Revisiting suppression of interspecies hybrid male lethality in *Caenorhabditis* nematodes

Lauren E. Ryan and Eric S. Haag*

Department of Biology and Biological Sciences Program

University of Maryland, College Park MD USA

E.S. Haag, Dept. of Biology, Univ. of Maryland, 4094 Campus Dr., College Park, MD 20740 ehaag@umd.edu

^{*} Correspondence:

Abstract

Within the nematode genus Caenorhabditis, C. briggsae and C. nigoni are among the most closely related species known. They differ in sexual mode, with C. nigoni retaining the ancestral XO male-XX female outcrossing system, while C. briggsae females recently evolved selffertility and an XX-biased sex ratio. Wild-type C. briggsae and C. nigoni can produce fertile hybrid XX female progeny, but XO progeny are either 100% inviable (when C. briggsae is the mother) or viable but sterile (when C. nigoni is the mother). A recent study provided evidence suggesting that loss of the Cbr-him-8 meiotic regulator in C. briggsae hermaphrodites allowed them to produce viable and fertile hybrid XO male progeny when mated to C. nigoni. Because such males would be useful for a variety of genetic experiments, we sought to verify this result. Preliminary crosses with wild-type C. briggsae hermaphrodites occasionally produced fertile males, but they could not be confirmed to be interspecies hybrids. Using an RNA interference protocol that eliminates any possibility of self-progeny in Cbr-him-8 hermaphrodites, we find sterile males bearing the C. nigoni X chromosome, but no fertile males bearing the C. briggsae X, as in wild-type crosses. Our results suggest that the apparent rescue of XO hybrid viability and fertility is due to incomplete purging of self-sperm prior to mating.

Introduction

Interspecies hybrids can provide insight into the genetic mechanisms behind diversity of organisms, speciation, and the arisal of novel traits. Reproductive barriers limit gene flow between species and can be pre- or post-zygotic. Pre-zygotic barriers include behavioral isolation or gametic incompatibility. Post-zygotic reproductive barriers include hybrid lethality (at any

pre-reproductive developmental stage) or sterility. It is this latter barrier that is the focus here. The Bateson-Dobzhanzky-Muller model proposes a genetic basis for hybrid incompatibility, whereby incompatibilities between heterospecific loci result in impaired function or non-function (DOBZHANSKY 1936). In addition, interspecies hybrids often manifest Haldane's rule, where the heterogametic sex is more severely impacted, presumably because of sex chromosome hemizygosity (ORR AND TURELLI 2001). Darwin's Corollary to Haldane's rule is also observed in many cases, where there is asymmetric male viability in reciprocal crosses (TURELLI AND MOYLE 2007).

Caenorhabditis nematodes are an excellent system to study the genetic basis of reproductive diversity. The genus contains both gonochoristic (male/female) and androdioecious (male/hermaphrodite) species making it possible to study the variation of reproductive mode. The essence of hermaphroditism is limited spermatogenesis in the context of the XX female ovary. How development of the bisexual germ line is regulated has been studied heavily in *C. elegans* (e.g. Doniach 1986; Goodwin *et al.* 1993; Ellis and Kimble 1995; Francis *et al.* 1995; Zhang *et al.* 1997; Chen *et al.* 2000; Clifford *et al.* 2000; Luitjens *et al.* 2000) and, more recently, in *C. briggsae* (Chen *et al.* 2001; Hill *et al.* 2006; Guo *et al.* 2009; Hill and Haag 2009; Beadell *et al.* 2011; Liu *et al.* 2012; Chen *et al.* 2014). These two selfing species, while superficially similar, evolved self-fertility independently (Kiontke *et al.* 2011) and achieve via distinct modifications of the global sex determination pathway (Hill *et al.* 2006; Guo *et al.* 2009; Hill and Haag 2009; Chen *et al.* 2014).

