Neurobiology as Information Physics

- 1 Sterling Street¹
- ¹Independent Researcher, Athens, GA, USA

frontiers

- 3 * Correspondence:
- 4 Sterling Street
- 5 sterling.street@uga.edu
- 6 Keywords: information thermodynamics₁, Landauer limit₂, free energy principle₃,
- 7 optimization₄, Bekenstein bound₅.
- 8 Abstract
- 9 This article reviews thermodynamic relationships in the brain in an attempt to consolidate current
- 10 research in systems neuroscience. The present synthesis supports proposals that thermodynamic
- information in the brain can be quantified to an appreciable degree of objectivity, that many
- qualitative properties of information in systems of the brain can be inferred by observing changes in
- thermodynamic quantities, and that many features of the brain's anatomy and architecture illustrate
- relatively simple information-energy relationships. The brain may provide a unique window into the
- relationship between energy and information.

Introduction

16

- 17 That information is physical has been suggested by evidence since the founding of classical
- thermodynamics (J Gleick 2011; S Lloyd 2006). In recent years, Landauer's principle (CH Bennett
- 19 2003; R Landauer 1996), which relates information-theoretic entropy to thermodynamic information,
- 20 has been confirmed (JMR Parrondo et al. 2015), and the experimental demonstration of a form of
- 21 information-energy equivalence (A Alfonso-Faus 2013) has verified that Maxwell's demon cannot
- violate any known laws of thermodynamics (K Maruyama et al. 2009). The theoretical finding that
- entropy is conserved as event horizon area is leading to the resolution of the black hole information
- paradox (P Davies 2010; C Moskowitz 2015), and there is a fundamental relationship between
- information and the geometry of spacetime itself (R Bousso 2002; C Eling et al. 2006). Current
- 26 formulations of quantum theory are revealing properties of physical information (Č Brukner and A
- 27 Zeilinger 2003; S Lloyd 2006; V Vedral 2010; J Wheeler 1986), and information-interpretive
- 28 attempts to show that gravity is quantized (JW Lee et al. 2013; L Smolin 2001) could even lead to the
- 29 unification of quantum mechanics and the theories of relativity. Although similar approaches are
- increasingly influential in biology (JL England 2013; JC Flack 2014; ED Schneider and D Sagan
- 31 2005), "a formalization of the relationship between information and energy is currently lacking in
- 32 neuroscience" (G Collell and J Fauguet 2015). The purpose of this article is to explore a few different
- 33 sides of this relationship and, along the way, to suggest that many hypotheses and theories in
- neuroscience can be unified by the physics of information.

Information bounds

- 36 "How can the events in space and time which take place within the spatial boundary of a living
- 37 organism be accounted for by physics and chemistry?" (E Schrödinger 1944, from KJ Friston
- 38 2013)

35

- 39 As a fundamental physical entity (S Lloyd 2015), information is not fully understood, and there is
- 40 currently a significant amount of disagreement over different definitions of information and entropy
- 41 in the literature (A Ben-Naim 2015; B Poirier 2014). In thermodynamics, however, information can
- be defined as a negation of thermodynamic entropy (C Beck 2009):

$$I \equiv -S$$

- A bit of thermodynamic entropy represents the distinction between two alternative states in a
- 45 physical system (JV Stone 2015). As a result, the total thermodynamic entropy of a system is
- proportional to the total number of distinguishable states contained in the system (JD Bekenstein
- 47 2001; JD Bekenstein 2007). Because thermodynamic entropy is potential information relative to an
- observer (S Lloyd 2006), and an observer in a physical system is a component of the system itself,
- 49 the total thermodynamic entropy of a system includes the portion of entropy that is accessible to the
- observer as relative thermodynamic information (G Collell and J Fauquet 2015; J Wheeler 1989):

$$I_{relative} = S_{total} - S_{relative}$$

- 52 Since entropy in any physical system is finite (S Lloyd 2006; C Rovelli 2015), the total
- thermodynamic entropy of any system of the brain can be quantified by applying the traditional form
- of the universal (JD Bekenstein 1981, 1984, 2001, 2004, 2007) information-entropy bound:

$$S_{sys} = \zeta \frac{AEk}{\hbar c}$$

- where A is area, E is energy including matter, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, c is the speed of light, k is
- Boltzmann's constant, and ζ is a factor such that $0 \le \zeta \le 1$
- 58 Setting this factor to 1 in order to quantify the total thermodynamic entropy of a system at a certain
- 59 level of structure now allows us to quantify thermodynamic information by partitioning the factor
- into a relative information component ($\zeta_I = 1 \zeta_S$) and a relative entropy component ($\zeta_S = 1 \zeta_I$),

61
$$I_{sys} = \zeta_I \frac{AEk}{\hbar c} = (1 - \zeta_S) \frac{AEk}{\hbar c}$$

- Because a maximal level of energy corresponds to a maximal level of thermodynamic information,
- and a minimal level of energy corresponds to a minimal level of thermodynamic information (TL
- Duncan and JS Semura 2004), any transitions between energy levels occur as transitions between
- informational extrema. So, in the event that information enters a system of the brain,

$$\Delta I_{sys} = \frac{\Delta E_{sys}}{kT} = \Delta \zeta_I$$

- where *T* is temperature
- and, in the event that information exits a system,

$$-\Delta I_{sys} = \frac{\Delta E_{surr}}{kT} = \Delta \zeta_S$$

- 70 Various forms of these relationships, including information-entropy bounds, have been applied in
- 71 neuroscience (G Collell and J Fauquet 2015; B Sengupta et al. 2013; B Sengupta and KJ Friston
- 72 2015; P Sterling and S Laughlin 2015). The contribution of this review is simply to show that these
- 73 relationships can be united into a common theoretical framework.

