A novel restraint device for injection of Galleria mellonella larvae that minimises the

2 risk of accidental operator needle stick injury

- 3 J.P. Dalton^{1,2,3}, B. Uy^{1,2}, S. Swift² and S. Wiles^{1,2,3*}
- ¹Bioluminescent Superbugs Lab, ²Department of Molecular Medicine and Pathology,
- 6 University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; ³Maurice Wilkins Centre for Molecular
- 7 Biodiscovery, New Zealand.

1

4

8

14

- 9 *Corresponding author: Dr. Siouxsie Wiles
- 10 Mailing address: Dept. Molecular Medicine and Pathology, University of Auckland, Private
- 11 Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand.
- 12 Tel: +64 9 3737599 Extension 84284, Fax: +64 9 3738784, E-mail: s.wiles@auckland.ac.nz
- 13 Twitter: @SiouxsieW
- 15 Keywords: Galleria mellonella; waxworms; larvae; infection; infectious diseases;
- Staphylococcus aureus; restraint; injection; needle stick injury; *Galleria* Grabber

Abstract

Larvae of the insect *Galleria mellonella* are increasingly being used for studying pathogenic microbes and their virulence mechanisms, and as a rapid model for screening novel antimicrobial agents. The larvae (waxworms) are most frequently infected by injection of pathogenic organisms into the haemocoel through the insect's prolegs. The mostly widely used method for restraining the waxworms for injection is by grasping them between the operator's fingers, which puts the operator at risk of needle stick injury, an important consideration when working with highly pathogenic and/or drug-resistant microorganisms. While use of a stab proof glove can reduce this risk of injury, it does so at the loss of manual dexterity and speed, resulting in a more labour-intensive and cumbersome assay. We describe a simple cost effective device (the so-called '*Galleria* Grabber') for restraining waxworms for injection that keeps the operator's fingers clear of the needle thus reducing the risk of injury.

Introduction

Larvae (waxworms) of the Greater wax moth *Galleria melonella* have become a widely used surrogate host for studying pathogenic microbes. In recent years, they have been used for studying virulence mechanisms, investigating differences between clinical isolates as well as for preliminary investigation of the efficacy of antimicrobial compounds, for a wide range of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria¹⁻¹², fungi¹³⁻¹⁹ and viruses²⁰⁻²². The use of waxworms as a model host has many advantages. The waxworms themselves are cheap and easy to obtain from commercial insect suppliers, and can be housed in large numbers to allow for greater study sizes at low cost. Waxworms possess an innate immune system that contains many analogous functions to that seen in humans, including phagocytosis and the production

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

of antimicrobial peptides and reactive oxygen and nitrogen species²³. Unlike other nonmammalian model organisms, such as Caenorhabditis elegans, Danio rerio and Drosophila melanogaster²⁴⁻²⁷, waxworms can be incubated at 37°C which allows for the study of clinically relevant human pathogens at a temperature that mimics the human host. Finally, as insects, G. mellonella are not currently subject to the same ethical restrictions that small mammalian models are, meaning there is a low barrier to entry for researchers wishing to move their studies into a model host. Infection of waxworms is typically carried out on 5th instar insects, when the waxworms are at their largest, typically around 2cm in length and 100mg in weight. The most common method of infection is by injection into the haemocoel through the last proleg of the insect; methods for injection vary between laboratories. One method is to immobilize the needle itself and then place the waxworm onto the needle for injection. Another more favoured method is to immobilise the waxworms between the operator's fingers²⁸ and place the needle into the insect's proleg, lifting the needle away from the operator with the insect attached before pushing the plunger on the syringe. Both of these injection techniques present a hazard to the researcher and can result in needle stick injury and possible infection. A recent article highlighted the use of a stab-proof glove to reduce the chance of this type of injury, while immobilising the waxworms over a pipette tip fixed to some paper²⁹. We have tried this technique, and found that it reduced the efficiency of injection, from 3-4 infections per minute to 1 infection per minute, resulting in a lower injection rate and a more labourintensive assay. Because of this, we investigated the possibility of using a simple restraining device to hold waxworms in place for injection, in a way that removes the operator's hand from the vicinity of the needle, allowing for maximum mobility and safety of the operator.