The first studies comparing germline sex determination in hermaphrodite and female species used candidate gene approaches (HAAG AND KIMBLE 2000; HAAG *et al.* 2002; HILL *et al.* 2006; HILL AND HAAG 2009; BEADELL *et al.* 2011; LIU *et al.* 2012) and forward genetic screens

(Kelleher *et al.* 2008; Wei *et al.* 2014). More recently, however, the *C. briggsae* and *C. nigoni* system has opened the tantalizing possibility of using hybrids between them to identify factors distinguishing hermaphrodite and female germline sex determination (Woodruff *et al.* 2010; Kozlowska *et al.* 2012). However, these efforts have been thwarted by extensive genetic incompatibilities. *C. briggsae X C. nigoni* hybrids are subject to both Haldane's rule and Darwin's Corollary to Haldane's rule (Woodruff *et al.* 2010). Specifically, no viable male F1 are found when wild-type *C. briggsae* hermaphrodites are mated with *C. nigoni* males, but viable yet sterile males are produced when *C. nigoni* females are crossed with *C. briggsae* males. Surprisingly, after laying a few hybrid progeny, *C. briggsae* hermaphrodites mated with *C. nigoni* males are sterilized by the aggressive *C. nigoni* sperm (TING *et al.* 2014)

F1 females from both possible *C. briggsae X C. nigoni* crosses produce viable progeny only when backcrossed to *C. nigoni* (Woodruff et al. 2010). This has allowed introgression of marked *C. briggsae* chromosomal segments into *C. nigoni* (YAN *et al.* 2012; BI *et al.* 2015). These segments remain large in spite in backcrosses, and harbor a number of inviability and sterility loci, some of which impact germline small RNA pathways (LI *et al.* 2016). In addition, polymorphisms within *C. briggsae* and *C. nigoni* can impact the severity of F1 hybrid phenotypes (KOZLOWSKA *et al.* 2012).

As for its ortholog in *C. elegans* (PHILLIPS *et al.* 2005), the *C. briggsae him-8* gene is required for faithful segregation of the X chromosome during meiosis (WEI *et al.* 2014). This, in turn, greatly elevates the spontaneous production of XO self-progeny, which are male. Thus, while an unmated *C. briggsae* hermaphrodite will produce less than 1% males naturally, *Cbr-him-8* mutants produce approximately 15% males (WEI *et al.* 2014). Recently, Ragavapuram et al. (2016) reported that loss of *Cbr-him-8* function can rescue hybrid male lethality and lead to

fertile F1 male progeny. As such males might allow F1 X F1 intercrosses and new backcross types for *C. briggsae* and *C. nigoni* hybrids, we sought to verify and extend these results. Surprisingly, using the same strains as Ragavapuram et al. (2016) but with methods that eliminate the possibility of males arising from selfing, we find no evidence of hybrid male rescue.

Methods

Strains

C. briggsae PB192 (Cbr-him-8(vI88) 1;stls 20120 [Cbr-myo-2p::GFP;unc-119(=)]X) was provided by Scott Baird. C. nigoni JU1422 (inbred derivative of wild isolate JU1375) was provided by Marie-Anne Félix. C. nigoni wild isolate EG5268 was the gift of Michael Ailion. All strains were maintained on 2.2% NGM agar (WOOD 1988) with OP50 E. coli bacteria as food source. Strains are available from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center.

Cbr-fog-3 RNA interference

Cbr-fog-3 template was made with the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using NEB Taq polymerase with recommended concentrations of dNTPs and primers. Primer sequences (including the underlined T7 promoter required for subsequent *in vitro* transcription) are:

Forward: 5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGCCGACGAAGTTCT TGAAA-3' Reverse:
5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCCCCACCATGGTCTGCAGATC-3'. The PCR product was purified using a Qiagen QIAquick PCR purification kit. 780 ng of Cbr-fog-3 PCR product was used as template in a T7 in vitro transcription reaction (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the provided protocol. The resulting dsRNA was purified by ammonium acetate and ethanol precipitation. PB192 hermaphrodites were picked onto a separate plate at the L4 stage 12 hours

prior to injection. 40 adult worms were mounted on agar pads and injected with *Cbr-fog-3* dsRNA at a concentration of 3000 ng/ μ L. The injected animals were moved to individual NGM plates seeded with OP50 *E.coli* plates after 8 hours of recovery time.