Neurobiology

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84 85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

"... classical thermodynamics... is the only physical theory of universal content which I am convinced, that within the framework of applicability of its basic concepts, will never be overthrown." – (A Einstein 1949, from JD Bekenstein 2001)

This section reviews thermodynamic relationships in systems neuroscience with a focus on information and energy. Beginning with neurons, moving to neural networks, and concluding at the level of the brain as a whole, I discuss the energetics of processes such as learning and memory, excitation and inhibition, and the production of noise in neurobiological systems.

The central role of energy in determining the activity of neurons exposes the close connection between information and thermodynamics at the level of the cell. For instance, the process of depolarization, which occurs as a transition to E_{max} from a resting state E_{min} , clearly shows that cellular information content is correlated with energy levels. In this respect, the resemblance between ion concentration gradients in neurons and temperature gradients in thermodynamic demons (i.e., agents that use information from their surroundings to decrease their thermodynamic entropy) is not a coincidence – in order to acquire information, neurons must expend energy to establish proper membrane potentials. Recall that Landauer's principle (JMR Parrondo et al. 2015; MB Plenio and V Vitelli 2001) places a lower bound on the quantity of energy released into the surroundings with the removal of information from a system. Thus, reestablishing membrane potentials after depolarization - the neuronal equivalent of resetting a demon's memory - dissipates energy. Because Landauer's principle applies to all levels of structure, and cells process large quantities of information, neurons use energy efficiently despite operating at several orders of magnitude above the nominal limit. Parameters including membrane area, spiking frequency, and axon length have all been optimized over the course of evolution to allow neurons to process information efficiently (P Sterling and S Laughlin 2015). Examining the energetics of information processing in neurons reinforces the notion that, while it is often convenient to imagine the neuron to be a simple binary element, these cells are intricate computational structures that process more than one bit of information.

Relationships between information and energy can also be seen at the level of neural networks. Attractor networks naturally stabilize by seeking energy minima, and the relative positions of basins of attraction define the geometry of an energy landscape (DJ Amit 1992). As a result, the transition into an active attractor state occurs as a transition into an information-energy maximum. These transitions correspond to the generation of informational entities such as memories, decisions, and perceptual events (ET Rolls 2012). In this way, the energy basins of attractor networks may be analogous to lower-level cellular and molecular energy gradients; a transition between any number of distinguishable energy levels follows the passage of a finite quantity of information. Since processing information requires the expenditure of energy, competitive network features also underscore the need to minimize unnecessary information processing. Lateral inhibition at this level may optimize thermodynamic efficiency by reducing metabolic expenses associated with networks responding less robustly to entering signals. Another interesting thermodynamic property of networks concerns macrostates: the functional states of large-scale neural networks rest emergently on the states of neuronal assemblies (R Yuste 2015). As a result, new computational properties may arise with the

addition of new layers of network structure. Finally, the energetic cost of information has influenced network connectivity by imposing selective pressures to save energy by minimizing path length between network nodes (E Bullmore and O Sporns 2009).

Again, in accordance with Landauer's principle, the displacement of information from any system releases energy into the surroundings (MB Plenio and V Vitelli 2001; TL Duncan and JS Semura 2004). This principle can be understood by imagining an idealized memory device, such as the brain of a thermodynamic demon. Since information is conserved (L Susskind and G Hrabovsky 2014), and clearing a memory erases information, the thermodynamic entropy of the surroundings must increase when a demon refreshes its memory to update information. This fundamental connection between information, entropy, and energy appears in many areas of the neurobiology of learning. For example, adjusting a firing threshold in order to change the probability that a system will respond to a conditioned stimulus (Y Choe 2015; T Takeuchi et al. 2014) optimizes engram fitness by minimizing the quantity of energy needed for its activation (S Still et al. 2012). Recurrent collateral connections further increase engram efficiency by enabling a minimal nodal stimulus to elicit its full energetic activation (ET Rolls 2012). Experimental evidence also shows that restricting synaptic energy supply impairs the formation of stable engrams (JJ Harris et al. 2012). Because the formation and disassembly of engrams during learning and forgetting optimizes the growth and pruning of networks in response to external conditions, the process of learning is itself a mechanism for minimizing entropy in the brain (KJ Friston 2003).

As another example of a multiscale process integrated across many levels by thermodynamics, consider the active balance between excitation and inhibition in neurobiological systems. Maintaining proper membrane potentials and adequate concentrations of signaling molecules requires the expenditure of energy, so it is advantageous for systems of the brain to minimize the processing of unnecessary information – to "send only what is needed" (P Sterling and S Laughlin 2015). Balancing excitation and inhibition is therefore a crucial mechanism for saving energy. Theoretical evidence that this balancing maximizes the thermodynamic efficiency of processing Shannon information (B Sengupta et al. 2013) is consistent with experimental findings in several areas of research on inhibition. For instance, constant inhibitory modulation is needed to stabilize internal states, and hyperexcitation (e.g., in epilepsy, intoxication syndromes, or trauma) can decrease relative information by reducing levels of consciousness (B Haider et al. 2006; K Lehmann et al. 2012). Likewise, selective attention is mediated by the activation of inhibitory interneurons (G Houghton and SP Tipper 1996), and sensory inhibition appears to sharpen internal perceptual states (JS Isaacson and M Scanziani 2011). The need to balance excitation and inhibition at all levels of structure highlights the energetic cost of information.