Materials and methods

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

Preparation of bacteria The Staphylococcus aureus isolate XEN36³⁰ (Perkin Elmer) was grown overnight with shaking at 200rpm in Tryptic Soy broth (Oxoid) at 37°C. Cells were washed twice in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma-Aldrich) and then resuspended in PBS to an optical density at 600nm (OD₆₀₀) of 1, equivalent to approx. 5×10^9 CFU ml⁻¹. Resuspended cultures were serially diluted and plated onto Tryptic Soy agar (Oxoid) to retrospectively determine the bacterial counts used for injection. Inoculation doses were drawn into 1 ml ultra-fine (29 gauge) needle insulin syringes (BD, Wellington) for injection into the waxworms. Groups of waxworms were injected with 20 µl of either approx. $5x10^7$ CFU ml⁻¹, $5x10^8$ CFU ml⁻¹ or 5x10⁹ CFU ml⁻¹ S. aureus XEN36. Selection, infection and monitoring of G. mellonella waxworms 5th instar waxworms were selected based on consistency in size and split into eight groups of 12. Four groups were injected with either PBS or doses of 10⁵-10⁷ CFU S. aureus XEN36 using the most common technique of grasping the waxworms between the operator's thumb and index finger and injecting into the waxworm's last proleg. The remaining four groups were injected with either PBS or doses of 10⁵-10⁷ CFU S. aureus XEN36 using the newly described restraining device (which we have dubbed the 'Galleria Grabber'), which comprises a 12 cm x 9 cm kitchen sponge and a large bulldog clip (approx. 50 cm) (Fig. 1A). To comfortably restrain the waxworms, the sponge was folded in half and secured using the bulldog clip (Fig. 1B). The open ends of the folded sponge were peeled back and held in place (Fig. 1C). Next, a waxworm was placed within the sponge and held in place while the open end of the sponge was released (Fig. 1D). Once the waxworm was securely held in place, the insulin syringe was inserted into the haemocoel via the insect's last proleg (Fig.

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

1E). Once the needle was in place the waxworm was released from the restraining device (Fig. 1F). If the needle is correctly placed, the waxworm remains attached to the needle of the syringe. Once the needle had been securely inserted into the waxworm, the insect was removed from the restraining device and the plunger of the syringe pushed down to inject the desired inoculum. Once injected, waxworms were housed in individual wells of 24 well tissue culture dishes (Nunc) with the lids taped down to ensure against escape. These dishes were placed inside a secondary container to ensure containment. Waxworm mortality was monitored over 5 days. **Results and discussion** We observed no differences in the infection dynamics between the groups of waxworms injected with S. aureus XEN36 after restraint using the novel 'Galleria Grabber' device described compared to restraint by holding the waxworms between the operator's thumb and index finger. For both restraint techniques, we observed no mortality from the waxworms injected with PBS (Fig. 2). In contrast, the majority of waxworms injected with approx. 10⁷ CFU S. aureus XEN36 died within 24 hours (Fig. 2). We observed a dose dependent mortality for waxworms injected with S. aureus XEN36, with 66% of waxworms injected with approx. 10⁶ CFU succumbing to infection (Fig. 2). No mortality was seen after injection with 10⁵ CFU S. aureus XEN36 (Fig. 2). The 'Galleria Grabber' allows for easy injection of a large number of waxworms (approx. 3 per minute), while greatly reducing the opportunity for the operator to suffer a needle stick injury. With the increasing popularity of waxworms as a model host for studies involving dangerous human pathogens¹², including clinical and/or drug-resistant isolates, protecting

