TITLE

Repli-seq: genome-wide analysis of replication timing by next-generation sequencing

AUTHORS

Claire Marchal[1]*, Takayo Sasaki[1]*, Daniel Vera[2], Korey Wilson[1], Jiao Sima[1], Juan Carlos Rivera-Mulia[1], Claudia Trevilla García[1], Coralin Nogues[1], Ebtesam Nafie[3], David M. Gilbert[1,2]

[1] Department of Biological Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA.
[2] Center for Genomic and Personalized Medicine, Florida State university, Tallahassee, Florida, USA.
[3] Zoology department, Benha university, Benha, Qalyubia, Egypt.
* Both authors contributed equally to this work.
Correspondence should be addressed to DMG (gilbert@bio.fsu.edu)

ABSTRACT

Cycling cells duplicate their DNA content during S phase, following a defined program called replication timing (RT). Early and late replicating regions differ in terms of mutation rates, transcriptional activity, chromatin marks and sub-nuclear position. Moreover, RT is regulated during development and is altered in disease . Exploring mechanisms linking RT to other cellular processes in normal and diseased cells will be facilitated by rapid and robust methods with which to measure RT genome wide. Here, we describe a protocol to analyse genome-wide RT by next-generation sequencing (NGS). This protocol yields highly reproducible results across laboratories and platforms. We also provide the computational pipelines for analysis, parsing phased genomes using single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) for analyzing imprinted RT, and for direct comparison to Repli-chip data obtained by analyzing nascent DNA by microarrays.

INTRODUCTION

DNA replication occurs during S phase of the cell cycle. In human cells, this process lasts around 8 hours (Weber et al., 2014). Different regions of the genome replicate at different times during S phase, following a defined replication timing (RT) program (Hiratani et al., 2008 and 2010; Hansen et al., 2010; Pope et al., 2010; Ryba et al., 2010; Rivera-Mulia et al., 2015). RT is highly conserved between mouse and human (Hansen et al., 2010; Pope et al., 2010; Ryba et al., 2010; Yaffe et al., 2010). Interestingly, in mammalian cells, almost 50% of the genome switches RT upon cell differentiation (Hiratani et al., 2008 and 2010; Hansen et al., 2010; Pope et al., 2010; Ryba et al., 2010; Rivera-Mulia et al., 2015). RT has been shown to be linked to transcription, although the causal links between these two processes are not clearly understood (Schübeler et al., 2002; Woodfine et al., 2004; Pope et al., 2010; Lubelsky et al., 2014; Rivera-Mulia et al, 2015; Rivera-Mulia and Gilbert, 2016). Moreover, RT is closely associated with 3D nuclear architecture (Ryba et al., 2010; Yaffe et al., 2010) as measured by chromatin conformation capture methods such as Hi-C (Dixon et al., 2015). Early and late replicated regions correlate with the A and B compartments identified by Hi-C analysis (Ryba et. al., 2010; Pope et al., 2010 and 2014; Dixon et al.,2015), while domains of coordinately regulated RT (replication domains; RDs) align with topologically associating domains (TADs) (Pope et al., 2014). Accurate methods to analyse RT are essential to explore the links between all these processes.

Genome-wide RT analysis methods are based on the quantification of replicated

genomic regions at different times during S phase. Multiple techniques have been developed to assess RT. One of the major applications, repli-chip uses BrdU pulse labeling of nascent DNA, Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) to separate cells into different times during S phase (Gilbert, 1986; Gilbert and Cohen, 1987), and BrdU immunoprecipitation to isolate newly synthesized DNA at different time points of the S phase (Hiratani, 2008; Ryba et al., 2011). This newly synthesized DNA is then quantified by microarray hybridization. Subsequently, Hansen et. al. sequenced the newly synthesized DNA produced from BrdU labeling and FACS sorting to coin the term Repli-seq (Hansen et al., 2010). The number of S phase fractions can be varied in this protocol, from 2 fractions (early vs. late) to produce a simple ratio of enrichment in early vs. late S phase, to multiple fractions (to date up to 8) of S phase (Gilbert, 1986; Gilbert and Cohen, 1987; Hansen et al., 1993; Hansen et al., 2010) Both 2 and 6 fraction Repli-chip vs. Repli-seq give highly similar profiles after smoothing and normalization (Pope et al., 2014). Taking multiple fractions of S phase to date has provided little in the way of increased resolution, due to the fact that the labeling times with BrdU necessary for effective BrdU-IP (>60 minutes) label several hundreds of kilobases of DNA. Multiple fractions can, however, give information on synchrony of replication between homologous chromosomes or across a population; highly asynchronous replication would give BrdU incorporation across S phase with multiple fractions but would average out to be indistinguishable from middle replication in a 2 fraction ratio method. When large numbers of different cell types or experimental conditions are being compared, however, the 2-fraction protocol is considerably faster and more robust in both wet and computational processing, provides highly reliable data with well established quality control standards and can be easily integrated in next generation sequencing analysis pipelines.

We previously published a genome-wide RT analysis protocol based on microarray hybridization: repli-chip (Ryba et al., 2011). Here we summarize our newly adapted repli-seq protocol. While microarray processing can be less expensive both in wet and computational costs, sequencing of newly synthesized DNA in repli-seq provides distinct advantages. Sequencing allows one to overcome species limitations with microarray availability, and provides superior sensitivity to allele-specific microarrays in cases of studies needing sequence specificity such as distinguishing single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) / quantitative trait loci (Koren et al., 2014; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2014; Bartholdy et al., 2015) or to compare homologous chromosomes with phased genomes.

We describe here the complete optimized repli-seq experimental procedures and bioinformatic analysis pipeline developed and routinely used in the lab for rapid high confidence analysis of samples containing as few as two thousand S phase cells. This protocol generates data to study RT at the genome-wide level, in a sequence specific manner. We have successfully applied this protocol to human and mouse embryonic stem cells (ESC), ESC-derived, primary cells, and cell lines, as well as frozen viable banked tissue samples (Pope et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2016; unpublished work). Moreover, this protocol could be easily adaptable to study other species and allow to measure allele-specific RT if the phased genome is available.

Overview

The experimental parts of this protocol starts from cultured cells, and ends by the sequencing of the samples. This experimental part is composed of 9 steps. The analysis part of the protocol starts from the fastq or fastq.gz files obtained after sequencing and generates normalized bedgraph files that can be used for further bioinformatics studies. An overview of the total protocol is presented in Figure 1.

Labeling and fixation. This step is performed on cells that can be pulse-labelled.

Asynchronously proliferating cells are pulse-labeled with BrdU to mark newly synthesized DNA. Depending on where in S phase each cell is, early or late replicating regions on the genome are BrdU-labeled cells are then fixed with ethanol, to prevent further BrdU incorporation into the genome. The resolution of the repli-seq is to a certain extent limited by the labelling time.

FACS sorting. BrdU-labeled cells are stained with propidium iodide (PI) to assess the cell cycle phase of each cell, through their DNA content. Cells are sorted by FACS according to their DNA content based on the PI staining, to isolate two cell populations: early S cells and late S cells.