A final example worth discussing is the relationship between thermodynamics and the production of noise in neurobiological systems. Noise is present in every system of the brain, and influences all aspects of the organ's function (AA Faisal et al. 2008; ET Rolls and G Deco 2010; A Destexhe and M Rudolph-Lilith 2012). Even in the absence of any potential forms of classical stochastic resonance, the noise-driven exploration of different states may optimize thermodynamic efficiency by allowing a system to randomly sample different accessible configurations. Theoretical arguments suggest indeed that noise enables neural networks to respond more quickly to detected signals (ET Rolls 2012), and empirical evidence implicates noise as a beneficial means of optimizing the performance of diverse neurobiological processes (MD McDonnell and LM Ward 2011). For example, noise in the form of neuronal DNA breaking (JU Guo et al. 2011; K Herrup et al. 2013; P Tognini et al. 2015) could enhance plasticity, since any stochastically optimized configuration would be more likely to survive over time as, in this case, a strengthened connection in a modifiable network. Because noise is a form of relative entropy, optimizing the signal-to-noise ratio in any neurobiological system promotes the efficient use of energy.

At the level of the brain as a whole, the connection between information and thermodynamics

- is readily apparent in the organ's functional reliance on energy (PJ Magistretti and I Allaman 2015),
- its seemingly disproportionate consumption of oxygen and energy substrates (e.g., ATP, glucose,
- ketones, etc.) (S Herculano-Houzel 2011; ME Raichle and DA Gusnard 2002), its vulnerability to
- hypoxic-ischemic damage (JP Dreier et al. 2013; PL Lutz et al. 2003) and in the reduction of
- 167 consciousness often conferred by the onset of energy restrictions (RG Shulman et al. 2009; J Stender
- et al. 2016). All fMRI, PET, and EEG interpretation rests on the foundational assumption that
- 169 changes in the information content of neurobiological systems can be inferred by observing energy
- 170 changes (D Attwell and C Iadecola 2002; G Collell and J Fauquet 2015), and it is well known that the
- information processing capacities of neurobiological systems are limited by energy supply (C
- Howarth et al. 2012). Overall, these relationships are consistent with the form of information-energy
- equivalence predicted by Landauer's principle and information-entropy bounds. The living brain
- appears to maintain a state of thermodynamic optimization.

Consciousness and free will

- "... science appears completely to lose from sight the large and general questions; but all the more
- splendid is the success when, groping in the thicket of special questions, we suddenly find a small
- 178 opening that allows a hitherto undreamt of outlook on the whole." (L Boltzmann 1886, from HC
- 179 Von Baeyer 1999)

175

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

- Although neuroscience has yet to explain consciousness or free will at any satisfactory level of detail,
- relationships between information and energy seem to be recognizable even at this level of analysis.
- This section reviews attempts to conceptualize major properties of consciousness (unity, continuity,
- complexity, and self-awareness) as features of information processing in the brain, and concludes
- with a discussion of free will.

At any given moment, awareness is experienced as a unified whole. Physical information is the substrate of consciousness (A Annila 2016), and the law of conservation of information requires any minimal unit of information to be transferred into a thermodynamic system as a temporally unitary quantity. As a result, it is possible that the passage of perceptual time itself occurs secondarily to the transfer of information, and that the information present in any integrated system of the brain at any observed time is necessarily cohesive and temporally unified. In this framework, the passage of time would vary in proportion to a system's rate of energy dissipation. Although it is possible that physical systems in general exchange information in temporally unitary quantities, it is likely that many of the familiar features of the perceptual unity of consciousness require the structure and activity of neural networks in the brain. The biological basis of this unity may be the active temporal consolidation of observed events by integrated higher-order networks (S Dehaene and JP Changeux 2011; SA Greenfield and TFT Collins 2005; A Revonsuo 1999; F Varela et al. 2001). An informational structure generated by the claustrum has been speculated to contribute to this experiential unity (FC Crick and C Koch 2005, MZ Koubeissi et al. 2014), but it has also been reported that complete unilateral resection of the system performed in patients with neoplastic lesions of the region produces no externally observable changes in subjective awareness (H Duffau et al. 2007). Overall, it appears unlikely that the presence of information in any isolated or compartmentalized network of the brain is responsible for generating the unified nature of conscious experience.

While perceptual time is likely the product of a collection of related informational processes rather than a single, globalized function mediated by any one specific system of the brain, some of the perceptual continuity of consciousness may result from the effectively continuous flow of thermodynamic information into and out of integrated systems of the brain. In this framework, the quantum (M Prokopenko et al. 2014) of perceptual time would be the minimal acquisition of

209

210

211

212

213214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

information, and the entrance of information into neurobiological systems would occur alongside the entrance of energy. This relationship is implicit in the simple observation that the transition of a large-scale attractor network is progressively less discrete and smoother in time than the activation of a small-scale engram, the propagation of a cellular potential, the docking of a vesicle, the release of an ion, and so forth. Likewise, electroencephalography shows that the summation of a large number of discrete cellular potentials can accumulate into an effectively continuous wave as a network field potential (PL Nunez and R Srinivasan 2006), disruptions of which are often correlated with decreases in levels of consciousness (H Blumenfeld and J Taylor 2003). It is also well known that higher frequency network oscillations tend to indicate states of wakefulness and active awareness, while lower frequency oscillations tend to be associated with internal states of lesser passage of perceptual time, such as dreamless sleep or unconsciousness. The possibility that the experiential arrow of time and the thermodynamic arrow of time share a common origin in the flow of information is supported both by general models of time in neuroscience and the physical interpretation of time as an entropy gradient (L Mlodinow and TA Brun 2014; OC Stoica 2008).