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

researchers from accidental laboratory infection is of great importance. While the use of a stab-resistant glove addresses this issue, it does compromise the speed at which waxworms can be injected. With this new restraint method we were also able to inject smaller waxworms with ease. Most importantly, the new methodology described removes the operator's hand from the vicinity of needles loaded with pathogenic/drug-resistant microbes, allowing for maximum mobility and safety of the operator without compromising the speed of the assay. **Funding** This work was supported by internal University of Auckland funds. **Disclosure of interest** The authors report no conflicts of interest. References 1. Joyce SA, Gahan CG. Molecular pathogenesis of *Listeria monocytogenes* in the alternative model host Galleria mellonella. Microbiology 2010; 156: 3456-68. 2. Loh JM, Adenwalla N, Wiles S et al. Galleria mellonella larvae as an infection model for group A streptococcus. Virulence 2013; 4: 419-28. 3. McLaughlin HP, Xiao Q, Rea RB et al. A putative P-type ATPase required for virulence and resistance to haem toxicity in *Listeria monocytogenes*. PLOS One 2012; 7: e30928.

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

151

153

154

155

156

4. Ramarao N, Nielsen-Leroux C, Lereclus D. The insect Galleria mellonella as a powerful infection model to investigate bacterial pathogenesis. J Vis Exp 2012: e4392. 5. Williamson DA, Mills G, Johnson JR et al. In vivo correlates of molecularly inferred virulence among extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli (ExPEC) in the wax moth Galleria mellonella model system. Virulence 2014; 5: 388-93. 6. Adamson DH, Krikstopaityte V, Coote PJ. Enhanced efficacy of putative efflux pump inhibitor/antibiotic combination treatments versus MDR strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a Galleria mellonella in vivo infection model. J Antimicrob Chemother 2015; 70: 2271-8. 7. Thomas RJ, Hamblin KA, Armstrong SJ et al. Galleria mellonella as a model system to test the pharmacokinetics and efficacy of antibiotics against Burkholderia pseudomallei. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2013; 41: 330-6. 8. Moreira AS, Mil-Homens D, Sousa SA et al. Variation of Burkholderia cenocepacia virulence potential during cystic fibrosis chronic lung infection. Virulence 2016: 1-15. 9. Johnston T, Hendricks GL, Shen S et al. Raf-kinase inhibitor GW5074 shows 150 antibacterial activity against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and potentiates the activity of gentamicin. Future Med Chem 2016; 8: 1941-52. 10. 152 Nale JY, Chutia M, Carr P et al. 'Get in Early'; Biofilm and Wax Moth (Galleria mellonella) models reveal new insights into the therapeutic potential of Clostridium difficile bacteriophages. Front Microbiol 2016; 7: 1383. 11. Yang H, Chen G, Hu L et al. Enhanced efficacy of imipenem-colistin combination therapy against multiple-drug-resistant Enterobacter cloacae: in vitro activity and

157 a Galleria mellonella model. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2016, pii: S1684-158 1182(16)00034-7. 12. 159 Champion OL, Wagley S, Titball RW. Galleria mellonella as a model host for 160 microbiological and toxin research. Virulence 2016; 7: 840-5. 13. de Lacorte Singulani J, Scorzoni L, de Paula ESAC et al. Evaluation of the 161 162 efficacy of antifungal drugs against Paracoccidioides brasiliensis and 163 Paracoccidioides lutzii in a Galleria mellonella model. Int J Antimicrob Agents 164 2016; 48: 292-7. 14. 165 Forastiero A, Bernal-Martinez L, Mellado E et al. In vivo efficacy of voriconazole 166 and posaconazole therapy in a novel invertebrate model of Aspergillus fumigatus 167 infection. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2015; 46: 511-7. 168 15. Frenkel M, Mandelblat M, Alastruey-Izquierdo A et al. Pathogenicity of Candida 169 albicans isolates from bloodstream and mucosal candidiasis assessed in mice and 170 Galleria mellonella. J Mycol Med 2016; 26: 1-8. 16. 171 Borman AM, Szekely A, Johnson EM. Comparative pathogenicity of United 172 Kingdom isolates of the emerging pathogen *Candida auris* and other key 173 pathogenic Candida species. mSphere 2016; 1(4). pii: e00189-16. 174 17. Gago S, Serrano C, Alastruey-Izquierdo A et al. Molecular identification, 175 antifungal resistance and virulence of Cryptococcus neoformans and 176 Cryptococcus deneoformans isolated in Seville, Spain. Mycoses 2016, doi: 177 10.1111/myc.12543. [Epub ahead of print]. Santos R, Costa C, Mil-Homens D et al. The multidrug resistance transporters 18. 178 179 CgTpo1_1 and CgTpo1_2 play a role in virulence and biofilm formation in the 180 human pathogen Candida glabrata. Cell Microbiol 2016, doi: 10.1111/cmi.12686. 181 [Epub ahead of print].