DNA preparation and fragmentation. Genomic DNA from early and late S phase is purified and fragmented. DNA fragmentation is performed by sonication on a Covaris. The advantages of Covaris are 1) it fragments DNA into a relatively tight size distribution reproducibly, hence there is no need for subsequent size selection most of the time, 2) the same conditions work for a broad range of DNA concentrations (50-5000ng). Although Covaris consumables are expensive, these advantages make the total cost of library construction lower than fragmenting DNA using other methods. The fragmentation is performed to obtained DNA fragments of an average length of 200bp if sequencing is performed as 50 to 100 bp single end mode. Reads length and fragments size can be adapted for specific purpose. For example, for hybrid cells, we started with 250bp reads length on more than 500bp fragments. Fragment size distribution is crucial for the final sequencing.

Library construction. This step begins prior to the BrdU labeled DNA immunoprecipitation (BrdU IP). There are many advantages to construct the libraries before the BrdU IP. First, amount of available DNA is higher than after the IP. Constructing libraries from small amount of DNA is more challenging. Moreover, BrdU IP generates single-strand DNA, which means that constructing the libraries after the BrdU IP would need to convert it to double-strand DNA, which would add one more step to the protocol, and could lead to the introduction of artifacts, bias. The kit used to construct the library depends on the sequencer you will use. We use a NEB kit for Illumina sequencer. Libraries are constructed according to the manufacturer protocol, and follow three steps: ends repair and dA tailing, adaptors ligation, USER treatment.

BrdU Immunoprecipitation. DNA fragments, linked to the adaptors, are immunoprecipitated with an anti-BrdU antibody and a mouse secondary antibody.

Indexing and PCR amplification. DNA is indexed during the PCR amplification step, using NEB kit. The optimum number of PCR cycles should be determined by qPCR if necessary. .

Post-PCR Purification. DNA is purified to remove PCR reagents, primers and primer dimers, and proteins contamination. We use AMPure XP beads.

Quality Control, Pooling and Sequencing. Quality control is an important step to avoid sequencing low quality samples which could not be used for further analysis. This step includes the quantification of DNA concentration for each sample and the analysis of the size distribution of the library. The performance of the BrdU-IP is assessed by quantitative PCR in known early and late replicating regions, if these data are available for your samples. After these quality control steps, libraries are pooled for sequencing. The pool of libraries is checked regarding size distribution and molar concentration before they are sequenced. Sequencing is performed on HiSeq illumina sequencer.

Analysis. Analysis is performed on the reads files generated by the sequencing. Reads are mapped onto the genome using bowtie2. The coverage is assessed for each samples, and the base 2 log ratio of early onto late S phase samples is calculated in genomic windows. All these steps can be performed using R software or in command line. Next, base 2 log ratio files are post-processed using R. Post-processing allows the comparison

between samples when comparing samples with local RT changes. Post-processing includes quantile normalization and optionally Loess smoothing. We do not recommend to use the quantile normalization when comparing datasets with global RT changes. Loess smoothing can be skipped if you use overlapping genomic windows for coverage assessment. The analysis generates, for each sample, one bedgraph coverage file of post-processed log2 ratio of early onto late S phase cells sample. These files can be viewed using a genome viewer (IGV -Robinson et al., 2011, IGB -Nicol et al., 2009, USCS genome browser -Kent et al., 2002) or on the replication domain platform (http://www.replicationdomain.org), which allow comparison with multiple available RT, genome-wide transcription and 4C datasets (Weddington et al., 2008). Moreover, these files can be easily integrated in further analysis pipelines.

MATERIALS

REAGENTS

CRITICAL All reagents/materials should be molecular biology / PCR grade.
- Cells of interest (see REAGENTS SETUP)
- BrdU (see REAGENTS SETUP)
- Cell culture medium and FBS appropriate for the cell type
- 1X Trypsin-EDTA (Mediatech 25-053-CI) or other cell dissociation reagent appropriate for the cell type
- 1X PBS (Corning 21-031-CV)
- Propidium Iodide (PI) (Sigma P4170-) 1 mg/mL (see REAGENTS SETUP)
- RNase A 10mg/mL (Sigma R6513) Store at -20°C
- PBS / 1% FBS / PI / RNase A (see REAGENTS SETUP)
- Proteinase K 20mg/mL (Amresco E195) Store at -20°C
- SDS-PK buffer (see REAGENTS SETUP)
- 70% (vol/vol) Ethanol in H_2O.
- Quick-DNA MicroPrep (Zymo D3021)
- 0.5 M EDTA pH 8 (Boehringer 808288)
- NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (E7370) (see REAGENTS SETUP)
- TE (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1mM EDTA)
- 10 mM Tris pH 8.0 (Fisher BP152-5)
- 10X IP buffer (see REAGENTS SETUP)
- Anti-BrdU antibody (BD 555627) 12.5 µg/ml (see REAGENTS SETUP)
- Anti-mouse IgG ((Sigma M7023)
- Digestion buffer (see REAGENTS SETUP)
- NEBNext Multiple Oligos for Illumina (Dual Index Primers Set 1, Cat. # E7600S)
- DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 (Zymo Research D4014)
- Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter A63880)
- Qubit® dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies Q32854)
- Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent, 5067-4626)
- Agilent DNA 1000 Kit (Agilent, 5067-1504)
- 100% Ethanol (Sigma E7023)
- H_2O
- Tween 20 (Sigma P1379) 0.05% (vol/vol) in 10 mM Tris pH 8
- KAPA Library Quantification kit (Kapa biosystems KK4824 for Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast)

EQUIPMENTS
- Covaris E220
- microTUBE AFA Fiber Pre-Slit Snap Cap 6x16mm (Covaris 520045) (see EQUIPMENT SETUP)
- heat block
- Qubit
- Centrifuge (Eppendorf 5415D and Sorvall Legend RT)
- Magnet separator
- Thermal cycler (with plate for 0.5mL tubes)
- 1.5mL microcentrifuge tubes
- 0.5mL PCR tubes (we use Axygen PCR-05-C, but this reference has to be adapted to your thermal cycler)
- 0.65mL microcentrifuge tubes (Coster 3208)
- Real-Time Thermocycler (Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast)
- PCR plate (Life Technologies 4346906)
- Optical Adhesive Film (Life Technologies 4311971)
- Parafilm
- Vortex
- Unix-based Computer (see EQUIPMENT SETUP)

REAGENTS SETUP
- Cells of interest
Cultures can be grown in any size cell culture dish, but must be in an actively dividing state for use in this protocol. If you have to start from non-proliferating / metabolically inactive cells, use S/G1 method described in Ryba et al., 2011. FACS can be problematic with a low cell number, we recommend to use 2 x 10^6 cells. We have once successfully profiled RT using as low as 300,000 cells as the starting material, but this does not happen with every sample. Cells are lost during PI staining, filtering, sorting.
CAUTION All experiments should be performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.
- BrdU (5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine) (Sigma Aldrich, B5002)
Make stock solutions of 10 mg/mL (and 1 mg/mL if you need to handle a small scale of culture) in ddH_2O and store at -20°C in aliquots, protected from light.
-Propidium Iodide (1 mg/mL) (PI)
To make 20 mL, dissolve 20 mg Propidium Iodide powder in autoclave ddH_2O to achieve a final volume of 20 mL and filter. Store for up to one year at 4°C protected from light.
- PBS / 1% FBS / PI / RNase A
Add 50 µl of 1 mg/ml PI, 25 µl of 10 mg/ml Rnase A to every 1 ml of PBS-1% FBS
-SDS-PK buffer
To make 50 mL, combine 34 mL autoclaved ddH_2O, 2.5 mL 1M Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mL 0.5 M EDTA, 10 mL 5 M NaCl and 2,5 mL 10% (wt/vol) SDS (Invitrogen 15525017) in H_2O. Store at room temperature. Warm to 56°C before use to completely dissolve SDS.
- 10X IP buffer
To make 50 mL, combine 28.5 mL ddH_2O, 5 mL 1M Sodium Phosphate pH 7.0, 14 mL 5 M NaCl, and 2.5 mL 10% (wt/vol) Triton X-100 in H_2O . Store at room temperature.
- anti-BrdU antibody 12.5 µg/ml
Dilute antibody in 1X PBS from the stock concentration of 0.5 mg/mL to a final concentration of 12.5 µg/mL. Prepare 40 µl of diluted antibody for each sample and discard unused diluted antibody.
- Digestion buffer
To make 50 mL, combine 44 mL autoclaved ddH_2O, 2.5 mL 1M Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mL 0.5