The subjective complexity of consciousness may show that extensive network integration is needed for maximizing the mutual thermodynamic information and internal energy content of systems of the brain (JS Torday and WB Miller Jr 2016). An exemplary structure enabling such experience, likely one of many that together account for the subjective complexity of consciousness, is the thalamocortical complex (Y Hannawi et al. 2015; RS Calabrò et al. 2015). The functional architecture of such a network may show that, at any given moment in the internal model of a living brain, a wide range of integrated systems are sharing mutual sources of thermodynamic information. This pattern of structure may reveal that the perceptual depth and complexity of conscious experience is a direct product of recognizable features of the physical brain. However, it also seems that extensive local cortical processing of information is necessary for producing a refined and coherent sensorium within a system, and that both the thalamocortical complex and the brain stem are involved in generating the subjective complexity of consciousness (GM Edelman et al. 2011; LM Ward 2011). The dynamics of attractor networks at higher levels of network structure may show that quantities of complex internal information can be observed as changes in cortical energy landscapes (ET Rolls 2012), with a transition between attractor states following the transfer of information. The degree of subjective complexity of information enclosed by such a transition would be proportional to the degree of structural integration of underlying networks.

Self-awareness likely arose as a survival necessity rather than as an accident of evolution (F Fabbro et al. 2015), and rudimentary forms of self-awareness likely began to appear early in the course of brain evolution as various forms of perceptual self-environment separation. As a simple example, consider the tickle response (DJ Linden 2007), which requires the ability to differentiate self-produced tactile sensations from those produced by external systems. The early need to distinguish between self-produced tactile states and those produced by more threatening non-self sources may be reflected by the observation that this recognition process is mediated to a great extent by the cerebellum (SJ Blakemore et al. 2000). While it is possible that other similar developments began occurring very early on, the evolutionary acquisition of the refined syntactical and conceptual self present in the modern brain likely required the merging of pre-existing self networks with higher-level cortical systems. The eventual integration of language and self-awareness would have been advantageous for coordinating social groups (MS Graziano 2013), since experiencing selfreferential thought as inner speech facilitates verbal communication. Likewise, the coupling of selfawareness to internal sensory, cognitive, and motor states (T Metzinger 2004; G Northoff et al. 2006) may be advantageous for maximizing information between systems within an individual brain. Neuropsychological conditions involving different forms of agnosia, neglect, and self-awareness deficits do show that a reduced awareness of self-ownership of motor skills, body parts, or perceptual states can result in significant disability (F Fabbro et al. 2015; S Chokron et al. 2016; MD Orfei et al.

2007; M Overgaard 2011; A Parton et al. 2004; M Tsakiris 2010; A Morin 2006; GP Prigatano 2009). Since experiencing self-awareness optimizes levels of mutual information between the external world and the brain's internal model (MA Apps and M Tsakiris 2014), and this activity decreases thermodynamic entropy (JS Torday and WB Miller Jr 2016), self-awareness may be a mechanism for optimizing the brain's consumption of energy.

Thermodynamic information is also interesting to consider in the context of free will. The brain is predictable within reason, and the performance of an action can be predicted before a decision is reported to have been made (P Haggard 2008). Entities such as ideas, feelings, and beliefs seem to exist as effectively deterministic evaluations of information processed in the brain. Whether or not the flow of information is subject to the brain's volitional alteration, neuroscience also shows that information can be internally real to a system of the brain, even if this information is inconsistent with an external reality. That the brain can generate an externally inconsistent internal reality is demonstrated by phenomena such as confabulation, agnosia, blindsight, neglect, commissurotomy and hemispherectomy effects, placebo and nocebo effects, reality monitoring deficits, hallucinations, prediction errors, the suspension of disbelief during dreaming, the function of communication in minimizing divergence between internal realities, the quality of many kinds of realistic drug-induced experiences, and the effects of many neuropsychological conditions. The apparent fact that subjective reality is an active construction of the physical brain has even led to the proposal of model-dependent realism (SW Hawking and L Mlodinow 2011) as a philosophical paradigm in the search for a unified theory of physics. In any case, it is likely that beliefs, including those in free will, exist as information, and that their internal reality is a restatement of its frequently observer-dependent nature.

Empirical outlook

Before concluding, it is worth reviewing a few notable experiments in greater detail. While considerable advances have been made in discovering how neurobiological systems operate according to principles of thermodynamic efficiency (S Laughlin and P Sterling 2015), relationships between information and energy in the brain are only beginning to be understood. The following studies are examples of elegant and insightful experiments that should inspire future research.

Several recent brain imaging studies support the proposal (A Annila 2016) that thermodynamics is able to explain a number of mysteries involving consciousness. For example, J Stender et al. 2016 used PET to measure global resting state energy consumption in 131 brain injury patients with impairments of consciousness as defined by the revised Coma Recovery Scale (CRS-R). The preservation of consciousness was found to require a minimal global metabolic rate of $\approx 40\%$ of the average rate of controls; global energy consumption above this level was reported to predict the presence or recovery of consciousness with over 90% sensitivity. These results must be replicated and studied in closer detail before their specific theoretical implications are clear, but it is now established that levels of consciousness are correlated with energetic metrics of brain activity. To what extent there exists a well-defined "minimal energetic requirement for the presence of conscious awareness" (J Stender et al. 2016) remains an open question. However, the empirical confirmation of a connection between consciousness and thermodynamics introduces the possibility of developing new experimental methods in consciousness research.

Neurobiological systems, and biological systems in general (HC Von Baeyer 1999; ED Schneider and D Sagan 2005), can be considered thermodynamic demons in the sense that they are agents using information to decrease their thermodynamic entropy. Landauer's principle requires that, in order not to violate any known laws of thermodynamics, such agents dissipate heat when erasing information from their memory storage devices. In an experimental test of this principle, reviewed along with similar experiments in JMR Parrondo et al. 2015, A Bérut et al. 2012 studied

heat dissipation in a simple memory device created by placing a glass bead in an optical double-well potential. Intuitively, this memory stored a bit of information by retaining the bead on one side of the potential rather than on the alternative. By manipulating the height of the optical barrier between wells, researchers moved the bead to one side of the memory without determining its previous location in the potential. This process was therefore logically irreversible, requiring the erasure of prior information from the memory device. Landauer's principle predicts that, since information is conserved, the entropy of the memory's surroundings must increase when this occurs. A Bérut et al. 2012 have verified that energy is emitted when a memory is cleared. As noted by the authors, "this limit is independent of the actual device, circuit or material used to implement the irreversible operation." It would be interesting to study the erasure principle in the context of neuroscience.

