia, R. S., Bowen, M. S., Dolins, S. B. and Clark, T. G. (2012).
- 740 *Tetrahymena* genome database Wiki: a community-maintained model organism
- 741 database. *Database* **2012**, bas007.
- 742 Strambio-de-Castillia, C., Blobel, G. and Rout, M. P. (1999). Proteins connecting the
- nuclear pore complex with the nuclear interior. J. Cell Biol. 144, 839-855.
- 744 Sugai, T. and Hiwatashi, K. (1974). Cytologic and autoradiographic studies of the
- micronucleus at meiotic prophase in *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. *J Protozool.* 21,
- 746 542-548.
- 747 Tamura, K., Fukao, Y., Iwamoto, M., Haraguchi, T. and Hara-Nishimura, I. (2010).
- 748 Identification and characterization of nuclear pore complex components in
- 749 Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 22, 4084-4097.
- 750 Tange, Y., Chikashige, Y., Takahata, S., Kawakami, K., Higashi, M., Mori, C.,
- Kojidani, T., Hirano, Y., Asakawa, H., Murakami, Y. et al. (2016). Inner nuclear
- 752 membrane protein Lem2 augments heterochromatin formation in response to
- nutritional conditions. *Genes Cells* **21**, 812-832.

- 754 **Terry, L. J. and Wente, S. R.** (2009). Flexible gates: dynamic topologies and functions
- for FG nucleoporins in nucleocytoplasmic transport. *Eukaryot. Cell* **8**, 1814-1827.
- 756 Tetenbaum-Novatt, J., Hough, L. E., Mironska, R., McKenney, A. S. and Rout, M.
- 757 **P.** (2012). Nucleocytoplasmic transport: a role for nonspecific competition in
- karyopherin-nucleoporin interactions. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **11**, 31-46.
- Vollmer, B. and Antonin, W. (2014). The diverse roles of the Nup93/Nic96 complex
- proteins structural scaffolds of the nuclear pore complex with additional cellular
- 761 functions. *Biol. Chem.* **395**, 515-528.
- Weirich, C. S., Erzberger, J. P., Berger, J. M. and Weis, K. (2004). The N-terminal
- 763 domain of Nup159 forms a β -propeller that functions in mRNA export by tethering the
- helicase Dbp5 to the nuclear pore. *Mol. Cell* **16**, 749-760.
- Winey, M., Hoyt, M. A., Chan, C., Goetsch, L., Botstein, D. and Byers, B. (1993).
- NDC1: a nuclear periphery component required for yeast spindle pole body duplication.
- 767 *J. Cell Biol.* **122**, 743-751.
- Wozniak, R. W., Blobel, G. and Rout, M. P. (1994). POM152 is an integral protein of
- the pore membrane domain of the yeast nuclear envelope. *J. Cell Biol.* **125**, 31-42.
- 770 Yavuz, S., Santarella-Mellwig, R., Koch, B., Jaedicke, A., Mattaj, I. W. and Antonin,
- 771 W. (2010). NLS-mediated NPC functions of the nucleoporin Pom121. FEBS Lett.
- **584**, 3292-3298.

773 Figure legends

- 774 Fig. 1. Immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry analysis to identify Nup93
- complex members. (A) A T. thermophila cell fixed with methanol and stained with
- DAPI. Bar, 20 μm. (B) The position of the Nup93 complex within the NPC architecture.
- 777 See also Fig. S1. (C) Simplified procedure of immunoprecipitation and mass
- spectrometry for GFP–*Tt*Nup93-expressing cells used for immunoprecipitation. (D)
- 779 Mass spectrometric identification of the proteins co-precipitated with GFP–*Tt*Nup93.
- 780 The top seven proteins are listed among other identified proteins (Table S2). (E) Physical
- interaction map of Nup93 based on the mass spectrometry results.
- 783 Fig. 2. Distributions of secondary structures and conserved domains in *Tetrahymena*
- 784 **nucleoporins.** Each Nup is shown as the protein name on the left. Blue, red, and black
- 785 letters mean MIC-specific, MAC-specific, and shared components, respectively.
- Asterisks on the left shoulder of the protein names indicate Nups newly identified in this
- 787 study. The colored components in the illustration are as follows: orange boxes/bars,
- 788 α -helix; green boxes/bars, β -strand; red slanting lines, FG repeats; blue slanting lines, FX
- 789 repeats (X means any residue, but the majority are N and Q); and purple ellipse, predicted
- 790 TM domain. Conserved domains are indicated by differently colored bars with standard
- 791 domain names.