M EDTA, and 2.5 mL 10% (wt/vol) SDS in H_2O. Store at room temperature.
- NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (E7370)
The Ligation Master Mix and Ligation Enhancer can be mixed ahead of time and the mix is stable for at least 8 hours at 4°C. We do not recommend premixing the Ligation Master Mix, Ligation Enhancer and adaptor prior to use in the Adaptor Ligation Step.

EQUIPMENT SETUP
- microTUBE AFA Fiber Pre-Slit Snap Cap 6x16mm (Covaris 520045)
Tubes are single use. They are used with rack 500111, Covaris E220 system. For the details of the Covaris system and tubes, see http://covarisinc.com/products/afa-ultrasonication/e-series/ and links from there.
- Computer with the following tools installed:

R	R Development Core Team, 2008	https://www.r-project.org/
R package "preprocessCore"	Bolstad et al., 2003	bioconductor.org
R package "travis" (Optional)		https://github.com/dvera/travis
fastqc	Andrews, 2014	http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc
bowtie2*	Langmead et al., 2012	http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml
samtools	Li et al., 2009	http://www.htslib.org/download/
bedtools	Quinlan et al., 2010	http://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html

*Bowtie2 needs the index of the genome you will use (see http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml).

PROCEDURE

Step 1: BrdU pulse labeling and fixation of cells 3h
This is for adherent cells in a T75 flask with 15 mL medium (for suspension culture in 15mL, skip steps 3 to 5).
1. Add BrdU into medium to a final concentration of 100 µM
2. Incubate 2 hr at 37°C tissue culture incubator for BrdU incorporation
3. Rinse twice the cells gently with ice-cold PBS
4. Trypsinize cells with 2 mL of 0.2X Trypsin-EDTA, 2-3 min
5. Add 5 mL of FBS-containing medium, pipette gently but thoroughly, and transfer to 15 mL round bottom tube (Falcon 2059 or the like)
6. Centrifuge, 200 g, 5 min
7. Decant (or aspirate) supernatant carefully
8. Add 2.5 mL of ice-cold PBS / 1 % FBS, pipette gently but thoroughly

CRITICAL STEP Double check the cell number at this point, after adding ethanol, it will be harder to count the cells since FBS makes precipitation.

9. Add 7.5 mL of ice-cold 100% EtOH, dropwise while gently vortexing (use the lowest rpm or hand shake the tube to avoid cell lysis by vigorous vortexing)
10. Seal cap, mix tube gently but thoroughly by inverting several times.
11. Store at -20°C until use (and in the dark since BrdU is light-sensitive). Lower temperature may cause freezing which damages cells.
    PAUSE POINT Fixed cells are stable in -20˚C for more than a year if protected from light and evaporation.

Note: Starting from rapidly growing cells helps as they have a large population of S phase cells. In our experience, 2 million total cells give enough early and late S phase cells for one replication assay (60,000 each) most of the time (with >5% cells in S phase). Using round bottom tubes for cell fixation prevents cells from forming packed pellet that is hard to re-suspend later, but if small cell number is an issue, using conical tubes is fine.

Step 2: FACS sample preparation and sorting 1.5h

This is a "whole cell sorting" procedure. If the fixed cells' condition is poor (making aggregate etc.) or cell sorter specification does not allow sorting whole cells (too narrow nozzle etc.), "nuclei preparation" procedure (see Supplementary method I.) may help.

12. Transfer 2 x 10^6 cells to a 15 mL conical tube.
13. Centrifuge at approximately 200 x g for 5 minutes at room temperature.
14. Decant supernatant carefully
15. Re-suspend the cell pellet in 2 mL 1% (vol/vol) FBS in PBS. Mix well by tapping the tube.
16. Centrifuge at approximately 200 x g for 5 minutes at room temperature.
17. Decant supernatant carefully.
18. Resuspend cell pellet in PBS / 1% FBS / PI / RNase A to reach 3 x 10^6 cells/mL.
19. Tap the tube to mix and then incubate for 20 to 30 minutes at room temperature (22°C) in the dark. (count the cells during this time and adjust cell concentration if necessary)
20. Filter cells by pipetting them through 37-micron nylon mesh into a 5 mL polypropylene round bottom tube.
21. Keep samples on ice in the dark and proceed directly to FACS sorting.
    PAUSE POINT Alternatively, add 1/9 vol. DMSO and freeze in -80°C (light protected) until sorting. On sorting, thaw the cell suspension in a 37°C water bath. Removing DMSO is not necessary. Once thawed, keep the samples on ice in the dark.
22. Request FACS operator to collect 120,000 cells each of early and late S phase cells. (120,000 cells allow 6 reactions of BrdU IP).
    CRITICAL STEP It is hard to define the junction between G1 and S. Therefore, include some late G1 into early S fraction In addition, cells in late S phase proceed to G2 during 2hr BrdU labeling, hence include early G2 into late S fraction. Be sure to leave as small a gap as possible between the early and late S sorting windows. Otherwise, data for mid S replicating DNA will be inaccurate.
    TROUBLESHOOTING

Step 3: DNA preparation from FACS sorted cells 0.5h

23. Centrifuge the sorted cells at 400 x g or sorted nuclei at 800 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C.
24. Decant supernatant gently, only once (If the cell number is small, there may be no supernatant coming out by decanting, but do it for every sample for the consistency).
25. Add 1 mL of SDS-PK buffer containing 0.2 mg/mL Proteinase K every 100,000 cells collected and mix vigorously by tapping the tube. Seal around the tube cap with parafilm so that the caps would not pop out during the next step.

26. Incubate samples in a 56°C water bath for 2 hours.
27. Mix each sample thoroughly to get homogeneous solution after 56°C incubation, then aliquot 200 µl, equivalent to approximately 20,000 cells, into separate 1.5 mL tubes for each sample. (This one tube is for one library/IP. In order to see the consistency of IP, it is recommended to process at least 2 fractions per sample if any possible).
28. Add 800 µl Genomic Lysis Buffer from Zymo Quick-DNA Microprep kit and follow the kit protocol to purify DNA. Elute DNA into 50 µl H2O.
    CRITICAL STEP Sometimes, you get more than 50 µl elution. This is because wash buffer had not been completely removed from the column. Pay great attention to make sure you do not have wash buffer left on the column before adding 50 µl H2O for elution.
    PAUSE POINT The purified DNA can be sheared immediately by Covaris or stored in -20°C.