182 19. Alcazar-Fuoli L, Buitrago M, Gomez-Lopez A et al. An alternative host model of 183 a mixed fungal infection by azole susceptible and resistant Aspergillus spp strains. 184 Virulence 2015; 6: 376-84. 20. 185 Buyukguzel E, Tunaz H, Stanley D et al. Eicosanoids mediate Galleria mellonella 186 cellular immune response to viral infection. J Insect Physiol 2007; 53: 99-105. 21. 187 Ozkan S, Coutts RH. Aspergillus fumigatus mycovirus causes mild hypervirulent 188 effect on pathogenicity when tested on Galleria mellonella. Fungal Genet Biol 189 2015; 76: 20-6. 22. 190 Garzon S, Charpentier G, Kurstak E. Morphogenesis of the nodamura virus in the 191 larbae of the lepidopteran Galleria mellonella (L.). Arch Virol 1978; 56: 61-76. 192 23. Wojda I. Immunity of the greater wax moth Galleria mellonella. Insect Sci 2016, 193 doi: 10.1111/1744-7917.12325. [Epub ahead of print]. 194 24. Lopez Hernandez Y, Yero D, Pinos-Rodriguez JM et al. Animals devoid of 195 pulmonary system as infection models in the study of lung bacterial pathogens. 196 Front Microbiol 2015; 6: 38. 25. 197 Panayidou S, Ioannidou E, Apidianakis Y. Human pathogenic bacteria, fungi, and 198 viruses in Drosophila: disease modeling, lessons, and shortcomings. Virulence 199 2014; 5: 253-69. Arvanitis M, Glavis-Bloom J, Mylonakis E. Invertebrate models of fungal 200 26. 201 infection. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013; 1832: 1378-83. 27. 202 Glavis-Bloom J, Muhammed M, Mylonakis E. Of model hosts and man: using 203 Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila melanogaster and Galleria mellonella as 204 model hosts for infectious disease research. Adv Exp Med Biol 2012; 710: 11-7. 205 28. Fuchs BB, O'Brien E, El Khoury JB et al. Methods for using Galleria mellonella 206 as a model host to study fungal pathogenesis. Virulence 2010; 1: 475-82.

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

29. Harding CR, Schroeder GN, Collins JW et al. Use of Galleria mellonella as a model organism to study Legionella pneumophila infection. J Vis Exp 2013: e50964. 30. Francis KP, Joh D, Bellinger-Kawahara C et al. Monitoring bioluminescent Staphylococcus aureus infections in living mice using a novel luxABCDE construct. Infect Immun 2000; 68: 3594-600. Figure legends Figure 1. Injection of waxworms using a novel restraint device. The 'Galleria Grabber' restraint device is comprised of a 15mm thick sponge and bulldog clip (A). The sponge is folded in half lengthways and secured within a bull dog clip with the open end facing outwards (B). The open ends of the folded sponge are peeled back and held in place (C). The waxworm to be injected is placed within the sponge and held in place while the open end of the sponge is released. The closing of the sponge secures the waxworm in place for injection (E). Once the needle is placed, the syringe is lifted with the waxworm in place and the plunger is pushed to inject the desired inoculum (F). Figure 2. Survival of waxworms injected with varying concentrations of S. aureus Waxworms (n=12 per group) were infected with varying concentrations of S. aureus XEN36 by injection into the haemocoel via the last proleg while restrained either between the thumb and index finger of the operator (solid lines), or using the 'Galleria Grabber' restraint device (dashed lines), and survival measured over 5 days.