782

792

- 793 Fig. 3. Y-complex components localize to both nuclei but are biased to MICs. (A)
- The position of the Y-complex within the NPC architecture. (B) Fluorescent micrographs
- of GFP-Nups ectopically expressed in *Tetrahymena* cells. White broken lines represent
- the borders of cells. The inset in each panel shows a deconvoluted image focused on the
- 797 MAC surface. Arrows indicate the position of the MIC. Bars, 20 um. A line profile of
- 798 fluorescence intensity along the thin green broken line is presented under each image
- 799 panel. Blue and red arrowheads indicate the points corresponding to MIC and MAC
- 800 envelopes, respectively. An asterisk marks the point at which the borders of the two
- nuclei overlap, and where the intensity is measured as the sum of both NEs. Below the
- line profile, the fluorescence intensities of MAC and MIC NEs from 50 cells are plotted.
- 803 The vertical axis of the graph is shown in arbitrary units. Broken lines connect the plots of
- MAC and MIC within the same cell. Average values are presented by red and blue bars

806

807808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

for MAC and MIC, respectively. The numbers upon the MIC plots indicate fold increase of fluorescence in MIC from MAC. All differences are significant ($P < 10^{-20}$ by Student's t-test). (C) Expression profiles of the Y-complex members extracted from the TetraFGD (http://tfgd.ihb.ac.cn/). Plots are the average of two values presented in the database. The horizontal axis represents successive stages of culture growth and therefore different physiological conditions. For the logarithmic growth stage, L-l, L-m, and L-h represent low, medium, and high cell concentrations, respectively. For starvation and conjugation stages, numbers represent hours after the transfer of the cells to each condition. The vertical axis represents relative values of mRNA expression. For details, visit the database website. (D) A simple representation of the deduced composition of MAC and MIC NPCs with different numbers of Y-complexes.