Experimental applications of information theory in cell biology have already led to the discovery of general principles of brain organization related to thermodynamics (S Laughlin and P Sterling 2015). In one particularly interesting study, JE Niven et al. 2007 measured the energetic efficiency of information coding in retinal neurons. Intracellular recordings of membrane potential and input resistance were used to calculate rates of ATP consumption in response to different background light intensities. These rates of energy consumption were then compared with rates of Shannon information transmission in order to determine metabolic performance. It was found that metabolic demands increase nonlinearly with respect to increases in information processing rate: thermodynamics appears to impose a "law of diminishing returns" on systems of the brain. The authors interpret these results as evidence that nature has selected for neurons that minimize unnecessary information processing. Studying how thermodynamics has influenced cellular parameters over the course of evolution is likely to raise many new empirically addressable questions.

Conclusion

305

306

307

308 309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

335

338

346

- 329 This article has reviewed information-energy relationships in the hope that they may eventually
- provide a general framework for uniting theory and experiment in neuroscience. The physical nature
- of information and its status as a finite, measurable resource are emphasized to connect neurobiology
- and thermodynamics. As a scientific paradigm, the information movement currently underway in
- physics promises profound advances in our understanding of the relationship between energy,
- information, and the physical brain.

Conflict of Interest

- 336 The author confirms that this research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
- 337 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

- I am grateful to Baroness Susan Greenfield, Dr. Francesco Fermani, Dr. Karl Friston, Dr. Biswa
- 340 Sengupta, Dr. Roy Frieden, Dr. Bernard Baars, Dr. Brett Clementz, Dr. Cristi Stoica, Dr. Satoru
- 341 Suzuki, Dr. Paul King, Guillem Collell Talleda, Dr. Jordi Fauquet, and others who have helped me
- improve these ideas. I am also grateful to Dr. Shanta Dhar and her team for introducing me to
- academic research, to Jim Reid for introducing me to biology, to Alex Tisch for introducing me to
- physics, and to those affiliated with the Department of Neurosurgery at the University of Virginia
- 345 Medical Center for introducing me to neuroscience.

References

- 347 Alfonso-Faus, A. (2013). Fundamental Principle of Information-to-Energy Conversion. *Proceedings*
- 348 of the 7th European Computing Conference. WSEAS Press.
- 349 Amit, DJ. (1992). *Modeling Brain Function*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Annila, A. (2016). On the character of consciousness. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 10. doi:
- 351 10.3389/fnsys.2016.00027.
- Apps, M. A., and Tsakiris, M. (2014). The free-energy self: a predictive coding account of self-
- recognition. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 41, 85-97. doi:
- 354 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.01.029.
- 355 Attwell, D., and Iadecola, C. (2002). The neural basis of functional brain imaging signals. *Trends in*
- 356 Neurosciences, 25(12), 621-625. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(02)02264-6
- Beck, C. (2009). Generalised information and entropy measures in physics. *Contemporary Physics*,
- 358 50(4), 495-510. doi: 10.1080/00107510902823517
- Bekenstein, J. D. (1981). Universal upper bound on the entropy-to-energy ratio for bounded systems.
- 360 *Physical Review D*, 23(2), 287. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.287
- Bekenstein, J. D. (1984). Entropy content and information flow in systems with limited energy.
- 362 *Physical Review D*, 30(8), 1669. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.30.1669
- 363 Bekenstein, J. D. (2001). The limits of information. Studies In History and Philosophy of Science
- Part B: Studies In History and Philosophy of Modern Physics, 32(4), 511-524. doi: 10.1016/S1355-
- 365 2198(01)00020-X
- Bekenstein, J. D. (2004). Black holes and information theory. *Contemporary Physics*, 45(1). PACS:
- 367 89.70.+c,03.67.-a,04.70.-s,04.70.Dy,65.40.Gr
- Bekenstein, J. D. (2007). Information in the holographic universe. *Scientific American*, 17, 66-73.
- Ben-Naim, A. (2015). *Information, Entropy, Life and the Universe*. Singapore: World Scientific. 4-5.
- Bennett, C. H. (2003). Notes on Landauer's principle, reversible computation, and Maxwell's Demon.
- 371 Studies In History and Philosophy of Science Part B: Studies In History and Philosophy of Modern
- 372 *Physics*, 34(3), 501-510. doi:10.1016/S1355-2198(03)00039-X
- Bérut, A., Arakelyan, A., Petrosyan, A., Ciliberto, S., Dillenschneider, R., and Lutz, E. (2012).
- 374 Experimental verification of Landauer/'s principle linking information and thermodynamics. *Nature*,
- 375 483(7388), 187-189. doi: 10.1038/nature10872
- Blakemore, S. J., Wolpert, D., and Frith, C. (2000). Why can't you tickle yourself? *Neuroreport*,
- 377 11(11), R11-R16. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200008030-00002
- 378 Blumenfeld, H., and Taylor, J. (2003). Why do seizures cause loss of consciousness? *The*
- 379 Neuroscientist, 9(5), 301-310. doi: 10.1177/1073858403255624
- Bousso, R. (2002). The holographic principle. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 74(3), 825. doi:
- 381 10.1103/RevModPhys.74.825

