# SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from

## 2 multi-FASTA alignments

- 3 Andrew J. Page<sup>1,\*</sup>, Ben Taylor<sup>1</sup>, Aidan J. Delaney<sup>2</sup>, Jorge Soares<sup>1</sup>, Torsten Seemann<sup>3</sup>, Jacqueline A.
- 4 Keane<sup>1</sup>, Simon R. Harris<sup>1</sup>
- 1 Pathogen Genomics, Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK,
  CB10 1SA.
- 7 2 Computing, Engineering and Mathematics, University of Brighton, Moulsecoomb, Brighton, UK, BN2 4GJ.
- 8 3 Victorian Life Sciences Computation Initiative, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia.
- \* corresponding author, andrew.page@sanger.ac.uk

## **ABSTRACT**

9

11

12

13

25

26

27

30

- 14 Rapidly decreasing genome sequencing costs have led to a proportionate increase in the number of
- 15 samples used in prokaryotic population studies. Extracting single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)
- 16 from a large whole genome alignment is now a routine task, but existing tools have failed to scale
- 17 efficiently with the increased size of studies. These tools are slow, memory inefficient and are
- installed through non-standard procedures. We present SNP-sites which can rapidly extract SNPs
- 19 from a multi-FASTA alignment using modest resources and can output results in multiple formats for
- downstream analysis. SNPs can be extracted from a 8.3 GB alignment file (1,842 taxa, 22,618 sites) in
- 21 267 seconds using 59 MB of RAM and 1 CPU core, making it feasible to run on modest computers. It
- is easy to install through the Debian and Homebrew package managers, and has been successfully
- tested on more than 20 operating systems. *SNP-sites* is implemented in C and is available under the
- open source license GNU GPL version 3.

#### **DATA SUMMARY**

- 28 1. The source code for *SNP-sites* is available from GitHub under GNU GPL v3; (URL https://github.com/sanger-pathogens/snp\_sites)
- S. Typhi multi FASTA alignment data has been deposited in Figshare:
  https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.2067249.v1

We confirm all supporting data, code and protocols have been provided within the article or through supplementary data files. ☑

## **IMPACT STATEMENT**

Rapidly extracting SNPs from increasingly large alignments, both in number of sites and number of taxa, is a problem that current tools struggle to deal with efficiently. *SNP-sites* was created with these challenges in mind and this paper demonstrates that it scales well, using modest desktop computers, to sample sizes far in excess of what is currently analysed in single population studies. The software has also been packaged to allow it to be easily installed on a wide variety of operating systems and hardware, something often neglected in bioinformatics.

## **INTRODUCTION**

As the cost of sequencing has rapidly decreased, the number of samples sequenced within a study has proportionately increased and now stands in the thousands (Chewapreecha *et al.*, 2014; Nasser *et al.*, 2014; Wong *et al.*, 2015). A common task in prokaryotic bioinformatics analysis is the extraction of all single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from a multiple FASTA alignment. Whilst it is a simple problem to describe, current tools cannot rapidly or efficiently extract SNPs in the increasingly large data sets found in prokaryotic population studies. These inefficiencies, such as loading all the data into memory (Lindenbaum, 2015), or slow speed due to algorithm design (Capella-Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2009), make it infeasible to analyse these sample sets on modest computers. Furthermore, existing tools employ challenging, non-standard installation procedures.

A number of applications exist which can extract SNPs from a multi FASTA alignment, such as JVarKit (Lindenbaum, 2015), TrimAl (Capella-Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2009), PGDSpider (Lischer and Excoffier, 2012) and PAUP\* (Swofford, 2002).

JVarKit is a Java toolkit which can output SNP positions in VCF format (Danecek *et al.*, 2011). The standardised VCF format allows for post-processing with BCFtools (Danecek *et al.*, 2011), which is used to analyse variation in very large datasets such as the Human 1000 Genomes project (Sudmant *et al.*, 2015). It is reasonably fast, however it uses nearly 8 bytes of RAM per base of sequencing,

which results in substantial memory usage for even small data sets. For example a 1 GB alignment (200 taxa, 50,000 sites, 5 MBp genomes) required 7.2 GB of RAM. TrimAl (version 1.4) is a C++ tool which outputs variation, given a multiple FASTA alignment, however it does not support VCF format, only outputting the positions of SNPs in a bespoke format. It is very slow for small sample sets, however it uses less memory than JVarKit. PGDSpider is a Java based application which can output a VCF file, however the authors warn it is not suitable for large files, so it has been excluded from this analysis. PAUP\* is a popular commercial application but as it is no longer distributed it was not available for comparison. None of these applications are easily installable on a wide variety of operating systems and environments. TrimAl is the only application available in Homebrew and none are available through the Debian package management system.

Here we present *SNP-sites* which overcomes these limitations by managing disk I/O and memory carefully, and optimizing the implementation using C (ISO C99 compliant). Standard installation methods are used, with the software prepackaged and available through the Debian and Homebrew package managers. The software has been successfully run on more than 20 architectures using Debian Linux, Redhat Enterprise Linux and on multiple versions of OS X. A Cython version of the *SNP-sites* algorithm called PySnpSites (https://github.com/bewt85/PySnpSites) is also presented for comparison purposes.