Step 4: Fragmentation 1h

29. The Covaris water bath needs to be chilled and de-gassed for 45 minutes before each use. See the manufacturer instructions for more information.
30. Using a 100-200 µl pipette tip, transfer the purified DNA from Step 28 into a microTUBE AFA Fiber (numbered on periphery of the cap) through the slit of the microTUBE. The slit closes automatically.
31. Keep the microTUBE AFA Fiber tube containing DNA on ice until fragmentation starts.
32. While de-gas is underway, set the shearing conditions. For 200 bp average fragment size, use 175W, 10% duty, 200 cycles/burst, 120 seconds, 7°C water.
33. Set the sample tubes on the rack and start shearing according to Covaris manual.
34. Once all tubes have been treated, spin the tubes at 600 RCF for 5 sec to collect all the liquid at the bottom of the tube.
35. Optional: Although Covaris is very reproducible, you may want to check the fragment size distribution, especially on your first try. In such case, concentrate the sheared DNA to 10-15 µL using DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 and check 1 µL on a Bioanalyzer HighSensitivity DNA chip.

Step 5: Library construction 3h

This basically follows NEB's manual using 0.5 ng - 1 µg fragmented DNA. • Colored bullets indicate the cap color of the reagent to be added to a reaction.

36. Mix the components in the table below in a 0.5ml PCR tube:

• (green) End Prep Enzyme Mix	3.0 µL
• (green) End Repair Reaction Buffer (10X)	6.5 µL
Fragmented DNA (+ H2O)	55.5 µL
Total volume	65 µL

37. Mix by pipetting followed by a quick spin to collect all liquid from the sides of the tube.
38. Place in a thermocycler, after the lid is heated, and run the program of the table below.

30 minutes	20°C
30 minutes	65°C

Hold	4°C

39. Add the components of the table below directly to the mix from Step 38 and mix well.

Mix from Step 36	65 µL
• (red) Blunt/TA Ligase Master Mix	15 µl
• (red) NEBNext Adaptor for Illumina*	2.5 µl
• (red) Ligation Enhancer	1 µl
Total volume	83.5 µl

* If you started with less than 100 ng DNA, dilute the adaptor 1:10 with H_2O. Diluted adaptor cannot be saved for later use, so discard any leftover.

40. Mix by pipetting and then perform a quick spin to collect all liquid from the sides of the tube.
41. Incubate at 20°C for 15 minutes in a thermal cycler with heated lid off.
42. Add 3µl USER enzyme to the ligation mixture from Step 41.
43. Mix well and incubate at 37°C for 15 minutes with heated lid on.
44. Purify the DNA using DNA Clean & Concentrator-5. Elute into 50 µl H_2O.
    PAUSE POINT The purified DNA can be stored at -20˚C protected from light.

Step 6: BrdU IP 1h and overnight

The BrdU IP does not use beads to precipitate the antibodies / DNA-BrdU complexes. The centrifugation performed Step 54 is sufficient to pellet the complexes without need of beads because primary and secondary antibodies alone can make visible aggregates without BrdU-labeled DNA. In other words, visible precipitates do not guarantee successful capture of BrdU-labeled DNA.

45. Add 450 µL TE to the DNA from Step 44.
46. Aliquot 60 µL 10X IP buffer to separate fresh tubes (one for each sample from Step 45).
47. Make 0.75 mL 1X IP buffer for each sample and start cooling on ice.
48. Denature DNA from Step 45 at 95°C for 5 minutes then cool on ice for 2 minutes.
49. Add the denatured DNA from Step 48 to the tube from Step 46.
50. Add 40 µL of 12.5 µg/mL anti-BrdU antibody.
51. Incubate 20 minutes at room temperature with constant rocking.
52. Add 20 µg of rabbit anti-mouse IgG. (Anti-mouse IgG concentration differs lot by lot. Check certificate of analysis.)
53. Incubate 20 minutes at room temperature with constant rocking.
54. Centrifuge at 16,000 x g for 5 minutes at 4°C
55. Remove supernatant completely (repeat pipetting and brief spin, first using 200 µL tips, finally using 10 µL tips).
56. Add 750 µL of 1X IP Buffer that has been chilled on ice.
57. Centrifuge at 16,000 x g for 5 minutes at 4°C.
58. Remove supernatant completely, as in step 55.
59. Re-suspend the pellet in 200 µL digestion buffer with freshly added 0.25 mg/mL Proteinase K and incubate samples overnight at 37°C (air incubator).
60. Add 1.25 µL of 20 mg/ml Proteinase K to each tube.
61. Incubate samples for 60 minutes at 56°C (water bath).
62. Purify the DNA using DNA Clean & Concentrator -5 and elute into 16 µL H_2O.

Step 7: Indexing and amplification 1.5h

63. Mix the components of the table below in 0.5mL PCR tubes . See supplemental data I for more information on NEBNext primers, and refer to NEB and Illumina manuals for the combination of index primers.

BrdU IPed library from Step 62	15 µL
• (blue) NEBNext Q5 Hot Start HiFi Master Mix	25 µL
• (orange) i7 Primer*	5 µL
° (white) i5 Primer*	5 µL
Total volume	50 µL

CRITICAL STEP Each library should get a unique combination of i7 and i5 primers.

64. Place in a thermocycler, after lid is heated, and run the program of the table below.

step	temp	time	cycle
Initial Denaturation	98°C	30 sec	1
Denaturation	98°C	10 sec	14*
Annealing	65°C	75 sec	
Final Extension	65°C	5 min	1
Hold	4°C	∞	1

CRITICAL STEP *The necessary cycle number varies depending on the yield of the BrdU IP. If the suggested cycle number is not enough, you can re-amplify your libraries later. Over-amplification with primer depletion causes PCR artifacts that are considered to be dimers

65. (Optional) In order to estimate the amount of your BrdU-IPed DNA and determine the optimal PCR cycle number for indexing, you can perform a qPCR using primers that anneal to adaptor region (in this case: NEBadqPCR_F; ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGC and NEBadqPCR_R; GACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGC) and serial dilution of your previous NGS library with known concentration as standard.
66. (Optional) You can run 5uL each of PCR reaction on a 1.5% agarose gel after PCR to check the size distribution by EtBr staining. A smear around 350 bp is expected. If no smear is detected, you need to re-amplify the reaction (the procedure for re-amplification is described in Step 78).

Step 8: Purification 1h

67. Place AMPure XP beads at room temperature for at least 30 minutes.
68. Add H_2O to each PCR reaction to make the final volume 100uL.
69. Vortex AMPure XP beads to resuspend.
70. Add 90µL of resuspended AMPure XP beads to the 100µL PCR reaction. Mix well by pipetting up and down at least 10 times.
    CRITICAL STEP If you start from poorly fragmented DNA and you are sure you need to perform size selection at this moment, refer to NEB manual E7370 and optimize the

volume of AMPure XP beads to use.