- Brukner, Č., and Zeilinger, A. (2003). Information and fundamental elements of the structure of
- quantum theory. In *Time, Quantum and Information*, ed. L. Castell and O. Ischebeck. Heidelberg:
- 384 Springer. 323-354.
- Bullmore, E., and Sporns, O. (2009). Complex brain networks: graph theoretical analysis of
- 386 structural and functional systems. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10(3), 186-198. doi:
- 387 10.1038/nrn2575
- Calabrò, R. S., Cacciola, A., Bramanti, P., and Milardi, D. (2015). Neural correlates of
- consciousness: what we know and what we have to learn! *Neurological Sciences*, 36(4), 505-513.
- 390 doi: 10.1007/s10072-015-2072-x
- 391 Choe, Y. (2015). Hebbian Learning. In *Encyclopedia of Computational Neuroscience*, ed. D Jaeger
- 392 and R Jung. New York: Springer. 1305-1309. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-7320-6_672-1
- Chokron, S., Perez, C., and Peyrin, C. (2016). Behavioral Consequences and Cortical Reorganization
- in Homonymous Hemianopia. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 10. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2016.00057
- 395 Collell, G., and Fauquet, J. (2015). Brain activity and cognition: a connection from thermodynamics
- and information theory. Frontiers in Psychology, 6. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00818
- 397 Crick, F. C., and Koch, C. (2005). What is the function of the claustrum? *Philosophical Transactions*
- 398 of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 360(1458), 1271-1279. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2005.1661
- Davies, P. (2010). Universe from bit. In *Information and the Nature of Reality*, ed. P Davies and N.
- 400 H. Gregersen. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 83-117.
- Dehaene, S., and Changeux, J. P. (2011). Experimental and theoretical approaches to conscious
- 402 processing. *Neuron*, 70(2), 200-227. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.018
- 403 Destexhe, A., and Rudolph-Lilith, M. (2012). *Neuronal Noise*. New York: Springer.
- Dreier, J. P., Isele, T., Reiffurth, C., Offenhauser, N., Kirov, S. A., Dahlem, M. A., and Herreras, O.
- 405 (2013). Is spreading depolarization characterized by an abrupt, massive release of Gibbs free energy
- 406 from the human brain cortex? *The Neuroscientist*, 19(1), 25-42. doi: 10.1177/1073858412453340.
- Duffau, H., Mandonnet, E., Gatignol, P., and Capelle, L. (2007). Functional compensation of the
- 408 claustrum: lessons from low-grade glioma surgery. *Journal of Neuro-oncology*, 81(3), 327-329. doi:
- 409 10.1007/s11060-006-9236-8
- Duncan, T. L., and Semura, J. S. (2004). The deep physics behind the second law: information and
- energy as independent forms of bookkeeping. Entropy, 6(1), 21-29. doi:10.3390/e6010021
- 412 Edelman, G. M., Gally, J. A., and Baars, B. J. (2011). Biology of consciousness. Frontiers in
- 413 *Psychology*, 2, 4.
- Eling, C., Guedens, R., and Jacobson, T. (2006). Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of spacetime.
- 415 *Physical Review Letters*, 96(12), 121301. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.121301.
- 416 England, J. L. (2013). Statistical physics of self-replication. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*,
- 417 *139*(12), 121923. doi: 10.1063/1.4818538

- 418 Fabbro, F., Aglioti, S. M., Bergamasco, M., Clarici, A., and Panksepp, J. (2015). Evolutionary
- aspects of self-and world consciousness in vertebrates. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 9, 157.
- 420 doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00157
- 421 Faisal, A. A., Selen, L. P., and Wolpert, D. M. (2008). Noise in the nervous system. *Nature Reviews*
- 422 Neuroscience, 9(4), 292-303. doi: doi:10.1038/nrn2258
- 423 Flack, J. C. (2014). Life's information hierarchy. Santa Fe Institute Bulletin, 28, 13-24.
- 424 Fox, D. (2015). The limits of intelligence. *Scientific American*, 305(1), 36-43.
- 425 Friston, K. J. (2003). Learning and inference in the brain. *Neural Networks*, 16(9), 1325-1352.
- 426 doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2003.06.005
- 427 Friston, K. J. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews*
- 428 Neuroscience, 11(2), 127-138. doi: 10.1038/nrn2787
- 429 Friston, K. J. (2013). Life as we know it. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 10(86), 20130475.
- 430 doi: 10.1098/rsif.2013.0475
- 431 Gleick, J., (2011). *The Information*. New York: Random House, 269-270; 355-372.
- 432 Graziano, M. S. (2013). *Consciousness and the Social Brain*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 433 Greenfield, S. A., and Collins, T. F. T. (2005). A neuroscientific approach to consciousness. *Progress*
- 434 in Brain Research, 150, 11-23. doi:10.1016/S0079-6123(05)50002-5
- 435 Guo, J. U., Ma, D. K., Mo, H., Ball, M. P., Jang, M. H., Bonaguidi, M. A., et al. (2011). Neuronal
- activity modifies the DNA methylation landscape in the adult brain. *Nature Neuroscience*, 14(10),
- 437 1345-1351. doi: 10.1038/nn.2900
- Haggard, P. (2008). Human volition: towards a neuroscience of will. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*,
- 439 9(12), 934-946. doi:10.1038/nrn2497
- Haider, B., Duque, A., Hasenstaub, A. R., and McCormick, D. A. (2006). Neocortical network
- activity in vivo is generated through a dynamic balance of excitation and inhibition. The Journal of
- 442 Neuroscience, 26(17), 4535-4545. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5297-05.2006
- Hannawi, Y., Lindquist, M. A., Caffo, B. S., Sair, H. I., and Stevens, R. D. (2015). Resting brain
- activity in disorders of consciousness. *Neurology*, 84(12), 1272-1280. doi:
- 445 10.1212/WNL.0000000000001404
- Harris, J. J., Jolivet, R., and Attwell, D. (2012). Synaptic energy use and supply. *Neuron*, 75(5), 762-
- 447 777. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.08.019
- Hawking, S. W. and Mlodinow, L. (2011). *The Grand Design*. New York: Random House. 7, 46.
- Herculano-Houzel, S. (2011). Scaling of brain metabolism with a fixed energy budget per neuron:
- 450 implications for neuronal activity, plasticity and evolution. *PLoS One*, 6(3), e17514. doi:
- 451 10.1371/journal.pone.0017514