#### THEORY AND IMPLEMENTATION

The input to the software is a single multiple FASTA alignment of nucleotides, where all sequences are the same length and have already been aligned. The file can optionally be gzipped. This alignment may have been generated by overlaying SNPs on a consensus reference genome, or using a multiple alignment tool, such as MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), PRANK (Löytynoja, 2014), MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013), or ClustalW (Thompson *et al.*, 2002).

By default the output format is a multiple FASTA alignment. The output format can optionally be changed to PHYLIP format (Felsenstein, 1989) or VCF format (version 4.1) (Danecek *et al.*, 2011). When used as a preprocessing step for FastTree (Price *et al.*, 2010), this substantially decreases the memory usage of FastTree during phylogenetic tree construction. The PHYLIP format can be used as input to RAXML (Stamatakis, 2014) for creating phylogenetic trees. For phylogenetic reconstructions removing monomorphic sites from an alignment may require a different model to avoid parameters being incorrectly estimated. The VCF output retains the position of the SNPs in each sample and can be parsed using standard tools such as BCFtools (Danecek *et al.*, 2011) or for GWAS analysis using PLINK (Chang *et al.*, 2015).

104 Each sequence is read in sequentially. A consensus sequence is generated in the first pass and is 105 iteratively compared to each sequence. The position of any difference is noted. A second pass of the 106 input file extracts the bases at each SNP site and outputs them in the chosen format. Where a base 107 is unknown or is a gap (n/N/?/-), the base is regarded as a non-variant. 108 109 For example, given the input alignment: 110 >sample1 111 AGACACAGTCAC 112 >sample2 113 AGACAC----AC 114 >sample3 115 AAACGCATTCAN 116 117 the output is: 118 >sample1 119 GAG 120 >sample2 121 GA-122 >sample3 123 AGT 124 125 The maximum resource requirements of the algorithm are known. Given the number of SNP sites is 126 p, the number of samples is s and the number of bases in a single alignment is g the maximum 127 memory usage can be defined as: 128 max(pxs, gx2). 129 Given that f is the size of the input file and o is the size of the output file, the file I/O is defined as: 130 2xf <= I/O <= 2xf + o.131 The computational complexity is O(n). These properties make the algorithm theoretically scalable 132 and feasible on large datasets far beyond what is currently analysed within a single study.

All changes to SNP-sites are validated automatically against a hand generated set of example cases incorporated into unit tests. A continuous integration system (https://travis-ci.org/sangerpathogens/snp\_sites) ensures that modifications which change the output erroneously are publically flagged. To test the performance of SNP-sites, we have compared it with JVarKit, TrimAl and PySnpSites (https://github.com/bewt85/PySnpSites). PySnpSites is a Cython based partial reimplementation of the SNP-sites algorithm. A number of simulated datasets were generated to exercise the different parameters and to see their effect on memory usage and running time. All of the software to generate these datasets is contained within the SNP-sites source code repository. All experiments were performed using a single processor (2.1 Ghz AMD Opteron 6272) with a maximum of 16 GB of RAM available. The maximum run time of an application was set as 12 hours, after which time the experiment was halted. Alignments were generated with varying numbers of SNPs to show the effect of SNP density on the performance of each application. Each alignment had 1,000 samples and a genome alignment length of 5 Mbp, with a total file size of 4.8 GB. This is a scale encountered in recent studies (Wong et al., 2015). As the SNP density increases, so does the running time and memory usage as seen in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b). The running time of both SNP-sites and PySnpSites is reasonable however the memory usage of PySnpSites rapidly exceeds the maximum allowed memory (16 GB). Where 20% of bases in the input alignment are SNPs, SNP-sites uses only uses 1 GB of RAM, or approximately 20% of the file input size, scaling with the volume of variation rather than the size of the input file. In all experiments JVarKit exceeded the maximum allowed memory and was halted. All experiments using TrimAl exceeded the maximum running time of 12 hours. As both of these applications did not successfully complete they are not present in the results. The number of samples analysed within a single study now stands in the thousands (Chewapreecha et al., 2014). To cope with this scale and to demonstrate how applications will perform in the future, we generated alignments with 100 to 100,000 samples. Each genome contained 1 Mbp, and 1,000 SNP sites. The total file sizes ranged from 0.1 GB to 86 GB. As the number of taxa increase, the running time of PySnpSites and SNP-sites increases linearly, with SNP-sites taking 32 minutes to analyse an 86 GB alignment with 100,000 taxa as can be seen in Fig. 2(a). The running time of JVarKit is ten times greater than that of PySnpSites and SNP-sites as shown in Fig. 2(b), however it exceeds the 16 GB maximum memory limit beyond 1,000 taxa. The running time of TrimAl is another order of magnitude greater, making it rapidly infeasible to run. The memory usage of SNP-sites is

substantially less than all other applications, with the closest, PySnpSites using 9.2 GB of RAM

compared to 0.274 GB of RAM for *SNP-sites*.