For interspecies crosses not employing *Cbr-fog-3(RNAi)*, PB192 hermaphrodites were purged, similar to the method of Ragavapuram et al. (2016).

C. briggsae mothers who could not self-fertilize were produced through RNAi targeting of Cbr-fog-3 (CHEN et al. 2001). Progeny of injected PB192 mothers that appeared to have the feminization of germline (Fog) stacking phenotype after reaching adulthood were moved in small groups to new agar plates seeded with OP50 E. coli and allowed to sit for six hours to ensure that no self-progeny were produced. C. nigoni EG5268 males were added at a 2:1 ratio of males:hermaphrodites to each of the Fog plates and allowed to mate for 4 hours. All plugged animals were moved to individual plates. Cbr-fog-3(RNAi) PB192 were also crossed to C. briggsae AF16 males to test fog-3 effect on fecundity.

Microscopy

Crosses

Routine maintenance and crosses were performed using a Leica MZ125. Analysis of male germ line morphology was done using differential interference contrast (DIC) optics on a Zeiss Axioskop 2 plus at 400x magnification.

Results

We performed preliminary experiments with *C. briggsae him-8; myo-2::GFP X* hermaphrodites (strain PB192) that had been ostensibly purged of self-sperm by serial transfer over several days until embryo laying stopped, as done by Ragavapuram et al. (2016). The

expectation was that roughly 15% of progeny from mating such purged hermaphrodites with *C. nigoni* males would be fertile males. Using the *C. nigoni* strain JU1422 in three different trials with 6-7 mothers each, 0/47, 3/50, and 0/116 progeny were male (1.4%). Because Ragavapuram (2016) used *C. nigoni* males of the African EG5268 strain, we next considered that the possibility that the unexpectedly infrequent males obtained above was a strain effect. Using the purging approach, 7/66 progeny were male in the first experiment with EG5268 males (11%). Of these, two were extremely small and infertile, similar to those observed when F1 males have a *C. nigoni* X chromosome (WOODRUFF *et al.* 2010). Because *him-8* mothers produce nullo-X oocytes at an appreciable frequency, this is expected (RAGAVAPURAM *et al.* 2016). Five others were GFP+, robust, and fertile, and thus candidates for rescued F1 males. However, crosses with these putative F1 males indicated they were *him-8* homozygotes, and thus likely to be selfed offspring of *C. briggsae* PB192 mothers, perhaps derived from residual self-sperm that were resistant to purging (data not shown). A second attempt to generate hybrid males with EG5268 sires produced three males, all GFP- and small.

The above preliminary crosses produced fertile males at a frequency lower than the expected 15%. They also indicated that complete purging in *C. briggsae* may be more difficult to achieve than had been previously appreciated. To ensure that all progeny being scored were interspecies hybrids, and to allow use of younger, healthier mothers, self-sperm were ablated in PB192 by *Cbr-fog-3(RNAi)* via maternal injection (CHEN et al. 2001). From over 2000 hybrid F1 embryos laid, 16 viable male adults were obtained (Table 1). These males had fully formed tails and exhibited mating behavior, but were GFP- and unusually small. Attempts to backcross them to their siblings failed to produce any embryos. Consistent with this apparent sterility, all of these males lacked a fully formed germ line (often apparently completely absent).