71. Incubate for 5 minutes at room temperature.
72. Quickly spin the tube and place the tube on an appropriate magnetic stand to separate the beads from the supernatant. Once the solution is clear (takes about 5 minutes), carefully remove the supernatant.
73. Mix ethanol and H_2O to prepare fresh 80% ethanol (200 µL x [tube number + 1]). Add 200 µL 80% freshly prepared ethanol to each tube while in the magnetic stand. Incubate at room temperature for 30 seconds, and then carefully remove and discard the supernatant.
74. Repeat Steps 72-73 two more times for a total of three washes.
75. Air dry the beads for 10 minutes while the tube is on the magnetic stand with the lid open but loosely covered by plastic wrap.
76. Elute the DNA from the beads
    (i) Add 33 µL 10 mM Tris-HCl. Mix well on a vortex mixer or by pipetting up and down.
    (ii) Quickly spin the tubes and return them to the magnetic stand.
    (iii) Once the solution is clear (takes about 5 minutes), transfer 31 µL to a new tube. Store libraries at –20°C.
    PAUSE POINT Libraries can be stored indefinitely at -20˚C

Step 9: Quality control and pooling 5h

77. Check the DNA concentration using 1 µL of the library on Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit. (See Qubit manual). Expect 10-20 ng/µl. If the concentration is below detection, do another Qubit assay using 10 µL. This helps to determine the number of PCR cycles necessary for re-amplification. If the DNA concentration is higher than 7 ng/µL, skip the Step 76.
78. If the DNA concentration is less than 7 ng/µl, you will likely have problems making the final 10 nM pool, so you will need to re-amplify the sample. Please note that it is better to use more PCR cycles from the beginning rather than re-amplifying, because re-amplification includes one more step of purification. Re-amplification can be done as following:
    (i) Mix the components of the table below:

Indexed library	20 µl
TS-Oligo 1&2 6µM each*	5 µl
NEBNext Q5 Hot Start HiFi Master Mix	25 µl
Total volume	50 µl

*TS-Oligo 1&2 anneals to the outermost part of indexed (both dual and single indexed) library molecules. This primer set cannot be used before library indexing. Sequences are in supplemental data II.

(ii) Place the mix in a thermocycler, after lid is heated, and run the program of the table below:

Step	temp	time	cycle
Initial Denaturation	98°C	30 sec	1
Denaturation	98°C	10 sec	As needed*
Annealing	65°C	75 sec	

Final Extension	65°C	5 min	1
Hold	4°C	∞	1

* The PCR program is basically the same as for indexing (step 7-2) but cycle number is adjusted depending on the original template concentration.
CRITICAL STEP Avoid over-amplification, since PCR cycles with low primer concentration causes library dimer, trimer, etc. formation.

(iii) Purify the PCR product as in Steps 67 to 76 and quantify DNA using Qubit. If DNA concentration is ≥10 ng/ul, proceed to Step 79.
TROUBLESHOOTING

79. Using 2 ng/μL dilutions of each library as templates, check the early and late fraction enrichment by PCR using primer sets listed in Ryba et al. Nature Protocols (Ryba et al., 2011). See supplemental data III for examples of Multiplex Primer mix.
(i) Mix the components of the table below:

component	volume per reaction (μl)	Final conc.
ddH2O	1.25 μl	-
2xOne Taq master mix	6.25 μl	1x
Multiplex Primer mix	4 μl	various
Template 2 ng/μL	1 μl	0.16 ng/μl
total	12.5 μL	

(ii) Place the mix in a thermocycler, after lid is heated, and run the program of the table below:

Step	temp	time	cycle
Initial Denaturation	95°C	30 sec	1
Denaturation	94°C	20 sec	39
Annealing	60°C	45 sec	
Final Extension	65°C	5 min	1
Hold	4°C	∞	

CRITICAL STEP Since the target size is small enough, this 2-step PCR works without an extension step. However, it is important to stick to this annealing condition (45s is relatively long) so that primer can start extension slowly during the annealing instead of suddenly stripped from the template by heating for the next cycle of denaturation.

(iii) Run 4-5 μL of each PCR product (addition of loading buffer is not necessary for One Taq PCR) on 1.5% agarose gel containing EtBr to check the size of PCR products (specificity of PCR) and target enrichment. 30 minutes at 125V or 40 minutes at 100V is enough for this purpose (use the long gel, as you are separating multiplex PCR products). If the expected target enrichment is confirmed, proceed to Step 80.
TROUBLESHOOTING

80. Check the size distribution of each library using the Bioanalyzer high sensitivity DNA kit or DNA 1000 kit according to your samples DNA concentration. See the Bioanalyzer/kit manual for details of the procedure, but first, place the reagents at room temperature. (~30 minutes for equilibration is recommended). See supplemental data IV for examples of good / bad quality libraries.
    (A) If your library concentration determined by Qubit is between 30 ng/μL and 50 ng/μL, load 1μL of each undiluted sample on a DNA 1000 chip. If the concentration is higher than 50 ng/μL (unlikely), dilute to 50 ng/μL and load 1μL on a DNA 1000 chip.
    (B) If your library concentration is less than 30 ng/μL, dilute to 0.5 ng/μL (based on Qubit) and load 1 μL to DNA High Sensitivity chip. So the aim is the upper limit of "quantitative range".
    The evaluation criteria for quality control results are:
    (i) No adaptor/primer dimer peaks (below 150-180 bp) are detected. (Primer dimer contributes to clustering during sequencing but does not contribute to valid reads).
    (ii) All the libraries to be pooled have similar and tight (~ 300 bp width) size distribution. (Molecules of different size have different clustering efficiency). If your samples do not meet these criteria, go back to Step 67. If all your samples pass these criteria, proceed to Step 81 (or Step 82 as Step 81 is optional).
81. (Optional) Determine of the molar concentration of each library by qPCR. This step may be omitted after you get used to the procedure. See manufacturer's instruction for the latest update on KAPA qPCR kit. If your library has average 350 bp size and 10ng/uL concentration, the molar concentration is around 50nM, hence 1:10,000 dilution would fit within the standard curve. 10 μL reaction size works. Set up triplicates of standard DNA and duplicates of test samples (one dilution – usually 1:10,000, but decide the dilution factor based on your Qubit and Bioanalyzer results).
    After the PCR run, compensate and calculate the molar concentration of your samples as below using the average fragment size from Bioanalyzer.

    *Molar Concentration (nM) = RawMolarConcentration (nM) * 452 (bp) / AvFragSize (bp)*

    With *RawMolarConcentration*, the raw molar concentration from qPCR and *AvFragSize* your average fragment size (400 bp). *452* is the size of the standard DNA fragments used by the KAPA Illumina library quantification assay kit.
82. Pool the libraries. The number of libraries to pool is important to rich the good sequencing depth. For human or mouse samples, 5M mapped reads per library give usable data, which correspond to less than 10M sequenced reads (depending on the quality of the sequencing). Our HiSeq2500 generates ~160M reads per lane, so we usually pool 12 to 16 libraries per lane. Also, pooling less than 4 indexes is not recommended due to the low complexity of the index.
    (i) Using 0.05 % Tween 20 in 10 mM Tris pH 8, adjust each library to 10 nM (if you skipped the Step 81, estimate the molar concentration of each library using Bioanalyzer region function).
    (ii) Mix equal volume of each 10 nM library to make a pool. One pool fills one lane. CRITICAL STEP Make at least 23 μL of each pool (preferably ~50 μL for backup) in a low-adhesion tube so that DNA concentration would not easily change by adsorption while waiting for the run.
    (iii) (Optional) Add 1% PhiX spike into the pool (If you submit the pool to sequencing core etc. for service, PhiX is most likely to be added at the sequencing core) . PhiX library is made by Illumina and works as a positive control of the run itself (clustering and sequencing reactions).

PAUSE POINT The pool can be stored in -20°C.