134

135

136

137

138

139

140 141

142

143

144

145

146 147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157158

159

160

161

162163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

Finally the length of each genome in the alignment is varied from 100,000 to 100 Mbp with 1,000 taxa and 1,000 SNP sites in each alignment. PySnpSites and SNP-sites performed consistently well as shown in Fig. 3(a), with both taking ≈40 minutes to process the largest 95 GB alignment file. SNP-sites uses just 203 MB of RAM compared to 691 MB by PySnpSites as shown in Fig. 3(b). The other two applications exceed the maximum running times and/or the maximum memory whilst trying to analyse 5 Mbp genomes, which is the size of a typical Gram negative bacterial genome.

The performance of *SNP-sites* was evaluated on a real data set of *Salmonella* Typhi from (Wong *et al.*, 2015). A total of 1,842 taxa were aligned to the 4.8 Mbp chromosome (accession number AL513382) of *S.* Typhi CT18. This gave a total alignment file size of 8.3 GB and incorporated SNPs at 22,618 sites. *SNP-sites* used 59 MB of RAM and took 267 seconds.

#### CONCLUSION

Extracting variation from a multiple FASTA alignment is a common task, and whilst it is simple to define, existing tools fail to perform well. We showed that *SNP-sites* performed consistently under a variety of conditions, using low amounts of RAM and had a low running time for even for the largest datasets we simulated to represent the scale of studies expected in the near future. This makes it feasible to run on standard desktop machines. *SNP-sites* uses standard installation methods with the software prepackaged and available through the Debian and Homebrew package managers. The software has been successfully tested and run on more than 20 architectures using Debian Linux and on multiple versions of OS X.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Thanks to Sascha Steinbiss, Andreas Tille and Nicholas J. Croucher for their assistance and advice. Thanks to Vanessa Wong and Kathryn Holt for providing the S. Typhi data set. This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust (grant WT 098051).

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

206 207 SNP - single nucleotide polymorphism 208 VCF - Variant Call Format 209 210 **REFERENCES** 211 212 213 Capella-Gutiérrez, S. et al. (2009) trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale 214 phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics, 25, 1972-3. 215 Chang, C.C. et al. (2015) Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer 216 datasets. Gigascience, 4, 7. 217 Chewapreecha, C. et al. (2014) Dense genomic sampling identifies highways of pneumococcal 218 recombination. Nat. Genet., 46, 305-309. 219 Danecek, P. et al. (2011) The variant call format and VCF tools. Bioinformatics, 27, 2156-8. 220 Edgar, R.C. (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. 221 Nucleic Acids Res., 32, 1792-7. 222 Felsenstein, J. (1989) Phylip: phylogeny inference package (version 3.2). Cladistics, 5, 164–166. 223 Katoh, K. and Standley, D.M. (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: 224 improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol., 30, 772-80. 225 Lindenbaum, P. (2015) JVarkit: java-based utilities for Bioinformatics. Figshare. 226 Lischer, H.E.L. and Excoffier, L. (2012) PGDSpider: an automated data conversion tool for connecting 227 population genetics and genomics programs. Bioinformatics, 28, 298-9. 228 Löytynoja, A. (2014) Phylogeny-aware alignment with PRANK. Methods Mol. Biol., 1079, 155–170. 229 Nasser, W. et al. (2014) Evolutionary pathway to increased virulence and epidemic group A 230 Streptococcus disease derived from 3,615 genome sequences. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 231 111, E1768-76. 232 Price, M.N. et al. (2010) Fast Tree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. 233 PLoS One, 5, e9490. 234 Stamatakis, A. (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large 235 phylogenies. Bioinformatics, 30, 1312-1313. 236 Sudmant, P.H. et al. (2015) An integrated map of structural variation in 2,504 human genomes.

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

Nature, 526, 75-81. Swofford, D.L. (2002) PAUP\*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (\*and Other Methods). Sinauer Assoc. Sunderland, Massachusetts., 1–142. Thompson, J.D. et al. (2002) Multiple sequence alignment using ClustalW and ClustalX. Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics, Chapter 2, Unit 2.3. Wong, V.K. et al. (2015) Phylogeographical analysis of the dominant multidrug-resistant H58 clade of Salmonella Typhi identifies inter- and intracontinental transmission events. Nat Genet, 47, 632-639. **DATA BIBLIOGRAPHY** 1. Page, A.J., Github https://github.com/sanger-pathogens/snp sites (2016). 2. Wong, V & Holt K., Figshare: https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.2067249.v1 (2016). 3. Parkhill, J., Genbank accession number AL513382 (2001). **FIGURES AND TABLES** Fig 1: a) Wall time in seconds when the number of SNPs is varied. b) Memory in MB when the number of SNPs is varied. All JVarKit experiments exceeded the maximum memory and all TrimAl experiments exceeded the maximum run time, so are not shown Fig 2: a) Wall time in seconds when the number of samples is varied. b) Memory in MB when the number of samples is varied. Fig 3: a) Wall time in seconds when the genome length is varied. b) Memory in MB when the genome length is varied.