- Herrup, K., Chen, J., and Li, J. (2013). Breaking news: thinking may be bad for DNA. *Nature*
- 453 Neuroscience, 16(5), 518-519. doi: 10.1038/nn.3384
- Houghton, G., and Tipper, S. P. (1996). Inhibitory mechanisms of neural and cognitive control:
- Applications to selective attention and sequential action. *Brain and Cognition*, 30(1), 20-43. doi:
- 456 10.1006/brcg.1996.0003
- Howarth, C., Gleeson, P., and Attwell, D. (2012). Updated energy budgets for neural computation in
- 458 the neocortex and cerebellum. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism*, 32(7), 1222-1232.
- 459 doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2012.35
- 460 Isaacson, J. S., and Scanziani, M. (2011). How inhibition shapes cortical activity. *Neuron*, 72(2),
- 461 231-243. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.09.027
- Koubeissi, M. Z., Bartolomei, F., Beltagy, A., and Picard, F. (2014). Electrical stimulation of a small
- brain area reversibly disrupts consciousness. *Epilepsy & Behavior*, 37, 32-35. doi:
- 464 10.1016/j.yebeh.2014.05.027
- Landauer, R. (1996). The physical nature of information. *Physics Letters A*, 217(4), 188-193. doi:
- 466 10.1016/0375-9601(96)00453-7
- Lee, J. W., Kim, H. C., and Lee, J. (2013). Gravity from quantum information. *Journal of the Korean*
- 468 *Physical Society*, 63(5), 1094-1098. doi: 10.3938/jkps.63.1094
- Lehmann, K., Steinecke, A., and Bolz, J. (2012). GABA through the ages: regulation of cortical
- 470 function and plasticity by inhibitory interneurons. *Neural Plasticity*, 892784. doi:
- 471 10.1155/2012/892784
- Linden, D. J. (2007). *The Accidental Mind*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. 9-12.
- Liu, K. C., Oztaskin, M., and Burchiel, K. J. (2012). Basics of neurosurgical techniques and
- procedures. In Essentials of Neurosurgical Anesthesia and Critical Care. New York: Springer. 145.
- 475 doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-09562-2_14
- 476 Lloyd, S. (2006). *Programming the Universe*. New York: Random House.
- 477 Lloyd, S. (2015). Interview in *Closer to Truth: Is Information Fundamental?* Retrieved from
- 478 https://www.closertotruth.com/series/information-fundamental#video-2621
- Lutz, P. L., Nilsson, G. E., and Prentice, H. M. (2003). *The Brain Without Oxygen*. New York:
- 480 Kluwer.
- 481 Magistretti, P. J., and Allaman, I. (2015). A cellular perspective on brain energy metabolism and
- 482 functional imaging. *Neuron*, 86(4), 883-901. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.035
- 483 Maruyama, K., Nori, F., and Vedral, V. (2009). Colloquium: The physics of Maxwell's demon and
- information. Reviews of Modern Physics, 81(1), 1. doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.81.1
- 485 McDonnell, M. D., and Ward, L. M. (2011). The benefits of noise in neural systems: bridging theory
- and experiment. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 12(7), 415-426. doi: 10.1038/nrn3061

- 487 Metzinger, T. (2004). Being No One. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- 488 Mlodinow, L., and Brun, T. A. (2014). Relation between the psychological and thermodynamic
- 489 arrows of time. *Physical Review E*, 89(5), 052102. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.89.052102
- 490 Morin, A. (2006). Levels of consciousness and self-awareness: A comparison and integration of
- 491 various neurocognitive views. Consciousness and Cognition, 15(2), 358-371. doi:
- 492 10.1016/j.concog.2005.09.006
- 493 Moskowitz, C. (2015). Stephen Hawking Hasn't Solved the Black Hole Paradox Just Yet. Scientific
- 494 *American*, 27.
- Niven, J. E., Anderson, J. C., and Laughlin, S. B. (2007). Fly photoreceptors demonstrate energy-
- information trade-offs in neural coding. *PLoS Biology*, 5(4), e116. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050116
- Northoff, G., Heinzel, A., De Greck, M., Bermpohl, F., Dobrowolny, H., and Panksepp, J. (2006).
- 498 Self-referential processing in our brain—a meta-analysis of imaging studies on the self. *Neuroimage*,
- 499 31(1), 440-457. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.12.002
- Nunez, P. L., and Srinivasan, R. (2006). *Electric Fields of the Brain*. New York: Oxford University
- 501 Press.
- Orfei, M. D., Robinson, R. G., Prigatano, G. P., Starkstein, S., Rüsch, N., Bria, P., et al. (2007).
- Anosognosia for hemiplegia after stroke is a multifaceted phenomenon: a systematic review of the
- 504 literature. *Brain*, 130(12), 3075-3090. doi: 10.1093/brain/awm106
- 505 Overgaard, M. (2011). Visual experience and blindsight: a methodological review. Experimental
- 506 Brain Research, 209(4), 473-479. doi: 10.1007/s00221-011-2578-2
- Parrondo, J. M. R., Horowitz, J. M., and Sagawa, T. (2015). Thermodynamics of information. *Nature*
- 508 *Physics*, 11(2), 131-139. doi: 10.1038/nphys3230
- Parton, A., Malhotra, P., and Husain, M. (2004). Hemispatial neglect. *Journal of Neurology*,
- 510 *Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 75(1), 13-21.
- 511 Plenio, M. B., and Vitelli, V. (2001). The physics of forgetting: Landauer's erasure principle and
- 512 information theory. *Contemporary Physics*, 42(1), 25-60. doi: 10.1080/00107510010018916
- Poirier, B. (2014). A Conceptual Guide to Thermodynamics. Chichester: Wiley. 77-78.
- Prigatano, G. P. (2009). Anosognosia: clinical and ethical considerations. *Current Opinion in*
- 515 Neurology, 22(6), 606-611. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0b013e328332a1e7
- Prokopenko, M., and Lizier, J. T. (2014). Transfer entropy and transient limits of computation.
- 517 Scientific Reports, 4. doi: 10.1038/srep05394
- Raichle, M. E., and Gusnard, D. A. (2002). Appraising the brain's energy budget. *Proceedings of the*
- 519 National Academy of Sciences, 99(16), 10237-10239. doi: 10.1073/pnas.172399499
- Revonsuo, A. (1999). Binding and the phenomenal unity of consciousness. *Consciousness and*
- 521 Cognition, 8(2), 173-185. doi: 10.1006/ccog.1999.0384