83. Quality control of the pool(s): take an aliquot from each pool and adjust them to 0.5 ng/µL (based on Qubit quantification), and run 1 µL of each on a Bioanalyzer DNA HiSensitivity chip to determine the average fragment size of the pool

84. Sequence the pooled libraries on a Hi-seq Illumina sequencer. Generally, 50bp single end reads is sufficient for normal samples covering the unique sequences of the genome. However, longer reads may be required to parse alleles by SNPs.

Step 10: Data Analysis 1 day

This pipeline is written to process files which names follow a specific nomenclature: early and late sequencing data originated from the same sample must have matching name, with an "_E_" in the name for the file from early S cells, and an "_L_" for the file from the late S cells. If you use paired-end sequencing data, pairs files are here named with R1 and R2 before the extension. An example of a single-end fastq file name could be "my_sample_1_E_.fastq", and a pair of paired-end fastq files name could be "my_sample_1_E_R1.fastq" and "my_sample_1_E_R2.fastq".

85. Control the quality of the reads using fastqc. To install fastqc, see http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc for the installation and use of this tool.

TROUBLESHOOTING

86. Process the fastq files. If you have multiple fastq files per libraries, see supplemental method II to catenate the fastq files. See supplemental method III to generate log ratio coverage files using R.

This step require chrom.size file of the genome you will you use for the analysis. Many of these files can be directly downloaded from UCSC server at ftp://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/. This file contains two columns: each chromosome name and size in bp, separated by a tabulation.

During this step, you can specify the size of the genomic windows you will use, depending on the further analysis you will perform on the RT datasets. We use genomic windows from 5 to 50kb. You can also specify the step between windows, to generate overlapping (or not) windows for example.

CRITICAL STEP This file must be sorted on the first column, following alphabetic order (for example, "chr10" will be before "chr2").

(i) Create the following script.sh text file in a text editor, and register it in the fastq files directory

(A) If you are analysing single-end data

```
# Generating 50kb windows positions along the genome. You can change the -w value to change the windows size, and the -s value, to change the steps (to make overlapping windows for example)
sort -k1,1 -k2,2n your_genome.chrom.sizes > your_genome_sorted.chrom.sizes
bedtools makewindows -w 50000 -s 50000 -g your_genome_sorted.chrom.sizes > your_genome_windows.bed

# Mapping fastq(.gz) and making bed with values on genomic windows
for file in *.fastq*; do
   # Mapping
   (bowtie2 -x /path/to/your/genome --no-mixed --no-discordant --reorder -U $file -S ${file%.fastq*}.sam 2>> mapping_log.txt
   # sam to bam conversion
```

```
    samtools view -bSq 10 ${file%.fastq*}.sam > ${file%.fastq*}.bam
    # bam to bed conversion
    bamToBed -i ${file%.fastq*}.bam | cut -f 1,2,3,4,5,6 | sort -T . -k1,1 -k2,2n -S 5G >
${file%.fastq*}.bed
    # bed line number calcul
    x=`wc -l ${file%.fastq*}.bed | cut -d' ' -f 1`
    # generate coverage on genomic windows
    bedtools intersect -sorted -c -b ${file%.fastq*}.bed -a your_genome_windows.bed |
awk -vx=$x '{print $1,$2,$3,$4*1e+06/x}' OFS='\t' > ${file%.fastq*}.bg) &
done
Wait

# Calculating RT
for file in *_E_.bg; do
    paste $file ${file%E_.bg}L_.bg | awk '{if($8 != 0 && $4 != 0){print
$1,$2,$3,log($4/$8)/log(2)}}' OFS='\t' > ${file%E_.bg}T_.bg
done

# Merging RT files

bedtools unionbedg -filler "NA" -i *T_.bg > merge_RT.txt
```

(B) If you are analysing paired-end data

```
# Generating the 50kb windows positions along the genome. You can change the -w
value to change the windows size, and the -s value, to change the steps (to make
overlapping windows for example)
sort -k1,1 -k2,2n your_genome.chrom.sizes > your_genome_sorted.chrom.sizes
bedtools makewindows -w 50000 -s 50000 -g your_genome_sorted.chrom.sizes >
your_genome_windows.bed

# Mapping fastq(.gz) and making bed with values on genomic windows
for file in *R1.fastq*; do
    # Mapping
    (bowtie2 -x /path/to/your/genome --no-mixed --no-discordant --reorder -1 $file -2
${file%R1.fastq*}R2.fastq* -S ${file%R1.fastq*}.sam 2>> mapping_log.txt
    # sam to bam conversion
    samtools view -bSq 10 ${file%R1.fastq*}.sam > ${file%R1.fastq*}.bam
    # bam to bed conversion
    bamToBed -i ${file%R1.fastq*}.bam | cut -f 1,2,3,4,5,6 | sort -T . -k1,1 -k2,2n -S 5G >
${file%R1.fastq*}.bed
    # bed line number calcul
    x=`wc -l ${file%R1.fastq*}.bed | cut -d' ' -f 1`
    # generate coverage on genomic windows
    bedtools intersect -sorted -c -b ${file%R1.fastq*}.bed -a your_genome_windows.bed
| awk -vx=$x '{print $1,$2,$3,$4*1e+06/x}' OFS='\t' > ${file%R1.fastq*}.bg) &
done
wait
```

```
# Calculating RT
for file in *_E_.bg; do
   paste $file ${file%E_.bg}L_.bg | awk '{if($8 != 0 && $4 != 0){print
$1,$2,$3,log($4/$8)/log(2)}}' OFS='\t'> ${file%E_.bg}T_.bg
done

# Merging RT files

bedtools unionbedg -filler "NA" -i *T_.bg > merge_RT.txt
```

The bold paths and names have to be adapted to the path and names used in your computer. For more information on the genome path used by bowtie2 and others bowtie2 options, see http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/manual.shtml. For better performances, you can allow bowtie2 multiple processors, depending on your resources, with the option -p [number of processors] (see bowtie2 documentation).

(ii) Open a shell and go to the fastq files directory:

```
$ cd path/to/files/
```

(iii) Make the script.sh file executable:

```
$ chmod 755 script.sh
```

(iv) Execute the script.sh file:

```
$ ./script.sh
```

87. Post-process the bedgraph files. This step is performed in R, using the package "preprocessCore"

(i) Open R and go to the bedgraph files directory:

```
> setwd("path/to/files/")
```

(ii) Load the "preprocessCore" package:

```
> library(preprocessCore)
```

(iii) Import the merged bedgraph files:

```
> merge<-read.table("merge_RT.txt", header=FALSE)
> colnames(merge)<-c(c("chr","start","end"),list.files(path=".",pattern="*T_.bg"))
> merge_values<-as.matrix(merge[,4:ncol(merge)])
```

(iv) Set the datasets to use for quantile normalization:

(A) normalization on all datasets:

```
> ad<-stack(merge[,4:ncol(merge)])$values
```

(B) normalisation on one datasets:

```
> ad<-merge[,"my_sample_T_.bg"]
```

(C) normalisation on multiple datasets (You can add as many datasets as you want):

```
> ad<-stack(merge[,c("my_sample_1_T_.bg","my_sample_2_T_.bg")])$values
```

(v) Normalise the data:

```
> norm_data<-normalize.quantiles.use.target(merge_values,ad)
> merge_norm<-data.frame(merge[,1:3],norm_data)
> colnames(merge_norm)<-colnames(merge)
```