Fig. 4. Newly identified FG-Nups of Tetrahymena. (A) MIC-specific paralogs of Nup214 and Nup153. The upper figure indicates the predicted positions of these Nups within the MIC NPC. Fluorescence micrographs show the subcellular localization of fluorescent protein-tagged Nups; MicNup214 and MicNup153 were endogenously tagged with GFP and mNeon at the C-termini of their ORFs, respectively. Arrows indicate the position of the MIC. Other fluorescent bodies dispersed in the cytoplasm are phagosomes taking in materials derived from the culture medium. (B) MAC-specific paralogs of Nup214 and Nup153. The upper figure indicates the predicted positions of these Nups within the MAC NPC. Fluorescence micrographs show the subcellular localizations of ectopically expressed GFP-Nups. The left panels show a whole cell, and each nuclear region is enlarged in the right panels. White broken lines represent the borders of cells. Insets in the left panels show deconvoluted images focused on the MAC surface. Arrows indicate the position of MICs. Bars indicate 20 µm for the left panels and 5 μm for the right panels. (C) *Tt*Nup62 and *Tt*Nup58 localized in both nuclei. The upper illustration indicates the predicted position of these Nups, which constitute the Nup62 complex. Fluorescent micrographs show the subcellular localizations of ectopically expressed GFP–TtNup62 and TtNup58–GFP. Bars, 20 µm. Line profiles and plots of fluorescence intensity are shown under each image panel in the same manner as in Fig. 3B. Both differences are significant ($P < 10^{-16}$ by Student's t-test). (D) Expression 836 profiles of FG-Nups, as in Fig. 3C. 837 Fig. 5. Nuclear localization and expression profiles of Nup88, Nup185, and Tpr. (A) 838 839 Expression profiles. (B) The predicted positions of TtNup88 and TtTpr. The position of 840 Nup185 is unknown. (C) The subcellular localization of ectopically expressed 841 GFP-TtNup88. The left panel shows a whole cell, and its nuclear region is enlarged in the 842 right panel. White broken lines represent the borders of cells. Inset in the left panel shows 843 the deconvoluted image focused on the MAC surface. Arrows indicate the position of the 844 MICs. Bars indicate 20 µm for the left panel and 5 µm for the right panel. A line profile 845 and plots of fluorescence intensity are shown under each image panel, as in Fig. 3B. The 846 fluorescence intensity of the MIC NE is significantly lower than that of the MAC NE (P < 847 10⁻³⁹). (D) Subcellular localization of ectopically expressed GFP-Nup185. The 848 fluorescence intensity of the MIC NE is significantly lower than that of the MAC NE (P < 10^{-30}). (E) Subcellular localization of ectopically expressed GFP–TtTpr. The 849 850 fluorescence intensity of the MIC NE is slightly lower than that of the MAC NE (P =851 0.0024, by Student's *t*-test). 852 Fig. 6. Two novel pore membrane proteins show nuclear specificity. (A) Illustration 853 854 of molecular profiles. The frequency and positions of FG repeats are compared between T. 855 thermophila Pom proteins and human POM121C (accession: A8CG34). Red and blue 856 slanting lines represent FG and FX (X means any amino acid residue, but the majority are N, Q, and S), respectively. Orange and green boxes represent α -helices and β -strands, 857 858 respectively. Purple ellipses represent predicted TM domains. (B) The expression profiles 859 of nucleus-specific Pom-s and shared Ttgp210, as in Fig. 3C. (C) Fluorescence 860 micrographs show ectopically expressed GFP-tagged TtPom121. Left panels show whole 861 cells, and the right panels show enlarged images of the nuclear regions. White broken 862 lines represent the borders of cells. Arrows indicate the position of MICs. Bars indicate 863 20 µm for the left panels and 5 µm for the right panels. (D) Fluorescence micrographs 864 show GFP-tagged Pom82 (full length, 1-699 aa) and GFP-Pom82ΔTM (transmembrane 865 domain-deletion mutant, 1-678 aa) both ectopically expressed. Arrows indicate the 866 position of the MICs. Other fluorescent bodies dispersed in the cytoplasm are

867 phagosomes taking in materials derived from the culture medium. (E, F) iEM for 868 Pom121-GFP localizing to the MAC NPC (E) and GFP-Pom82 localizing to the MIC 869 NPC (F) using anti-GFP antibody. (a) Immuno-electron micrographs for a single NPC. 870 Dark dots represent signals of gold particles. Bars, 100 nm. (b) Images present a 871 projection image of 20 immuno-electron micrographs of NPCs decorated with gold 872 particles. (c) The positions of individual gold particles in (b) are plotted. Broken lines 873 trace nuclear envelope, and upper and lower sides are cytoplasm and nucleoplasm, 874 respectively. (G) The position of TtPom121 within the MAC NPC architecture. (H) The 875 position of *Tt*Pom82 within the MIC NPC architecture. 876 877 Fig. 7. Schematic models of MAC and MIC NPCs. (A) Deduced composition of the 878 MAC NPC. (B) Deduced composition of the MIC NPC. Boxes colored in red and blue 879 represent MAC-specific and MIC-specific components, respectively: Pom121 (P121), 880 Pom82 (P82), Nup98 paralogs (98), Nup214 (214), and Nup153 (153). Green boxes 881 represent shared components including the nuclear basket structure Tpr and its associated 882 Nup50 (50). TtNup50 is distributed mostly in the nucleoplasm in MACs, whereas it 883 localizes to the NPC in MICs (Malone et al., 2008; Iwamoto et al., 2009). Yellow boxes 884 are MIC-biased Y-complexes, and purple boxes are MAC-biased TtNup88 (88). The 885 number of duplications of yellow and purple boxes does not reflect the actual quantity of 886 those components in vivo. Homologs of Nup358 (358), hCG1 (CG), ALADIN (AL), and 887 ELYS constituting the cytoplasmic structure, were not found in *T. thermophila*. 888



