All of the above results are consistent with all of the F1 males obtained being derived from fertilization of a nullo-X C. briggsae oocyte by a C. nigoni male X-bearing sperm. This produces an $X_{Cni}O$ genotype known already to produce sterile males (Woodruff et al. 2010). They also indicate a lack of any viability or fertility of F1 $X_{Cbr}O$ males, contrary to the interpretation of Ragavapuram (2016). To be sure that the germline feminization of P0 C. briggsae hermaphrodites by RNAi did not suppress normal fertility in their sons, we verified that male offspring of Cbr-fog-3(RNAi) Fog mothers have normal fertility (data not shown).

Discussion

In both *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae*, loss of *him-8* function specifically impairs X chromosome pairing (PHILLIPS *et al.* 2005; WEI *et al.* 2014), and unpaired *C. elegans* chromosomes are subject to meiotic silencing (BEAN *et al.* 2004). In addition, hemizygosity of the X chromosome is thought to underlie Haldane's Rule in male-heterogametic systems. These observations led Ragavapuram et al. (2016) to hypothesize that *Cbr-him-8* mutant hermaphrodites produce X-bearing oocytes with altered X-linked gene expression that rescues $X_{Chr}O$ F1 viability. As plausible as this mechanism is, we were unable to replicate the rescue of these males in our own hybrid crosses when all possibility of selfing is eliminated via *Cbr-fog-3(RNAi)*. The rare sterile males we do observe result from a *C. nigoni* X-bearing sperm fertilizing a nullo-X oocyte of the *C. briggsae* hermaphrodite, which occurs often in the *Cbr-him-8* PB192 strain. We therefore conclude that the previous report of $X_{Chr}O$ hybrid male rescue was premature, and may be the result of incomplete purging of *C. briggsae* mothers. Perhaps importantly, the PB192 strain produces male self-progeny at a rate similar to that reported by Ragavapuram et al. (2016) as F1 hybrids.

We see some evidence for cryptic retention of a small number of self-sperm in putatively purged *C. briggsae* hermaphrodites. This may occur if sperm-derived major sperm protein (MSP)-mediated signaling is insufficient to stimulate ovulation (MILLER *et al.* 2001). *C. briggsae* nullo-X sperm are preferentially used last by hermaphrodites (LAMUNYON AND WARD 1997), and *Cbr-him-8* hermaphrodites produce an unusual number of them (WEI *et al.* 2014). Residual conspecific self sperm may therefore be highly enriched in those lacking an X, such that even a small number of them would tend to produce pure *C. briggsae* PB192 males that are both fertile and GFP+. This could contribute to the appearance of hybrid male rescue.

It remains possible that under some conditions fertile *C. briggsae* x *C. nigoni* hybrid males may yet be produced. If so, it will be crucial to demonstrate their hybrid nature by genotyping assays. Sufficient genome data now exist for *C. briggsae* (Ross et al. 2011) and *C. nigoni* (LI *et al.* 2016) to make such assays, such as simple PCR of indel polymorphisms (KOBOLDT *et al.* 2010), rapid and inexpensive.

Acknowledgements

We thank Scott Baird for the PB192 strain and for numerous useful discussions. This work was supported by NSF grant IOS-1355119 to ESH and by assistantships from the University of Maryland Biological Sciences Program to LER.

References

- Beadell, A. V., Q. Liu, D. M. Johnson and E. S. Haag, 2011 Independent recruitments of a translational regulator in the evolution of self-fertile nematodes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 19672-19677.
- Bean, C. J., C. E. Schaner and W. G. Kelly, 2004 Meiotic pairing and imprinted X chromatin assembly in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat. Genet. 36: 100-105.
- Bi, Y., X. Ren, C. Yan, J. Shao, D. Xie *et al.*, 2015 A Genome-wide hybrid incompatibility landscape between Caenorhabditis briggsae and C. nigoni. PLoS Genet 11: e1004993.
- Chen, P., S. Cho, S. Jin and R. Ellis, 2001 Specification of Germ Cell Fates by FOG-3 Has Been Conserved During Nematode Evolution. Genetics 158: 1513-1525.
- Chen, P., A. Singal, J. Kimble and R. Ellis, 2000 A novel member of the tob family of proteins controls sexual fate in *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ cells. Dev. Biol. 217: 77-90.
- Chen, X., Y. Shen and R. E. Ellis, 2014 Dependence of the Sperm/Oocyte Decision on the Nucleosome Remodeling Factor Complex Was Acquired during Recent Caenorhabditis briggsae Evolution.