(vi) Register the quantile normalized data into bedgraph files:

```
> for(i in 4:ncol(merge_norm)){write.table(
        merge_norm[complete.cases(merge_norm[,i]), c(1,2,3,i)], gsub(".bg",
        "qnorm.bedGraph", colnames(merge_norm)[i]),
        sep="\t",row.names=FALSE, quote=FALSE, col.names=FALSE)}
```

(vii) Select the chromosome for Loess smoothing (You can modify the pattern option to select different chromosomes. Here, the pattern selects all chromosomes except

chromosomes containing "_" in their name (which can be problematics for Loess smoothing), and the chromosomes Y and M (mitochondrial)):

```
> chrs=grep(levels(merge_norm$chr),pattern="[_YM]",invert=TRUE,value=TRUE)
```

(viii) (Optional) Check the list of selected chromosomes:

```
> chrs
```

(ix) Initialise an R-list to stock your datasets:

```
> AllLoess=list()
```

(x) Perform Loess smoothing (This smoothing is similar to the Loess smoothing used in Ryba et al., 2010 for repli-chip analysis):

```
> for(i in 1:(ncol(merge_norm)-3)){
AllLoess[[i]]=data.frame();
for(Chr in chrs){
RTb=subset(merge_norm, merge_norm$chr==Chr);
lspan=300000/(max(RTb$start)-min(RTb$start));
cat("Current chrom:", Chr, "\n");
RTla=loess(RTb[,i+3] ~ RTb$start, span=lspan, surface="direct");
RTl=data.frame(c(rep(Chr,times=RTla$n)), RTla$x, merge_norm[which(
        merge_norm$chr==Chr & merge_norm$start %in% RTla$x),3],RTla$fitted);
colnames(RTl)=c("chr","start","end",colnames(RTb)[i+3]);
if(length(AllLoess[[i]])!=0){
AllLoess[[i]]=rbind(AllLoess[[i]],RTl)};
if(length(AllLoess[[i]])==0){
AllLoess[[i]]=RTl}}}
```

(xi) Register the Loess smoothed data into bedgraph files:

```
> for(i in 1:length(AllLoess)){write.table(AllLoess[[i]][complete.cases(AllLoess[[i]]),],
        gsub(".bg","Loess.bedGraph", colnames(AllLoess[[i]]))[4], sep="\t",
        row.names=FALSE, quote=FALSE, col.names=FALSE)}
```

You can now exit R.

88. (Optional) Merge your bedgraph files for further analysis.

(i) Open a terminal and go to your bedgraph repertory:

```
$ cd path/to/files/
```

(ii) Merge the loess smoothed bedgraph files:

```
$ bedtools unionbedg -filler "NA" -i *Loess.bedGraph > merge_Loess_norm_RT.txt
```

89. Your RT data are now registered into bedgraph files into your repertory. You can visualize them using a genome viewer (IGV, IGB, UCSC genome browser) or on our replication domain platform (http://www.replicationdomain.org). You can also perform further analysis, as identifying the early and late domains and comparing RT between your samples, as described in Ryba et al., 2010.

TIMING

Step 1: BrdU pulse labeling and fixation of cells 3h
Step 2: FACS sample preparation and sorting 1.5h
Step 3: DNA preparation from FACS sorted cells 0.5h
Step 4: Fragmentation 1h
Step 5: Library construction 3h
Step 6: BrdU IP 1h and overnight
Step 7: Indexing and amplification 1.5h
Step 8: Purification 1h
Step 9: Quality control and pooling 5h
Step 10: Data Analysis 1 day

TROUBLESHOOTING

Step	Problem	Possible Reason	Solution
22	You have not enough cells after the FACS sorting.	S phase cell population in the original sample is low	Stain more fixed cells / Collect more early and late S cells.
78	The concentration of one or two samples is much lower than the others.	Those samples were probably lost during BrdU IP.	Start over with those samples rather than re-amplifying them.
	The concentration of all samples is too low to quantify with the Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit.	Your cells probably did not incorporate BrdU well	Repeat the library preparation using twice the starting material (DNA from 40,000 cells/library).
			Start over from BrdU labeling of cells using higher concentration of BrdU.
			Use S/G1 method described in Ryba et al., 2011
79	Target enrichment is not confirmed.	There may have been errors during BrdU IP or serious cross contamination. The cell species is not what you think, or PCR condition is not optimum.	Start over. Perform control loci PCR using pre-BrdU genomic DNA to see if expected PCR products show up.
85	The quality of the 3' end of the reads is bad.	Either low complexity of the pool or sequencer issue.	Trim the bad quality part to avoid the loss of reads during mapping (see bowtie2 manual) Contact sequencer operator and/or Illumina tech support for troubleshooting.
85	There are multiple duplicated reads.	Too many PCR cycles have probably been made during the amplification (Step 64 and 78)	Remove duplicated reads after mapping using samtools (see samtools manual)

ANTICIPATED RESULTS

Reproducibility

DNA RT is a robust epigenetic property of specific cell types. Perturbations of the cells by knock-out or knock-down experiments have a relatively weak impact on this program

(Hiratani et al., 2008; Yokochi et al., 2009; Pope et al., 2011; Ryba et al., 2012; Takebayashi et al., 2013). Thus, assessing how a condition can affect this program can be challenging. Our method is also highly reproducible, producing high correlations between replicates to the extent that, if the intent is to compare a lot of different experimental conditions, one can be quite confident with a single experiment, providing the quality control standards are met. Our successive steps of normalization make comparisons of even closely related specimens quite facile (Figure 2), allowing high confidence identification of differences between samples.

Accuracy

Repli-chip is a well accepted method to study RT. We have shown that repli-seq gives similar results to repli-chip, in both human and mouse cells (Pope et al., 2014; Figure 3), and that comparisons of genome-wide RT can be made between repli-seq and repli-chip. The two platforms can even be combined for clustering experiments. The advantages of repli-seq are the genomic coverage (which includes repetitive sequences should those be desirable to analyze), which is dependent only upon the mappability and sequencing depth, the ability to distinguish SNPs or parse phased genomes, and the ability to analyze any species with the same method.

Sequence specificity

The sequence information obtained from repli-seq can be used to discriminate between homologous regions, if the sequencing breadth is appropriate for the polymorphisms density, permitting the study of differences in RT between homologous regions (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2014). We show here an example on hybrid mouse embryonic stem cells (Figure 4). Discrimination in these cells of the original strain of homologous regions reveals subtle differences between homologous regions. Such regions could be compared with transcription data to study the link between transcription and RT. Repli-seq could also be used to discriminate between the RT of two X chromosomes in hybrid female cells, and the impact of X chromosome activation status on RT.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS STATEMENTS

D.G., C. M. and T.S. conceived the study and designed the experiments.

T.S., K.W., J.S., C.T.G., C.N., E.N. and J.C.R.M. performed wet experiments.

D. V., J. S. and C.M. devised the computational methods.

C.M., T.S., and D.G. wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ruth Didier for assistance in cell sorting. This work was supported by NIH GM083337, GM085354, DK107965 to DMG. CM is supported by ARC french fellowship SAE20160604436.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

1. Andrews, S. FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. *ResearchGate* (2014).

2. Bartholdy, B., Mukhopadhyay, R., Lajugie, J., Aladjem, M. I. & Bouhassira, E. E. Allele-specific analysis of DNA replication origins in mammalian cells. *Nat Commun* **6,** (2015).