- Rolls, E. T. (2012). *Neuroculture*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rolls, E. T., and Deco, G. (2010). *The Noisy Brain*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rovelli, C. (2015). Relative information at the foundation of physics. In *It From Bit or Bit From It?*
- 525 ed. A Aguirre, B. Foster, and Z. Merali. Cham: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-12946-4_7
- 526 Schneider, E. D., and Sagan, D. (2005). *Into the Cool*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 527 Sengupta, B., and Friston, K. J. Personal communication, October 7th, 2015.
- 528 Sengupta, B., Faisal, A. A., Laughlin, S. B., and Niven, J. E. (2013). The effect of cell size and
- 529 channel density on neuronal information encoding and energy efficiency. *Journal of Cerebral Blood*
- 530 Flow & Metabolism, 33(9), 1465-1473. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2013.103
- Sengupta, B., Laughlin, S. B., and Niven, J. E. (2013). Balanced excitatory and inhibitory synaptic
- currents promote efficient coding and metabolic efficiency. *PLoS Comput Biology*, 9(10), e1003263.
- 533 doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003263
- Sengupta, B., Stemmler, M. B., and Friston, K. J. (2013). Information and efficiency in the nervous
- system—a synthesis. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 9(7), e1003157. doi:
- 536 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003157
- 537 Sengupta, B., Tozzi, A., Cooray, G. K., Douglas, P. K., and Friston, K. J. (2016). Towards a neuronal
- 538 gauge theory. *PLoS Biology*, *14*(3), e1002400. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002400
- 539 Shulman, R. G., Hyder, F., and Rothman, D. L. (2009). Baseline brain energy supports the state of
- consciousness. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(27), 11096-11101. doi:
- 541 10.1073/pnas.0903941106
- 542 Smolin, L. (2002). Three Roads to Quantum Gravity. New York: Basic Books. 103, 169-178.
- 543 Stender, J., Mortensen, K. N., Thibaut, A., Darkner, S., Laureys, S., Gjedde, A., and Kupers, R.
- 544 (2016). The minimal energetic requirement of sustained awareness after brain injury. Current
- 545 *Biology*, 26(11), 1494-1499. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.04.024
- 546 Sterling, P., and Laughlin, S. (2015). *Principles of Neural Design*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- 547 Still, S., Sivak, D. A., Bell, A. J., and Crooks, G. E. (2012). Thermodynamics of prediction. *Physical*
- 548 Review Letters, 109(12), 120604. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.120604
- 549 Stoica, O. C. (2008). "Flowing with a frozen river." FQXi, *The Nature of Time* essay contest.
- Stone, J. V. (2015). *Information Theory*. Sheffield: Sebtel Press. 171.
- Susskind, L., and G Hrabovsky (2014). *The Theoretical Minimum*. New York: Basic Books. 9; 170.
- Takeuchi, T., Duszkiewicz, A. J., and Morris, R. G. (2014). The synaptic plasticity and memory
- 553 hypothesis: encoding, storage and persistence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*,
- 554 *369*(1633), 20130288. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0288

- Tognini, P., et al. (2015). Dynamic DNA methylation in the brain: a new epigenetic mark for
- experience-dependent plasticity. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience, 9. doi:
- 557 10.3389/fncel.2015.00331
- Torday, J. S., and Miller Jr, W. B. (2016). On the evolution of the mammalian brain. Frontiers in
- 559 Systems Neuroscience, 10. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2016.00031
- Tsakiris, M. (2010). My body in the brain: a neurocognitive model of body-ownership.
- 561 Neuropsychologia, 48(3), 703-712. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.09.034
- Varela, F., Lachaux, J. P., Rodriguez, E., and Martinerie, J. (2001). The brainweb: phase
- synchronization and large-scale integration. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2(4), 229-239.
- 564 doi:10.1038/35067550
- Vedral, V. (2010). *Decoding Reality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Von Baeyer, H. C. (1999). *Maxwell's Demon*. New York: Random House. 100-101; 165.
- Ward, L. M. (2011). The thalamic dynamic core theory of conscious experience. *Consciousness and*
- 568 Cognition, 20(2), 464-486. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2011.01.007
- Wheeler, J. (1986). In *The Ghost in the Atom*, ed. P Davies and J Brown. Cambridge: Cambridge
- 570 University Press. 62.
- Wheeler, J. A. (1989). Information, physics, quantum: the search for links. *Proceedings of the Third*
- 572 International Symposium on Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, Tokyo, 354-368.
- Yuste, R. (2015). From the neuron doctrine to neural networks. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16(8),
- 574 487-497. doi: 10.1038/nrn3962