 Mol Biol Evol.
- Clifford, R., M. Lee, S. Nayak, M. Ohmachi, F. Giorgini *et al.*, 2000 FOG-2, A Novel F-box Containing Protein, Associated with GLD-1 RNA Binding Protein and Directs Male Sex Determination in *C. elegans* Hermaphrodite Germline. Development 127: 5265-5276.
- Dobzhansky, T., 1936 Studies on Hybrid Sterility. II. Localization of Sterility Factors in Drosophila Pseudoobscura Hybrids. California Institute of Technology.
- Doniach, T., 1986 Activity of the sex-determining gene *tra-2* is modulated to allow spermatogenesis in the *C. elegans* hermaphrodite. Genetics 114: 53-76.
- Ellis, R., and J. Kimble, 1995 The *fog-3* Gene and Regulation of Cell Fate in the Germline of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 139: 561-577.
- Francis, R., K. Barton, J. Kimble and T. Schedl, 1995 *gld-1*, a Tumor Supressor Gene Required for Oocyte Development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 139: 579-606.
- Goodwin, E. B., P. G. Okkema, T. C. Evans and J. Kimble, 1993 Translational regulation of *tra-2* by its 3' untranslated region controls sexual identity in *C. elegans*. Cell 75: 329-339.
- Guo, Y., S. Lang and R. Ellis, 2009 Independent recruitment of F-box genes to regulate hermaphrodite development during nematode evolution. Curr Biol 19: 1853-1860.
- Haag, E., and J. Kimble, 2000 Regulatory Elements Required for Development of *Caenorhabditis elegans* Hermaphrodites are Conserved in the *tra-2* Homologue of *C. remanei*, a Male/Female Sister Species. Genetics 155: 105-116.
- Haag, E., S. Wang and J. Kimble, 2002 Rapid Coevolution of the Nematode Sex-Determining Genes *fem- 3* and *tra-2*. Current Biology 12: 2035-2041.