3. Bolstad, B. M., Irizarry, R. A., Åstrand, M. & Speed, T. P. A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. *Bioinformatics* **19,** 185–193 (2003).

4. Dixon, J. R. *et al.* Chromatin architecture reorganization during stem cell differentiation. *Nature* **518,** 331–336 (2015).

5. Gilbert, D. M. & Cohen, S. N. Bovine papilloma virus plasmids replicate randomly in mouse fibroblasts throughout S phase of the cell cycle. *Cell* **50,** 59–68 (1987).

6. Gilbert, D. M. Temporal order of replication of Xenopus laevis 5S ribosomal RNA genes in somatic cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **83,** 2924–2928 (1986).

7. Hansen, R. S., Canfield, T. K., Lamb, M. M., Gartler, S. M. & Laird, C. D. Association of fragile X syndrome with delayed replication of the FMR1 gene. *Cell* **73,** 1403–1409 (1993).

8. Hansen, R. S. *et al.* Sequencing newly replicated DNA reveals widespread plasticity in human replication timing. *PNAS* **107,** 139–144 (2010).

9. Hiratani, I. *et al.* Genome-wide dynamics of replication timing revealed by in vitro models of mouse embryogenesis. *Genome Res.* **20,** 155–169 (2010).

10. Hiratani, I. *et al.* Global Reorganization of Replication Domains During Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation. *PLOS Biology* **6,** e245 (2008).

11. Kent, W. J. *et al.* The Human Genome Browser at UCSC. *Genome Res.* **12,** 996–1006 (2002).

12. Koren, A. *et al.* Genetic Variation in Human DNA Replication Timing. *Cell* **159,** 1015–1026 (2014).

13. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Meth* **9,** 357–359 (2012).

14. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25,** 2078–2079 (2009).

15. Lubelsky, Y. *et al.* DNA replication and transcription programs respond to the same chromatin cues. *Genome Res* **24,** 1102–1114 (2014).

16. Mukhopadhyay, R. *et al.* Allele-Specific Genome-wide Profiling in Human Primary Erythroblasts Reveal Replication Program Organization. *PLOS Genetics* **10,** e1004319 (2014).

17. Nicol, J. W., Helt, G. A., Blanchard, S. G., Raja, A. & Loraine, A. E. The Integrated Genome Browser: free software for distribution and exploration of genome-scale datasets. *Bioinformatics* **25,** 2730–2731 (2009).

18. Pope, B. D., Hiratani, I. & Gilbert, D. M. Domain-wide regulation of DNA replication timing during mammalian development. *Chromosome Res* **18,** 127–136 (2010).

19. Pope, B. D. *et al.* Topologically-associating domains are stable units of replication-timing regulation. *Nature* **515,** 402–405 (2014).

20. Pope, B. D. *et al.* DNA Replication Timing Is Maintained Genome-Wide in Primary Human Myoblasts Independent of D4Z4 Contraction in FSH Muscular Dystrophy. *PLOS ONE* **6,** e27413 (2011).

21.

Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26,** 841–842 (2010).

22. Rivera-Mulia, J. C. *et al.* Dynamic changes in replication timing and gene expression during lineage specification of human pluripotent stem cells. *Genome Res.* **25,** 1091–1103 (2015).

23. Rivera-Mulia, J. C. & Gilbert, D. M. Replication timing and transcriptional control: beyond cause and effect — part III. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **40,** 168–178 (2016).

24. Robinson, J. T. *et al.* Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotech* **29,** 24–26 (2011).

25. Ryba, T. *et al.* Abnormal developmental control of replication-timing domains in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Genome Res.* **22,** 1833–1844 (2012).

26. Ryba, T., Battaglia, D., Pope, B. D., Hiratani, I. & Gilbert, D. M. Genome-scale analysis of replication timing: from bench to bioinformatics. *Nat. Protocols* **6,** 870–895 (2011).

27. Ryba, T. *et al.* Evolutionarily conserved replication timing profiles predict long-range chromatin interactions and distinguish closely related cell types. *Genome Res.* **20,** 761–770 (2010).

28. Ryba, T. *et al.* Replication Timing: A Fingerprint for Cell Identity and Pluripotency. *PLOS Computational Biology* **7,** e1002225 (2011).

29. Schübeler, D. *et al.* Genome-wide DNA replication profile for Drosophila melanogaster: a link between transcription and replication timing. *Nat Genet* **32,** 438–442 (2002).

30. Takebayashi, S. *et al.* Murine esBAF chromatin remodeling complex subunits BAF250a and Brg1 are necessary to maintain and reprogram pluripotency-specific replication timing of select replication domains. *Epigenetics Chromatin* **6,** 42 (2013).

31. Ten Hagen, K. G., Gilbert, D. M., Willard, H. F. & Cohen, S. N. Replication timing of DNA sequences associated with human centromeres and telomeres. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **10,** 6348–6355 (1990).

32. Weber, T. S., Jaehnert, I., Schichor, C., Or-Guil, M. & Carneiro, J. Quantifying the Length and Variance of the Eukaryotic Cell Cycle Phases by a Stochastic Model and Dual Nucleoside Pulse Labelling. *PLOS Computational Biology* **10,** e1003616 (2014).

33. Weddington, N. *et al.* ReplicationDomain: a visualization tool and comparative database for genome-wide replication timing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9,** 530 (2008).

34. Wilson, K. A., Elefanty, A. G., Stanley, E. G. & Gilbert, D. M. Spatio-temporal re-organization of replication foci accompanies replication domain consolidation during human pluripotent stem cell lineage specification. *Cell Cycle* **15,** 2464–2475 (2016).

35. Woodfine, K. *et al.* Replication timing of the human genome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **13,** 191–202 (2004).

36. Yaffe, E. *et al.* Comparative Analysis of DNA Replication Timing Reveals Conserved Large-Scale Chromosomal Architecture. *PLOS Genetics* **6,** e1001011 (2010).

37. Yokochi, T. *et al.* G9a selectively represses a class of late-replicating genes at the nuclear periphery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106,** 19363–19368 (2009).

38. Development Core Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0. (2005).



Figure 1: Overview of repli-seq protocol and analysis



Figure 2: Quantile normalization and Loess smoothing allow comparison between samples. A. : Before and after each normalization steps Replication timing (RT) profile of two Repli-seq replicates (F121-9 mouse ESC, mapped on mm10). Data are visualized using IGV. B. : Correlation between 50kb windows along the genome of samples in A. R = Pearson correlation coefficient, q.norm = quantile normalization, Loess = Loess smoothing.



Figure 3: Repli-chip and Repli-seq give highly similar replication timing profiles at genome-wide level. A. B. : Replication timing (RT) profile on a portion of chr1 of 46C mouse ESC (mm10) (A.) and human lymphoblastoid cells (hg38) (B.), visualized using IGV. RT is defined as the log2 ratio early fraction on late fraction (reads number is normalized on number of mapped reads for repli-seq). C. D. : correlation between average replication timing on 50kb windows on the whole genome in 46C mouse ESC (C.) and human lymphoblastoid cells (D.). Data are scaled in R prior to visualisation. R = Pearson correlation coefficient.



Figure 4: Repli-seq allows the discrimination between haplotypes. Comparison of replication timings of three homologous regions in mouse hybrid cells 129-cas. Reads have been mapped to cas and 129 reference genomes respectively. Arrows show major changes. Data are visualized using IGV.