- Hill, R. C., C. E. de Carvalho, J. Salogiannis, B. Schlager, D. Pilgrim *et al.*, 2006 Genetic flexibility in the convergent evolution of hermaphroditism in Caenorhabditis nematodes. Dev Cell 10: 531-538.
- Hill, R. C., and E. S. Haag, 2009 A sensitized genetic background reveals evolution near the terminus of the *Caenorhabditis* germline sex determination pathway. Evolution & Development 4: 333-341.
- Kelleher, D. F., C. E. de Carvalho, A. V. Doty, M. Layton, A. T. Cheng *et al.*, 2008 Comparative genetics of sex determination: masculinizing mutations in Caenorhabditis briggsae. Genetics 178: 1415-1429.
- Kiontke, K., M. Felix, M. Ailion, M. Rockman, C. Braendle *et al.*, 2011 A Phylogeny and Molecular Barcodes for Caenorhabditis, with Numerous New Species from Rotting Fruits. BMC Evolutionary Biology 11.
- Koboldt, D. C., J. Staisch, B. Thillainathan, K. Haines, S. E. Baird *et al.*, 2010 A toolkit for rapid gene mapping in the nematode Caenorhabditis briggsae. BMC Genomics 11: 236.
- Kozlowska, J. L., A. R. Ahmad, E. Jahesh and A. D. Cutter, 2012 Genetic variation for postzygotic reproductive isolation between Caenorhabditis briggsae and Caenorhabditis sp. 9. Evolution 66: 1180-1195.
- LaMunyon, C., and S. Ward, 1997 Increased competetiveness of nematode sperm bearing the male X chromosome. PNAS 94: 185-189.
- Li, R., X. Ren, Y. Bi, V. W. Ho, C. L. Hsieh *et al.*, 2016 Specific down-regulation of spermatogenesis genes targeted by 22G RNAs in hybrid sterile males associated with an X-Chromosome introgression. Genome Res 26: 1219-1232.
- Liu, Q., C. Stumpf, M. Wickens and E. S. Haag, 2012 Context-dependent function of a conserved translational regulatory module. *Development* 139: 1509-1521.
- Luitjens, C., M. Gallegos, B. Kraemer, J. Kimble and M. Wickens, 2000 CPEB proteins control two key steps in spermatogenesis in C. elegans. Genes Dev 14: 2596-2609.
- Miller, M. A., V. Q. Nguyen, M. H. Lee, M. Kosinski, T. Schedl *et al.*, 2001 A sperm cytoskeletal protein that signals oocyte meiotic maturation and ovulation. Science 291: 2144-2147.
- Orr, H. A., and M. Turelli, 2001 The Evolution of Postzygotic Isolation: Accumulating Dobzhanzy-Muller Incompatabilities. Evolution 55: 1085-1094.
- Phillips, C. M., C. Wong, N. Bhalla, P. M. Carlton, P. Weiser *et al.*, 2005 HIM-8 binds to the X chromosome pairing center and mediates chromosome-specific meiotic synapsis. Cell 123: 1051-1063.
- Ragavapuram, V., E. E. Hill and S. E. Baird, 2016 Suppression of F1 Male-Specific Lethality in Caenorhabditis Hybrids by cbr-him-8. G3 (Bethesda) 6: 623-629.

- Ross, J., D. Koboldt, J. Staisch, H. Chamberlin, B. P. Gupta *et al.*, 2011 *Caenorhabditis briggsae* recombinant inbred line genotypes reveal inter-strain incompatibility and the evolution of recombination. PLoS Genet 7: e1002174.
- Ting, J. J., G. C. Woodruff, G. Leung, N. R. Shin, A. D. Cutter *et al.*, 2014 Intense sperm-mediated sexual conflict promotes reproductive isolation in Caenorhabditis nematodes. PLoS Biol 12: e1001915.
- Turelli, M., and L. C. Moyle, 2007 Asymmetric postmating isolation: Darwin's corollary to Haldane's rule. Genetics 176: 1059-1088.
- Wei, Q., Y. Shen, X. Chen, Y. Shifman and R. E. Ellis, 2014 Rapid creation of forward-genetics tools for C. briggsae using TALENs: lessons for nonmodel organisms. Mol Biol Evol 31: 468-473.
- Wood, W. B. (Editor), 1988 The Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- Woodruff, G., O. Eke, S. E. Baird, M. Félix and E. Haag, 2010 Insights into species divergence and the evolution of hermaphroditism from fertile interspecies hybrids of *Caenorhabditis* nematodes. Genetics 186: 997-1012.
- Yan, C., Y. Bi, D. Yin and Z. Zhao, 2012 A method for rapid and simultaneous mapping of genetic loci and introgression sizes in nematode species. PLoS One 7: e43770.
- Zhang, B., M. Gallegos, A. Puoti, E. Durkin, S. Fields *et al.*, 1997 A conserved RNA-binding protein that regulates sexual fates in the C. elegans hermaphrodite germ line. Nature 390: 477-484.

Table 1. Phenotypes of progeny from *Cbr-him-8; myo-2::GFP; Cbr-fog-3(RNAi)* mothers mated to *C. nigoni* EG5268 wild-type males.

Total embryos	Total viable	Female progeny	Male progeny,	Male progeny,
	hybrid progeny		GFP(-)	GFP(+)
2045	1065 (52.1%)	1049 (51.3%)	16 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)